118TH CONGRESS \\
2d Session

SENATE

REPORT 118–204

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 2025

AUGUST 1, 2024.—Ordered to be printed

Mr. Tester, from the Committee on Appropriations, submitted the following

# REPORT

[To accompany S. 4921]

The Committee on Appropriations reports an original bill (S. 4921) making appropriations for the Department of Defense for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2025, and for other purposes, reports favorably thereon without amendment and recommends that the bill do pass.

# New obligational authority

Total of bill as reported to the Senate	\$852,139,000,000
Amount of 2024 appropriations	892,029,545,000
Amount of 2025 budget estimate	833,375,121,000
Bill as recommended to Senate compared to—	
2024 appropriations	-39,890,545,000
2025 budget estimate	+18,763,879,000

# CONTENTS

Background:
Purpose of the Bill
Hearings
Summary of the Bill
Classified Program Adjustments
Definition of Program, Project and Activity
Reprogramming (fuidance
Funding Increases Congressional Special Interest Items
Congressional Special Interest Items
Committee Initiatives
Additional Emergency Appropriations
Readiness
Innovation
Innovation
Homeland Defense Radar-Hawaii
Guam Enhanced Integrated Air and Missile Defense
Improving Cooperation With Partners and Allies
Joint Strike Fighter
United States Cyber Command
United States Cyber Command
Drinking Water Contamination
Suicide Prevention and Response Investigation Into the Suspected Suicide of SFC Robert R. Card II
Investigation Into the Suspected Suicide of SFC Robert R. Card II
Budget Justification Materials
Complete and Timely Financial Reporting
Appropriations for Department of Defense-Identified Unfunded Require-
_ ments
Department of the Air Force Budgeting Practices
Polar Airlift Aircraft  Budget or Appropriations Liaison Support to the Senate Defense Appropriations Subcommittee
Budget or Appropriations Liaison Support to the Senate Defense Appro-
priations Subcommittee
Confucius Institutes
Aqueous Film Forming Foam Destruction
Lifesaving Safety Stations Reporting Requirement for Unit Reallocation Considerations
Reporting Requirement for Unit Reallocation Considerations
Supporting the U.S. Philippines Alliance
Enhanced Oversight of Certain Contracts and Grants Procurement of Seafood Products Made With Forced Labor
Title I:
Military Personnel:
Reprogramming Guidance for Military Personnel Accounts
Military Personnel Special Interest Items
Military Personnel Overview
Military Personnel, Army
Military Personnel, Navy
Military Personnel, Marine Corps
Military Personnel, Air Force
Military Personnel, Space Force
Reserve Personnel, Army
Reserve Personnel, Navy
Reserve Personnel, Marine Corps
Reserve Personnel, Air Force
National Guard Personnel, Army
National Guard Personnel, Air Force
Title II:
Operation and Maintenance:
Reprogramming Guidance for Operation and Maintenance Accounts

	Page
Title II—Continued	
Operation and Maintenance—Continued	
Reprogramming Guidance for Special Operations Command	49
Operation and Maintenance Budget Execution Data	49
Operation and Maintenance Special Interest Items	50
Operation and Maintenance Overview	50
Operation and Maintenance, Army Operation and Maintenance, Navy	52
Operation and Maintenance, Navy	56
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps	61
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force	63
Operation and Maintenance, Space Force	67
Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide	68
Counter–Isis Train and Equip Fund	75
Operation and Maintenance, Army Reserve	75
Operation and Maintenance, Navy Reserve	77
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve	78
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force Reserve	79
Operation and Maintenance, Army National Guard	80
Operation and Maintenance, Air National Guard	81
U.S. Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces	83
Environmental Restoration, Army	83
Environmental Restoration, Navy	84
Environmental Restoration, Air Force	84
Environmental Restoration, Defense-Wide	84
Environmental Restoration, Formerly Used Defense Sites	84
Overseas Humanitarian, Disaster, and Civic Aid	85
Cooperative Threat Reduction Account	85
Department of Defense Acquisition Workforce Development Account . Title III:	86
Procurement:	
	88
Funding IncreasesProcurement Special Interest Items	89
Procurement Overview	89
Aircraft Procurement, Army	92
Missile Procurement, Army	95
Procurement of Weapons and Tracked Combat Vehicles, Army	98
Procurement of Ammunition, Army	101
Other Procurement, Army	105
Aircraft Procurement, Navy	116
Waanone Progurament Navy	121
Weapons Procurement, Navy	126
Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy	129
Other Procurement, Navy	134
Procurement, Marine Corps	144
Aircraft Procurement, Air Force	150
Missile Procurement, Air Force	159
Procurement of Ammunition, Air Force	162
Other Procurement, Air Force	164
Procurement, Space Force	169
Procurement, Defense-Wide	173
Defense Production Act Purchases	178
National Guard and Reserve Equipment	182
Title IV:	102
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation:	
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army	189
Pogoarch Development, Test and Evaluation, Army	$\frac{105}{205}$
Pogoreh Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy	$\frac{200}{220}$
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Air Force Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Space Force	$\frac{220}{238}$
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Space Force	$\frac{238}{248}$
Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense	$\frac{248}{270}$
Title V:	410
Revolving and Management Funds:  Defense Working Capital Funds	273
Defense Working Capital Funds	274
Title VI:	414
Other Department of Defense Programs:	
Defense Health Program	275
Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction Defense	285

4	
Title VI Continued	
Title VI—Continued	
Other Department of Defense Programs—Continued	
Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense	•
Office of the Inspector General	•
Title VII:	
Related Agencies:	
Central Intelligence Agency Retirement and Disability System	
Fund	
Intelligence Community Management Account	
Title VIII: General Provisions	
Compliance With Paragraph 7, Rule XVI of the Standing Rules of the Senate	:
Compliance With Paragraph 7(c), Rule XXVI of the Standing Rules of the Senate	!
Compliance With Paragraph 12, Rule XXVI of the Standing Rules of the Senate	
Budgetary Impact of Bill	
Disclosure of Congressionally Directed Spending Items	
Comparative Statement of New Budget Authority	•

#### **BACKGROUND**

#### PURPOSE OF THE BILL

This bill makes appropriations for the military functions of the Department of Defense for the period October 1, 2024, through September 30, 2025. Functional areas include the pay, allowances, and support of military personnel, operation and maintenance of the forces, procurement of equipment and systems, and research, development, test and evaluation. Appropriations for foreign military assistance, military construction, family housing, nuclear weapons programs, and civil defense are provided in other bills.

#### HEARINGS

The Appropriations Subcommittee on Defense began hearings on April 9, 2024 and concluded them on July 18, 2024, after eight separate sessions. The subcommittee heard testimony from representatives of the Department of Defense and the Intelligence Community.

#### SUMMARY OF THE BILL

The Committee recommendation of \$852,179,000,000 includes funding to develop, maintain, and equip the military forces of the United States and for other purposes, including \$514,000,000 in mandatory spending and \$20,800,000,000 in emergency funding.

The fiscal year 2025 budget request for activities funded in the Department of Defense appropriations bill totals \$833,415,121,000 in new budget authority, including \$514,000,000 in mandatory spending.

In fiscal year 2024, the Congress appropriated \$892,059,545,000 for activities funded in this bill. This amount included \$824,999,000,000 in base appropriations of which \$514,000,000 was mandatory spending. Additionally, the Congress appropriated \$67,060,545,000 in emergency appropriations for fiscal year 2024 in Public Law 118–50.

The Committee recommendation in this bill is \$6,380,000,000 above the amount provided in fiscal year 2024, excluding all emergency funding, and \$18,763,879,000 above the amount requested for fiscal year 2025 including emergency funding.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS

The following table displays the recommendations for each title:

[In thousands of dollars]

Account	Fiscal year 2024 enacted	Fiscal year 2025 estimate	Committee Recommendation
Title I—Military Personnel (inloudes emergency)	176,244,339	181,880,539	180,667,384

[In thousands of dollars]

Account	Fiscal year 2024 enacted	Fiscal year 2025 estimate	Committee Recommendation
Title II—Operation and Maintenance (inlcudes emergency)	287,190,915 172,029,494	296,334,504 166,770,761	300,599,339 175,222,313
Title IV—Research, Development, Test and Evaluation (inlcudes emergency)	148,320,479	143,156,590	145,118,045
Title V—Revolving and Management Funds Title VI—Other Department of Defense Programs	1,786,779 42,696,094	1,720,550 42,498,177	1,840,550 43,033,177
Title VII—Related Agencies	1,139,419 -4,438,519	1,164,000 150,000	1,129,507 4,528,685
Fiscal Year 2024 National Security Supplemental Appropriations Act (Public Law 118–50)	67,060,545		
Net grand total	892,029,545	833,375,121	852,139,000
Total mandatory and discretionary (incl. scorekeeping adjust- ments)	892,059,545	831,379,000	852,179,000

The Committee has displayed recommended adjustments in ta-

bles presented under each appropriation account.

These adjustments reflect the following Committee actions: removal of funds excess to need based on contract award savings or changes to a program's acquisition strategy; elimination of funds requested for programs which are lower priority, duplicative, or not supported by firm requirements with out-year development or procurement appropriations; deletion of excess funds based on program delays or slow execution; addition of funds to reflect congressional priorities, to include executable unfunded requirements, and to rectify shortfalls in the budget estimate; and implementation of recommendations in S.4638, the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2025, as reported by the Senate Armed Services Committee.

#### CLASSIFIED PROGRAM ADJUSTMENTS

The Committee recommends adjustments to certain classified programs, as explained in the classified annex to the Committee's report.

#### DEFINITION OF PROGRAM, PROJECT AND ACTIVITY

The terms "program, project, and activity" for appropriations contained in this act shall be defined as the most specific level of budget items identified in the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2025, the related classified annexes and Committee report, and P–1 and R–1 budget justification documents as subsequently modified by congressional action.

The following exception to the above definition shall apply: the military personnel and the operation and maintenance accounts, for which the term "program, project, and activity" is defined as the appropriations accounts contained in the Department of Defense

Appropriations Act.

At the time the President submits the budget request for fiscal year 2026, the Secretary of Defense is directed to transmit to the congressional defense committees budget justification documents to be known as the "M-1" and "O-1" which shall identify, at the

budget activity, activity group, and sub-activity group level, the amounts requested by the President to be appropriated to the Department of Defense for military personnel and operation and maintenance in any budget request, or amended budget request, for fiscal year 2026.

#### REPROGRAMMING GUIDANCE

The Secretary of Defense is directed to continue to follow the reprogramming guidance for acquisition accounts as specified in the report accompanying the House version of the Department of Defense appropriations bill for fiscal year 2008 (House Report 110–279). The dollar threshold for reprogramming funds shall be \$15,000,000 for military personnel; \$15,000,000 for operation and maintenance; \$15,000,000 procurement; and \$15,000,000 research,

development, test and evaluation.

Also, the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) is directed to continue to provide the congressional defense committees annual DD Form 1416 reports for titles I and II and quarterly, spreadsheet-based DD Form 1416 reports for service and defense-wide accounts in titles III and IV of this act. Reports for titles III and IV shall comply with guidance specified in the explanatory statement accompanying the Department of Defense Appropriations Act for Fiscal Year 2006. The Department shall continue to follow the limitation that prior approval reprogrammings are set at either the specified dollar threshold or 20 percent of the procurement or research, development, test and evaluation line, whichever is less. These thresholds are cumulative from the base for reprogramming value as modified by any adjustments. Therefore, if the combined value of transfers into or out of a military personnel (M-1), an operation and maintenance (O-1), a procurement (P-1), or a research, development, test and evaluation (R-1) line exceeds the identified threshold, the Secretary of Defense must submit a prior approval reprogramming to the congressional defense committees. In addition, guidelines on the application of prior approval reprogramming procedures for congressional special interest items are established elsewhere in this report.

#### FUNDING INCREASES

The funding increases outlined in the tables accompanying each appropriation account shall be provided only for the specific purposes indicated in the tables of Committee Recommended Adjustments. The Committee directs that funding increases shall be competitively awarded, or provided to programs that have received competitive awards in the past.

#### CONGRESSIONAL SPECIAL INTEREST ITEMS

Items for which additional funds have been recommended or items for which funding is specifically reduced as shown in the tables detailing Committee Recommended Adjustments or in paragraphs using the phrase "only for" or "only to" are congressional special interest items for the purpose of the Base for Reprogramming (DD Form 1414). Each of these items must be carried on the DD Form 1414 at the stated amount, as specifically addressed else-

where in this report. In addition, section 8006 of this act provides direction on the treatment of increases which appear in the tables of the Committee Recommended Adjustments, including certain limitations on the use of reprogramming authority in relation to these items.

#### COMMITTEE INITIATIVES

The Committee is pleased that the fiscal year 2025 President's budget request continues to prioritize investments that resource the priorities identified in the 2022 National Defense Strategy. The Committee's recommendation builds on those proposals, and recommends increases that expand the Department of Defense's capability and capacity to confront the evolving global threat environment. This includes additional funding to improve quality of life for military personnel and their families; enhance military training and operational readiness; strengthen recruiting, retention and training of the Department of Defense acquisition workforce; sustain weapons production lines identified by the military services as critical; and invest in future critical technologies. Funds are delineated in the tables of Committee Recommended Adjustments and designated as congressional special interest items for the purpose of the Base for Reprogramming (DD Form 1414).

#### ADDITIONAL EMERGENCY APPROPRIATIONS

The Committee recommendation includes \$20,800,000,000 in additional emergency appropriations, as detailed in the tables of Committee Recommended Adjustments, to address significant Department of Defense shortfalls. The Committee has worked closely with the Department of Defense, its military components, and the Combatant Commands in developing its recommendation. Many of the recommended additional funds address unfunded requirements identified by the commanders of the Combatant Commands and chiefs of the military services. Other recommendations address emerging national security requirements that were not known to the Department of Defense at the time of the delivery of the fiscal year 2025 President's budget request. These funding priorities include:

- —\$2,727,800,000 to address the unfunded priorities of the Commander of U.S. Indo-Pacific Command and for other capabilities relevant to near-peer competition, including \$450,000,000 to accelerate offensive space control efforts of the Space Development Agency;
- —\$1,755,000,000 for the sustainment of operational and force protection activities in the U.S. Central Command past September 30, 2024, and for other readiness investments;
- —\$1,172,057,000 to address anticipated fuel funding shortfalls in fiscal year 2025;
- —\$3,445,446,000 to make an additional 500 Air Force aircraft available than would otherwise be available at the requested funding level;
- —\$838,660,000 to increase and accelerate the fielding of counter unmanned aerial system capabilities to address the growing and rapidly evolving threat posed by drones to U.S. forces;

—\$781,447,000 for quality of life initiatives for service members and their families, including to accelerate the U.S. Army's implementation of operational deployment pay to recognize the rigors of overseas deployments and to accelerate modernization of Marine Corps barracks to improve the quality of unaccom-

panied housing for service members;

—\$2,153,500,000 for Navy shipbuilding for select acquisition of battle force ships, including advance procurement funding for a four-ship amphibious ship procurement, as agreed to by the Navy and the shipbuilding industry that will lead to cost savings of approximately \$900,000,000 versus buying the ships individually;

—\$2,720,307,000 to revitalize the U.S. defense industrial base, including expansion of solid rocket motor production, raw materials purchases for critical weapons systems, and moderniza-

tion of Army ammunition plants and Navy shipyards;

—\$1,218,895,000 for air defense, missiles, and other munitions; —\$478,488,000 for counterterrorism activities, including increases intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance and addressing worldwide force protection requirements; and

-\$3,508,400,000 for other targeted investments in air, land,

cyber, and space military capabilities.

The Committee believes that inclusion of these funds is necessary in the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2025 for the Armed Forces to have the proper resources to counter Russia, deter the People's Republic of China, and continue to address threats from Iran and terrorist groups against U.S. forces and our allies and partners, consistent with the 2022 National Defense Strategy.

#### READINESS

The Committee recommends an additional \$2,000,000,000 in title VIII of this act to be transferred to the operation and maintenance accounts and be divided proportionately among the services and the National Guard and reserve components to address shortfalls in weapons sustainment, training, and operations for which funding was not requested in the fiscal year 2025 President's budget request. The funding provided is a congressional special interest item. The Secretary of Defense and the Service Secretaries are directed to submit a detailed spending plan by sub-activity group to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate not less than 30 days prior to the obligation of these funds. These transfers may be implemented 30 days after congressional notification unless an objection is received from either the House or Senate Appropriations Committees.

#### INNOVATION

The Department of Defense [DOD] remains rightly focused on driving innovation into its acquisition programs and internal processes. During the past fiscal year, innovation at the Departmental-level has taken on new forms, to include the initiation of the Replicator program, the expansion of the Defense Innovation Unit [DIU], and further maturation of the Rapid Defense Experimen-

tation Reserve [RDER]. The Committee continues to view the end goal of defense innovation as fielding the most advanced capabilities to operational units at scale, thereby strengthening our National defense.

While innovation within acquisition programs requires understanding a range of threats and potential concepts to defeat them, the Committee believes that the importance of a rigorous understanding of the technical maturity and manufacturability of potential material solutions must also be key considerations when deciding how to allocate limited resources. Similarly, innovation should not create additional layers of bureaucratic review, but must instead empower the end-users the military services are responsible for manning, training, and equipping. In fiscal year 2025, the Committee again recommends substantial DOD resources for flexible, innovation-focused spending, including more than \$2,179,364,000 in Department-wide and Service funding for the prototyping and maturation of promising, early-stage, and commercial capabilities. While the Department has made progress in coordinating enterprise-wide efforts with the establishment of the Defense Innovation Working Group and the Defense Innovation Community of Entities, the Committee believes additional action is warranted to maximize the impact of the totality of Department "innovation-tagged" resources, which are managed by numerous entities with disparate reporting chains, and which may or may not include the Department's acquisition executives.

In particular, the Committee believes that the DOD's RDER initiative could be better positioned to support rapid experimentation. The Department characterizes RDER as a process through which the Undersecretary of Defense (Research and Engineering) assesses military services prototypes' operational relevance to the Joint Force through field experimentation. Following these experiments, DOD stakeholders then analyze collected data to, in part, inform future budgetary decisions. However, the Committee notes that RDER has to date not resulted in accelerated fielding outcomes. For example, less than one-third of RDER programs funded in fiscal year 2023 have "graduated" from the program and formally transitioned into the Services. Additionally, the majority of these projects will lack dedicated Service funding in the fiscal year 2025 enactment due to lack of transition to the Services. While the Committee is supportive of data-driven joint experimentation, it is unaware of significant operational improvements derived from the RDER funding construct to date. Therefore, the Committee recommends slowing the rate of growth requested for RDER and other adjustments, as detailed in the tables of Committee Recommended Adjustments.

The Committee recommends full funding for Replicator funds requested in the fiscal year 2025 President's budget request. During fiscal year 2024, senior Department officials engaged extensively with the Committee on the Replicator initiative. In response to reporting requirements in the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2024 (Public Law 118–47), the Department is reviewing Replicator system selections to account for the doctrine, organization, training, materiel, leadership, personnel, facilities, and policy [DOTMLPF-P] considerations associated with the initiative. The

Committee believes that this comprehensive understanding and plan to address the DOTMLPF-P implications are critical to the initiative's success and has apparently not yet occurred in full. Additionally, the Committee believes that selected programs would benefit from the development of robust test and evaluation master plans [TEMP] or similar test and evaluation (T&E] plans to ensure that concepts as envisioned are technically capable of meeting stated objectives. The Committee directs the Director of the Defense Innovation Unit to engage with the Department's and respective Services' technical leadership as well as the Director, Operational Test and Evaluation, to ensure that developed T&E plans are adequate, as well as fully resourced. Not later than 60 days after the enactment of this act, the Deputy Secretary of Defense and the Vice Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff shall provide a briefing to the congressional defense committees on the DOTMLPF-P and

T&E plans for each selected Replicator system.

Additionally, the Committee understands that Replicator Tranche Two selections are forthcoming, and that the Department may seek congressional action to ensure additional funding for Tranche Two systems is included in the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2025. In anticipation of these emerging requirements, and in light of the Committee's substantive concerns about the lack of results of RDER, the Committee recommends reallocating RDER resources into a Rapid Defense Innovation Reserve. The intent of this reallocation is to enable the Department of Defense to reassess the RDER concept and provide the Committee additional information on the most effective use of requested funds for fiscal year 2025, which may include continuing RDER efforts or accelerating Replicator Tranche Two systems, until such time as funds for these systems can be aligned in the appropriate appropriations accounts in future budget submissions. Not later than October 1, 2024, the Secretary of Defense shall brief the congressional defense committees on the outcome of this assessment, to include a determination of whether the funding proposed in the fiscal year 2025 President's budget request would be better allocated in support of alternative innovation concepts, to include Replicator.

Notwithstanding the importance of the Department's priority innovation initiatives, the Committee also notes that novel advancements and integration of existing high-end weapons systems remain critical forms of defense innovation. Historically, the Strategic Capabilities Office [SCO] has primarily focused on this form of innovation, identifying instances in which existing Service capabilities can be modified to enhance already fielded capabilities. In line with past successes of these types of initiatives, the Committee recommends an additional \$75,000,000 in emergency funding to integrate the PATRIOT Missile Segment Enhancement with the

Aegis Combat System aboard Navy warships.

The Committee again notes that many of the acquisition controls and oversight mechanisms in place within statute and regulation are the result of previous instances of financial or acquisition mismanagement, unacceptable cost growth, or wasteful acquisition strategies that delayed fielding timeframes for programs. Innovation alone is not a substitute for the sound financial, acquisition,

and management best practices that are essential to fielding capability to the warfighter on time and on budget.

### DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE ACQUISITION WORKFORCE

On May 15, 2024, the Committee received testimony from the Department of Defense Acquisition Executive and each of the Military Service Acquisition Executives to better understand factors affecting acquisition outcomes. While the Department of Defense's acquisition programs continue to deliver unparalleled capabilities to the joint force, these capabilities are all too often under-performing,

behind schedule, and over budget.

The Committee confirmed that a robust and well-trained acquisition workforce is one of the Department of Defense's strongest assets in achieving programmatic goals, and urges continued investment in its well-being and growth. The Committee remains committed to ensuring that this workforce has the capacity, in both personnel and skills, to properly perform its mission and recommends funding, as requested, in the Services' operation and maintenance, and research, development, test and evaluation accounts; as well as in the Department's Acquisition Workforce Account and Defense Working Capital Funds. Further, the Committee includes an additional \$200,000,000 for Department acquisition management initiatives to enable the workforce to improve oversight capabilities and achieve more effective and efficient outcomes for the warfighter and taxpayer.

With the submission of the President's budget request for fiscal year 2026, the Committee directs each of the Department's acquisition executives to provide a report to the congressional defense committees identifying their respective acquisition workforce requirements in support of acquisition programs included in the Fiscal Year 2026 Future Years Defense Program. Further, the Service Financial Managers and Comptrollers of the Army, Navy, and Air Force are directed to certify, with submission of the fiscal year 2026 President's budget request, to the congressional defense committees, that these acquisition workforce requirements are fully funded in the fiscal year 2026 President's budget request. Finally, in order to maintain visibility into and oversight of funding for the defense acquisition workforce, these funds are designated as congressional special interest items for the purpose of the Base for Re-

programming, DD Form 1414.

#### HOMELAND DEFENSE RADAR-HAWAII

The Committee is aware that the requirements for the Next Generation Interceptor program no longer necessitate the program of record for the Homeland Defense Radar-Hawaii [HDR-H]. The Committee notes that in fiscal year 2021 and 2022, the Missile Defense Agency received \$208,000,000 in additional appropriations to continue production of the HDR-H radar. In response to Senate Report 118–81, the congressional defense committees were notified on May 9, 2024 that the panels procured for HDR-H are being modified in support of Homeland Defense Radar-Guam as excess articles under the Federal Acquisition Regulation. The Committee notes that funds appropriated for the Homeland Defense Radar-Ha-

waii in fiscal year 2018, 2019, 2020, 2021, and 2022 were specifically appropriated for the purpose of the HDR-H program of record and, therefore, a change to the purpose and amount of funds enacted into law should have been notified in accordance with section 8005 of applicable Department of Defense Appropriations Acts. Therefore, the Committee directs the Comptroller General of the United States to provide a legal opinion to the Defense Appropriations Subcommittees of the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate evaluating the Missile Defense Agency's compliance with all applicable appropriations law.

### GUAM ENHANCED INTEGRATED AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE

The fiscal year 2025 President's budget request includes \$1,494,555,000 to deliver enhanced integrated air and missile defense of Guam. The Committee recognizes the investment in advanced radar systems and interceptors contribute to a layered defense network while enhancing the overall security architecture against emerging threats in the region, and therefore includes an additional \$181,300,000 in emergency funding for the Missile Defense Agency [MDA] to accelerate deployment of the Guam Defense System [GDS].

The Committee is encouraged by the progress of the GDS Joint Executive Program Office in support of a robust missile defense posture on Guam. The Committee notes the development of architecture requirements, maturation of weapon system technology, identification of a designated lead for specific programs of record, coordination of test events, and synchronization and fielding of systems with required construction facilities will continue to require the sustained commitment and attention of Department of Defense

senior leadership.

The Committee recognizes the challenges posed by a threat-informed timeline for meeting initial operating capability of GDS. However, the presentation of information provided in the Department of the Army's fiscal year 2025 President's budget request is limited, and in some cases, it has been consolidated into single cost elements, limiting the ability of the Committee to clearly identify the full scope of funding that has been requested for the defense of Guam. Moreover, there is no clear distinction of how these funds requested for GDS are dissimilar from previously funded system enhancements, such as for the battle command system architecture and system survivability enhancements. The Committee notes that additional detail and justification is needed in future budget materials to provide congressional defense committees with the confidence that the funds being requested are tied to established requirements, a validated acquisition strategy, an identified sustainment strategy, and an operational employment plan.

Therefore, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Army and the Director of MDA to provide a briefing not later than 90 days after enactment of this act, and quarterly updates thereafter, to the congressional defense committees on the status of the funds that have been appropriated, to include supplemental funding. The update shall include the status of each of the following: (1) a consolidated presentation of all Department of Defense funding for GDS,

to include obligation and expenditure data, (2) the acquisition development and schedule of anticipated weapon systems delivery, (3) the sequencing of integrated test events between the military departments and MDA, and (4) justification for any new investments necessary to keep pace with advanced threats.

### IMPROVING COOPERATION WITH PARTNERS AND ALLIES

The Department of Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2024 (Public Law 118–47) included \$100,000,000 for the United States Africa Command [USAFRICOM] and the United States Southern Command [USSOUTHCOM], in addition to \$200,000,000 included in the Defense Appropriations Act, 2023 (Public Law 117– 328), to expand cooperation, share information, to train and ultimately improve the abilities of our partner nations in their specific areas of responsibility. The Committee maintains the belief that a misconception exists that USAFRICOM and USSOUTHCOM mission sets revolve solely around counter-terrorism and counter-drug activities. In reality, both combatant commands are immersed in peer-competition with the People's Republic of China [PRC] with direct implications for our Nation's overall security posture: The PRC's first overseas base in Djibouti allows for power projection in the Horn of Africa and Indian Ocean. In South America, the PRC has built a space ground station in Argentina that can monitor the United States' space assets. The PRC has also secured rights to build infrastructure near the Straits of Magellan, and is competing in projects related to the Panama Canal, both key chokepoints for our Navy. In both commands, so-called Chinese fishing vessels have illegally and systematically violated sovereign territory through the use of gray zone pressure tactics.

Additionally, illegal mining and resource acquisition by Chinese-owned entities is a growing concern. While the Department's force management process excels at meeting immediate and pressing warfighting needs, the Committee remains concerned that underinvestment in these key geographic areas fails to meet the Nation's long-term security needs. The Committee notes that today's security situation has resulted in the systematic de-prioritization of USAFRICOM and USSOUTHCOM with respect to force allocation and resources by the Department. As a result, the Committee re-States its belief that the Department of Defense is underfunded in

these regions

The Committee believes there are unrealized opportunities to increase our military cooperation and improve the capabilities of our partners in these regions. Therefore, the Committee recommends an additional \$400,000,000, only for the USSOUTHCOM and USAFRICOM areas of operation, to improve the capabilities of its allies and partners in their respective regions. This includes training partner forces, joint exercises, purchasing equipment, intelligence activities, preventing violence and stabilizing conflict-affected areas, and other security cooperation activities as determined by the Commander, USSOUTHCOM and Commander, USAFRICOM. The Committee directs that none of these funds may be obligated or expended until the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller), in coordination with Commander, USSOUTHCOM and Commander, USAFRICOM presents an execution plan to the

congressional defense committees. Further, the Committee notes that while some of these activities may be undertaken through title 10 United States Code section 333, the Commander, AFRICOM and Commander, SOUTHCOM may propose projects utilizing any existing authorities.

#### JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER

The Committee is aware of significant delays to the Joint Strike Fighter's Technology Refresh 3 (TR-3) program, which led to an extended stoppage in government acceptance of aircraft over the past year. Moreover, the Committee understands that it will take a significant period of time to deliver the substantial quantity of parked aircraft from a contractor site to military installations, further complicating the balance of completing delivery of previously funded aircraft with the request for additional quantities in fiscal year 2025. Additionally, the Committee notes that the aircraft that the Department of Defense will accept within the coming months will not be fully capable, and will require additional software maturation to reach the functionality of previously delivered aircraft, raising significant questions about the effectiveness of the TR-3 program.

While acknowledging that the Joint Strike Fighter program is comprised of a historically complex supply chain and must accommodate a diverse set of stakeholders, the Committee believes the program must adhere more closely to sound acquisition fundamentals and develop specific plans to stabilize cost, schedule, and performance.

Further, the Committee is deeply concerned by the lack of clarity in contracting and acquisition of the fiscal year 2024 appropriated and fiscal year 2025 requested aircraft. The Committee notes that the Joint Program Office and the prime contractor remain unable to agree upon aircraft unit cost for Lots 18 and 19.

Therefore, while the Committee recommends funding for the number of aircraft in the fiscal year 2025 President's budget request, the Committee also recommends several targeted reductions, based on unearned award fees due to aircraft delivery delays, prioryear actual spending on efforts such as non-recurring engineering, and delivery delays associated with TR-3 aircraft modifications.

# UNITED STATES CYBER COMMAND

The Committee recommends \$2,739,440,000 for United States Cyber Command [USCYBERCOM] in fiscal year \$319,129,000 above the fiscal year 2024 enacted level. The Committee commends USCYBERCOM for significant improvements in justification materials associated with its fiscal year 2024 President's budget request, and directs the Commander, USCYBERCOM to consult with the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate not later than 90 days after the enactment of this act on continued refinement of its budget justification materials. The Committee also supports the consolidation of cyber mission force resources under USCYBERCOM and notes that these significant increases are properly focused on readiness and the expansion of the financial management staff necessary to fully

implement the planning, programming, budgeting, and execution processes associated with enhanced budget control provided by

Congress.

The Committee notes that the fiscal year 2025 President's budget request includes a request to include the Cyber Operations Technology Support program in the Software and Digital Technology Pilot Program within Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide budget activity 08. While the Committee believes that this program is too hardware centric to justify its inclusion in the Software and Digital Technology Pilot Program in fiscal year 2025, it does recognize that certain software centric programs within USCYBERCOM would potentially benefit and will consider future recommendations on the merits of each proposal.

#### PLANNING, PROGRAMMING, BUDGETING AND EXECUTION REFORM

The Committee notes that the Commission on Planning, Programming, Budgeting, and Execution [PPBE] Reform, mandated by section 1004 of the fiscal year 2022 National Defense Authorization Act (Public Law 117–81), submitted its final report in March 2024. The report is the culmination of an end-to-end review of the PPBE process and includes 28 recommendations aligned across five categories: (1) Improve the Alignments of Budgets to Strategy; (2) Foster Innovation and Adaptability; (3) Strengthen Relationships Between DoD and Congress; (4) Modernize Business Systems and Data Analytics; and (5) Strengthen the Capability of the Resourcing Workforce.

The Committee continues to support the language in the Joint Explanatory Statement [JES] accompanying the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2024 (Public Law 118–47), which described the many existing flexible mechanisms within the current appropriations process available to the Department through the effective use of its own internal guidelines, regulations, and commu-

nication with Congress.

Subsequent to the publication of the commission's report, the Department of Defense issued implementation guidance in December 2023 regarding 13 near-term recommendations that the Department can implement without any changes in statute or other congressional action. The Committee commends the Department for its swift action, and supports each of these recommendation, which include improving information sharing with the Congress; consolidating business systems; reviewing and consolidating budget line items; improving recruiting and retention of acquisition and financial management professionals; improving financial workforce training; and improving the analytical capabilities of the PPBE workforce. The Committee also supports the establishment of an internal DOD Task Force that would work with Congress to consider, and as appropriate implement, the recommendations of the PPBE Commission, as proposed in S. 4638, the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2025, as reported.

The Committee also notes that some of the PPBE recommendations propose substantial changes to the appropriations structure and/or changes to law without a sufficient rationale and without fully accounting for the justification for why such structure was established in the first place. Such is the case in the commission's recommendations to establish milestone-based reprogramming authority; increase the caps on existing authorities; and reduce notifi-

cation requirements for new start reprogramming actions.

With respect to proposals to increase reprogramming threshold amounts, the Committee encourages the Department to heed the Commission's alternative recommendations focused on streamlining the Department's extensive internal preparation and review process before a reprogramming request even reaches the Committee. The Committee notes the relatively expeditious nature in which reprogrammings are processed by the Congress once they are delivered. All four congressional defense committees process year-end reprogramming actions in two weeks or less 92 percent of the time, and 100 percent of the time prior to the end of the fiscal year.

Further, the Committee notes that tens-of-billions of dollars in transfer authority has gone unused. Given that the Department is not utilizing the full extent of existing transfer authority and that action can be taken swiftly when the Department does communicate with Congress, the Committee questions what increasing the reprogramming limits again will accomplish other than decreasing the number of notifications to the Congress and reducing oversight. Further, the Committee notes that the assumption that reprogramming authority thresholds should be tied in some way to the total budget authority of an agency fails to recognize that the unit cost of a weapon system is not tied to a program office's total budget. Therefore, the Committee maintains the below threshold reprogramming authorities enacted into law in fiscal year 2024.

Regarding proposals for new start programs, the commission's recommendations focus on reducing congressional notifications and increasing dollar threshold requirements, intimating that the funding amount of a new start program is indicative of its total lifecycle cost or the viability of a new start. Congress has a duty to ensure that resources are devoted to programs that have realistic requirements, acquisition plans, cost assessments and sufficient technical maturity. The life-cycle costs that may come with a new program for many years into the future require vigorous review prior to starting such a program. Further, the Committee reiterates that 82 percent of new starts were approved by the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate in

previous years.

The Committee also notes that the commission recommends a single color of appropriations, citing an example from the F-35 Joint Program Office's concurrent development and procurement as rationale, stating that, "concurrency (overlap of development and procurement) is the new norm in weapon system fielding." The Committee recognizes that some programs undergo concurrent development and procurement for various reasons, including to improve capabilities of an existing platform while still procuring older versions. However, excessive concurrency should generally be avoided for new weapons systems because of the cascading poor performance, delays, and cost growth that have also become norms when this occurs. For example, the Joint Strike Fighter program's management of the TR-3 and block four upgrade utilized concurrent development and procurement efforts. This has resulted in repeated development delays, production lot changes, increased costs,

and a substantial quantity of aircraft unfit for acceptance by the Department. A single color of money could compound that problem by decreasing the time to recognize and financially control issues as they occur, and to provide updates to the congressional defense

committees in a timely manner.

The Committee continues to take steps to be responsive to the emergent needs of the Department of Defense, particularly in the areas of innovation, as stated elsewhere in this act. In addition, the Committee has enacted new legislation in the Environmental Restoration accounts, as requested by the Department, to allow the use of proceeds garnered from litigation to be applied toward the cleanup of perfluoroalkyl and polyfluoroalkyl substances that impact military installations and surrounding communities. The Committee also makes several targeted adjustments to the appropriations accounts to address emergent changes in programs that materialized after the president's budget request was submitted to Congress, including: the realignment of funding to support increased test rounds for the Conventional Prompt Strike program; increased testing resources for the Long Range Hypersonic Weapon; the realignment of resources to mitigate shortfalls within the Global Positioning System's [GPS] Operational Control Segment; realignments to the Resilient GPS program initiated in fiscal year 2024 under the newly authorized "Quickstart" authority; realignment of procurement funding to advance procurement due to Intercontinental Ballistic Missile Fuze Modernization delays; Counter-Unmanned Aerial Systems addressed elsewhere in this act; realignments to implement V-22 safety initiatives, and 5G resourcing technical adjustments. These realignments demonstrate how the appropriation process can effectively meeting the intent of commission recommendations consistent with the constitutionally mandated responsibilities of Congress.

Additionally, in the areas of budget line item consolidation, the Committee once again worked with the Department, the Army, and the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency to collaboratively consolidate line items. These adjustments are discussed in further detail elsewhere in this act. Further, the Committee notes that in Title IV of this act, funding for the Department's primary data and analytics platform, Advanced Analytics [Advana], has been transferred to the budget activity eight software pilot program in the Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide account, for more flexible and adaptable execution, consistent with the commission's recommendations. The Committee believes this to be an example of diligent collaboration between the Department and the

Committee to address solutions to problems.

The Committee acknowledges the recommendations on the impact of continuing resolutions [CR] and the desire to increase flexibility under CRs. The difficulty of operating under a CR and the inefficiencies it causes and delays to programs underscores the im-

portance of Congress enacting appropriations on-time.

The last and most important recommendation to highlight is the commission's recommendation for the Department of Defense to increase effective communication with the Congress. Effective communication contributes to an appropriations process where the Department can affect change to its budget request to account for

fact-of-life updates and provide transparent insight into the status of programs. However, the Committee notes that significant communication roadblocks still exist in the Department. As an example, routine Questions for the Record submitted by Senators who are members of the Committee have taken the Office of the Secretary of Defense and military services months to respond to, and some are still outstanding, as are numerous reports mandated in

previous appropriations laws.

The Committee supports the intent of most of the PPBE commission's recommendations and believes that improving resource management processes are needed. Mindful of the lessons learned that have led to current processes, the Committee cautions against enacting blanket recommendations to alter specific appropriations activities without clear measurable outcomes or quantitative data to justify the proposed changes. Improving acquisition and speed to execute is important, however the end goal should always be to field the most advanced capabilities to the warfighter at scale. Therefore, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to maintain the current appropriations structure in the fiscal year 2026 President's budget request.

#### DRINKING WATER CONTAMINATION

The Committee remains concerned for the health and safety of individuals affected by per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances [PFAS] at military installations and in surrounding communities, particularly in areas where PFAS persists in groundwater aquifers, which are crucial sources of drinking water. Therefore, the Committee recommends an additional \$129,618,000 over the fiscal year 2025 President's budget request of \$853,226,000 in the operation and maintenance, environmental restoration, and research and development accounts for the Department of Defense and the military services to remediate drinking water contaminated by PFAS and for other related activities. The Committee directs the Service Secretaries to provide a spend plan to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate for these additional funds not later than 90 days after enactment of this act. The Committee further directs the Secretary of Defense and the Service Secretaries to include separate budget justification materials on PFAS remediation and aqueous film forming removal and disposal activities to the congressional defense committees not later than 30 days after the fiscal year 2026 President's budget request is delivered to Congress that includes an updated assessment of the entire funding requirement for those known costs.

In addition, the Committee is encouraged by the Department of Defense's recent efforts to collaborate with communities impacted by PFAS, and acknowledges the emergence of collaborative regional approaches to address this issue. The Committee commends the Department of Defense for collaborating with localities, where appropriate, on municipal drinking water projects in PFAS-impacted areas around installations. Further, the Committee notes the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's first-ever national drinking water standard for PFAS. The Committee urges the Department of Defense to sustain its engagement with PFAS-affected communities and to collaborate directly with localities to develop prompt and po-

tentially innovative solutions to mitigate the impact of PFAS contamination on drinking water.

#### SUICIDE PREVENTION AND RESPONSE

The Committee recommendation fully funds the fiscal year 2025 President's budget request of \$261,200,000 for suicide prevention and response, which supports continued implementation of the recommendations of the Suicide Prevention and Response Independent Review Committee [SPRIRC]. Further, the Committee provides an additional \$2,000,000 for suicide prevention research focusing on rural, remote, isolated locations outside the continental United States, and designates suicide prevention as a research area within the Congressionally Directed Medical Research Programs' Peer-Reviewed Medical Research Program. The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 60 days after enactment of this act providing an update on the implementation of the SPRIRC's recommendations.

# INVESTIGATION INTO THE SUSPECTED SUICIDE OF SFC ROBERT R. CARD II

The Committee directs the Secretary of the Army, in coordination with the Commanding General, United States Army Reserve Command, and the Director of the Defense Health Agency, to provide a briefing to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate not later than 90 days after enactment of this act, and quarterly thereafter, on the implementation of the recommendations provided pursuant to the Army Regulation 15–6 Investigation into the Suspected Suicide of SFC Robert R. Card II and the Army Inspector General's subsequent independent review.

# BUDGET JUSTIFICATION MATERIALS

The Committee notes that the fiscal year 2025 President's budget request included both baseline and contingency operations funding within the baseline budget request. The Committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) and the Assistant Secretaries of the Army, Navy, and Air Force (Financial Management and Comptroller) to continue to account for both baseline and contingency operations funding in the fiscal year 2026 President's budget request exhibits for all appropriations.

Further, the Committee commends the Department for the improvements in the budget materials made over the past several years, particularly in the case of cross-cutting issues that impact multiple appropriations and line items. The Committee is concerned, however, that some exhibits lacked information by fiscal year to fully analyze the Department's budget request. To better inform the congressional review of future budget requests, the Committee directs that prior year, current year, and budget year data be included in the following justification materials: the Defense Force Structure Changes exhibit (this shall continue to include funding levels for each fiscal year in the Future Years Defense Pro-

gram as well); the European Deterrence Initiative exhibit; and the Pacific Deterrence Initiative exhibit.

#### COMPLETE AND TIMELY FINANCIAL REPORTING

As specified in the Joint Explanatory Statement accompanying the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2023 (Public Law 117–328), the Undersecretary of Defense (Comptroller) is directed to continue to provide the congressional defense committees comprehensive obligation and execution data, including expenditure data for funds with a tenure longer than 1 year.

# Appropriations for Department of Defense–Identified Unfunded Requirements

In accordance with Title 10, United States Code, Section 222(a) the military services and combatant commands submitted to the congressional defense appropriations committees unfunded mission requirements in excess of \$22,600,000,000 with submission of the fiscal year 2025 President's budget request. As in previous years, the Committee has reviewed these requests, their underlying requirements, costs, and schedules, and recommends additional appropriations in fiscal year 2025 to address these shortfalls, as identified in the tables of Committee Recommended Adjustments in this report

The Committee reiterates direction included in the Joint Explanatory Statement accompanying the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2024, and directs that any submission of unfunded requirements by the military services, defense agencies, and combatant commands with the fiscal year 2026 President's budget request be accompanied by updated requirements and programmatic and execution plans for unfunded requirements that received appropriations in fiscal years 2024 and 2025. Further, the Committee directs the Assistant Secretaries (Financial Management and Comptroller) for the Air Force, Navy, and Army to incorporate in the congressional budget brief templates distinct programmatic and execution data for appropriations provided in the previous three fiscal years for unfunded requirements pertaining to the program/ effort.

#### DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE BUDGETING PRACTICES

The Secretary of the Air Force has publicly cited current and projected out-year budget limitations as a barrier to Air Force adoption of critical future technologies. The Committee has recommended substantial additional funding to address these limitations and is aware of the many modernization demands facing the Air Force, to include the Sentinel program, the B–21 Raider, and the Next Generation Air Dominance Family of Systems portfolio. The Committee finds that the Air Force has not optimized its processes for allocating resources, resulting in the submission of budget requests with billions of dollars in unexecutable and unjustified funds. For example, the Committee's recommendation includes more than \$1,700,000,000 in reductions to the "Aircraft Procurement, Air Force" appropriation and \$1,400,000,000 in reductions to the "Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Air Force" ap-

propriation. In total, these reductions represent significant buying power that could have been aligned in support of other Air Force

priorities.

Based on the Committee's review of budget justification documents and engagements with acquisition officials, it is apparent that the Air Force lacks mechanisms to update its budget requests in a timely fashion based on fact-of-life changes within its programs' acquisition lifecycles; robust processes to link budget requests to overall strategy; and adequate quality controls to ensure requests are accurate, current, and well justified. As noted in the Joint Explanatory Statement accompanying the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2024 (Public Law 118-47), over fiscal year 2023 and 2024, the Department of the Air Force requested more than \$6,000,000,000 in technical adjustments to the President's budget request, and for fiscal year 2025 the Air Force has requested nearly \$620,000,000 in such adjustments. In contrast, the Committee finds that other military services have developed internal processes to iteratively optimize their budget requests prior to final submission of the President's budget request, resulting in the submission of a more executable request.

The Committee notes that Air Force program officials often comment that their budget allocation is set the summer prior to the submission of the President's budget request in order to meet the Department of Defense's fall review process. Therefore, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force, in conjunction with the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) to submit a report to the congressional defense committees, not later than 60 days after the enactment of this act, outlining proposed process improvements to enhance the quality of the Department of the Air Force's budget submission beginning with the fiscal year 2027 budget request.

#### POLAR AIRLIFT AIRCRAFT

The Committee notes the importance of polar tactical airlift capabilities for Arctic and Antarctic operations. Further, the Committee notes that the study conducted by the Secretary of the Air Force in coordination with the Commander, U.S. Northern Command, and Director, Air National Guard, titled "Fiscal Year 2023 LC–130 Report," identifies improvements made in recent years to the LC–130H fleet currently conducting this mission. The study also notes that continual modernization investments and performance enhancements will ensure the relevance and viability of this aircraft and its future mission. However, the Committee understands that this report may not fully take into account the operational activity of these aircraft.

Congress included additional funds in the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2024 (Public Law 118–47) in the amount of \$5,000,000 for non-recurring engineering [NRE] for polar airlift aircraft. To ensure these efforts are fully funded, the Committee recommends an additional \$29,000,000 in fiscal year 2025 only for the purpose of performing NRE efforts in support of ski engineering and modification kits for the LC–130J aircraft. Additionally, to accelerate the recapitalization of the aging LC–130H fleet, the Committee recommends an additional \$200,000,000 for procurement of an initial LC–130J aircraft and components. The Assistant Sec-

retary of the Air Force (Acquisition, Technology and Logistics) is directed to provide to the congressional defense committees, not later than 90 days after enactment of this act, a spend plan for the additional resources.

# BUDGET OR APPROPRIATIONS LIAISON SUPPORT TO THE SENATE DEFENSE APPROPRIATIONS SUBCOMMITTEE

The Committee again retains a provision in title II of this act from previous years that prohibits the use of funds in this act to plan or implement the consolidation of a budget or appropriations liaison office of the Office of the Secretary of Defense, the office of the Secretary of a military department, or the Service headquarters of one of the Armed Forces into a legislative affairs or legislative liaison office. The Senate Defense Appropriations Subcommittee relies heavily on these offices to conduct its oversight responsibilities and make funding recommendations for the Department of Defense.

The Committee notes that while the separate offices of legislative affairs within the Office of the Secretary of Defense and the military departments offer assistance to the authorizing committees and individual members of the Congress, such assistance is provided on a parallel and separate track from the assistance provided to this Committee and its members by the budget or appropriations liaison office of the Office of the Secretary of Defense, the office of the Secretary of a military department, or the Service headquarters of one of the Armed Forces. As the offices of legislative affairs do not possess the expertise and direct relationship to the Financial Management and Comptroller organizations, which are essential to the effective communication between the Department and the Committees on Appropriations, it is critical that the budget or appropriations liaison offices remain independent from the legislative liaison offices, and retain the authority to respond directly and promptly with the information required by the Committee and its members.

In addition, the Committee is concerned by the apparent increasing establishment of positions within the Department of Defense for additional congressional advisors that supplant the budget or appropriations liaison offices. The Committee is concerned that this negatively impacts the ability of these liaison offices to exercise their responsibility towards the Committee.

## CONFUCIUS INSTITUTES

The Committee notes that the Secretary of Defense has not yet provided the report required under this heading in the explanatory statement accompanying Public Law 118–47, which was due to the congressional defense committees not later than June 21, 2024. Accordingly, the Committee directs that, of the funds appropriated by this act under the heading "Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide", \$1,000,000 of the recommended funding for the Undersecretary of Defense (Research and Engineering) may not be obligated until the Secretary of Defense provides the required report to the congressional defense committees.

#### AQUEOUS FILM FORMING FOAM DESTRUCTION

The Committee is aware that the Department of Defense plans to update its guidance for implementing the Environmental Protection Agency's Interim Guidance on the destruction and disposal of per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances [PFAS] and materials containing PFAS. The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to coordinate with the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency to ensure that the guidance facilitates use of emerging technologies, as appropriate, such as supercritical water oxidation that destroy PFAS without the release of harmful byproducts. The Committee notes its historical strong support for aqueous film forming foam [AFFF] removal and directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a report to the congressional defense committees by October 30, 2024 on the impact of the proposed updated guidance of ongoing efforts for AFFF removal.

#### LIFESAVING SAFETY STATIONS

The Committee is aware of the General Services Administration's Bulletin FMR C-2024-01, "Safety Station Program Guidelines in Federal Facilities." The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide the congressional defense committees with a briefing, not later than 60 days after enactment of this act, on the Department of Defense's progress implementing these guidelines to establish automated external defibrillator, opioid reversal agents, and hemorrhagic control programs.

# REPORTING REQUIREMENT FOR UNIT REALLOCATION CONSIDERATIONS

The Committee recognizes that the Service Secretaries and Chief of the National Guard Bureau continue to seek opportunities to divest missions and units that lack requirements or are excess to capacity. The Committee notes that some of these units may be available for reallocation instead of full divestment, particularly within the National Guard. The Committee directs the Chief of the National Guard Bureau to provide a report to the congressional defense committees, not less than 90 days after the enactment of this act, detailing the factors taken into consideration when determining the reallocation of units identified for divestment. The Chief of the National Guard Bureau is encouraged to include operational tempo of a State's National Guard as a metric for determining if reallocation of units to that state is needed to meet state and National missions.

#### SUPPORTING THE U.S.-PHILIPPINES ALLIANCE

The Committee reaffirms the strong alliance between the United States and the Philippines during a time of increasing aggression by the People's Republic of China in the South China Sea and in the Philippines' exclusive economic zone. The Committee commends recent actions taken by the Department of Defense to further enhance and support deterrence efforts by the Philippines and recognizes the importance of additional infrastructure investments that are necessary to support the movement and operation of the

military forces of the Philippines and United States in the event of a conflict. Accordingly, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a report to the congressional defense committees, not later than 180 days after the enactment of this act, detailing the funding required to enhance and harden identified mission critical military infrastructure in the Philippines.

#### ENHANCED OVERSIGHT OF CERTAIN CONTRACTS AND GRANTS

The Committee is concerned about research activities funded by the Department of Defense [DOD] that could benefit Chinese defense institutions. The Committee notes that the Department of Defense Inspector General [DODIG] and the Comptroller General of the United States have identified shortcomings in U.S. government systems used to track contracts and grants that inhibit the oversight of the Congress, DODIG, and the Government Accountability Office into research activities funded by the Department of Defense that could benefit Chinese defense entities. Therefore, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the congressional defense committees, not later than 90 days after the enactment of this act, on corrective actions taken by the Department of Defense to address such shortcomings. The report shall also identify research funded by the Department in the last three fiscal years that involves entities of the People's Republic of China [PRC], the funding agency, the type of funding, which PRC entities were involved, the type of research project, publication, or other funding associated with DOD funding sources, the amount awarded, and the justification for the funding. The report shall also address what restrictions, if any, are placed upon the Department's basic research awards to performers with research ties to Chinese defense entities, and what mechanisms, if any, exist to mitigate potential counterintelligence concerns. The report shall be submitted in an unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.

# PROCUREMENT OF SEAFOOD PRODUCTS MADE WITH FORCED LABOR

The Committee directs the Inspector General of the Department of Defense to submit a report to the congressional defense committees, no later than 180 days after enactment of this act, assessing the extent to which the Department has policies and procedures in place to ensure that the food the Department procures does not include seafood prohibited under the Uyghur Forced Labor Prevention Act (Public Law 117–78) and section 321 of the Countering America's Adversaries Through Sanctions Act (Public Law 115–44).

#### TITLE I

#### MILITARY PERSONNEL

Funds appropriated under this title provide the resources required for basic pay, retired pay accrual, employers' contribution for Social Security taxes, basic allowance for subsistence, basic allowance for housing, basic needs allowance, special and incentive pays, permanent change of station travel, and other personnel costs for uniformed members of the Armed Forces.

The President's fiscal year 2025 budget requests a total of \$181,880,539,000 for military personnel appropriations. This request funds an active component end strength of 1,276,700 and a reserve component end strength of 765,700.

#### SUMMARY OF COMMITTEE ACTION

The Committee recommends military personnel appropriations totaling \$180,667,384,000 for fiscal year 2025, of which \$135,000,000 is designated as being for an emergency requirement pursuant to section 251(b)(2)(A)(i) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985. This is \$1,213,155,000 below the budget estimate.

Committee recommended military personnel appropriations for fiscal year 2025 are summarized below:

#### SUMMARY OF MILITARY PERSONNEL APPROPRIATIONS

Account	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
Military Personnel:			
Military Personnel, Army	50,679,897	50,702,367	+ 22,470
Military Personnel, Army (emergency)		(135,000)	(+135,000)
Military Personnel, Navy	38,724,875	38,400,554	- 324,321
Military Personnel, Marine Corps	15,891,592	15,771,387	- 120,205
Military Personnel, Air Force	37,153,395	36,782,371	- 371,024
Military Personnel, Space Force	1,310,847	1,273,037	- 37,810
Reserve Personnel:			
Reserve Personnel, Army	5,553,278	5,457,830	- 95,448
Reserve Personnel, Navy	2,607,620	2,544,945	- 62,675
Reserve Personnel, Marine Corps	938,748	936,225	- 2,523
Reserve Personnel, Air Force	2,639,924	2,556,924	- 83,000
National Guard Personnel:			
National Guard Personnel, Army	9,936,760	9,909,645	-27,115
National Guard Personnel, Air Force	5,397,298	5,285,794	-111,504
Tricare Accrual (permanent, indefinite authority)	11,046,305	11,046,305	
Total	181,880,539	180,667,384	- 1,213,155
Total (emergency)		(135,000)	(+135,000)

Committee recommended end strengths for fiscal year 2025 are summarized below:

#### RECOMMENDED END STRENGTH

	2024 authorization	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
Active:				
Army	445,000	442,300	442,300	
Navy	337,800	332,300	332,300	
Marine Corps	172,300	172,300	172,300	
Air Force	320,000	320,000	320,000	
Space Force	9,400	9,800	9,800	
Subtotal	1,284,500	1,276,700	1,276,700	
Selected Reserve:				
Army Reserve	174,800	175,800	175,800	
Navy Reserve	57,200	57,700	57,700	
Marine Corps Reserve	32,000	32,500	32,500	
Air Force Reserve	69,600	67,000	67,000	
Army National Guard	325,000	325,000	325,000	
Air National Guard	105,000	107,700	108,300	600
Subtotal	763,600	765,700	766,300	600
TOTAL	2,048,100	2,042,400	2,043,000	600

#### REPROGRAMMING GUIDANCE FOR MILITARY PERSONNEL ACCOUNTS

The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to submit the Base for Reprogramming (DD Form 1414) for each of the fiscal year 2025 appropriation accounts not later than 60 days after the enactment of this act. The Secretary of Defense is prohibited from executing any reprogramming or transfer of funds for any purpose other than originally appropriated until the aforementioned report is submitted to the congressional defense committees. The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to use the normal prior approval reprogramming procedures to transfer funds in the services' military personnel accounts between M-1 budget activities, or between subactivities in the case of the reserve component, in excess of \$15,000,000.

# MILITARY PERSONNEL SPECIAL INTEREST ITEMS

Items for which additional funds have been provided or have been specifically reduced as shown in the project level tables or in paragraphs using the phrase "only for" or "only to" in this report are congressional special interest items for the purpose of the Base for Reprogramming (DD Form 1414). Each of these items must be carried on the DD Form 1414 at the stated amount as specifically addressed in the Committee report. Below threshold reprogrammings may not be used to either restore or reduce funding from congressional special interest items as identified on the DD Form 1414.

# MILITARY PERSONNEL OVERVIEW

Uniformed Members of the Armed Forces.—The Committee recognizes the many sacrifices made by servicemembers in defending our

Nation at home and abroad, as well as those made by their military families. In further recognition and support to servicemembers and their families, the Committee's recommendation fully funds the 4.5 percent military pay raise as requested in the fiscal year 2025 President's budget request. The Committee recommendation also fully funds basic allowance for subsistence, basic allowance for housing, and basic needs allowance. Finally, the Committee recommendation fully funds the operation, renovation, and repair of child development centers and supports full-day pre-kindergarten requested in the fiscal year 2025 President's budget request to expand access to child care for members of the armed forces. The Committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) to notify the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and Senate if additional funding is required for these efforts based on projected shortfalls.

Junior Enlisted Pay.—The Committee recommendation includes \$148,000,000 to implement the junior enlisted pay increase in S. 4638, the National Defense Authorization Act of Fiscal Year 2025, as reported, that provides a 5.5 percent pay raise for enlisted personnel in the rank of E1 through E3. This is a 1 percent increase over the fiscal year 2025 President's budget request. The Committee notes that while retention goals for servicemembers are largely being met, persistent recruiting challenges continue to affect the military services' end strengths. Accordingly, the additional recommended funding is intended to support the military services in their effort to enlist high-quality recruits. Further, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to brief the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate on the recommendations of the 14th Quadrennial Review of Military Compensation not later than 30 days after the final report is com-

plete.

Basic Needs Allowance.—The Committee recommends full funding of the fiscal year 2025 President's budget request for the basic needs allowance [BNA]. However, the Committee notes that this level of funding may be above amounts required to meet the needs of eligible servicemembers and their families. Therefore, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Service Secretaries, to use any excess funding toward other incentives for recruiting and retention including those recommended by the 14th Quadrennial Review of Military Compensation. The Committee further directs the Service Secretaries to provide a report to the congressional defense committees, not later than 60 days after enactment of this act, on the execution of funding for BNA and a detailed spend plan for any excess funding. The Committee also directs the Assistant Secretaries (Financial Management and Comptroller) for the Army, Navy and Air Force to provide execution data on BNA in its quarterly briefings to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate

tions of the House of Representatives and the Senate.

Strength Reporting.—The Committee directs the Service Secretaries to provide monthly strength reports for all components to the congressional defense committees beginning not later than 30 days after enactment of this act. The first report shall provide actual baseline end strength for officer, enlisted, and cadet personnel, and the total component. The second report shall provide the end of

year projection for average strength for officer, enlisted, and cadet personnel using the formula in the Department of Defense Financial Management Regulation Volume 2A, Chapter Two. For the active components, this report shall break out average strength data by base and direct war and enduring costs, and differentiate between the active and reserve components. It should also include the actuals and projections compared to the fiscal year 2025 budget request.

Reserve Component Budget Reporting.—The Committee continues its requirement for the Department of Defense to provide a semi-annual detailed report to the congressional defense committees showing transfers between subactivities within the military personnel appropriation. Reports shall be submitted not later than 30 days following the end of the second quarter and 30 days following

the end of the fiscal year.

Space Force Personnel Management.—The Committee directs the Assistant Secretary of the Air Force (Financial Management and Comptroller) to provide quarterly reports to the congressional defense committees on actions taken to implement title 17 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2024 (Public Law 118–31) and section 515 of S. 4638, the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2025, if enacted. Further, should a realignment of funds be required to implement these authorities, the Committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) to use normal prior approval reprogramming procedures in accordance with section 8005.

In addition, the Committee notes that the Assistant Secretary of the Air Force (Financial Management and Comptroller) continues to manually track expenditures for the Space Force's military personnel appropriation because the Defense Joint Military Pay System does not have a service code for the Space Force. As a result, a line of accounting reclassification is required to move expenditures from the Air Force active component military personnel appropriation account to the Space Force military personnel appropriation account until the new Air Force Integrated Personnel and Pay System [AFIPPS] is fully deployed in January 2026. The Committee is concerned that this manual financial accounting process will inevitably lead to human error as the end strength of the Space Force\_continues to grow and Airmen from the Department of the Air Force reserve components may be transferred to the Space Force. Therefore, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to provide the congressional defense committees quarterly reports on the progress of AFIPPS implementation and steps taken to safeguard the financial accounting of the Space Force military personnel appropriation.

Air National Guard Unit Leveling Initiative.—The Committee is aware of force structure changes planned by the Director of the Air National Guard to address an imbalance of full-time Active Guard and Reserve [AGR] personnel across like units to ensure that standardized force posture exists to meet readiness requirements more equitably. The Committee notes concerns raised by some States that the proposed changes may be harmful to the readiness of certain units with missions such as search and rescue, aerial refueling, and air alert and air defense missions under U.S. Northern

Command. The Committee is appreciative of the engagement by the Air National Guard with Congress and the States on this proposal. The Committee further supports ongoing efforts by the Air National Guard to work with States to address their concerns.

The Committee notes that the fiscal year 2025 President's budget request includes an increase of 403 AGR personnel in the Air National Guard above the level authorized in fiscal year 2024. The Committee recommends an increase of \$27,600,000 over the fiscal year 2025 President's budget request to implement the additional increase of 246 AGR Air National Guard personnel authorized in S. 4638, the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2025, as reported by the Senate Armed Services Committee.

Further, the Committee is aware of section 516 of S. 4638, as reported, and directs the Chief of the National Guard Bureau to provide the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate a copy of the report required by this section, if enacted. The Committee further directs the Secretary of the Air

if enacted. The Committee further directs the Secretary of the Air Force, in consultation with the Chief of the National Guard Bureau, to submit a briefing to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate not later than January 1, 2025 that includes detailed data on location and type of unit positions being adjusted, and how the Air National Guard plans to address concerns raised by States to these plans, together with an

estimated cost of full implementation.

Advanced Trauma and Public Health Direct Training Services for the National Guard.—The Committee directs the Chief of the National Guard Bureau to continue state-of-the-art trauma, critical care, behavioral health, public health, and other ancillary direct medical training utilizing academic medical centers. These disciplines for Air National Guard and Army National Guard medical and non-medical personnel, and State Partnership Program/Global Health Engagement international partners are intended to minimize civilian-military and international coalition medical operational gaps in the event of a catastrophic incident. Further, these preparedness programs shall be delivered through direct training services, to include advanced trauma, public health, and combat lifesaver curriculums focusing on critical life-saving procedures, epidemiology of public health diseases, prevention and treatment, mass casualty triage, and psychological health.

Mobile Armed Forces Advanced Trauma Training.—The Committee commends the National Guard Bureau—Joint Surgeon's Office [NGB—JSG] for facilitating state-of-the-art trauma training for medical military servicemembers to maintain their trauma care readiness. The Committee further notes the efforts by the NGB—JSG in creating a mobile training model to expand access for personnel required to maintain lifesaving training certifications. The Committee is aware that the National Guard Bureau may soon be reducing intervals between training which would increase the amount of personnel that require certifications. Therefore, the Committee encourages the National Guard Bureau to designate this as a program of record and appropriately budget internally to meet the additional requirements to provide lifesaving trauma

training.

## MILITARY PERSONNEL, ARMY

Budget estimate, 2025	\$50,679,897,000
Committee recommendation	50,702,367,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$50,702,367,000, of which \$135,000,000 is designated as being for an emergency requirement pursuant to section 251(b)(2)(A)(i) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985. This is \$22,470,000 above the budget estimate.

# COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	MILITARY PERSONNEL, ARMY			
	ACTIVITY 1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS			
5	BASIC PAY	9,262,233	9,262,233	
10	RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	2,436,679	2,436,679	
11	THRIFT SAVINGS PLAN MATCHING CONTRIBUTIONS	141,799	141,799	
25	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	2,834,338	2,834,338	
30	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	377,950	377,950	
35	INCENTIVE PAYS	93,978	93,978	
40 45	SPECIAL PAYS	420,576	420,576	
50	SEPARATION PAY	186,128 81.615	186,128 81.615	
55	SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	707,778	707,778	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	16,543,074	16,543,074	
	ACTIVITY 2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
60	BASIC PAY	16,139,943	16,139,943	
65	RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	4,246,221	4,246,221	
66	THRIFT SAVINGS PLAN MATCHING CONTRIBUTIONS	280,621	280,621	
80	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	5,746,202	5,746,202	
85	INCENTIVE PAYS	83,176	83,176	
90	SPECIAL PAYS	1,037,230	1,037,230	
95	ALLOWANCES	809,286	809,286	
100	SEPARATION PAY	335,236	335,236	
105	SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	1,234,706	1,234,706	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2	29,912,621	29,912,621	
	ACTIVITY 3: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF CADETS			
110	ACADEMY CADETS	112,681	112,681	
	ACTIVITY 4: SUBSISTENCE OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
115	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	1,495,240	1,495,240	
120	SUBSISTENCE-IN-KIND	868,085	868,085	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	2,363,325	2,363,325	
	ACTIVITY 5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
125	ACCESSION TRAVEL	157,633	157,633	
130	TRAINING TRAVEL	208,821	208,821	
135		690,619	690,619	

#### [In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
140 145 150 155	ROTATIONAL TRAVEL SEPARATION TRAVEL TRAVEL OF ORGANIZED UNITS NON-TEMPORARY STORAGE TEMPORARY LODGING EXPENSE	696,800 233,951 424 12,909 127,289	696,800 233,951 424 12,909 127,289	
100	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 5	2,128,446	2,128,446	
	ACTIVITY 6: OTHER MILITARY PERSONNEL COSTS			
170 175 180 185 200 210 215 216 217 218	APPREHENSION OF MILITARY DESERTERS INTEREST ON UNIFORMED SERVICES SAVINGS DEATH GRATUITIES UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS ADOPTION EXPENSES TRANSPORTATION SUBSIDY PARTIAL DISLOCATION ALLOWANCE SGLI EXTRA HAZARD PAYMENTS RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS [ROTC] JUNIOR ROTC	108 2,184 44,100 58,540 537 7,670 953 3,122 105,500	108 2,184 44,100 58,540 537 7,670 953 3,122 105,500 34,660	
219	TRAUMATIC INJURY PROTECTION COVERAGE [T-SGLI]	34,660 400	400	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 6	257,774	257,774	
	LESS REIMBURSABLES	- 638,024	- 638,024	
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT		22,470	+ 22,470
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT (emergency)		(135,000)	(+135,000)
	TOTAL, TITLE I, MILITARY PERSONNEL, ARMY	50,679,897	50,702,367	+ 22,470
300 300	HEALTH CARE CONTRIBUTION—OFFICERSHEALTH CARE CONTRIBUTION—ENLISTED	640,013 2,382,309	640,013 2,382,309	
	TOTAL, TRICARE ACCRUAL PAYMENTS (PERMANENT, INDEFINITE AUTHORITY)(PUBLIC LAW 108-375)	3,022,322	3,022,322	
	TOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL, ARMY	53,702,219	53,724,689	+ 22,470

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

# The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

#### [In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
UNDIST UNDIST UNDIST	Undistributed adjustment: Underexecution of strength Undistributed adjustment: Excess to need Program increase: Implementation of FY 2025 National De-		115,697 29,833	- 115,697 - 29,833
UNDIST	fense Authorization Act junior enlisted pay increase Program increase: Operational deployment pay (emergency)		33,000 135,000	+ 33,000 + 135,000

# MILITARY PERSONNEL, NAVY

Budget estimate, 2025	\$38,724,875,000
Committee recommendation	38.400.554.000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$38,400,554,000. This is \$324,321,000 below the budget estimate.

# COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	MILITARY PERSONNEL, NAVY			
	ACTIVITY 1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS			
5	BASIC PAY	5,561,959	5,561,959	
10	RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	1,474,536	1,474,536	
11	THRIFT SAVINGS PLAN MATCHING CONTRIBUTIONS	89.943	89.943	
25	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	2,144,133	2,144,133	
30	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	219,121	219,121	
35	INCENTIVE PAYS	198,143	198,143	
40 45	SPECIAL PAYSALLOWANCES	536,099	536,099	
50	SEPARATION PAY	92,501 43.171	92,501 43.171	
55	SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	424,695	424,695	
		,	,	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	10,784,301	10,784,301	
	ACTIVITY 2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
60	BASIC PAY	12,197,391	12,197,391	
65	RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	3,238,438	3,238,438	
66 80	THRIFT SAVINGS PLAN MATCHING CONTRIBUTIONS	264,575	264,575 6.454.046	
85	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSINGINCENTIVE PAYS	6,454,046 132,439	132,439	
90	SPECIAL PAYS	1,570,096	1,570,096	
95	ALLOWANCES	527,436	527,436	
100	SEPARATION PAY	115,606	115,606	
105	SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	933,100	933,100	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2	25,433,127	25,433,127	
	ACTIVITY 3: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF MIDSHIPMEN			
110	MIDSHIPMEN	117,323	117,323	
	ACTIVITY 4: SUBSISTENCE OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
115	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	1,040,578	1,040,578	
120	SUBSISTENCE-IN-KIND	575,099	575,099	
121	FAMILY SUBSISTENCE SUPPLEMENTAL ALLOWANCE	5	5	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	1,615,682	1,615,682	
	ACTIVITY 5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION			
125	ACCESSION TRAVEL	100,106	100,106	
130	TRAINING TRAVEL	117,445	117,445	
135	OPERATIONAL TRAVEL	459,463	459,463	
140	ROTATIONAL TRAVEL	241,752	241,752	
145	SEPARATION TRAVEL	133,332	133,332	
150	TRAVEL OF ORGANIZED UNITS	40,127	40,127	
155 160	NON-TEMPORARY STORAGE	20,842	20,842	
100	TEMPORARY LODGING EXPENSE	14,318	14,318	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 5	1,127,385	1,127,385	
	ACTIVITY 6: OTHER MILITARY PERSONNEL COSTS			
170	APPREHENSION OF MILITARY DESERTERS	38	38	
	INTEREST ON UNIFORMED SERVICES SAVINGS	463		

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
180	DEATH GRATUITIES	20,300	20,300	
185	UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS	51,868	51,868	
195	EDUCATION BENEFITS	610	610	
200	ADOPTION EXPENSES	134	134	
210	TRANSPORTATION SUBSIDY	2,136	2,136	
215	PARTIAL DISLOCATION ALLOWANCE	45	45	
216	SGLI EXTRA HAZARD PAYMENTS	1,810	1,810	
217	RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS [ROTC]	22,230	22,230	
218	JUNIOR ROTC	18,632	18,632	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 6	118,266	118,266	
	LESS REIMBURSABLES	- 471,209	<b>- 471,209</b>	
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT		-324,321	- 324,321
	TOTAL, TITLE I, MILITARY PERSONNEL, NAVY	38,724,875	38,400,554	- 324,321
300	HEALTH CARE CONTRIBUTION—OFFICERS	385,454	385,454	
300	HEALTH CARE CONTRIBUTION—ENLISTED	1,878,056	1,878,056	
	TOTAL, TRICARE ACCRUAL PAYMENTS (PERMANENT, INDEFINITE AUTHORITY)(PUBLIC LAW 108-375)	2,263,510	2,263,510	
	TOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL, NAVY	40,988,385	40,664,064	- 324,321

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
UNDIST	Undistributed adjustment: Underexecution of strength Program increase: Implementation of FY 2025 National De-		- 355,321	- 355,321
ONDIO	fense Authorization Act junior enlisted pay increase		31,000	+ 31,000

# MILITARY PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$15,771,387,000. This is \$120,205,000 below the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	MILITARY PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS ACTIVITY 1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS			
5 10	BASIC PAYRETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	2,069,617 549,125	2,069,617 549.125	

35

	[In thousands of dollars]			
Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
11	THRIFT SAVINGS PLAN MATCHING CONTRIBUTIONS	39,668	39,668	
25	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	749,873	749,873	
30	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	85,091	85,091	
35	INCENTIVE PAYS	53,412	53,412	
40	SPECIAL PAYS	21,027	21,027	
45	ALLOWANCES	31,449	31,449	
50	SEPARATION PAY	25,475	25,475	
55	SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	155,717	155,717	
33				
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	3,780,454	3,780,454	
	ACTIVITY 2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PER- SONNEL			
60	BASIC PAY	5,891,206	5,891,206	
65	RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	1,563,864	1,563,864	
66	THRIFT SAVINGS PLAN MATCHING CONTRIBUTIONS	149,955	149,955	
80	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	1,935,682	1,935,682	
85	INCENTIVE PAYS	8,710	8,710	
90	SPECIAL PAYS	254,945	254,945	
95	ALLOWANCES	260,452	260,452	
100	SEPARATION PAY	76,350	76,350	
105	SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	450,278	450,278	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2	10,591,442	10,591,442	
	ACTIVITY 4: SUBSISTENCE OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
115	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	506,473	506,473	
120	SUBSISTENCE—IN—KIND	453,335	453,335	
121	FAMILY SUBSISTENCE SUPPLEMENTAL ALLOWANCE	455,555	455,555	
121	PAINIET SUBSISTENCE SUFFLENIENTAL ALLOWANGE	10	10	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	959,818	959,818	
	ACTIVITY 5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION			
125	ACCESSION TRAVEL	63,608	63,608	
130	TRAINING TRAVEL	18,770	18,770	
135	OPERATIONAL TRAVEL	225,127	225,127	
140	ROTATIONAL TRAVEL	119,716	119,716	
145	SEPARATION TRAVEL	112,717	112,717	
150	TRAVEL OF ORGANIZED UNITS	242	242	
155	NON-TEMPORARY STORAGE	10,884	10,884	
160	TEMPORARY LODGING EXPENSE	3,663	3,663	
165	OTHER	3,003	3,003	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 5	554,727	554,727	
	ACTIVITY 6: OTHER MILITARY PERSONNEL COSTS			
170	APPREHENSION OF MILITARY DESERTERS	163	163	
175	INTEREST ON UNIFORMED SERVICES SAVINGS	58	58	
180	DEATH GRATUITIES	14,211	14,211	
185	UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS	10,308	10,308	
200	ADOPTION EXPENSES	40	40	
210	TRANSPORTATION SUBSIDY	937	937	
215	PARTIAL DISLOCATION ALLOWANCE	9	9	
215	SGLI EXTRA HAZARD PAYMENTS	151	151	
218	JUNIOR ROTC	4,175	4,175	
210	JOHION NOTO	4,1/3	4,173	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 6	30,052	30,052	
	LESS REIMBURSABLES	- 24,901	- 24,901	
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT		- 120,205	- 120,205
			120,203	120,203

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	TOTAL, TITLE I, MILITARY PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS	15,891,592	15,771,387	- 120,205
300 300	HEALTH CARE CONTRIBUTION—OFFICERS	149,697 1,025,905	149,697 1,025,905	
	TOTAL, TRICARE ACCRUAL PAYMENTS (PERMANENT, INDEFINITE AUTHORITY)(PUBLIC LAW 108-375)	1,175,602	1,175,602	
	TOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS	17,067,194	16,946,989	- 120,205

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
UNDIST UNDIST	Undistributed adjustment: Underexecution of strength Program increase: Implementation of FY 2025 National Defense Authorization Act junior enlisted pay in-		- 153,205	- 153,205
	crease		33,000	+ 33,000

# MILITARY PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE

Budget estimate, 2025	 \$37,153,395,000
Committee recommendation	 36,782,371,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$36,782,371,000. This is \$371,024,000 below the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	MILITARY PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE			
	ACTIVITY 1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS			
5	BASIC PAY	6,365,816	6,365,816	
10	RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	1,679,878	1,679,878	
11	THRIFT SAVINGS PLAN MATCHING CONTRIBUTIONS	105,549	105,549	
25	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	2,010,491	2,010,491	
30	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	249,483	249,483	
35	INCENTIVE PAYS	446,046	446,046	
40	SPECIAL PAYS	470,640	470,640	
45	ALLOWANCES	103,666	103,666	
50	SEPARATION PAY	33,437	33,437	
55	SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	486,399	486,399	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	11,951,405	11,951,405	

37

	[In thousands of dollars]			
Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	ACTIVITY 2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
60	BASIC PAY	11 702 000	11 702 000	
65	RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	11,782,890 3,108,372	11,782,890 3,108,372	
66	THRIFT SAVINGS PLAN MATCHING CONTRIBUTIONS	182,797	182,797	
80	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	5,134,733	5,134,733	
85	INCENTIVE PAYS	80,227	80,227	
90	SPECIAL PAYS	414,235	414,235	
95	ALLOWANCES	609,257	609,257	
100	SEPARATION PAY	74,319	74,319	
105	SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	901,392	901,392	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2	22,288,222	22,288,222	
	ACTIVITY 3: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF CADETS			
110	ACADEMY CADETS	101,914	101,914	
	ACTIVITY 4: SUBSISTENCE OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
115	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	1,357,056	1,357,056	
120	SUBSISTENCE-IN-KIND	312,405	312,405	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	1,669,461	1,669,461	
	ACTIVITY 5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION			
125	ACCESSION TRAVEL	109,565	109,565	
130	TRAINING TRAVEL	87,863	87,863	
135	OPERATIONAL TRAVEL	365,619	365,619	
140	ROTATIONAL TRAVEL	592,668	592,668	
145	SEPARATION TRAVEL	190,966	190,966	
150	TRAVEL OF ORGANIZED UNITS	28,955	28,955	
155	NON-TEMPORARY STORAGE	33,285	33,285	
160	TEMPORARY LODGING EXPENSE	102,111	102,111	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 5	1,511,032	1,511,032	
	ACTIVITY 6: OTHER MILITARY PERSONNEL COSTS			
170	APPREHENSION OF MILITARY DESERTERS	26	26	
175	INTEREST ON UNIFORMED SERVICES SAVINGS	1,739	1,739	
180	DEATH GRATUITIES	19,800	19,800	
185 195	UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS EDUCATION BENEFITS	24,070	24,070	
200	ADOPTION EXPENSES	407	407	
210	TRANSPORTATION SUBSIDY	6,850	6,850	
215	PARTIAL DISLOCATION ALLOWANCE	14,784	14,784	
216	SGLI EXTRA HAZARD PAYMENTS	3,741	3,741	
217	RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS [ROTC]	39,621	39,621	
218	JUNIOR ROTC	21,922	21,922	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 6	132,960	132,960	
	LESS REIMBURSABLES	- 501,599	- 501,599	
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT		- 371,024	- 371,02
	TOTAL, TITLE I, MILITARY PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE	37,153,395	36,782,371	- 371,02
300	HEALTH CARE CONTRIBUTION—OFFICERS	426,868	426,868	
300	HEALTH CARE CONTRIBUTION—ENLISTED	1,765,213	1,765,213	

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	TOTAL, TRICARE ACCRUAL PAYMENTS (PERMANENT, INDEFINITE AUTHORITY)(PUBLIC LAW 108-375)	2,192,081	2,192,081	
	TOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE	39,345,476	38,974,452	- 371,024

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
UNDIST UNDIST UNDIST	Undistributed adjustment: Underexecution of strength Undistributed adjustment: Unjustified growthProgram increase: Implementation of FY 2025 National Defense Authorization Act junior enlisted pay in-		- 363,358 - 33,666	- 363,358 - 33,666
	crease		26,000	+ 26,000

## MILITARY PERSONNEL, SPACE FORCE

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,273,037,000. This is \$37,810,000 below the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	MILITARY PERSONNEL, SPACE FORCE			
	ACTIVITY 1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS			
5 10 11 25 30 35 40	BASIC PAY	468,524 124,218 6,409 113,880 18,291 88 1,837	468,524 124,218 6,409 113,880 18,291 88 1,837	
45 50	SEPARATION PAY	3,084 3,208	3,084 3,208	
55	SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	35,788	35,788	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	775,327	775,327	
	ACTIVITY 2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
60 65 66 80	BASIC PAY RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL THRIFT SAVINGS PLAN MATCHING CONTRIBUTIONS BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	247,027 65,087 3,134 83,682	247,027 65,087 3,134 83,682	

39

[III tilodadida vi dvilata]					
Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate	
85 90 95 100 105	INCENTIVE PAYS SPECIAL PAYS ALLOWANCES SEPARATION PAY SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	7 31,178 10,669 2,645 18,898	7 31,178 10,669 2,645 18,898		
100	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2	462,327	462,327		
	ACTIVITY 4: SUBSISTENCE OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL				
115	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCEACTIVITY 5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION TRAVEL	27,791	27,791		
125 130 135 140 145 150 155	ACCESSION TRAVEL TRAINING TRAVEL OPERATIONAL TRAVEL ROTATIONAL TRAVEL SEPARATION TRAVEL TRAVEL OF ORGANIZED UNITS NON-TEMPORARY STORAGE TEMPORARY LODGING EXPENSE	4,397 5,699 17,573 6,245 5,194 141 1,329 2,371	4,397 5,699 17,573 6,245 5,194 141 1,329 2,371		
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 5	42,949	42,949		
180 185 200 210 215 216	ACTIVITY 6: OTHER MILITARY PERSONNEL COST  DEATH GRATUITIES	300 738 17 858 784 56	300 738 17 858 784 56		
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 6	2,753	2,753		
	LESS REIMBURSABLES	-300	- 300 - 37,810	- 37,810	
	TOTAL, TITLE I, MILITARY PERSONNEL, SPACE FORCE	1,310,847	1,273,037	- 37,810	
300 300	HEALTH CARE CONTRIBUTION—OFFICERS	32,009 35,054	32,009 35,054		
	TOTAL, TRICARE ACCRUAL PAYMENTS (PERMANENT, INDEFINITE AUTHORITY)(PUBLIC LAW 108-375)	67,063	67,063		
	TOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL, SPACE FORCE	1,377,910	1,340,100	- 37,810	

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

## The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
UNDIST	Undistributed adjustment: Unjustified growth		- 3,900	- 3,900
UNDIST	Undistributed adjustment: Underexecution of strength		- 33,910	- 33,910

## RESERVE PERSONNEL, ARMY

Budget estimate, 2025	\$5,553,278,000
Committee recommendation	5,457,830,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$5,457,830,000. This is \$95,448,000 below the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	RESERVE PERSONNEL, ARMY			
	ACTIVITY 1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT			
10	PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS & DRILLS 24/48)	1,698,087	1,698,087	
20	PAY GROUP B TRAINING (BACKFILL FOR ACTIVE DUTY)	57,762	57,762	
30	PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS)	239,547	239,547	
40	PAY GROUP P TRAINING (PIPELINE RECRUITS)	5,694	5,694	
60	MOBILIZATION TRAINING	2,625	2,625	
70	SCHOOL TRAINING	215,227	215,227	
80	SPECIAL TRAINING	336,490	336,490	
90	ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT	2,840,323	2,840,323	
94	THRIFT SAVINGS PLAN MATCHING CONTRIBUTIONS	25,280	25,280	
100	EDUCATION BENEFITS	9,657	9,657	
120	HEALTH PROFESSION SCHOLARSHIP	74,729	74,729	
130	OTHER PROGRAMS (ADMIN & SUPPORT)	47,857	47,857	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	5,553,278	5,553,278	
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT		- 95,448	- 95,448
	TOTAL, TITLE I, RESERVE PERSONNEL, ARMY	5,553,278	5,457,830	- 95,448
300	HEALTH CARE CONTRIBUTION—RESERVE COMPONENT	511,378	511,378	
	TOTAL, TRICARE ACCRUAL PAYMENTS (PERMA- NENT, INDEFINITE AUTHORITY)(PUBLIC LAW			
	108–375)	511,378	511,378	
	TOTAL, RESERVE PERSONNEL, ARMY	6,064,656	5,969,208	- 95,448

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
UNDIST UNDIST	Undistributed adjustment: Unjustified growth Program increase: Implementation of FY 2025 National Defense Authorization Act junior enlisted pay in-		— 99,448	- 99,448
	crease		4,000	+4,000

## RESERVE PERSONNEL, NAVY

Budget estimate, 2025	\$2,607,620,000
Committee recommendation	2,544,945,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$2,544,945,000. This is \$62,675,000 below the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	RESERVE PERSONNEL, NAVY			
	ACTIVITY 1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUP- PORT			
10 20 30 60 70 80 90 94 100	PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS & DRILLS 24/48) PAY GROUP B TRAINING (BACKFILL FOR ACTIVE DUTY) PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS) MOBILIZATION TRAINING SCHOOL TRAINING SPECIAL TRAINING	837,218 11,552 40,802 18,893 78,600 162,198 1,385,991 10,043 290	837,218 11,552 40,802 18,893 78,600 162,198 1,385,991 10,043 290	
120	HEALTH PROFESSION SCHOLARSHIP	62,033	62,033	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	2,607,620	2,607,620	
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT		- 62,675	<b>- 62,675</b>
	TOTAL, TITLE I, RESERVE PERSONNEL, NAVY	2,607,620	2,544,945	- 62,675
300	HEALTH CARE CONTRIBUTION—RESERVE COMPONENT	187,400	187,400	
	TOTAL, TRICARE ACCRUAL PAYMENTS (PERMANENT, INDEFINITE AUTHORITY)(PUBLIC LAW 108-375)	187,400	187,400	
	TOTAL, RESERVE PERSONNEL, NAVY	2,795,020	2,732,345	- 62,675

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
UNDIST UNDIST	Undistributed adjustment: Underexecution of strength Program increase: Implementation of FY 2025 National Defense Authorization Act junior enlisted pay in-		- 66,675	- 66,675
	crease		4,000	+4,000

## RESERVE PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS

Budget estimate, 2025	\$938,748,000
Committee recommendation	936,225,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$936,225,000. This is \$2,523,000 below the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	RESERVE PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS			
	ACTIVITY 1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUP- PORT			
10	PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS & DRILLS 24/48)	292,114	292,114	
20	PAY GROUP B TRAINING (BACKFILL FOR ACTIVE DUTY)	46,242	46,242	
30	PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS)	109,606	109,606	
60	MOBILIZATION TRAINING	1,347	1,347	
70	SCHOOL TRAINING	30,539	30,539	
80	SPECIAL TRAINING	66,252	66,252	
90	ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT	372,805	372,805	
94	THRIFT SAVINGS PLAN MATCHING CONTRIBUTIONS	8,886	8,886	
95	PLATOON LEADER CLASS	8,726	8,726	
100	EDUCATION BENEFITS	2,231	2,231	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	938,748	938,748	
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT		- 2,523	- 2,523
	TOTAL, TITLE I, RESERVE PERSONNEL, MARINE	020.740	000 005	0.500
	CORPS	938,748	936,225	- 2,523
300	HEALTH CARE CONTRIBUTION—RESERVE COMPONENT	92,828	92,828	
	TOTAL, TRICARE ACCRUAL PAYMENTS (PERMA- NENT, INDEFINITE AUTHORITY)(PUBLIC LAW			
	108–375)	92,828	92,828	
	TOTAL, RESERVE PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS	1,031,576	1,029,053	- 2,523

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
UNDIST UNDIST	Undistributed adjustment: Historical unobligated balances		- 5,523	- 5,523
	Defense Authorization Act junior enlisted pay increase		3,000	+ 3,000

## RESERVE PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE

Budget estimate, 2025	\$2,639,924,000
Committee recommendation	2,556,924,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$2,556,924,000. This is \$83,000,000 below the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	RESERVE PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE			
	ACTIVITY 1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUP- PORT			
10	PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS & DRILLS 24/48)	773,440	773,440	
20	PAY GROUP B TRAINING (BACKFILL FOR ACTIVE DUTY)	112,760	112,760	
30	PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS)	52,126	52,126	
40	PAY GROUP P TRAINING (PIPELINE RECRUITS)	3,212	3,212	
60	MOBILIZATION TRAINING	335	335	
70	SCHOOL TRAINING	223,400	223,400	
80	SPECIAL TRAINING	389,233	389,233	
90	ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT	999,817	999,817	
94	THRIFT SAVINGS PLAN MATCHING CONTRIBUTIONS	10,907	10,907	
100	EDUCATION BENEFITS	14,600	14,600	
120	HEALTH PROFESSION SCHOLARSHIP	59,702	59,702	
130	OTHER PROGRAMS (ADMIN & SUPPORT)	392	392	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	2,639,924	2,639,924	
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT		- 83,000	- 83,000
	TOTAL, TITLE I, RESERVE PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE	2,639,924	2,556,924	- 83,000
300	HEALTH CARE CONTRIBUTION—RESERVE COMPONENT	196,363	196,363	
	TOTAL, TRICARE ACCRUAL PAYMENTS (PERMA- NENT, INDEFINITE AUTHORITY)(PUBLIC LAW 108-375)	196,363	196,363	
	TOTAL, RESERVE PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE	2,836,287	2,753,287	- 83,000

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
UNDIST UNDIST	Undistributed adjustment: Unjustified growth Program increase: Implementation of FY 2025 National Defense Authorization Act junior enlisted pay in-		- 84,000	- 84,000
	crease		1,000	+1,000

## NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, ARMY

 Budget estimate, 2025
 \$9,936,760,000

 Committee recommendation
 9,909,645,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$9,909,645,000. This is \$27,115,000 below the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, ARMY			
	ACTIVITY 1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUP- PORT			
10 30 40	PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS & DRILLS 24/48) PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS)	2,875,688 600,719 62,762	2,875,688 600,719 62,762	
70	SCHOOL TRAINING	532,632	533,132	+ 500
80	SPECIAL TRAINING	859,161	884,399	+ 25,238
90	ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT	4,926,256	4,926,256	
94	THRIFT SAVINGS PLAN MATCHING CONTRIBUTIONS	39,418	39,418	
100	EDUCATION BENEFITS	40,124	40,124	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	9,936,760	9,962,498	+ 25,738
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT		- 52,853	- 52,853
	TOTAL, TITLE I, NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, ARMY	9,936,760	9,909,645	- 27,115
300	HEALTH CARE CONTRIBUTION—RESERVE COMPONENT	953,525	953,525	
	TOTAL, TRICARE ACCRUAL PAYMENTS (PERMA- NENT, INDEFINITE AUTHORITY)(PUBLIC LAW			
	108–375)	953,525	953,525	
	TOTAL, NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, ARMY	10,890,285	10,863,170	- 27,115

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
070	School Training	532,632	533,132	+ 500
	Program increase: Army Mountain Warfare School			+ 500
080	Special Training	859.161	884.399	+ 25.238
	Program increase: Advanced trauma and public		,,,,,	
	health direct training services			+ 2.733
	Program increase: Exercise Northern Strike	l		+ 8.925
	Program increase: Irregular warfare training exer-			
	cises			+ 3,500
	Program increase: Mobile Armed Forces advanced			,
	trauma training			+ 750
	Program increase: State Partnership Program			+ 830
	Program increase: Wildfire training			+ 8,500
UNDIST	Undistributed adjustment: Unjustified growth		- 57,658	- 57,658
UNDIST	Undistributed adjustment: Excess to need		- 5.195	- 5.195
UNDIST	Program increase: Implementation of FY 2025 Na-		.,	
	tional Defense Authorization Act junior enlisted			
	pay increase		10,000	+ 10,000
	1	l	l '	1

## NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE

Budget estimate, 2025	\$5,397,298,000
Committee recommendation	5,285,794,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$5,285,794,000. This is \$111,504,000 below the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

ſΙn	thousands	٥f	441	laral

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE			
	ACTIVITY 1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUP- PORT			
10 30 40 70 80 90 94	PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS & DRILLS 24/48) PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS) PAY GROUP P TRAINING (PIPELINE RECRUITS) SCHOOL TRAINING SPECIAL TRAINING ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT THRIFT SAVINGS PLAN MATCHING CONTRIBUTIONS	1,163,924 78,601 4,947 361,790 268,601 3,475,160 28,779	1,163,924 78,601 4,947 361,790 277,275 3,475,160 28,779	+ 8,674
100	EDUCATION BENEFITS	15,496	15,496	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	5,397,298	5,405,972	+ 8,674
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT		- 120,178	- 120,178
	TOTAL, TITLE I, NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE	5,397,298	5,285,794	- 111,504
300	HEALTH CARE CONTRIBUTION—RESERVE COMPONENT	384,233	384,233	
	TOTAL, TRICARE ACCRUAL PAYMENTS (PERMA- NENT, INDEFINITE AUTHORITY)(PUBLIC LAW 108-375)	384,233	384,233	
	TOTAL, NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE	5,781,531	5,670,027	- 111,504

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
080	Special Training Program increase: Advanced trauma and public	268,601	277,275	+ 8,674
	health direct training services			+ 3,202
	Program increase: Exercise Northern Strike			+ 2,100
	Program increase: Mobile Armed Forces advanced			
	trauma training			+ 750
	Program increase: State Partnership Program			+ 622
	Program increase: Wildfire training			+ 2,000
UNDIST	Undistributed adjustment: Underexecution of			
	strength	l	- 150,778	- 150,778

46

Line	Item	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
UNDIST	Program increase: Implementation of FY 2025 Na- tional Defense Authorization Act junior enlisted			
UNDIST	pay increase Program increase: Pay and allowances for Air Na-		3,000	+ 3,000
ONDIO	tional Guard personnel on full-time duty		27,600	+ 27,600

#### TITLE II

## OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

Funds appropriated under this title provide the resources required to prepare for combat operations and other peace time missions. These funds are used to purchase fuel and spare parts for training operations, pay supporting civilian personnel, and purchase supplies, equipment, and service contracts for the repair of weapons and facilities.

The President's fiscal year 2025 budget requests a total of \$296,334,504,000 for operation and maintenance appropriations.

#### SUMMARY OF COMMITTEE ACTION

The Committee recommends operation and maintenance appropriations totaling \$300,599,339,000 for fiscal year 2025, of which \$4,812,016,000 is designated as being for an emergency requirement pursuant to section 251(b)(2)(A)(i) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985. This is \$4,264,835,000 above the budget estimate.

Committee recommended operation and maintenance appropriations for fiscal year 2025 are summarized below:

#### SUMMARY OF OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE APPROPRIATIONS

Account	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
Operation and Maintenance:			
Operation and Maintenance, Army	59,152,479	60,023,592	+871,113
Operation and Maintenance, Army (emergency)		(774,338)	(+774,338)
Operation and Maintenance, Navy	75,022,582	75,941,291	+ 918,709
Operation and Maintenance, Navy (emergency)		(1,009,082)	(+1,009,082)
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps	10,562,804	11,215,984	+653,180
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps (emergency)		(585,865)	(+585,865)
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force	64,617,734	66,952,360	+2,334,626
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force (emergency)		(2,441,731)	(+2,441,731)
Operation and Maintenance, Space Force	5,292,272	5,228,537	-63,735
Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide	54,175,850	53,638,689	-537,161
Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide (emergency)		(1,000)	(+1,000)
Counter-ISIS Train and Equip Fund [CTEF]	528,699	528,699	
Operation and Maintenance, Army Reserve	3,360,777	3,355,777	-5,000
Operation and Maintenance, Navy Reserve	1,341,662	1,335,162	-6,500
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve	338,080	340,580	+2,500
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force Reserve	4,173,796	4,120,296	-53,500
Operation and Maintenance, Army National Guard	8,646,145	8,609,258	-36,887
Operation and Maintenance, Air National Guard	7,403,771	7,401,081	-2,690
United States Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces	21,035	21,035	
Environmental Restoration, Army	268,069	323,069	+55,000
Environmental Restoration, Navy	343,591	343,591	
Environmental Restoration, Air Force	320,256	372,524	+52,268
Environmental Restoration, Defense-Wide	8,800	9,480	+680
Environmental Restoration, Formerly Used Defense Sites	234,475	257,207	+22,732
Overseas Humanitarian, Disaster, and Civic Aid	115,335	115,335	

## SUMMARY OF OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE APPROPRIATIONS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Account	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
Cooperative Threat Reduction Account	350,116	350,116	
count	56,176	115,676	+ 59,500
Total	296,334,504	300,599,339	+ 4,264,835
Total (emergency)		(4,812,016)	(+4,812,016)

## REPROGRAMMING GUIDANCE FOR OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE ACCOUNTS

The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to submit the Base for Reprogramming (DD Form 1414) for each of the fiscal year 2025 appropriation accounts not later than 60 days after the enactment of this act. The Secretary of Defense is prohibited from executing any reprogramming or transfer of funds for any purpose other than originally appropriated until the aforementioned report is submitted to the House and Senate Appropriations Committees. The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to use the nor-

The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to use the normal prior approval reprogramming procedures to transfer funds in the services' operation and maintenance accounts between O-1 budget activities, or between subactivity groups in the case of Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide, in excess of \$15,000,000. In addition, the Secretary of Defense shall follow prior approval reprogramming procedures for transfers in excess of \$15,000,000 out of the following readiness activity groups or sub-activity groups:

#### Army:

**Activity Group 11 Land Forces** 

Activity Group 12 Land Forces Readiness

Activity Group 13 Land Forces Readiness Support

Activity Group 32 Base Skill and Advanced Training

#### Navy:

**Activity Group 1A Air Operations** 

Activity Group 1B Ship Operations

Activity Group 1C Combat Operations/Support

Activity Group BS Base Support

## Marine Corps:

Activity Group 1A Expeditionary Forces

Activity Group BS Base Support

#### Air Force:

Activity Group "Air Operations", which includes Sub-activity Groups 011A Primary Combat Force and 011C Combat Enhancement Forces

Activity Group "Weapons Systems Sustainment", which includes Sub-activity groups 011M Depot Purchase Equipment Maintenance, 011V Cyberspace Sustainment, and 011W Contractor Logistics Support and System Support Activity Group "Installations", which includes Sub-activity groups 011R Facilities Sustainment, Restoration, and Modernization, and 011Z Base Support

Activity Group "Flying Hours", which is only Sub-activity group 011Y Flying Hour Program

Space Force:

Sub-activity Group 012A Global C3I & Early Warning

Sub-activity Group 013C Space Operations

Sub-activity Group 013W Contractor Logistics Support and

System Support

Sub-activity Group 042A Administration

Air Force Reserve:

Sub-activity Group 011A Primary Combat Forces

Air National Guard:

Activity Group "Flying Hours", which is only sub-activity Group 011F Aircraft Operations

Activity Group "Weapons System Sustainment", which includes Sub-activity groups 011M Depot Purchase Equipment Maintenance and 011W Contractor Logistics Support and System Support

Additionally, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to use normal prior approval reprogramming procedures when implementing transfers in excess of \$15,000,000 into the following budget sub-activity groups:

Army National Guard:

Sub-activity Group 131 Base Operations Support

Sub-activity Group 132 Facilities Sustainment, Restora-

tion, and Modernization

Sub-activity Group 133 Management and Operational Headquarters

#### REPROGRAMMING GUIDANCE FOR SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND

The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to submit a baseline report that shows the United States Special Operations Command's operation and maintenance funding by sub-activity group for the fiscal year 2025 appropriation, not later than 60 days after the enactment of this act. The Secretary of Defense is further directed to submit quarterly execution reports to the congressional defense committees not later than 45 days after the end of each fiscal quarter that addresses the rationale for the realignment of any funds within and between budget sub-activities. Finally, the Secretary of Defense is directed to notify the congressional defense committees 30 days prior to the realignment of funds in excess of \$15,000,000 between sub-activity groups.

#### OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE BUDGET EXECUTION DATA

The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense and Service Secretaries to continue to provide the congressional defense committees with quarterly budget execution data. Such data should be provided not later than 45 days after the close of each quarter of the fiscal year, and should be provided for each O-1 budget activity, activity group, and sub-activity group for each of the active, defense-wide, reserve, and National Guard components. For each O-1 budget activity, activity group, and sub-activity group, these reports should include the budget request and actual obligation amount, the distribution of unallocated congressional adjustments

to the budget request, all adjustments made by the Department in establishing the Base for Reprogramming (DD Form 1414) report, all adjustments resulting from below threshold reprogrammings, and all adjustments resulting from prior approval reprogramming requests.

#### OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE SPECIAL INTEREST ITEMS

Items for which additional funds have been provided or have been specifically reduced as shown in the project level tables or in paragraphs using the phrase "only for" or "only to" in the Committee report are congressional special interest items for the purpose of the Base for Reprogramming (DD Form 1414). Each of these items must be carried on the DD Form 1414 at the stated amount as specifically addressed in the Committee report. Below threshold reprogrammings may not be used to either restore or reduce funding from congressional special interest items as identified on the DD Form 1414.

#### OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE OVERVIEW

Civilian Workforce.—The Committee expects the Department of Defense to maintain a stable, effective, and right-sized civilian cadre. The Committee further expects the hiring process to be responsive and efficient in order to build the workforce needed to achieve its mission and strategic goals. The Committee recognizes the critical role that the civilian workforce plays every day in en-

suring the mission success of the Armed Forces.

Child Development Center Abuse Investigation.—The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to initiate an independent review of the Department of Defense's efforts to respond to and report child abuse at Child Development Centers [CDC] not later than 60 days after enactment of this act. The Committee further directs that this review be conducted by an entity independent of the Department of Defense. This review shall evaluate how the Department of Defense: (1) takes proactive measures and accountability while promoting transparency; (2) administers victim support, promotes awareness, and identifies abuse; (3) communicates to families; and (4) manages investigations. This review shall also identify and evaluate completed and ongoing reforms undertaken by the Department of Defense to improve these areas of effort and make recommendations for additional reforms that should be implemented to close remaining gaps. A report on the independent review's findings and recommendations shall be provided to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate not later than 210 days of the date the review commences. In addition, the Committee directs the Department of Defense Inspector General to conduct an investigation into referred reports of child abuse at the Ford Island Child Development Center near Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam and brief the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate of its findings.

Deployable Expeditionary Fuel System.—The Committee recognizes the dynamic requirements associated with fuel distribution in contested environments, particularly in the Indo-Pacific region. Therefore, the Committee encourages the Service Secretaries to

seek solutions that are flexible, scalable, and modular, such as a deployable expeditionary fuel system. Key innovative and cost-effective approaches may include elements such as mobile infrastructure for storing, distributing, and dispensing fuel in the field; fuel quantity, quality, and safety monitoring; and on-system repair and

maintenance capability.

United States-Japan Alliance and Exercises in the Indo-Pacific Theater.—The Committee reaffirms that the United States-Japan alliance has served as a cornerstone of peace, security, and prosperity in the Indo-Pacific for over six decades. The Committee believes that military exercises in the Indo-Pacific are key to advancing bilateral goals, and the Committee notes that concrete metrics are important for both Congress and the Department of Defense in

making informed policy decisions.

Accordingly, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a report to the congressional defense committees, not later than 90 days after the enactment of this act, detailing how exercises in the Indo-Pacific theater that utilize Joint Exercise Life Cycle [JELC] methodology and include participation of Japanese Self Defense Forces [JSDF]: (1) promote the modernization of the U.S.-Japan alliance, including enhanced technological capabilities to increase deterrence, (2) expand U.S.-Japan alliance partnerships such as increasing multilateral training and exercises with Australia, South Korea, and other security partners to enhance interoperability, and (3) optimize U.S.-Japan alliance posture by supporting improved operational concepts and enhanced capabilities to address security challenges in the region, including the defense of the Southwestern islands of Japan. The report shall further detail how the JELC exercises that include the JSDF adhere to goals outlined in the January 11, 2023 Joint Statement of the U.S.-Japan Security Consultative Committee (2+2).

Artificial Intelligence for Military Housing Inspections.—The Committee notes the use of artificial intelligence to examine aerial imagery of housing and analyze probable weather events as a potential method to conduct cost-effective and efficient inspections of military housing conditions. The Committee encourages the Service Secretaries to explore ways to improve inspections of their housing portfolios, including by exploring the feasibility of conducting housing inspections that utilize aerial imagery and probable weather

analysis.

Arctic Consortium Requirements.—The Committee recognizes that the Arctic region presents geopolitical challenges and opportunities affecting national security interests and is supportive of efforts to better understand the emerging needs for enhanced operations in the Arctic region. The Committee encourages the Secretary of Defense to seek opportunities to partner with interagency organizations, the Center for Arctic Security and Resiliency, and the Joint All Domain Weather Operations Center, to coordinate Federal agency planning for Arctic operations.

Addressing Sexual Assault.—The Committee continues to support the Department of Defense's efforts to eradicate the crime of sexual assault and implement the recommendations of the Independent Review Commission on Sexual Assault in the Military. The Committee recommends \$47,000,000 above the budget request for the

Department of Defense to continue the Special Victims' Counsel

Program.

Military Family Life Counseling.—Military servicemembers continually confront unique family life challenges. Military and Family Life Counselors [MFLCs] provide critical support for servicemembers and their families to deal with stressors such as post-deployment adjustment, conflict resolution and anxiety, parenting support, marital issues, and dealing with loss. The Committee encourage the Service Secretaries to prioritize MFLC hiring initiatives, including those with financial incentives, to fill vacant positions and retain experienced counselors across the country.

Environmental Restoration Program Transparency.—The Committee recommends an increase of \$130,680,000 for the Military Munitions Response Program and per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances remediation in the environmental restoration accounts. The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense and the Service Secretaries to provide a report on Environmental Restoration Program implementation to the congressional defense committees not later than 90 days after enactment of this act. The report shall include an explanation of the evaluation processes and criteria, and a spend plan for account activities along with project location, funding history, and total cost. In addition, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense and the Service Secretaries to provide quarterly budget execution briefings to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and Senate not later than 45 days after the enactment of this act.

### OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY

Budget estimate, 2025	\$59,152,479,000
Committee recommendation	60.023.592.000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$60,023,592,000, of which \$774,338,000 is designated as being for an emergency requirement pursuant to section 251(b)(2)(A)(i) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985. This is \$871,113,000 above the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY			
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
	LAND FORCES			
10 10 20 30 40	MANEUVER UNITS MANEUVER UNITS (emergency) MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADES THEATER LEVEL ASSETS	3,536,069 216,575 829,985 2,570,467	3,698,069 (220,000) 202,575 789,985 2,492,467	+ 162,000 (+ 220,000) - 14,000 - 40,000 - 78,000
50 60	LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT AVIATION ASSETS	1,185,211 1,955,482	1,159,211 1,935,482	- 26,000 - 20,000

53

[In thousands of dollars]				
Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	LAND FORCES READINESS			
70 80 90 90	FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT	7,150,264 533,892 1,220,407	7,083,264 533,892 1,250,657 (30,250)	- 67,000 
100	MEDICAL READINESS	931,137	874,457	- 50,000
110 120 120	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT	10,482,544 5,231,918	10,420,044 6,090,518	- 62,500 + 858,600
130 140 150	(emergency)  MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONAL HEADQUARTERS  ADDITIONAL ACTIVITIES  RESET	309,674 303,660 319,873	(295,600) 309,674 303,660 319,873	(+295,600)
	COMBATANT COMMAND SUPPORT			
160 170 180 190	US AFRICA COMMAND	430,724 326,399 255,639 71,826	432,274 327,459 261,104 71,826	+ 1,550 + 1,060 + 5,465
	CYBERSPACE ACTIVITIES			
200 210	CYBERSPACE ACTIVITIES—CYBERSPACE OPERATIONS	422,561 597,021	422,561 604,021	+ 7,000
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	38,881,328	39,583,073	+ 701,745
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 2: MOBILIZATION			
	MOBILITY OPERATIONS			
230 240 250	STRATEGIC MOBILITY ARMY PREPOSITIONED STOCKS INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS	567,351 405,747 4,298	567,351 420,747 4,298	+15,000
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2	977,396	992,396	+ 15,000
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 3: TRAINING AND RECRUITING			
260 270 280 290	ACCESSION TRAINING  OFFICER ACQUISITION	200,754 72,829 92,762 557,478	200,754 72,829 92,762 558,328	+ 850
	BASIC SKILL AND ADVANCED TRAINING			
300 310 320 330	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING	1,064,113 1,418,987 214,497 633,316	1,036,113 1,418,987 214,497 624,816	- 28,000 
	RECRUITING AND OTHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION			
340 350 360 370 380	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING EXAMINING OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING JUNIOR RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS	785,440 205,072 245,880 246,460 206,700	785,440 205,072 245,880 246,460 206,700	
300	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 3			
	IUIAL, DUDGET ACTIVITY 3	5,944,288	5,908,638	- 35,650

54

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
	LOGISTICS OPERATIONS			
400 410 420 430	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION CENTRAL SUPPLY ACTIVITIES LOGISTICS SUPPORT ACTIVITIES AMMUNITION MANAGEMENT	785,233 926,136 738,637 411,213	785,233 926,136 738,637 411,213	
	SERVICEWIDE SUPPORT			
440 450 460 470 480 490 500 510 520	ADMINISTRATION SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS MANPOWER MANAGEMENT OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT OTHER SERVICE SUPPORT ARMY CLAIMS ACTIVITIES REAL ESTATE MANAGEMENT FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT AND AUDIT READINESS DEFENSE ACQUISITION WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT ACCOUNT	515,501 2,167,183 375,963 943,764 2,402,405 204,652 305,340 487,742	515,501 2,097,183 375,963 943,764 2,402,405 204,652 300,340 487,742 81,068	- 70,000 - 5,000 + 40,000
	SUPPORT OF OTHER NATIONS			
530 540	INTERNATIONAL MILITARY HEADQUARTERSMISC SUPPORT OF OTHER NATIONS	633,982 34,429	633,982 34,429	
	OTHER PROGRAMS			
999 999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS (emergency)	2,376,219	2,612,207 (228,488)	+ 235,988 ( + 228,488)
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	13,349,467	13,550,455	+ 200,988
	PROJECTED UNDEREXECUTIONPUBLIC LAW 115–68 IMPLEMENTATION		-11,320 350	- 11,320 + 350
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY	59,152,479	60,023,592	+ 871,113
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY (emergency)		(774,338)	(+774,338)

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

# The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
111	Maneuver Units	3,536,069	3,698,069	+ 162,000
	Program decrease unaccounted for			- 33,000
	Unjustified growth			- 25,000
	Program increase: Campaigning-U.S. Army Pacific (emergency)			+ 195,000
	aerial system (emergency)			+ 25,000
112	Modular Support Brigades	216,575	202,575	-14,000
	Unjustified growth			-14,000
113	Echelons Above Brigade	829,985	789,985	-40,000
	Program decrease unaccounted for			-40,000
114	Theater Level Assets	2,570,467	2,492,467	- 78,000
	Program decrease unaccounted for			- 53,000
	Unjustified growth			- 25,000
115	Land Forces Operations Support	1,185,211	1,159,211	- 26,000

55

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimat
	Program decrease unaccounted for			- 26,00
116	Aviation Assets	1,955,482	1,935,482	-20,00
	Program decrease unaccounted for			-20,00
121	Force Readiness Operations Support	7,150,264	7,083,264	− 67,00
	Unjustified growth			- 127,00
	Program increase: Buckeye- High Resolution 3-Dimen-			
	sional (HR3D) Program			+ 15,00
	Program increase: Next Generation Integrated Head			
	Protection System			+ 2,00
	Program increase: Soldier Monitoring System			+ 3,00
	Program increase: Ultra-lightweight camouflage net			
	system increment 1			+ 40,00
123	Land Forces Depot Maintenance	1,220,407	1,250,657	+ 30,25
	Program increase: Missile repair and recertification			
	(emergency)			+ 30,25
124	Medical Readiness	931,137	874,457	- 56,68
	Projected underexecution			- 56,68
131	Base Operations Support	10,482,544	10,420,044	<b>- 62,50</b>
	Unjustified growth			<b>– 70,00</b>
	Program increase: Industrial-focused Charrette			+ 7,50
132	Facilities Sustainment, Restoration & Modernization	5,231,918	6,090,518	+ 858,60
	Program increase: Facility maintenance and repair, to			
	include quality of life projects			+ 550,00
	Program increase: CENTCOM Classified unfunded pri-			· ·
	ority list item 2 (emergency)			+ 295,60
	Program increase: Repair airfield lighting			+ 10,00
	Program increase: Holistic Health and Fitness facili-			.,
	ties			+ 3,00
141	US Africa Command	430.724	432,274	+ 1,55
	Program increase: Title V of division J of Public Law	,	,	, , ,
	116–94			+ 1,00
	Program increase: Public Law 115-68			+ 55
142	US European Command	326,399	327,459	+ 1,06
	Program increase: Public Law 115–68			+ 1,00
143	US Southern Command	255,639	261,104	+ 5,40
	Program increase: Mission Partner Environment		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	+ 4,89
	Program increase: Public Law 115-68			+ 5
153	Cyberspace Activities-Cybersecurity	597,021	604,021	+ 7,00
	Program increase: Secure Digital Modernization Imple-	,	,	,
	mentation			+ 7.00
212	Army Prepositioned Stocks	405,747	420,747	+ 15,00
	Program Increase: Subic Bay		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	+ 15,00
314	Senior Reserve Officers Training Corps	557,478	558,328	+ 8
	Program increase: ROTC helicopter training program			+ 8!
321	Specialized Skill Training	1,064,113	1,036,113	- 28.00
	Unjustified growth		-,,	- 28,0
324	Training Support	633,316	624,816	- 8.50
	Program decrease unaccounted for		02.,010	- 8,50
432	Servicewide Communications	2,167,183	2,097,183	- 70,00
102	Program decrease unaccounted for	2,107,100	2,007,100	- 70,00
437	Real Estate Management	305,340	300,340	- 5,00
+37	Unjustified growth	303,340	300,340	- 10,00
	Program increase: Real estate inventory tool			+ 5,00
43Q	Defense Acquisition Workforce Development Account	41,068	81,068	+ 40,00
+04	Program increase: Acquisition workforce training	,	,	+ 40,00 + 40,00
000		2 276 210	2 612 207	
999	Security Programs	2,376,219	2,612,207	+ 235,9
	Classified adjustment			+ 7,50
NIDIOT	Program increase: High-risk ISR (emergency)		11 200	+ 228,48
NDIST	Projected underexecution		-11,320	- 11,32
NDIST	Program increase: Public Law 115-68 Implementation		350	+ 35

 $Army\ Facilities\ Sustainment,\ Restoration\ and\ Modernization\ and\ Facility\ Reduction\ Funding. — The\ Committee\ recommends\ a\ robust$ 

funding level in fiscal year 2025 for facilities sustainment, restoration, and modernization. The Secretary of the Army is encouraged to use a portion of these funds for the remediation and prevention of mold in military facilities, and the continued demolition of obsolete and condemned military infrastructure.

#### OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY

Budget estimate, 2025	\$75,022,582,000
Committee recommendation	75,941,291,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$75,941,291,000, of which \$1,009,082,000 is designated as being for an emergency requirement pursuant to section 251(b)(2)(A)(i) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985. This is \$918,709,000 above the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

thousands		

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY			
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
	AIR OPERATIONS			
10 20	MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS	6,876,414 2.980.271	6,876,414 2.980,271	
30	AVIATION TECHNICAL DATA AND ENGINEERING SERVICES	2,300,271	2,300,271	
40	AIR OPERATIONS AND SAFETY SUPPORT			
50	AIR SYSTEMS SUPPORT	1,444,564	1,444,564	
60 70	AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE	1,747,475	1,747,475	
80	AVIATION LOGISTICS	2,020,926	2,020,926	
	SHIP OPERATIONS			
90	MISSION AND OTHER SHIP OPERATIONS	7,561,665	7,461,665	- 100,000
100	SHIP OPERATIONS SUPPORT AND TRAINING	1,576,167	1,576,167	
110	SHIP DEPOT MAINTENANCE	12,121,320	12,123,320	+ 2,000
120	SHIP DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT	2,722,849	2,707,849	- 15,000
	COMBAT OPERATIONS/SUPPORT			
130	COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS	1,845,351	1,795,351	- 50,000
140	SPACE SYSTEMS AND SURVEILLANCE	429,851	419,851	-10,000
150	WARFARE TACTICS	1,030,531	1,024,531	-6,000
160	OPERATIONAL METEOROLOGY AND OCEANOGRAPHY	462,111	462,111	
170	COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES	2,430,990	2,368,990	<b>- 62,000</b>
180	EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE AND DEPOT OPERATIONS SUP-			
	PORT	49,520	49,520	
200	COMBATANT COMMANDERS CORE OPERATIONS	93,949	93,949	
210	COMBATANT COMMANDERS DIRECT MISSION SUPPORT	395,278	605,028	+ 209,750
210	COMBATANT COMMANDERS DIRECT MISSION SUPPORT		(000 500)	/ . 200 500
220	(emergency)		(208,500)	
220	I LIDEROLACE ACHINITES	1 3/7,882	1 5//,882	

57

ine	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	WEAPONS SUPPORT			
230 240 240 250	FLEET BALLISTIC MISSILE	1,866,966 1,596,682 785,511	1,866,966 1,669,682 (93,000) 778,754	+ 73,000 ( + 93,000 - 6,757
230	BASE SUPPORT	765,511	770,734	0,73
260 270 270	ENTERPRISE INFORMATION SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION AND MODERNIZATION SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION AND MODERNIZATION (emer-	1,824,127 4,654,449	1,824,127 5,946,324	+ 1,291,87
280 280	gency) BASE OPERATING SUPPORT BASE OPERATING SUPPORT (emergency)	6,324,454	(691,875) 6,276,161 (15,707)	(+691,875 -48,29 (+15,707
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	63,419,303	64,697,878	+ 1,278,57
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 2: MOBILIZATION			
290 300	SHIP PREPOSITIONING AND SURGE	463,722 780,558	463,722 780,558	
310	SHIP ACTIVATIONS/INACTIVATIONS	1,030,030	1,030,030	
	MOBILIZATION PREPARATION	170.000	100 404	10.70
320 330	EXPEDITIONARY HEALTH SERVICES SYSTEMSCOAST GUARD SUPPORT	173,200 21,800	162,434 21,800	— 10,76 
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2	2,469,310	2,458,544	- 10,76
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 3: TRAINING AND RECRUITING			
340	OFFICER ACQUISITION	206,282	208,282	+ 2,00
350 360	RECRUIT TRAINING	18,748 169,044	18,748 169,044	
270	BASIC SKILLS AND ADVANCED TRAINING	1 220 725	1 210 725	20.00
370 380 390	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING	1,236,735 357,317 434,173	1,216,735 357,317 424,173	— 20,00 — 10,00
	RECRUITING AND OTHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION			
400 410	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISINGOFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION	281,107 77,223	281,107 77,223	
420 430	CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING	77,223 73,510 59,649	77,223 73,510 59,649	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 3	2,913,788	2,885,788	- 28,00
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
	SERVICEWIDE SUPPORT			
440	ADMINISTRATION	1,453,465	1,408,465 252,723	- 45,00
450 460 470	MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT MEDICAL ACTIVITIES	252,723 729,351 324,055	689,351 274,655	— 40,00 — 49,40
	LOGISTICS OPERATIONS AND TECHNICAL SUPPORT			
480	DEFENSE ACQUISITION WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT AC-	69,348	109,348	1 10 00
490	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	275,379	275,379	+ 40,00
510 520	PLANNING, ENGINEERING, AND PROGRAM SUPPORT ACQUISITION, LOGISTICS, AND OVERSIGHT	609,648 869,350	609,648 849,350	— 20,00

58

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
530	INVESTIGATIONS AND SECURITY PROGRAMS INVESTIGATIVE AND SECURITY SERVICES	980,857	980,857	
999	OTHER PROGRAMS  CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	656,005	656,005	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	6,220,181	6,105,781	- 114,400
	UNJUSTIFIED GROWTH PROJECTED UNDEREXECUTION 10 USC Sec 2219 PUBLIC LAW 115–68 IMPLEMENTATION		- 150,000 - 62,000 5,000 300	- 150,000 - 62,000 + 5,000 + 300
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY	75,022,582	75,941,291	+ 918,709
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY (emergency)		(1,009,082)	(+1,009,082)

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

## The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1B1B	Mission and Other Ship Operations	7,561,665	7,461,665	- 100,000 - 100.000
1B4B	Ship Depot Maintenance	12,121,320	12,123,320	+ 2,000
1B5B	Maintenance	2,722,849	2,707,849	+ 2,000 - 15,000 - 15.000
1C1C	Combat Communications and Electronic Warfare	1,845,351	1,795,351	- 50,000 - 50,000
1C3C	Space Systems and Surveillance Unjustified growth	429,851	419,851	- 10,000 - 10,000
1C4C	Warfare Tactics	1,030,531	1,024,531	- 6,000 - 6,000
1C6C	Combat Support Forces	2,430,990	2,368,990	- 62,000 - 42,000
1CCM	Program decrease unaccounted for	395,278	605,028	- 20,000 + 209,750 + 1,250
	Program increase: Campaigning-Special Operations Command Pacific (emergency) Program increase: Campaigning-INDOPACOM Mission			+ 53,000
	Network (emergency)			+ 106,500
1D4D	(emergency)	1,596,682	1,669,682	+ 49,000 + 73,000 - 20,000
	Program increase: Accelerate weapons combat expenditures replacement for SM-2 (emergency)			+ 93,000
1D7D	Other Weapon Systems Support	785,511	778,754	- 6,757 - 6,757
BSM1	Sustainment, Restoration and Modernization Program increase: Facility maintenance and repair, to	4,654,449	5,946,324	+ 1,291,875
	include quality of life projects Program increase: Fund Guam, Repair Glass Break-			+600,000
	water (emergency)		l	+600,000

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Program increase: SIOP (emergency)			+ 91,875
BSS1	Base Operating Support	6,324,454	6,276,161	- 48,293
	Program decrease unaccounted for			- 82,000
	Program increase: Red Hill long-term environmental monitoring, studies, and remediation Program increase: Red Hill strategic community en-			+ 4,000
	gagement			+ 5,000
	Program increase: Sec. 2205 of Public Law 117-263			+ 9,000
	Program increase: SIOP (emergency)			+ 15,707
2C1H	Expeditionary Health Services Systems	173,200	162,434	- 10,766
	Unjustified growth			- 10,766
3A1J	Officer Acquisition	206,282	208,282	+ 2,000
	Program increase: Cross-Cutting E-Health Prevention			
	Programs for the Naval Academy			+ 2,000
3B1K	Specialized Skill Training	1,236,735	1,216,735	-20,000
	Unjustified growth			- 20,000
3B4K	Training Support	434,173	424,173	-10,000
	Unjustified growth	1		-10,000
4A1M	Administration	1,453,465	1,408,465	- 45,000
	Unjustified growth			- 45,000
4A4M	Military Manpower and Personnel Management	729,351	689,351	- 40,000
	Unjustified growth			- 40,000
4A8M	Medical Activities	324,055	274,655	<b>- 49,400</b>
	Unjustified growth			- 49,400
4B1A	Defense Acquisition Workforce Development Account	69,348	109,348	+ 40,000
	Program increase: Acquisition workforce training			+ 40,000
4B3N	Acquisition, Logistics and Oversight	869,350	849,350	- 20,000
	Unjustified growth			- 20,000
UNDIST	Unjustified growth	1	- 150,000	,
UNDIST	Projected underexecution		- 62,000	
UNDIST	Program increase: 10 USC Sec. 2219		5,000	+ 5,000
UNDIST	Program increase: Public Law 115–68 Implementation		300	+ 300

Naval Shipyard Apprentice Program.—The Committee directs that during fiscal year 2025, the Navy shall induct classes of no fewer than 100 apprentices, respectively, at each of the naval shipyards. The Committee further directs the Navy to include the costs of the fiscal year 2026 class of apprentices in its budget request.

U.S. Coast Guard.—The Committee is aware that Department of Defense [DoD] regulations currently restrict DoD mission appropriated funded activities from offering reimbursable rates to non-DoD agencies. This restriction forces the Navy to charge the U.S. Coast Guard fully burdened rates for drydocking services at Navy shipyards rather than reimbursable rates. Therefore, the Committee directs that funds appropriated under Operation and Maintenance, Navy, may be used to pay overhead costs incurred by a Naval Shipyard when drydocking U.S. Coast Guard ships.

Strategic Seaport Program.—The Committee notes that strategic seaports designated under the Strategic Seaport Program [SSP] are critical transportation hubs necessary for United States military readiness and cargo handling capacity. The Committee directs the Comptroller General of the United States to provide a report to the House and Senate Appropriations Committees, not later than 270 days after the enactment of this act, on the programs and efforts of the Department of Defense related to the readiness of the ports as affected by dredging capacity to complete harbor and channel dredging. This shall include identification of dredging work, by sea-

port, required to ensure deep water access; review of domestic dredging industrial capacity to complete the identified dredging; assessment of time required to complete outstanding dredging work in SSP ports; and what Federal policies, including contracting policies, can be implemented to support domestic manufacturers of critical components used in the manufacture of domestic dredger vessels. The report shall be completed in consultation with the National Port Readiness Network, the domestic dredging industry, and domestic critical component manufacturers. For purposes of this paragraph critical components shall include cranes, spring couplings, torque limiters, diesel engine clutches, clutch couplings, wet brakes, and combination gearbox, and such other items as determined by the agency.

Advanced Foam Engine Performance and Restoration Program.—Advanced nucleated foam engine restoration technology continues to demonstrate significant benefits over legacy water and detergent engine wash protocols, improving the long-term readiness, efficiency, and sustainability of critical military aircraft engines, while reducing fuel consumption and emissions. The Committee encourages broader use of nucleated foam engine wash testing across

Naval aviation platforms.

Emerging Technology to Improve Ship Maintenance.—The Committee notes that new technology presents opportunities to improve ship maintenance efforts. Robotic data collection and digital twins have a proven track record in industry and at multiple regional maintenance centers. The use of small drones may support preventative maintenance efforts through timely inspections while underway. The Committee encourages the Secretary of the Navy to expand the use of emerging technology such as small drones, robots, and digital twins to perform preventative maintenance, replace manual inspections, and reduce repair times.

Red Hill Ecosystem Monitoring.—The Committee recognizes that the ecosystem in and around the Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility must remain free from any fuel contaminants. Therefore, the Secretary of the Navy is encouraged to prioritize monitoring the Halawa Stream ecosystem, nearby surface water of all types, and the physical habitat of the estuaries of Pearl Harbor, Mamala Bay,

and the marine environment along the nearby ocean shore.

Advanced Polymer Coating and Paint for Ships.—The Committee notes that maintaining the exterior of paint is vital to the readiness of the US. Naval Fleet. The Committee encourages the Secretary of the Navy to look into new and emerging paint and polymer coatings that can extend the time between when ships need to be repainted while being lighter, anticorrosive, flexible, self-lev-

eling, and can be applied directly to rust.

Multi-Mission Dry Dock.—The Shipyard Infrastructure and Optimization Program [SIOP] focuses on the modernization and optimization of the Navy's four public shipyards. Near-term SIOP investments are required to recapitalize facilities and address deficiencies in dry dock capacity. The Committee directs the Secretary of the Navy to prioritize plans for a multi-mission dry dock that will be able to serve the Navy's current and future classes of submarines and aircraft carriers, including Gerald R. Ford-class aircraft carriers, Virginia-class, and Columbia-class submarines.

Invasive Octocoral Study, Eradication, and Monitoring.—The Committee notes that the Department of the Navy identified Unomia stolonifera, an invasive soft coral, at Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam [JBPHH] in 2021, and further notes the potential operational impact on the Navy. The Committee encourages the Secretary of the Navy to support this critical natural infrastructure from threats such as invasive marine species by partnering with non-Federal coastal managers. The Committee further encourages the Secretary of the Navy to use appropriate operation and maintenance funding and programs such as Readiness and Environmental Protection Integration Program to undertake conservation and management of coral reef ecosystems in proximity to its coastal installations.

## OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS

Budget estimate, 2025	\$10,562,804,000
Committee recommendation	11,215,984,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$11,215,984,000, of which \$585,865,000 is designated as being for an emergency requirement pursuant to section 251(b)(2)(A)(i) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985. This is \$653,180,000 above the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands o	of dollars]
-----------------	-------------

Line	Item	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS			
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS			
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
	EXPEDITIONARY FORCES			
10 10 20 30	OPERATIONAL FORCES OPERATIONAL FORCES (emergency) FIELD LOGISTICS DEPOT MAINTENANCE	1,848,218 1,990,769 241,350	1,870,718 (47,000) 1,975,769 241,350	+ 22,500 ( + 47,000) - 15,000
	USMC PREPOSITIONING			
40	MARITIME PREPOSITIONING	176,356	156,356	- 20,000
	COMBAT OPERATIONS/SUPPORT			
60	CYBERSPACE ACTIVITIES	271,819	271,819	
	BASE SUPPORT			
70 70	SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	1,304,957	1,926,437	+ 621,480
80	gency) BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	2.025.067	(347,015)	(+347,015)
80 80	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT (emergency)	3,035,867	3,123,867 (119,000)	+ 88,000 (+119,000)
		0.000.000	. , .	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	8,869,336	9,566,316	+ 696,980

62

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 3: TRAINING AND RECRUITING ACCESSION TRAINING			
90 100	RECRUIT TRAINING	26,610 1,418	26,610 1,418	
	BASIC SKILLS AND ADVANCED TRAINING			
110 120 130	SPECIALIZED SKILLS TRAINING PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION TRAINING SUPPORT	128,502 63,208 553,166	128,502 63,208 553,166	
	RECRUITING AND OTHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION			
140 140 150 160	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING (emergency) OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION JUNIOR ROTC	237,077 50,000 30,276	309,927 (72,850) 50,000 30,276	+ 72,850 ( + 72,850)
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 3	1,090,257	1,163,107	+ 72,850
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
	SERVICEWIDE SUPPORT			
180 190	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATIONADMINISTRATION	96,528 442,037	96,528 438,037	— 4,000
	OTHER PROGRAMS			
999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	64,646	64,646	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	603,211	599,211	- 4,000
	PROJECTED UNDEREXECUTIONPROGRAM INCREASE—PUBLIC LAW 115—68 IMPLEMENTA-		-113,000	- 113,000
	TION		350	+ 350
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS	10,562,804	11,215,984	+ 653,180
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS (emergency)		(585,865)	(+585,865)

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

# The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

Line	Item	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1A1A	Operational Forces	1,848,218	1,870,718	+ 22,500
	Early to need			-30,000
	Program increase: Integrated helmet system			+ 5,500
	Program increase: Campaigning-U.S. Marine Corps			
	Forces Pacific (emergency)			+ 47,000
1A2A	Field Logistics	1,990,769	1,975,769	- 15,000
	Unjustified growth			-15,000
1B1B	Maritime Prepositioning	176,356	156,356	- 20,000
	Unjustified growth			-20,000
BSM1	Sustainment, Restoration & Modernization	1,304,957	1,926,437	+ 621,480
	Transfer from PMC line 21 for Barracks 2030			+ 176,465
	Program increase: Barracks 2030 restoration and			
	modernization (emergency)	l	l	+ 54,015

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Program increase: USMC Enterprise-wide facilities modernization (emergency) Program increase: Facility maintenance and repair, to			+ 293,000
	include quality of life projects			+ 98,000
BSS1	Base Operating Support	3,035,867	3,123,867	+ 88,000
	Unjustified growth			-23,000
	Program decrease unaccounted for			- 8,000
	Program increase: Barracks 2030 base operating sup-			
	port (emergency)			+ 119,000
3C1F	Recruiting and Advertising	237,077	309,927	+ 72,850
	Program increase: Advertising (emergency)			+ 72,850
4A4G	Administration	442,037	438,037	-4,000
	Program decrease unaccounted for			-4,000
UNDIST	Projected underexecution		-113,000	-113,000
UNDIST	Program increase: Public Law 115-68 Implementation		350	+ 350

## OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE

Budget estimate, 2025	\$64,617,734,000
Committee recommendation	66.952.360.000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$66,952,360,000, of which \$2,441,731,000 is designated as being for an emergency requirement pursuant to section 251(b)(2)(A)(i) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985. This is \$2,334,626,000 above the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE			
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
	AIR OPERATIONS			
10	PRIMARY COMBAT FORCES	910,849	902,775	
10	PRIMARY COMBAT FORCES (emergency)		(55,926)	
20	COMBAT ENHANCEMENT FORCES	2,631,887		
20	COMBAT ENHANCEMENT FORCES (emergency)			
30	AIR OPERATIONS TRAINING (OJT, MAINTAIN SKILLS)	1,526,855	1,757,155	+ 230,300
30	AIR OPERATIONS TRAINING (OJT, MAINTAIN SKILLS) (emer-		(000 000)	/ . 000 200)
	gency)		(266,300)	
40	DEPOT PURCHASE EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE	4,862,731	4,862,731	
50	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	4,413,268	5,053,268	
60	CYBERSPACE SUSTAINMENT	245,330	253,330	
140	MEDICAL READINESS	567,561		
70	CONTRACTOR LOGISTICS SUPPORT AND SYSTEM SUPPORT	10,100,030	10,038,155	- 61,875
70	CONTRACTOR LOGISTICS SUPPORT AND SYSTEM SUPPORT			
	(emergency)		(34,125)	
80	FLYING HOUR PROGRAM	7,010,770	8,446,770	
80	FLYING HOUR PROGRAM (emergency)		(1,506,000)	
90	BASE SUPPORT	11,449,394	11,357,394	<b>- 92,000</b>
	COMBAT RELATED OPERATIONS			
100	GLOBAL C3I AND EARLY WARNING	1,294,815	1,294,815	

64

- Con-	[III Ullousanius oi uonars]	2025 budget	Committee	Change from
Line	Item	estimate	recommendation	budget estimate
110 120 130	OTHER COMBAT OPS SPT PROGRAMS CYBERSPACE ACTIVITIES TACTICAL INTEL AND OTHER SPECIAL ACTIVITIES	1,840,433 874,283	1,815,433 852,283	- 25,000 - 22,000
	СОСОМ			
160 160 170 190 200	US NORTHCOM/NORAD US NORTHCOM/NORAD (emergency) US STRATCOM US CENTCOM US SOCOM	212,311 524,159 333,250 28,431	248,076 (34,700) 524,409 333,725 29,381	+ 35,765 (+ 34,700) + 250 + 475 + 950
210 220 230	US TRANSCOM	681 1,466 418,153	1,031 1,466 418,703	+ 350 
	OTHER PROGRAMS			
999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	1,848,981	1,848,981	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	51,095,638	53,220,329	+ 2,124,691
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 2: MOBILIZATION			
	MOBILITY OPERATIONS			
250 260	AIRLIFT OPERATIONS	3,502,648 260,168	3,502,648 260,168	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2	3,762,816	3,762,816	
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 3: TRAINING AND RECRUITING			
	ACCESSION TRAINING			
270 280 290	OFFICER ACQUISITION RECRUIT TRAINING RESERVE OFFICER TRAINING CORPS [ROTC]	219,822 28,133 129,859	219,822 28,133 134,859	+ 5,000
	BASIC SKILLS AND ADVANCED TRAINING			
300 310	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING	624,525 882,998	624,525 857,998	— 25,000
320 330	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATIONTRAINING SUPPORT	322,278 192,028	322,278 192,028	
	RECRUITING AND OTHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION			
340 350 360 370	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING EXAMINING OFF DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING	216,939 7,913 255,673 361,897	216,939 7,913 255,673 361,897	
380	JUNIOR ROTC	74,682	74,682	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 3	3,316,747	3,296,747	- 20,000
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
	LOGISTICS OPERATIONS			
390 400	LOGISTICS OPERATIONS	1,212,268 175,511	1,192,268 175,511	- 20,000 
410	ADMIN SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	1 201 555	1 201 555	
410 420 430	ADMINISTRATION	1,381,555 34,913 1,933,264	1,381,555 34,913 1,913,264	— 20,000
440 450	CIVIL AIR PATROL	31,520 51,756	56,500 101,756	+ 24,980 + 50,000

65

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
480	SUPPORT TO OTHER NATIONS INTERNATIONAL SUPPORT	93,490	93,490	
999	OTHER PROGRAMS CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	1,528,256	1,523,231	- 5,025
333	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	6,442,533	6,472,488	+ 29,955
	UNJUSTIFIED REQUEST PUBLIC LAW 115–68 IMPLEMENTATION PROJECTED UNDEREXECUTION PROGRAM INCREASE: F–15E DIVESTMENT PROHIBITION (emergency)		- 9,500 800 - 280,000 127,460	- 9,500 + 800 - 280,000 + 127,460 (+ 127,460)
	PROGRAM INCREASE: F-22 DIVESTMENT PROHIBITION PROGRAM INCREASE: F-22 DIVESTMENT PROHIBITION (emergency)		361,220 (361,220)	(+127,460) +361,220 (+361,220)
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE	64,617,734	66,952,360	+ 2,334,626
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE (emergency)		(2,441,731)	(+2,441,731)

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

# The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
011A	Primary Combat Forces	910,849	902,775	- 8,074
	Program decrease unaccounted for			- 34,000
	Unjustified growth			-30,000
	Program increase: Campaigning—Pacific Air Forces			
	(emergency)			+ 48,000
	Program increase: Fighter force re-optimization (emer-			· ·
	gency)			+ 7,926
011C	Combat Enhancement Forces	2,631,887	2,612,887	- 19,000
	Unjustified growth			- 38,000
	Early to need			- 37,000
	Program increase: Campaigning—Pacific Air Forces			· ·
	(emergency)			+ 20,000
	Program increase: CENTCOM Classified unfunded pri-			,
	ority list item 1 (emergency)			+ 36.000
011D	Air Operations Training (OJT, Maintain Skills)	1.526.855	1,757,155	+ 230,300
	Program decrease unaccounted for			- 36.000
	Program increase: PACAF exercises (emergency)			+ 266,300
011R	Facilities Sustainment, Restoration & Modernization	4.413.268	5.053.268	+ 640,000
OIIII	Program increase: Facility maintenance and repair, to	1,110,200	0,000,200	1 010,000
	include quality of life projects			+ 600.000
	Program increase: Arctic FSRM			+40.000
011V	Cyberspace Sustainment	245.330	253.330	+8.000
0111	Program increase: Cyber Operations for Base Resilient	240,000	200,000	1 0,000
	Architecture			+ 8.000
011W	Contractor Logistics Support and System Support	10.100.030		-61.875
OIIVV	Program decrease unaccounted for	10,100,030	.,,	- 96.000
	Program increase: Campaigning—Pacific Air Forces			- 30,000
	(emergency)			+21,500
	Program increase: Fighter force re-optimization (emer-			+ 21,300
				+ 12.625
	gency)	l	l	+ 12,023

66

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
011Y	Flying Hour Program	7,010,770	8,446,770	+1,436,000
	Unjustified growth			- 70,000
	Program increase: USAF spares (emergency)			+1,506,000
011Z	Base Support	11,449,394	11,357,394	- 92,000
	Unjustified growth			- 102,000
0100	Program increase: PFAS related activities		1 015 400	+ 10,000
012C	Other Combat Ops Spt Programs	1,840,433	1,815,433	- 25,000
0100	Unjustified growth	074 000	050 000	- 25,000
012D	Cyberspace Activities	874,283	852,283	- 22,000
015C	Program decrease unaccounted for	212 211	249.070	- 22,000
0100	US NORTHCOM/NORAD Program increase: Public Law 115–68	212,311	248,076	+ 35,765
				+ 1,065
015D	Program increase: Foundational IT (emergency) US STRATCOM	524,159	524,409	+ 34,700 + 250
0130	Program increase: Public Law 115–68	324,139	324,409	+ 250
015F	US CENTCOM	333,250	333.725	+ 475
0131	Program increase: Public Law 115–68	333,230	333,723	+ 475
015G	US SOCOM	28,431	29,381	+ 950
0130	Program increase: Public Law 115-68	20,431	23,361	+ 950
015H	US TRANSCOM	681	1.031	+ 350
01311	Program increase: Public Law 115–68		1,001	+ 350
015X	USSPACECOM	418.153	418.703	+ 550
OION	Program increase: Public Law 115–68	110,100	110,700	+ 550
031D	Reserve Officers Training Corps [ROTC]	129.859	134,859	+ 5,000
0015	Program increase: Section 519 of Public Law 116–283	120,000	20.,000	+ 5.000
032B	Flight Training	882,998	857,998	-25,000
	Unjustified growth			- 25.000
041A	Logistics Operations	1,212,268	1,192,268	-20,000
	Unjustified growth			- 20,000
042G	Other Servicewide Activities	1,933,264	1,913,264	-20,000
	Unjustified growth			-20,000
0421	Civil Air Patrol	31,520	56,500	+ 24,980
	Program increase			+ 24,980
042W	Defense Acquisition Workforce Development Account	51,756	101,756	+ 50,000
	Program increase: Acquisition workforce training			+ 50,000
999	Classified Programs	1,528,256	1,523,231	- 5,025
	Classified adjustment			- 5,025
UNDIST	Projected underexecution		- 280,000	- 280,000
UNDIST	Unjustified request		- 9,500	- 9,500
UNDIST	Program increase: Public Law 115-68 Implementation		800	+ 800
UNDIST	Program increase: F-15E divestment prohibition (emer-		107	107.00
UNDIOT	gency)		127,460	+ 127,460
UNDIST	Program increase: F-22 divestment prohibition (emergency)		361,220	+ 361,220

Missile Community Cancer Study.—The Committee commends the Department of the Air Force for its ongoing Missile Community Cancer Study and emphasizes the importance of prioritizing this effort. The Committee supports the use of resources to mitigate chemical hazards identified at Air Force Global Strike Command duty locations, the establishment of robust processes to prevent future exposures, and the comprehensive epidemiological studies to evaluate the health impact on current and former servicemembers who served at these locations. Therefore, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to expedite these efforts and maintain a transparent process by providing regular updates to affected servicemembers, veterans, and their families. As the Department of the Air Force transitions to the Sentinel intercontinental ballistic missile system, the Committee emphasizes the importance of applying lessons learned from this study to ensure the highest stand-

ards of environmental health and safety in future missile operations.

## OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, SPACE FORCE

Budget estimate, 2025	\$5,292,272,000
Committee recommendation	5.228.537.000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$5,228,537,000. This is \$63,735,000 below the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In	thousands	of	dollars]
-----	-----------	----	----------

Line	Item	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, SPACE FORCE BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES AIR OPERATIONS			
10 20 30 30 40 50 60 70	GLOBAL C3I AND EARLY WARNING	694,469 373,584 936,956 139,983 235,459 537,908 80,571 488,709 1,346,611	674,469 373,584 927,956 139,983 235,459 529,173 70,571 516,709 1,329,611	- 20,000 - 9,000 - 8,735 - 10,000 + 28,000 - 17,000
90	SPACE OPERATIONS -BOSOTHER PROGRAMS	238,717	238,717	
999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS			
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	5,072,967	5,036,232	- 36,735
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES AIR OPERATIONS			
110 120	LOGISTICS OPERATIONSADMINISTRATION	35,313 183,992	35,313 165,992	— 18,000
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	219,305	201,305	- 18,000
	UNJUSTIFIED GROWTH		- 9,000	- 9,000
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, SPACE FORCE	5,292,272	5,228,537	- 63,735

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
012A	Global C3I & Early Warning	694.469	674.469	- 20.000

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
013C	Unjustified growth	936,956	927,956	- 20,000 - 9,000 - 9,000
013F	Special Programs Classified adjustment	537,908	529,173	- 8,735 - 8,735
013M	Depot Maintenance Program decrease unaccounted for	80,571	70,571	-10,000 $-10,000$
013R	Facilities Sustainment, Restoration and Modernization Program decrease unaccounted for Program increase: Facility maintenance and repair, to	488,709	516,709	+ 28,000 - 7,000
013W	include quality of life projects	1,346,611	1,329,611	+ 35,000 - 17,000 - 17.000
042A	Administration Unjustified growth	183,992	165,992	- 18,000 - 18.000
UNDIST	Unjustified growth		- 9,000	- 9,000

## OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE

Budget estimate, 2025	\$54,175,850,000
Committee recommendation	53,638,689,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$53,638,689,000 of which \$1,000,000 is designated as being for an emergency requirement pursuant to section 251(b)(2)(A)(i) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985. This is \$537,161,000 below the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE—WIDE			
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
10	JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF	461,772	452,772	- 9,000
20	JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF—JTEEP	696,446	696,446	
30	JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF—CYBER	9,100	9,100	
40	OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE—MISO	253,176	253,176	
50	SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND COMBAT DEVELOPMENT			
	ACTIVITIES	2,082,777	2,070,560	- 12,217
60	SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND MAINTENANCE	1,197,289	1,205,289	+ 8,000
60	SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND MAINTENANCE (emergency)		(1,000)	(+1,000)
70	SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND MANAGEMENT/OPER-			
	ATIONAL HEADQUARTERS	203,622	189,928	- 13,694
80	SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND THEATER FORCES	3,410,271	3,384,886	- 25,385
90	SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND CYBERSPACE ACTIVITIES	51,263	44,087	-7,176
100	SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND INTELLIGENCE	1,266,217	1,222,217	- 44,000
110	SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND OPERATIONAL SUPPORT	1,453,809	1,452,866	<b>- 943</b>
120	CYBERSPACE OPERATIONS	1,361,360	1,333,025	- 28,335
130	USCYBERCOM HEADQUARTERS	344,376	337,823	- 6,553
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	12,791,478	12,652,175	- 139,303

69

[In	thousands	of	dollars]	

[III tilousalius of uoniais]							
Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate			
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 3: TRAINING AND RECRUITING						
	DEFENSEWIDE ACTIVITIES						
140	DEFENSE ACQUISITION UNIVERSITY	184,963	204,963	+ 20,000			
140		164,903	204,903	+ 20,000			
	RECRUITING AND OTHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION						
150	JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF	132,101	132,101				
	DEFENSEWIDE ACTIVITIES						
160	SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND PROFESSIONAL DEVELOP- MENT EDUCATION	31,806	31,806				
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 3	348,870	368,870	+ 20,000			
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES						
170		140 275	211 075	. 71 500			
170	CIVIL MILITARY PROGRAMS    DEFENSE CONTRACT AUDIT AGENCY—CYBER	140,375 4,961	211,875 4,961	+ 71,500			
190	DEFENSE CONTRACT AUDIT AGENCY	673,621	686,621	+ 13,000			
200	DEFENSE CONTRACT MANAGEMENT AGENCY	1,543,134	1,563,134	+ 20,000			
210	DEFENSE CONTRACT MANAGEMENT AGENCY—CYBER	42,541	42,541				
220 240	DEFENSE COUNTERINTELLIGENCE AND SECURITY AGENCY DEFENSE COUNTERINTELLIGENCE AND SECURITY AGENCY—	952,464	892,464	- 60,000			
	CYBER	9,794	9,794				
250	DEFENSE HUMAN RESOURCES ACTIVITY—CYBER	39,781	39,781				
260	DEFENSE HUMAN RESOURCES ACTIVITY	1,104,152	1,170,166	+66,014			
290	DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEMS AGENCY	2,614,041	2,594,541	- 19,500			
300	DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEMS AGENCY—CYBER	504,896	504,896				
310 320	DEFENSE LEGAL SERVICES AGENCY	207,918 412,257	202,918 412,257	- 5,000			
330	DEFENSE MEDIA ACTIVITY	244,689	244,689				
340	DEFENSE PERSONNEL ACCOUNTING AGENCY	188,022	188,022				
350	DEFENSE SECURITY COOPERATION AGENCY	2,889,957	2,813,302	- 76,655			
360	DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY SECURITY AGENCY	42,380	42,380				
370	DEFENSE THREAT REDUCTION AGENCY	858,476	858,476				
390	DEFENSE THREAT REDUCTION AGENCY—CYBER	72,952	72,952				
400	DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE EDUCATION ACTIVITY	3,559,288	3,639,288	+ 80,000			
410	MISSILE DEFENSE AGENCY	605,766	605,766				
420	OFFICE OF THE LOCAL DEFENSE COMMUNITY COOPERATION—OSD	117,081	177,081	+ 60,000			
460	OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE—CYBER	99,583	104,583	+ 5,000			
470	OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE	2,980,715	2,602,508	- 378,207			
480	WASHINGTON HEADQUARTERS SERVICES	496,512	485,512	-11,000			
	OTHER PROGRAMS	,		,			
999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	20,630,146	20,429,136	- 201,010			
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	41,035,502	40,599,644	- 435,858			
	DDOODAM INODEAGE WITHAM DIOVIN DEMEDIATION		15.000	. 15 000			
	PROGRAM INCREASE: VIETNAM DIOXIN REMEDIATIONPUBLIC LAW 115-68 IMPLEMENTATION		15,000 3,000	+ 15,000 + 3,000			
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE—						
	WIDE	54,175,850	53,638,689	- 537,161			
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE—WIDE (emergency)		(1,000)	(+1,000)			
	THE (cilicigation)		(1,000)	( 1 1,000)			

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

70

[In thousands of dollars]							
Line	Item	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate			
1PL1	Joint Chiefs of Staff	461,772	452,772	- 9,000 - 9,000			
1PL6	Special Operations Command Combat Development Activities Projected underexecution	2,082,777	2,070,560	- 12,217 - 15,717			
1PL7	Program increase: Female body armor Special Operations Command Maintenance Program increase: SPEAR	1,197,289	1,205,289	+ 3,500 + 8,000 + 2,000			
	Program increase: Tactical heated apparel technology Program increase: Counter unmanned systems and Group 3 defeat acceleration (emergency)			+ 5,000 + 1,000			
1PLM	Special Operations Command Management/Operational Headquarters Projected underexecution	203,622	189,928	- 13,694 - 13,694			
1PLR	Special Operations Command Theater Forces Projected underexecution	3,410,271	3,384,886	- 25,385 - 20,385			
	Overestimation of flying hours Program increase: Title V of division J of Public Law 116–94			- 7,000 + 2,000			
1PLS	Special Operations Command Cyberspace Activities Overestimation of cyber defense tools and cyber IT	51,263	44,087	- 7,176 - 7,176			
1PLU	Special Operations Command Intelligence	1,266,217	1,222,217	- 44,000 - 44,000			
1PLV	Special Operations Command Operational Support Projected underexecution Program increase: Identity management	1,453,809	1,452,866	- 943 - 5,943 + 5,000			
12D	Cyberspace Operations Unjustified growth contractor support Unified platform ahead of need	1,361,360	1,333,025	- 28,335 - 36,803 - 6,250			
	RDI unjustified growth			- 9,000 + 20,413 - 2,195			
	Program increase: Cybersecurity with Jordan Program increase: Internet operations management			+ 500 + 5,000			
15E	Projected underexecution	344,376	337,823	- 6,553 - 6,953 + 400			
3EV2	Defense Acquisition University Program increase: Acquisition workforce training	184,963	204,963	+ 20,000 + 20,000			
4GT3	Civil Military Programs	140,375	211,875	+71,500 +20,000 +50,000			
4GT6	Program increase: Innovative Readiness Training Defense Contract Audit Agency	673,621	686,621	+ 1,500 + 13,000			
4GTO	Projected underexecution	1,543,134	1,563,134	- 7,000 + 20,000 + 20,000			
4GTE	Program increase: Defense contract management Defense Counterintelligence and Security Agency	952,464	892,464	+ 20,000 - 60,000			
4GT8	Unjustified growth  Defense Human Resources Activity	1,104,152	1,170,166	- 60,000 + 66,014			
	Unjustified growth Projected underexecution Unjustified request			- 12,500 - 9,000 - 7,986			
	Program increase: Special Victims' Counsel			+ 47,000 + 22,000 + 16,500			
	Program increase: Language Flagship Program Program increase: Troops to teachers Program increase: Department of Defense SkillBridge			+ 4,000 + 5,000			
4GT9	Program	2,614,041	2,594,541	+ 1,000 - 19,500 - 27,000			
	Projected underexecution			− 8,000			

71

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	OSD requested transfer from RDDW Line 94 to OMDW			
	Line 4GT9 to properly align 5G resourcing			+ 8,500
	Program increase: Movement or consolidation of Joint			
	Spectrum Center			+ 7,000
4GTA	Defense Legal Services Agency	207,918	202,918	-5,000
	Projected underexecution			- 5,000
4GTD	Defense Security Cooperation Agency	2,889,957	2,813,302	− 76,655
	Unjustified request: CSF			− 48,200
	Program decrease: Public Law 114-92 section 1226			
	support			- 50,000
	Program increase: Baltic Security Initiative			+ 7,045
	Program increase: Irregular Warfare Center of Excel-			
	lence			+ 6,000
	Program increase: Public Law 115–68			+ 3,000
	Program increase: Regional centers			+ 4,500
	Program increase: Title V of division J of Public Law			
4071	116–94			+1,000
4GTJ	Department of Defense Education Activity	3,559,288	3,639,288	+ 80,000
	Program increase: Impact Aid			+ 50,000
	Program increase: Impact Aid for Children with Dis-			
	abilities			+ 20,000
40714	Program increase: World language grants	117.001	177.001	+ 10,000
4GTM	Office of Local Defense Community Cooperation	117,081	177,081	+ 60,000
	Program increase: Defense Community Infrastructure			
4070	Program	00.502	104 500	+ 60,000
4GTC	Office of the Secretary of Defense-Cyber	99,583	104,583	+ 5,000
4GTN	Program increase: Cyber scholarship program	2 000 715	2 002 500	+ 5,000 - 378,207
4G1N	Office of the Secretary of Defense	2,980,715	2,602,508	- 378,207 - 153,000
	Projected underexecution			- 155,000 - 60,000
	Unjustified request			- 60,000 - 14,036
	Transfer to RDDW line 294A for ADVANA software pilot			- 14,030
	program			- 217,085
	Program increase: USTTI Defense training			+ 1,000
	Program increase: OSTH Defense training			+ 32,262
	Program increase: CDC water contamination study			1 32,202
	and assessment			+ 5,000
	Program increase: Readiness and Environmental Pro-			1 3,000
	tection Integration (REPI) program			+ 22,652
	Classified adjustment			+ 5,000
4GTQ	Washington Headquarters Services	496,512	485,512	-11.000
fulk	Projected underexecution	+30,312	405,512	-11,000
9999	Classified Programs	20.630.146	20,429,136	- 201.010
	Classified adjustment	20,000,110	20,120,100	-201,010
UNDIST	Program increase: Vietnam dioxin remediation		15,000	+ 15,000

Defense Language and National Security Education Office.—The Committee recognizes that, in partnership with universities across the country, the Defense Language and National Security Education Office provides critical college accredited training for servicemembers and government officials in a number of languages and strategic cultures. The Committee encourages the Department of Defense to continue placing a high priority on the Language Training Centers and the Language Flagship program, with an emphasis on quality of instruction and a preference for programs that provide college credit. The Committee designates the funding provided for the Language Training Centers as a congressional special interest item for the purpose of the Base for Reprogramming (DD Form 1414). The Committee further directs that the funding pro-

files for the Language Training Centers and the Language Flagship Program in total for the prior year, current year, and budget year be included in the Performance Criteria section of the Defense Human Resources Activity OP–5 budget exhibit in future submissions.

Defense Community Infrastructure Pilot Program.—The Committee continues to support the Defense Community Infrastructure Pilot Program [DCIP] and recommends a total of \$110,000,000 for the program for fiscal year 2025. The Committee further recognizes that nuclear deterrence remains a top priority of the Department, and the Committee encourages the Secretary of Defense to provide thorough consideration for grant applications addressing deficiencies in infrastructure in communities that support critical national security missions such as nuclear deterrence. The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide the deliverable included in section 2851 of S. 4638, the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2025, as reported, to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate.

Use of Automated Analytical Processes and Publicly Available Information by Defense Counterintelligence and Security Agency.—
The Committee believes that the use of automated analytical processes and publicly available electronic information [PAEI] can provide value to the Department of Defense in screening against a wide range of risks considered by the Defense Counterintelligence and Security Agency [DCSA]. The Committee encourages the Secretary of Defense to leverage automated analytical processes and

PAEI to improve accuracy across DCSA's missions.

Post-Separation Programming.—The Committee notes that servicemembers often face challenges as they transition out of the military and create a new, productive life as a civilian. The Committee encourages the Under Secretary of Defense (Personnel and Readiness) to assess successful existing programs, such as the Marine for Life program, and develop guidance for all military services to share best practices for developing programs that connect separating servicemembers to networks of veterans and retirees to enable them to remain connected to the military community, with the goal of promoting life-long commitment to core values and a beneficial support network.

Community Noise Mitigation Program.—The Committee recognizes the importance of supporting communities neighboring military installations and commends the work of the Office of Local Defense Community Cooperation [OLDCC]. The Committee further recognizes the challenges faced by residential communities bordering aviation units. Therefore, the Committee encourages the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Director of OLDCC, to move expeditiously to award previously appropriated funds.

Readiness and Environmental Protection Integration Program.— The Committee recommends an additional \$22,652,000 for the Readiness and Environmental Protection Integration [REPI] program. The Committee designates this funding and the \$177,348,000 included in the fiscal year 2025 President's budget request for the REPI program as a congressional special interest item for the purpose of the Base for Reprogramming (DD Form 1414). Further, the Committee recognizes the success that the REPI pro-

gram has achieved in addressing encroachment and in maintaining and improving military installation resilience. However, the Committee is concerned that the military services have not programmed sufficient operation and maintenance funding for staff to implement the increased REPI funding provided by Congress in recent years. Therefore, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Service Secretaries, to provide a report to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate not later than 180 days after enactment of this act that includes programmatic funding and full-time employee data dedicated to the implementation of the REPI program for fiscal years 2022 through 2025. This report shall also identify unfunded requirements related to funding and staffing for future fiscal years.

Ex Gratia Payments.—The Committee recommendation includes sufficient funding for the Office of the Secretary of Defense under Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide, for payments made to redress injury and loss pursuant to section 1213 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2020 (Public Law 116–92). Furthermore, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a briefing to the congressional defense committees not later than 60 days after the enactment of this act regarding the

disbursement of such payments.

Security Assistance Reporting Requirements.—The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to submit reports, on a quarterly basis, to the congressional defense committees not later than 30 days after the last day of each quarter of the fiscal year that detail commitment, obligation, and expenditure data by sub-activity group for Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide, Defense Se-

curity Cooperation Agency.

BalticSecurity *Initiative*.—The Committee recommends \$225,133,000, an increase of \$7,045,000, for the Baltic Security Initiative in strong support of ongoing security cooperation with Estonia, Latvia, and Lithuania. The Committee strongly supports the U.S.-Baltic Dialogue, and the Security Cooperation Roadmaps 2024-2028 as critical partnerships in support of North Atlantic Treaty Organization [NATO]'s security and deterrence posture. The Committee encourages the Secretary of Defense to continue robust security cooperation with the Baltic States, especially in the areas of integrated air and missile defense; long-range precision fires; maritime domain awareness; cyber, irregular warfare; land forces development; command, control, communications, computers, intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance; and infrastructure development. Finally, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to brief the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate not later than 90 days after the enactment of this act on updates to the Baltic Security Initiative's multi-year strategy and spend plan in light of continued Russian aggression in Europe.

Defense Security Cooperation Agency Programs.—The Committee is concerned by the delays in the execution of International Security Cooperation Programs [ISCP] within the 2-year period of availability of appropriations. The Committee notes that the Defense Security Cooperation Agency [DSCA] has the statutory authority to

build the capacity of foreign forces pursuant to 10 U.S.C. 333, 332, and 1263, and that these activities do not represent new projects or activities in the budget year. Further, the Committee notes that the annual appropriation bill requires notification, but not prior approval, for its security cooperation activities. Therefore, the Committee directs the Director, DSCA to efficiently execute security cooperation programs by using the entire period of availability of

funding to the greatest extent possible.

The Committee is encouraged by the Department's establishment and use of regional centers for security studies to further outreach and provide for more focused research to help promote global security cooperation efforts to include the Ted Stevens Center for Arctic Security Studies and the Daniel K. Inouye Asia-Pacific Center for Security Studies. Therefore, the Committee recommends an additional \$4,500,000 for Regional Centers, and directs the Director, DSCA, within 60 days of enactment of this act to provide the congressional defense committees with a briefing on the status of the programs, objectives, milestones, execution plans, an assessment of current reimbursement waiver authority, any legislative proposals under consideration as it pertains to current waiver authority, and any other quantitative and qualitative data determined by the Director for each regional center.

The Committee understands the Theater Maintenance Partnership Initiative [TMPI] is a multi-year holistic approach to build partner capacity in equipment maintenance, lifecycle and supply chain management, and provide institutional capacity building at the strategic level to our allied and partner nations. Further, the Committee notes that this has been identified as a critical requirement for United States Southern Command [SOUTHCOM]. Therefore, the Committee directs the Director, Defense Security Cooperation Agency to provide a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 60 days after enactment of this act that details the Department's resourcing strategy for TMPI centers of ex-

cellence in SOUTHCOM's area of responsibility.

Civilian Harm Mitigation and Response.—The Committee's recommendation fully funds the fiscal year 2025 President's budget request for civilian harm mitigation and response. Further, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to brief the congressional defense committees not later than 90 days after enactment of this act on the status of Department's Civilian Harm Mitigation and Response Action Plan [CHMR—AP] implementation, including the Steering Committee; the Civilian Protection Center of Excellence; staffing by military service and combatant command; resources across the Future Years Defense Program; and forthcoming CHMR training, education, technology, and advising to support the CHMR—AP. Finally, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to submit to the congressional defense committees, concurrent with the fiscal year 2026 President's budget request, a report on the CHMR resources and programs in the Future Years Defense Program

Advanced Vehicle Forensic Engineering.—In order to maintain operational superiority, United States Special Operations Command [USSOCOM] requires rapid and effective collection and exploitation capabilities to deploy against all adversaries. Specifically,

the Committee believes that USSOCOM lacks the tools to adequately conduct vehicle forensics in an age when many vehicles store significant amounts of geolocation, imagery, and other data. Therefore, the Committee encourages the Director, Special Operations Forces Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics to leverage new commercial tools to update operators' vehicle forensic exploitation kits and invest in continuous capability improvement.

## COUNTER-ISIS TRAIN AND EQUIP FUND

Budget estimate, 2025	\$528,699,000
Committee recommendation	528,699,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$528,699,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

ln	thousand	s of	dollars]

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	COUNTER ISIS TRAIN AND EQUIP FUND [CTEF]			
10 20	IRAQ	380,758 147,941	380,758 147,941	
	TOTAL, COUNTER ISIS TRAIN AND EQUIP FUND	528,699	528,699	

Islamic State of Iraq and Syria Detainees.—The Committee is concerned about the burden on the Syrian Democratic Forces [SDF] of holding thousands of Islamic State of Iraq and Syria [ISIS] detainees and notes that the makeshift detention facilities are overcrowded and vulnerable to the types of ISIS attacks that led to the rise of the organization in 2012. The Committee supports efforts of the Department of Defense and international partners to fortify and construct detention facilities to alleviate overcrowding, enhance humane detention, and ensure the security of dangerous detainees. The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to notify the congressional defense committees not later than 30 days prior to obligation of funds for any construction activity and prioritizes detention facilities repair and construction ahead of any other construction activity. Moreover, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to engage with the SDF on ensuring that detainees are afforded all protections due under the Geneva Conventions.

#### OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY RESERVE

Budget estimate, 2025	\$3,360,777,000
Committee recommendation	3,355,777,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$3,355,777,000. This is \$5,000,000 below the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY RESERVE			
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
	LAND FORCES			
10 20 30 40 50	MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADES THEATER LEVEL ASSETS LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT AVIATION ASSETS	14,098 655,868 136,625 696,146 129,581	14,098 655,868 136,625 666,146 129,581	- 30,000
	LAND FORCES READINESS			
60 70 80	FORCES READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT	404,585 42,942 49,973	404,585 42,942 49,973	
	LAND FORCES READINESS SUPPORT			
90	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT	578,327	578,327	
100 110	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION AND MODERNIZA- TION	474,365 26,680	499,365 26,680	+ 25,000
	CYBERSPACE ACTIVITIES			
120 130	CYBERSPACE ACTIVITIES—CYBERSPACE OPERATIONS	2,241 18,598	2,241 18,598	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	3,230,029	3,225,029	- 5,000
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
	LOGISTICS OPERATIONS			
140	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	17,092	17,092	
	SERVICEWIDE SUPPORT			
150 160 170	ADMINISTRATION	19,106 6,727 7,477	19,106 6,727 7.477	
180	OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT	80,346	80,346	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	130,748	130,748	
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY RE- SERVE	3,360,777	3,355,777	- 5,000

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
115	Land Forces Operations Support	696,146	666,146	- 30,000 - 30.000
132	Facilities Sustainment, Restoration & Modernization	474,365	499,365	+ 25,000

### [In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Program increase: Facility maintenance and repair, to include quality of life projects			+ 25,000

# OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY RESERVE

Budget estimate, 2025	\$1,341,662,000
Committee recommendation	1.335.162.000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,335,162,000. This is \$6,500,000 below the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY RESERVE			
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
	AIR OPERATIONS			
10 20	MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONSINTERMEDIATE MAINTENANCE	708,701	698,701	- 10,000
30	AIR SYSTEMS SUPPORT	10,250	10,250	
40 50	AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE	148,292	148,292	
60	AVIATION LOGISTICS	33,200	33,200	
	COMBAT OPERATIONS/SUPPORT			
70	COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS	21,211	21,211	
80 90	COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES	199,551 291	199,551 291	
	BASE SUPPORT			
100 110 120	ENTERPRISE INFORMATION SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION AND MODERNIZATION BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	33,027 50,200 119,124	33,027 53,700 119,124	+ 3,500
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	1,323,847	1,317,347	- 6,500
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
	SERVICEWIDE SUPPORT			
130 140	ADMINISTRATION	2,067 13,575	2,067 13,575	
	LOGISTICS OPERATIONS AND TECHNICAL SUPPORT			
150	ACQUISITION AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT	2,173	2,173	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	17,815	17,815	
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY RE- SERVE	1,341,662	1,335,162	- 6,500

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1A1A	Mission and Other Flight Operations Unjustified growth	708,701	698,701	- 10,000 - 10,000
BSMR	Sustainment, Restoration and Modernization Program increase: Facility maintenance and repair, to	50,200	53,700	+ 3,500
	include quality of life projects			+ 3,500

## OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS RESERVE

Budget estimate, 2025	\$338,080,000
Committee recommendation	340,580,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$340,580,000. This is \$2,500,000 above the budget estimate.

### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS RESERVE			
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
	EXPEDITIONARY FORCES			
10 20	OPERATING FORCES DEPOT MAINTENANCE	132,907 22,073	132,907 22,073	
	BASE SUPPORT			
30 40	SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATIONBASE OPERATING SUPPORT	47,677 122,734	50,177 122,734	+ 2,500
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	325,391	327,891	+ 2,500
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
	SERVICEWIDE SUPPORT			
50	ADMINISTRATION	12,689	12,689	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	12,689	12,689	
	TOTAL, OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS RESERVE	338,080	340,580	+ 2,500

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

#### [In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
BSM1	Sustainment, Restoration and Modernization Program increase: Facility maintenance and repair, to	47,677	50,177	+ 2,500
	include quality of life projects			+2,500

# OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE RESERVE

Budget estimate, 2025	\$4,173,796,000
Committee recommendation	4,120,296,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$4,120,296,000. This is \$53,500,000 below the budget estimate.

### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE RESERVE			
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
	AIR OPERATIONS			
10 20 30 40 50	PRIMARY COMBAT FORCES	1,958,968 177,080 597,172 123,394 601,302 585,943	1,961,468 177,080 597,172 129,394 601,302 585,943	+ 2,500 
	CYBERSPACE ACTIVITIES			
70	CYBERSPACE ACTIVITIES	2,331	2,331	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	4,046,190	4,054,690	+ 8,500
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
	SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
80 90 100 110 120	ADMINISTRATION RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERS MGMT [ARPC] OTHER PERS SUPPORT (DISABILITY COMP) AUDIOVISUAL	92,732 10,855 17,188 6,304 527	92,732 10,855 17,188 6,304 527	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	127,606	127,606	
	PROJECTED UNDEREXECUTION		- 62,000	- 62,000
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE RESERVE	4,173,796	4,120,296	- 53,500

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
011A	Primary Combat Forces	1,958,968	1,961,468	+ 2,500

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
011R	Program increase: Atmospheric rivers research Facilities Sustainment, Restoration & Modernization Program increase: Facility maintenance and repair, to	123,394	129,394	+ 2,500 + 6,000
UNDIST	include quality of life projects		— 62,000	+ 6,000 - 62,000

# OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD

Budget estimate, 2025	\$8,646,145,000
Committee recommendation	8,609,258,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$8,609,258,000. This is \$36,887,000 below the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD			
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
	LAND FORCES			
10 20 30 40 50 60	MANEUVER UNITS	886,229 200,417 861,685 86,356 345,720 1,150,777	848,304 200,417 861,685 86,356 345,720 1,150,777	- 37,925 
	LAND FORCES READINESS			
70 80 90	FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT LAND FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS LAND FORCES DEPOT MAINTENANCE	737,884 34,262 221,401	749,609 34,262 221,401	+ 11,725
	LAND FORCES READINESS SUPPORT			
100 110 120	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORTFACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONAL HEADQUARTERS	1,247,797 1,147,554 1,322,621	1,229,797 1,207,554 1,309,621	- 18,000 + 60,000 - 13,000
	CYBERSPACE ACTIVITIES			
130 140	CYBERSPACE ACTIVITIES—CYBERSPACE OPERATIONS	5,287 20,869	5,287 20,869	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	8,268,859	8,271,659	+ 2,800
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
	LOGISTICS OPERATIONS			
150	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	7,849	7,849	
	SERVICEWIDE SUPPORT			
160 170 180	ADMINISTRATION	49,304 18,585	52,617 18,585	+ 3,313
190	OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT		297,594	

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
200	REAL ESTATE MANAGEMENT	3,954	3,954	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	377,286	380,599	+ 3,313
	PROJECTED UNDEREXECUTION		- 43,000	- 43,000
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD	8,646,145	8,609,258	- 36,887

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
111	Maneuver Units	886,229	848,304	- 37,925
	Unjustified growth			- 50,000
	Program increase: Exercise Northern Strike			+ 12,075
121	Force Readiness Operations Support	737,884	749,609	+ 11,725
	Program increase: Advanced trauma and public			
	health direct training services			+ 1,725
	Program increase: International advanced trauma and			
	public health training			+ 750
	Program increase: Irregular warfare training exercises			+ 7,000
	Program increase: Mobile Armed Forces Advanced			+ 750
	Trauma Training			+ 1.500
131	Program increase: Wildfire training	1.247.797	1 220 707	,
131	Base Operations Support	, , ,	, , ,	- 18,000 - 18,000
132	Facilities Sustainment, Restoration & Modernization	1.147.554	1.207.554	+ 60.000
132	Program increase: Facility maintenance and repair, to	1,147,554	1,207,334	+ 60,000
	include quality of life projects			+ 60.000
133	Management and Operational Headquarters	1.322.621		-13.000
100	Unjustified growth	1,022,021	1,000,021	- 24.000
	Program increase: Mental health providers			+ 6,000
	Program increase: Star behavioral health program			+ 5.000
431	Administration	49,304	52,617	+ 3,313
	Program increase: National Guard Bureau Continuity	.,		
	of Operations study			+3,000
	Program increase: State partnership program			+ 313
UNDIST	Projected underexecution		- 43,000	-43,000

State Partnership Program.—The State Partnership Program [SPP] has been successfully building relationships for more than 30 years by linking a State's National Guard with the armed forces or equivalent of a partner country in a cooperative, mutually beneficial relationship. It includes 92 unique security partnerships involving 106 nations around the globe. The Committee recognizes the importance of SPP and encourages continued robust support of this important partnership program.

# OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR NATIONAL GUARD

Budget estimate, 2025	\$7,403,771,000
Committee recommendation	7,401,081,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$7,401,081,000. This is \$2,690,000 below the budget estimate.

# COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR NATIONAL GUARD			
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
	AIR OPERATIONS			
10 20 30 40 50 60 70	AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS MISSION SUPPORT OPERATIONS DEPOT PURCHASE EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION CONTRACTOR LOGISTICS SUPPORT AND SYSTEM SUPPORT BASE SUPPORT CYBERSPACE SUSTAINMENT	2,626,498 649,621 1,004,771 458,917 1,353,383 1,119,429 14,291	2,627,498 671,751 995,771 516,097 1,336,383 1,124,429 14,291	+ 57,180
	CYBERSPACE ACTIVITIES			
80	CYBERSPACE ACTIVITIES	57,162	57,162	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	7,284,072	7,343,382	+ 59,310
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
90 100	ADMINISTRATIONRECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	71,454 48,245	71,454 48,245	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	119,699	119,699	
	PROJECTED UNDEREXECUTION		- 62,000	- 62,000
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR NATIONAL GUARD	7,403,771	7,401,081	- 2,690

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

Program increase: Exercise Northern Strike	Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
011G Mission Support Operations	011F	Aircraft Operations	2,626,498	2,627,498	+1,000
011G Mission Support Operations		Program increase: Exercise Northern Strike			+1,000
Program increase: Advanced trauma and public health direct training services +2,12  Program increase: Joint Terminal Attack Controller training +8,00  Program increase: Mental health providers +5,00	011G		649.621	671.751	+ 22,130
health direct training services			,.	, ,	,
Program increase: Joint Terminal Attack Controller training +8,00 Program increase: Mental health providers +5,00					+ 2,145
training         +8,00           Program increase: Mental health providers         +5,00					. 2,2.0
Program increase: Mental health providers +5,00					+ 8,000
I Drogram ingranga Mahila Armad Largon Advanged		Program increase: Mobile Armed Forces Advanced			7 3,000
					. 750
					+ 750
					+ 235
			1		+6,000
011M   Depot Purchase Equipment Maintenance 1,004,771   995,771   -9,00	011M	Depot Purchase Equipment Maintenance	1,004,771	995,771	- 9,000
Program decrease unaccounted for		Program decrease unaccounted for			- 9,000
011R   Facilities Sustainment, Restoration & Modernization	011R	Facilities Sustainment, Restoration & Modernization	458,917	516,097	+57,180
Program increase: Additional facility enhancements		Program increase: Additional facility enhancements		·	
for future foreign military pilot training sites		for future foreign military pilot training sites			+ 37.180
Program increase: Facility maintenance and repair, to					
					+ 20.000
	011W				.,

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
011Z UNDIST	Program decrease unaccounted for Base Support	1,119,429	1,124,429 ————————————————————————————————————	- 17,000 + 5,000 + 5,000 - 62,000

Combat Readiness Training Centers.—The Committee recognizes the strategic value that Air National Guard combat readiness training centers provide to the readiness and capabilities of the joint force. Therefore, in fiscal year 2025, the Committee expects the Secretary of the Air Force and the Chief of the National Guard Bureau to continue resourcing personnel and operations at all four combat readiness training centers at no less than the funding levels included in the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2024 (Public Law 118–47).

The Committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force, in coordination with the Chief of the National Guard Bureau, to provide a briefing to the congressional defense committees, not later than 90 days after the enactment of this act, detailing plans for the operations, manning, and anticipated annual funding requirements for each of the combat readiness training centers from fiscal year 2025 through the Future Years Defense Program.

# U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE ARMED FORCES

Budget estimate, 2025	\$21,035,000
Committee recommendation	21,035,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$21,035,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

### ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, ARMY

Budget estimate, 2025	\$268,069,000
Committee recommendation	323,069,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$323,069,000. This is \$55,000,000 above the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
60	ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, ARMY	268,069	323,069	+ 55,000
	Program increase: Military Munitions Response Program			+ 25,000
	tions			+ 30,000
	TOTAL, ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, ARMY	268,069	323,069	+ 55,000

# ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, NAVY

Budget estimate, 2025	\$343,591,000
Committee recommendation	343,591,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$343,591,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

## Environmental Restoration, Air Force

Budget estimate, 2025	\$320,256,000
Committee recommendation	372,524,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$372,524,000. This is \$52,268,000 above the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

#### [In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
100	ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, AIR FORCE	320,256	372,524	+ 52,268
	gram			+ 2,268
	Program increase: PFAS background source analysis			+ 10,000
	Program increase: PFAS remediation			+ 20,000
	Program increase: PFAS remediation for ANG			+ 20,000
	TOTAL, ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, AIR FORCE	320,256	372,524	+ 52,268

# ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, DEFENSE-WIDE

Budget estimate, 2025	\$8,800,000
Committee recommendation	9.480.000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$9,480,000. This is \$680,000 above the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

#### [In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
120	ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, DEFENSE-WIDE	8,800	9,480	+ 680 + 680
	TOTAL, ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, DEFENSE—WIDE	8,800	9,480	+ 680

## Environmental Restoration, Formerly Used Defense Sites

Budget estimate, 2025	\$234,475,000
Committee recommendation	257.207.000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$257,207,000. This is \$22,732,000 above the budget estimate.

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
140	ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, FORMERLY USED SITES Program increase: Military Munitions Response Pro-	234,475	257,207	+ 22,732
	gram			+ 22,732
	TOTAL, ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, FORMERLY USED SITES	234,475	257,207	+ 22,732

## OVERSEAS HUMANITARIAN, DISASTER, AND CIVIC AID

Budget estimate, 2025	\$115,335,000
Committee recommendation	115.335.000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$115,335,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

Obligation of Funds.—The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to notify the congressional defense committees not less than 15 days prior to obligation of more than \$5,000,000 for any single project, effort, or operation utilizing Humanitarian Assistance funds, or not less than 48 hours after the provision of such assistance if the Secretary determines that extraordinary circumstances that affect the national security of the United States exist.

#### COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION ACCOUNT

Budget estimate, 2025	\$350,116,000
Committee recommendation	350,116,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$350,116,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

Item	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from Estimate
Biological Threat Reduction	209,858 20,717	209,858 20,717	
Delivery System Threat Reduction	7,036	7,036	
Global Nuclear Security	33,665 33,230	33,665 33,230	
Proliferation Prevention	45,610	45,610	
Total, Cooperative Threat Reduction Account	350,116	350,116	

# DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE ACQUISITION WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT ACCOUNT

Budget estimate, 2025	\$56,176,000
Committee recommendation	115.676.000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$115,676,000. This is \$59,500,000 above the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
2	Training and Development Program increase: Partnerships with academia Program increase: Recruiting a diverse classified work-	51,541	61,041	+ 9,500 + 5,000
	force			+ 3,000
	sile testing workforce		50,000	+ 1,500 + 50,000
	training			+ 50,000

Department of Defense Acquisition Workforce Reporting Requirements.—The Committee directs the Under Secretary for Defense (Acquisition and Sustainment) to provide the Department of Defense Acquisition Workforce Development Account annual report to the congressional defense committees not later than 30 days after submission of the fiscal year 2026 President's budget request. Further, as in previous years, the Under Secretary of Defense (Acquisition and Sustainment) is directed to provide the congressional defense committees with the fiscal year 2026 President's budget request additional details regarding total funding for the acquisition workforce by funding category and specific appropriations accounts in the Future Years Defense Program, to include an explanation of changes from prior year's submission.

Department of Defense Acquisition Workforce Development Account Reprogramming Guidance.—The Secretary of Defense is directed to follow reprogramming guidance for the Department of Defense Acquisition Workforce Development Account [DAWDA] consistent with reprogramming guidance for acquisition accounts detailed elsewhere in this explanatory statement. The dollar threshold for reprogramming DAWDA funds is \$15,000,000.

### TITLE III

### **PROCUREMENT**

Funds appropriated under this title provide the resources required to purchase military equipment and hardware, including aircraft, ships, missiles, combat vehicles, ammunition, weapons, electronic sensors and communications equipment, and other procurement items.

The President's fiscal year 2025 budget requests a total of \$166,770,761,000 for procurement appropriations.

### SUMMARY OF COMMITTEE ACTION

The Committee recommends procurement appropriations totaling \$175,222,313,000 for fiscal year 2025, of which \$9,133,208,000 is designated as being for an emergency requirement pursuant to section 251(b)(2)(A)(i) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985. This is \$8,451,552,000 above the budget estimate.

Committee recommended procurement appropriations for fiscal year 2025 are summarized below:

## SUMMARY OF PROCUREMENT APPROPRIATIONS

[In thousands of dollars]

Account	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
Procurement:			
Aircraft Procurement, Army	3,164,183	3,163,347	<b>-836</b>
Missile Procurement, Army	6,245,770	6,316,380	+70,610
Missile Procurement, Army (emergency)		(382,000)	(+382,000)
Procurement of Weapons and Tracked Combat Vehicles, Army	3,699,392	3,664,281	-35,111
Procurement of W&TCV, Army (emergency)		(199,800)	(+199,800)
Procurement of Ammunition, Army	2,702,640	3,810,333	+1,107,693
Procurement of Ammunition, Army (emergency)		(960,507)	(+960,507)
Other Procurement, Army	8,616,524	8,880,051	+263,527
Other Procurement, Army (emergency)		(165,455)	(+165,455)
Aircraft Procurement, Navy	16,214,250	15,241,216	- 973,034
Aircraft Procurement, Navy (emergency)		(124,800)	(+124,800)
Weapons Procurement, Navy	6,600,327	6,568,402	-31,925
Weapons Procurement, Navy (emergency)		(50,000)	(+50,000)
Procurement of Ammunition, Navy and Marine Corps	1,747,883	1,643,478	-104,405
Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy	32,378,291	37,023,244	+4,644,953
Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy (emergency)		(2,153,500)	(+2,153,500)
Other Procurement, Navy	15,877,253	16,482,271	+605,018
Other Procurement, Navy (emergency)		(597,500)	(+597,500)
Procurement, Marine Corps	4,243,863	4,201,143	-42,720
Procurement, Marine Corps (emergency)		(240,900)	(+240,900)
Aircraft Procurement, Air Force	19,835,430	21,736,953	+1,901,523
Aircraft Procurement, Air Force (emergency)		(2,140,821)	(+2,140,821)
Missile Procurement, Air Force	4,373,609	4,208,262	-165,347
Missile Procurement, Air Force (emergency)		(95,700)	(+95,700)
Procurement of Ammunition, Air Force	709,475	598,855	-110,620
Other Procurement, Air Force	30,298,764	29,876,245	-422,519

# SUMMARY OF PROCUREMENT APPROPRIATIONS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Account	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
Other Procurement, Air Force (emergency)		(344,980)	(+344,980)
Procurement, Space Force	4,262,979	4,078,521	- 184,458
Procurement, Defense-Wide	5,406,751	5,819,954	+ 413,203
Procurement, Defense-Wide (emergency)		(527,245)	(+527,245)
Defense Production Act Purchases	393,377	909,377	+ 516,000
Defense Production Act Purchases (emergency)		(500,000)	(+500,000)
National Guard and Reserve Equipment		1,000,000	+1,000,000
National Guard and Reserve Equipment (emergency)		(650,000)	(+650,000)
Total	166,770,761	175,222,313	+ 8,451,552
Total (emergency)		(9,133,208)	(+9,133,208)

#### REPROGRAMMING GUIDANCE FOR ACQUISITION ACCOUNTS

The Secretary of Defense is directed to continue to follow the reprogramming guidance as specified in the report accompanying the House version of the Department of Defense appropriations bill for fiscal year 2008 (House Report 110–279). The dollar threshold for reprogramming funds shall be \$15,000,000 for procurement and research, development, test and evaluation.

Also, the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) is directed to continue to provide the congressional defense committees quarterly, spreadsheet-based DD Form 1416 reports for service and defensewide accounts in titles III and IV of this act. Reports for titles III and IV shall comply with guidance specified in the conference report accompanying the Department of Defense Appropriations Act for Fiscal Year 2006. The Department shall continue to follow the limitation that prior approval reprogrammings are set at either the specified dollar threshold or 20 percent of the procurement or research, development, test and evaluation line, whichever is less. These thresholds are cumulative from the base for reprogramming value as modified by any adjustments. Therefore, if the combined value of transfers into or out of a procurement (P-1), or a research, development, test and evaluation (R-1) line exceeds the identified threshold, the Secretary of Defense must submit a prior approval reprogramming to the congressional defense committees. In addition, guidelines on the application of prior approval reprogramming procedures for congressional special interest items are established elsewhere in this explanatory statement.

#### FUNDING INCREASES

The funding increases outlined in the tables accompanying each appropriation account shall be provided only for the specific purposes indicated in the tables of Committee Recommended Adjustments. The Committee directs that funding increases shall be competitively awarded, or provided to programs that have received competitive awards in the past.

#### PROCUREMENT SPECIAL INTEREST ITEMS

Items for which additional funds have been recommended or items for which funding is specifically reduced as shown in the tables detailing Committee recommended adjustments or in paragraphs using the phrase "only for" or "only to" are congressional special interest items for the purpose of the Base for Reprogramming (DD Form 1414). Each of these items must be carried on the DD Form 1414 at the stated amount, as specifically addressed elsewhere in this report.

#### PROCUREMENT OVERVIEW

Army Organic Industrial Base.—The Committee directs the Secretary of the Army to provide 45-day written notification to the congressional defense committees prior to the Secretary approving civilian reductions in force that will result in an employment loss of 50 or more full-time employees at any Army organic industrial base facility. The notification shall include the impact that the proposed reduction in force will have on the ability to maintain the organic industrial base critical manufacturing capabilities as delineated in the Army Organic Industrial Base Strategy Report, a detailed accounting of the costs of implementing the reduction in force, and an assessment of the cost of, and time necessary, to restore any lost capability to meet future organic wartime manufacturing needs.

Budget Line Consolidation.—The Committee continues to support efforts to improve efficiency in the development and review of the Department of Defense budget. In Senate Report 118–81, the Committee directed the Secretary of the Army to develop a proposal to reduce and streamline the number of individual budget lines in the "Other Procurement, Army" appropriations account. Over the course of the past year, the Committee has worked in partnership with Army financial management and acquisition officials to identify budget lines within the "Other Procurement, Army" appropriations account that are superfluous and appropriate for consolidation. The Committee commends the team of Army officials who have diligently worked to evaluate opportunities for improvement.

The Committee's recommendation for "Other Procurement, Army" adopts the majority of the Secretary of the Army's recommendations, reducing 17 budget lines from the appropriation account. This will provide needed resources while reducing the need for reprogramming submissions to address fact-of-life changes that can be addressed in regular course at the budget line level. The Committee directs the Secretary of Army to undertake further review to identify additional lines for potential additional consolidation in conjunction with the submission of the fiscal year 2026 President's budget request.

Further, the Committee is encouraged by the progress demonstrated by the Secretary of the Army and believes that all Military Departments would benefit from a similar review of certain appropriations accounts. Therefore the Committee directs the Secretary of the Navy and the Air Force, in coordination with the Undersecretary of Defense (Comptroller) and the congressional defense committees, to separately develop proposals to reduce and

streamline the number of individual budget lines in the "Other Procurement, Navy" and the "Other Procurement, Air Force" appropriation accounts prior to submission of the President's fiscal year 2026 budget request. This will allow sufficient time for congressional review and implementation in the Department of De-

fense Appropriations Act, 2026.

Munitions Production Capacity Investments.—The fiscal year 2025 President's budget request seeks significant funding for tooling and facilitization at commercially-owned facilities for the production of munitions. This request comes in addition to the significant sums provided in prior years through Department of Defense Appropriations Acts, Supplemental Appropriations Acts, and replenishment funds. The Committee supports transitioning from a just-in-time" to a "just-in-case" approach to ensure that the defense industrial base has the ability to produce munitions rapidly, cost effectively, and in sufficient quantities to meet the total munitions requirements and surge capacity requirements of the Armed Forces. The Committee notes the Department of Defense's [DoD] responsibilities to establish clear, consistent requirements for both munitions stockpiles and surge capacity, so that the defense industrial base can plan accordingly. DoD also has the responsibility to budget for munitions at the appropriate level in a timely manner so they are available when needed. However, the Committee also notes the responsibility of the defense industrial base to maintain and modernize facilities to meet clear customer demands, recruit and train a skilled workforce, and manage supply chains at appropriate levels of capacity and readiness. The Committee is concerned by the inconsistent balance between government and private investment in these areas for certain munitions, especially as government-owned munitions facilities have suffered from long-term under-investment and require extensive improvements.

The Committee directs the Secretary of the Army, the Secretary of the Navy, and the Secretary of the Air Force, beginning with the President's budget request for fiscal year 2026, to include a separate cost element or project code, within each applicable munition program's justification materials, for facilitization, including tooling and capacity expansion at commercial facilities; and to provide the congressional defense committees with the surge capacity requirement and expected industry cost-sharing or co-investment for each such request, as well as the amount of government and commercial investment in such program's facilities in each of the previous five

fiscal years.

Multi-Year Procurement Contracts for Critical Munitions.—In the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2024 (Public Law 118–47) Congress supported the Department's requests for multi-year procurement authority for six critical munitions programs. The Committee continues to closely monitor the progress of these programs and is concerned by the continuing delays in awarding and executing these contracts. The Committee reiterates its expectation that these procurements will result in substantial unit cost savings, stability in the supplier base, industry investment in expanding and upgrading their facilities, and weapons being delivered at cost and on or ahead of schedule. Accordingly, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a twice-yearly report on

the status of each such munition multi-year procurement award until all munitions covered under such award have been delivered, to include, projected and realized cost savings, the amount and impact of government and industry investment on capacity and associated supply chains, and an assessment of the extent to which such award has generated greater stability in the associated supply chain.

Counter-Unmanned Aerial Systems.—The Committee recognizes the urgent need for the military services to field systems that defend against the growing and constantly evolving threat from unmanned aerial systems [UAS]. Given the wide variety and global proliferation of UAS threats, combined with constrained air defense capacity, the Committee believes that the Department should field a number of capabilities that can address the full breadth of evolving threats and take advantage of multiple innovative approaches. The Committee is concerned that, through its internal requirements development and budget review processes, the Army has inadvertently self-imposed restrictions on the counter-UAS systems it can procure. Therefore, to provide the Army with greater flexibility, the Committee recommends adjustments in "Other Procurement, Army" and "Missile Procurement, Army", as detailed in the respective tables of Committee Recommended Adjustments, to expand the scope of counter-UAS procurement options. Additionally, to meet the counter-UAS need and address the Army's top unfunded priority, the Committee recommends \$184,837,000 above the President's budget request for additional interceptors and launchers.

The Committee's recommendations enable the Army to utilize funds requested in these appropriation accounts for the most appropriate system to meet current and emerging UAS threats. The Committee urges the Assistant Secretary of the Army (Acquisition, Logistics, and Technology) and the Chief of Staff of the Army to use this flexibility to ensure the Army rapidly fields a range of the most capable systems, incorporates lessons learned from other military services and combatant commands using counter-UAS systems; and only undertakes the time and resource intensive research and development where currently available systems clearly cannot meet operational needs. The Committee directs that, not later than 30 days after the enactment of this act, the Assistant Secretary of the Army (Acquisition, Logistics, and Technology) shall brief the congressional defense committees on the Army's plan to address the issues the Committee has identified and execute the funding the Committee has recommended for counter-UAS capabilities.

V-22 Comprehensive Review.—First reaching initial operating capability in 2007, 431 V-22 Osprey tiltrotor aircraft have been delivered to the Navy, Marine Corps and Air Force Special Operations Command [AFSOC]. The Committee recognizes that the tiltrotor aircraft was a technological leap in aviation that has provided an invaluable asset to operating forces that can employ a vertical/short take-off and landing system with ranges and speeds that far exceed traditional rotor blade aircraft. However, the Committee notes that regrettably during the life of the program there have been at least 16 class-A mishaps that have resulted in crashes and loss of life.

The fiscal year 2025 President's Budget request includes \$60,175,000 in the Aircraft Procurement, Navy [AP,N] account for MV-22 and CMV-22 production line shutdown and production engineering support. The Department of the Navy communicated that \$30,000,000 of these funds are no longer required for these efforts, and requested that this amount be transferred within the AP,N account to the V-22 Modifications line for safety initiatives. The Committee approves this request and has included the transfer in support of improving the safety of this aircraft.

The Committee is aware that the Naval Air Systems Command [NAVAIR] has instituted a comprehensive 12-month review of the program to identify and implement opportunities to improve safety, availability and affordability of the system with corrective actions

to be implemented over multiple phases.

Further, the Committee notes that the Army's Future Long Range Assault Aircraft [FLRAA], a tiltrotor variant, recently entered the engineering and manufacturing development phase of the program. The Committee encourages the Army Program Executive Officer, Aviation to collaborate with the Navy's Program Executive Officer, Air Anti-Submarine Warfare, Assault & Special Mission [PEO (A)] on lessons learned for the development and operation of tiltrotor aircraft to ensure insights gained across the V–22 program lifecycle can be applied early to FLRAA detailed design activities as appropriate.

Finally, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Navy to provide quarterly updates to the congressional defense committees on the status of the NAVAIR-led review, as well as a final report on the findings and implementation plan of all recommendations, not later than 90-days following the completion of NAVAIR's com-

prehensive review.

## AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY

Budget estimate, 2025	\$3,164,183,000
Committee recommendation	3.163.347.000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$3,163,347,000. This is \$836,000 below the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

			200c		oo #immo	Change from	from
Line	ltem	Qty.	cozo budget estimate	Qty.	recommendation	Qty.	Budget estimate
	AIRCRAFT FIXED WING						
2 3 4 7 7 10	FUTURE UAS FAMILY SMALL UNMANNED ARCRAFT SYSTEM AH-64 PACHE BLOCK IIIA REMAN UH-60 BLACKHAWK [MYP] [AP-CY] CH-47 HELICOPTER [AP-CY]	31 24 10	149,059 69,573 570,655 709,054 58,170 699,698	31 24 10	143,182 43,514 570,655 709,054 58,170 699,698		_ 5,877 _ 26,059
	TOTAL, AIRCRAFT		2,256,209		2,224,273		-31,936
	MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT						
13	MQ-1 PAYLOAD GRAY EAGLE MODS2 AH-64 MODS		14,086 23,865 81,026		14,086 23,865 86,026		+ 5,000
16 17 18	CH-47 CARGO HELICOPTER MODS [MYP] UTILITY HELICOPTER MODS NETWORK AND MISSION PLAN		15,825 34,565 49,862		23,925 49,565 52,862		+ 8,100 + 15,000 + 3,000
19 20 21	COMMS, NAV SURVEILLANCE DEGRADED VISUAL ENVIRONMENT AVIATION ASSURED PNT		61,362 3,839 69,161		61,362 3,839 69,161		
23	GAIM KULLUP  UAS MODS		4,842 2,265		4,842 2,265		
	TOTAL, MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT		360,698		391,798		+31,100
24	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES GROUND SUPPORT AVIONICS 24   AIRCRAFT SURVIVABILITY EQUIPMENT		139,331		139,331		

[Dollars in thousands]

										JI
from	Budget estimate									- 836
Change from	Qty.									
ommi#oo	recommendation	51,646 257,854		31,181	14,478	27,428	3,815	21,543	547,276	3,163,347
	Otty.	100								
2005 budget	estimate	51,646 257,854		31,181	14,478	27,428	3,815	21,543	547,276	3,164,183
	ûty.	100								
	Item	26 CMMS	OTHER SUPPORT	28 COMMON GROUND EQUIPMENT	AIRCREW INTEGRATED SYSTEMS	AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL	31   LAUNCHER, 275 ROCKET	32   Launcher Guided Missile: Longbow Hellfire XM2	TOTAL, SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES	TOTAL, AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY
	Line	26		78	53	30	31	32		

[Dollars in thousands]

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[Dollars in thousands]

Line	Item	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from estimate
2	Future UAS Family	149,059	143,182	- 5,877
	Program decrease: ALE-MR unit cost adjustment			-5,877
3	SMALL UNMANNED AIRCRAFT SYSTEMS	69,573	43,514	-26,059
	Program decrease: Unjustified request COTS UAS			-23,500
	Program decrease: Unit cost adjustment			-2,559
15	AH-64 MODS	81,026	86,026	+5,000
	Program increase: Hybrid composite barrel			+ 5,000
16	CH-47 Cargo Helicopter Mods [MYP]	15,825	23,925	+8,100
	Program increase: Lightweight ballistic protection sys-			
	tems			+8,100
17	Utility Helicopter Mods	34,565	49,565	+15,000
	Program increase: UH-72 lifecycle sustainment and anal-			
	ysis			+10,000
	Program increase: UH-60 thermoplastic tail rotor up-			
	grades			+5,000
18	Network And Mission Plan	49,862	52,862	+3,000
	Program increase: Flight scheduling software			+1,500
	Program increase: Aviation status dashboard			+1,500

# MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY

Budget estimate, 2025	\$6,245,770,000
budget estimate, 2025	DO.240.770.000
Committee recommendation	6 316 380 000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$6,316,380,000, of which \$382,000,000 is designated as being for an emergency requirement pursuant to section 251(b)(2)(A)(i) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985. This is \$70,610,000 above the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

						Change from	from
Line	ltem	Qty.	zuzo buaget estimate	Qty.	recommendation	Qty.	Budget estimate
	MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY						
	OTHER MISSILES						
	SURFACE-TO-AIR MISSILE SYSTEM						
-	LOWER TIER AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE (AMD) Sen		516,838		258,419		-258,419
ო .	M-SHORAD—PROCUREMENT		69,091		69,091		
4 9	MSE MISSILE PRECISION STRIKE MISSILE [PRSM]	230	963,060 482,536	230	963,060 571,509		+ 88,973
9 ~	PRECISION STRIKE MISSILE [PRSM] (emergency)		10 030	(62)	(114,000)		(+114,000) -10.030
. ∞	INDIRECT FIRE PROTECTION CAPABILITY INC 2—1		657,581		574,767		-82,814
9	MID-RANGE CAPABILITY [MRC]		233,037 117,424		233,037 302,261		+ 184,837
	AIR-TO-SURFACE MISSILE SYSTEM						
12	JOINT AIR-TO-GROUND MSLS [JAGM]	23	47,582	23	47,582		
	LONG RANGE PRECISION MUNITION						
13	LONG-RANGE HYPERSONIC WEAPON		744,178		691,919		-52,259
	ANTI-TANK/ASSAULT MISSILE SYSTEM						
14	JAVELIN [AAWS-M] SYSTEM SUMMARY	930	326,120		229,953	- 930	-96,167
15	TOW 2 SYSTEM SUMMARY GUIDED MLRS ROCKET IGMLRS1	/99/	121,448	/99/	121,448		
17	GUIDED MLRS ROCKET [GMLRS] [AP-CY] MIPS BEDITCED PANNE PRAYTICE BACKETS (BPBP)	2 508	51,511	2 508	30,000		-21,511
19	HIGH MOBILITY ARTILLERY ROCKET SYSTEM (HIMARS)  ARMY TACTICAL MSI. SYS (ATACMS)—SYS SUM	10	79,230 79,387 3,280	10	79,387		
21	LETHAL MINIATURE AERIAL MISSILE SYSTEM (LMAMS						
22	FAMILY OF LOW ALTITUDE UNMANNED SYSTEMS (emergency)		120,599		130,599 (10,000)		+ 10,000 (+ 10,000)

[Dollars in thousands]

TOTAL, OTHER MISSILES	SILES	5,742,196	5,504,806	-237,390
MODIFICATION OF MISSILES MODIFICATIONS				
PATRIOT MODS (emergency)	PATRIOT MODS (emergency)	 75,146 75,146 2,321 185,839	338,958 (167,000) 166,146 (91,000) 2,321 185,839	+ 167,000 (+167,000) + 91,000 (+91,000)
TOTAL, MODIFICATION OF	ON OF MISSILES	484,845	742,845	+ 258,000
SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS 29 SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	, FACILITIES	969'9	56,695	+ 50,000
30 AIR DEFENSE TARGETS	AIR DEFENSE TARGETS	12,034	12,034	
TOTAL, MISSILE PR	TOTAL, MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY	6,245,770	6,316,380	+ 70,610
TOTAL, MISSILE PR	TOTAL, MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY (emergency)		(382,000)	(+382,000)

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

	I	ı	ı	
Line	Item	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1	Lower Tier Air and Missile Defense [AMD] Sen	516,838	258,419	- 258,419
	Test delays			- 258,419
6	PRECISION STRIKE MISSILE [PRSM]	482,536	571,509	+ 88,973
	Excess cost: Capacity expansion			- 25,027
	Program increase: Precision Strike Missile (emergency)			+ 114,000
7	PRECISION STRIKE MISSILE [PRSM]	10,030		-10,030
	Early to need: PrSM Inc 2			-10,030
8	INDIRECT FIRE PROTECTION CAPABILITY INC 2-1	657,581	574,767	- 82,814
	IDDS—A Integrated Logistics Support			- 54,104
	Unjustified unit cost growth: IFPC magazines			-3,710
	Early to need: Facilitization			- 25,000
10	COUNTER SMALL UNMANNED AERIAL SYSTEM INTERCEP	117,424	302,261	+ 184,837
	Program adjustment: Coyote interceptors and launch-			
	ers			- 117,424
	Program adjustment: Counter unmanned aerial sys-			
	tems interceptors and launchers			+ 117,424
	Program increase: Additional interceptors and launch-			. 104 007
10	ers	744 170		+ 184,837
13	LONG-RANGE HYPERSONIC WEAPON	744,178	691,919	- 52,259
1.4	Early to need: Support costs	200 100	220 052	- 52,259
14	Javelin [AAWS-M] System Summary	326,120	229,953	- 96,167
17	Program adjustment		20.000	- 96,167
17	Guided MLRS Rocket [GMLRS]	51,511	30,000	-21,511
22	Program adjustment  FAMILY OF LOW ALTITUDE UNMANNED SYSTEMS	120 500	120 500	-21,511
22		120,599	130,599	+10,000
	Program increase: Lethal unmanned system/low alti- tude stalk and strike ordnance (emergency)			+ 10,000
23	Patriot Mods	171,958	338,958	+ 167,000
23	Program increase: Accelerate PATRIOT air defense	171,330	330,330	+ 107,000
	battalion (emergency)			+ 167,000
24	Stinger Mods	75.146	166.146	+ 91,000
	Program increase: Stingers (emergency)	73,140	100,140	+ 91,000
29	Spares And Repair Parts	6.695	56.695	+ 50,000
20	Program increase: Spares and repair parts	.,	30,033	+ 50,000
				1 00,000

# PROCUREMENT OF WEAPONS AND TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES, ARMY

Budget estimate, 2025	\$3,699,392,000
Committee recommendation	3,664,281,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$3,664,281,000, of which \$199,800,000 is designated as being for an emergency requirement pursuant to section 251(b)(2)(A)(i) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985. This is \$35,111,000 below the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

			2000 hidan		timmo.	Change from	from
Line	Item	Qty.	estimate	Qty.	recommendation	Qty.	Budget estimate
	PROCUREMENT OF WEAPONS AND TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES [W&TCV], ARMY						
	TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES						
0	ARMORED MULTI PURPOSE VE	81	515,344	81	381,510		-133,834
3 %	Assauli Breacher Vehicle [ABV]	33	2,681 460,637	33	5,681 439,111		- 21,526
	MODIFICATION OF TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES						
4	STRYKER (MOD)		52.471		52.471		
2	STRYKER UPGRADE	38	402,840	38	402,840		
9 1			7,255		7,255		
_ 0			106,937		106,937		
∞ 0	MIO9 FOV MODIFICATIONS	C	42,5/4	-	42,5/4	-	101
9 5	PALADIN INIEGRATED MANAGEMENT [PIM]	70	41/,/41	0 1	256,390	01-	-161,351 -10,000
3 =	_	28	174.779	2 8	174.779		000,01
12	ABRAMS UPGRADE PROGRAM	30	773,745	45	853,845	+15	+ 80,100
12					(3,300)		(+3,300)
	TOTAL, TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES		3,111,661		2,865,050		-246,611
	WEAPONS AND OTHER COMBAT VEHICLES						
14	PERSONAL DEFENSE WEAPON (ROLL)	2,311	4,869	2,311	4,869		
15	M240 MEDIUM MACHINE GUN (762MM)		ကျ		10,003		+10,000
7 8	MACHINE GUN, CAL .30 MZ RULL		8.353		8.353		
19	LOCATION & AZIMUTH DETERMINATION SYSTEM (LADS		2,543		2,543		
20	XM320 GRENADE LAUNCHER MODULE [GLM]		17,747		17,747		
21	PRECISION SNIPER RIFLE		5,910		5,910		
22	CARBINE		က		က		
23	NEXT GENERATION SQUAD WEAPON		367,292		367,292		
25	HANDGUN MK-19 GRENADE MACHINE GUN MODS		34 5,531		34     10,531		+5,000

[Dollars in thousands]

			2005 hudget		owni#oo	Change from	from
Line	Item	Qty.	sozo budger estimate	Qty.	recommendation	.tyo	Budget estimate
	MOD OF WEAPONS AND OTHER COMBAT VEH						
26 1	M777 MODS		25,998 12,823		25,998 12,823		
	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES						
31 32 32 33	ITEMS LESS THAN \$50M (WOCV-WITCV) PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT [WOCV-WITCV] PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT [WOCV-WITCV] (emergency) COMMON REMOTELY OPERATED WEAPONS STATION		1,031		1,031 332,091 (196,500)		+ 196,500 (+ 196,500)
	TOTAL, WEAPONS AND OTHER COMBAT VEHICLES		587,731		799,231		+ 211,500
	TOTAL, PROCUREMENT OF W&TCV, ARMY		3,699,392		3,664,281		- 35,111
	TOTAL, PROCUREMENT OF W&TCV, ARMY (emergency)				(199,800)		(+199,800)

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1	Armored Multi Purpose Vehicle [AMPV]	515,344	381,510	- 133,834
	Contract savings			- 133,834
3	M10 B00KER	460,637	439,111	-21,526
	Unjustified unit cost growth: Contractor furnished			
	equipment			-21,526
9	Paladin Integrated Management [PIM]	417,741	256,390	- 161,351
	Carryover			- 7,142
	Production delays			- 154,209
10	IMPROVED RECOVERY VEHICLE (M88 HERCULES)	151,657	141,657	-10,000
	Program delays			-10,000
12	Abrams Upgrade Program	773,745	853,845	+80,100
	Program increase			+ 76,800
	Program increase: Industrial base facilitization (emer-			
	gency)			+ 3,300
15	M240 Medium Machine Gun (7.62mm)	3	10,003	+ 10,000
	Program increase: M240 medium machine gun			+10,000
25	MK-19 Grenade Machine Gun MODS	5,531	10,531	+ 5,000
	Program increase: MK93 mounts			+ 5,000
32	Production Base Support [WOCV-WTCV]	135,591	332,091	+ 196,500
	Program increase: Industrial base facilitization (emer-	· ·	· ·	ĺ
	gency)			+ 196,500

Wireless Intercommunication System.—The Committee understands there is currently a capability gap for wireless intercommunications for mounted and dismounted vehicle crews operating combat vehicles, to include the M88A2 Improved Recovery Vehicle, Abrams main battle tank, Bradley Fighting Vehicle and the Armored Multipurpose Vehicle. The Committee encourages the Army to resource efforts to address this capability gap to allow for mounted and dismounted crew to maintain communications and situational awareness.

### PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY

Budget estimate, 2025	\$2,702,640,000
Committee recommendation	3,810,333,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$3,810,333,000, of which \$960,507,000 is designated as being for an emergency requirement pursuant to section 251(b)(2)(A)(i) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985. This is \$1,107,693,000 above the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

			1000			Change from	from
Line	ltem	Qty.	coco pudget estimate	Qty.	recommendation	Oty.	Budget estimate
	PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY						
	AMMUNITION						
	SMALL/MEDIUM CAL AMMUNITION						
-1	CTG, 556MM, ALL TYPES		84,090		82,858		-1,232
√ m <	NCL, VGEMIN, ALL TILES OFFE GREENERING SQUAD WEAPON AMMUNITION OFFE HARDGIN ALL TYPES		205,889		183,803		-22,086
. 25	CTG, 50 CAL, TYPES		50,002		49,055		- 947
9 ~	CIG, 20MM, ALL TYPES CTG, 25MM, ALL TYPES		7,012		7,012		
∞ c	CTG, 30MM, ALL TYPES		82,965		77,622		-5,343
10	CIG, SOMM, ALL TYPES		20,006		20,006		
	MORTAR AMMUNITION						
11 12 13	60MM MORTAR, ALL TYPES 81MM MORTAR, ALL TYPES 120MM MORTAR, ALL TYPES		40,853 51,282 109,370		29,853 40,442 111,870		$\begin{array}{l} -11,000 \\ -10,840 \\ +2,500 \end{array}$
	TANK AMMUNITION						
14	CARTRIDGES, TANK, 105MM AND 120MM, ALL TYPES		378,191		327,716		-50,475
	ARTILLERY AMMUNITION						
15 16 17 18	ARTILLERY CARTRIDGES, 75MM, & 105MM, All Types  ARTILLERY PROJECTILE, 155MM, ALL TYPES  PRECISION ARTILLERY MUNITIONS  ARTILLERY PROPELLANTS, FUZES AND PRIMERS, ALL TYPES		22,957 171,657 71,426 160,479		22,957 171,657 68,636 155,365		-2,790 -5,114
	MINES						
19 20 21	MINES AND CLEARING CHARGES, ALL TYPES CLOSE TERRAIN SHAPING OBSTACLE MINE, AT, VOLCANO, ALL TYPES		56,032 15,303 501		56,032 15,303 501		

							105						
			-3,954	-1,036				-117,111		+1,224,804 (+960,507)	+1,224,804	+1,107,693	(+ 960,507)
	833		6,571 17,728 32,623	21,510 11,132		4,085	16,074 3,283 18,677	1,805,580		1,864,964 (960,507) 135,649 4,140	2,004,753	3,810,333	(960,507)
	833 34,302		6,571 21,682 32,623	21,510 12,168		4,085	16,074 3,283 18,677	1,922,691		640,160 135,649 4,140	779,949	2,702,640	
ROCKETS	SHOULDER LAUNCHED MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES	OTHER AMMUNITION		SIGNALS, ALL TYPES SIMULATORS, ALL TYPES	MISCELLANEOUS	I AMMO COMPONENTS, ALL TYPES NON-LETHAL AMMUNITION, ALL TYPES	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION (AMMO)  AMMUNITION PECULIAR EQUIPMENT FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION (AMMO)  CLOSEOUT LIABILITIES	TOTAL, AMMUNITION	AMMUNITION PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT	INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES (emergency) CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS DEMILITARIZATION ARMS INITIATIVE	TOTAL, AMMUNITION PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT	TOTAL, PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY	TOTAL, PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY (emergency)
	22 23		24 25 26	27		30	32 33 34 35			36 36 37 38			

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1	CTG, 5.56MM, All Types	84,090	82,858	- 1,232
	Excess to need			- 1,232
2	CTG, 7.62MM, All Types	41,519	36,725	- 4,794
•	Excess to need			- 4,794
3	Next Generation Squad Weapon Ammunition	205,889	183,803	- 22,086
-	Excess unit cost increases		40.055	- 22,086
5	CTG, .50 Cal, All Types	50,002	49,055	- 947
	Inconsistent pricing: A557		77.000	- 947
8	CTG, 30mm, All Types	82,965	77,622	- 5,343
	Unjustified unit cost increases			-10,343
	Program increase: 30mm ammunition production ca-			+ 5.000
11	pacity	40.052	20.052	+ 5,000 - 11.000
11	60MM Mortar, All Types	40,853	29,853	- 11,000 - 11,000
12	Unjustified unit cost increases	51.282	40.442	- 11,000 - 10,840
12	Unjustified unit cost increases	31,202	40,442	- 10,840 - 10.840
13	120MM Mortar, All Types	109,370	111.870	+ 2.500
13	Program increase: M929 120mm mortars	103,370	111,670	+ 2,500 + 2,500
14	Cartridges, Tank, 105MM And 120MM, All Types	378.191	327.716	- 50.475
14	Unjustified request: CA58	376,131	327,710	- 30,473 - 1.261
	Excess to need: CA31/CA68			- 48.178
	Unit cost increase: CA71			- 1.036
17	PRECISION ARTILLERY MUNITIONS	71,426	68,636	- 2.790
17	Unjustified unit cost increase	71,420		-2.790
18	Artillery Propellants, Fuzes and Primers, All	160.479	155.365	- 5.114
10	Excess growth: Precision guidance kit	100,475	100,000	-5,114
25	Demolition Munitions, All Types	21.682	17.728	- 3,954
	Contract termination: M500	21,002	27,720	- 3,023
	Unit cost increase			- 931
28	Simulators, All Types	12,168	11,132	-1,036
	Excess to need	,		-1,036
36	Industrial Facilities	640.160	1,864,964	+ 1,224,804
	Program increase: Modular artillery production facility			+ 248,000
	Program increase: Small caliber primer production fa-			,,,,,,
	cility			+ 16,297
	Program increase: Army ammunition plants mod-			, ,
	ernization (emergency)			+ 960,507

Army Ammunition Industrial Base.—The Committee supports establishing a modular artillery production line within the Army organic industrial base as recommended by the briefing required by the Joint Explanatory Statement accompanying the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2024 (Public Law 118–31), which assessed potential opportunities for organic industrial base augmentation. The Committee understands that adding a new production line, based upon designs for the Universal Artillery Production Line, to the organic industrial base would provide the Army additional resilience and surge capacity to meet demand across a range of artillery production, particularly for metal components. The Committee recommends \$248,000,000, above the President's budget request, in line 36 "Industrial Facilities" of the "Procurement of Ammunition, Army" account, for this purpose.

120 Millimeter Visual Light Illumination Mortar.—The Committee recognizes the current need for M930 120 millimeter visual

light illumination mortars due to increased threats. Further, the Committee recognizes the specialized capability inherent at the Pine Bluff Arsenal to produce white phosphorus mortar ammunition. The Committee recommends support of the fiscal year 2025 President's budget request for continued production of M930 120 millimeter mortar ammunition.

Nitrocellulose Production.—The Committee notes the importance of nitrocellulose, nitroglycerin, and acids in the production of ammunition and the consequent importance for training and readiness. The Committee directs the Secretary of the Army to submit to the congressional defense committees a report describing: military requirements for nitrocellulose for ammunition production; current production capacity and the extent to which current capacity meets military requirements; the health and resiliency of the relevant supply chains; and any recommendations to improve nitrocellulose, nitroglycerin, and acid production.

#### OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY

Budget estimate, 2025	\$8,616,524,000
Committee recommendation	8,880,051,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$8,880,051,000, of which \$165,455,000 is designated as being for an emergency requirement pursuant to section 251(b)(2)(A)(i) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985. This is \$263,527,000 above the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

		Budget estimate			- 26,132 59,602	+ 85,734 + 100,000 + 12,200	-25,235	+ 168,800 - 3,093	+ 167,805	- 949 + 27,600		-3,875 +3,875	+ 366,872		-127,479 $-280,798$
	Change from	Qty.													
	Committee	recommendation				85,734 105,265 46,607		302,724 69,667		2,747		14,667	1,775,248		
		Qty.													
	2025 hudget	estimate			26,132 59,602	5,265 34,407	653,223	133,924	35,726 98,906 80,256	2,747 2,747 169,726		3,875	1,408,376		127,479
lou sands]		Otty.													
[Dollars in thousands]		Rem	OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY	TACTICAL AND SUPPORT VEHICLES	SEMITRALIERS, FLATBED: SEMITRALIERS, TANKERS	Family of Semitrailers HIGH MOBILITY MULTI—PURPOSE WHEELED VEHICLE [HMMWV] GROUND MOBILITY VEHICLES [GMV] ARNG HMMWV MODERNIZATION PROGRAM	JOINT LIGHT TACTICAL VEHICLE FAMILY OF VEHICLESTRICK DIIMP 20+ (CCF)	FAMILY OF MEDIUM TACTICAL VEH (FMTV) FAMILY OF COLD MATHER ALL—FERRAIN VEHICE (C)	FIRE KOCKS & ASSUCIATE D'INFERIENTING EKUTYWEN FAMILY OF HEAVY TACTICAL VEHICLES (FHTV)	HVY EXPANDED MOBILE TACTICAL TRUCK EXT SERV TACTICAL WHEELED VEHICLE PROTECTION KITS MODIFICATION OF IN SVC. FOLLIP		PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES NONTACTICAL VEHICLES, OTHER	TOTAL, TACTICAL AND SUPPORT VEHICLES	COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT	COMM—JOINT COMMUNICATIONS SIGNAL MODERNIZATION PROGRAM TACTICAL NETWORK TECHNOLOGY MOD IN SERVICE
		Line			1	ε <b>4</b> τ	9	. w on ç		11 13	}	16			18

[Dollars in thousands]

20	Tactical Network Communication DISASTER INCIDENT RESPONSE COMMS TERMINAL (DI		378,645	+ 378,645
21	JCSE EQUIPMENT (USREDCOM)	5,504	5,504	
	COMM—SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS			
24 25	BAND SATCOM SYSTEMS COMMUNICATIONS	87,058	87,058	- 34,939
97	ION AND TIMING	43,897	149,921	-43,89/ $+149,921$ $-2,834$
28 30		16,028 16,028 534	534	- 2,634 - 16,028
	COMM—C3 SYSTEM			
32	COE TACTICAL SERVER INFRASTRUCTURE [TS]]	61,772	58,692	-3,080
	COMM—COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS			
33	RM FIT [HMS]	704,118	649,214 104,320	- 54,904
37	UDITED COMMAND SUITE.  COTS COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT  EAMILY OF MET CAMMA FOR CAMPATA PACIFIED.	489,754 489,754	489,754 489,754 5,000	. 000 4 H
39	STRONICS	60,611	60,611	000,0
	COMM—INTELLIGENCE COMM			
40	CI AUTOMATION ARCHITECTURE [MIP] MULTI-DOMAIN INTELLIGENCE	15,512 163,077	15,512 131,548	-31,529
	INFORMATION SECURITY			
43 44 47	INFORMATION SYSTEM SECURITY PROGRAM—ISSP COMMUNICATIONS SECURITY [COMSEC] BIOMETRIC ENABLING CAPABILITY [BEC]	337 157,400 45	337 98,005 45	- 59,395
	COMM—LONG HAUL COMMUNICATIONS			
49	BASE SUPPORT COMMUNICATIONS	26,446	42,402	- 26,446 + 42,402
	COMM—BASE COMMUNICATIONS			
20	INFORMATION SYSTEMS	75,505	48,912	-26,593

from	Budget estimate	-15,956 $-11,801$	-9,221 -8,513 -2,000 -2,089 +4,851	-21,278	-8.817 -13,885 -42,372 +172,455 (+165,458 -17,438 -17,438
Change from	Qty.				
Committee recommendation		138,978	88,412 4,122 39,344 13,541 4,851	5,049 9,956 17,004 13,225 20,951 260	171,436 363,558 10,864 63,122 164,980 2,971 (8,504 45,541 (165,455) 167,172 8,826 2,966
Qty.					
2025 budget estimate		15,956 150,779	9,221 96,925 4,122 39,344 6,541 3,899 2,089	26,327 9,956 17,004 13,225 20,951 260	180,253 377,443 10,884 63,122 207,352 2,971 88,504 280,086 184,610 9,345 2,966
Qty.					
	Item	EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT MODERNIZATION PROGRAM	JT/CIBS—M [MIP] TERRESTRIAL LAYER SYSTEMS DGGS—A INTEL TROJAN MOD OF IN-SYC EQUIP (INTEL CI AND HUMMT INTELLIGENCE CI AND HUMMT INTELLIGENCE BIOMETRIC TACTICAL COLLEC COllection Capability	ELECT EQUIP—ELECTRONIC WARFARE [EW]  EW PLANNING & MANAGEMENT TOOLS [EWPMT]  AR VIGILANCE [AV]  MULTI-FUNCTION ELECTRONIC WARFARE [MFEW] SYST  FAMILY OF PERSISTENT SURVEILLANCE CAP  COUNTERINTELLIGENCE/SECURITY COUNTERMEASURES  CI MODERNIZATION  ELECT EQUIP—TACTICAL SURV [TAC SURV]	SENTINEL MODS  NIGHT VISION DEVICES SMALL TACTICAL OPTICAL RIFLE MOUNTED MLRF INDIRECT FIRE PROTECTION FAMILY OF SYSTEMS FAMILY OF WEAPON SIGHTS [FWS] ENHANCED PORTABLE INDUCTIVE ARTILLERY FUZE SE FORWARD LOCKING INFRARED [IFLIR] COUNTER SMALL UNMANNED AREAL SYSTEM [C-SUAS] JOINT BATTLE COMMANN—PLATFORM [JBC-P] JOINT BATTLE COMMANN—PLATFORM [JBC-P] JOINT EFFECTS TARGETING SYSTEM [JETS]
	Line	51 52	56 57 59 61 62 63 63	65 66 67 68 69 69 70	71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 78 78 80 80 80

[Dollars in thousands]

82 83 84	MORTAR FIRE CONTROL SYSTEM MORTAR FIRE CONTROL SYSTEM MODIFICATIONS COUNTERFIRE RADARS	4,660 6,098 21,250	4,660 6,098 18,802	-2,448
	ELECT EQUIP—TACTICAL C2 SYSTEMS			
85	ARMY COMMAND POST INTEGRATED INFRASTRUCTURE	20,039	5,000	-15,039
87	AIR & MSL DEFENSE PLANNING & CONTROL SYS (AMD	80,011	80,011	
& S	IAMD BATTLE COMMAND SYSTEM	403,028	347,883	-55,145
6 6	ARAMID FAMILE OF STSTEMS (193) COMPONENTS	5,360	5,360	
91	NETWORK MANAGEMENT INITIALIZATION AND SERVICE	48,994	48,994	
92	GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM-ARMY [GCSS-A]	4,103	3,624	- 479
94	INTEGRALED FERNOUNDEL AND FAILSTANDEN	5,017	5,017	700,1
	ELECT EQUIP—AUTOMATION			
92	ARMY TRAINING MODERNIZATION	10,065	10,065	
96 97	automated data processing equipment Accessions information environment (AIE)	78,613	78,613	
99	HIGH PERF COMPUTING MOD PI	76,327	76,327	
101		60,850	1,817	- 60,850
	NICATION	5,369,676	5,131,197	- 238,479
	OTHER SUPPORT EQUIPMENT			
	CHEMICAL DEFENSIVE EQUIPMENT			
104		32,879	32,879	
201	DDIN DETENSE	004,70	004,70	
106				
100				
107	107   TACTICAL BRIDGE, FLOAT-RIBBON	97,231	97,231	

			2025 budget		Committoo	Change from	from
Line	Rem	Qty.	estimate	Qty.	recommendation	Qty.	Budget estimate
	BRIDGE SUPPLEMENTAL SET						
	ENGINEER (NON-CONSTRUCTION) EQUIPMENT						
111 112 113	ROBOTICS AND APPLIQUE SYSTEMS RENDER SAFE SETS KITS OUTFITS FAMILY OF BOATS AND MOTORS		62,469 16,440 1,922		76,469 16,440 1,922		+ 14,000
	COMBAT SERVICE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
114	HEATERS AND ECU'S		14,355		14,355		
115 116			6,503 141,613		6,503 151,613		+ 10,000
117			23,129		19,929		-3,200
118	FUNCE FROWDER CARGO AERIAL DEL & PERSONNEL PARACHUTE SYSTEM FAMILY OF ENGR COMBAT AND CONSTRUCTION SETS		9,569 46,312 9,217		21,219 46,312 9,217		+ 11,650
	PETROLEUM EQUIPMENT						
122 123	QUALITY SURVEILLANCE EQUIPMENT18355 DISTRIBUTION SYSTEMS, PETROLEUM & WATER		2,879 57,050		44,602		-2,879 $-12,448$
	MEDICAL EQUIPMENT						
124	COMBAT SUPPORT MEDICAL		72,157		72,157		
	MAINTENANCE EQUIPMENT						
125	MOBILE MAINTENANCE EQUIPMENT SYSTEMS		26,271		146,271		+ 120,000
	CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT						
	TRACTOR, FULL TRACKED						
127	ALL TERRAIN CRANES HIGH MOBILITY ENGINEER EXCAVATOR [HMEE]		114 31,663				-114 $-31,663$
	FAMILY OF DIVER SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
130	130 CONST EQUIP ESP		8,925				-8,925

	Construction Equipment		47,109	+ 47,109
131 132 133	ARMY WATERCRAFT ESP	55,459 66,634 20,036	55,459 88,634 20,036	+ 22,000
	GENERATORS			
134 135	GENERATORS AND ASSOCIATED EQUIPMENT TACTICAL ELECTRIC POWER RECAPITALIZATION	81,540 12,051	93,591	$^{+12,051}_{-12,051}$
	MATERIAL HANDLING EQUIPMENT			
136	FAMILY OF FORKLIFTS	7,849	7,849	
	TRAINING EQUIPMENT			
137 138 139 140	COMBAT TRAINING CENTERS SUPPORT TRAINING DEVICES, NONSYSTEM SYNTHETIC TRAINING ENVIRONMENT (STE) GAMING TECHNOLOGY IN SUPPORT OF ARMY TRAINING	40,686 174,890 218,183 10,172	38,682 174,890 194,009 10,172	-2,004 -24,174
141 142	INTEGRATED FAMILY OF TEST EQUIPMENT (IFTE) TEST EQUIPMENT MODERNIZATION (TEMOD)	48,329	48,329 46,128	
	OTHER SUPPORT EQUIPMENT			
143 144 145 146 146	PHYSICAL SECURITY SYSTEMS (OPA3)  BASE LEVEL COM'L EQUIPMENT  MODIFICATION OF IN-SYC EQUIPMENT (OPA-3)  BUILDING, PRE-FAB, RELOCATABLE  SPECIAL EQUIPMENT FOR TEST AND EVALUATION	138,459 29,968 42,487 26,980 90,705	138,459 29,968 52,487 12,762 90,705	+ 10,000 - 14,218
	TOTAL, OTHER SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	1,828,662	1,963,796	+ 135,134
149	SPARE AND REPAIR PARTS INITIAL SPARES—C&E	9,810	9,810	
	TOTAL, OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY	8,616,524	8,880,051	+ 263,527
	TOTAL, OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY (emergency)		(165,455)	(+165,455)

112

# The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1	Semitrailers, Flatbed:	26,132		- 26,132
2	OPA line 2A, Family of Semitrailers	59,602		- 26,132 - 59,602
2A	OPA line 2A, Family of Semitrailers		85,734	- 59,602 + 85,734
	Army requested budget line consolidation: transfer from OPA line 1, Semitrailers, FlatbedArmy requested budget line consolidation: transfer			+ 26,132
3	from OPA line 2, Semitrailers, tankers	5,265	105,265	+ 59,602 + 100,000
4	Ground Mobility Vehicles [GMV] Program increase: Infantry squad vehicle	34,407	46,607	+ 100,000 + 12,200 + 12,200
6	JOINT LIGHT TACTICAL VEHICLE FAMILY OF VEHICL	653,223	627,988	- 25,235 - 9,895
8	Prior year carryover: Government management Family Of Medium Tactical Veh [FMTV]	133,924	302,724	$-15,340 \\ +168,800 \\ +168,800$
9	Family of Cold Weather All-Terrain Vehicle (C Unjustified growth: Fielding	72,760	69,667	- 3,093 - 3,093
11	Family Of Heavy Tactical Vehicles [FHTV] Program increaseArmy requested budget line consolidation: transfer	98,906	266,711	+ 167,805 + 86,600
	from OPA line 12, PLS ESP  Army requested budget line consolidation: transfer from OPA line 13, Hvy Expanded Mobile Tactical Truck Ext Serv			+ 80,256 + 949
12	PLS ESPArmy requested budget line consolidation: transfer to OPA line 11, Family of Heavy Tactical Vehicles	80,256		- 80,256
13	[FHTV] Hvy Expanded Mobile Tactical Truck Ext Serv Army requested budget line consolidation: transfer to OPA line 11, Family of Heavy Tactical Vehicles [FHTV]	949		- 80,256 - 949 - 949
15	Modification Of In Svc Equip Program increase: HMMWV ABS/ESC retrofit kits Early to need: JLTV demand reduction procurement	169,726	197,326	+ 27,600 + 50,000
16	funding	3,875		- 22,400 - 3,875
17	OPA line 17, NonTactical Vehicles, Other	10,792	14,667	- 3,875 + 3,875
18	from OPA line 16, Passenger carrying vehicles Signal Modernization Program Army requested budget line consolidation: transfer to	127,479		+ 3,875 - 127,479
19	OPA line 19A, Tactical Network Communication Excess to need	280,798		122,348 5,131 280,798
	Army requested budget line consolidation: transfer to OPA line 19A, Tactical Network Communication Schedule delays: AFN on the move			- 256,297 - 7,100
19A	Contract savings: Government management costs Contract savings: Obsolescence		378,645	- 8,395 - 9,006 + 378,645

113

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Army requested budget line consolidation: transfer from OPA line 18, Signal Modernization Program Army requested budget line consolidation: transfer from OPA line 19, Tactical Network Technology Mod			+ 122,348
25	In Svc  Transportable Tactical Command Communications  Army requested budget line consolidation: transfer to	34,939		+ 256,297 - 34,939
26	OPA line 26A, Satellite Communications	43,897		- 34,939 - 43,897
004	Army requested budget line consolidation: transfer to OPA line 26A, Satellite Communications		140.001	- 43,897
26A	Satellite Communications		149,921	+ 149,923
	Communications Army requested budget line consolidation: transfer			+ 34,939
	from OPA line 26, SHF Term Army requested budget line consolidation: transfer			+ 43,897
	from OPA line 28, EHF SATELLITE COMMUNICATION Army requested budget line consolidation: transfer from OPA line 101, CSS Communications			+ 10,235 + 60,850
27	Assured Positioning, Navigation and Timing	235,272	232,438	- 2,834 - 2,834
28	EHF SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONArmy requested budget line consolidation: transfer to	16,028		- 16,028
32	OPA line 26A, Satellite Communications	61.772	58,692	- 10,235 - 5,793
32	COE Tactical Server Infrastructure [TSI]	01,772	36,092	- 3,080 - 3,080
33	Handheld Manpack Small Form Fit [HMS] Unjustified growth: Systems engineering	704,118	649,214	- 54,904 - 3,883
38	Unit cost adjustment: Manpack radios		5,000	- 27,992 - 23,029 + 5,000
42	Program increase: Combat casualty care	163,077	131,548	+ 5,000 - 31,529
	Army requested budget line consolidation: transfer from OPA line 56, JTT/CIBS			+ 9,22
44	Phase program growth	157,400	98,005	— 40,750 — 59,395
49	Base Support Communications	26,446		— 59,395 — 26,446
49A	OPA line 49A, Base Emergency Communicaton Base Emergency Communication Army requested budget line consolidation: transfer		42,402	- 26,446 + 42,402
	from OPA line 49, Base Support Communications Army requested budget line consolidation: transfer from OPA line 51, Emergency Management Mod-			+ 26,446
50	ernization Program		48,912	+ 15,956 - 26,593
51	Execution delays Emergency Management Modernization Program Army requested budget line consolidation: transfer to	15,956		— 26,593 — 15,956
52	OPA line 49A, Base Emergency Communicaton Installation Info Infrastructure Mod Program Unjustified growth: Contractor management	150,779	138,978	- 15,956 - 11,803 - 11,803
56	JTT/CIBS-MArmy requested budget line consolidation: transfer to	9,221		- 9,221 - 9,221
57	OPA line 42, Multi-Domain Intelligence	96,925	88,412	- 9,221 - 8,513 - 7,021

114

	[In thousands of dollars]			
Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Early to need: TLS-EAB			- 1,492
62	MOD OF IN-SVC EQUIP (INTEL SPT)	6,541	13,541	+ 7,000
	Program increase: Prophet enhanced ESP kits		,	+ 7,000
63	CI AND HUMINT INTELLIGENCE (HUMINT) CAPABILIT	3,899		- 3,899
	Army requested budget line consolidation: transfer to			
	OPA line 64A, Collection Capability			- 3,899
64	BIOMETRIC TACTICAL COLLECTION DEVICES	2,089		- 2,089
	Army requested budget line consolidation: transfer to			0.50
	OPA line 64A, Collection Capability			- 952
64A	Contract award delay		4,851	- 1,137 + 4,851
047	Army requested budget line consolidation: transfer		4,031	1 4,031
	from OPA line 63, CI AND HUMINT INTELLIGENCE			
	(HUMINT) CAPABILIT			+ 3,899
	Army requested budget line consolidation: transfer			,
	from OPA line 64, BIOMETRIC TACTICAL COLLEC-			
	TION DEVICES			+ 952
65	EW Planning & Management Tools [EWPMT]	26,327	5,049	-21,278
71	Program termination	100.050	171 400	-21,278
71	Sentinel Mods	180,253	171,436	- 8,817
72	Contract savings	377,443	262 550	- 8,817 - 13.885
12	Night Vision Devices Program increase: Digital camera upgrades	377,443	363,558	+ 2,000
	Cost overestimation: IVAS Government program man-			7 2,000
	agement support			<b>-7.655</b>
	Cost overestimation: IVAS Manufacturing operations			- 5,406
	Cost overestimation: IVAS Manufacturer's recurring			,
	engineering			- 2,824
75	FAMILY OF WEAPON SIGHTS [FWS]	207,352	164,980	- 42,372
	Program termination: FWS-CS			- 42,372
78	COUNTER SMALL UNMANNED AERIAL SYSTEM [C-SUAS]	280,086	452,541	+ 172,455
	OSD requested transfer from P,DW line 2			+ 7,000
	Program adjustment: Coyote counter unmanned aerial systems			- 287,086
	Program adjustment: Counter unmanned aerial sys-			207,000
	tems			+ 287,086
	Program increase: Counter unmanned aerial systems			,
	(emergency)			+ 165,455
79	JOINT BATTLE COMMAND—PLATFORM [JBC-P]	184,610	167,172	- 17,438
	Early to need: Fielding			- 17,438
80	JOINT EFFECTS TARGETING SYSTEM [JETS]	9,345	8,826	- 519
0.4	Excess to need	21 250	10 000	- 519
84	Counterfire Radars	21,250	18,802	- 2,448 - 2,448
85	Army Command Post Integrated Infrastructure (	20,039	5,000	- 15,039
00	Program termination: CPI2 Increment 1			- 15,039
88	IAMD Battle Command System	403,028	347,883	- 55,145
	Undefined requirement: Engineering change proposals			- 38,828
	Unjustified growth: Logistics support			- 16,317
92	Global Combat Support System-Army [GCSS-A]	4,103	3,624	<b>-479</b>
00	Cost overestimation			- 479
93	Integrated Personnel and Pay System-Army (IPP	6,512	5,430	-1,082
101	Unjustified growth	60.850		- 1,082 - 60,850
101	CSS Communications	60,850		- 00,630
	OPA line 26A, Satellite Communications			- 60,850
111	Robotics and Applique Systems	62,469	76,469	+ 14,000
	Program increase: Accelerate soldier borne sensor			+ 10,000
	Program increase: Silent tactical energy enhanced			.,.,.
	dismount			+4,000
116	Ground Soldier System	141,613	151,613	+10,000
117	Program increase: tactical edge 3D map generation		10.000	+ 10,000
117	Mobile Soldier Power	23,129	19,929	- 3,200
	Excess to need: Program management	l	l	- 3,200

115

	[In thousands of dollars]			
Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
118	Force Provider	9,569	21,219	+11,650
	Program increase: Expeditionary shelter protection system			+ 10,000
122	packages for modular expeditionary camps	2,879		+ 1,650 - 2,879
123	Water	57,050	44,602	- 2,879 - 12,448
	MENT			+ 2,879 - 15,327
125	Mobile Maintenance Equipment Systems	26,271	146,271	+ 120,000 + 120,000
126	Tractor, Full Tracked			1 120,000
	Army requested budget line consolidation: transfer to OPA line 130A, Construction Equipment			
127	All Terrain CranesArmy requested budget line consolidation: transfer to	114		-114
128	OPA line 130A, Construction Equipment	31,663		-114 -31,663
	OPA line 130A, Construction Equipment			-31,663
129	Family of Diver Support Equipment  Army requested budget line consolidation: transfer to OPA			
100	line 130A, Construction Equipment	0.005		0.005
130	Const Equip ESP  Army requested budget line consolidation: transfer to OPA line 130A, Construction Equipment	8,925		- 8,925 - 5,332
130A	Contract award delays: Dozer Construction Equipment Program increase: Type I All Terrain Cranes Army requested budget line consolidation: transfer from		47,109	- 3,593 + 47,109 + 10,000
	OPA line 126, Tractor, Full Tracked  Army requested budget line consolidation: transfer from OPA line 127, All Terrain Cranes  Army requested budget line consolidation: transfer from OPA line 128, Wilch Mobility Fazingo			+ 114
	from OPA line 128, High Mobility Engineer Excavator [HMEE]			+31,663
132	Army requested budget line consolidation: transfer from OPA line 130, Const Equip ESP	66,634	88,634	+ 5,332 + 22,000 + 22,000
	Functional transfer  Functional transfer: Cost to complete prior year ves-			- 27,442 + 27,442
134	sels	81,540	93,591	+ 12,051
135	Tactical Electric Power Recapitalization	12,051		+ 12,051 - 12,051
137	OPA line 134, Generators and Associated Equip Combat Training Centers Support	40,686	38,682	- 12,051 - 2,004
139	Unjustified request: OPA Tails Synthetic Training Environment [STE]	218,183	194,009	- 2,004 - 24,174
100	Phase program growth: STE Live			-10,436

116

Line	Item	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
145 146	Contract award delay: Soldier virtual trainer	42,487 26,980	52,487	$\begin{array}{c} -13,738 \\ +10,000 \\ +10,000 \\ -14,218 \\ -14,218 \end{array}$

## AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY

Budget estimate, 2025	\$16,214,250,000
Committee recommendation	15,241,216,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$15,241,216,000, of which \$124,800,000 is designated as being for an emergency requirement pursuant to section 251(b)(2)(A)(i) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985. This is \$973,034,000 below the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

			2006 hudan			Change from	from
Line	Rem	Oty.	estimate	Qty.	recommendation	Qty.	Budget estimate
	AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY						
	COMBAT AIRCRAFT						
0	F/A-18E/F (FIGHTER) HORNET [MYP]	-	28,554	ç	28,554		6
2 6	JUINI SIRIKE FIGHTER CV	13	1,895,033	13	1,7,5,244		-119,789
4	JSF STOWL	13	2,078,225	13	1,953,810		-124,415
	JSF STOVL [AP-CY]		169,389	-	169,389		
9 /	CH-53K (HEAVY LIFT) [AP-CY]	βĪ	2,068,657	IB	2,068,657		
	V-22 (MEDIUM LIFT)		60,175	:	30,175		- 30,000
	H-1 UPGRADES (UH-1Y/AH-1Z)		8,701		8,701		
a ::	F-04 Fuseilum E-2D Adv Hawkeye		12,424		95,219		-102,450
	Prancie Family 1870+		000				410 000
	IDIAL, CUMBAI AIRCRAFI		7,138,433		6,/61,/9		-376,654
	TRAINER AIRCRAFT						
12	MULTI-ENGINE TRAINING SYSTEM (METS)	27	301,303	28	310,303	+	+ 9,000
	TOTAL, TRAINER AIRCRAFT		301,303		310,303		+ 9,000
	OTHER AIRCRAFT						
14 14	KC-130J KC-130J (Engreency)		33,406		158,206 (124,800)	(+1)	+124,800 (+124.800)
16 19			159,226		159,226		
20	M0-25	m	501 683		50 000	۳: ا	-451683
21 22 22 22A	MG_25 (AP-CY) Marine Group 5 UAS UC-12W(ER)		51,344 19,081		51,344 19,081		

			1000		111111111111111111111111111111111111111	Change from	from
Line	ltem	Otty.	estimate	Qty.	recommendation	Qty.	Budget estimate
	TOTAL, OTHER AIRCRAFT		764,740		437,857		- 326,883
	MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT						
23	F-18 A-D UNIQUE F-18FF AND FA-18G MODERNIZATION AND SISTAIN		92,765		80,301		-12,464 $-82,904$
25	MARINE GROUP 5 UAS SERIES		112,672		112,672		
27			3,584		3.584		
78			146,876		146,876		
59	_		49,724		49,724		
30			680,613		639,450		-41,163
32	H-33 SERIES		107,247		99,770		- 10,477 - 10,807
33.			153.006		146,204		-6.802
34.							
35	_		148,060		121,223		-26,837
36	_		12,415		12,415		
37	_		188,119		188,119		
38	FEWSG		699		693		
33			13,162		13,162		
40	E-6 SERIES		142,368		118,617		-23,751
41	T-45 SFRIFS		158,800		158,800		
43 4	_		16,806		16.806		
44	JPATS SERIES		24,157		24,157		
45	_		3,964		3,964		
46	COMMON ECM EQUIPMENT		52,791		49,354		-3,437
47	COMMON AVIONICS CHANGES		139,113		139,113		
48	_		10,687		10,687		
49			7,020		7,020		
20			307,202		307,202		
51			25,597		25,597		
52	MQ-8 SERIES	_			_	_	

53		235,062	265,062	+ 30,000
54	NEXT GENERATION JAMMER [NGJ]	453,226	444,761	-8,465
55		282,987	229,857	-53,130
26	F-35 CV SERIES	183,924	154,254	-29,670
22	QUICK REACTION CAPABILITY [(	26,957	26,957	
28	MQ-4 SERIES	122,044	79,954	- 42,090
	TOTAL, MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT	4,663,365	4,344,368	-318,997
	AIRCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS			
63	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	 2,094,242	 2,134,742	 + 40,500
	TOTAL, AIRCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	2,094,242	2,134,742	+ 40,500
	AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES			
64	COMMON GROUND EQUIPMENT	572,806	572,806	
65		105,634	105,634	
99 5	WAR CONSUMABLES	43,604	43,604	
/9		73,307 456,816	73,307 456,816	
	TOTAL, AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT & FACILITIES	1,252,167	1,252,167	
	TOTAL, AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY	16,214,250	15,241,216	- 973,034
	TOTAL, AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY (emergency)		(124,800)	(+124,800)

120

## The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
2	Joint Strike Fighter CV  Delivery delays: Unearned incentive fees	1,895,033	1,775,244	- 119,789 - 38,700
	Unjustified growth: Non-recurring engineering			-81,089
4	JSF STOVL	2,078,225	1,953,810	- 124,415
	Delivery delays: Unearned incentive fees			- 17,827
	Unjustified growth: Non-recurring engineering			- 106,588
8	V-22 (Medium Lift)	60,175	30,175	- 30,000
	initiatives			- 30,000
11	E-2D Adv Hawkeye	197,669	95,219	- 102,450
	Production line shutdown early to need			- 95,147
10	Production engineering support early to need	201 202	210 202	-7,303
12	Multi-Engine Training System (METS)	301,303	310,303	+ 9,000
14	Program increase: One additional aircraft	33.406	150 200	+ 9,000 + 124.800
14	KC-130J Program increase: Additional aircraft (emergency)	33,400	158,206	+ 124,800
20	MQ-25	501.683	50.000	- 451,683
20	LRIP aircraft ahead of need	301,063	30,000	- 451,683 - 451,683
	Transfer to unmanned carrier aviation industrial base			- 50.000
	Transfer for unmanned carrier aviation industrial base			+ 50,000
23	F-18 A-D Unique	92.765	80.301	- 12,464
20	OSIP 10-21 carryover	02,700		- 12,464
24	F-18E/F and EA-18G Modernization and Sustainment	566,727	483,823	- 82,904
	OSIP 11-10 funding excess to need			- 9,412
	OSIP 14-03 carryover			- 33,108
	OSIP 20-14 funding ahead of need			- 40,384
30	F-18 Series	680,613	639,450	-41,163
	OSIP 006-02 carryover			- 5,600
	OSIP 23-04 funding excess to need			- 3,228
	OSIP 002–07 installs ahead of need			- 15,793
	OSIP 01–10 installs ahead of need			- 9,542
	OSIP 11–21 install delays			- 7,000
31	H–53 Series	107,247	99,770	- 7,477
20	OSIP 007–19 A kit NRE excess to need	100.070	07.005	- 7,477
32	MH–60 Series	108,072	97,265	-10,807
	OSIP 001–06 Digital magnetic anomaly detector early to need			- 10,807
33	H–1 Series	153,006	146,204	- 10,807 - 6,802
33	OSIP 15–12 Support equipment ahead of need	133,000	140,204	-1.879
	OSIP 13—12 Support equipment ahead of need			- 4,923
35	E-2 Series	148,060	121,223	- 26,837
00	OSIP 16-20 Technology upgrades ahead of need			- 19,921
	OSIP 12–17 Modifications carryover			-6,916
40	E–6 Series	142.368	118.617	- 23.751
	OSIP 003-04 Cockpit upgrade NRE ahead of need			- 9.497
	OSIP 003–04 Color weather radar NRE ahead of need			- 7.990
	OSIP 008-02 Flight deck seats NRE ahead of need			- 2,287
	OSIP 008-02 Kapton forward lobe 1B A kits ahead of			,
	need			-1,683
	OSIP 008-02 Kapton forward lobe 1B A kit installs			
	ahead of need			- 2,294
46	Common ECM Equipment	52,791	49,354	- 3,437
	OSIP 005-08 ECP early to need			- 3,437
53	V-22 (Tilt/Rotor ACFT) Osprey	235,062	265,062	+ 30,000
	Navy requested transfer from line 8 for V-22 safety			
	initiatives			+ 30,000
54	Next Generation Jammer [NGJ]	453,226	444,761	- 8,465
	OSIP 002–19 support equipment excess to need			- 5,024
	OSIP 002—19 training equipment excess to need	l	l	− 3,441

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
55	F-35 STOVL Series	282,987	229,857	- 53,130
	Delivery delays: 42Px Kit B			- 25,900
	Cost overestimation: Correction of deficiencies			- 27,230
56	F-35 CV Series	183,924	154,254	- 29,670
	Delivery delays: 42Px Kit B			-18,800
	Cost overestimation: Correction of deficiencies			-10,870
58	MQ-4 Series	122,044	79,954	- 42,090
	Installation excess to need			- 42,090
63	Spares and Repair Parts	2,094,242	2,134,742	+40,500
	MQ-8C spares excess to need			- 7,000
	Program increase: U.S. Marine Corps F-35 Spares and Repair Parts			+ 47,500

MQ-25 Unmanned Carrier Aviation.—The fiscal year 2025 President's budget request includes \$501,683,000 in Aircraft Procurement, Navy [AP,N] for the procurement of three MQ-25 Stingray Unmanned Carrier Aviation aircraft, and associated support. Additionally, the budget request includes \$51,344,000 in AP,N to procure long lead materials for additional aircraft. Further, the budget request includes \$214,919,000 in Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy [RDT&E,N] to continue Engineering and Manufacturing Development, efforts, testing, and obsolescence.

facturing Development efforts, testing, and obsolescence.

At the request of the Department of the Navy, the Committee coordinated extensively with the program office in fiscal year 2024 to restructure the program following schedule delays to ensure resources were available to finance a revised acquisition strategy and address the program's obsolescence issues. The Committee notes that since then, the program has delivered one static test article in the second quarter of fiscal year 2024; however, the program has incurred another 3 month schedule delay from the recent program re-baseline. The first flight of the aircraft has further been delayed to approximately February 2026.

The Committee is concerned that the budget request would procure additional aircraft in fiscal year 2025 prior to the first flight of the aircraft and before the obsolescence redesign effort is completed. The Committee believes that such concurrent procurement would introduce excessive risk into the program and prevent the incorporation of required changes discovered through on-going testing into production. Therefore, the Committee recommends a reduction of AP,N funds by a total of \$451,683,000 for the three production aircraft.

The Committee recognizes that a healthy industrial base is critical to the success of this program. Therefore, the Committee recommends \$50,000,000 only for the purpose of supporting the unmanned carrier aviation industrial base. The Committee also supports the advance procurement request of \$51,344,000 for the long lead material necessary to support aircraft procurement in fiscal year 2026.

## WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY

Budget estimate, 2025	\$6,600,327,000
Committee recommendation	6.568.402.000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$6,568,402,000, of which \$50,000,000 is designated as being for an emergency requirement pursuant to section 251(b)(2)(A)(i) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985. This is \$31,925,000 below the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

INTERPORT MISSILES  MODIFICATION OF MISSILES  TRIDENT II MODS: SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES  MISSILE INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES  TOTAL, BALLISTIC MISSILES  TATTICAL MISSILES  TATTICAL MISSILES  STRANDARD MISSILE (ACM)  SIDEWINDER  STANDARD MISSILE (ACM)  STANDARD MISSILE (ACM)  ARM  JOINT AIR GROUND MISSILE (JAGM)  ARRAM  JOINT AIR GROUND MISSILE (JAGM)  ARRAL TARGETS  TANDARD MISSILE (MISSILE (MI	[Dollars in thousands]	2025 hudgat	Oby. estimate Offy. recommendation Oby. Budget estimate				1,793,867   1,793,8		8,133 8,133	1,802,000 1,802,000			32,677		261 279,626 261 279,626	125 627,386 125	127,830	280 76,108 280 76,108	76.838 182	182,463 182,463	3,411 3,411 3,411	.   90   326,435   98	12 24,882 12	4,412		317 839 275 316 42 523
WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY  LLITIES  SILES  GMJ	in thousands]														261	125		280				06	. 12			
8 2 8 4 2 9 C 8 9 C 9 C 1 E 4 2 9 C 8	Dollars		Rem	WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY	BALLISTIC MISSILES	MODIFICATION OF MISSILES	TRIDENT II MODS	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES	MISSILE INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES	TOTAL, BALLISTIC MISSILES	OTHER MISSILES	STRATEGIC MISSILES	TOMAHAWK	TACTICAL MISSILES		: :	STANDARD MISSILE [AP-CY]	SMALL DIAMETER BOMB II	MS.	١.	OTHER MISSILE SUPPORT	LRASM	NAVAL STRIKE MISSILE [NSM]	NAVAL STRIKE MISSILE [NSM]	Modification of Missiles	

			2025 hudget		Committon	Change from	from
Line	Rem	Otty.	estimate	Qty.	recommendation	Qty.	Budget estimate
20 21 22	AARGM-ER AARGM-ER (AP-CY) STANDARD MISSILES MODS	157	213,988 34,604 75,667	142	193,213 34,604 61,667	-15	- 20,775 - 14,000
23			1,490		1,490		
26	ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		351,488		351,488		
	TOTAL, OTHER MISSILES		3,636,579		3,485,754		-150,825
	TORPEDDES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT						
27 28 28 29	SSTD MK-48 TORPED0 MK-48 TORPED0 (emergency) ASW TARGETS	79	4,317 333,147 30,476	100 (15)	4,317 402,047 (50,000) 30,476	+21 (+15)	+ 68,900 (+ 50,000)
	MOD OF TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIP						
30 31 32	MK-54 TORPEDD MODS MK-48 TORPEDD ADCAP MODS MRA-48 TORPED ADCAP MODS		106,249 17,363 100,065		106,249 17,363 100,065		
	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
33	Torpedo Support Equipment		151,809		151,809 4,039		
	DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION						
35	FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION		5,669		5,669		
	TOTAL, TORPEDDES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT		753,134		822,034		+ 68,900

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
7	Standard Missile Unjustified unit cost growth: SM-6 canisters	627,386	531,140	- 96,246 - 4,167
15	Early to need: Production startup	326,435	351,435	- 92,079 + 25,000 + 25,000
18	Tomahawk Mods	317,839	275,316	- 42,523 - 42,523
19	ESSM	652,391	650,110	- 2,281 - 2,281
20	AARGM-ER Program delays	213,988	193,213	- 20,775 - 20,775
22	Standard Missiles Mods Contract delays	75,667	61,667	- 14,000 - 14.000
28	MK-48 Torpedo Program increase: Mk-48 heavy weight torpedo Program increase: Mk-48 heavy weight torpedo (emer-	333,147	402,047	+ 68,900 + 18,900
43	gency)	240,697	290,697	+ 50,000 + 50,000 + 50,000

Weapons Suppliers Stability.—The Committee is encouraged that the Department of the Navy is engaging in conversations to purchase components of weapons systems directly from sub-tier suppliers and believes that such actions will further bolster the defense industrial base while providing meaningful savings to tax-payers. The Committee encourages the Secretary of the Navy to expand such collaboration to improve the industrial base and capacity of qualified component manufacturers, including those of solid rocket motors.

## PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS

Budget estimate, 2025	\$1,747,883,000
Committee recommendation	1.643.478.000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,643,478,000. This is \$104,405,000 below the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

	mo	Budget estimate					- 21,880	- 22.781	1500	7,002		+3.000	-2,707			- 45,970			-3,215 -4,200		- 51 020	22,12	- 58,435
	Change from	Qty.													_								
	Committee	recommendation				33,161	53,234 58,197 12,501	33,964	73,782	7.407	29,990	40,089	44,562	1.703	588,005	1,104,355		127,726	40,554	21,726	18,211	5,165	539,123
		Qty.					9/4																
	2025, budget	estimate				33,161	75,134 58,197 12,501	56,745	73,782	7.407	29,990	40,069	47,269	9,703	588,005	1,150,325		127,726	43,769	21,726	18,211	5,165	597,558
thou sands]		Otty.					T,4b0																
[Dollars in thousands]		tem	PROCUREMENT OF AMMO, NAVY & MARINE CORPS	PROC AMMO, NAVY	NAVY AMMUNITION	GENERAL PURPOSE BOMBS	JUSHIN AMAININE ROCKETS, ALL TYPES	PRACTICE BOMBS	CARTRIDGES & CART ACTUATED DEVICES	ain eafenuable countenmeasunes	22	OTHER SHIP GUN AMMUNITION	_	PTRU ECHNIC AND DEMOCHITION AMMUNITION LESS THAN \$5 MILLION		TOTAL, PROC AMMO, NAVY	PROC AMMO, MARINE CORPS	MORTARS	2	COMBAT SUPPORT MUNITIONS	AMMO MODERNIZATION	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	TOTAL, PROC AMMO, MARINE CORPS
		Line					V 60 <	- 2	9 ^	~ ∞	o 5	21	12	15	16		,			20	27	23	

						Change from	from
Line	ltem	Qty.	2025 budget estimate	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Qty.	Budget estimate
	TOTAL PROCIREMENT OF AMMO NAVY & MARINE CORPS		1 7/7 883		1 6/3 /78		104 40

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
2	JDAM	75,134	53,254	-21,880
	Excess to need			-21,880
5	Practice Bombs	56,745	33,964	-22,781
	Excess to need: BLU-109			- 381
	Excess to need: CXU-3			- 400
	Excess to need: LGTR			-14,000
	Excess to need: MK76			-3,000
	Excess to need: MK82			- 5,000
7	Air Expendable Countermeasures	75,416	73,814	-1,602
	Pricing discrepencies: MJU-76			-1,602
11	Other Ship Gun Ammunition	41,223	44,223	+ 3,000
	Program increase: 30mm CUAS rounds			+3,000
12	Small Arms & Landing Party Ammo	47,269	44,562	- 2,707
	Pricing discrepencies: A557			- 658
	Pricing discrepencies: Buckshot			<b>- 98</b>
	Pricing discrepencies: A131			- 1,445
	Pricing discrepencies: 762 BLNK			- 186
	Unjustified unit cost growth: AC09			<b>- 320</b>
18	Direct Support Munitions	43,769	40,554	- 3,215
	Unjustified unit cost growth: CA30			- 1,335
	Excess to need			- 1,880
19	Infantry Weapons Ammunition	266,277	262,077	- 4,200
	Excess growth: A059			-4,200
22	Artillery Munitions	114,684	63,664	- 51,020
	Ahead of need: XM1208			- 51,020

Marine Corps 40mm Ammunition.—The Committee notes the operational effectiveness of the Marine Corps MK281 high velocity 40mm round. The Committee directs the Commandant of the Marine Corps to provide a briefing to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate, not later than 90 days after the enactment of this act, on the Marine Corps' acquisition strategy for MK281 high velocity 40mm rounds.

## SHIPBUILDING AND CONVERSION, NAVY

Budget estimate, 2025	\$32,378,291,000
Committee recommendation	37,023,244,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$37,023,244,000, of which \$2,153,500,000 is designated as being for an emergency requirement pursuant to section 251(b)(2)(A)(i) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985. This is \$4,644,953,000 above the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

			2025 hudget		Committoo	Change from	from
Line	Rem	Qty.	estimate	Qty.	recommendation	Qty.	Budget estimate
	SHIPBUILDING & CONVERSION, NAVY						
	FLEET BALLISTIC MISSILE SHIPS						
1 2	COLUMBIA CLASS SUBMARINE [AP-CY]		3,341,235 6,215,939		3,361,835 6,215,939		+ 20,600
	TOTAL, FLEET BALLISTIC MISSILE SHIPS		9,557,174		9,577,774		+ 20,600
	OTHER WARSHIPS						
ю <b>4</b> г	CARRIER REPLACEMENT PROGRAM (CVN 80) CARRIER REPLACEMENT PROGRAM (CVN 81) VIRGINIA CLASS SUBMARINE	1	1,186,873 721,045 3,615,904	1	1,186,873 721,045 3,972,904		+ 357,000
9	VIRGINIA CLASS SUBMARINE [AP-CY] CVN REVELLING OVERHAULS	1	3,720,303	1	3,720,303 811,143		- 250,000
10 9	DUG 1000	2	61,100	3	61,100 7,951,890	+1	+1,542,700
11 11 11	DDG-51 [AP-CY]	1	41,724	1	83,224 (41,500) 1,270,442		$^{+41,500}_{(+41,500)}_{+100,000}$
	TOTAL, OTHER WARSHIPS		17,987,724		19,778,924		+1,791,200
	AMPHBIOUS SHIPS						
14	LPD FLIGHT II (AP-CY)		1,561,963		1,561,963		+ 500.000
15	LPD FLIGHT II [AP-CY] (emergency) LHA REPLACEMENT (AP-CY)		61,118		(500,000)		(+500,000) +195,000
21	LPA RECENCEMENT (AT-C.1) (BITTED BEATER) MEDIUM LANDING SHIP	1	268,068	1	268,068		(+133,000)
	TOTAL, AMPHBIOUS SHIPS		1,891,149		2,586,149		+ 695,000

27 28 28 29 30 31 33 33	AUXILIARIES, CRAFT, AND PRIOR-YEAR PROGRAM COSTS OUTFITTING SHIP TO SHORE CONNECTOR SERVICE CRAFT SERVICE CRAFT LCAC SLEP AUXILLARY VESSELS COMPLETION OF PY SHIPBUILDING PROGRAMS COMPLETION OF PY SHIPBUILDING PROGRAMS (emergency)	3 3 2	674,600 11,426 76,188 45,087 204,939 1,930,024	2 3 3	605,753 417,000 (417,000) 41,426 76,168 45,087 204,939 3,690,024 (1,000,000)	+ + +	- 68.847 + 417,000 (+ 417,000) + 30,000 + 1,760,000 (+ 1,000,000)
	TOTAL, AUXILIARIES, CRAFT, AND PRIOR-YEAR PROGRAM		2,942,244		5,080,397		+2,138,153
	TOTAL, SHIPBUILDING & CONVERSION, NAVY		32,378,291		37,023,244		+ 4,644,953
	TOTAL, SHIPBUILDING & CONVERSION, MAVY (emergency)				(2,153,500)		(+2,153,500)
1							

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1	Columbia Class Submarine (FF)	3,341,235	3,361,835	+ 20,600
	trial base			+ 2.000
	Program increase: Tube/propulsor facilitization			+ 18,600
2	Columbia Class Submarine [AP-CY]	6,215,939	6,215,939	
	SSBN 828 AP (FF FY26)	[1,183,076]	[1,183,076]	
	SSBN 829 AP (FF FY27)	[1,177,171]	[1,177,171]	
	SSBN 830 AP (FF FY28)	[1,330,702]	[1,330,702]	
	SSBN 831 AP (FF FY29)	[228,979]	[228,979]	
	SSBN 832 AP (FF FY30)	[149,669]	[149,669]	
	SSBN 833 AP (FF FY31)	[10,842]	[10,842]	
	SSBN 834 AP (FF FY32)	[8,491]	[8,491]	
	SSBN 835 AP (FF FY33)	[672]	[672]	
	SSBN 836 AP (FF FY34)	[667]	[667]	
-	SSBN 837 AP (FF FY35)	[2,125,670]	[2,125,670]	
5	Virginia Class Submarine	3,615,904	3,972,904	+ 357,000
	Program increase: Submarine class material second			. 257 000
7	ship set	1,061,143	811,143	+ 357,000 - 250,000
,	CVN 75 RCOH prior year execution delays	1,001,143	011,143	- 250,000 - 250,000
10	DDG-51	6,409,190	7,951,890	+ 1,542,700
10	Program increase: Additional funding for 3rd FY25	0,403,130	7,551,650	1 1,542,700
	DDG 51			+ 1,542,700
11	DDG-51 [AP-CY]	41.724	83.224	+ 41,500
	Program increase: Advance procurement for DDG 51	,,	00,221	1 12,000
	option ship (emergency)			+41,500
13	FFG-Frigate	1,170,442	1,270,442	+ 100,000
	Program increase: Frigate industrial base and work-			
	force development			+ 100,000
15	LPD Flight II [AP-CY]		500,000	+ 500,000
	Program increase: LPD 34 advance procurement			
	(emergency)			+ 250,000
	Program increase: LPD 35 advance procurement			
10	(emergency)			+ 250,000
19	LHA Replacement [AP-CY]	61,118	256,118	+ 195,000
	Program increase: LHA 10 advance procurement			. 105 000
27	(emergency)	674.600	COE 752	+ 195,000 - 68.847
21	Outfitting	674,600	605,753	- 68,847 - 68,847
28	Ship to Shore Connector		417.000	+ 417,000
20	Program increase: Three additional SSCs (emergency)		417,000	+ 417,000
29	Service Craft	11,426	41,426	+ 30,000
20	Program increase: One additional YRBM	11,420	41,420	+ 30,000
33	Completion of PY Shipbuilding Programs	1.930.024	3,690,024	+ 1,760,000
	Program increase: Frigate 62–67		0,000,021	+ 700,000
	Program increase: T-ATS Navajo-class ships			+ 60,000
	Program increase: FY24 Virginia-class submarines			
	(emergency)			+ 1,000,000

45–Day Shipbuilding Review.—The Committee notes the findings of the Navy's 45-day Shipbuilding Review found significant delays, cost overruns, and workforce recruitment and retention challenges in no fewer than eight Navy shipbuilding programs. These include a 12–16 months delay in lead boat construction of the COLUMBIA Class Submarine [COL], 24–36 months delay in VIRGINIA Class Submarine [VCS] construction, 18–26 months delay in delivering the third FORD Class Aircraft Carrier, and at least 3 years delay

in the lead CONSTELLATION Class Frigate. Therefore, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Navy to submit, on a quarterly basis after the enactment of this act, a report to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and Senate for each ship class identified in the Navy's review which includes a ship delivery schedule by hull; required workforce by trade and fiscal year, including associated required recruitment and retention data by quarter; Navy and local industrial base investments delineated by fiscal year; an assessment of any at-risk shipbuilding supplier; and design maturity curves. Further, the Committee directs the Comptroller General of the United States to submit a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 90 days after the enactment of this act which assesses the root causes of the recent cost increases and schedule delays in Navy ship, submarine, and aircraft carrier programs cited in the Navy's review, and makes recommendations to address those factors.

Submarine Industrial Base.—The Committee recognizes that strengthening the submarine industrial base [SIB] is essential to ensuring that new submarines can be constructed at the pace outlined in the Navy's shipbuilding plan to meet national security needs. Therefore, the Committee strongly supports the Navy's efforts to invest in the infrastructure and workforce of shipbuilders and suppliers. The fiscal year 2025 President's budget requests funding for one new construction VIRGINIA Class Submarine [VCS] and increased investment in the SIB in order to more fully mature SIB capacity and workforce before returning to a two VCS construction cadence. The Committee understands that an additional \$1,000,000,000 of supplier workload could further stabilize and improve performance of the industrial base. Based on extensive dialogue with the Navy, the Committee understands that the Navy can resource \$643,000,000 for this opportunity from VCS Block IV economic order quantity funding appropriated in the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2024 (Public Law 118–47), VCS SIB construction spares funding appropriated in the National Security Supplemental Appropriations Act, 2024 (Public Law 118– 50), and funding the Committee recommends in this act that was requested in the fiscal year 2025 President's budget request. The Committee recommends an additional \$357,000,000 in the VCS program line to further solidify this key supplier capacity in support of a second VCS shipset of materials, and to stabilize the SIB.

In addition, the Committee recognizes that the opportunity presented by historic levels of appropriated SIB support can only achieve this capacity through carefully-targeted investments and proper stewardship of funds. Therefore, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Navy to submit a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 90 days after the enactment of this act, and semi-annually thereafter, on the Navy's planned oversight approach for overseeing all phases of the SIB funding cycle, including the identification of gaps, selection of projects, oversight of funding execution, and determining return on investment.

The Committee also directs the Comptroller General of the United States to submit a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 1 year after the enactment of this act that assesses the extent to which the Department of Defense's SIB in-

vestment strategy and associated funding will result in a ship-building industrial base capable of achieving the "2 + 1" annual submarine construction rate called for in the Navy's 30-year ship-building plan. This report shall include an assessment of: (1) how the Departments of Defense and Navy are assessing the return on investment of SIB funding to improve submarine construction performance, (2) the extent to which the Navy intends to utilize such assessments to inform the selection of future SIB projects, and (3) the extent to which previously appropriated SIB funding and programmed funding in future years, in combination with other key factors, are likely to achieve the SIB capacity and throughput to meet the Navy's submarine requirements.

Finally, the Committee has received spend plans from the Navy for SIB funding contained in the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2024 (Public Law 118–47) and prior acts, as well as the National Security Supplemental Appropriations Act, 2024 (Public Law 118–50). The Committee notes that such plans do not involve the purchase of land or property. The Committee directs the Secretary of the Navy to brief the congressional defense committees not less than 45 days prior to obligating funds that would deviate

from those spend plans.

Domestic Source Content for Navy Shipbuilding Critical Components.—The Committee remains concerned with the fragility of the domestic shipbuilding supply base and notes the report on "Domestic Source Content for Navy Shipbuilding" submitted to the congressional defense committees in accordance with direction accompanying the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2023. Given the long-term impact of shipbuilding programs, the Committee believes that understanding and managing the domestic supply base is critical. Therefore the Committee reiterates direction to the Assistant Secretary of the Navy (Research, Development and Acquisition) to submit to the congressional defense committees, concurrent with submission of the fiscal year 2026 President's budget request, a plan to incorporate upfront domestic sourcing requirements for key materials, components and subsystems into current and future acquisition strategies for shipbuilding programs. Further, the report shall identify a supply chain strategy that identifies existing horizontal and vertical gaps and redundancies in the domestic industrial base to support such acquisition strategies, and efforts by the Navy to ensure the domestic industrial base and supply chain can address domestic source content of Navy shipbuilding requirements. Finally, to the extent the Assistant Secretary of the Navy (Research, Development and Acquisition) plans to prioritize foreign content over domestic content, the Assistant Secretary is directed to provide the statutory basis for doing so, including a detailed risk assessment of such a strategy, and the cost estimate of growing a commensurate domestic capability. Such report shall be delivered in unclassified format and may contain a classified annex.

#### OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY

Budget estimate, 2025	\$15,877,253,000
Committee recommendation	16.482.271.000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$16,482,271,000, of which \$597,500,000 is designated as being for an emergency requirement pursuant to section 251(b)(2)(A)(i) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985. This is \$605,018,000 above the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

			1000 and 1000		11	Change from	from
Line	ltem	Qty.	estimate	Qty.	recommendation	Qty.	Budget estimate
	OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY						
	SHIPS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
	SHIP PROPULSION EQUIPMENT						
1	SURFACE POWER EQUIPMENT		20,840		20,840		
	GENERATORS						
2	SURFACE COMBATANT HM&E		82,937		82,937		
	NAVIGATION EQUIPMENT						
က	OTHER NAVIGATION EQUIPMENT		102,288		102,288		
	OTHER SHIPBOARD EQUIPMENT						
4	SUB PERISCOPES AND IMAGING SUPPORT EQUIPMENT PROGRAM		294,625		294,625		
2	DDG WOD		861,066		861,066		
٦ 0	PIKEFIGH ING EQUIPMEN		38,521		38,521		
~ «	CUMMAND AND CUNIKUL SWIICHBUAKD		2,402		2,402		
6	LCC 19/20 EXTENDED SERVICE LIFE PROGRAM		7,352		7,352		
21	POLLUTION CONTROL EQUIPMENT		23,440		23,440		
Ξ	Submarine support equipment		293,766		293,766		
12	VIRGINIA CLASS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		43,565		43,565		
13	LCS CLASS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		7,318		7,318		
14	SUBMARINE BATTERIES		30,470		30,470		
15	LPD CLASS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		38,115		38,115		000
9 5	DDG-1000 SURFORM SUBBODI FOLID		407,400		340,000		— pp,800
3 8	STRATEGIC FLATFORM SUFFORT EQUIF		03,931		03,931 4.586		
61	CG Modernization		,,		30,000		+ 30.000
19	CG Modernization (emergency)				(30,000)		(+30,000)
70	LCAC		11,013		11,013		
21	UNDERWATER EOD PROGRAMS TIEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION		16,650 66,351		16,650 66,351		

2	23 CHEMICAL WARFARE DETECTORS	3,254	3,254	
	REACTOR PLANT EQUIPMENT			
24 25	4 SHIP MAINTENANCE, REPAIR AND MODERNIZATION	2,392,190	2,392,190	
26	6 REACTOR COMPONENTS	445,974	445,974	
	OCEAN ENGINEERING			
27	7 DIVING AND SALVAGE EQUIPMENT	17,499	17,499	
	SMALL BOATS			
28	8 STANDARD BOATS	400,892	443,392	+42,500
	PRODUCTION FACILITIES EQUIPMENT			
29	9 OPERATING FORCES IPE	237,036	804,536 (567,500)	+ 567,500 (+ 567,500)
	OTHER SHIP SUPPORT			
<u> </u>		56,105	56,105	
33 34 35	3 LCS SUW MISSION MODULES	11,101 205,571 48,780	11,101 188,254 54,280	-17,317 +5,500
•				
36	6 LSD MIDLIFE & MODERNIZATION	26,667	26,667	
	TOTAL, SHIPS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	6,481,622	7,043,005	+ 561,383
	COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT			
	SHIP SONARS			
3.		7,402	7,402	
8 6 8 6	8   ANSQQ-89 SURF ASIV COMBAT SYSTEM	134,637	134,637	
4	UNDERSEA WARFARE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	16,731	14,247	-2,484
	ASW ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT			
4	41   SUBMARINE ACOUSTIC WARFARE SYSTEM	55,484	55,484	

			1000c		***************************************	Change from	from
Line	ltem	Qty.	2022 budget estimate	Qty.	recommendation	Qty.	Budget estimate
42 43 44	SSTD FIXED SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM SURTASS		9,647 405,854 45,975		9,647 405,854 45,975		
45	ELECTRONIC WARFARE EQUIPMENT ANSIQ-32		184,349		182,011		-2,338
46	Keconnalosanale equipment Shipboard IW Exploit Automated Identification system (AIS)		362,099 4,680		362,099 4,680		
48 49 50 51 53 53 54			26,644 13,614 68,458 3,645 16,812 41,458 3,803		26,644 13,614 68,458 3,645 16,812 11,458 3,803		
56 57 58 59 60	AVIATION ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT ASHORE ATC EQUIPMENT AFLOST ATC EQUIPMENT ID SYSTEMS JOINT PRECISION APPROACH AND LANDING SYSTEM AND		90,586 75,508 59,602 7,287 46,106		90,586 75,508 59,602 7,287 46,106		
61 62 63 64	UTHEK SHUKE ELECTRUMIC EQUIPMENT MARITIME INTEGRATED BROADCAST SYSTEM TACTICAL/MOBILE C41 SYSTEMS DCGS-N CANES		7,809 65,113 16,946 440,207		7,809 65,113 16,946 440,207		

2 9 7		38,688 50,654	38,688 50,654	
68 69 72	MASF MIRE COMBAT SYSTEM TEST FACILITY EMI CONTROL INSTRUMENTATION IN-SERVICE RADARS AND SENSORS	24,361 24,361 6,709 4,081 228,910	24,361 24,361 6,709 4,081 222,607	-6,303
	SHIPBOARD COMMUNICATIONS			
73 74 75	BATTLE FORCE TACTICAL NETWORK SHIPBOARD TACTICAL COMMUNICATIONS SHIP COMMUNICATIONS AUTOMATION COMMUNICATIONS ITEMS UNDER \$5M	104,119 24,602 103,546 9,209	104,119 24,602 103,546 9,209	
	SUBMARINE COMMUNICATIONS			
77	SUBMARINE BROADCAST SUPPORT	136,846 68,334	136,846 68,334	
	SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS			
79	SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS	59,745 163,071	59,745 163,071	
	SHORE COMMUNICATIONS			
81	JOINT COMMUNICATIONS SUPPPORT ELEMENT [JCSE]	4,551	4,551	
	CRYPTOGRAPHIC EQUIPMENT			
83	INFO SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM [ISSP]	162,008	155,188	-6,820
	CRYPTOLOGIC EQUIPMENT			
84	VTIONS EQUIP	15,506	15,506	
	OTHER ELECTRONIC SUPPORT			
95	COAST GUARD EQUIPMENT	58,213	56,868	-1,345
	TOTAL, COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT	4,008,829	3,989,539	-19,290

			1000 PT 1000		41	Change from	from
Line	Rem	Qty.	estimate	Qty.	recommendation	Qty.	Budget estimate
	AVIATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
	SONOBUOYS						
6	SONOBUOYS—ALL TYPES		323,441		323,441		
	AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
86	MINOTAUR		5,431		5,431		
6 6	WEAPONS RANGE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		138,062		138,062		
101	ADVANCED ARRESTING GEAR [AAG]		2,244		2,244		
102	ELECTROMAGNETIC AIRCRAFT LAUNCH SYSTEM		14,702		14,702		
102	MELEONOLOGICAL EQUIT MENT		10,643		10.643		
106	AVATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		110,993		107,271		-3,722
107	UMCS-UNMAN CARRIER AVATION [UCA] MISSION CONTROL		130,050		119,561		-10,489
	TOTAL, AVIATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		874,656		860,445		-14,211
	ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
	SHIP GUN SYSTEM EQUIPMENT						
109	SHIP GUN SYSTEMS EQUIPMENT		6,416		6,416		
	SHIP MISSILE SYSTEMS EQUIPMENT						
110	HARPOON SUPPORT EQUIPMENT SHIP MISSILE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT TOMAHAWK SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		226 381,473 98,921		376,830 98,921		-4,643
	FBM SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
113	STRATEGIC MISSILE SYSTEMS EQUIP		325,236		325,236		
	ASW SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
114	SSN COMBAT CONTROL SYSTEMS		157,609 25,362		157,609 25,362		

Change from	Budget estimate					+ 12,700			+ 12,700		+ 150,000	+ 150,000	+ 605,018	(+597,500)
Chang	Qty.													
oo#immo	recommendation		29,698	6,590	33,606	142,184	42,026 130,100	2,195 16,134	558,177		855,144 578,277	1,433,421	16,482,271	(597,500)
	Qty.													
2005 hudget	estimate		29,698	6,590	33,606	129,484	42,026 130,100	2,195 16,134	545,477		705,144 578,277	1,283,421	15,877,253	
	Otty.													
	Item	COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPME		C4ISR EQUIPMENT				TOTAL, PERSONNEL AND COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	TOTAL, SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT TOTAL, OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY	TOTAL, OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY (emergency)
	Line		136	139	141	143	144 149	150 999			152 153			

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
16	DDG 1000 Class Support Equipment	407,468	340,668	- 66,800
19	APM early to need		30,000	- 66,800 + 30,000
28	Program increase: Classified adjustment (emergency) Standard Boats	400,892	443,392	+ 30,000 + 42,500
	Program increase: 40-ft patrol boat Program increase: Mark VI patrol boats			+ 40,000 + 2,500
29	Operating Forces IPE Program increase: SIOP (emergency)	237,036	804,536	+ 567,500 + 550,000
	Program increase: INDOPACOM mission network (emergency)			+ 17,500
34	LCS In-Service Modernization	205,571	188,254	- 17,317 - 17,317
35	Small & Medium UUV	48,780	54,280	+ 5,500
40	the-horizon sensors	16,731	14,247	+ 5,500 - 2,484 - 2,484
45	AN/SLQ-32	184,349	182,011	- 2,338 - 1,058 - 1,280
72	In-Service Radars and Sensors  I-STALKER install early to need	228,910	222,607	- 6,303 - 6,303
82	Info Systems Security Program [ISSP] Unjustified cost growth	162,008	155,188	- 6,820 - 6.820
95	Coast Guard Equipment MMR system unit cost growth	58,213	56,868	- 1,345 - 1,345
106	Aviation Support Equipment HPH-SY505 contract delay	110,993	107,271	- 3,722 - 3,722
107	UMCS-Unman Carrier Aviation[UCA]Mission Cntrl	130,050	119,561	- 10,489 - 7,853
111	Ship Missile Support Equipment	381,473	376,830	- 2,636 - 4,643 - 4,643
119	Anti-Ship Missile Decoy System  AN/ALQ-248 pods previously funded	95,557	81,277	- 14,280 - 14,280
125	Fire Fighting Equipment	26,199	23,006	- 3,193 - 3.193
126	Tactical Vehicles	50,878	36,355	- 14,523 - 14.523
133	Special Purpose Supply Systems Classified adjustment	954,467	905,542	- 48,925 - 48,925
143	Physical Security Equipment  OSD requested transfer from P,DW line 2 for counter	129,484	142,184	+ 12,700
	small unmanned aerial system Program increase: Next generation waterborne security			+ 10,200
152	Spares and Repair Parts  Program increase: Spares and repair parts	705,144	855,144	+ 2,500 + 150,000 + 150,000

Sonobuoy Minimum Inventory Requirements.—The Committee notes the Department of the Navy's increasing utilization of sonobuoys in recent years to track submarine threats worldwide. The Committee is concerned that the fiscal year 2025 President's budget request does not adequately fund sonobuoy procurement based on validated requirements, which may leave the United

States vulnerable to adversaries in the undersea domain. Accordingly, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Navy to submit a report to the congressional defense committees, not later than 90 days after the enactment of this act, detailing the Navy's strategy to ensure that the inventory of sonobuoys satisfies the Navy's Total Munitions Requirement.

#### PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS

Budget estimate, 2025	\$4,243,863,000
Committee recommendation	4,201,143,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$4,201,143,000, of which \$240,900,000 is designated as being for an emergency requirement pursuant to section 251(b)(2)(A)(i) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985. This is \$42,720,000 below the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

			2005 hudget		oo#!wwoJ	Change from	from
Line	Rem	Otty.	estimate	Qty.	recommendation	Qty.	Budget estimate
	PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS						
	WEAPONS AND COMBAT VEHICLES						
	TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES						
- 0	AAV7A1 PIP		2,773		2,773	, C	000
3 2 8		0×	810,276	104 (17)	1,031,176 (240,900) 761	+ 24 (+17)	+ 240,900 (+ 240,900)
	ARTILLERY AND OTHER WEAPONS						
4			1,823 139,477		1,823 144,877		+5,400
9	WEAPONS AND COMBAT VEHICLES UNDER \$5 MILLION		18,481		14,441		-4,040
	TOTAL, WEAPONS AND COMBAT VEHICLES		973,591		1,215,851		+ 242,260
	GUIDED MISSILES AND EQUIPMENT						
	GUIDED MISSILES						
7	TOMAHAWK	22	115,232	22	115,232		
∞ ೧	naval strike missile (nsm) Naval strike missile (nsm) [AP-cy]	06	144,682 30,087	06	144,682 30,087		
10	GROUND BASED AIR DEFENSE	193	369,296	193	369,296		7 111
12	FAMILY OF ANTI-ARMOR WEAPON SYSTEMS	071	9,521	671	9,521		+7+°/
13	ANTI-ARMOR MISSILE—TOW	9	1,868 1,584	9	1,868		
	TOTAL, GUIDED MISSILES AND EQUIPMENT		733,833		726,419		-7,414

			1000			Change from	from
Line	Rem	Qty.	estimate	Qty.	recommendation	Qty.	Budget estimate
	COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT						
	COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEMS						
15	COMMON AVIATION COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM		84,764		84,764		
	REPAIR AND TEST EQUIPMENT						
16	REPAIR AND TEST EQUIPMENT		71,023		71,023		
	OTHER SUPPORT (TEL)						
17	MODIFICATION KITS		1,559		1,559		
	COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM (NON-TEL)						
18	ITEMS UNDER \$5 MILLION (COMM & ELEC) AIR OPERATIONS C2 SYSTEMS		221,212 20,385		200,832		-20,380
	RADAR + EQUIPMENT (NON-TEL)						
20	GROUND/AIR TASK ORIENTED RADAR		71,941		71,941		
	INTELL/COMM EQUIPMENT (NON-TEL)						
21	ELECTRO MAGNETIC SPECTRUM OPERATIONS [EMSO]		182,465		3,282		- 182,465
23	FIRE SUPPORT SYSTEM INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT SILIPMENT		56,710		56,710		- 9 503
26	UNMEDIATE ON DAY (NTEL)		59,077		53,250		-5,827
28	DCGS_MC UAS PAYLOADS		81,507 17,232		/0,50/ 12,225		-11,000 $-5,007$
	OTHER SUPPORT (NON-TEL)						
31	EXPEDITIONARY SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		15,042 283,983		248,983		-15,042 $-35,000$
34	COMMON COMPUTER RESOURCES  COMMAND POST SYSTEMS		25,793 59,113		12,896		-12,897 $-7,303$
35 36	RADIO SYSTEMS CONTROL SYSTEMS		258,818 39,390		188,927 39,390		-69,891

21,015						8,654 ——8,650 672 324,058 ——6,484 27,440 ——16,484		1	.47	24,560 —4,692 23,411 ———————————————————————————————————				23,651	17,954			
21,015 19,245 16,305	1,738,665			26,800		17,304 340,542 27,440	412,086			29,252 23,411 11,366 30,166		56,749		23,651 105,448 29,168	17,954		26,508	
						672												
37   COMM & ELEC INFRASTRUCTURE SUPPORT	TOTAL, COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT	SUPPORT VEHICLES	ADMINISTRATIVE VEHICLES	42 COMMERCIAL CARGO VEHICLES	TACTICAL VEHICLES	43 MOTOR TRANSPORT MODIFICATIONS 44 JOINT LIGHT TACTICAL VEHICLE 45 TRAILERS	TOTAL, SUPPORT VEHICLES	ENGINEER AND OTHER EQUIPMENT	ENGINEER AND OTHER EQUIPMENT	46 TACTICAL FUEL SYSTEMS. 47 POWER EQUIPMENT ASSORTED. 48 AMPHIBIOUS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT. 49 EOD SYSTEMS.	MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT	50 PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT	GENERAL PROPERTY	51 FIELD MEDICAL EQUIPMENT 52 TRAINING DEVICES 53 FAMILY OF CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT	ULTRA-LIGHT TACTICAL VEHICLE	OTHER SUPPORT	55   ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	

					Change from	from
ttem	ûty.	2025 budget estimate	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Qty.	Budget estimate
56 SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		28,749		178,749		+ 150,000
TOTAL, PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS		4,243,863		4,201,143		-42,720
TOTAL, PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS (emergency)				(240,900)		(+240,900)

149

# The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
2	Amphibious Combat Vehicle Family of Vehicles Program increase: Additional vehicles (emergency)	810,276	1,051,176	+ 240,900 + 240,900
5	Artillery Weapons System	139,477	144,877	+ 5,400 + 5,400
6	Weapons and Combat Vehicles Under \$5 Million Expeditionary firefighting and rescue equipment / tool	18,481	14,441	-4,040
11	set unjustified growth	61,563	54,149	- 4,040 - 7,414 - 7.414
18	Items Under \$5 Million (Comm & Elec) YETI early to need	221,212	200,832	- 20,380 - 20,380
21	Electro Magnetic Spectrum Operations [EMSO] Transfer to OMMC Line BSM1 for Barracks 2030	182,465		- 182,465 - 176,465
24	Transfer to RDTE,N Line 225 for MEGFoS	128,804	119,301	- 6,000 - 9,503
26	early to need	59,077	53,250	- 9,503 - 5,827 - 5,827
27	DCGS-MC	81,507	70,507	-11,000 -11.000
28	UAS Payloads	17,232	12,225	- 5,007 - 2,207
31	Common sensor workstation previously funded	15,042		- 2,800 - 15,042 - 15,042
32	Marine Corps Enterprise Network (MCEN)	283,983	248,983	- 35,000 - 40,000
33	Program increase: Secure 5G OpenRAN technology Common Computer Resources	25,793	12,896	+ 5,000 - 12,897 - 12,897
34	Command Post Systems	59,113	51,810	- 7,303 - 7,303
35	Radio Systems	258,818	188,927	- 69,891 - 5,023
	Ground Link-16 contract savings Ground Link-16 MOJO Mini Marine Corps program ter- mination			- 3,409 - 5,437
	Multi-channel manpack R/T dismounted radio early to need			- 53,922
43	Multi-channel manpack radio dismount ancillary/ac- cessory excess to need	17,304	8,654	- 2,100 - 8,650
44	Prior year unobligated balances	340,542	324,058	- 8,650 - 16,484
46	Contract savings	29,252	24,560	- 16,484 - 4,692 - 4,692
50	Physical Security Equipment Prior year unobligated balances	56,749	43,639	- 13,110 - 13,110
52	Training Devices	105,448	90,133	- 15,315 - 15,315
53	Family of Construction Equipment	29,168	34,168	+ 5,000
56	grade control systems	28,749	178,749	+ 5,000 + 150,000 + 150,000

Amphibious Combat Vehicle.—The Committee understands that the Marine Corps has identified cost savings within the Amphibious Combat Vehicle [ACV] Family of Vehicles program of approximately \$100,000,000 between fiscal years 2024 and 2025. The Committee expects the Commandant of the Marine Corps to negotiate the best price for the ACV–30mm gun variant and apply these identified savings to procure additional vehicles in fiscal year 2025.

Radio Systems.—The Committee is concerned with the Marine Corps persistent reprioritization of requirements and continuing changes in acquisition strategies for radio programs, as exemplified by the Marine Corps' decision in previous fiscal years to defer the procurement of several radio systems. Therefore, the Committee directs the Commandant of the Marine Corps to provide the congressional defense committees quarterly program execution briefs on the radio system programs within this appropriation. The Committee further directs the Commandant of the Marine Corps to provide the congressional defense committees, not later than 90 days after enactment of this act, an updated acquisition strategy that includes the planned resourcing investments for radio systems across the Future Years Defense Program.

### AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE

Budget estimate, 2025	\$19,835,430,000
Committee recommendation	21,736,953,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$21,736,953,000, of which \$2,140,821,000 is designated as being for an emergency requirement pursuant to section 251(b)(2)(A)(i) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985. This is \$1,901,523,000 above the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

32	F-15 EPAW KC-46A MDAP		271,970 24,954	217,440 24,954		- 54,530
	AIRLIFT AIRCRAFT					
34	C-5		45,445	43,370		-2,075 -5,720
36	C-32A C-37A		6,422	6,422		
	TRAINER AIRCRAFT					
38	GLIDER MODS		2,679	2,679		300 08
40			2,205	2,205		30,020
41	1–38		113,486	108,786		-p,/u0
43	U-2 MODS		908'69	26		<b>08</b> /.480
45	C-12					
47	VC-25A MOD		11,388	11,388		
84 9	C-40		7,114	7,114		0
50 43	C-130 C-130J MODS		206,519	50,45/ 132.386		-52,062 -74.518
51	C-135		146,564	96,616		-49,948
52	COMPASS CALL MODS		94,654	94,654		
72	RC_135		322 966	242 066		+ 19 100
55	E-3		68,192	19,504		- 48,688
26	_		28,728	24,828		-3,900
57	Н-1		2,097	2,097		
20 00	MM=133A MUU		2,010	2,010		
09	HH60W MODIFICATIONS		28,911	5,000		-23,911
62	HC/MC-130 MODIFICATIONS		213,284	204,367		-8,917
63	OTHER AIRCRAFT		55,122	55,122		
49	OTHER AIRCRAFT [AP-CY]		5,216	5,216		
69	MQ-9 MODS		12,351	12,351		
99	I SENIOR LEADER C3, SYSIEM—AIRCRAFI	_	72,001	1 100,62	-	

								15	4										
from	Budget estimate		-718,145		+ 393,241 (+ 433,275)			+143,524 (+143,524)						+ 50,000					
Change from	Qty.																		
, to	recommendation	42,795	3,436,761	1 500 459	(433,275)			306,337 (143,524)		15,031	15,709	12,025	11,501	50,867	18,604		20,004		25,908
	Qty.																		
2005 budget	estimate	42,795	4,154,906	010 200	330,212			162,813		15,031	15,709	12,025	11,501	867	18,604		20,004		25,908
	Qty.																		
	Rem	CV-22 MODS	TOTAL, MODIFICATION OF INSERVICE AIRCRAFT	AIRCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	INITIAL SPARES/REPAIR PARTS (emergency)	AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES	COMMON SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	AIRCRAFT REPLACEMENT SUPPORT EQUIP AIRCRAFT REPLACEMENT SUPPORT EQUIP (emergency)	POST PRODUCTION SUPPORT	OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES PARTIES PRODUCTION CHARGES	B-2B	CV-22 POST PRODUCTION SUPPORT	F-16	F–16 F–22A	HC/MC-130 MODIFICATIONS	INDUSTRIAL RESPONSIVENESS	INDUSTRIAL RESPONSIVENESS	WAR CONSUMABLES	86   WAR CONSUMABLES
	Line	29		0	8 89			69				77	79	81 80	82		82		98

	OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES				
87			1,006,272	1,512,172	+505,900
87	87 OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES (emergency)			(000'009)	(+600,000)
95	F–15EX		40,084	40,084	
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	666	16,359	16,359	
	TOTAL, AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES		1,347,062	 2,046,486	+ 699,424
	TOTAL, AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE		19,835,430	21,736,953	+1,901,523
	TOTAL, AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE (emergency)			(2,140,821)	(+2,140,821)

156

# The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1	B-21 Raider	1,956,668	1,682,468	- 274,200 - 274,200
3	F–35	4,474,156	4,128,859	- 345.297
J	Unjustified growth: NRE	4,474,130	4,120,000	- 162,689
	Delivery delays: Unearned incentive fees			- 56,131
	Reduce carryover: Engineering change orders			- 106,980
	Unjustified growth: Ancillary equipment			- 100,380 - 19,49
5	F-15EX	1,808,472	2 272 5/1	+ 565,069
5	Cost overestimation: F-15EX Services		2,373,541	- 34,931
8	Program increase: Six additional aircraft (emergency)	2,405	1 252 405	+ 600,000 + 1,250,000
0	C-130J  Program increase: eight additional aircraft for the Air National Guard		1,252,405	+ 1,250,000
	Program increase: Additional LC-130J aircraft and			+ 1,030,000
	parts (emergency)			+ 200,000
10	Advanced Pilot Training T-7A	235,207	233.080	-2,12
10	Cost overestimation: Contractor support		200,000	-2.12
11	MH-139A	294.095	279.095	- 15.000
11	Air force requested transfer to RDAF line 167 for Per-	254,055	275,055	10,000
	formance Enhancement Product Improvement			- 15,000
12	Combat Rescue Helicopter	162.685	347.685	+ 185,000
12	Program increase	102,000		+ 200,000
	Early to need: Obsolescence funding			- 15,000
14	Civil Air Patrol A/C	3,086	15,000	+ 11,914
14	Program increase	3,000	13,000	+ 11,914
16	Target Drones	37.581	24.499	- 13.082
10	Reduce carryover	37,361	24,433	- 13,082 - 13,082
17	ULTRA	35,274		- 15,062 - 35,274
17	Early to need			- 35,272 - 35,274
22		63.932	52.221	- 35,272 - 11.711
22	B-2A Excess growth: ACS advisory and assistance services	,	. ,	- 11,711 - 5.186
				., .
	Reduce planned carry-over: LOSSM			- 3,225 - 3.300
23	Effort previously funded: Display modernization	12.400	10.050	
23	B-1B	13,406	12,356	- 1,050 - 1.050
24	Historically unobligated balances	104 020	171 100	
24	B-52	194,832	171,192	- 23,640
27	Phase program growth: Radar modernization program			- 23,640
21	E-11 BACN/HAG	82,939	68,137	- 14,802
28	Phase programmatic growth	AF 000	201 400	- 14,802
28	F-15	45,829	201,498	+ 155,669
	Historically unobligated balances			- 6,069
	Unjustified request: Data transfer module II Program increase: F-15E divestment prohibition			- 2,284
20	(emergency)	017.005	172.000	+ 164,022
29	F-16 Effort previously funded: Communications suite up-	217,235	173,006	- 44,229
	grades			- 22,430
20	Overestimation of SLEP rate	001 105		- 21,799
30	F-22A	861,125	649,621	- 211,504
	Reduce carryover: RAMP			- 12,652
	Schedule delays: Mode 5 IFF Challenge			- 128,300
21	Schedule delays: Low drag tanks and pylons	F40.057	204 454	- 70,552
31	F-35 Modifications	549,657	394,454	- 155,203
	Cost overestimation: Correction of deficiencies			- 44,803
20	Delivery delays: 40Px Kits	071 070	017.440	- 110,400
32	F-15 EPAW	271,970	217,440	- 54,530
	Overestimation of installation rate			- 12,153
	Unjustified growth: Program management costs			- 27,573
	Reduce carryover: Interim contractor support	l		- 14,80

157

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
34	C-5	45,445	43,370	- 2,075 - 2.075
35	Prior year underexecution	103,306	97,586	- 2,073 - 5,720
	Program increase: Airlift tanker open mission systems			+ 10,000
	Cost overestimation: RHUD			- 4,920
39	Early to need: BLOS	130.281	100.255	- 10,800 - 30,020
55	Unjustified request: PEO Tax	150,201	100,233	- 4,000
	Unjustified request: Avionics replacement program government costs			- 26,020
41	T-38 Program increase: T-38 ejection seat upgrade pro-	115,486	108,786	- 6,700
	gram Early to need: TRIM Installations			+ 5,000 - 11,700
43	U-2 Mods	69,806	26	- 69,780
	Early to need: ASARS 2–B			- 69,780
49	C-130	102,519	50,457	- 52,062
	Program delays: C-130H Amp Inc 2		120 200	- 52,062
50	C-130J ModsExcess funding: Block 8.1 upgrade kits	206,904	132,386	- 74,518 - 74,518
51	C-135	146.564	96.616	- 74,516 - 49,948
01	Early to need: MUOS			- 5,72
	Program delays: Comm 2 C&D			- 19,560
	Program delays: HF Modernization			- 24,668
54	RC-135 Program increase: RC-135 modernization	222,966	242,066	+ 19,100 + 19.100
55	E-3	68,192	19,504	+ 19,100 - 48,688
00	Unjustified growth			- 48,688
56	E-4	28,728	24,828	- 3,900
	Early to need: SSHF Inc 2 Long lead materials			- 3,90
60	HH60W Modifications	28,911	5,000	- 23,91
62	Early to needHC/MC-130 Modifications	213.284	204.367	- 23,911 - 8.917
UL.	Cost savings: Star XIII	213,204	204,507	- 8.91
68	Initial Spares/Repair Parts	936,212	1,529,453	+ 593,24
	Program increase: Spares and repair parts			+ 100,000
	Program increase: F-100 ANG engines for F-16			+ 69,000
	Unjustified request: C-5Early to need: ASARS-2B			- 6,334 - 2,700
	Program increase: Fighter force reoptimization (emer-			- 2,700
	gency)			+ 433,275
69	Aircraft Replacement Support Equip Program increase: Fighter force reoptimization (emer-	162,813	306,337	+ 143,524
00	gency)			+ 143,524
80	F-16	867	50,867	+ 50,000
87	tional Guard Other Production Charges	1,006,272	1,512,172	+ 50,000 + 505,900
07	Classified adjustment			- 89,600
	ices			- 4,500
	Classified adjustment (emergency)			+ 600,000

*MH-139A.*—The Committee believes the Department of the Air Force's decision to remove procurement funding for MH-139A helicopters across the Future Years Defense Program will preclude the recapitalization of critical assets and leave concerning capability shortfalls at Andrews Air Force Base, Fairchild Air Force Base, Duke Field, and Maxwell Air Force Base. Therefore, Committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to deliver a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 60 days after the enactment of this act which explains how the vertical lift require-

ments for distinguished visitor lift, aircrew survival training, flight test operations, and training will be met in the 2030s absent continued MH-139A procurement.

Airborne Early Warning and Control Aircraft.—The Committee continues to strongly support airborne early warning and control aircraft recapitalization. Congress has been a strong proponent of the E-7 Wedgetail program, first by establishing the program as new start following enactment of the Department of Defense Appropriations Act via approval of a reprogramming request in fiscal year 2022, and then by accelerating the program by providing an additional \$200,000,000 in both fiscal years 2023 and 2024. Despite the additional funding provided by Congress, the program is delayed compared to the original program schedule. While the E-7 program continues, the Committee expects the Department of the Air Force to retain additional E-3 aircraft prior to the delivery of E-7 Wedgetail aircraft to prevent gaps in airborne warning and control capabilities relied upon by the Combatant Commands. Accordingly, the Committee supports section 142 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2024 (Public Law 118–31) and section 131 of S. 4638, the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2025, as reported, which prohibit the reduction of the E-3 inventory unless specific conditions are satisfied.

Air Force Tanker Recapitalization.—The Committee notes that the average age of the current fleet of KC-135 aerial refueling tankers is nearly 60 years old. The Committee urges the Air Force to continue to prioritize the recapitalization of the aging tanker fleet and supports the Air Force plan to continue to replace up to 15 KC-135s per year with modern aircraft. However, the Committee is concerned with the lack of detail on the Air Force's recapitalization plan in future years to ensure sufficient aerial refueling capabilities to support operational and contingency requirements. Accordingly, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to provide a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 120 days from the enactment of this act detailing the long-term KC-135 recapitalization plan for the active and reserve components. This report shall include the following: (1) the procurement and divestment plans or actions the Air Force plans to implement by fiscal year for the next 20 years, (2) the actions the Air Force will take to ensure that required operational readiness rates are maintained during any planned divestment or recapitalization affecting KC-135 aircraft, and (3) an analysis of how the procurement and divestment plans or actions the Air Force plans to implement ensure the interoperability and operational relevance of the reserve components in the total force.

Classic Associations.—The Committee notes that there are six Air National Guard [ANG] units that operate under classic associations with their active-duty counterparts. While these ANG units do not own aircraft, they are operationally integrated into the active unit that maintains operational control of the mission set. The Committee understands that informal agreements exist between active and guard units that provide small numbers of backup aircraft to the Guard units. The Committee notes that the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2024 (Public Law 118–47) directed the Secretary of the Air Force to pursue a memorandum of agreement to formally recognize such agreements. The Committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to brief the congressional defense committees on the status of these agreements not later than 60 days after the enactment of this act.

## MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE

Budget estimate, 2025	\$4,373,609,000
Committee recommendation	4.208.262.000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$4,208,262,000, of which \$95,700,000 is designated as being for an emergency requirement pursuant to section 251(b)(2)(A)(i) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985. This is \$165,347,000 below the budget estimate.

### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

			2025 hudget		omittee	Change from	from
Line	Item	Qty.	estimate	Qty.	recommendation	Qty.	Budget estimate
	MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE						
	BALLISTIC MISSILES						
3 1	MISSILE REPLACEMENT EQUIPMENT—BALLISTIC MK21A REENTRY VEHIOLE		37,333 26,156		37,333 26,156		
	TOTAL, BALLISTIC MISSILES		63,489		63,489		
	OTHER MISSILES						
	STRATEGIC						
5	LONG RANGE STAND—OFF WEAPON LONG RANGE STAND—OFF WEAPON		70,335		70,335 140,000		
	TACTICAL						
6 7 8	REPLAC EQUIP & WAR CONSUMABLES JOINT AIR-SURFACE STANDOFF MISSILE JOINT AIR-SURFACE STANDOFF MISSILE	550	6,533 825,051	250	6,533 825,051		
10	JOINT STRIKE MISSILE LRASMO LRASMO (emergency)	50 115	165,909 354,100	50 147 (32)	165,909 449,800 (95,700)	+32 (+32)	+ 95,700 (+ 95,700)
12	SIDEWINDER (AIM—9X)	147	107,101	147	107,101 447,373		
16 17 18	SMALL DIAMETER BOMB SMALL DIAMETER BOMB II STAND-IN ATTACK WEAPON (SIAW)	604 868 128	42,257 328,382 173,421	604 868 113	42,257 324,910 152,646	-15	-3,472 -20,775
19	INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS/POLLUTION PREVENTION		913		913		
	TOTAL, OTHER MISSILES		2,661,375		2,732,828		+ 71,453

FIGATION OF INSERVICE MISSILES S IV FUZE MOD FUZ	+ 14,023		+ 100,000	+ 100,000	-234,000 $-102,800$	-336,800	- 165,347	(+ 95,700)	
104,039									
104,039 40,336 24,212 34,019 34,019 202,606 6,956 110,499 110,499 110,499 110,499 1135,640 1,335,640	118,062 26,313 24,212 34,019	202,606	6,956	210,499	394,436 604,404	998,840	4,208,262	(95,700)	
	104,039 40,336 24,212 34,019	202,606	6,956	110,499	628,436 707,204	1,335,640	4,373,609		
FICATION OF INSERVICE MISSILES  S IV  FUZE MOD  FUZE									
20 ICBM 21 ICBM 22 ICBM 11 23 AIR L 24 INITIA 25 REPLI 25 REPLI 26 REPLI 26 REPLI 26 REPLI 27	MODIFICATION OF INSERVICE MICLASS IV ICBM FUZE MOD	TOTAL, MODIFICATION OF INSERVICE MISSILES	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS INITIAL SPARES/REPAIR PARTS . REPLEN SPARES/REPAIR PARTS	TOTAL, SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	OTHER SUPPORT  SPECIAL UPDATE PROGRAMS  CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS		TOTAL, MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE	TOTAL, MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE (emergency)	

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
10	LRASM0	354,100	449,800	+ 95,700
	Program increase: LRASM (emergency)			+ 95,700
17	SMALL DIAMETER BOMB II	328,382	324,910	-3,472
	Pricing discrepencies			- 3,472
18	Stand-In Attack Weapon (SIAW)	173,421	152,646	-20,775
	Program delays			- 20,775
20	ICBM FUZE MOD	104,039	118,062	+ 14,023
	Air Force requested transfer from line 21			+ 14,023
21	ICBM FUZE MOD	40,336	26,313	-14,023
	Air Force requested transfer to line 20			-14,023
25	Msl Sprs/Repair Parts (Replen)	103,543	203,543	+100,000
	Program increase: Spares and repair parts			+100,000
28	Special Update Programs	628,436	394,436	- 234,000
	Classified adjustment			- 234,000
999	Classified Programs	707,204	604,404	-102,800
	Classified adjustment			- 102,800

## PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE

Budget estimate, 2025	\$709,475,000
Committee recommendation	598,855,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$598,855,000. This is \$110,620,000 below the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

			2005 hudget		owni#oo	Change from	from
Line	ltem	Qty.	estimate	Qty.	recommendation	ûty.	Budget estimate
	PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE						
	AMMUNITION						
2	CARTRIDGES		123,034		99,469		-23,565
	BOMBS						
ю 4	GENERAL PURPOSE BOMBS WASSIVE ORRUNANCE PENETRATOR IMOP		144,725		134,725		-10,000
5	JOINT DIRECT ATTACK MUNITION B61-12 TRAINER	1,500	125,268	1,500	125,268 11,665		
	OTHER ITEMS						
∞	CAD/PAD		40,487		40,487		
9	EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL [EOD]		7,076		7,076		
11 2	FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION ITEMS I FSS THAN \$5 000 000		2,894		2,894		
13	EXPENDABLE COUNTERMEASURES		99,769		88,169 49,209		-11,600 $-65,455$
	TOTAL, PROCUREMENT OF AMMO, AIR FORCE		684,164		573,544		-110,620
	WEAPONS						
15	SMALL ARMS TOTAL, PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE		25,311 709,475		25,311 598,855		-110,620

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
2	Cartridges	123,034	99,469	- 23,565
	Budget discrepency: AA22			- 5,800
	Budget discrepency: AA94			- 3,400
	Budget discrepency: AB18			- 8,560
	Budget discrepency: AB98			- 4,805
	Budget discrepency: B116			-1,000
3	General Purpose Bombs	144,725	134,725	-10,000
	BLU-129 unjustified request			-10,000
13	Expendable Countermeasures	99,769	88,169	-11,600
	Excess to need: LA88			- 8,125
	Pricing discrepencies: LA66			- 3,475
14	Fuzes	114,664	49,209	- 65,455
	Program delays: C-HOBS			- 65,455

## OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE

Budget estimate, 2025	\$30,298,764,000
Committee recommendation	29,876,245,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$29,876,245,000, of which \$344,980,000 is designated as being for an emergency requirement pursuant to section 251(b)(2)(A)(i) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985. This is \$422,519,000 below the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

			1			Change from	from
Line	kem	Qty.	2025 budget estimate	Qty.	recommendation	Qty.	Budget estimate
	OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE						
	VEHICULAR EQUIPMENT						
_	PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES		6,802		6,802		
	CARGO AND UTILITY VEHICLES						
2	MEDIUM TACTICAL VEHICLE		4,526		4,526		
w 4	CAP VEHICLES		1,151		2,000 45,267		+ 849 + 3,662
	SPECIAL PURPOSE VEHICLES						
5 6 7	JOINT LIGHT TACTICAL VEHICLE SECURITY AND TACTICAL VEHICL SPECIAL PURPAGE VEHICLES		69,546 438		65,927		-3,619
-			0,00		00000		
∞	FIRE FIGHTING/CRASH RESCUE VEHICLES		57,234		57,234		
	MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT						
6	MATERIALS HANDLING VEHICLES		22,949		22,949		
	BASE MAINTENANCE SUPPORT						
11	RUNWAY SNOW REMOYAL & CLEANING EQUIP		7,476		7,476		
	TOTAL, VEHICULAR EQUIPMENT		401,785		402,677		+ 892
	ELECTRONICS AND TELECOMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT						
	COMM SECURITY EQUIPMENT[COMSEC]						
12	COMSEC EQUIPMENT		63,233		63,233		
13	I SIKAIEGIC MICKOELECIKUNIC SUPPLY SYSIEMI		328,667		328,667		

			2025 budget		Committoo	Change from	from
Line	Rem	Otty.	estimate	Qty.	recommendation	Qty.	Budget estimate
	INTELLIGENCE PROGRAMS						
14			5,616		5,616		
15	Intelligence training equipment		5,146		5,146		
	ELECTRONICS PROGRAMS						
17	AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL & LANDING SYS		45,820		45,820		
18	NATIONAL AIRSPACE SYSTEM		13,443		13,443		
19	BATTLE CONTROL SYSTEM—FIXED		22,764		22,764		
20			73,412		62,088		-6,324
21	3D EXPEDITIONARY LONG-RANGE RADAR		96,022		96,022		
22	WEATHER OBSERVATION FORECAST		31,056		31,056		
23			49,991		49,991		
24	CHEYENNE MOUNTAIN COMPLEX		8,897		8,897		
25	MISSION PLANNING SYSTEMS		18,474		18,474		
27	STRATEGIC MISSION PLANNING & EXECUTION SYSTEM		7,376		7,376		
	SPECIAL COMM-ELECTRONICS PROJECTS						
28	GENERAL INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY		161,928		161,928		
29			1,946		1,946		
30	BATTLEFIELD AIRBORNE CONTROL NODE [BACN]		5		5		
31	MOBILITY COMMAND AND CON		11,435		11,435		
32	AIR FORCE PHYSICAL SECURI		254,106		466,286		+212,180
32					(201,980)		(+201,980)
33	COMBAT TRAINING RANGES		290,877		285,432		-5,445
34			60,639		60,639		
32			13,945		13,945		
36	C3 COUNTERMEASURES		100,594		100,594		
37			1,236		1,236		
33	I HEALEK BALILE MGI CZ SYSIEM AIP AND SPACE OPERATIONS CENTER TADCI		433		433		
}	AIN AIND STAVE UTENATIONS VENTEN [AUV]		1 0 17,170 1		61,170		

	AIR FORCE COMMUNICATIONS			
41		201,670	196,555	-5,115
44 43	OOMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT ELEMENT [JCSE] COM TOOM FCOM	5,821 19,498 4,797 79,783	5,821 19,498 4,797 79,783	
!				
47 48 49 50	Tactical C-e equipment Combat survivor eyader locator Radio equipment Base comm infrastructure	139,153 2,222 53,568 60,744	139,153 2,222 43,512 60,744	-10,056
51	MODIFICATIONS COMM ELECT MODS	73,147	73,147	
	TOTAL, ELECTRONICS AND TELECOMMUNICATIONS EQUIP	2,434,895	2,620,135	+ 185,240
	OTHER BASE MAINTENANCE AND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT PERSONAL SAFETY AND RESCUE EQUIP			
52	PERSONAL SAFETY AND RESCUE EQUIPMENT	109,562	109,562	
53		13,443	13,443	
55 57 58 59 59	JIPMENT TERES	79,854 203,531 112,280 24,563 54,455	97,754 203,531 115,280 24,563 69,455 (15,000)	+ 17,900 + 3,000 + 15,000 (+ 15,000)
	SPECIAL SUPPORT PROJECTS			
61 62 64	DARP RC135 DCGS-AF SPECIAL UPDATE PROGRAM	29,524 59,504 1,269,904	29,524 50,094 1,397,904	-9,410 +128,000

			2025 budget		ommi#oo	Change from	from
Line	ltem	Qty.	estimate	Qty.	recommendation	Qty.	Budget estimate
64 999	SPECIAL UPDATE PROGRAM (emergency) CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS		25,476,312		(128,000) 24,713,171		(+128,000) -763,141
	TOTAL, OTHER BASE MAINTENANCE AND SUPPORT EQUIP		27,453,391		26,844,740		- 608,651
	SPARE AND REPAIR PARTS						
99	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS (CYBER) SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		1,056 7,637		1,056 7,637		
	TOTAL, SPARE AND REPAIR PARTS		8,693		8,693		
	TOTAL, OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE		30,298,764		29,876,245		- 422,519
	TOTAL, OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE (emergency)				(344,980)		(+344,980)

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
3	Cap Vehicles	1,151	2,000	+ 849
	Program increase			+ 849
4	Cargo and Utility Vehicles	41,605	45,267	+ 3,662
	Air Force requested transfer from line 5: Level 1 ar-			
	mored vehicles for AF Global Strike Command			+ 3,619
	Program increase: F-35 future pilot training center			
_	vehicles		ı	+ 43
5	Joint Light Tactical Vehicle	69,546	65,927	-3,619
	Air Force requested transfer to line 4: Level 1 armored			
	vehicles for AF Global Strike Command			- 3,619
20	Theater Air Control Sys Improvemen	73,412	67,088	- 6,324
00	Cost growth		***************************************	- 6,324
32	Air Force Physical Security System	254,106	466,286	+ 212,180
	OSD requested transfer from P,DW line 2 for counter			
	small unmanned aerial system			+10,200
	Program increase: NASAMS, C-RAM, KuRFS (emer-			001.000
00	gency)			+ 201,980
33	Combat Training Ranges	290,877	285,432	- 5,445
	Contract delays: ARTSv3			- 2,045
	Contract delays: P6 CTS			-7,400
41	Program increase: Combat training ranges	201.070	100 555	+ 4,000
41	Base Information Transpt Infrast [BITI] Wired	201,670	196,555	- 5,115
40	Reduce carryover		40.510	-5,115
49	Radio Equipment	53,568	43,512	- 10,056
55	Price discrepencies: Tactical terminal	70.054	07.754	- 10,056 + 17.900
55	Base Procured Equipment	79,854	97,754	+ 17,900
	shooting ranges			+ 2.000
	Program increase: Arctic storage equipment			+ 10,900
	Program increase: Arctic storage equipment Program increase: Disaster relief mobile kitchen trail-			+ 10,900
	er			+ 5,000
57	Mobility Equipment	112,280		+ 3,000
37	Program increase: Expeditionary airfield lighting sys-	112,200	113,200	+ 3,000
	tems			+ 3,000
59	Base Maintenance and Support Equipment	54.455	69.455	+ 15,000
33	Program increase: Fighter force re-optimization (emer-	34,433	03,433	7 13,000
	gency)			+ 15.000
62	DCGS-AF	59.504	50.094	- 9.410
UZ	Program delays: Network infastructure transformation	39,304	30,094	- 9,410 - 9,410
64	Special Update Program	1,269,904	1,397,904	+ 128,000
04	Program increase: Classified adjustment (emergency)	1,209,904		+ 128,000
999	Classified Programs	25,476,312	24,713,171	+ 128,000 - 763,141
333	Classified adjustment	25,476,512	24,713,171	- 763,141 - 763,141
	Olassineu aujustillelli			- /05,141

## PROCUREMENT, SPACE FORCE

Budget estimate, 2025	\$4,262,979,000
Committee recommendation	4,078,521,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$4,078,521,000. This is \$184,458,000 below the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

-184,458-19,750-164,708Budget estimate Change from ĝ. 65,656 4,277 17,264 234,655 10,020 2,188 647,166 48,455 83,829 37,684 658,007 61,601 11,682,778 83,57,188 48,152 63,748 48,152 Committee recommendation 4,069,691 ğ 65,656 4,277 17,264 234,655 10,020 2,189 647,165 68,205 83,829 337,684 658,007 658,007 658,007 658,007 648,152 63,738 83,71,78 84,152 63,798 4,254,149 2025 budget estimate Ę, PROCUREMENT, SPACE FORCE A COUNTERSPACE SYSTEMS

FAMILY OF BEYOND LINE—OF—SIGHT TERMINALS

FART FORCE ELEMENT TERMINAL

WIDEBAND GAPFILLER SATELLITES (SPACE)

GENERAL INFORMATION TECH—SPACE

GFORT FOLLOW ON

GROBAL POSTIONING (SPACE)

4 SPACEBORNE EQUIP [COMNSEC] ltem PTES HUB
SPACE DEVELOPMENT AGENCY LAUNCH
SPACE MODS
SPACE MODS
SPACELIFT RANGE SYSTEM SPACE TOTAL, SPACE PROCUREMENT AF SATELLITE COMM SYSTEM SPACE PROCUREMENT MILSATCOM

170

Line

SPARES				
26 SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		722	722	
GROUND VEHICULAR EQUIPMENT				
27 USSF REPLACEMENT VEHICLES		4,919	4,919	
OTHER BASE MAINTENANCE AND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT				
28 POWER CONDITIONING EQUIPMENT		3,189	3,189	
TOTAL, PROCUREMENT, SPACE FORCE		4,262,979	4,078,521	- 184,458

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
9	GPS III Space Segment	68,205	48,455	- 19,750 - 19,750
19	National Security Space Launch	1,847,486	1,682,778	- 164,708 - 131,558 - 19,650 - 13,500

Space Launch.—Access to space remains a critical national security priority and concern for the Committee. The Committee supports the Department's plan for phase three of the National Security Space Launch [NSSL] program and acknowledges the recent award of lane one task orders to three vendors. However, the Committee notes that while the Space Force and the National Reconnaissance Office both have small launch service contracts in place, they are rarely used. The Committee further notes that the Space Force will only launch one payload through its small launch fiscal year 2024 Orbital Services Program [OSP]. The Committee believes that in a threat environment which requires tactical responsiveness, small launch providers are most likely to provide this capability. Therefore, the Committee recommends the Space Force include a greater diversity of providers and more competition as phase three of the NSSL program moves forward. To these ends, the Committee is also concerned by the Department's decision to request no funding for the OSP in fiscal year 2025. This program is critical to having a rapidly responsive launch capability, and to maintaining a competitive and innovative industrial base.

Therefore, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force, in coordination with the Director of the National Reconnaissance Office, to submit a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 90 days after the enactment of this act. The report shall identify by fiscal year each launch (including vendor and payload) procured through the OSP, the NRO's equivalent, or other programs where the payload requirements could be met by OSP providers. Additionally, the report shall include a plan to: make effective use of the OSP and the NRO's equivalent; identify opportunities for small launch providers through the Future Years Defense Program; and prioritize robust funding for the program over the Future Years Defense Program. The report shall be submitted in unclassified form but may include a classified annex.

Use of National Security Space Launch Program.—The Committee continues to direct the Secretary of Defense and the Director of National Intelligence to utilize the Space Force launch enterprise phase three contract for all National Security Space Launch [NSSL] class missions unless they certify to the congressional defense and intelligence committees that commercial launch or delivery on orbit procurement for a designated mission is in the national security interest of the United States government and provide the rationale for such a determination.

Additionally, the Committee is concerned with the number of launches that the National Reconnaissance Office awards outside of the NSSL contract and in violation of previous certification requirements first mandated in the Joint Explanatory Statement accompanying the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2021 (Public Law 116–260), and restated in each fiscal year through the Joint Explanatory Statement accompanying the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2024 (Public Law 118–47). Therefore, the Committee directs the Director of the National Reconnaissance Office to submit a report to the congressional defense committees and the congressional intelligence committees, not later than 90 days after enactment of this act, that identifies each launch by fiscal year that has been procured outside of the NSSL phase two contract over the life of the contract, and that are currently planned to be procured outside of the NSSL phase three contract. The report shall include each vendor and payload. This report shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.

### PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE

Budget estimate, 2025	\$5,406,751,000
Committee recommendation	5,819,954,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$5,819,954,000, of which \$527,245,000 is designated as being for an emergency requirement pursuant to section 251(b)(2)(A)(i) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985. This is \$413,203,000 above the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

	(מוומגאמוון) ווו מווחתן	leninesi					
			400 Print 3000		, times	Change from	from
Line	Rem	Otty.	estimate	Qty.	recommendation	Qty.	Budget estimate
	PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE						
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT						
_	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DPAA	10	518	10	518		
2	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, OSD		184,095		173,595		-10,500
~ «	MAJOK EQUIPMENT WHS INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECIIRITY		3/4		3/4		
6	TELEPORT PROGRAM		27,451		25,332		-1,603
Ξ	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M		25,499		25,499		
12			98,786		68,786		
: 13	WHITE HOUSE COMMUNICATION AGENCY		116,320		100,587		-15,733
4 1	SENIOR LEADERSHIP ENIERPRISE		54,2/8		54,2/8		0 500
CI 21	JUINT REGIONAL SECURITY STACKS [JRSS]		17,713		14,710		- 2,503
10	JUINI SERVICE FRUNIDER		20,462		23,064		700,0+
24.			53.777		53.352		- 425
25	MAJOR EQUIPMENT		2,191		2,191		2
56	Major Equipment, TJS		16,345		16,345		
27	THAAD SYSTEM	12	246,995	12	246,995		
78	GROUND BASED MIDCOURSE		20,796		20,796		
53	AEGIS BMD		82,000		257,000		+472,000
53	AEGIS BMD (Emergency)				(472,000)		(+472,000)
30	BMDS AN/TPY-2 RADARS		57,130		60,803		+3,673
31	SM-3 IIAS	12	406,370	12	406,370		
32		-	20,000	-	20,000		
33			40,000	-	40,000		
35	DEFENSE OF GUAM PROCUREMENT		22,602		22,602		
3	ארמס יסומיר וואסר ווו						
36	IRON DOME SYSTEM		110,000		110,000		
38	AEGIS BMD HAKUWAKE AND SOFIWAKE	7	32,040	<b>-</b>	32,040		
8 4	VEHICLES		2.754		2.754		
42	OTHER MAJOR EQUIPMENT		8,783		8,783		

44 43	DTRA CYBER ACTIVITIES AUTOMATION/EDUCATIONAL SUPPORT & LOGISTICS MADOR EQUIPMENT		3,429 1,360 7,332		3,429 1,360 7,332	
46 999	CYBERSPACE OPERALIONS CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS		69,066 599,781		109,687 593,331	+ 40,621 - 6,450
	TOTAL, MAJOR EQUIPMENT		2,434,338		2,922,020	+ 487,682
	SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND					
	AVIATION PROGRAMS					
47		12	335,487	12	313,105 2,500	- 22,382
49	MC-12		400		400	
20	SOF ROTARY WING UPGRADES AND SUSTAINMENT		220,301		221,001 37.817	+ 700 - 3.900
52	NON-STANDARD AVIATION		7,942		7,942	
23	U-28		5,259		5,259	
54	MH-47 CHINOOK		157,413		147,002	-10,411
cc 23	CV-22 MODIFICATION		49,403		40,764	-8,639
27	PRECISION STRIKE PACKAGE		69,917		49,062	-20.855
28	ACMC-1301		300,892		275,837	-25,055
29	C-130 MODIFICATIONS					
59A	MH-60 Blackhawk				22,773	+ 22,773
	SHIPBUILDING					
09	UNDERWATER SYSTEMS		63,850		63,850	
	AMMUNITION PROGRAMS					
61	ORDNANCE ITEMS UNDER \$5,000,000		139,078		130,702	-8,376
	OTHER PROCUREMENT PROGRAMS					
62			205,814		178,184	-27,630
	DCGS		3,918		3,918	066 6
65	COMBATANT CRAFT SYSTEMS		66,455		70,205	+3,750
99	SPECIAL PROGRAMS		20,822		20,822 58,016	+ 5.000
			-1		- 1	

		2025 hudget		Committee	200	
Item	Qty.	estimate	Qty.	recommendation	Qty.	Budget estimate
WARRIOR SYSTEMS UNDER \$5,000,000 WARRIOR SYSTEMS UNDER \$5,000,000 (Emergency) COMBAT MISSION REQUIREMENTS OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS INTELLIGENCE OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS (Emergency)		358,257 4,988 23,715 317,092		407,537 (44,500) 4,988 23,715 327,837 (10,745)		+ 49,280 (+ 44,500) + 10,745 (+ 10,745)
TOTAL, SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND		2,546,374		2,502,555		- 43,819
CHEMICAL/BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE						
CHEMICAL BIOLOGICAL SITUATIONAL AWARENESS		215,038 211,001		189,523 205,856		-25,515 $-5,145$
TOTAL, CHEMICAL/BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE		426,039		395,379		-30,660
TOTAL, PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE		5,406,751		5,819,954		+ 413,203
TOTAL, PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE (emergency)				(527,245)		(+527,245)

Line

177

# The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
2	Major Equipment, OSD	184,095	173,595	- 10,500
	OSD requested transfer to OP,A line 78 for counter small unmanned aerial system			-4,100
	OSD requested transfer to OP,AF line 32 for counter small unmanned aerial system			- 10,200
	OSD requested transfer to OP,N line 143 for counter small unmanned aerial system			- 10,200
	Program increase: Indian Financing Act incentive pay- ments			+ 14,000
9	Teleport Program Teleport excess growth	27,451	25,848	- 1,603 - 1,603
13	White House Communication Agency	116,320	100,587	- 15,733
15	Funding ahead of need	17,213	14,710	- 15,733 - 2,503
	Enhancement unit cost growth Tech refresh unit cost growth			- 1,904 - 599
16	Joint Service Provider	50,462	59,064	+ 8,602 - 2,398
	OSD requested transfer from RDT&E,DW Line 94 to PDW Line 16 to properly align 5G resourcing			+ 11,000
24	Major Equipment	53,777	53,352	- 425
29	Warstopper early to need	85,000	557,000	- 425 + 472,000
	Program increase: SM-3 Block IB continued production (emergency)			+ 472,000
30	BMDS AN/TPY-2 Radars	57,130	60,803	+ 3,673 - 3,327
40	Program increase: Sensors modeling and simulation			+ 7,000
46	Cyberspace Operations	69,066	109,687	+ 40,621 - 9,318
999	Transfer from RDT&E, DW line 294	599,781	593,331	+ 49,939 - 6,450
47	Classified adjustment	335.487	313.105	- 6,450 - 22,382
.,	Support equipment excess growth			- 5,413
	Interim contractor support excess to need Other government costs excess growth			- 12,229 - 4,740
50	Rotary Wing Upgrades and Sustainment	220,301	221,001	+ 700 - 8,300
	Program increase: A/MH-6 little bird mission configurable aircraft system			+ 9,000
51	Unmanned ISR	41,717	37,817	- 3,900
54	Long endurance aircraft contract delay MH—47 Chinook	157,413	147,002	- 3,900 - 10,411
	GFE excess growth			- 7,208 - 3,203
55	CV-22 Modification	49,403	40,764	- 8,639 - 8,639
56	MQ-9 Unmanned Aerial Vehicle  Adaptive airborne enterprise contract award delay	19,123	13,543	- 5,580 - 5.580
57	Precision Strike Package	69,917	49,062	- 20,855
	Crew optimization kits and installs early to need Precision strike package contractor support excess			- 15,365
58	growth	300,892	275,837	- 5,490 - 25,055
	Mission systems, modifications, and ITMS carryover Aircraft modifications excess growth			- 24,700 - 5,355
	Program increase: Airborne mission networking up-			- 5,500

178

#### [In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
59A	MH-60 Blackhawk		22,773	+ 22,773
	Program increase: MH-60M overseas aircraft loss			+ 22,773
61	Ordnance Items <\$5M	139,078	130,702	- 8,376
	Ground organic precision strike system VTOL micro			
	munitions and control kits contract delay			-776
	Ammo award delays			-7,600
62	Intelligence Systems	205,814	178,184	- 27,630
	Multi-Mission Tactical Unmanned Aerial System delays			- 27,630
64	Other Items <\$5M	79,015	75,776	- 3,239
	BDP light contract delay			- 3,239
65	Combatant Craft Systems	66,455	70,205	+ 3,750
	Environmental enclosure kit delays			- 3,250
0.7	Program increase: Combatant craft assault			+ 7,000
67	Tactical Vehicles	53,016	58,016	+ 5,000
	Program increase: GMV 1.1	250.057	407.507	+ 5,000
68	Warrior Systems <\$5M	358,257	407,537	+ 49,280
	Electronic Countermeasures next generation devices early to need			- 5.220
	Program increase: Satellite deployable node			+ 10,000
	Program increase: Counter unmanned systems and			+ 10,000
	Group 3 defeat acceleration (emergency)			+ 44,500
71	Operational Enhancements	317,092	327,837	+ 10,745
	Program increase: Loitering munition accelerated	017,002	027,007	1 20,7 10
	fielding and reliability testing acceleration (emer-			
	gency)			+ 10,745
72	Chemical Biological Situational Awareness	215,038	189,523	- 25,515
	Analytical laboratory system modification contract			
	award delay			-4,818
	Joint Bio Tactical Detection System early to need			- 9,872
	Chemical biological radiological nuclear dismounted			
	reconnaissance systems contract savings			- 2,075
	Wearable All-Hazard Remote-Monitoring Program early			0.750
70	to need	011 001		- 8,750
73	CB Protection & Hazard Mitigation	211,001	205,856	- 5,145
	Uniform Integrated Protective Ensemble Family of Systems Gloves ahead of need			- 6.215
	Uniform Integrated Protective Ensemble Family of Sys-			- 0,213
	tems General Purpose surveillance and logistics ex-			
	cess growth			- 1,930
	Program increase: Smallpox antiviral treatment			+ 3,000
	<u>'</u> ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' '			

## Defense Production Act Purchases

Budget estimate, 2025	\$393,377,000
Committee recommendation	909.377.000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$909,377,000, of which \$500,000,000 is designated as being for an emergency requirement pursuant to section 251(b)(2)(A)(i) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985. This is \$516,000,000 above the budget estimate.

### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

		179								
Change from	Budget estimate			+ 516,000 (+500,000)	+ 516,000	(+500,000)		+1,000,000 (+650,000)	+1,000,000	(+650,000)
	Qty.									
Committee recommendation				909,377 (500,000)	909,377	(500,000)		1,000,000 (650,000)	1,000,000	(650,000)
Qty.										
2025 budget estimate				393,377	393,377					
Qty.										
Item		DEFENSE PRODUCTION ACT PURCHASES	DEFENSE PRODUCTION ACT PURCHASES	DEFENSE PRODUCTION ACT PURCHASES DEFENSE PRODUCTION ACT PURCHASES (emergency	TOTAL, DEFENSE PRODUCTION ACT PURCHASES	TOTAL, DEFENSE PRODUCTION ACT PURCHASES (emergency)	NATIONAL GUARD AND RESERVE EQUIPMENT	NATIONAL GUARD AND RESERVE EQUIPMENT (emergency)	TOTAL, NATIONAL GUARD AND RESERVE EQUIPMENT	TOTAL, NATIONAL GUARD AND RESERVE EQUIPMENT (emergency)
	Line									

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1	Defense Production Act Purchases	393,377	909,377	+ 516,000
	Functional transfer			- 393,377
	Functional transfer: Radiation-hardened electronics supply chain			+ 20,700 + 12,000
	facilitization			+ 115,000
	Functional transfer: Chemical and biological defense			+ 8,000
	Functional transfer: Hypersonics industrial base			+ 18,600
	Functional transfer: Printed circuit boards			+ 45,000
	Functional transfer: Castings and forgings			+ 106,700
	Functional transfer: Strategic and critical materials			+ 35,000
	Functional transfer: Energy storage and batteries			+ 32,377
	Program increase: Heavy forging capacity improve- ment program Program increase: Solid rocket motor MVP cell			+ 8,000 + 8,000
	Program increase: Energy storage and batteries (emergency)			+ 87,600
	Program increase: Castings and Forgings (emergency) Program increase: Critical Chemical Supply Chain			+ 43,300
	(emergency) Program increase: Solid rocket motor steel cases			+ 56,700
	(emergency)			+ 95,000
	components (emergency)			+ 44,400
	turing (emergency)			+ 20,000
	Program increase: Cruise missile motors (emergency) Program increase: New domestic source of solid rock-			+ 93,000
	et motor production and modernization at scale			
	(emergency)			+ 60,000

Functional Transfers.—Funding in the Defense Production Act Purchases [DPA] account has historically been provided without specific delineation by effort, allowing the Department to prioritize the most pressing efforts within the year of execution. This has led to significant funding backlogs and lack of programmatic predictability for the defense industrial base. Therefore, the Committee has repeatedly emphasized the need to accelerate the execution of DPA resources in previous years and has recommended funding reductions due to large, unexecuted balances and a contracting backlog of up to 18 months. The Committee notes that in response to these concerns, the Undersecretary of Defense (Acquisition and Sustainment) significantly improved these contracting actions and this account has begun to achieve obligation rates consistent with Department standards.

However, based on the most recent fiscal year 2024 and 2025 spend plans for DPA, the Committee is concerned that the Department intends to deviate from its efforts to ensure timely execution of funds. Coupled with the substantial increases in DPA appropriations in the past four fiscal years, the Committee believes that additional transparency and accounting rigor is warranted in the DPA appropriation account structure.

Therefore, the Committee's recommendation includes a series of functional transfers to align DPA resources against projects identified by the Department that are executable in fiscal year 2025. These functional transfers are designated as "Congressional Special

Interest Items" as defined elsewhere in this report.

Microelectronics.—The Committee notes that microelectronics, including printed circuit boards, semiconductors, switch technology, and critical minerals, including nuclear grade graphite and gallium, have been identified as key focus areas for the Defense Production Act to ensure a strong domestic industrial base. The Secretary of Defense is encouraged to prioritize Defense Production Act investments that support domestic production in these key areas to fill strategic gaps in the supply chain.

Defense Production Act Investments in Munitions and Critical Materials.—The Committee remains concerned that the Defense Production Act Purchases account is not being fully utilized to address clearly identified vulnerabilities within the U.S. munitions production industrial base, as well as the sourcing of critical minerals and rare earth elements. Therefore, the Committee encourages the Department to prioritize investment in munition production facilities through the Defense Production Act Purchases ac-

count across the Future Years Defense Program.

Printed Circuit Boards.—The Committee continues to believe that printed circuit boards [PCBs] are key components of advanced defense technologies and that a strong domestic defense industrial base includes the ability to fabricate PCBs. On March 27, 2023, the President determined that PCBs are critical technology items essential to national defense and found that action to expand domestic production capabilities for PCBs is necessary to avert a critical technology item shortfall that would severely impair our National defense capability. The Committee encourages continued investment across the future years' defense program in this key technology area.

Tetranitrocarbazole.—Ensuring independence from foreign supply chains and the integrity of materials supplied to the American warfighter is a key objective of Defense Production Act purchases. The Committee understands that the Department of Defense is dependent upon a single-source foreign supplier for Tetranitrocarbazole [TNC], which is a critical material. Therefore, the Committee encourages the Secretary of Defense to explore the

establishment of a reliable domestic source of TNC.

Hypersonic Air Breathing Manufacturing Industrial Base Expansion.—The Committee continues to support the Department's use of the authorities provided in Title III of the Defense Production Act to strengthen domestic industrial base capabilities essential to national defense. The Committee further recognizes the important role of the DPA to incentivize the creation, expansion, and preservation of the defense industrial base. The Committee notes that investments in the industrial base supply chain supporting air breathing hypersonic missile structures have the potential to drive efficiencies across multiple defense programs in alignment with the Department's recently published National Defense Industrial Strategy. The Committee encourages such investments across the future years' defense program to leverage design practices, assembly proc-

esses, and automated technologies developed for commercial aerostructures in support of these defense programs.

#### NATIONAL GUARD AND RESERVE EQUIPMENT

Budget estimate, 2025	
Committee recommendation	\$1,000,000,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,000,000,000, of which \$650,000,000 is designated as being for an emergency requirement pursuant to section 251(b)(2)(A)(i) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985. This is \$1,000,000,000 above the budget estimate.

The appropriation includes direction for the component commanders of the Army Reserve, Navy Reserve, Marine Forces Reserve, Air Force Reserve, Army National Guard, and Air National Guard to submit to the congressional defense committees a detailed assessment of their component's modernization priorities, not later than 30 days after enactment of this act.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Item	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
NATIONAL GUARD AND RESERVE EQUIPMENT ACCOUNT			
RESERVE EQUIPMENT:			
ARMY RESERVE:		155,000	+ 155,000
Program increase: Miscellaneous equipment			+ 54,250
Program increase: Miscellaneous equipment (emergency)			+ 100,750
NAVY RESERVE:		57,000	+ 57,000 + 19,950
Program increase: Miscellaneous equipment (emergency)			+ 37.050
MARINE CORPS RESERVE:		23,000	+ 23,000
Program increase: Miscellaneous equipment			+ 8,050
Program increase: Miscellaneous equipment (emergency)			+ 14,950
AIR FORCE RESERVE:		155,000	+ 155,000
Program increase: Miscellaneous equipment			+ 54,250 + 100,750
Trogram merease. Miscenaneous equipment (emergency)			1 100,730
TOTAL, RESERVE EQUIPMENT		390,000	+ 390,000
NATIONAL GUARD EQUIPMENT			
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		310,000	+ 310,000
Program increase: Miscellaneous equipment			+ 108,500
Program increase: Miscellaneous equipment (emergency)			+ 201,500
AIR NATIONAL GUARD		300,000	+ 300,000
Program increase: Miscellaneous equipment			+ 105,000 + 195,000
riogram morease, miscenaneous equipment (emergency)			1 133,000
TOTAL, NATIONAL GUARD EQUIPMENT		610,000	+610,000
TOTAL, NATIONAL GUARD AND RESERVE EQUIPMENT		1,000,000	+ 1,000,000

High-Priority Items.—The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to ensure that the National Guard and Reserve Equipment account is executed by the Chiefs of the National Guard and reserve components with priority consideration given to the following

items: aircraft emergency response refuel equipment kits; aviation status dashboard; controlled humidity preservation; call for fire training; crashworthy, ballistically tolerant auxiliary fuel systems for UH–60 helicopters; heavy dump trucks; high mobility multipurpose wheeled vehicle modernization; training systems for aircraft survivability and weapons engagement; UH–60 gunner seats; and vehicle-mounted, man-portable radiological nuclear detection systems

#### TITLE IV

#### RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION

Funds appropriated under this title provide the resources required to conduct a program of research, development, test and evaluation, including basic research, applied research, advanced technology development, advanced component development and prototypes, system development and demonstration, operational systems development; as well as software and digital technology pilot programs.

The President's fiscal year 2025 budget requests a total of \$143,156,590,000 for research, development, test and evaluation appropriations.

#### SUMMARY OF COMMITTEE ACTION

The Committee recommends research, development, test and evaluation appropriations totaling \$145,118,045,000 for fiscal year 2025, of which \$3,417,719,000 is designated as being for an emergency requirement pursuant to section 251(b)(2)(A)(i) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985. This is \$1,961,455,000 above the budget estimate.

Committee recommended research, development, test and evaluation appropriations for fiscal year 2025 are summarized below:

# SUMMARY OF RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION APPROPRIATIONS

# SUMMARY OF RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION APPROPRIATIONS [In thousands of dollars]

Account	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation:			
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army	14,073,308	14,495,968	+ 422,660
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army (emergency)		(4,500)	(+4,500)
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy	25,697,815	26,221,839	+ 524,024
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy (emergency)		(585,000)	(+585,000)
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Air Force	49,108,771	46,829,805	- 2,278,966
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Air Force (emer-			
gency)		(74,394)	(+74,394)
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Space Force	18,700,153	19,773,158	+1,073,005
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Space Force (emer-			
gency)		(1,030,000)	(+1,030,000)
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide	35,227,834	36,946,466	+ 1,718,632
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide			
(emergency)		(1,223,825)	(+1,223,825)
Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense	348,709	850,809	+ 502,100
Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense (emergency)		(500,000)	(+500,000)
Total	143,156,590	145,118,045	+ 1,961,455

# SUMMARY OF RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION APPROPRIATIONS—Continued

Account	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
Total (emergency)		(3,417,719)	(+3,417,719)

#### REPROGRAMMING GUIDANCE FOR ACQUISITION ACCOUNTS

The Secretary of Defense is directed to continue to follow the reprogramming guidance as specified in the report accompanying the House version of the Department of Defense appropriations bill for fiscal year 2008 (House Report 110–279). The dollar threshold for reprogramming funds shall be \$15,000,000 for procurement and research, development, test and evaluation.

Also, the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) is directed to continue to provide the congressional defense committees quarterly, spreadsheet-based DD Form 1416 reports for service and defensewide accounts in titles III and IV of this act. Reports for titles III and IV shall comply with guidance specified in the conference report accompanying the Department of Defense Appropriations Act for Fiscal Year 2006. The Department shall continue to follow the limitation that prior approval reprogrammings are set at either the specified dollar threshold or 20 percent of the procurement or research, development, test and evaluation line, whichever is less. These thresholds are cumulative from the base for reprogramming value as modified by any adjustments. Therefore, if the combined value of transfers into or out of a procurement (P-1), or a research, development, test and evaluation (R-1) line exceeds the identified threshold, the Secretary of Defense must submit a prior approval reprogramming to the congressional defense committees. In addition, guidelines on the application of prior approval reprogramming procedures for congressional special interest items are established elsewhere in this explanatory statement.

#### FUNDING INCREASES

The funding increases outlined in the tables accompanying each appropriation account shall be provided only for the specific purposes indicated in the tables of Committee Recommended Adjustments. The Committee directs that funding increases shall be competitively awarded, or provided to programs that have received competitive awards in the past.

# RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION SPECIAL INTEREST ITEMS

Items for which additional funds have been recommended or items for which funding is specifically reduced as shown in the tables detailing Committee Recommended Adjustments or in paragraphs using the phrase "only for" or "only to" are congressional special interest items for the purpose of the Base for Reprogramming (DD Form 1414). Each of these items must be carried on the DD Form 1414 at the stated amount, as specifically addressed elsewhere in this report.

#### RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION OVERVIEW

Software and Digital Technology Pilot Programs.—The Secretary of Defense shall submit bi-annual reports to the congressional defense committees detailing the Department's assessment for each of the programs included in section 8102 of title VIII of this act. The report shall remain consistent with the specific reporting requirements outlined in the Joint Explanatory Statement accompanying the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2024 (Public Law 118-47). The Committee notes that recent reports have shown marked improvement in the metrics reported and quantitative assessments of the pilot programs. The Committee further notes that the fiscal year 2025 President's budget request includes a request for a new pilot program within the United States Cyber Command [USCYBERCOM] portfolio. However, while the Committee believes that this program is too hardware centric to justify its inclusion in the pilot program in fiscal year 2025, it does recognize that a program within USCYBERCOM could be valuable in future budget submissions. Further, the Committee recognizes the significant investment that the Department has made in its Advanced Analytics [ADVANA] program and therefore recommends transferring funds requested for ADVANA into the software and digital technology pilot program, as detailed in the tables of Committee Recommended Adjustments.

Finally, the Committee has identified inconsistencies in justification materials for the software pilot programs submitted with the fiscal year 2025 President's budget request and directs the Undersecretary of Defense (Comptroller) to ensure that justification materials for all Software and Digital Technology Pilot programs clearly delineate the resources and activities within the justification materials that would have been traditionally aligned to the operations and maintenance, procurement, and research, development, test and evaluation accounts.

Disclosure Requirements for Recipients of Research and Development Funds.—The Committee urges the full disclosure of Federal support and transparency by recipients of Department of Defense research and development grants and understands Title 10, United States Code, Section 4207, now explicitly provides effective disclosure requirements for these purposes. Therefore, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense, not later than 60 days after the date of enactment of this act, to provide a report to the congressional defense committees detailing plans for ensuring compliance with Title 10, United States Code, Section 4207, including enforcement actions, related to disclosure of Federal funds.

Reporting on Mid-Tier Acquisition and Rapid Prototyping Programs.—The Committee remains supportive of efforts to accelerate the delivery of capability to the warfighter, including through the use of rapid acquisition authorities and contracting strategies provided for in existing law, such as the use of middle-tier acquisition of warfighter capabilities ("section 804"). The Committee notes that this fiscal year, most programs using MTA will reach the end of their five year authority, at which point they are expected to field capability or transition to an alternative acquisition pathway.

Further, the Committee notes that the United States Government Accountability Office [GAO] issued its Weapon Systems Annual Assessment in June 2024. The report highlights decisions by the Department to continue conducting linear development and fielding processes, such as 5 years of rapid prototyping followed by 5 years of rapid fielding or subsequent entry into the major capability pathway at a developmental stage. Contrary to congressional intent when establishing MTAs, this creates programs with an average 10-year development cycle for major capability acquisition programs. Some programs, like the B–52 Commercial Engine Replacement Program are not expected to hit initial operating capability until at least 14 years after initiation as an MTA.

These concerns have been raised previously by this Committee, and concerns remain that MTAs designed to field mature capabilities or rapidly prototype technologies, are instead being used to circumvent traditional reporting requirements for major acquisition programs without resulting in capability deliveries in a timely manner. MTAs were designed to field capabilities at speed, yet the programs utilizing the MTA pathway have largely not achieved that. The GAO report notes that the program officials for the Army's Extended Range Cannon Artillery [ERCA] program, for example were quoted as saying that "the 5-year window was too short

to develop a system as innovative as ERCA."

Therefore, as in prior years, the Committee directs the Under Secretaries of Defense (Research and Engineering) and (Acquisition and Sustainment), in coordination with the service acquisition executives for the Army, Navy, Air Force, and Space Force, to provide to the congressional defense committees, with submission of the fiscal year 2026 President's budget request, a complete list of approved acquisition programs by year of initiation, and programs pending approval in fiscal year 2026, utilizing prototyping or accelerated acquisition authorities, the rationale for each selected acquisition strategy, a cost estimate and contracting strategy, the planned date for initial operational capability, and the expected acquisition pathway for transition for each such program. Further, the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) and the Assistant Secretaries (Financial Management and Comptroller) for the Army, Navy, and Air Force, are directed to certify full funding of the acquisition strategies for each of these programs in the fiscal year 2025 President's budget request, including their test strategies; finally, the Director, Operational Test and Evaluation, is directed to certify to the congressional defense committees the appropriateness of the services' planned test strategies for such programs, to include a risk assessment.

Further, the Committee directs the Undersecretary of Defense (Intelligence and Security) to certify to the congressional defense committees that the services have conducted a valid lifecycle threat review. To the extent that the respective service acquisition executives, service financial manager and comptrollers, and Director, Operational Test and Evaluation, provided the information requested above with submission of the fiscal year 2025 President's budget, any variations thereto should be included with the fiscal year 2026 submission. In addition, the services' financial manager and comptrollers are directed to identify the full costs for proto-

typing units by individual item in the research, development, test and evaluation budget exhibits for the budget year as well as the

Future Years Defense Program.

Other Transaction Agreements.—Pursuant to section 873 of the John S. McCain National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2019 (Public Law 115–232), as amended by section 819 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2020 (Public Law 116–92) and the Joint Explanatory Statement accompanying the Department of Defense and Labor, Health and Human Services, and Education Appropriations Act for 2019 (Public Law 115–245), the Department of Defense is required to meet annual and quarterly reporting requirements regarding the use of Other Transaction Authority [OTA]. The Committee notes the growing usage of OTAs and their important role in increasing the ability of the Department to do business with non-traditional defense contractors.

Therefore, the Committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense (Acquisition and Sustainment) to continue the previously established reporting requirements. Further, the Committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense (Acquisition and Sustainment), not later than 60 days following enactment of this act, to submit a report to the congressional defense committees on the Department's use of OTA agreements in fiscal year 2024, to include an analysis of the relative success rates of follow-on production contracts initiated after the conclusion of initial OTA agreements in comparison to lessons learned from conventional Federal Acquisition Regulation-based acquisitions. Further, the report shall identify the use of consortia and individually identify with associated dollar amounts, the awards to individual vendors under an agreement with a consortium.

Multi-Domain Artillery Cannon System.—The fiscal year 2025 President's budget request includes \$66,915,000 in the Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army account to begin development of the Multi-Doman Artillery Cannon System [MDACS], which is intended to address cruise missile and unmanned aircraft system threats. This program has been characterized as the further maturation of the Strategic Capabilities Office's Hypervelocity Gun Weapon System [HGWS], which includes system elements that have been in development since at least fiscal year 2013. The fiscal year 2025 President's budget request includes \$165,075,000 for this effort within the Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-wide account.

The Committee is concerned that the acquisition strategy for MDACS and HGWS, as presented, would extend the resourcing of a developmental program from one prototyping entity within the Department of Defense to another prototyping organization without a validated requirement, acquisition strategy, or identified Program Office to test, field, operate, and sustain the new capability. While the Committee remains supportive of the rapid prototyping authorities provided to the Department of Defense, it has received insufficient budget justification to support continued development of MDACS and HGWS in fiscal year 2025.

While the Committee acknowledges that gun-based precision munitions have the potential to provide a low-cost and mobile alternative to traditional high-performance interceptor systems, it lacks

sufficient justification to indicate that this particular system has a viable path to operational use.

Recognizing that improving the strength of the Joint Force is inextricably linked to the requirements and resourcing process, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Army to provide a briefing to the congressional defense committees, not later than 90 days after the enactment of this act, that identifies which of the technologies developed within the HGWS system, if any, are being con-

sidered for integration into future battery formations.

Advanced Sensors Application Program.—The Committee understands that the Department of Defense, at congressional direction, transitioned the Advanced Sensors Application Program [ASAP] to the Department of the Air Force earlier this year. The Committee notes that, since this is a transition year for the program, neither the Department of the Navy nor the Department of the Air Force requested funding for ASAP in the fiscal year 2025 President's budget request. The Committee expects the Secretary of the Air Force to appropriately budget for ASAP in the Future Years Defense Program and supports the related direction in the classified annex of the report accompanying S.4638, the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2025, as reported by the Senate Armed Services Committee (Senate Report 118–188).

#### RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, ARMY

Budget estimate, 2025	\$14,073,308,000
Committee recommendation	14.495.968.000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$14,495,968,000, of which \$4,500,000 is designated as being for an emergency requirement pursuant to section 251(b)(2)(A)(i) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985. This is \$422,660,000 above the budget estimate.

### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of	dollars

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVALUATION, ARMY BASIC RESEARCH			
1 2	DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES	310,191 78.166	314,191 78.166	+ 4,000
3	UNIVERSITY RESEARCH INITIATIVES	109.726	123,226	+ 13.500
4	CYBER COLLABORATIVE RESEARCH ALLIANCE	5,525	5,525	
5	ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE AND MACHINE LEARNING BASIC RESEARCH	10,309	10,309	
	TOTAL, BASIC RESEARCH	513,917	531,417	+ 17,500
	APPLIED RESEARCH			
6	ARMY AGILE INNOVATION AND DEVELOPMENT-APPLIED RE-	8.032	2.000	- 6.032
7	COUNTER IMPROVISED-THREAT ADVANCED STUDIES	6,163	6,163	

190

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estima
8	LETHALITY TECHNOLOGY	96,094	139,094	+ 43,0
10	SOLDIER LETHALITY TECHNOLOGY	102,236	169,236	+ 67,0
11	GROUND TECHNOLOGY	66,707	188,457	+ 121,7
12	NEXT GENERATION COMBAT VEHICLE TECHNOLOGY	149,108	200,108	+ 51,0
13	NETWORK C31 TECHNOLOGY	84,576		+ 41.5
			126,076	/ -
14	LONG RANGE PRECISION FIRES TECHNOLOGY	32,089	72,589	+ 40,5
15	FUTURE VERTICAL LIFT TECHNOLOGY	52,685	67,685	+ 15,0
16 17	AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE TECHNOLOGYARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE AND MACHINE LEARNING TECH-	39,188	54,813	+ 15,6
	NOLOGIES	20,319	20,319	
18	ALL DOMAIN CONVERGENCE APPLIED RESEARCH	12,269	12,269	
19	C3I APPLIED RESEARCH	25,839	27,339	+1,5
20	AIR PLATFORM APPLIED RESEARCH	53,206	53,206	
21	SOLDIER APPLIED RESEARCH	21,069	21,069	
22	C3I APPLIED CYBER	28,656	28,656	
23	BIOTECHNOLOGY FOR MATERIALS—APPLIED RESEARCH	11,780	11,780	
25	MANPOWER/PERSONNEL/TRAINING TECHNOLOGY	19,795	19,795	
26	MEDICAL TECHNOLOGY	68,481	107,481	+ 39,0
999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	35,766	35,766	
333		-		
	TOTAL, APPLIED RESEARCH	934,058	1,363,901	+ 429,8
	ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT			
27	MEDICAL ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	3,112	7,112	+ 4,0
28	MANPOWER, PERSONNEL AND TRAINING ADVANCED TECH-		·	
	NOLOGY	16,716	16,716	
29	ARMY AGILE INNOVATION AND DEMONSTRATION	14,608	29,108	+ 14,5
30	ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE AND MACHINE LEARNING AD-	11,000	20,100	1 11,0
50	VANCED TECHNOLOGIES	18,263	40,263	+ 22,0
31	ALL DOMAIN CONVERGENCE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	23,722	25,722	+ 22,0
		,		
32	C3I ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	22,814	22,814	
33		17,076	22,076	+ 5,0
34	SOLDIER ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	10,133	10,133	
35	LETHALITY ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	33,969	54,969	+ 21,0
37	SOLDIER LETHALITY ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	94,899	122,899	+ 28,0
38	GROUND ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	45,880	131,680	+ 85,8
39	COUNTER IMPROVISED—THREAT SIMULATION	21,398	21,398	
40	BIOTECHNOLOGY FOR MATERIALS—ADVANCED RESEARCH	36,360	36,360	
41	C3I CYBER ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT	19,616	23,616	+ 4,0
42	HIGH PERFORMANCE COMPUTING MODERNIZATION PRO-	000 507	047.507	. 0.0
43	GRAM NEXT GENERATION COMBAT VEHICLE ADVANCED TECH-	239,597	247,597	+ 8,0
	NOLOGY	175,198	247,248	+ 72,0
44	NETWORK C3I ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	94,424	160,324	+ 65.9
45	LONG RANGE PRECISION FIRES ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	164,943	169,943	+ 5,0
46		140,578		
	FUTURE VERTICAL LIFT ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY		175,428	+ 34,8
47	AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	28,333	41,333	+ 13,0
49	HUMANITARIAN DEMINING	9,272	23,272	+ 14,0
999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	155,526	155,526	
	TOTAL, ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	1,386,437	1,785,537	+ 399,1
	ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT AND PROTOTYPES			
51	ARMY MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEMS INTEGRATION	13,031	24,031	+ 11,0
52	ARMY SPACE SYSTEMS INTEGRATION	19,659	29,659	+ 10,0
54	LANDMINE WARFARE AND BARRIER—ADV DEV	58,617	60,617	+ 2,0
55	TANK AND MEDIUM CALIBER AMMUNITION	116,027	102,027	- 14,0
	ARMORED SYSTEM MODERNIZATION—ADV DEV	23.235		- 14,0 + 15,0
56		.,	38,235	
57	SOLDIER SUPPORT AND SURVIVABILITY	4,059	4,059	
58	TACTICAL ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM—ADV DEV	90,265	87,765	- 2,5
59	NIGHT VISION SYSTEMS ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT	64,113	60,764	-3,3
60	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY—DEM/VAL	34,091	37,091	+ 3,0

191 [In thousands of dollars]

ĺη	thousands	nf	dol	lars

		2025 budget	Committee	Change from
Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	recommendation	Change from budget estimate
61	NATO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	4,184	4,184	
62	AVIATION—ADV DEV	6,591	4,943	-1,648
63	LOGISTICS AND ENGINEER EQUIPMENT—ADV DEV	12,445	19,995	+ 7,550
64	MEDICAL SYSTEMS—ADV DEV	582	582	
65	SOLDIER SYSTEMS—ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT	24,284	38,284	+14,000
66	ROBOTICS DEVELOPMENT	3,039	3,039	
67	EXPANDED MISSION AREA MISSILE [EMAM]	102,589	23,516	- 79,073
68	CROSS FUNCTIONAL TEAM (CFT) ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT			
	AND PROTOTYPING	63,831	40,409	- 23,422
69	LOW EARTH ORBIT [LEO] SATELLITE CAPABILITY	21,935	21,935	
70	MULTI-DOMAIN SENSING SYSTEM [MDSS] ADV DEV	239,135	201,728	- 37,407
71	TACTICAL INTEL TARGETING ACCESS NODE [TITAN] ADV DEV	4,317	4,317	
72	ANALYSIS OF ALTERNATIVES	11,234	11,234	
73	SMALL UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLE [SUAV] (6.4)	1,800	1,800	
74	ELECTRONIC WARFARE PLANNING AND MANAGEMENT TOOL	0.004	0.004	
7.5	[EWPMT]	2,004	2,004	
75	FUTURE TACTICAL UNMANNED AIRCRAFT SYSTEM [FTUAS]	127,870	130,870	+ 3,000
76	LOWER TIER AIR MISSILE DEFENSE [LTAMD] SENSOR	149,463	127,428	- 22,035
77	TECHNOLOGY MATURATION INITIATIVES	252,000	252,000	
78	MANEUVER—SHORT RANGE AIR DEFENSE [M—SHORAD]	315,772	284,542	-31,230
80	ASSURED POSITIONING, NAVIGATION AND TIMING [PNT]	24,168	24,168	
81	SYNTHETIC TRAINING ENVIRONMENT REFINEMENT AND	100 000	104.000	0.000
00	PROTOTYPING	136,029	134,029	-2,000
82	COUNTER IMPROVISED—THREAT DEMONSTRATION, PROTO-	17.041	17.041	
0.5	TYPE DEVELOPMENT, AND TESTING	17,341	17,341	10.011
85	BIOTECHNOLOGY FOR MATERIALS—DEM/VAL	20,862	10,651	-10,211
86	FUTURE INTERCEPTOR	8,058	8,058	
88	COUNTER—SMALL UNMANNED AIRCRAFT SYSTEMS AD-	FO 002	E0 000	
00	VANCED DEVELOPMENT	59,983	59,983	
90	UNIFIED NETWORK TRANSPORT	31,837	31,837	
91 999	CYBERSPACE OPERATIONS FORCES AND FORCE SUPPORT CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	2,270	2,270	
333	CLASSIFIED FROGRAMS	277,181	277,181	
	TOTAL, ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT AND			
	PROTOTYPES	2,343,901	2,182,576	- 161,325
		=,,	_,	
	SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT AND DEMONSTRATION			
92	AIRCRAFT AVIONICS	7,171	7,171	
93	ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT	35,942	33,247	- 2,695
94	INFANTRY SUPPORT WEAPONS	52,586	59,811	+ 7,225
95	MEDIUM TACTICAL VEHICLES	15,088	3,565	-11,523
96	JAVELIN	10,405	10,405	
97	FAMILY OF HEAVY TACTICAL VEHICLES	50,011	34,690	- 15,321
98	AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL	982	982	
99	TACTICAL UNMANNED GROUND VEHICLE (TUGV)	92,540	92,540	
100	LIGHT TACTICAL WHEELED VEHICLES	100,257	3,027	- 97,230
101	ARMORED SYSTEMS MODERNIZATION [ASM]—ENG DEV	48,097	48,097	
102	NIGHT VISION SYSTEMS—ENG/DEV	89,259	99,259	+ 10,000
103	COMBAT FEEDING, CLOTHING, AND EQUIPMENT	3,286	3,286	
104	NON-SYSTEM TRAINING DEVICES—ENG/DEV	28,427	28,427	
105	AIR DEFENSE COMMAND, CONTROL AND INTELLIGENCE—			
	ENG/DEV	69,653	75,653	+6,000
106	CONSTRUCTIVE SIMULATION SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	30,097	30,097	
107	AUTOMATIC TEST EQUIPMENT DEVELOPMENT	12,927	12,927	
108	DISTRIBUTIVE INTERACTIVE SIMULATIONS [DIS]—ENG/DEV	8,914	8,914	
109	BRIGADE ANALYSIS, INTEGRATION AND EVALUATION	26,352	26,352	
110	WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS—ENG/DEV	242,949	242,949	
111	LOGISTICS AND ENGINEER EQUIPMENT—ENG/DEV	41,829	58,829	+ 17,000
112	COMMAND, CONTROL, COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS—ENG/			
	DEV	92,300	92,300	
113	MEDICAL MATERIEL/MEDICAL BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE EQUIP-			
	MENT	7,143	7,143	l

192

ine	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
114	LANDMINE WARFARE/BARRIER—ENG/DEV	19,134	31,634	+ 12,50
115	ARMY TACTICAL COMMAND AND CONTROL HARDWARE &	13,134	31,054	1 12,50
	SOFTWARE	165,229	136,662	<b>- 28,56</b>
116	RADAR DEVELOPMENT	76,090	41,584	- 34,50
117	GENERAL FUND ENTERPRISE BUSINESS SYSTEM [GFEBS]	1,995	1,995	
118	SOLDIER SYSTEMS—WARRIOR DEM/VAL	29,132	31,132	+ 2,00
119	SUITE OF SURVIVABILITY ENHANCEMENT SYSTEMS -EMD	77,864	77,864	
120	ARTILLERY SYSTEMS—EMD	50,495	47,479	-3,01
121	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	120,076	103,656	-16,42
122	INTEGRATED PERSONNEL AND PAY SYSTEM—ARMY [IPPS—A]	126,354	121,354	- 5,00
123	JOINT TACTICAL NETWORK CENTER [JTNC]	20,191	20,191	
124	JOINT TACTICAL NETWORK [JTN]	31,214	31,214	
125	COMMON INFRARED COUNTERMEASURES [CIRCM]	11,691	11,691	
126	COMBATING WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION (CWMD)	7,846	7,846	
127	NUCLEAR BIOLOGICAL CHEMICAL RECONNAISSANCE VEHICLE	7 000	7 000	
120	[NBCRV] SENSOR SUITE	7,886	7,886 4,176	
128 129	DEFENSIVE CYBER TOOL DEVELOPMENT	4,176 4,288	4,176	
130	CONTRACT WRITING SYSTEM	9,276	9,276	
132	AIRCRAFT SURVIVABILITY DEVELOPMENT	38,225	38,225	
133	INDIRECT FIRE PROTECTION CAPABILITY INC 2—BLOCK 1	167,912	150,912	- 17,00
134	GROUND ROBOTICS	28,378	28,378	
135	EMERGING TECHNOLOGY INITIATIVES	164,734	139,834	- 24,90
137	NEXT GENERATION LOAD DEVICE—MEDIUM	2,931	2,931	2.,00
138	TACTICAL INTEL TARGETING ACCESS NODE [TITAN] EMD	157,036	149,112	- 7.92
140	SMALL UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLE [SUAV] (65)	37,876	24,474	- 13,40
141	CI AND HUMINT EQUIPMENT PROGRAM—ARMY (CIHEP—A)	1,296	1,296	
142	JOINT TARGETING INTEGRATED COMMAND AND COORDINA-			
	TION SUITE (JTIC2S)	28,553	21,415	-7,13
143	MULTI-DOMAIN INTELLIGENCE	18,913	18,913	
144	PRECISION STRIKE MISSILE [PRSM]	184,046	184,046	
145	HYPERSONICS EMD	538,017	499,775	- 38,24
146	ACCESSIONS INFORMATION ENVIRONMENT [AIE]	32,265	32,265	
147	STRATEGIC MID—RANGE CAPABILITY	182,823	182,823	
148	INTEGRATED TACTICAL COMMUNICATIONS	23,363	12,224	-11,13
149	FUTURE LONG RANGE ASSAULT AIRCRAFT DEVELOPMENT	1,253,637	1,253,637	
150	THEATER SIGINT SYSTEM (TSIGS)	6,660	12 505	- 6,60
151	JOINT REDUCED RANGE ROCKET (JR3)	13,565	13,565	E 0
152 153	SPECTRUM SITUATIONAL AWARENESS SYSTEM (S2AS)	9,330 3,030	4,330 3,030	- 5,00
154	JOINT AIR—TO—GROUND MISSILE [JAGM] ARMY INTEGRATED AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE [AIAMD]	602,045	555,068	- 46,97
155	COUNTER—SMALL UNMANNED AIRCRAFT SYSTEMS SYS DEV	002,043	333,000	- 40,37
133	AND DEMONSTRATION	59,563	64,063	+ 4,50
155	COUNTER—SMALL UNMANNED AIRCRAFT SYSTEMS SYS DEV	33,303	04,003	1 4,50
100	AND DEMONSTRATION (emergency)		(4,500)	(+4,50
157	MANNED GROUND VEHICLE	504,841	499,478	-5.36
158	NATIONAL CAPABILITIES INTEGRATION [MIP]	16,565	16,565	
159	JOINT LIGHT TACTICAL VEHICLE ENG AND MANUFACTURING	,	,	
	DEVELOPMENT	27,013	2,163	- 24,85
160	AVIATION GROUND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	979	979	
161	TROJAN—RH12	3,930	3,930	
163	ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT	131,096	81,232	- 49,86
999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	83,136	83,136	
	TOTAL, ENGINEERING & MANUFACTURING DEVELOP-			
	MENT	6,150,910	5,737,398	-413,51
	MANAGEMENT SUPPORT			
164	THREAT SIMULATOR DEVELOPMENT	71,298	81,298	+ 10,00
165	TARGET SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	15,788	22,788	+ 10,00
166	MAJOR T&E INVESTMENT	78,613	78,613	T 7,00

193

ne .	Item	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
168	ARMY KWAJALEIN ATOLL	321,755	321,755	
169	CONCEPTS EXPERIMENTATION PROGRAM	86,645	80,845	- 5,800
171	ARMY TEST RANGES AND FACILITIES	461,085	461,085	
172	ARMY TECHNICAL TEST INSTRUMENTATION AND TARGETS	75,591	74,004	-1,58
173	SURVIVABILITY/LETHALITY ANALYSIS	37,604	36,815	− 789
174	AIRCRAFT CERTIFICATION	2,201	2,201	
176	MATERIEL SYSTEMS ANALYSIS	27,420	26,845	− 57:
177	EXPLOITATION OF FOREIGN ITEMS	6,245	6,245	
178	SUPPORT OF OPERATIONAL TESTING	76,088	76,088	
179	ARMY EVALUATION CENTER			
-		73,220	73,220	
180	ARMY MODELING AND SIMULATION X—CMD COLLABORATION			
	AND INTEG	11,257	11,257	
181	PROGRAMWIDE ACTIVITIES	91,895	91,895	
182	TECHNICAL INFORMATION ACTIVITIES	32,385	32,385	
183	MUNITIONS STANDARDIZATION, EFFECTIVENESS AND SAFETY	50,766	53,266	+ 2,50
184	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY MGMT SUPPORT	1,659	1,659	
185	ARMY DIRECT REPORT HEADQUARTERS—R&D—MHA	59,727	59,727	
86	RONALD REAGAN BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TEST SITE	73,400	73,400	
87	COUNTERINTEL AND HUMAN INTEL MODERNIZATION	4,574	9,574	+ 5,00
.88	ASSESSMENTS AND EVALUATIONS CYBER VULNERABILITIES	10,105	10,105	
	TOTAL, RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT	1,707,443	1,723,192	+ 15,74
	OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT			
190	MLRS PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	1/1100	1/1 100	
		14,188	14,188	
91	ANTI-TAMPER TECHNOLOGY SUPPORT	7,489	7,489	
92	COMBATING WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION (CWMD)			
	PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT	271	271	
93	WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PRO-			
	GRAMS	9,363	48,563	+ 39,20
94	BLACKHAWK PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM		77,000	+ 52,00
		25,000		
.95	CHINOOK PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	4,816	4,816	
.96	IMPROVED TURBINE ENGINE PROGRAM	67,029	130,029	+63,00
.98	UNMANNED AIRCRAFT SYSTEM UNIVERSAL PRODUCTS	24,539	24,539	
199	APACHE FUTURE DEVELOPMENT	8,243	8,243	
200	AN/TPQ-53 COUNTERFIRE TARGET ACQUISITION RADAR SYS-	·		
	TEM	53,652	53,652	
201	INTEL CYBER DEVELOPMENT	9,753	9,753	
-		,		
203	ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT	5,559	5,559	
204	ENDURING TURBINE ENGINES AND POWER SYSTEMS	2,620	2,620	
206	FAMILY OF BIOMETRICS	590	590	
207	PATRIOT PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT	168,458	138,398	- 30,06
208	JOINT AUTOMATED DEEP OPERATION COORDINATION SYSTEM	27,582	27,582	
209	COMBAT VEHICLE IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS	272,926	280,926	+ 8,00
		,		
210	155MM SELF—PROPELLED HOWITZER IMPROVEMENTS	55,205	47,870	-7,33
211	AIRCRAFT ENGINE COMPONENT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	142	142	
212	DIGITIZATION	1,562	1,562	
213	MISSILE/AIR DEFENSE PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	1,511	1,511	
214	OTHER MISSILE PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS	23,708	26,708	+ 3,00
215	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY—OPERATIONAL	25,700	20,700	1 3,00
213		000	000	
	SYSTEM DEV	269	269	
216	GUIDED MULTIPLE-LAUNCH ROCKET SYSTEM [GMLRS]	20,590	20,590	
221	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM	15,733	15,733	
222	GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM	2,566	2,566	
223	SATCOM GROUND ENVIRONMENT (SPACE)	26,643	26,643	
	INTEGRATED BROADCAST SERVICE [IBS]			
226		5,701	5,701	
229	MQ-1C GRAY EAGLE UAS	6,681	6,681	
230	END ITEM INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS ACTIVITIES	67,187	74,687	+ 7,50
999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	32,518	32,518	
				1

194

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
231	DEFENSIVE CYBER—SOFTWARE PROTOTYPE DEVELOPMENT	74,548	74,548	
	TOTAL, RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVAL- UATION, ARMY	14,073,308	14,495,968	+ 422,660
	TOTAL, RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVAL- UATION, ARMY (emergency)		(4,500)	(+4,500)

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

# The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

ine	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimat
1	Defense Research Sciences	310,191	314,191	+ 4,00
	tion of physics-based environments			+ 1,00
	Program increase: UAV hybrid propulsion technologies			+ 3,00
3	University and Industry Research Centers Program increase: Biotechnology advancement re-	109,726	123,226	+ 13,50
	search			+ 1,00
	Program increase: Connected vehicle cybersecurity center			+ 7,00
	Program increase: Materials in extreme dynamic envi-			+ 2,5
	Program increase: Wearable health and environment			·
r	monitoring device	0.022	2 000	+ 3,0
6	Army Agile Innovation and Development-Applied Research Unjustified growth	8,032	2,000	- 6,00 - 6,00
8	Lethality Technology	96.094	139.094	+ 43,0
Ū	Program increase: Additive manufacturing for missile	00,001	100,001	,.
	application			+4,0
	Program increase: Advanced materials and manufac-			
	turing for modernization Program increase: Al-enhanced autonomous rescue			+ 20,0
	missions			+4,0
	Program increase: Ceramic protection materials			+ 2,5
	Program increase: Enhancing critical materials supply			
	chain			+ 2,0
	Program increase: Powder metallurgical processing Program increase: Turret gunner survivability and			+ 1,5
	simulation environment			+ 3,0
	Program increase: Advanced materials and manufac-			
10	turing for hypersonics	100 000	100 000	+ 6,0
10	Soldier Lethality Technology Program increase: Academic accelerator program	102,236	169,236	+ 67,0 + 3,0
	Program increase: Advanced textiles and shelters			+ 3,0
	Program increase: Automated pilot for small tactical			1 3,0
	universal battery			+ 5,0
	Program increase: Digital night vision technology			+ 4,0
	Program increase: Domestic silicon anode develop-			. 25
	ment Program increase: Enhanced ballistic protective			+ 2,5
	eyewear			+1,0
	Program increase: HEROES			+ 2,0
	Program increase: Lightweight fuel cell			+ 5,0
	Program increase: Operational test environment and			
	facility for cybersecurity training			+ 15,0
	Program increase: Pathfinder air assault	l	l	H + 2,0

195

ne	Item	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimat
	Program increase: Pathfinder airborne			+ 8,00
	Program increase: Pathfinder arctic			+ 5,00
	Program increase: Pathfinder arctic warfare			+ 2,50
	Program increase: Polymer electrolytes for solider worn batteries			+ 4,00
	Program increase: Scaling sublimation process of sil-			1 4,00
	icon anode manufacturing			+ 5,00
11	Ground Technology	66,707	188,457	+ 121,7
	Program increase: 2D polymer scalable manufacturing			+ 3,00
	Program increase: Advanced fabrics for battlefield			
	protection Program increase: Advanced materials under extreme			+ 6,0
	environments			+ 2,0
	Program increase: Carbon nanomaterials as functional		•••••	1 2,0
	additives			+6,0
	Program increase: Ceramic materials for extreme en-			
	vironments			+ 4,0
	Program increase: Composite machining for			
	hypersonics Program increase: Critical hybrid advanced manufac-			+ 3,0
	turing processes			+ 7,5
	Program increase: Development of roadway repair ma-			1 7,0
	terials			+ 3,0
	Program increase: Dynamic composite materials as a			
	reconfigurable solution			+ 7,5
	Program increase: Electrolyzer technology Program increase: High deposition structural alloy			+ 2,5
	Program increase: High temperature resin production			+ 12,5
	weapon system parts and munitions			+ 2,5
	Program increase: High-entropy alloy deployment			+ 1,5
	Program increase: Invincible materials technology re-			·
	search			+ 7,0
	Program increase: Materials technology for rare earth			. 0 0
	elements Program increase: Microbial biomanufacturing for crit-			+ 8,0
	ical supply chains			+ 2,0
	Program increase: Minority leaders research collabora-			. 2,0
	tion program			+ 5,0
	Program increase: PFAS predictive modeling			+ 2,0
	Program increase: Polar proving ground			+ 5,0
	Program increase: Protective coatings			+ 6,0
	Program increase: Rapid ultra-lightweight infrastruc- ture manufacturing			+ 3,0
	Program increase: Rare earth extraction demonstration			+ 8,0
	Program increase: Regional hydrological integrated			,.
	modeling system			+ 1,0
	Program increase: Scaling of lightweight metallurgical			
	development			+ 6,7
	Program increase: Soil stabilization			+ 4,0
12	Program increase: Windstorm resilience for facilities  Next Generation Combat Vehicle Technology	149,108	200,108	+ 3,0 + 51,0
	Program increase: Additive manufacturing for military	110,100	200,100	1 01,0
	vehicles			+ 2,5
	Program increase: Autonomous vehicle research initia-			
	tive			+ 5,0
	Program increase: Data analytics for autonomous ve-			. 70
	hicle systems Program increase: Expeditionary fabrication			+ 7,0 + 2,0
	Program increase: Expeditionary fabrication  Program increase: Fast refueling fuel cell engines			+ 3,5
	Program increase: Hydrogen technologies			+ 10,0
	Program increase: Large metal additive manufac-			]
	turing for ground vehicles			+ 7,5
	Program increase: Modeling and simulation for digital			
	engineering	l	l	l + 2,5

196

ine	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimat
	Program increase: Polymer-based proton exchange			
	membrane devices Program increase: Small unit technology advance-			+ 1,00
	ments Program increase: Standardized battery for enhanced			+ 4,00
	performance Program increase: Vehicle power protection			+ 3,00 + 2,00
	Program increase: Virtual experimentation for ground			·
13	vehicle technologies Network C3I Technology	84,576	126,076	+ 1,00 + 41,50
	Program increase: Agile sensing for radio frequency and radar capabilities	,	,	+ 3,00
	Program increase: Counter encryption for end-to-end			
	secured mobile communications Program increase: Detection of unexploded ordnance			+ 1,50
	technology Program increase: Development of advanced radio fre-			+ 3,00
	quency applicationsProgram increase: Electromagnetic spectrum domi-			+ 3,00
	nance in contested environments Program increase: Group 3 drones for autonomous op-			+ 5,00
	erations			+ 3,00
	Program increase: Integrated photonics for contested RF environments			+ 10,00
	Program increase: Mirror-based light detection and ranging sensor			+ 3,00
	Program increase: Multi-static radar system			+ 3,00
	Program increase: Social network analysis Program increase: Spectrum dominance with distrib-			+ 3,00
14	uted apertures Long Range Precision Fires Technology	32,089	72,589	+ 4,00 + 40,50
	Program increase: Advanced manufacturing of energetic materials	,	,	+ 8,50
	Program increase: Biosynthesizing of critical chemicals			+ 12,50
	Program increase: High speed missile materials			+ 12,50
15	Program increase: Reactive materials Future Verticle Lift Technology	52,685	67,685	+ 7,00 + 15,00
10	Program increase: Adaptive flight control technology	32,000		+ 3,00
	Program increase: High density eVTOL power source			+ 5,00
	Program increase: UAS propulsion and power systems			+ 2,00
1.0	Program increase: Wind tunnel modernization	20.100		+ 5,00
16	Air and Missile Defense Technology Program increase: Beam control systems and industry	39,188	54,813	+ 15,62
	grade optical fiber fabrication for energy laser			+ 7,50
	Program increase: Counter-UAS center of excellence			+ 5,00
	Program increase: Modeling and simulation develop-			
10	ment for emerging UAS threats	05.000	07.000	+ 3,1
19	C3I Applied Research Program increase: Critical infrastructure cyber and	25,839	27,339	+1,5
	electronic warfare incident response			+ 1,5
26	Medical Technology	68,481	107,481	+ 39,0
	Program increase: Biomaterials for combat wound	00,101	107,101	·
	care			+ 1,5
	Program increase: Blast surrogate platforms Program increase: Degradable metal alloy orthopedic			+ 5,0
	implants Program increase: Female warfighter health and read-			+ 4,0
	iness Program increase: Musculoskeletal health and per-			+ 8,00
	formance research			+ 2,50
	tion			+ 5,00

197

ine	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Program increase: Physiological study of female			
	warfighters to improve training			+10,00
	Program increase: Servicemember sleep research			+1,00
	Program increase: Trauma immunology			+ 2,00
27	Medical Advanced Technology	3,112	7,112	+ 4,00
	Program increase: Hearing protection for communica-			
	tions			+ 2,00
	Program increase: Suicide prevention with a focus on			. 0.00
29	rural, remote, isolated, and OCONUS installations	14 000	20 100	+ 2,00
23	Army Agile Innovation and Demonstration Program increase: Glide munitions precision effects	14,608	29,108	+ 14,50 + 8,00
	Program increase: Next generation hybrid rocket en-			+ 0,00
	gines			+ 6,50
30	Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning Advanced Tech-			. 0,00
	nologies	18,263	40,263	+ 22,00
	Program increase: Distributed Al data fusion for	,		
	uncrewed systems			+10,00
	Program increase: Edge based predictive maintenance			
	tools			+ 12,00
31	All Domain Convergence Advanced Technology	23,722	25,722	+ 2,00
	Program increase: Weapon target pairing and track			
22	fusion capabilities	17.070	00.070	+ 2,00
33	Air Platform Advanced Technology	17,076	22,076	+ 5,00
	Program increase: Unmanned aircraft systems test and research center			+ 5,00
35	Lethality Advanced Technology	33,969	54,969	+ 21,0
55	Program increase: Autonomous long-range resupply		34,303	+ 4,00
	Program increase: High strength ordnance packaging,			1 1,0
	handling, storage and transportation			+ 2,00
	Program increase: Hypersonics test infrastructure			+ 15,0
37	Soldier Lethality Advanced Technology	94,899	122,899	+ 28,00
	Program increase: Artificial intelligence and assistive			
	automation system			+ 7,50
	Program increase: Autonomous aerial cargo delivery			+ 2,00
	Program increase: Energy-harvesting rucksack for ex- treme weather			+ 2,00
	Program increase: Enhanced head protection system			+ 2,00
	Program increase: Foundational models for generative			1 2,00
	Al			+ 5,00
	Program increase: Military footwear research			+ 5,00
	Program increase: Next generation integrated head			
	protection system			+ 2,50
	Program increase: Personal air mobility capability			+ 2,00
38	Ground Advanced Technology	45,880	131,680	+ 85,80
	Program increase: Accelerator technology for ground			. 2.00
	Program increase. Advanced coating development for			+ 2,00
	Program increase: Advanced coating development for infrastructure			+ 3,00
	Program increase: Automated pavement assessment			1 3,00
	system			+ 3,00
	Program increase: Cold regions research and engi-			,
	neering laboratory			+ 8,00
	Program increase: Cold weather mobility testing			+ 5,50
	Program increase: Deep strength pavement			+ 8,00
	Program increase: Dynamic loading and structural de-			
	sign			+ 2,00
	Program increase: Engineering practices for ecosystem			. 1.0
	design solutions			+ 1,0 + 2.0
	Program increase: Expeditionary additive technology Program increase: Expeditionary portable fission gen-			+ 2,0
	erator			+ 5,0
	Program increase: Extraction of rare earth elements			1 3,00

198

ine	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estima
	Program increase: Extreme temperatures energy resil-			
	ience research			+ 2,5
	Program increase: Heavy vehicle simulator upgrades			+ 2,0
	Program increase: High power fast charging for fleet			,
	modernization			+ 2,0
	Program increase: Innovative design and manufac-			
	turing of advanced composites/multi material pro-			
	tective systems			+ 2,5
	Program increase: Microwave-based plasma system			
	for PFAS destruction			+ 6,0
	Program increase: Multifunction materials process for			
	portable landing surfaces			+ 2,0
	Program increase: PFAS clean up and destruction			
	technology development			+ 2,9
	Program increase: Power self-sufficiency			+ 5,0
	Program increase: Rechargeable lithium batteries			+ 2,5
	Program increase: Reconfigurable underground test			
	and evaluation			+ 3,5
	Program increase: Remote assessment of winter sur-			
	face conditions in forests			+ 3,0
	Program increase: Reusable polymer technology			+ 1,0
	Program increase: Smart and resilient installations			+ 5,0
	Program increase: Technology for compostable pack-			
	aging materials			+ 2,0
	Program increase: Water reuse consortium			+ 3,0
41	C3I Cyber Advanced Development	19,616	23,616	+ 4,0
	Program increase: NATO autonomous cyber and com-			
	munications interoperability			+ 4.0
42	High Performance Computing Modernization Program	239,597	247,597	+ 8,0
	Program increase: High performance computing mod-			,.
	ernization program			+ 8,0
43	Next Generation Combat Vehicle Advanced Technology	175,198	247,248	+ 72,0
	Unjustified request			- 20,7
	Program increase: Additive manufacturing for casting			
	replacement parts			+ 2,2
	Program increase: Advanced materials applications			+ 17,5
	Program increase: Autonomous ground vehicle re-			,
	search			+1,5
	Program increase: Autonomous minefield clearance			+ 8,0
	Program increase: Blast resistant fuel systems			+ 2,5
	Program increase: CBRN autonomous operations			+ 2,0
	Program increase: Cybersecurity for autonomous			,
	ground vehicles			+ 3,5
	Program increase: Digital enterprise management for			
	XM30			+ 7,5
	Program increase: Lithium-ion batteries for military			,
	vehicles			+ 2,0
	Program increase: Mesophase pitch-based synthetic			,
	graphite			+ 10,0
	Program increase: Modular electric motors			+ 4,0
	Program increase: Off-road maneuver			+ 5,0
	Program increase: Silent mobility vehicle cooling			+ 8,0
	Program increase: Thermoplastics materials digital			,,
	twin			+ 5,0
	Program increase: Virtual prototyping of ground-air			,,
	vehicle formations			+ 10,0
	Program increase: Wide-area motion imagery sensor			1 10,0
	for overwatch			+ 4,0
44	Network C3I Advanced Technology	94,424	160,324	+ 65,9
	Program increase: Advanced dynamic spectrum recon-	01,124	100,024	100,0
	naissance			+ 8,5
	Autobulloo			1 0,0
	Program increase: Advanced polymer aerogel tech-			

199

ine	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estima
	Program increase: C5ISR modular open suite of			
	standards integration			+ 15,0
	Program increase: Characterization of dynamic terrain conditions			+ 1,0
	Program increase: Compact mobile command post			. 2,0
	auxiliary power unit			+ 3,0
	Program increase: Decision aided tool for battlefield terrain awareness			+ 5,0
	Program increase: Littoral autonomous detection and			,-
	exploitation			+ 3,0
	Program increase: Modular open systems architecture development for radio frequency systems			+ 4.0
	Program increase: Next generation command platform			+ 5,0
	Program increase: Subterranean research facility			+ 10,8
45	Program increase: Textile-integrated detector arrays  Long Range Precision Fires Advanced Technology	164,943	169,943	+ 3,0 + 5,0
10	Program increase: Digital engineering for missile	101,010	100,010	, ,,,
	technology			+ 3,0
46	Program increase: Mass launched effects munition Future Vertical Lift Advanced Technology	140,578	175,428	+ 2,0 + 34,8
10	Program increase: Advanced helicopter seating system			+ 3,0
	Program increase: Composite material sustainment			. 11 (
	modernization Program increase: Composite structure research for			+ 11,0
	aircraft			+ 5,5
	Program increase: Future verticle lift technologies			+ 2,
	Program increase: Multi-function scalable antenna array for airborne radar			+ 3,0
	Program increase: Platform digitization and mainte-			1 3,
	nance			+ 4,8
	Program increase: Replacement floor for H-60 air- frame			+ 5,0
47	Air and Missile Defense Advanced Technology	28,333	41,333	+ 13,0
	Program increase: Modular light tactical air defense			
	platform Program increase: Physics-based hardware and soft-			+ 3,0
	ware algorithms			+ 5,0
	Program increase: Silicon carbide electronics			+ 5,0
49	Humanitarian Demining Program increase	9,272	23,272	+ 14,0 + 14,0
51	Army Missle Defense Systems Integration	13,031	24,031	+ 11,0
	Program increase: Al decision advantage for com-			
	mand and control capabilities Program increase: Ground test for hypersonics			+ 4,0 + 7,0
52	Army Space Systems Integration	19,659	29,659	+ 10,0
	Program increase: Distributed aperture adjunct for			
54	multi-domain operations Landmine Warfare and Barrier—Adv Dev	58,617	60,617	+ 10,0 + 2,0
34	Program increase: Autonomous detection, classifica-	30,017	00,017	1 2,0
	tion, and geo-location of landmines			+ 2,0
55	Tank and Medium Caliber Ammunition Carryover	116,027	102,027	- 14,0 - 15,0
	Program increase: 155mm boosted payload carrier			+ 1,0
56	Armored System Modernization—Adv Dev	23,235	38,235	+ 15,0
	Program increase: Helmet mounted display for AMPV Program increase: Moldable endothermic blast mitiga-			+ 5,0
	tion			+ 10,0
58	Tactical Electronic Surveillance System—Adv Dev	90,265	87,765	- 2,
E٥	Underexecution	6/1112	60.764	-2,
59	Night Vision Systems Advanced Development HUD contract delays	64,113	60,764	- 3,3 - 11,3
	Program increase: Al-enabled tactical intelligence			+ 3,0
	Program increase: Immersive AR/VR for UAS			+ 5,0

200

ine	Item	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change fro budget estim
	Program increase: Friction stir additive manufacturing			+ 3,1
62	Aviation—Adv Dev	6,591	4,943	- 1,
	Previously funded			-1,
63	Logistics and Engineer Equipment—Adv Dev	12,445	19,995	+7,
	RCS testing early to need			- 2,
	Program increase: Army executive agent program,			. 10
65	microreactors Soldier Systems—Advanced Development	24 204	20 204	+ 10, + 14,
03	Program increase: Low-recoil firing system	24,284	38,284	+ 2,
	Program increase: Advanced thermal management			',
	textiles			+4,
	Program increase: Development of fully integrated			,
	sight			+7,
67	Expanded Mission Area Missile [EMAM]	102,589	23,516	− 79,
	IFPC—HEL program adjustment			<b>−</b> 12,
	MDACS program adjustment			− 66,
68	Cross Functional Team (CFT) Advanced Development &	00.001	40.400	
	Prototyping	63,831	40,409	- 23,
	Program decrease			- 23, + 40,
	Transfer: Rapid Defense Innovation Reserve Transfer: Rapid Defense Experimentation Reserve			+ 40, - 40,
70	Multi-Domain Sensing System [MDSS] Adv Dev	239,135	201,728	- 40, - 37,
70	Program management early to need	233,133	201,720	- 4,
	Lead system integrator early to need			- 46,
	Program increase: Multi-domain experimentation and			,
	integration			+ 2,
	Program increase: Multimodal generative Al foreign			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	language solutions			+6,
	Program increase: Non-kinetic training and experi-			
	mentation environment			+ 5,
75	Future Tactical Unmanned Aircraft System [FTUAS]	127,870	130,870	+ 3,
7.0	Program increase: Secure APNT for FTUAS	140.400	107.400	+ 3,
76	Lower Tier Air Missile Defense [LTAMD] Sensor	149,463	127,428	- 22, - 22,
78	Unjustified request Maneuver—Short Range Air Defense [M—SHORAD]	315,772	284,542	- 22, - 31,
70	Inc. II CLS previously funded	313,772	204,342	- 15,
	Inc. III early to need			- 16,
81	Synthetic Training Environment Refinement & Prototyping	136,029	134,029	-2,
	RVCT Carryover			-2,
85	Biotechnology for Materials—Dem/Val	20,862	10,651	- 10,
	Undefined acquisition strategy			- 10,
93	Electronic Warfare Development	35,942	33,247	<b>−2</b> ,
	MFEW testing early to need			<b>−2</b> ,
94	Infantry Support Weapons	52,586	59,811	+7,
	Program increase: Load carriage system in support of			
	wildfire suppression operations			+ 2, + 5,
95	Program increase: Soldier enhancement program Medium Tactical Vehicles	15,088	3,565	+ 5, - 11,
33	Unjustified request	13,000	3,303	- 11, - 11,
97	Family of Heavy Tactical Vehicles	50,011	34,690	- 15,
٠,	Leader/Follower Phase III early to need			- 15,
100	Light Tactical Wheeled Vehicles	100,257	3,027	- 97,
	eLRV program cancellation			- 10,
	Unjustified request			- 89,
	Program increase: HMMWV occupancy protection de-			
40-	velopment			+ 3,
102	Night Vision Systems—Eng Dev	89,259	99,259	+ 10,
105	Program increase: ENVG-B advanced capabilities		75.050	+ 10,
105	Air Defense Command, Control and Intelligence—Eng Dev	69,653	75,653	+6,
	Program increase: Air and missile defense common			, ,
111	operating picture	/11 82Q	£0 020	+6, ⊥17
111	Logistics and Engineer Equipment—Eng Dev Program increase: Deployable, energy efficient, rigid	41,829	58,829	+ 17,
	i rogiani nicicase. Depioyanie, energy enicient, figiu j		l	+ 12,

201

ine	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estim
114	Program increase: Mobile ULCANS Landmine Warfare/Barrier—Eng Dev	19,134	31,634	+ 5,0 + 12,5
115	Program increase: Joint all domain training center Army Tactical Command & Control Hardware & Software	165,229	136,662	+ 12,5 - 28,5
	M/HHCE duplicative fundingUNO contract award delays			- 1,4 - 24,6
	CPI2 program transition Program increase: Multi-factor authentication for en-			- 5,0
116	hanced cyber security	76,090	41,584	+ 2,5 - 34,5
	Duplicative funding for A4 enhancementsALPS undefined contracting strategy			- 17,7 - 16,8
118	Soldier Systems—Warrior Dem/Val Program increase: Conformal wearable battery	29,132	31,132	+ 2,0 + 2,0
120	Artillery Systems—EMD Next generation howitzer, insufficient justification	50,495	47,479	- 3,0 - 8,0
	Program increase: Soft recoil for 105mm extended range artillery systems			+ 5,0
121	Information Technology Development EBS–C early to need	120,076	103,656	- 16,4 - 16,4
122	Integrated Personnel and Pay System-Army [IPPS-A] Contract award delays	126,354	121,354	- 5,0 - 5,0
133	Indirect Fire Protection Capability Inc 2—Block 1 Datalink unjustified growth	167,912	150,912	- 17,0 - 17,0
135	Emerging Technology Initiatives Unjustified request	164,734	139,834	- 24,9 - 28,9
	Program increase: Enhanced single and dual band sensors for high energy laser targeting Program increase: ISV multi-mission and logistics			+ 2,1
138	variants Tactical Intel Targeting Access Node [TITAN] EMD	157,036	149,112	+ 2,1 - 7,5
140	CLS early to need	37,876	24,474	-7, -13,
	LRR unjustified growth			- 7, - 6,
142	Joint Targeting Integrated Command and Coordination Suite (JTIC2S)	28,553	21,415	<b>−7</b> ,
145	Unjustified growth	538,017	499,775	-7, -38,
148	Test delays	23,363	12,224	- 38, - 11,
150	Undefined acquisition strategy Theater SIGINT System (TSIGS)	6,660		-11, -6,
152	Undefined acquisition strategy	9,330	4,330	- 6, - 5,
154	Program decrease Army Integrated Air and Missile Defense [AIAMD] SIL duplicative funding	602,045	555,068	- 5, - 46, - 26,
	Unjustified test and evaluation growth  Program increase: High energy laser thermal manage- ment components			- 30, + 10,
155	Counter—Small Unmanned Aircraft Systems Sys Dev & Demonstration	59,563	64,063	+ 10,
157	Program increase: Roadrunner-M (emergency) Manned Ground Vehicle	504,841	499,478	+ 4, - 5,
159	Program management cost growth  Joint Light Tactical Vehicle [JLTV] Engineering and Manufacturing Development Phase (EMD)	27.012	2 162	- 5,3
162	facturing Development Phase (EMD)	27,013	2,163	- 24, - 24,
163	Electronic Warfare Development	131,096	81,232	- 49,1 - 49,1
164	Threat Simulator DevelopmentProgram increase: Cyber threat emulation	71,298	81,298	+ 10,0 + 6,0

202

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
165	Target Systems Development	15,788	22,788	+ 7,000
	Program increase: UAS swarm threat representation, detection, and mitigation			+ 7,000
169	Concepts Experimentation Program	86,645	80,845	- 5,800
	CJSIL duplicative funding			- 5,800
172	Army Technical Test Instrumentation and Targets	75,591	74,004	- 1,587 - 1.587
173	Survivability/Lethality Analysis	37,604	36,815	- 789
	Program decrease			− 789
176	Materiel Systems Analysis	27,420	26,845	- 575
100	Program decrease			- 575
183	Munitions Standardization, Effectiveness and Safety	50,766	53,266	+ 2,500
187	Program increase: Industrial base resiliency	A 574	0.574	+ 2,500
107	CounterIntel and Human Intel Modernization	4,574	9,574	+ 5,000
193	Program increase: Multi-source data fusion platform Weapons and Munitions Product Improvement Programs	9,363	48,563	+ 5,000 + 39,200
133	Program increase: Advanced thermal batteries	3,303	40,303	+ 4,800
	Program increase: Development and testing software			7 4,000
	for 155 mm round production			+ 6,000
	Program increase: Material analysis instruments for			1 0,000
	supply chain risk management			+ 4.000
	Program increase: Munitions production research			+ 4,400
	Program increase: Refractory metal alloys for			
	hypersonics			+ 10,000
	Program increase: Stibnite and antimony for ammuni-			. 10 000
194	tion production	25.000	77.000	+ 10,000
194	Blackhawk Product Improvement Program	25,000	77,000	+ 52,000
	Program increase Program increase: Health and usage monitoring sys-			+ 50,000
	tem			+ 2,000
196	Improved Turbine Engine Program	67,029	130,029	+ 63,000
	Program increase			+ 63,000
207	Patriot Product Improvement	168,458	138,398	- 30,060
	Duplicative funding for PIP enhancements			- 30,060
209	Combat Vehicle Improvement Programs	272,926	280,926	+ 8,000
	Program increase: M1 Abrams helmet mounted dis-			
	play			+ 5,000
	Program increase: Stryker driver-assistance systems			+ 3,000
210	155mm Self-Propelled Howitzer Improvements	55,205	47,870	-7,33
	Unjustified program support costs			-7,335
214	Other Missile Product Improvement Programs	23,708	26,708	+ 3,000
000	Program increase: Containerized weapon system		74.007	+ 3,000
230	End Item Industrial Preparedness Activities Program increase: Advanced cybersecurity range mod-	67,187	74,687	+ 7,500
	ernization			+ 2,500
	Program increase: Advanced manufacturing center of			
	excellence			+ 5,000

Directed Energy Investments.—The Committee is encouraged by the Department of the Army's enduring directed energy strategy, which involves a more strategic approach that emphasizes ongoing prototype development, testing, and soldier user evaluations. This strategy leverages existing flexible acquisition authorities to rapidly field the technology and gain immediate soldier feedback—as exemplified by the operational deployment of the Directed Energy Maneuver Short-Range Air Defense system and Palletized High Energy Laser system. While the Committee recognizes and appreciates the significant potential and operational value of directed energy systems for air defense and counter-UAS capabilities, the technical maturity and scalability of these systems remains an

operational challenge, with issues such as power generation, thermal management, and beam control warranting further development and maturation.

The Committee recommendation includes \$77,281,000 for the continued development of the Indirect Fire Protection Capability-High Power Microwave, the Directed Energy Maneuver Short-Range Air Defense systems and Army Multi-Purpose High Energy Laser. The Committee remains concerned with the status of the Indirect Fire Protection Capability-High Energy Laser program and encourages the Department of the Army to reevaluate the program in preparation of the fiscal year 2026 President's budget request to ensure the program is aligned and consistent with the current enduring directed energy strategy.

Technologies to Join Advanced Materials.—The Committee encourages the development of technologies to join advanced materials for demonstration and implementation in critical warfighting systems such that those systems can be readily recycled and re-en-

tered into the domestic materials supply chain.

Metal Forging Innovation.—The Committee understands that forgings are essential to national security and the performance of critical defense systems. The Committee notes that greater investment in forging innovation and resilience is necessary to maintain warfighter preparedness and a modernized defense industrial ecosystem.

Alternative Cement Solutions.—The Committee supports the continued development of technologies to develop, demonstrate, and deploy alternative solutions for cement that drive decarbonization, increased supply chain resiliency, and accelerate in-theater fabrication through indigenous materials and advanced structural designs.

Distributed Electromagnetic Warfare and Radio Frequency Sensors.—The Committee supports continued growth of the Army Research Laboratory's collaboration with academia in the development of technology to enable and validate new, distributed electromagnetic warfare and radio frequency sensors to provide performance improvement over existing architecture. These advances will enable new systems to meet the near-term enduring battlespace challenges of survivability, redundancy, frequency exclusivity, and GPS-dependence.

Novel Printed Armament Components.—The Committee recognizes the Army's critical role in providing advanced hybrid technologies for armaments that offer overmatch in lethality against adversaries. Maintaining a strong armaments technology base will require continued investments to rapidly design, develop, manufacture, and integrate new processes and applications for current and future armament and munition systems. The Committee supports the continued development of enabling printed electronics,

energetics, materials, and sensors for munition systems.

Pathfinder.—The Committee supports the Army's efforts to implement the Pathfinder program to transition innovative research and technologies into operational use more efficiently. The Committee notes that Pathfinder has a mandate to capitalize on university-based, applied research by incorporating direct soldier insights in the formulation and execution of projects. Therefore, the Committee recommends an increase of \$17,500,000 to support Army

university research partnerships exploring next-generation technologies using a bottom-up approach maximizing individual soldier

feedback and participation.

Improved Troop Seats for H-60 Rotorcraft.—The Committee recognizes the importance of improved troop and gunner seats in H-60 rotorcraft to better support Army aircrew readiness and mitigate personnel injuries. The Committee understands the Army has integrated the side-facing multi-functioning operator seat in new production UH-60M Black Hawk rotorcraft to address this concern, however, the Army continues to maintain and operate older legacy UH-60L rotorcraft that would require improved troop and gunner seats with the majority of these being operated by the Army National Guard. Accordingly, the Committee directs the Chief of Staff of the Army, in coordination with the Chief of the National Guard Bureau to provide a briefing to the congressional defense committees, not later than 60 days after enactment of this act that addresses the advisability and feasibility for upgrading legacy UH-60L aircraft with improved troop and gunner seats. The report shall include analysis of other military service gunner seats, as well as any cost data related to required air-worthiness certification requirements.

Enhanced Electrolyte Product Studies.—The Committee encourages the Secretary of the Army to evaluate existing methods of oral hydration for recruits in basic training and assess alternative commercially-available options that may provide soldiers with better performance and enhanced prevention of heat stress at lower cost.

High Strength Ballistic Glass Fiber Development.—The Committee notes the importance of having domestic sources for high performance glass fiber technology to accelerate the development of next generation ballistic protection and aerospace capabilities. The Committee encourages the Secretary of the Army to increase investment to further development of innovative ultra-high melting temperature technology to accelerate next-generation glass fiber capability required for supporting ballistic protection, hypersonics, and advanced computing.

Hydra-70 Rocket Product Improvements.—The Committee recognizes the importance of hydra-70 rockets as a critical air-to-ground munition for Army rotorcraft and also notes its operational effectiveness as a low-cost interceptor to mitigate threats from unmanned aircraft systems. The Committee encourages the Secretary of the Army to sufficiently resource any obsolescence requirements and work to accelerate qualification of the high explosive anti-tank

anti-personnel anti-material warhead.

Landmine Warfare.—The Committee notes that the degradation of the U.S. landmine stockpile could create unacceptable risk to mission and the joint force. The Committee further notes that the Army is currently developing the XM250 as the primary program of record for close terrain shaping obstacles [CTSO] that is compliant with current Department of Defense landmine policy and understands that the use of CTSO enables friendly forces to disrupt, fix, turn, and block enemy forces, in either the offense or defense. The Committee supports the continued development of the XM–250 objective capability which would provide joint force commanders

bottom attack, top attack, networked, and man-in-the-loop fea-

tures—among other capabilities.

Verified Inherent Control.—The Committee supports and encourages the development of critical technologies to verify the end product produced by additive manufacturing. This research is critical to ensuring that additively manufactured components meet perform-

ance specifications and mitigate cyber vulnerabilities.

Digital Airworthiness Certification.—The Committee understands the Army's airworthiness certification program has historically been, and currently is, a document-based process of defining requirements along with defining tests, analyses, and demonstrations for showing verification of airworthiness criteria. The Committee supports efforts to develop solutions necessary for the airworthiness process to be conducted within digital engineering systems and as part of digital acquisition processes.

Infrastructure Smart Technology.—The Committee encourages the Director of the Army Engineer Research and Development Center to accelerate development of infrastructure health monitoring systems and integrate the use of digital twin technology to expand analytical capabilities to ensure military and installation bridges remain safely in operation and perform reliably for civilian and

military traffic.

Soldier Survivability in Airborne Operations.—The Committee notes the long and short-term impact of physical force and physiological stress placed on airborne personnel during jump training and encourages the Secretary of the Army to resource development of a wearable system that monitors airborne personnel in real-time

through the Tactical Assault Kit.

Multi-Domain Capabilities in the Army National Guard.—The Committee understands the Army National Guard is the combat reserve of the U.S. Army and has served as an operational force for the past two decades and not just a strategic reserve. The Committee recognizes the need to properly resource ready, well equipped, and integrated Army National Guard units with the active Component and is aware the report accompanying S. 4638, the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2025, as reported by the Senate Armed Services Committee, directs the Secretary of the Army to provide a briefing to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives on the Army's plans to expand multi-domain task force [MDTF] capabilities into Army National Guard force structure. The Committee directs the Secretary of the Army to include the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate as part of this briefing requirement.

#### RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, NAVY

Budget estimate, 2025	\$25,697,815,000
Committee recommendation	26,221,839,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$26,221,839,000, of which \$585,000,000 is designated as being for an emergency requirement pursuant to section 251(b)(2)(A)(i) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985. This is \$524,024,000 above the budget estimate.

### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, NAVY			
1 2	BASIC RESEARCH UNIVERSITY RESEARCH INITIATIVES DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES	94,259 483,914	99,259 502,414	+ 5,000 + 18,500
	TOTAL, BASIC RESEARCH	578,173	601,673	+ 23,500
	APPLIED RESEARCH			
3 4 4 5	POWER PROJECTION APPLIED RESEARCH	23,842 120,716  53,758	23,842 219,716 (10,000) 58,508	+ 99,000 (+10,000) + 4,750
6 7 8	COMMON PICTURE APPLIED RESEARCH	51,202 76,379 91,441	53,702 114,879 99,441	+ 2,500 + 38,500 + 8,000
9 10 11 12	OCEAN WARFIGHTING ENVIRONMENT APPLIED RESEARCH JOINT NON-LETHAL WEAPONS APPLIED RESEARCH UNDERSEA WARFARE APPLIED RESEARCH FUTURE NAVAL CAPABILITIES APPLIED RESEARCH	78,930 7,719 57,525 163,673	125,430 7,719 119,025 169,173	+ 46,500 
13 14 15	MINE AND EXPEDITIONARY WARFARE APPLIED RESEARCH INNOVATIVE NAVAL PROTOTYPES [INP] APPLIED RESEARCH SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY MANAGEMENT—ONR HEAD-	31,460 127,363	32,460 129,363	+ 1,000 + 2,000
	QUARTERS	90,939	90,939	
	TOTAL, APPLIED RESEARCH	974,947	1,244,197	+ 269,250
	ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT			
16 17 18	FORCE PROTECTION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY ELECTROMAGNETIC SYSTEMS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY FOR NUCLEAR RE-ENTRY SYS-	31,556 8,537	34,556 15,037	+ 3,000 + 6,500
19	TEMS MARINE CORPS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATION	118,624	118,624	
20 21 22	[ATD] JOINT NON-LETHAL WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT FUTURE NAVAL CAPABILITIES ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEV MANUFACTURING TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM	243,247 16,188 262,869 63,084	284,147 16,188 270,869 273,584	+ 40,900 + 8,000 + 210,500
23 24 25	WARFIGHTER PROTECTION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	5,105 97,615	13,105 127,115	+ 8,000 + 29,500
26	NOLOGY INNOVATIVE NAVAL PROTOTYPES [INP] ADVANCED TECH- NOLOGY	2,050 131,288	2,050 131,288	
	TOTAL, ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	980,163	1,286,563	+ 306,400
	ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT AND PROTOTYPES			
27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34	UNMANNED AERIAL SYSTEM LARGE UNMANNED SURFACE VEHICLES (LUSVS) AIR/OCEAN TACTICAL APPLICATIONS AVIATION SURVIVABILITY NAVAL CONSTRUCTION FORCES ASW SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT TACTICAL AIRBORNE RECONNAISSANCE ADVANCED COMBAT SYSTEMS TECHNOLOGY SURFACE AND SHALLOW WATER MINE COUNTERMEASURES	99,940 53,964 41,765 23,115 7,866 20,033 3,358 2,051 29,421	99,940 46,964 50,765 23,115 7,866 20,033 3,358 15,051 29,421	-7,000 +9,000 

207

Line	Item	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimat
36	SURFACE SHIP TORPEDO DEFENSE	4,790	6,790	+ 2,00
37	CARRIER SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	5,659	5,659	
38	PILOT FISH	1,007,324	982,324	- 25,00
39	RETRACT LARCH	1,007,021	302,021	20,00
40	RETRACT JUNIPER	199,172	199,172	
41	RADIOLOGICAL CONTROL	801	801	
42	SURFACE ASW	1,194	1,194	
43	ADVANCED SUBMARINE SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT	96,694	106,694	+ 10,0
44	SUBMARINE TACTICAL WARFARE SYSTEMS	14,924	14,924	
45	SHIP CONCEPT ADVANCED DESIGN	110,800	112,800	+ 2,0
46	SHIP PRELIMINARY DESIGN AND FEASIBILITY STUDIES	52,586	52,586	
47	ADVANCED NUCLEAR POWER SYSTEMS	368,002	283,002	- 85,0
48	ADVANCED SURFACE MACHINERY SYSTEMS	93,942	99,942	+6,0
49	CHALK EAGLE	137,372	137,372	
50	LITTORAL COMBAT SHIP [LCS]	9,132	9,132	
51	COMBAT SYSTEM INTEGRATION	20,135	20,135	
52	OHIO REPLACEMENT	189,631	197,131	+ 7,50
53	LCS MISSION MODULES	28,801	28,801	
54 54A	AUTOMATED TEST AND RE-TESTATRT ENTERPRISE RAPID CAPABILITY	10,805	10,805	
55	FRIGATE DEVELOPMENT	107,658	107,658	
56	CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS	8,950	8,950	
57	MARINE CORPS GROUND COMBAT/SUPPORT SYSTEM	103,860	87,850	-16,0
58	JOINT SERVICE EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DEVELOPMENT	47,339	47,339	
59	OCEAN ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	15,587	15,587	
60	ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION	23,258	24,258	+1,0
61	NAVY ENERGY PROGRAM	60,610	78,010	+ 17,4
62	FACILITIES IMPROVEMENT	9,067	9,067	
63	CHALK CORAL	459,791	459,791	
64	NAVY LOGISTIC PRODUCTIVITY	6,059	6,059	
65	RETRACT MAPLE	628,958	611,458	-17,5
66	LINK PLUMERIA	346,553	346,553	
67	RETRACT ELM	99,939	99,939	
68	LINK EVERGREEN	460,721	457,721	-3,0
69	NATO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	5,151	5,151	
70	LAND ATTACK TECHNOLOGY	1,686	1,686	
71	JOINT NONLETHAL WEAPONS TESTING	30,263	30,263	
72	JOINT PRECISION APPROACH AND LANDING SYSTEMS	4,047	4,047	
73	DIRECTED ENERGY AND ELECTRIC WEAPON SYSTEMS	9,877	19,877	+ 10,0
74	F/A-18 INFRARED SEARCH AND TRACK [IRST]	8,630	8,630	
75 70	DIGITAL WARFARE	128,997	128,997	
76 77	SMALL AND MEDIUM UNMANNED UNDERSEA VEHICLES UNMANNED UNDERSEA VEHICLE CORE TECHNOLOGIES	52,994	57,994 70,652	+ 5,0 + 2,5
78	RAPID PROTOTYPING, EXPERIMENTATION AND DEMONSTRA-	68,152	70,032	•
	TION	168,855	106,895	-61,9
79	LARGE UNMANNED UNDERSEA VEHICLES	6,874	6,874	
80	GERALD R FORD CLASS NUCLEAR AIRCRAFT CARRIER	96,670	96,670	
82	SURFACE MINE COUNTERMEASURES	15,271	15,271	
83	TACTICAL AIR DIRECTIONAL INFRARED COUNTERMEASURES	35,030	35,030	
84	NEXT GENERATION LOGISTICS	8,114	8,114	
85 90	FUTURE VERTICAL LIFT (MARITIME STRIKE)	4,796	4,796	
86	MARINE AVIATION DEMONSTRATION/VALIDATION	62,317	55,805	- 6,5
87	RAPID TECHNOLOGY CAPABILITY PROTOTYPELX (R)	120,392	89,215	-31,1
88 89	ADVANCED UNDERSEA PROTOTYPING	12,785	9,767	- 3,0
90	COUNTER UNMANNED AIRCRAFT SYSTEMS [C-UAS]	21,466	21,466 14,185	
91	PRECISION STRIKE WEAPONS DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM	14,185 5,667	262,667	+ 257,0
92	SPACE AND ELECTRONIC WARFARE [SEW] ARCHITECTURE/		,	,
93	ENGINEOFFENSIVE ANTI-SURFACE WARFARE WEAPON DEVELOP-	8,896	8,896	

208

ine	Item	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estima
94	MEDIUM HIMMANNED SUDEACE VEHICLES (MUSVS)	101 000	101 020	
	MEDIUM UNMANNED SURFACE VEHICLES [MUSVS]	101,838	101,838	
95	UNMANNED SURFACE VEHICLE ENABLING CAPABILITIES	92,868	92,868	
96	GROUND BASED ANTI-SHIP MISSILE [MARFORRES]	50,916	50,916	
97	LONG RANGE FIRES	30,092	30,092	
98	CONVENTIONAL PROMPT STRIKE [CPS]	903,927	1,001,627	+ 97,7
99	ASW SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT—MIP	7,253	7,253	
100	ADVANCED TACTICAL UNMANNED AIRCRAFT SYSTEM	3,504	3,504	
101	ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT—MIP	1,395	1,395	
102	UNDERSEA ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE / MACHINE LEARNING (AI/ML)	28,563	28,563	
	TOTAL, DEMONSTRATION AND VALIDATION	7,465,005	7,603,185	+ 138,1
		7,100,000	7,000,100	1 100,1
100	SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT AND DEMONSTRATION	00 100	00 100	
103	TRAINING SYSTEM AIRCRAFT	26,120	26,120	
104	MARITIME TARGETING CELL	43,301	43,301	
105	OTHER HELO DEVELOPMENT			
106	OTHER HELO DEVELOPMENT			
107	AV-8B AIRCRAFT-ENG DEV	5,320	5,320	
108	STANDARDS DEVELOPMENT	5,120	5,120	
109	MULTI-MISSION HELICOPTER UPGRADE DEVELOPMENT	60,438	65,438	+ 5,0
110	P–3 MODERNIZATION PROGRAM	00,430	05,450	1 3,0
111	WARFARE SUPPORT SYSTEM	108,432	108,432	
112	COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEMS	164,391	114,391	<b>– 50.0</b>
113	ADVANCED HAWKEYE	301,384	288,268	- 13,1
114	H-1 UPGRADES	39,023	39,023	
115	ACOUSTIC SEARCH SENSORS	53,591	53,591	
116	V–22A	109,431	103.886	- 5,5
117	AIR CREW SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	29,330	29,330	
118	EA-18	223,266	172,450	- 50,8
119	ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT	189,750	182,250	- 7,5
120	EXECUTIVE HELO DEVELOPMENT	51,366	51,366	7,0
121	NEXT GENERATION JAMMER [NGJ]	86,721	76,721	- 10,0
122	JOINT TACTICAL RADIO SYSTEM—NAVY [JTRS—Navy]	330,559	336,059	+ 5,5
123	NEXT GENERATION JAMMER [NGJ] INCREMENT II	209,623	147,091	- 62,5
124	SURFACE COMBATANT COMBAT SYSTEM ENGINEERING	528,234	603,234	+ 75,0
124	SURFACE COMBATANT COMBAT SYSTEM ENGINEERING		(75,000)	(   75.0
105	(emergency)	10.744	(75,000)	(+75,0
125 126	SMALL DIAMETER BOMB [SDB] STANDARD MISSILE IMPROVEMENTS	19,744	19,744	— 180,0
		468,297	288,297	
127 128	AIRBORNE MCMNAVAL INTEGRATED FIRE CONTROL—COUNTER AIR SYSTEMS	11,066	11,066	
	ENG	41,419	41,419	
129	ADVANCED SENSORS APPLICATION PROGRAM (ASAP)		6,000	+ 6,0
130	ADVANCED ABOVE WATER SENSORS	112,231	112,231	
131	SSN-688 AND TRIDENT MODERNIZATION	97,953	97,953	
132	AIR CONTROL	84,458	64,458	<b>- 20,0</b>
133	SHIPBOARD AVIATION SYSTEMS	10,742	10,742	
134	COMBAT INFORMATION CENTER CONVERSION	10,621	10,621	
135	AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE RADAR [AMDR] SYSTEM	107,924	107,924	
136	ADVANCED ARRESTING GEAR [AAG]	9,142	11,142	+ 2,0
137	NEW DESIGN SSN	273,848	275,848	+ 2,0
138	SUBMARINE TACTICAL WARFARE SYSTEM	71,982	71,982	
139	SHIP CONTRACT DESIGN/LIVE FIRE T&E	13,675	13,675	
140	NAVY TACTICAL COMPUTER RESOURCES	3,921	3,921	
141	MINE DEVELOPMENT	79,411	79,411	
141	LIGHTWEIGHT TORPEDO DEVELOPMENT			
		137,265	94,465	- 42,8
143 144	JOINT SERVICE EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DEVELOPMENT USMC GROUND COMBAT/SUPPORTING ARMS SYSTEMS—ENG	8,810	8,810	

209

	[In thousands of dollars]			
Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1.45	DEDCONNEL TRAINING CIMILIATION AND HIMAN FACTORS	10.011	10.011	
145 146	PERSONNEL, TRAINING, SIMULATION, AND HUMAN FACTORS JOINT STANDOFF WEAPON SYSTEMS	10,011	10,011 1,516	
140		1,516	170.080	
	SHIP SELF DEFENSE (DETECT AND CONTROL)	170,080	.,	7 020
148	SHIP SELF DEFENSE (ENGAGE: HARD KILL)	74,214	66,584	-7,630
149	SHIP SELF DEFENSE (ENGAGE: SOFT KILL/EW)	165,599	146,791	- 18,808
150	INTELLIGENCE ENGINEERING	23,810	23,810	
151	MEDICAL DEVELOPMENT	8,371	8,371	
152	NAVIGATION/ID SYSTEM	44,326	44,326	
155	SSN(X)	348,788	322,888	- 25,900
156	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT—USMC	15,218	15,218	
157	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT—NAVY	325,004	325,004	
158	ANTI-TAMPER TECHNOLOGY SUPPORT	3,317	3,317	
159	TACAMO MODERNIZATION	775,316	677,798	- 97,518
160	CH-53K	86,093	61,381	- 24,712
161	MISSION PLANNING	115,390	115,390	
162	COMMON AVIONICS	87,053	87,053	
163	SHIP TO SHORE CONNECTOR [SSC]	5,697	5,697	
164	NEXT GENERATION FIGHTER	453,828	953,828	+ 500,000
164	NEXT GENERATION FIGHTER (emergency)		(500,000)	(+500,000)
165	T-A0 205 CLASS			
166	UNMANNED CARRIER AVIATION	214,919	203,687	-11,232
167	JOINT AIR—TO—GROUND MISSILE [JAGM]	20.654	27,654	+ 7,000
168	MULTI-MISSION MARITIME AIRCRAFT [MMA]	39,096	34,096	-5,000
169	MULTI-MISSION MARITIME AIRCRAFT [MMA] INCREMENT 3	134,366	124,366	-10,000
170	LONG RANGE FIRES	120,728	120,728	10,000
171	MARINE CORPS ASSAULT VEHICLES SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT	120,720	120,720	
172	AND DEMO	60,181	46,739	- 13,442
1/2	MENT AND DEMO	10,748	10,748	
173	DDG-1000	243,042	,	— 70,000
173	COUNTERING ADVANCED CONVENTIONAL WEAPONS (CACW)	'	173,042 19,517	
174	NON-KINETIC COUNTERMEASURE SUPPORT	19,517 8,324	8,324	
173	ISR AND INFO OPERATIONS	188,392	188,392	
180	CYBER OPERATIONS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	7,581	7,581	
100	CIDEN OF ENATIONS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOT WIENT	7,561	7,361	
	TOTAL, ENGINEERING AND MANUFACTURING DEVEL- OPMENT	7,942,968	7,818,917	- 124,051
	MANAGEMENT SUPPORT			
181	THREAT SIMULATOR DEVELOPMENT	25,823	25,823	
182	TARGET SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	17,224	17,224	
183	MAJOR T&E INVESTMENT	65,672	65,672	
184	STUDIES AND ANALYSIS SUPPORT—NAVY	6,216	6.216	
185	CENTER FOR NAVAL ANALYSES	43.648	43,648	
187	TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICES	1,009	1,009	
188	MANAGEMENT, TECHNICAL AND INTERNATIONAL SUPPORT	137,521	142,521	+ 5,000
189	STRATEGIC TECHNICAL SUPPORT	3.536	3,536	T 3,000
190	RDT&E SHIP AND AIRCRAFT SUPPORT	152,176	152,176	
190	TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT	477,823	477,823	
191	OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION CAPABILITY	· '		
	NAVY SPACE AND ELECTRONIC WARFARE [SEW] SUPPORT	30,603	30,603	
193	SEW SURVEILLANCE/RECONNAISSANCE SUPPORT	23,668	23,668	
194 195	MARINE CORPS PROGRAM WIDE SUPPORT	6,390 32,700	6,390 32,700	
195	MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS—R&D		42,381	
	MARINE AVIATION DEVELOPMENTAL MANAGEMENT AND SUP-	42,381	42,361	
197	PORT	5,000	5.000	
198	WARFARE INNOVATION MANAGEMENT		50,652	
198	INSIDER THREAT	50,652		
200	MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS (DEPARTMENTAL SUPPORT	2,920	2,920	
200	ACTIVITIES)	2,234	2,234	
	1			·

210

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	TOTAL, RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT	1,127,196	1,132,196	+ 5,000
	OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT			
203	F-35 C2D2	480,759	480,759	
204	F–35 C2D2	466,186	466,186	
205	MARINE CORPS AIR DEFENSE WEAPONS SYSTEMS	74,119	78,208	+4,089
206	COOPERATIVE ENGAGEMENT CAPABILITY [CEC]	142,552	137,616	-4,936
207	STRATEGIC SUB AND WEAPONS SYSTEM SUPPORT	403,494	298,494	-105,000
208	SSBN SECURITY TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM	61,012	61,012	. 4 000
209 210	SUBMARINE ACOUSTIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT	96,667 29,743	100,667 29.743	+4,000
211	F/A—18 SQUADRONS	374.194	348,286	- 25,908
212	SURFACE SUPPORT	8,420	15.920	+ 7,500
213	TOMAHAWK AND TOMAHAWK MISSION PLANNING CENTER	5,125	,	,
	[TMPC]	200,739	167,739	-33,000
214	INTEGRATED SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM	72,473	82,473	+10,000
215	SHIP-TOWED ARRAY SURVEILLANCE SYSTEMS	1,428	1,428	
216	AMPHIBIOUS TACTICAL SUPPORT UNITS	2,238	2,238	
217	GROUND/AIR TASK ORIENTED RADAR	51,346	41,346	-10,000
218 219	CONSOLIDATED TRAINING SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT ELECTRONIC WARFARE [EW] READINESS SUPPORT	159,648	159,648 139.164	
221	ANTI-RADIATION MISSILE IMPROVEMENT	139,164 28,682	28,682	
221	SURFACE ASW COMBAT SYSTEM INTEGRATION	29,887	29,887	
222	MK-48 ADCAP	164,935	144,935	- 20,000
223	AVIATION IMPROVEMENTS	136,276	136,276	20,000
224	OPERATIONAL NUCLEAR POWER SYSTEMS	167,098	167,098	
225	MARINE CORPS COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS	145,343	151,343	+6,000
226	COMMON AVIATION COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM	18,332	18,332	
227	MARINE CORPS GROUND COMBAT/SUPPORTING ARMS SYS-			
220	TEMS	77,377	75,377	-2,000
228 229	MARINE CORPS COMBAT SERVICES SUPPORT	33,641	33,641	
230	USMC INTELLIGENCE/ELECTRONIC WARFARE SYSTEMS [MIP] AMPHIBIOUS ASSAULT VEHICLE	37,372	37,372	
231	TACTICAL AIM MISSILES	31,359	31,359	
232	ADVANCED MEDIUM RANGE AIR—TO—AIR MISSILE [AMRAAM]	29,638	29,638	
233	PLANNING AND DECISION AID SYSTEM [PDAS]	3,559	3,559	
237	AFLOAT NETWORKS	56,915	56,915	
238	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM	35,339	35,339	
239	MILITARY INTELLIGENCE PROGRAMS [MIP] ACTIVITIES	7,239	7,239	
240	TACTICAL UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES			
241	UAS INTEGRATION AND INTEROPERABILITY			
242	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND SYSTEMS/SURFACE SYS-			
	TEMS	45,550	45,550	
243	MQ-4C TRITON	14,402	14,402	
244	MQ-8 UAV			
245 246	RQ-11 UAVSMALL (LEVEL 0) TACTICAL UAS [STUASL0]	2,016	2,016	
Z40				
247	MULTI-INTELLIGENCE SENSOR DEVELOPMENT	40,267	40,267	
248	UNMANNED AERIAL SYSTEMS [UAS] PAYLOADS [MIP]	10,917	10,917	
249	CYBERSPACE OPERATIONS FORCES AND FORCE SUPPORT			
250	MQ-4C Triton Modernization	444,042	444,042	
251	INTELLIGENCE MISSION DATA [IMD]	793	793	
	MODELING AND SIMULATION SUPPORT	10,927	10,927	
252				
253	DEPOT MAINTENANCE (NON-IF)	28,799	28,799	
	DEPOT MAINTENANCE (NON-IF)	28,799 4,326 2,235,339	28,799 4,326 2,310,339	+ 75,000

211

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	TOTAL, OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	6,604,552	6,510,297	- 94,255
	SOFTWARE AND DIGITAL TECHNOLOGY PILOT PROGRAMS			
255	RISK MANAGEMENT INFORMATION—SOFTWARE PILOT PRO- GRAM	14,522	14,522	
256	MARITIME TACTICAL COMMAND AND CONTROL [MTC2]— SOFTWARE PILOT PROGRAM	10,289	10,289	
	TOTAL, SOFTWARE AND DIGITAL TECHNOLOGY PILOT PROGRAMS	24,811	24,811	
	TOTAL, RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVAL- UATION, NAVY	25,697,815	26,221,839	+ 524,024
	TOTAL, RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVAL- UATION, NAVY (emergency)		(585,000)	(+585,000)

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

# The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1	University Research Initiatives	94,259	99,259	+ 5,000
	tributed digital radar			+ 5,000
2	Defense Research Sciences	483,914	502,414	+ 18,500
	Program increase: Hypersonic workforce development Program increase: Materials and structures in extreme			+ 4,000
	environments			+6,000
	Program increase: Remote sensing to monitor arctic			
	sea ice			+6,000
	Program increase: Shaping metallic surfaces for ther-			. 2 500
4	mal system management	120,716	219,716	+ 2,500 + 99,000
4	Program increase: Additive manufacturing for bonded	120,710	213,710	7 33,000
	metal matrix composites			+ 5,000
	Program increase: Alternative energy research			+ 25,000
	Program increase: Corrosion Control Coatings and Ma-			.,
	terial			+ 5,000
	Program increase: Direct air capture and blue carbon			
	removal			+ 5,000
	Program increase: Emerging robotic advanced manu-			
	facturing technology			+ 5,000
	Program increase: Intelligent data management for			
	distributed platformsProgram increase: Multi-material flexible automated			+ 5,000
	manufacturing			+ 5,000
	Program increase: Resilient innovative sustainable			1 0,000
	economies via university partnerships			+ 7,000
	Program increase: Stealth engineering automation			+ 10,000
	Program increase: Talent and technology for Navy			
	power and energy systems			+ 10,000
	Program increase: University-based advanced mate-			
	rials and manufacturing			+ 5,000
	Program increase: UAS degraded environment facility			+ 2,000
-	Program increase: SIOP (emergency)		E0 E00	+ 10,000
5	Marine Corps Landing Force Technology	53,758	58,508	+ 4,750
	Program increase: Unmanned logistics solutions	I	l	H + 4,750

212

ine	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estima
6	Common Picture Applied Research	51,202	53,702	+ 2,5
7	infrastructure	76,379	114,879	+ 2,5 + 38,5
	Program increase: Augmented reality robotic surgery Program increase: Cross-domain naval robots			+ 5,0 + 10,0
	Program increase: Engineered systems to restore skin and tactile sensory in Navy burn victims			+ 2,5
	Program increase: Foreign malign information oper- ations			+ 1,0
	Program increase: Human digital engineering Program increase: Innovative coatings research			+ 2,0 + 3,0
	Program increase: Physics based neutralization of threats to human tissues and organs			+ 5,0
	Program increase: Rapid applied materials and proc- ess development			+ 2,0
	Program increase: Remote vestibular assessment technology			+ 8,0
8	Electromagnetic Systems Applied Research Program increase: Dark swarm in denied environ-	91,441	99,441	+ 8,0
	ments Program increase: Digital airborne radar			+ 3,0 + 1,0
	Program increase: Maritime asymmetric target detec- tion			+ 1,0
	Program increase: Miniaturized full spectrum hyperspectral sensor			+ 3,0
9	Ocean Warfighting Environment Applied Research Program increase: Afloat weather forecasting	78,930	125,430	+ 46,5 + 4,0
	Program increase: Atmospheric river research Program increase: Intelligent autonomous systems for			+ 2,5
	seabed warfare			+7,5
	for arctic battlefield sensing Program increase: Naval installation climate change			+ 3,0
	risk management Program increase: Ocean acoustics for monitoring Program increase: Pacific infrastructure for contin-			+ 2,5 + 7,0
	uous engineering and science Program increase: Resilient autonomous sensing in			+ 15,0
11	the arctic Undersea Warfare Applied Research	57,525	119,025	+ 5,0 + 61,5
	Program increase: Low-cost autonomous sensors for maritime dominance			+ 10,0
	Program increase: Multi-functional composite struc- tures for undersea platforms			+ 3,0
	Program increase: Partnerships for submarine and undersea vehicle programs			+ 20,0
	Program increase: Resident autonomous undersea ro- botics			+ 5,0
	Program increase: SAPF/SCIF university facility upgrades			+ 10,0
	Program increase: Strategic soundscapes for ocean awareness			+ 8,5
	Program increase: Tow-cable monitoring through ad- vanced fiber optic sensing Program increase: Undersea autonomy research facili-			+ 2,5
12	ties capability Future Naval Capabilities Applied Research	163 673	169,173	+ 2,! + 5,!
12	Program increase: Climate change risk management Program increase: System interconnect for maneuver	163,673	109,175	+ 5,5 + 2,5
13	EWMine and Expeditionary Warfare Applied Research	31,460	32,460	+ 3,0 + 1,0
	Program increase: Geophysical sensing and character- ization of the mine-hunting environment			+ 1,0

213

ine	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estima
14	Innovative Naval Prototypes [INP] Applied Research Program increase: Micro-electromechanical LiDAR	127,363	129,363	+ 2,00 + 2,00
16	Force Protection Advanced Technology Program increase: Deployable additive manufacturing	31,556	34,556	+ 3,00
17	of composite UUVs	8,537	15,037	+ 3,00 + 6,50
	awareness			+ 4,0
19	Program increase: Wideband RF spectrum monitoring USMC Advanced Technology Demonstration [ATD] Program increase: Arctic medical evacuation and	243,247	284,147	+ 2,5 + 40,9
	treatment systems Program increase: Autonomous low-profile vessel Program increase: Blue water medium lift logistics			+ 2,0 + 6,0
	ŪAS			+ 2,0
	Program increase: Composite shelters Program increase: Distributed RF photonic systems Program increase: Distributed wireless systems using			+ 3,0 + 2,5
	RF photonic technology Program increase: Long range maneuvering projectile Program increase: Low-cost attritable aircraft tech-			+ 2,0 + 7,0
	nology Program increase: Low-cost tactical hypersonic long- range fires			+ 1,9 + 10,0
	Program increase: Multifunction persistent elevated sensors			+ 2,0
21	Program increase: UAS agile system development Future Naval Capabilities Advanced Technology Develop-	202.000	270.900	+ 2,5
	ment  Program increase: Carbon nanotube integration  Program increase: Electronic maneuver warfare un-	262,869	270,869	+ 8,0 + 3,0
22	manned sensor	63,084	273,584	+ 5,0 + 210,5 + 200,0
	Program increase: In-water submarine hull coating in- spection			+ 200,0
	Program increase: Metrology and calibration integra- tion			+ 3,0
23	Program increase: Plastic explosive manufacturing Warfighter Protection Advanced Technology Program increase: Neuromuscular research lab	5,105	13,105	+ 6,5 + 8,0 + 3,0
	Program increase: Thermite firefighting robotics			+ 5,0
24	Navy Warfighting Experiments and Demonstrations  Transfer from RDT&E,DW line 69 for AUKUS innovation initiatives	97,615	127,115	+ 29,5 + 20,0
	Program increase: JDAM kinetic improvements Program increase: NavalX regional test and evaluation			+ 2,5
	accelerator			+ 2,0 + 1,0
28	Program increase: Warfighter experience lab	53,964	46,964	+ 4,0 - 7,0 - 10,0
29	Program increase: LUSV gas turbine power and pro- pulsion	41,765	50,765	+ 3,0 + 9,0
2.4	Program increase: Autonomous surface and sub- surface dual-modality system			+ 9,0
34	Advanced Combat Systems Technology Program increase: Threat adaptive command and con- trol—Minotaur	2,051	15,051	+ 13,0 + 9,0
36	Program increase: Universal AI/ML core environment Surface Ship Torpedo Defense	4,790	6,790	+ 4,0 + 2,0
38	Program increase: SLQ-25 active sensor integration PILOT FISH	1,007,324	982,324	+ 2,0 - 25,0

214

ine	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Classified adjustment			- 25,000
43	Advanced Submarine System Development	96,694	106,694	+ 10,000
	Program increase: Advanced hull coatings			+10,000
45	Ship Concept Advanced Design	110,800	112,800	+ 2,000
	Program increase: Naval maintenance integration ini-			. 0.000
47	tiativeAdvanced Nuclear Power Systems	368,002	283,002	+ 2,000 - 85,000
47	Rephase based on delays to lead SSN(X) ship	300,002	203,002	- 85,000 - 85,000
48	Advanced Surface Machinery Systems	93,942	99,942	+ 6,000
	Program increase: Large format lithium ion batteries			+ 6,000
52	Ohio Replacement	189,631	197,131	+ 7,500
	Program increase: Advanced composite shaft design			+ 2,000
	Program increase: Multimodal biometric authentica-			. 0.500
	tion			+ 2,500
	Program increase: Shipyard and ship repair workforce training			+ 3,000
57	Marine Corps Ground Combat/Support System	103,860	87,850	- 16,010
٠.	ARV schedule delay and SSEB early to need			- 3,747
	ARV PMA costs excess to need			- 8,000
	ARV DT&E ahead of need			-4,263
60	Environmental Protection	23,258	24,258	+ 1,000
C1	Program increase: Environmental DNA monitoring		70.010	+ 1,000
61	Navy Energy Program	60,610	78,010	+ 17,400
	Program increase: Cargo drone advanced batteries Program increase: Marine energy converters			+ 7,400 + 8,000
	Program increase: Marine energy systems for sensors			+ 6,000
	and microgrids			+ 2,000
65	RETRACT MAPLE	628,958	611,458	-17,500
	Classified adjustment			-17,500
68	LINK EVERGREEN	460,721	457,721	- 3,000
	Classified adjustment			- 3,000
73	Directed Energy and Electric Weapon Systems	9,877	19,877	+ 10,000
76	Program increase: 100KW directed energy production Small and Medium Unmanned Undersea Vehicles	52,994	57,994	+ 10,000 + 5,000
70	Program increase: MUUV EDM articles	32,334	37,334	+ 5,000
77	Unmanned Undersea Vehicle Core Technologies	68,152	70,652	+ 2,500
	Program increase: Mobile testbed for UUVs	·		+ 2,500
78	Rapid Prototyping, Experimentation and Demonstration	168,855	106,895	-61,960
	Excess program growth			- 61,960
	Realignment out of Rapid Prototyping, Experimen-			100 001
	tation and Demonstration program Realignment into Rapid Defense Innovation Reserve			- 106,895
	program			+ 106,895
86	Marine Aviation Demonstration/Validation	62,317	55,805	- 6,512
	Test and evaluation excess to need			-1,611
	Development support disparity			<b>-4,90</b> 1
87	Rapid_Technology Capability Prototype	120,392	89,215	-31,177
	Excess program growth			- 44,177
	Program increase: Hydrofoiling wing-in ground proto-			. 10 000
	type Program increase: MCWL support			+ 10,000 + 3,000
	Realignment out of Rapid Prototyping, Experimen-			1 3,000
	tation and Demonstration program			<b>- 89,215</b>
	Realignment into Rapid Defense Innovation Reserve			,
	program			+ 89,215
88	LX (R)	12,785	9,767	- 3,018
61	Prior year carryover			- 3,018
91	Precision Strike Weapons Development Program	5,667	262,667	+ 257,000
	Program increase: Advanced energetic inspection methodology			+ 2,500
	Program increase: Advanced rocket fuel density			+ 2,500
	Program increase: SLCM-N			+ 252,000
00	Offensive Anti-Surface Warfare Weapon Development		296,164	- 45,743

215

ine	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estima
	Inc II prior year overestimation of Inc II NSMA con-			
	tract savings			- 18,7
	Inc II EMD repricing			-14,0
	Inc II DT&E carryover			-3,0
	LRASM C-3 phase 3 definitization delay			-10,0
98	CONVENTIONAL PROMPT STRIKE [CPS]	903,927	1,001,627	+ 97,7
	Realignment from line 173 for two additional AURs			+ 70,0
	Program increase: 2 AUR + Cs			+ 25,7
	Program increase: Silicon carbide ceramic composites			+ 2,0
109	Multi-Mission Helicopter Upgrade Development	60,438	65,438	+ 5,0
	Program increase: MH-60 capability upgrades			+ 5,0
112	Command and Control Systems	164,391	114,391	-50,0
	NOBLE unjustified growth			-50,0
113	Advanced Hawkeye	301,384	288,268	- 13,1
	Support costs excess to need			-15.0
	ITT forward financing			- 13,1
	Program increase: Radar improvement			+ 15,0
116	V-22A	109,431	103,886	- 5,5
	JARVIS project 1425 realignment not captured			-1.2
	Prior year product development carryover			-4,3
118	EA-18	223,266	172,450	- 50,8
	Rephase Blk 2 spend plan by one quarter	,		- 55,8
	Program increase: Assured communications and EMI			
	mitigation			+ 5,0
119	Electronic Warfare Development	189,750	182,250	- 7,5
110	DBD ahead of need	100,700		-6,2
	DBD government support carryover			-1,3
121	Next Generation Jammer [NGJ]	86,721	76,721	-10,0
121	MBX award delay			-10.0
122	Joint Tactical Radio System—Navy [JTRS-Navy]	330.559	336,059	+ 5,5
122	Program increase: Undersea communications network			+ 5,5
123	Next Generation Jammer [NGJ] Increment II	209.623	147,091	- 62,5
120	EMD contract delay			- 42.5
	Rephase annualized costs due to EMD delay			- 20,0
124	Surface Combatant Combat System Engineering	528,234	603,234	+ 75,0
	Program increase: AEGIS PAC—3 integration (emer-	020,20	000,201	
	gency)			+ 75,0
126	Standard Missile Improvements	468,297	288,297	- 180,0
120	Blk 1B acquisition strategy change			-180,0
129	Advanced Sensors Application Program (ASAP)		6,000	+ 6,0
120	Program increase			+ 6,0
132	Air Control	84,458	64,458	-20,0
102	SPN-XX acquisition strategy change			-20,0
136	Advanced Arresting Gear [AAG]	9,142	11,142	+ 2,0
100	Program increase: AAG/EMALS model-based systems	0,1.2	,	,,
	engineering			+ 2,0
137	New Design SSN	273,848	275,848	+ 2,0
10,	Program increase: Portable underwater communication	270,010	2,0,0.0	,,
	system			+ 2.0
142	Lightweight Torpedo Development	137,265	94,465	- 42,8
112	Acquisition strategy change and POM delay			-30,0
	Platform integration ahead of need			- 12.8
148	Ship Self Defense (Engage: Hard Kill)	74,214	66,584	- 7,6
110	NGLS excess to need	, 1,211		-7,6
149	Ship Self Defense (Engage: Soft Kill/EW)	165,599	146,791	- 18,8
173	SOEA contract delay and vendor reduction		140,731	- 18,8
155	SSN(X)	348,788	322,888	- 25,9
100	Prior year carryover	346,766	322,000	- 23,3 - 27,9
	Program increase: Cybersecurity situational awareness			- 21,3
	for submarines			+ 2,0
159	TACAMO Modernization	775,316	677,798	- 97,5 - 97,5
172		//3,310	0//,/36	- 97,5 - 27,5
	Prior year VLF and air vehicle design contract savings EMD SEPM unjustified request			− 70,0

216

[In thousands of dollars]

ine	Item	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estima
	Improvement carryover			- 24,7
164	Next Generation Fighter	453,828	953,828	+ 500,0
100	Classified adjustment (emergency)			+ 500,0
166	Unmanned Carrier Aviation [UCA]	214,919	203,687	-11,2
107	Air systems engineering overestimation	00.054	07.054	-11,2
167	Joint Air-to-Ground Missile [JAGM] Program increase: cUAS hard kill	20,654	27,654	+ 7,0 + 7.0
168	Multi-mission Maritime Aircraft [MMA]	39,096	34,096	+ 7,0 - 5,0
100	RCI expenditure delays	39,090	34,090	- 5,0 - 5,0
169	Multi-Mission Maritime [MMA] Increment III	134.366	124.366	- 10,0 - 10,0
103	ECP 6/7 expenditure delays	134,300	124,500	- 10,0
171	Marine Corps Assault Vehicles System Development & Dem-			10,0
1/1	onstration	60,181	46,739	- 13,4
	ACV—R SDD excess to need		10,700	- 13.4
173	DDG-1000	243.042	173,042	- 70.0
	Realignment to line 98 for two additional AURs	,		-70,0
188	Management, Technical & International Support	137,521	142,521	+ 5,0
	Program increase: Alternative navigation			+ 5,0
205	MARINE CORPS AIR DEFENSE WEAPONS SYSTEMS	74,119	78,208	+ 4,0
	MRIC testing excess to need			- 2,4
	Program increase: AESA IFF for MADIS and MRIC			+ 2,
	Program increase: High-power microwave for cUAS			+ 4,
206	Cooperative Engagement Capability [CEC]	142,552	137,616	- 4,
	Antenna development excess to OTA			- 4,
207	Strategic Sub & Weapons System Support	403,494	298,494	- 105,0
	D5LE2 EMD transition phasing			− 60,
	Overestimation of W93/Mk7 ramp			- 45,0
209	Submarine Acoustic Warfare Development	96,667	100,667	+ 4,1
	Program increase: Accelerate revolver integration			+ 4,1
211	F/A-18 Squadrons	374,194	348,286	- 25,
	ADVEW OTA excess to need			-7,
	Prior year carryover			- 12,
010	Overestimation of data fusion requirements	0.400	15.000	-6,
212	Surface Support	8,420	15,920	+ 7,
	Program increase: Composite improvements for MK41			
213	VLS	200 720	167 720	+7,
213	Tomahawk and Tomahawk Mission Planning Center [TMPC] GEU-R EDM concurrency	200,739	167,739	- 33, - 10,
	MST vendor staffing reprice			- 10, - 17,
	JMEWS transition to LRIP			-6,
214	Integrated Surveillance System	72.473	82.473	+ 10,
214	Program increase: DSS mobile passive acoustic sens-	72,475	02,473	1 10,
	ing			+ 10,
217	Ground/Air Task Oriented Radar (G/ATOR)	51,346	41.346	- 10,
217	Expenditure delays			-10,
222	MK-48 ADCAP	164.935	144.935	- 20.0
	MOD 8 and 9 development delays	,		- 20,
225	Marine Corps Communications Systems	145,343	151,343	+ 6,
	Transfer from Procurement, Marine Corps line 21 for			
	MEGFoS mounted			+ 6,
227	Marine Corps Ground Combat/Supporting Arms Systems	77,377	75,377	- 2,0
	LVC—TE requirements change			- 2,
999	Classified Programs	2,235,339	2,310,339	+ 75,
	Classified adjustment			+ 75,0

Nanolayered Film Capacitors.—The Committee commends the Department of the Navy, specifically the Office of Naval Research, for its investments in nanolayered plastic film processing technology. Innovative nanolayered film for capacitors can increase energy storage and device operation temperatures in a wide variety of electrical applications. These investments are necessary to maintain leading edge technology, strengthen the domestic industrial

base, and limit our reliance on foreign material acquisitions which currently average around 80 million pounds of capacitor film imported from foreign supply chains each year. The Committee encourages the Chief of Naval Research to continue leading the effort

to develop and qualify new nanolayered films for capacitors.

Anti-Corrosion Nanotechnology.—The Committee recognizes the large cost burdens associated with corrosion prevention and corrosion-related maintenance activities of the Navy's fleet of ships and submarines. Considering the vast maritime domain of the Indo-Pacific region, fleet assets operating in this area of responsibility are particularly vulnerable to corrosion and require the development and application of new technologies that will increase the corrosion resistance and ultimately increase our fleet's operational availability. Therefore, the Committee encourages the Secretary of the Navy to accelerate investment in research and demonstrations of nanotechnology-based innovations that can provide improved corrosion resistance to the fleet, thereby reducing maintenance requirements, and increasing operational availability.

Long-Term Autonomy for Underwater Surveillance.—The Committee recognizes the promise of autonomous underwater robotics for both challenging and repetitive tasks. In particular, the Committee understands that the Navy has identified port security, environmental monitoring, and infrastructure maintenance tasks as ideal candidates for integrating the use of underwater robots. While industry and academic partners are making significant investments and continuing to realize enhanced autonomous capabilities for other use cases, gaps in the solutions exist when unique Naval needs are considered. The underwater domain is a particularly challenging environment for most technology because the uncertainty and dynamics of water make maneuvering, inspection, and object manipulation more difficult than other domains. Additionally, communications are generally limited to acoustics. Turbidity prevents clear perception in most locations, and salt water corrodes most hardware.

The Committee understands that over the last several years, the commercial market has provided hardware that handles environmental factors and some sensing solutions, but the remaining coordination, control, sensing, and communications issues require fundamentally new artificial intelligence. To maintain autonomy for long periods, the system must be able to dynamically change its goals and learn how to accomplish its mission in the face of changing environments and uncertain sensor information. Therefore, the Committee encourages the Secretary of the Navy to increase its investment in autonomous operations and artificial intelligence for the long-term operations of underwater vehicles and robotics.

Modular Mineral-to-Metal Recovery.—The Committee supports the development of technologies that advance novel solutions for sustainable modular metal recovery processing and refinement units for critical minerals and rare earth elements that drive the expansion of domestic supply chain resiliency and provide a pathway towards increased reliance of metals recovery in allied nations.

Seafloor Sensor Simulation.—The Committee recognizes the importance of high-resolution and sensor-realistic three-dimensional [3D] simulation environments to the operations of the Navy's Un-

manned Underwater Vehicle [UUV] fleet, and other underwater operations. However, the Committee is concerned that there are capability gaps and unmet requirements with the current method of manually generating simulation environments for subsea mission planning and operations. Therefore, the Committee encourages the Secretary of the Navy to review the current simulation system's limitations and explore the efficacy of deploying a more sophisticated machine learning based seafloor sensor simulation system that enables automatically generated high-resolution and sensor-re-

alistic 3D environments for training UUVs

Undersea Sensing and Communications.—The Committee commends the work being done by the Department of the Navy through the Naval Undersea Warfare Centers [NUWC] to provide research, development, test and evaluation, engineering, analysis, assessment, and fleet support capabilities for submarines, autonomous underwater systems, and undersea weapon systems. Our nation's NUWCs are indispensable in the effort to advance and adopt emerging technologies in support of undersea warfare. The Committee encourages the Secretary of the Navy to continue supporting the NUWCs and increase investment in undersea sensing, communications, situational awareness and autonomy for unmanned underwater vehicles.

Expansion of Thermoplastic Composites.—The Committee notes that investment in next-generation materials development is essential to advancing the capabilities of the domestic industrial base, particularly within the area of advanced thermoplastic composites for aerospace applications. Increased use of thermoplastic composites may reduce costs, reduce manufacturing lead-times, increase platform efficiency and decrease dependence on some foreign sources of certain critical minerals, such as titanium. As such, the Committee encourages the Secretary of the Navy to collaborate with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Air Force Research Laboratory, and the recently designated American Aerospace Manufacturing Materials Center for the domestic research, development, and manufacturing of thermoplastic composites, including activities that prioritize new domestic supply and manufacturing technologies.

Expeditionary Advanced Manufacturing for Fleet Maintenance Coatings.—The Committee commends the Department of the Navy for pursuing innovative solutions for expeditionary maintenance technologies for its ships and submarines and notes the Navy recently held its first ever Repair Technology Exercise that successfully brought together over sixty vendors to expand the ability of the Navy to conduct expeditionary maintenance. The Committee believes there is an opportunity to pursue these types of advancements in preventative hull coating systems that can be applied during construction and scaled for operational level maintenance by sailors underway. Therefore, the Committee encourages the Secretary of the Navy to pursue preventive coating technologies that can be scaled for use in forward deployed maintenance environments to decrease repair requirements in longer scheduled maintenance availabilities.

Maintenance Technologies Supporting Operational Readiness.— The Committee supports the development and application of critical maintenance technologies across the areas of shipboard repair, material availability, and inspection. Through the application of automated tooling, advanced manufacturing, augmented or virtual reality and improved inspection technologies, it is imperative that the Navy's investments in maintenance technologies keep pace with the developments made in commercial production lines and repair facilities. Therefore, the Committee encourages the Secretary of the Navy to ensure that sufficient investment is made to

modernize our Nation's public and private shipyards.

Hypersonic Lethality.—The Committee commends the Department of Defense for its continued support for the development and fielding of hypersonics weapons systems, especially the Navy's Conventional Prompt Strike [CPS] program. CPS will be a surface and subsurface launched munition designed to strike long distance targets with a kinetic energy projectile warhead. Given the uniqueness of the weapon system, the Committee is concerned that the lethality of such a warhead at hypersonic speeds has not yet been realized. To improve this understanding, the Committee encourages the Secretary of the Navy to leverage existing modeling, simulation, and analysis tools and configure them to improve the lethality of hypersonic systems across a wide-range of launch pro-

files and flight paths.

Digital High Frequency Communication Augmentation.—The Committee notes the increase in the use of commercial communication services across the Department of Defense, particularly with satellite communications. However, the Committee notes that the same adoption of commercial communication services has not occurred within the terrestrial digital high frequency [HF] space. The Committee believes that adoption of commercial HF services to augment the Department's existing infrastructure would add capacity and increase resiliency through node density and geographic separation of transmit and receive sites. Further, the Committee notes that HF provides an effective alternative to satellite beyondline-of-sight communications and for a distributed force like the Navy, is an important communications transport mechanism. Therefore, the Committee encourages the Secretary of the Navy to assess the viability of HF augmentation through the procurement of commercial services.

Common X-Band Transmitter.—The Committee notes that in 2021 the Department of the Navy awarded an engineering and manufacturing development contract for a new MK 9 Tracker Illuminator System for integration into ships carrying the Evolved Seasparrow Missile. The MK 9 transmitter/ illuminator is designed to support new configurations providing scalability in transmitted power and flexibility in waveform transmission. The Committee believes this system can be adapted to address alternate fire control system variants where obsolescence has not been addressed and help to provide commonality amongst fire control systems across multiple variants of surface ships within the U.S. Navy's Fleet and the fleets of allies that operate similar weapon systems. Therefore, the Committee encourages the Secretary of the Navy to assess the feasibility of scaling the MK 9 system across other fire control solutions.

IFF Cryptographic Modernization for Small UAS.—The Committee notes that in 2024, the National Security Agency [NSA] mandated cryptographic modernization 2 [CM2] for all NSA-certified encryption systems. Further, the Committee notes that with the proliferation of small unmanned systems, the effort to maintain compliance is an increasing burden on the Services. Therefore, the Committee encourages the Secretary of the Navy to ensure that small unmanned systems are CM2 compliant in an effort to maintain interoperability with Identification Friend or Foe systems and avoid fratricide and unnecessary casualties.

Enhanced Maritime Monitoring System.—The Committee supports the expansion of maritime domain awareness programs, including coastal surveillance systems that are currently supporting building partner capacity programs countering Chinese expansion in the Indo-Pacific region. These systems fill a capability gap for semi-portable, autonomous maritime collection from terrestrial sites. The systems, with integrated Automatic Identification System, solid state X-band frequency diversity radar, high-resolution electro-optical/infrared cameras, and radio frequency energy direction finding, satisfy Indo Pacific Command requirements to monitor maritime chokepoints or regions with limited maneuver space. The Committee is aware that these systems are operational and provides Combatant Commanders with critical information. The Committee supports the expansion of these systems to support U.S. military requirements, as well as in defense of allied nations against nefarious nation States, and encourages the Secretary of the Navy to expand their deployment.

Increased Access to Ocean Data.—As part of broader efforts to standardize and make available oceanographic data, the Committee encourages the Secretary of the Navy to take the steps necessary to ensure the release of and public access to unclassified and declassified oceanographic data, including information about pirate fishing vessels that will help coastal States in Africa and other regions better police their exclusive economic zones, subject to exist-

ing regulatory restrictions.

Uncrewed Undersea Vehicle Research for Confined-Water Environments.—The Committee notes that undersea caves are largely unexplored due to technical limitations of existing uncrewed undersea vehicles [UUVs]. The Committee understands that such exploration requires stable, precisely navigating platforms that host robust mapping and exploration capabilities while minimally disrupting the environment. The Committee is aware of projects undertaken by the Office of Naval Research in this area and encourages the Chief of Naval Research to continue investments in UUVs optimized for research in confined-water environments.

## RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, AIR FORCE

Budget estimate, 2025	\$49,108,771,000
Committee recommendation	46.829.805.000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$46,829,805,000, of which \$74,394,000 is designated as being for an emergency requirement pursuant to section 251(b)(2)(A)(i) of the Balanced Budg-

et and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985. This is \$2,278,966,000 below the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVALUATION, AIR FORCE			
	BASIC RESEARCH			
1	DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES	361,930	370,930	+ 9,000
2	UNIVERSITY RESEARCH INITIATIVES	143,372	148,372	+ 5,000
	TOTAL, BASIC RESEARCH	505,302	519,302	+ 14,000
	APPLIED RESEARCH			
3	FUTURE AF CAPABILITIES APPLIED RESEARCH	85,477	85,477	
4	UNIVERSITY AFFILIATED RESEARCH CENTER (UARC)—TAC-		,	
	TICAL AUTONOMY	8,225	8,225	
5	MATERIALS	142,336	197,336	+ 55,000
6	AEROSPACE VEHICLE TECHNOLOGIES	5,235	10,235	+ 5,000
7	HUMAN EFFECTIVENESS APPLIED RESEARCH	138,204	119,225	- 18,979
8	AEROSPACE PROPULSION	339,477	299,977	- 39,500
9	AEROSPACE SENSORS	193,029	214,029	+ 21,000
11	SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY MANAGEMENT—MAJOR HEAD-			
	QUARTERS	9,662	9,662	
12	CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS	138,497	143,997	+ 5,500
13	DIRECTED ENERGY TECHNOLOGY	114,962	81,062	- 33,900
14	DOMINANT INFORMATION SCIENCES AND METHODS	176,333	238,833	+ 62,500
	TOTAL, APPLIED RESEARCH	1,351,437	1,408,058	+ 56,621
	ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT			
15	FUTURE AF INTEGRATED TECHNOLOGY DEMOS	248,506	190,302	- 58,204
16	ADVANCED MATERIALS FOR WEAPON SYSTEMS	29.661	32.161	+ 2.500
17	SUSTAINMENT SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY [S&T]	12,558	5,668	- 6,890
18	ADVANCED AEROSPACE SENSORS	37,935	42,935	+ 5,000
19	AEROSPACE TECHNOLOGY DEV/DEMO	102,529	79.129	- 23.400 - 23.400
20	AEROSPACE PROPULSION AND POWER TECHNOLOGY	102,323	75,125	- 23,400
21	ELECTRONIC COMBAT TECHNOLOGY	36,445	36,445	
22	SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY FOR NUCLEAR RE-ENTRY SYS-	,		
	TEMS	91.885	91.885	
23	MAUI SPACE SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM [MSSS]	,,,,,	,,,,,	
24	HUMAN EFFECTIVENESS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOP-			
	MENT	19,568	16,108	- 3.460
25	CONVENTIONAL WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY	125,460	125,460	
26	ADVANCED WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY	25,050	25,050	l
27	MANUFACTURING TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM	34,730	73,730	+ 39,000
28	BATTLESPACE KNOWLEDGE DEVELOPMENT AND DEMONSTRA-	,	,	· ·
0.0	TION	26,172	28,672	+ 2,500
29 30	DEPLOYMENT & DISTRIBUTION ENTERPRISE R&D CONTROL AND REPORTING CENTER [CRC]	27,762 2,012	13,881 2,012	- 13,881
	TOTAL, ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	820,273	763,438	- 56,835
	ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT			
32	INTELLIGENCE ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT	3,820	3 820	
52		. 0,020	. 0,020	

222

	[In thousands of dollars]			
Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
33	COMBAT IDENTIFICATION TECHNOLOGY	24.799	16,790	-8,009
34	NATO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	4,498	2,298	- 2,200
35	INTERCONTINENTAL BALLISTIC MISSILE—DEM/VAL	119,197	121.197	+ 2,000
36	NC3 ADVANCED CONCEPTS	10,148	5,548	-4,600
37	ADVANCED BATTLE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM [ABMS]	743,842	610,309	- 133,533
38	ADVANCED ENGINE DEVELOPMENT	562,337		- 562,337
38A	NEXT GENERATION ADAPTIVE PROPULSION		842,337	+ 842,337
39	NC3 COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT AND PROTOTYPING	68,124	47,124	-21,000
41	E-7	418,513	401,577	-16,936
42	AFWERX PRIME	20,580	67,580	+ 47,000
43	LONG RANGE STRIKE—BOMBER	2,654,073	2,654,073	
44	RAPID DEFENSE EXPERIMENTATION RESERVE [RDER]	75,051	47,512	- 27,539
45	DIRECTED ENERGY PROTOTYPING	3,712	1,312	- 2,400
46	HYPERSONICS PROTOTYPING			
47	HYPERSONICS PROTOTYPING—HYPERSONIC ATTACK CRUISE MISSILE [HACM]	516,971	516,971	
48	PNT RESILIENCY, MODS AND IMPROVEMENTS	,.	,.	
49	ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY AND SENSORS	24,204	7,422	- 16,782
50	SURVIVABLE AIRBORNE OPERATIONS CENTER	1,687,500	1,687,500	
51	TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER	3,485	19,485	+ 16,000
52	HARD AND DEEPLY BURIED TARGET DEFEAT SYSTEM	154,417	77,533	<b>- 76,884</b>
53	CYBER RESILIENCY OF WEAPON SYSTEMS—ACS	59,539	45,555	-13,984
55	REQUIREMENTS ANALYSIS & CONCEPT MATURATION	22,667		- 22,667
56	JOINT TRANSPORTATION MANAGEMENT SYSTEM (JTMS)	174,723	108,094	- 66,629
57	DEPLOYMENT AND DISTRIBUTION ENTERPRISE R&D	4,840	4,840	
58	TECH TRANSITION PROGRAM	234,342	248,842	+ 14,500
59	OPERATIONAL ENERGY AND INSTALLATION RESILIENCE	63,194	52,194	-11,000
60	NEXT GENERATION AIR-REFUELING SYSTEM	7,014	7,014	
61	AIR REFUELING CAPABILITY MODERNIZATION	13,661	13,661	
62	DIGITAL TRANSFORMATION OFFICE	9,800	0.740.000	- 9,800
64	NEXT GENERATION AIR DOMINANCE	3,306,355	2,749,208	- 557,147
64A	COLLABORATIVE COMBAT AIRCRAFT		486,747	+ 486,747
65	AUTONOMOUS COLLABORATIVE PLATFORMS	51,666	50,666	-1,000
66 67	COMBAT IDENTIFICATION	1,914 18,733	1,914	- 18,733
67A	AIR FORCE ISR DIGITAL INFRASTRUCTURE	10,733	18,733	+ 18,733
68	C2ISR TACTICAL DATA LINK	42,371	21,186	- 21,185
69	THREE DIMENSIONAL LONG—RANGE RADAR (3DELRR)	8,100	8,100	21,103
70	AIRBASE AIR DEFENSE SYSTEMS [ABADS]	17,273	17,273	
71	JOINT SIMULATION ENVIRONMENT (JSE)	191,337	179,615	- 11,722
72	WAR RESERVE MATERIEL—AMMUNITION	5,226	5,226	
73	COMMON DATA LINK EXECUTIVE AGENT [CDL EA]	33,349	33,349	
74	MISSION PARTNER ENVIRONMENTS	22,028	18,438	- 3,590
77	RAPID SUSTAINMENT MODERNIZATION [RSM]	37,044	42,044	+ 5,000
78	SPECIAL VICTIM ACCOUNTABILITY AND INVESTIGATION	3,006	3,006	
79	INTEGRATED PRIMARY PREVENTION	5,364	5,364	
80	CONTRACTING INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SYSTEM	28,995	28,995	
81	US SPACE COMMAND RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT SUP-			
	PORT	28,392	21,499	-6,893
	TOTAL, ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT	11,486,204	11,301,951	- 184,253
	SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT AND DEMONSTRATION			
82	FUTURE ADVANCED WEAPON ANALYSIS AND PROGRAMS	7,205	7,205	
83	PNT RESILIENCY, MODS AND IMPROVEMENTS	217,662	217,662	
84	NUCLEAR WEAPONS SUPPORT	70,823	70,823	
85	ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT	19,264	15,754	-3,510
86	TACTICAL DATA NETWORKS ENTERPRISE	78,480	78,480	
87	PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT	10,569	10,569	
88	HARD AND DEEPLY BURIED TARGET DEFEAT SYSTEM (HDBTDS) PROTOTYPING	39,079	26 220	- 12,750
	וועוטוטא (פעוטטוו) רווועני	39,079	26,329	- 12,730

223

	[In thousands of dollars]	]		
Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
89	ARMAMENT/ORDNANCE DEVELOPMENT	7,157	5,417	- 1,740
90	SUBMUNITIONS	3,427	3,427	
91	AGILE COMBAT SUPPORT	24,178	24,716	+ 538
92	LIFE SUPPORT SYSTEMS	25,502	24,502	-1,000
93	COMBAT TRAINING RANGES	224,783	160,783	- 64,000
94 95	LONG RANGE STANDOFF WEAPON	623,491 10,408	593,926	- 29,565 - 10,408
96	JOINT TACTICAL NETWORK CENTER [JTNC]	10,406		- 10,400
97	JOINT TACTICAL NETWORK [JTN]			
98	OPEN ARCHITECTURE MANAGEMENT	41,223	41,223	
100	ADVANCED PILOT TRAINING	83,985	68,789	-15,196
101	COMBAT RESCUE HELICOPTER HH—60W			
102 103	GROUND BASED STRATEGIC DETERRENT EMDF-15 EPAWSS	3,721,024	3,921,024	+ 200,000
104	ISOLATED PERSONNEL SURVIVABILITY AND RECOVERY	10,020	10,020	
105	STAND IN ATTACK WEAPON	375,528	346,341	- 29,187
106 107	FULL COMBAT MISSION TRAINING	7,754	7,754	
111 112	THEATER NUCLEAR WEAPON STORAGE & SECURITY SYSTEM ENDURANCE UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES	9,018	2,000	-7,018
113	KC-46A TANKER SQUADRONS	93,620	77,804	- 15,816
114	VC-25B	433,943	433,943	
115	AUTOMATED TEST SYSTEMS	26,640	21,634	- 5,006
116	TRAINING DEVELOPMENTS	4,960	4,960	
117 117A	COMBAT SURVIVOR EVADER LOCATOR OVER-THE-HORIZON BACKSCATTER RADAR	2,269	1,135 377,394	-1,134 + 377,394
	TOTAL, ENGINEERING AND MANUFACTURING DEVEL- OPMENT	6,172,012	6,553,614	+ 381,602
	MANAGEMENT SUPPORT			
118	THREAT SIMULATOR DEVELOPMENT	19,927	17,291	- 2,636
119	MAJOR T&E INVESTMENT	74,228	74,228	
120	RAND PROJECT AIR FORCE	39,720	33,520	-6,200
122	INITIAL OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION	14,247	14,247	
123 124	TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT	936,913	939,413	+ 2,500
124	ACQ WORKFORCE- GLOBAL VIG AND COMBAT STS	316,924 496,740	316,924 496,740	
125	ACQ WORKFORCE- CYBER, NETWORK, AND BUS SYS	521,987	475,792	- 46,195
128	ACQ WORKFORCE- CAPABILITY INTEGRATION	262,349	262.349	40,133
129	ACQ WORKFORCE- ADVANCED PRGM TECHNOLOGY	69,319	69,319	
130	ACQ WORKFORCE- NUCLEAR SYSTEMS	343,180	321,780	-21,400
131	MANAGEMENT HQ—R&D	6,291	6,291	
132	FACILITIES RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION—TEST AND EVAL	94,828	70,828	- 24,000
133	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT—TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT	63,579	63,579	- 24,000
134	REQUIREMENTS ANALYSIS AND MATURATION	41,550	33,950	- 7,600
135	MANAGEMENT HQ—T&E	7,647	7,647	
137	COMMAND, CONTROL, COMMUNICATION, AND COMPUTERS (C4)—STRATCOM	19,607	39,607	+ 20,000
138	ENTERPRISE INFORMATION SERVICES [EIS]	104,133	104,133	
139	ACQUISITION AND MANAGEMENT SUPPORT	25,216	28,216	+ 3,000
140	GENERAL SKILL TRAINING	10		-10
141	ADVANCED DISTRIBUTED LEARNING	1,652	6,828	+ 5,176
143	INTERNATIONAL ACTIVITIES	4,590	4,254	- 336
143A	DIGITAL TRANSFORMATION OFFICE		21,700	+ 21,700

224

[In thousands of dollars]				
Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	TOTAL, RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT	3,464,637	3,408,636	- 56,001
	OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT			
144	SPECIALIZED UNDERGRADUATE FLIGHT TRAINING	39,667	22,053	- 17,61
145	TACTICAL DATA NETWORKS ENTERPRISE	22		-2
146	BATTLE MGMT COM AND CTRL SENSOR DEVELOPMENT	100,183	100,183	
147	WIDE AREA SURVEILLANCE	21,443	21,443	
148	AGILE COMBAT SUPPORT			
150	F-35 C2D2	1,124,207	1,134,207	+ 10,00
151	AF INTEGRATED PERSONNEL AND PAY SYSTEM [AF-IPPS]	49,739	49,739	
152	ANTI-TAMPER TECHNOLOGY EXECUTIVE AGENCY	65,792	56,492	- 9,30
153	FOREIGN MATERIEL ACQUISITION AND EXPLOITATION	94,188	94,188	
154	HH-60W	52,314	39,629	- 12,68
155	HC/MC-130 RECAP RDT&E	24,934	16,085	- 8,84
156	NC3 INTEGRATION	21,864	21,864	
157	B-52 SQUADRONS	1,045,570	1,041,616	- 3,95
158	AIR-LAUNCHED CRUISE MISSILE [ALCM]	542	542	
159	B-1B SQUADRONS	17,939	17,939	
160	B-2 SQUADRONS	41,212	37,862	-3,35
161	MINUTEMAN SQUADRONS	62,550	60,820	-1,73
162	WORLDWIDE JOINT STRATEGIC COMMUNICATIONS	13,690	13,690	
163	SERVICE SUPPORT TO STRATCOM—GLOBAL STRIKE	7,330	7,330	
165	ICBM REENTRY VEHICLES	629,928	551,495	- 78,43
167	MH-139A		15,000	+ 15,00
168	REGION/SECTOR OPERATION CONTROL CENTER MODERNIZA-			
	TION	852	852	
169	NORTH WARNING SYSTEM [NWS]	103		- 10
170	OVER-THE-HORIZON BACKSCATTER RADAR	383,575		- 383,57
171	VEHICLES AND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT —GENERAL	6,097	6,097	
172	MQ-9 UAV	7,074	7,074	
173 174	JOINT COUNTER RCIED ELECTRONIC WARFARE MULTIPLATFORM ELECTRONIC WARFARE EQUIPMENT	3,372	3,372	
176	F-16 SQUADRONS	106,952	104,252	- 2,70
177	F-15E SQUADRONS	178,603	232,997	+ 54,39
177	F-15E SQUADRONS (emergency)	170,003	(74,394)	(+74,394
178	MANNED DESTRUCTIVE SUPPRESSION	16,182	13,855	-2,32
179	F-22 SQUADRONS	768,561	758,754	- 9.80
180	F-35 SQUADRONS	47,132	47,132	3,00
181	F-15EX	56,228	56,228	
182	TACTICAL AIM MISSILES	34,932	34,932	
183	ADVANCED MEDIUM RANGE AIR-TO-AIR MISSILE [AMRAAM]	53,593	53,593	
184	COMBAT RESCUE—PARARESCUE	743	743	
185	E-11A	64,127	63,252	− 87
186	AF TENCAP	50,263	50,263	
187	PRECISION ATTACK SYSTEMS PROCUREMENT	12,723	9,423	-3,30
188	COMPASS CALL	132,475	132,475	
189	AIRCRAFT ENGINE COMPONENT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	68,743	66,632	-2,11
190	JOINT AIR-TO-SURFACE STANDOFF MISSILE [JASSM]	183,532	181,692	-1,84
191	SMALL DIAMETER BOMB [SDB]	29,910	31,910	+ 2,00
192	AIR AND SPACE OPERATIONS CENTER [AOC]	71,442	65,102	- 6,34
193	CONTROL AND REPORTING CENTER [CRC]	18,473	16,856	-1,61
195	AFSPECWAR—TACP	2,206	1,433	_ <del>_ 7</del> 7
10-	TACTICAL AIRBORNE CONTROL SYSTEMS AFSPECWAR—TACP	40 ===	05.616	
197	COMBAT AIR INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM ACTIVITIES	46,702	25,049	- 21,65
197A	AF JWICS ENTERPRISE		9,445	+ 9,44
198	THEATER BATTLE MANAGEMENT [TBM] C41	4,873	4,401	<b>- 47</b>
199	ELECTRONIC WARFARE INTEGRATED REPROGRAMMING	17110	10.577	
000	[EWIR]	17,149	13,577	- 3,57
200	TACTICAL AIR CONTROL PARTYMOD	12,171	12,171	
201	DCAPES	8,431	8,431	l

225

ine	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
202	AIR FORCE CALIBRATION PROGRAMS	2,223	2,223	
203	NATIONAL TECHNICAL NUCLEAR FORENSICS	2,060	2,060	
204	SEEK EAGLE	34,985	34,985	
204	WARGAMING AND SIMULATION CENTERS	34,363	34,363	
207	DISTRIBUTED TRAINING AND EXERCISES	4,847	3,964	- 883
208	FULL COMBAT MISSION TRAINING	7,048	3,948	-3,100
209	MISSION PLANNING SYSTEMS	92,566	80,709	-11,85
210	TACTICAL DECEPTION	539	539	
212	DISTRIBUTED CYBER WARFARE OPERATIONS	29,996	29,996	
213	AF DEFENSIVE CYBERSPACE OPERATIONS	113,218	121,218	+ 8,00
219	INTEL DATA APPLICATIONS	988	988	
220	GEOBASE	1,002		- 1,00
222	CYBER SECURITY INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT	18,141	18,141	
228 230	COUNTERING ADVANCED CONVENTIONAL WEAPONS (CACW) AIR FORCE SPACE AND CYBER NON-TRADITIONAL ISR FOR	1,668	834	- 83 <sup>4</sup>
200	BATTLESPACE AWARENESS	3,436	3,006	- 43
231	E-4B NATIONAL AIRBORNE OPERATIONS CENTER [NAOC]	40,441	40,441	
232	NON-KINETIC COUNTERMEASURE SUPPORT	15,180	7,590	- 7,59
233	EIT CONNECT	32,960	16,120	- 16,84
234	CYBERSPACE OPERATIONS SYSTEMS	9,776	9,776	
235	MINIMUM ESSENTIAL EMERGENCY COMMUNICATIONS NET-	,	,	
	WORK	25,500	25,500	
236	HIGH FREQUENCY RADIO SYSTEMS	8,667	8,667	
237	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM	94,424	94,424	
238	ALL DOMAIN COMMON PLATFORM	82,927	82,927	
239	JOINT MILITARY DECEPTION INITIATIVE	7,324	7,324	
240	STRATEGIC MISSION PLANNING AND EXECUTION SYSTEM	00.441	00.441	
040	(SMPES)	69,441	69,441	
243	AIRBORNE SIGINT ENTERPRISE	85,284	85,284	
244	COMMERCIAL ECONOMIC ANALYSIS	4,719	4,719	
247 248	C2 AIR OPERATIONS SUITE—C2 INFO SERVICES CCMD INTELLIGENCE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	13,524	13,524 1,836	
249	ISR MODERNIZATION AND AUTOMATION DVMT [IMAD]	1,836 22,909	15,787	- 7,12
250	GLOBAL AIR TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT [GATM]	5,151	5,151	- 7,12
251	CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE	304	304	
252	WEATHER SERVICE	31,372	55,372	+ 24,00
253	AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL, APPROACH, AND LANDING SYSTEM			·
	[ATC]	15,143	15,143	
254	AERIAL TARGETS	7,685	6,085	- 1,60
257	SECURITY AND INVESTIGATIVE ACTIVITIES	481	481	
258	DEFENSE JOINT COUNTERINTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES	6,387	6,387	
259 260	TACTICAL TERMINALINTEGRATED BROADCAST SERVICE	1,002	501 16,006	- 50
261	DRAGON U-2	16,006	10,000	
262	AIRBORNE RECONNAISSANCE SYSTEMS	84,363	69,163	- 15,20
263	MANNED RECONNAISSANCE SYSTEMS	16,323	16,323	13,20
264	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS	86,476	86,476	
265	RQ-4 UAV	9,516	2.516	<b>– 7,00</b>
266	NETWORK-CENTRIC COLLABORATIVE TARGET (TIARA)	8,952	8,952	7,00
267	NATO AGS	865	865	
268	SUPPORT TO DCGS ENTERPRISE	30,932	32,682	+ 1,75
269	INTERNATIONAL INTELLIGENCE TECHNOLOGY AND ARCHITEC-	00,002	02,002	. 1,70
	TURES	18,670	17,784	-88
270	RAPID CYBER ACQUISITION			
271	PERSONNEL RECOVERY COMMAND AND CTRL [PRC2]	2,831	2,831	
272	INTELLIGENCE MISSION DATA [IMD]	3,658	3,658	
273	C-130 AIRLIFT SQUADRON			
274	C-5 AIRLIFT SQUADRONS	33,003	32,903	-10
	***************************************	17,395	11,986	

226

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
276	C-130J PROGRAM	34,423	63,533	+ 29,110
277	LARGE AIRCRAFT IR COUNTERMEASURES [LAIRCM]	7.768	7.768	1 23,110
278	KC-135S	31,977	31,977	
279	CV-22	26.249	26,249	
280	SPECIAL TACTICS / COMBAT CONTROL	9.421	9,421	
282	LOGISTICS INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY [LOGIT]	11,895	11,895	
283	AF LVC OPERATIONAL TRAINING (LVC-OT)	29,815	27,535	- 2,280
284	OTHER FLIGHT TRAINING	2,319	1,159	-1,160
285	JOINT PERSONNEL RECOVERY AGENCY	2,320	2,320	
286	CIVILIAN COMPENSATION PROGRAM	4,267	4,267	
287	PERSONNEL ADMINISTRATION	3,163	3,163	
288	AIR FORCE STUDIES AND ANALYSIS AGENCY	18,937	945	- 17,992
289	FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEMS DEVELOP-			
	MENT	5,634	5,634	
290	DEFENSE ENTERPRISE ACNTNG AND MGT SYS [DEAMS]	57,689	57,689	
291	SERVICE SUPPORT TO SPACECOM ACTIVITIES			
9999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	18,038,552	16,129,541	-1,909,011
	UNDISTRIBUTED			
	TOTAL, OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	25,308,906	22,874,806	- 2,434,100
	TOTAL DESCAPOLI DEVELOPMENT TEST AND EVAL			
	TOTAL, RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVAL- UATION. AIR FORCE	49.108.771	46.829.805	- 2,278,966
	UNTION, AIR TOROL	45,100,771	40,023,003	2,276,300
	TOTAL, RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVAL-			
	UATION, AIR FORCE (emergency)		(74,394)	(+74,394)

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

## The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1	Defense Research Sciences	361,930	370,930	+ 9,000
	diode effect for low-energy quantum circuits  Program increase: Photonic devices and systems for			+ 2,000
	integrated sensing and communications			+ 2,000
	Program increase: Quantum electronic research			+ 2,000
2	Program increase: Ultrawideband antenna systems University Research Initiatives	142 272	140 272	+ 3,000
2	Program increase: Gigahertz-terahertz research	143,372	148,372	+ 5,000 + 3,000
	Program increase: Materials for electronic and cyber			+ 3,000
	applications research			+ 2,000
5	Materials	142.336	197.336	+ 55,000
·	Program increase: Analytical simulation of composites	112,000	107,000	1 00,000
	for hypersonics			+ 5,000
	Program increase: Additive manufacturing of alloys			+ 2,000
	Program increase: Biomaterials for ground infrastruc-			,,,,,,
	ture reinforcement			+ 2,500
	Program increase: Biomineralization of subgrade ma-			
	terials for runways			+ 6,000
	Program increase: Continuous fiber 3D printing for			
	hypersonic applications			+4,000
	Program increase: High energy synchrotron x-ray re-			
	search			+ 9,000
	Program increase: Materials for rapid runway aug-			
	mentation	l		+ 5,000

227

ine	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estima
	Program increase: Mxene composites for electro-			
	magnetic interference shielding Program increase: Next generation small satellite			+ 2,0
	technology			+ 10,0
	Program increase: Non-electric ratio frequency devices and systems for distributed operations			+ 3,0
	Program increase: Scanning and additive manufac-			
	turing  Program increase: Thermal protection for hypersonic			+ 1,0
•	vehicles			+ 5,
6	Aerospace Vehicle Technologies Program increase: Full-scale determinant assembly for	5,235	10,235	+ 5,0
	hypersonic airframe structures			+ 5,0
7	Human Effectiveness Applied Research	138,204	119,225	− 18,
	Learning and operational training excess funds			-3,
	Digital models of cognition excess funds Human machine interactions excess funds			- 2, - 5,
	Distributed teaming and communication excess funds			- 3, - 7,
8	Aerospace Propulsion	339,477	299,977	- 39,
	Projected underexecution			-61,
	Engine technologies for autonomous vehicles and mu- nitions unjustified growth			<b>–</b> 5,
	Integrated thermal and energy management unjusti-			J,
	fied growth			<b>-3</b> ,
	Program increase: Advanced aerospace fuels for hypersonic propulsion			+ 3,
	Program increase: Autonomous systems and space			, ,
	environment interactions			+ 2,
	Program increase: Compact scramjet testing			+7,
	Program increase: High mach turbine engine			+ 3,
	Program increase: Hypersonic research, testing, and diagnostic development			+ 5,
	Program increase: Military aircraft engine durability			,
	and repair improvements			+4,
	Program increase: Modular, open system distributed			_
0	subsystem propulsion control architecture	102.000	014.000	+7,
9	Aerospace Sensors	193,029	214,029	+ 21,
	Program increase: Cyber kinetic combat environment Program increase: Demonstrating flexible manufac-			+ 15,
	turing capabilities for defense maintenance			+ 5,
	Program increase: Glass advanced packaging			+ 1,
12	Conventional Munitions	138,497	143,997	+ 5,
	Program increase: Convergence technology research			+1,
	Program increase: University-led hyper-velocity test			
13	capability	114,962	81,062	+ 4, - 33,
13	Directed Energy Technology Laser technology unjustified growth	,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	- 33, - 33,
14	Dominant Information Sciences and Methods	176,333	238,833	+ 62,
17	Program increase: Air domain awareness for airspace	170,555	250,055	1 02,
	safety, management and counter UAS effectiveness			+ 8,
	Program increase: Compact and deployable ion trap			
	technology for quantum networks			+ 4,
	Program increase: CUAS air surveillance radar mod-			. 1
	ernization Program increase: Cyberspace dominance technology			+ 1, + 5,
	Program increase: Cyberspace dominance technology Program increase: Dependable Al for national security			+ 11,
	Program increase: Future cyber workforce			+1,
	Program increase: Quantum networking testbed and			,
	cloud computing environment			+ 9,
	Program increase: Quantum supply chain development			+ 20,
	Program increase: Secure interference-avoiding			
				+ 2,1

228

ine	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estima
	Transfer to RDT&E, SF line 6 for space unique S&T			- 58,2
16	Advanced Materials for Weapon Systems	29,661	32,161	+ 2,5
	Program increase: Metals affordability initiative			+ 2,5
17	Sustainment Science and Technology [S&T]	12,558	5,668	- 6,8
	Prevention/enhanced maintainability technologies un-			
10	justified growth	27 025	42 025	- 6,8
18	Advanced Aerospace Sensors Program increase: Airborne early warning pod digital	37,935	42,935	+ 5,0
	radar technology			+ 5,0
19	Aerospace Technology Dev/Demo	102,529	79,129	- 23,4
	Aerospace vehicle technology integration unjustified			
	growth			- 24,6
	Core engine technologies unjustified growth			- 6,8
	Program increase: Low-cost attritable aircraft tech-			
	nology for unmanned aerial systems			+ 3,0
24	Program increase: Silicon carbide research	10 500	10 100	+ 5,0
24	Human Effectiveness Advanced Technology Development Airman machine interfaces unjustified growth	19,568	16,108	- 3,4 - 4,9
	Program increase: Airborne augmented reality for in-			-4,3
	creased pilot training production			+ 1,5
27	Manufacturing Technology Program	34,730	73,730	+ 39,0
	Program increase: Additively manufactured CCA wings			+ 5,0
	Program increase: Affordable manufacturing of carbon			
	nanotube data cables			+ 1,0
	Program increase: Air force sustainment center depot			
	maintenance data science			+ 1,0
	Program increase: F-35 agnostic battery development			+ 4,0
	Program increase: High accuracy robotics and local-			
	ization for manufacturing and depot sustainment Program increase: High temperature composite mate-			+ 2,0
	rial manufacturing			+ 6,0
	Program increase: Manufacturability of attritable sUAS			+ 5,0
	Program increase: Vertical integration of scramjet			,.
	supply chain			+ 15,0
28	Battlespace Knowledge Development and Demonstration	26,172	28,672	+ 2,5
	Program increase: Programmable computing fabric			
00	networks	07.700	12.001	+ 2,5
29	Deployment & Distribution Enterprise R&D	27,762	13,881	- 13,8
33	Unjustified request Combat Identification Technology	24,799	16,790	- 13,8 - 8,0
33	Noncooperative identification subsystems unjustified	24,733	10,730	0,0
	growth			-1,
	Air target identification unjustified growth			-6,8
34	NATO Research and Development	4,498	2,298	-2,2
	Unjustified growth			- 2,2
35	Intercontinental Ballistic Missile—Dem/Val	119,197	121,197	+ 2,0
	EFT3 ahead of need			- 8,0
	Program increase: AFGSC modernization and enhance-			. 10 /
36	ment of mission capabilities NC3 Advanced Concepts	10,148	5,548	+ 10,0 - 4,6
30	Unjustified growth	10,140	3,340	-4,6
37	Advanced Battle Management System [ABMS]	743,842	610,309	- 133,
	Digital Infrastructure duplication of effort			- 18,7
	Digital Infrastructure ahead of need			- 106,8
	C3BM efforts previously funded			- 8,0
38	Advanced Engine Development	562,337		- 562,3
	Transfer to line 38A for NGAP			- 562,3
38A	NEXT GENERATION ADAPTIVE PROPULSION (NGAP)		842,337	+ 842,3
	Transfer from line 38 for NGAP			+ 562,3
30	Program increase	69 124		+ 280,0
39	NC3 Commercial Development & Prototyping	68,124	47,124	- 21,0 - 20,0
	R-3 insufficient justification			- 20,0 - 1,0
	n o mouniount justinodilli			1,0

229

ine	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Program support cost unjustified growth			- 16,930
42	AFWERX Prime	20,580	67,580	+ 47,000
	Program increase: Agility prime			+ 20,000
	Program increase: Autonomy prime			+ 2,50
	Program increase: Electrification of fixed wing aircraft			+ 5,00
	Program increase: Maritime autonomous forward area			
	refueling point			+ 5,00
	Program increase: Mass-produced UAS			+ 2,00
	Program increase: Rapid operational innovation de-			
	tachment			+ 7,50
	Program increase: Supersonic aircraft technologies			+ 5,00
44	Rapid Defense Experimentation Reserve [RDER]	75,051	47,512	- 27,53
	Program decrease			- 27,53
	Transfer: Rapid Defense Experimentation Reserve			- 47,51
	Transfer: Rapid Defense Innovation Reserve			+ 47,51
45	Directed Energy Prototyping	3,712	1,312	- 2,40
	Directed energy capabilities unjustified growth			- 2,40
49	Advanced Technology and Sensors	24,204	7,422	- 16,78
	Imaging and targeting support unjustfied request			- 15,46
	Management services unjustified growth			-1,32
51	Technology Transfer	3,485	19,485	+ 16,00
	Program increase: Academic Partnership Intermediary			
	Agreement Technology Transfer			+ 5,00
	Program increase: Air force applied innovation train-			
	ing			+ 2,00
	Program increase: Generating rural innovation for Na-			
	tional Defense			+ 5,00
	Program increase: Partnership intermediary program			+ 2,000
	Program increase: Technology transfer project			+ 2,00
52	Hard and Deeply Buried Target Defeat System (HDBTDS)			
	Program	154,417	77,533	− 76,88·
	Direct strike penetrator unjustified growth			− 62,80°
	Massive Ordnance Penetrator unjustified growth			- 14,08
53	Cyber Resiliency of Weapon Systems-ACS	59,539	45,555	- 13,98
	Acquisition/System Security Engineering unjustified			
	growth			- 7,51
	Mitigations unjustified growth			- 6,47
55	Requirements Analysis & Concept Maturation	22,667		- 22,66
	Unjustified request			- 22,66
56	Joint Transportation Management System (JTMS)	174,723	108,094	- 66,62
	Excess to need			- 65,32
	Projected underexecution			-1,30
58	Tech Transition Program	234,342	248,842	+ 14,50
	Project SAINT efforts previously funded			- 11,50
	Program increase: Countering adversary air system			
	autonomy			+ 7,50
	Program increase: Operational additive manufacturing			
	capabilities			+ 2,00
	Program increase: Stratospheric balloon constellation			
	experimentation			+ 14,50
	Program increase: Stratospheric high altitude balloon			
	platform for atmospheric column measurements			+ 2,00
59	Operational Energy and Installation Resilience	63,194	52,194	-11,00
	Unjustified growth			- 19,50
	Program increase: Advanced energy storage for instal-			
	lation resilience			+ 5,00
	Program increase: Load alleviation system			+ 2,00
	Program increase: Western climate resiliency			+1,50
62	Digital Transformation Office	9,800		- 9,80
	Air Force requested transfer to line 143A			- 9,80
64	Next Generation Air Dominance	3,306,355	2,749,208	- 557,14
	Transfer to line 64A for Collaborative Combat Aircraft			- 557,14
	Collaborative Combat Aircraft		486,747	+ 486,74

230

ine	Item	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estima
	Transfer from line 64 for Collaborative Combat Air-			
	craft			+ 557,1
	Classified adjustment			− 70,4
65	Autonomous Collaborative Platforms	51,666	50,666	- 1,0
67	R-3 insufficient justification	10.700		-1,0
67	Combat Air Intelligence System Activities	18,733		- 18,7
67A	Air force requested transfer to line 67A Air Force ISR Digital Infrastructure		18,733	- 18,7 + 18,7
U/A	Air force requested transfer from line 67		10,733	+ 18,7
68	C2ISR Tactical Data Link	42,371	21,186	- 21.1
•	Internet protocol beyond line of sight excess funds			-21,1
71	Joint Simulation Environment (JSE)	191,337	179,615	- 11,7
	JSE-XA ahead of need			-11,7
74	Mission Partner Environments	22,028	18,438	-3,5
	Unjustified growth			- 3,5
77	Rapid Sustainment Modernization [RSM]	37,044	42,044	+ 5,0
	Program increase: Automation innovation for			
	sustainment			+ 3,0
	Program increase: Fleet readiness additive manufac-			
0.1	turing		01 400	+ 2,0
81	U.S. Space Command Research and Development Support	28,392	21,499	- 6,8
	R-3 insufficient justification			-1,0
85	Positioning navigation timing previously funded	10.204	15 754	- 5,8
60	Electronic Warfare Development	19,264	15,754	-3,5 -2,7
	Cognitive electromagetic warfare carryover Electromagnetic battle management carryover			- 2,7 - 2,7
	Program increase: Advanced electronic warfare sys-			
	tems			+ 1,0
	Program increase: Al and machine learning enabled			1 1,0
	electronic warfare systems			+ 1,0
88	Hard and Deeply Buried Target Defeat System (HDBTDS)			,,
	Prototyping	39,079	26,329	- 12,7
	Test and evaluation early to need			— 8,c
	Management Services excess to need			- 4,7
89	Armament/Ordnance Development	7,157	5,417	- 1,7
	Unjustified growth			- 1,7
91	Agile Combat Support	24,178	24,716	+5
00	Program increase: PFAS free firefighting agents	05.500	04.500	+5
92	Life Support Systems	25,502	24,502	-1,0
93	R-3 insufficient justification	224 702	100 702	- 1,0
93	Combat Training Ranges ARTS V-3 rephase	224,783	160,783	- 64,0 - 68,0
	Program increase: Joint pacific Alaska range complex			+ 4,0
94	Long Range Standoff Weapon	623,491	593,926	- 29.5
٠.	Program carryover			- 29,5
95	ICBM Fuze Modernization	10,408		- 10,4
	Excess to need	,		- 10,4
100	Advanced Pilot Training	83,985	68,789	- 15,1
	EMD efforts early to need			- 13,0
	Excess to need			-2,1
102	Ground Based Strategic Deterrent EMD	3,721,024	3,921,024	+ 200,0
	Program increase: Sentinel industrial base risk reduc-			
	tion and prototyping			+ 200,0
105	Stand In Attack Weapon	375,528	346,341	- 29,1
	Program carryover			- 29,1
111	Theater Nuclear Weapon Storage & Security System	9,018	2,000	-7,0
110	Vault modernization program lack of justification	02.000	77.004	-7,0
113	KC-46A Tanker Squadrons	93,620	77,804	- 15,8
	Mobility air forces connectivity excess funds			-5
	Pegasus advanced communication suite ahead of			1
	need Trainer Development ahead of need			- 4,2 - 10,5
	ARASQ aherad of need			- 10,5 - 6
	Automated Test Systems	26,640	21,634	-5,0

231

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estima
	Common Aircraft Portable Reprogramming Equipment			
	carryover			-2,2
	sets ahead of need			- 2,7
117	Combat Survivor Evader Locator	2,269	1,135	- 1,1 - 1,1
117A	Over-the-Horizon Backscatter Radar		377,394	+ 377,3
	Air Force requested transfer from line 170			+ 383,5 - 2,2
	TACMOR system design and development carryover  Program management early to need			- 2,2 - 3,9
118	Threat Simulator Development	19,927	17,291	-2,6
120	Unjustified growth	39,720	33,520	- 2,6 - 6,2
120	Unjustified growth			- 6,2
123	Test and Evaluation Support	936,913	939,413	+ 2,5
126	Program increase: Digital test facility models	521,987	475,792	+ 2,5 - 46,1
	Projected underexecution			- 46,1
130	Acq Workforce- Nuclear Systems	343,180	321,780	- 21,4 - 21,4
132	Facilities Restoration and Modernization—Test and Evalua-			21,7
	tion Support	94,828	70,828	- 24,0
134	Program carryover	41,550	33,950	- 24,0 - 7,6
	Joint simulation environment duplication of effort			-10,1
137	Program increase: Nuclear technology transition			+ 2,5
137	STRATCOM	19,607	39,607	+ 20,0
	Program increase: NC3 network sensor demonstration			+ 10,0
139	Program increase: NC3 REACH	25,216	28,216	+ 10,0 + 3,0
	Program increase: Modernize wide area networks			+ 3,0
140	General Skill Training	10		_ _
141	Programming error	1,652	6,828	+ 5,1
	Unjustified growth			-8
143	Program increase: Secure work readiness for duty International Activities	4,590	4,254	+ 6,0 - 3
110	Unjustified growth			-3
143A	Digital Transformation Office		21,700	+ 21,7
	Air Force requested transfer from line 62 Program increase: Digital first systems engineering			+ 9,8 + 6,4
	Program increase: Digital transformation of armament			
	sustainment Program increase: Small business manufacturing dig-			+ 3,0
	ital transformation			+ 2,5
144	Specialized Undergraduate Flight Training	39,667	22,053	- 17,6
145	Contract award delay Tactical Data Networks Enterprise	22		— 17,6 —
	Lack of justification			_
150	F-35 C2D2Program increase: Power thermal management system	1,124,207	1,134,207	+ 10,0 + 10,0
152	Anti-Tamper Technology Executive Agency	65,792	56,492	- 9,3
	Program carryover			- 9,3
154	HH-60WHH-60W MUOS Capability excess funds	52,314	39,629	- 12,6 - 2,2
	Deliver order 1 carryover			-1,6
155	Delivery order 2 early to need HC/MC—130 Recap RDT&E	2/ 93/	16.085	- 8,7 - 8,8
100	Communications Modernization Phase II carryover	24,934	16,085	- 0,0 - 8,8
157	B-52 Squadrons	1,045,570	1,041,616	- 3,9
	Quad crew carryover Program increase: Global strike innovation hub			- 6,9 + 3,0
160	B–2 Squadrons	41,212		- 3,3 - 3,3

232

[In thousands of dollars]					
Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate	
	MDU Replacement carryover			- 3,350	
161	Minuteman Squadrons	62,550	60,820	-1,730	
	MATH delays			-1,730	
165	ICBM Reentry Vehicles	629,928	551,495	- 78,433	
167	EMD integration delays		15 000	- 78,433	
107	MH-139AAir force requested transfer from AP, AF line 11 for		15,000	+ 15,000	
	Performance Enhancement Product Improvement			+ 15,000	
169	North Warning System [NWS]	103		- 103	
	Programming error			- 103	
170	Over-the-Horizon Backscatter Radar	383,575		<b>— 383,575</b>	
	Air Force requested transfer to line 117A			- 383,575	
176	F-16 Squadrons	106,952	104,252	- 2,700	
177	Integrated test carryover	170 002	222.007	- 2,700	
177	F-15E Squadrons	178,603	232,997	+ 54,394 - 10,088	
	Operational flight program unjustified growth Program carryover			- 10,000 - 9,912	
	Program increase: F-15E divestment prohibition			3,312	
	(emergency)			+ 74,394	
178	Manned Destructive Suppression	16,182	13,855	-2,327	
	Contract savings			- 2,327	
179	F-22A Squadrons	768,561	758,754	- 9,807	
	Keystone early to need			- 9,807	
185	E-11A	64,127	63,252	- 875	
	Resiliencey solutions excess funds			- 425	
	Payload operations and maintenance trainer excess funds			- 450	
187	Precision Attack Systems Procurement	12,723	9,423	- 3,300	
107	Program carryover	12,720		- 3,300	
189	Aircraft Engine Component Improvement Program	68,743	66,632	-2,111	
	Unjustified growth			-6,111	
	Program increase: Advanced technologies to support				
	engine operational readiness			+ 4,000	
190	Joint Air-to-Surface Standoff Missile [JASSM]	183,532	181,692	- 1,840	
191	Program support unjustified growth	29,910	31,910	- 1,840 + 2,000	
131	Program increase: Precise navigation	23,310	31,310	+ 2,000	
192	Air & Space Operations Center [AOC]	71,442	65,102	- 6,340	
	Unjustified growth			- 6,340	
193	Control and Reporting Center [CRC]	18,473	16,856	-1,617	
	Program carryover			- 1,617	
195	AFSPECWAR—TACP	2,206	1,433	- 773	
107	Program underexecution	40.700	05.040	- 773	
197	Combat Air Intelligence System Activities	46,702	25,049	-21,653	
	JTIM insufficient justification			- 9,445 - 4,858	
	Program carryover			- 7,350	
197A	AF JWICS Enterprise		9,445	+ 9,445	
	Air force requested transfer from line 197			+ 9,445	
198	Theater Battle Management [TBM] C4I	4,873	4,401	<b>– 472</b>	
	Program carryover			- <b>472</b>	
199	Electronic Warfare Integrated Reprogramming [EWIR]	17,149	13,577	- 3,572	
007	Program carryover	4.047	0.004	- 3,572	
207	Distributed Training and Exercises	4,847	3,964	- 883	
208	Unjustified growth	7,048	3,948	- 883 - 3,100	
200	Wargaming and simulation centers contract delay	7,040	3,340	- 3,100 - 3,100	
209	Mission Planning Systems	92,566	80,709	- 3,100 - 11,857	
_00	Program carryover			- 11,857	
213	AF Defensive Cyberspace Operations	113,218	121,218	+ 8,000	
	Program increase: Cybersecurity for industrial control		·		
	systems—ground stations			+ 7,000	
000	Program increase: Enabling embedded systems	1,000		+ 1,000	
220	GeoBase	1,002	l	− 1,002	

233

[In thousands of dollars]

ne	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change fro budget estin
	Comprehensive Planning Platform Development insuf-			
	ficient justification			-1,
228	Countering Advanced Conventional Weapons (CACW)	1,668	834	
	Production Tools excess funds			_
230	AF Multi-Domain Non-Traditional ISR Battlespace Aware-			
	ness	3,436	3,006	_
	Unjustified growth			_
232	Non-Kinetic Countermeasure Support	15,180	7,590	<b>−</b> 7,
	Data Architecture/Repository lack of justification			<b>−7</b> ,
233	EIT CONNECT	32.960	16.120	- 16
	Unjustified request			- 16
249	ISR Modernization & Automation Dvmt [IMAD]	22,909	15,787	-7
210	Core technology unjustified growth		10,707	_ <del>7</del>
252	Weather Service	31,372	55,372	+ 24
LUL	Program increase: Air force weather transformation	01,072	33,372	+ 10.
	Program increase: Commercial weather data pilot			+2
	Program increase: Commercial Weather data prior			+2
	Program increase: Machine learning global weather			T 2,
	"			٠.,
	forecasting			+2
	Program increase: Operationalizing the stratosphere			+ 2
	Program increase: Weather service flood mapping and			
	forecasting tool			+4
	Program increase: Weather wing data migration			+1
254	Aerial_Targets	7,685	6,085	-1
	Program carryover			-1
259	Tactical Terminal	1,002	501	-
	Tactical Terminal Modifications/Enhancements and			
	Support unjustified request			_
262	Airborne Reconnaissance Systems	84,363	69,163	- 15
	ULTRA early to need			-18
	Program increase: Ultra long-range persistent ISR			+3
265	RQ-4 UAV	9,516	2,516	-7
	Support excess to need		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	-7
268	Support to DCGS Enterprise	30,932	32,682	+1
	Program increase: Computer vision platform for high-	,	,	
	altitude imagery object re-identification			+1
269	International Intelligence Technology and Architectures	18.670	17,784	-
200	Program carryover	10,070	17,704	_
274	C-5 Airlift Squadrons (IF)	33,003	32.903	_
214	C-5 Modernization excess funds	33,003	32,303	_
275		17.395	11.986	
2/3	C-17 Aircraft (IF)	,	,	
	Databus Collection & Analytics unjustified funds			-2
	Aircraft connectivity unjustified funds			_
070	Support carryover			-2
276	C-130J Program	34,423	63,533	+ 29
	Communication Modernization carryover			-1
	Program increase: ANG enhanced flight vision system			+2
	Program increase: Non-recurring engineering for polar			
	airlift aircraft			+ 29
283	AF LVC Operational Training (LVC-OT)	29,815	27,535	-2
	ACE-IOS unjustified growth			-2
284	Other Flight Training	2,319	1,159	-1
	Aviation Resource Tool Enterprise Mission Information	,	, ,,,,	
	System excess funds			-1
288	Air Force Studies and Analysis Agency	18,937	945	- 17
_00	Unjustified request	10,337	545	- 17
999	Classified Programs	18,038,552	16,129,541	- 1,909
טטט	Classified adjustment			
	LIANNURU ZUUSUURUI			-1,909

Next Generation Air Dominance.—The fiscal year 2025 President's budget request includes \$3,306,355,000 in Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Air Force for the Next Generation Air Dominance [NGAD] Family of Systems portfolio, which consists

of \$2,749,208,000 for the NGAD Platform and \$557,147,000 for the Collaborative Combat Aircraft [CCA] program. The Committee has concerns that funding for CCA and NGAD are currently within the same budget line, limiting Congress' ability to discretely identify how funding is delineated between the two efforts within the year-of-execution. Furthermore, the Committee notes that in order to ensure visibility into cost and performance, and to provide traceability of appropriated funding, the CCA program should be budgeted for in an individual, dedicated program element. Therefore, the Committee establishes a new budget line for CCA as delineated in the table of Committee Recommended Adjustments accompanying this section, and directs the Secretary of the Air Force to retain this program element structure in the fiscal year 2026 and future President's budget requests.

The NGAD platform is intended to be the Department of the Air Force's sixth-generation fighter platform for the 2030s and beyond. The Committee has been a strong proponent of the NGAD platform and fully funded the President's Budget request in fiscal years 2022 through 2024 for a combined total of \$5,116,318,000. The Committee fully supports the fiscal year 2025 President's Budget request, and commends the Air Force approach for a government-owned, autonomous, open-architecture framework in the NGAD platform, which has optimized vendor optionality to the Air Force

throughout the development process.

The Secretary of the Air Force has publicly stated that the future of the NGAD platform is being reevaluated. The Committee understands that the Air Force has delayed the decision for the engineering and manufacturing development phase of the program, raising questions about the Air Force's commitment to fielding advanced aircraft capable of maintaining air dominance in a contested 21st century environment. Moreover, the Committee is concerned that current and projected fighter aircraft acquisition across the Future Years Defense Program is inadequate. As the F-35 continues to experience extensive delays in the delivery of combat capable aircraft, and fiscal year 2025 is currently the last programmed buy for the F-15EX, absent maturation of the NGAD platform, the Air Force has not presented a viable plan to sustaining the production and fielding of fighter aircraft. The Committee notes that in Senate Report 118-81, the Committee directed the Secretary of the Air Force to submit a report with the fiscal year 2025 President's budget submission that describes the plan for mitigating the gap between divestments and future platforms, both in terms of timing and total fielded capabilities. A requirement that has not yet been fulfilled. Therefore, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to provide the required briefing in a timely manner.

Next Generation Adaptive Propulsion.—The fiscal year 2025 President's Budget request includes \$562,337,000 for the Next Generation Adaptive Propulsion [NGAP] effort in the Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Air Force account. The Committee fully supports this request. In order to ensure visibility into cost and performance, and enable oversight of appropriated funds, the Committee again, as in the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2024 establishes a new and distinct budget line for NGAP, as delineated in the table of Committee Recommended Adjustments

accompanying this section. The Secretary of the Air Force is directed to retain this program element structure in the fiscal year

2026 and future President's budget requests.

Additionally, the Committee recognizes that the NGAP program is imperative to the success of the Department of the Air Force's Next Generation Air Dominance platform. The Committee supports the competitive acquisition strategy and notes the importance of maintaining no fewer than two viable competitors to ensure innovation and cost realism. Therefore, the Committee recommends an additional \$280,000,000 only to maintain competition for NGAP engine development, to reduce program risk. The Assistant Secretary of the Air Force (Acquisition, Technology and Logistics) is directed to provide to the congressional defense committees, not later than 90 days after enactment of this act, a spend plan for the additional resources.

Advanced Engine Development.—The Committee notes that the Joint Explanatory Statement accompanying the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2024 (Public Law 118–47) directed the Assistant Secretary of the Air Force (Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics) to provide to the congressional defense committees a briefing describing how the Air Force intends to ensure there is a sufficient level of engine design work in order to maintain a robust domestic aircraft engine industrial base. That requirement has not yet been fulfilled. The Committee continues to recognize the importance of maintaining a skilled engineering and manufacturing workforce within the aircraft engine industrial base. Therefore, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to provide the re-

quired briefing in a timely manner.

Sentinel.—The Sentinel system replaces the aging Minuteman III Intercontinental Ballistic Missile [ICBM] system, which has been in service for over 50 years. The Committee notes that earlier this year, Congress was notified by the Department of the Air Force that the Sentinel program exceeded projected costs. The Committee further notes that following a comprehensive program review in accordance with Title 10, United States Code, Section 4376, the Under Secretary of Defense (Acquisition and Sustainment) certified that the Sentinel program meets the statutory criteria to continue. This includes certification that the continuation of the Sentinel program is essential to national security; that there are no alternatives to the program which will provide acceptable capability to meet the joint requirements at less cost; that the new estimates of the program acquisition unit cost or procurement unit cost have been determined by the Director of Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation to be reasonable; that the program is a higher priority than programs whose funding must be reduced to accommodate the growth in cost of the program; and that the management structure for the program is adequate to manage and control program acquisition unit cost or procurement unit cost.

The Committee strongly supports the decision to continue the Sentinel program; however, the Committee notes that the revised schedule for the program to proceed remains unclear. Given the critical nature of this program, the Committee is concerned at the lack of urgency with respect to defining programmatic and schedule details, specifying distinct plans and efforts, and, most importantly,

establishing a revised schedule to provide a clear way forward and ensure program success. Therefore, the Secretary of the Air Force is directed to provide to the congressional defense committees, no later than 30 days after the enactment of this act, a plan for incremental progress towards these goals, to include an interim schedule, as well as a plan to achieve a fully Integrated Master Schedule. These plans shall include benchmarks, milestones, as well as an identification of the defense industrial base requirements to achieve the revised schedule. Further, given the large cost changes currently projected for this effort, the Secretary of the Air Force is directed to coordinate with industry partners to conduct an Integrated Baseline Review, and to include a summary of the findings to the congressional defense committees during a subsequent quarterly review. The Committee intent is that this review can be conducted in parallel with continued development efforts, and notes that such a review shall not affect incremental progress across the

The fiscal year 2025 President's budget request includes \$3,721,024,000 in Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Air Force to continue Engineering Manufacturing Development [EMD] efforts of the Sentinel program. The Committee supports this request, and understands that the program requires full funding to continue EMD efforts, and burn down risk areas identified during the program review. The Committee recommends an additional \$200,000,000 for Sentinel industrial base risk reduction and prototyping to keep the supply base healthy and in a position to support the increasing demands of the program. This funding may be used to strengthen Sentinel program key suppliers, improve supplier efficiency, develop radiation-hardened components for strategic applications, certify metal-oxide-semiconductor field-effect transistors, and accelerate workforce development and collaboration with trade

schools.

Consistent with direction included in the Joint Explanatory Statement accompanying the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2024 (Public Law 118–47), the Committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to provide quarterly reports to the congressional defense committees beginning on the first day of the fiscal year quarter following the date of enactment of this act until the first day of the fiscal year quarter after all such funds have been obligated and expended. The report shall include for each obligation and expenditure of this recommended funding increase: performers, location, description of the work performed, obligation date and amount, expenditure date and amount, original contract amount, description of any shortfalls, actions to be undertaken, desired end state, usable items to be procured, level of effort to be performed, period of performance, additional funding amount provided as applicable, and projected associated savings as applicable.

Additionally, the Committee notes the quarterly metrics provided by the Air Force, as directed in House Report 117–88, and heavily relies on the information contained to track cost, schedule, and performance, software development, progress on efforts to recapitalize launch facilities, launch control centers, and other supporting infrastructure, and assess technical risk. In addition to this information, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to also pro-

vide the following information on a quarterly basis: actual and planned government and contractor staffing, schedule summary scorecards to highlight the percentage of progress made through completion of major tasks, construction quarterly progress, a component development diagram that shows the status of critical components in relation to schedule need, overall prime workload curves to illuminate competing staffing demands, and critical supplier

rankings.

Sentinel Local Workforce.—The Committee notes the importance of ensuring the Sentinel program has access to the skilled labor force necessary to complete construction of this critical project without additional delay. The Committee encourages the Secretary of the Air Force, through the Program Executive Officer position for Intercontinental Ballistic Missiles, and industry partners, to proactively engage with local labor unions to identify and secure the necessary high-skilled local workforce within the States where Sentinel program construction will occur. This should include the establishment of a roadmap that identifies workforce needs by size, trades, and schedule to ensure timely completion of the Sentinel projects. The Committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to provide an update to the congressional defense committees, not later than April 30, 2025, on these engagement efforts, including

any progress or resulting agreements.

Additionally, to reduce the risk of potential workforce shortages and ensure timely completion of this project, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to establish a pilot program for local workforce development to support the Sentinel program. The Secretary of the Air Force is encouraged to collaborate with the Sentinel program's industry partners, local educational institutions, and labor unions in the States where construction will occur to develop targeted training programs, apprenticeship programs, and career pathways aligned with the Sentinel program's workforce needs. The pilot program should focus on identified critical skill gaps and workforce needs specific to the Sentinel program, tailored training and certification programs, apprenticeship and on-the-job training opportunities, and recruiting and retaining skilled workers in the local communities proximate to Sentinel program sites. Therefore, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to submit a report to the congressional defense committees, not later than April 30, 2025, on the pilot program's progress, critical skill gaps identified in States where construction will occur, and the Air Force's plan to remediate such gaps.

Future Wireless Technology.—The Committee encourages the Assistant Secretary of the Air Force (Acquisition, Technology and Logistics) to conduct research activities critical to understanding, developing, and deploying high frequency wireless systems for the

Department of Defense.

Carbon-Carbon Composite Material Development.—The Committee supports cooperative efforts between the Air Force Research Laboratory and academia to work on the next generation of carbon-carbon control of the control of the carbon carb

carbon composite materials.

Secure Bitstream Production.—The Committee encourages the Assistant Secretary of the Air Force (Acquisition, Technology and Logistics) to continue research in the creation of bitstream

encryption standards for the production and testing of field pro-

grammable gate arrays within the United States.

Artificial Intelligence.—The Committee notes that some available Artificial Intelligence [AI] models lack the required maturity, security, and dependability, driving the need for improved AI models. The Committee encourages the Assistant Secretary of the Air Force (Acquisition, Technology and Logistics) to develop the training, testing, and evaluation methods that are needed to utilize AI in vital national security roles.

Glass Packaging Solutions for Miniaturization.—The Committee recognizes that future electronics demands will require advances in semiconductor manufacturing, necessitating the development and use of novel materials and processes. The Committee encourages the Assistant Secretary of the Air Force (Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics) to explore the use of novel materials such as glass substrate in the manufacturing of microelectronics capable of operating at high frequencies, meeting stringent size, weight, and

power constraints, and withstanding high temperatures.

Use of Tanker Fleet as Communications Nodes.—The Committee notes the need for a robust communications network capable of leveraging all available aircraft. Further, the Committee encourages the integration of enhanced communications capabilities onto Air Force tankers. Therefore, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to provide a report to the congressional defense committees, not later than 90 days after the enactment of this act, outlining ongoing and planned efforts to integrate enhanced communications nodes on the refueling tanker fleet. This report shall include an assessment of future research required for current and future refueling tankers' use of enhanced connectivity capabilities to effectively integrate with manned fighters and Collaborative Combat Aircraft.

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, SPACE FORCE

Budget estimate, 2025	
Committee recommendation	19,773,158,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$19,773,158,000, of which \$1,030,000,000 is designated as being for an emergency requirement pursuant to section 251(b)(2)(A)(i) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985. This is \$1,073,005,000 above the budget estimate.

### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands o	f dollars]
-----------------	------------

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, SPACE FORCE			
1	BASIC RESEARCH DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES	21.349	21.349	

239

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
2	UNIVERSITY RESEARCH INITIATIVES	14,731	14,731	
	TOTAL, BASIC RESEARCH	36,080	36,080	
	APPLIED RESEARCH			
4	SPACE TECHNOLOGY	244,964	286,964	+ 42,000
	TOTAL, APPLIED RESEARCH	244,964	286,964	+ 42,000
	ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT			
5	SPACE SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY RESEARCH AND DEVEL-	405.100	477.016	. 50.75
6	OPMENT  SPACE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT/DEMO  SPACE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT/DEMO	425,166 138,270	477,916 729,974	+ 52,750 + 591,704
	(emergency)		(500,000)	(+500,000
	TOTAL, ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	563,436	1,207,890	+ 644,454
	COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT AND PROTOTYPES			
7 8 9	SPACE FORCE WEATHER SERVICES RESEARCH	867 88,610	867 88,610	
10	(SPACE) SPACE WARFIGHTING ANALYSIS	300,025 121,409	282,325 121,409	- 17,700
11	EO/IR WEATHER SYSTEMS	76,391	53,858	- 22,533
12	SPACE ACCESS, MOBILITY & LOGISTICS	20.000	24.000	+ 4,000
13 13	SPACE TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT AND PROTOTYPING SPACE TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT AND PROTOTYPING	1,701,685	2,065,685	+ 364,000
15	(emergency)SPACE SYSTEMS PROTOTYPE TRANSITIONS [SSPT]	133.739	(450,000) 115,852	( + 450,000) - 17,887
16	SPACE CONTROL TECHNOLOGY	62,195	62,195	17,007
17	TECH TRANSITION (SPACE)	228,547	228,547	
18	SPACE SECURITY AND DEFENSE PROGRAMS (SSDP)	53,199	53,199	
19	PROTECTED TACTICAL ENTERPRISE SERVICE [PTES]	79,709	77,509	- 2,20
20	PROTECTED TACTICAL SERVICE [PTS]	596,996	376,183	- 220,813
21	EVOLVED STRATEGIC SATCOM [ESS]	1,046,161	898,153	- 148,00
22 23	SPACE RAPID CAPABILITIES OFFICETACTICALLY RESPONSE SPACE	11,361 30,052	87,892 32,552	+ 76,53 + 2,500
	TOTAL, COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT AND PROTO-	4,550,946	4,568,836	+ 17,890
		1,000,010	1,000,000	1 17,000
24	SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT AND DEMONSTRATION  GPS III FOLLOW-ON [GPS IIIF]	244,752	250,754	+ 6,002
26	COUNTERSPACE SYSTEMS	37,078	28,997	- 8,08
27	WEATHER SYSTEM FOLLOW-ON	49,207	36,647	- 12,560
28	SPACE SITUATION AWARENESS SYSTEMS	483,605	415,605	- 68,000
29	ADVANCED EHF MILSATCOM (SPACE)	1,020	1,020	
32	NEXT GENERATION OPIR—GROUND	558,013	414,825	- 143,18
33	NEXT GENERATION OPIR	202,951	190,951	- 12,000
34	NEXT GENERATION OPIR—GEO NEXT GENERATION OPIR—POLAR	510,806	451,627	- 59,179
35		828,878	760,179	- 68,69
36 36A	COMMERCIAL SATCOM [COMSATCOM] INTEGRATION COMMERCIAL SERVICES	134,487	134,487 62,000	+ 62,00
37	RESILIENT MISSILE WARNING MISSILE TRACKING—LOW EARTH ORBIT [LEO]	1,730,821	1,630,821	- 100,000
38	RESILIENT MISSILE WARNING MISSILE TRACKING—MEDIUM EARTH ORBIT (MEO)	846,349	589,175	- 257,17 <sup>4</sup>
40	NATIONAL SECURITY SPACE LAUNCH PROGRAM (SPACE)— EMD	23,392	103,392	+ 80,000

240

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
40	NATIONAL SECURITY SPACE LAUNCH PROGRAM (SPACE)— EMD (emergency)		(80,000)	(+80,000)
	TOTAL, SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT AND DEMONSTRA-	5,651,359	5,070,480	- 580,879
	MANAGEMENT SUPPORT			
46 47 49 50 52	ACQ WORKFORCE—SPACE AND MISSILE SYSTEMS SPACE AND MISSILE SYSTEMS CENTER—MHA MAJOR T&E INVESTMENT—SPACE ROCKET SYSTEMS LAUNCH PROGRAM (SPACE) SPACE TEST PROGRAM [STP]	274,424 12,867 229,665 20,134 30,279	274,424 12,867 229,665 50,134 30,279	+ 30,000
	TOTAL, RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT	567,369	597,369	+ 30,000
	OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT			
55 56 57 58 59 61 62 63	FAMILY OF ADVANCED BLOS TERMINALS [FAB—T] DCO—SPACE NARROWBAND SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS SATELLITE CONTROL NETWORK (SPACE) LONG RANGE KILL CHAINS SPACE AND MISSILE TEST EVALUATION CENTER SPACE INNOVATION, INTEGRATION AND RAPID TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT SPACELIFT RANGE SYSTEM (SPACE) SPACE SUPERIORITY ISR BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE RADARS	2,607 104,088 228,435 98,572 244,121 20,844 48,900 55,906 28,227	2,607 104,088 182,454 79,572 244,121 20,844 48,900 55,906 28,227	- 45,981 - 19,000
67 68 69 70 71	NCMC TW/AA SYSTEM	12,024 25,656 83,426 120,160	18,024 25,656 83,426 135,160	+ 6,00 
75 76	SEGMENT ENTERPRISE GROUND SERVICES	217,224 111,284 6.937	273,224 	+ 56,000 - 111,284
999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	5,520,323	6,560,728	+ 1,040,40
	TOTAL, OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	6,928,734	7,869,874	+ 941,14
77	SPACE DOMAIN AWARENESS/PLANNING/TASKING SW	157,265	135,665	- 21,60
	TOTAL, RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVAL- UATION, SPACE FORCE	18,700,153	19,773,158	+ 1,073,00
	TOTAL, RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVAL- UATION, SPACE FORCE (emergency)		(1,030,000)	(+1,030,000

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

# The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
4	Space TechnologyProgram increase: Advanced ground-based cislunar	244,964	286,964	+ 42,000
	space domain awarenessProgram increase: Connecting space and UAS tech-			+ 1,500
	nology			+ 4.000

241

ne	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimat
	Program increase: Docking technologies for unstable objects			+ 10,00
	Program increase: Lunar surface space domain awareness			+ 3,00
	Program increase: Optical Interferometer			+ 4,00
	Program increase: Space modeling, simulation, and analysis hub			+ 7,00
	Program increase: Space qualified solar cell manufac- turing			+ 4,00
	Program increase: Space threat attribution and recov-			
	ery			+ 3,0
	ment enterprise			+ 2,0
5	eningSpace Science and Technology Research and Development	425,166	477,916	+ 3,5 + 52,7
J	Program increase: Defense of LEO	423,100	4/7,310	+ 11,2
	Program increase: Defense-in-depth for spacecraft cy- bersecurity			+ 3,0
	Program increase: LEO VHF augmentation			+ 32,5
•	Program increase: PWSA integrated targeting solution		700.074	+ 6,0
6	Space Advanced Technology Development/Demo Transfer from RDT&E, AF line 15 for space unique	138,270	729,974	+ 591,7
	S&T Program increase: LADAR for early threat detection			+ 58,2 + 12,5
	Program increase: Modular multi-mode propulsion system			+ 3,0
	Program increase: Nuclear propulsion technologies for			
	cislunar flight Program increase: VLEO spacecraft			+ 15,0 + 3,0
	Program increase: Nuclear electric propulsion (emergency)			+ 500,0
9	NAVSTAR Global Positioning System (User Equipment)			1 300,0
	(SPACE)	300,025	282,325	- 17,7
11	MGUE Inc 2 award fee ahead of need	76 201	020 02	- 17,7
11	EO/IR Weather SystemsPhase II demo 2 savings	76,391	53,858	- 22,5 - 22,5
12	Space Access, Mobility & Logistics (SAML)	20,000	24,000	+ 4,0
	Program increase: Small autonomous on-orbit serv-			
12	icing	1 701 005	2 005 005	+ 4,0
13	Space Technology Development and Prototyping	1,701,685	2,065,685	+ 364,0 - 100,0
	Program increase: Transport layer software architec-			100,0
	ture			+ 4,0
	Program increase: Ground entry point acceleration			+ 10,0
	Program increase: Fire control acceleration (emer- gency)			+ 450,0
15	Space Systems Prototype Transitions [SSPT]	133,739	115,852	- 17,8
	S2S space terminal down select excess to need			- 10,3
10	S2S SDN C2 excess to need	70.700	77.500	- 7,5
19	Protected Tactical Enterprise Service [PTES]	79,709	77,509	- 2,2 - 2,2
20	Protected Tactical Service [PTS]	596,996	376,183	-220,8
	PTS-R EMD delay			- 46,2
	Overestimation of PTS-P contracts			- 27,5
	shortfalls			- 55,0
	GPS			-40,0
	PTS-G excess to need			- 52,0
21	Evolved Strategic SATCOM [ESS]	1,046,161	898,153	- 148,0
	GRIFFON and crypto carryover Overestimation of advisory and assistance services			- 24,3 - 23,6
	Reprice EMD award based on planned execution			- 23,0 - 90,0

242

ne	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change fron budget estima
	Study excess			-10,0
22	Space Rapid Capabilities Office	11,361	87,892	+ 76,5
	Space Force requested realignment from line 75			+ 69,0
	Program increase: Deployable SCN ground system			·
00	fielding			+ 7,5
23	Tactically Responsive Space	30,052	32,552	+ 2,5
0.4	Program increase: Orbital pre-positioned TacRS	044.750	050.754	+ 2,5
24	GPS III Follow-On [GPS IIIF]	244,752	250,754	+ 6,0 - 24,4
	Enterprise integration overestimation			- 24,4 - 9,5
	Space Force requested realignment from line 20 for			3,5
	R-GPS			+ 40,0
26	Counterspace Systems	37.078	28,997	-8,0
	CETIP delay		,	- 8,0
27	Weather System Follow-on	49,207	36,647	- 12,5
	SV 2 excess to need		,	-12.5
28	Space Situation Awareness Systems	483,605	415,605	- 68,0
	DARC site 2 award delay/descope			- 60,0
	Space based advisory and assistance services over-			
	estimation			- 8,0
32	Next-Gen OPIR—Ground	558,013	414,825	- 143,1
	FC2 MUS development excess to need			-20,0
	Overestimation of MDP expenditures			<b>–</b> 55,4
	Overestimation of Next Gen Transition expenditures			- 67,7
33	Next Generation OPIR	202,951	190,951	- 12,0
	Data exploitation carryover			- 10,0
	Intelligent tasking award delay		451.007	- 2,0
34	Next-Gen OPIR—GEO	510,806	451,627	- 59,1
	ECO carryover			- 27,1
	Schedule incentive ahead of need			- 6,1
35	Mission payload termination	828.878	760 170	- 25,9
33	Next-Gen OPIR—Polar Launch support ahead of need	020,070	760,179	- 68,6 - 13,6
	Incentive fee ahead of need			- 55,0
36A	Commercial Services		62,000	+ 62,0
00/1	Program increase: Commercial Augmentation Space		02,000	1 02,0
	Reserve			+ 7,0
	Program increase: Commercial Positioning, Navigation			,
	and Timing			+ 7,0
	Program increase: Commercial Space-Based Environ-			
	mental Monitoring			+ 8,0
	Program increase: Commercial Surveillance, Recon-			
	naissance and Tracking			+ 40,0
37	Resilient Missile Warning Missile Tracking—Low Earth	1 700 001	1 000 001	1000
	Orbit [LEO]	1,730,821	1,630,821	- 100,0
20	Management reserve reduction			- 100,0
38	Resilient Missile Warning Missile Tracking—Medium Earth	040 240	F00 17F	057.1
	Orbit (MEO)	846,349	589,175	- 257,1
	Epoch 1 vendor 1 termination			- 125,0
	Epoch 1 vendor 2 contract savings Epoch 2 ground forward financed			— 47,0 — 60,0
	Management services excess to need			- 00,0 - 25.1
40	National Security Space Launch Program (SPACE)—EMD	23.392	103.392	+ 80,0
+∪	Program increase: Payload processing facility (emer-	20,002	100,032	
	gency)			+ 80,0
50	Rocket Systems Launch Program (SPACE)	20,134	50,134	+ 30,0
	Program increase: Additional test range capability			+ 5,0
	Program increase: State space launch range services			. 05 /
E 7	and capabilities	220 425	102 454	+ 25,0
57	Narrowband Satellite Communications	228,435	182,454	- 45,9
ΕO	SLE ground segment excess to need	09 572	70 572	- 45,9 10.0
58	Satellite Control Network (SPACE)	98,572	79,572	- 19,0 8,0
	ERM delay			- 8,0

243

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
67	Ballistic Missile Defense RadarsProgram increase: PARCS	12,024	18,024	+ 6,000 + 6,000
70	Space Situation Awareness Operations	120,160	135,160	+ 15,000
	Program increase: Al and autonomy for data analytics			
	and sensors			+ 7,500
	Program increase: COTS sensor network			+ 2,500
	Program increase: Unified data library			+ 5,000
71	Global Positioning System III—Operational Control Segment	217,224	273,224	+ 56,000
	Space Force requested realignment from line 70 for	,	,	· · · · ·
	OCX shortfalls			+ 55,000
	Program increase: Al satellite health monitoring			+1,000
75	Enterprise Ground Services	111.284		-111,284
, ,	Acquisition strategy change	111,20		- 22.253
	Pre-ops support excess to need			- 20.000
	Space Force requested realignment to line 22			- 69.031
000		F F00 202	C FCO 700	,
999	Classified Programs	5,520,323	6,560,728	+ 1,040,405
	Classified adjustment			+ 1,040,405
77	Space Domain Awareness/Planning/Tasking SW	157,265	135,665	-21,600
	Planning and tasking infrastructure overestimation			- 1,600
	Excess to need			- 20,000

National Space Intelligence Center.—The Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2024 (Public Law 118–47) includes a provision prohibiting the establishment of field operating agencies [FOA]. The Committee believes that such a provision unnecessarily hinders the Department from establishing the most efficient organizational structure, which has been particularly limiting as the Space Force was established.

The Committee notes that under the authority of an exception to that provision for certain intelligence agencies, in June 2024, the Space Force notified the congressional defense and intelligence committees of its intent to realign the National Space Intelligence Center [NSIC] from a Space Delta to a Field Operating Agency to better "meet their responsibilities to both national and United States Space Force intelligence efforts." The Committee understands that the Enterprise Talent Management Office and the Space Warfare Analysis Center are unable to exercise that same waiver to transition to FOAs. Therefore, the Committee does not recommend including the provision in the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2025. The Deputy Chief of Space Operations, Strategy, Plans, Programs, and Requirements is directed to provide the congressional defense committees, no later than September 30, 2024, a briefing detailing the operational impact of this limitation on national security.

Commercial Satellite Testbed.—The Committee recognizes the Department of Defense's reliance on commercial satellite services. The wars in Ukraine and Israel have demonstrated that when a commercial asset is known to support a defense mission, it also becomes a target. Our adversaries understand the criticality of space assets as part of the National and tactical command-and-control system and will target the space vehicles and ground networks that support those constellations. While there are ongoing efforts to secure government systems, the Committee has not found similar efforts focused on defending commercial satellite systems that support national security missions. To assure our National security,

the United States must provide a holistic solution to detect, deter, and defend these mission essential systems, particularly from cyber-attacks. Therefore, the Committee encourages the Director, Space Systems Command to develop a commercial satellite testbed that supports our National security by developing advanced cyber assurance protocols for commercial systems and that can provide critical insights into defending commercial assets and providing re-

silience for continued operation.

Automated Commercial Non-Earth Imaging.—The Committee sees continued value in leveraging commercial capabilities that enhance our domestic competitive advantage and simultaneously better support the needs of our partners and allies. One of these emerging capabilities is space-based commercial non-Earth imaging [NEI]. As with other commercial space technologies, space-based commercial NEI is a transformative technology poised to greatly enhance space domain awareness for the Department of Defense and its allies. As this capability continues to advance, the Committee encourages the Commander, Space Systems Command to assess the viability of augmenting organic space domain awareness capabilities with commercial services.

Competitive Procurement of Space Systems.—The Committee supports open competition and competitive design, development, and production of systems to ensure that the Department is getting the right system at the right price. The Committee notes that several recent acquisitions by the National Reconnaissance Office within the Geospatial Intelligence portfolio have or plan to use utilize noncompetitive sole-source procurements on contracts that are non-severable, and hamper the oversight abilities over appropriated funds by the congressional defense committees. The Committee has concerns that these sole-source decisions are frequently following the initiation of prototyping efforts that are not sufficiently representative of the final systems awarded. In contrast, the Committee notes that the Space Development Agency [SDA] utilizes an approach where iterative tranches are awarded to multiple vendors in an effort to spur competition and maintain a robust industrial base. While SDA is still early in its acquisition strategy, and long-term success is to-be determined, these two approaches differ greatly and should be understood in further detail. Therefore, the Committee includes additional reporting requirements in the classified annex accompanying this act on acquisition strategies and the adherence of the Department's Financial Management Regulations to address these issues.

Pilot Program for Multilevel Security.—The Committee recognizes the growing number of organic and commercial terrestrial and on-orbit space sensing capabilities across a multitude of mission areas. The integration of increasing numbers of commercial data-sets, disparate classifications of organic data, and the growing complexity of cybersecurity threats demands innovative security measures, to include data tagging at all classification levels. The Committee believes a strategy is required to increase data integration from multiple sources at differing classification levels, thus allowing seamless integration into critical command and control systems. Therefore, the Committee encourages the Assistant Secretary of the Air Force, Space Acquisition and Integration, in coordination

with the Chief of Space Operations, to implement a pilot program that employs multilevel security solutions for space operations to improve data availability for the warfighter while increasing assured access to information across classification levels.

Commercial Services.—The Committee commends and supports the United States Space Force's commitment to a new acquisition model that follows an "exploit, buy, build" approach. In many instances, the Space Force is looking for rapid capability that already exists either in commercial space or adjacent industries and can be adapted into the current warfighting architecture. While the stated goals are admirable, the Committee is concerned that the relevant level of investment outside of traditional acquisition programs is minimal at best. Therefore, the Committee recommends an additional \$22,000,000 to support the acquisition of commercial services to augment the position, navigation and timing mission, space-based environmental monitoring mission, and the Commercial Augmentation Space Reserve. Further, the Committee encourages the Secretary of the Air Force to increase investment in commercial augmentation.

Commercial Surveillance, Reconnaissance, and Tracking Services.—The Committee notes the growing marketplace for commercial service offerings in the world of electro-optical/infrared, synthetic aperture radar, and hyperspectral imaging capabilities. In addition, commercial constellations exist that provide radio frequency and light detection and radar sensing. The Committee notes that, with the increasing focus on peer competition in contested environments, the Department of Defense intends to rely on new space capabilities that can fulfill traditional title 10 intelligence, surveillance, reconnaissance, and target tracking missions as a replacement for existing airborne assets. Further, the Committee notes that proliferation of space capabilities continues to be the Air Force's primary rationale for divestiture of the Joint Surveillance and Target Attack Radar System, RQ-4, and other sensing aircraft performing title 10 military service missions with service resourcing while operating under title 10 prioritized tasking.

The Committee acknowledges that Department of Defense Directive 5105.60, published in July 2009, which designates the National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency as the Department's principal advisor for geospatial intelligence [GEOINT]. However, the Committee believes that mission sets should drive prioritization and planning, and that Department of Defense Directive 5105.60 does not preclude other agencies from acquiring those capabilities, nor does it supersede the authority provided to the Secretary of the Air Force to man, train, and equip Air Force and Space Force personnel in accordance with title 10, United States Code, Section 9013(b). Further, the Committee notes that for tactical mission sets, receiving data in a relevant timeline is essential to the success of a mission. The Committee is concerned that the current tasking prioritization, while possibly suitable for our most important and challenging national intelligence requirements, may not be adequately responsive to Combatant Commander needs at the operational level. Therefore, the Committee recommends an additional \$40,000,000 for Space Systems Command's Commercial Space Office to continue its

pilot of a Combatant Command-direct tasking initiative for these

commercial space services.

Further, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the congressional defense committees and congressional intelligence committees, not later than 90 days following enactment of this act, through an independent assessment by a federally-funded research and development center of the timeliness and efficacy of the current prioritization process for GEOINT requirements within the Department. The report shall include, but not be limited to: assessments of: the current prioritization process to include submission process, validation process, and execution process, frequency of requirements updates; timeliness of the current process to respond to emerging needs; and an independent assessment of GEOINT requirements both filled and unfilled at each of the geographic combatant commands with separate and independent assessments for J2 intelligence requirements and J3 operational requirements; and recommendations for improvement, if any. The report may be submitted in a classified format, but shall be accom-

panied by an unclassified summary of the findings.

Remote Sensing Classification.—The Committee notes that the Department of Defense and the Intelligence Community are partnering on new space sensing capabilities for radar, electro-optical, and moving target indication capabilities. This new architecture has been dubbed the High Capacity Find, Fix, Track, Target, Engage, and Assess Constellation [HCF]. Further, the Committee understands that the Office of the Director of National Intelligence [ODNI] and the Office of the Undersecretary of Defense (Intelligence and Security) [USD (I&S)] recently led a process to determine the classification levels of data from the HCF, most notably proposing to mark certain data unclassified, despite its origin from traditionally classified government intelligence collection systems.

The Committee is concerned that unless DOD and the IC also plan to rely upon the robust U.S. commercial remote sensing industry that already exists and which inherently provides unclassified, shareable collection, the current approach to the HCF architecture may have unintended negative consequences to the United States'

commercial sensing industrial base.

The Committee notes that United States' commercial remote sensing policy as mandated in National Security Presidential Directive 27 has a Stated goal to "advance and protect our National security and foreign policy interests by maintaining the Nation's leadership in remote sensing space activities, and by sustaining and enhancing the U.S. remote sensing industry." Further, the policy States that the United States Government will "rely to the maximum practical extent on U.S. commercial remote sensing space capabilities for filling imagery and geospatial needs for military, intelligence, foreign policy, homeland security, and civil users." Further, the Committee notes that the Department of Defense's Commercial Space Integration Strategy, released in 2024, adopts three categories for organizing the integration of commercial space solutions, one of which is a hybrid government/commercial solution. In mission sets aligned to this category, including the Intelligence, Surveillance, and Reconnaissance mission area, the strategy States that the "commercial sector is well suited to per-

form functions within these mission areas". To continue to enhance the strength of the commercial remote sensing industrial base and our Nation's ability to be the premier global provider of these services, it is imperative that government programs integrate these ca-

pabilities.

Therefore, the Committee directs the Comptroller General to conduct an analysis of the government's space-based GEOINT architecture including the HCF constellation and commercial augmentation. Not later than 90 days following enactment of this act, the Comptroller general shall submit an interim report to the congressional defense committees and the congressional intelligence committees that characterizes the following: the current and planned systems within the HCF; a summary of the acquisition and contracting strategies planned for each; the requirements driving the development of each system citing the relevant documentation; a capabilities description of each system; a life-cycle cost assessment of each system currently operational or in development; a comparison of current and future HCF requirements against those of current operational and developmental commercial space solutions; and an assessment of the annual commercial services providing HCF-like capability; Not later than 180 days following the enactment of this act, the Comptroller General shall submit its final report to the congressional defense committees and the congressional intelligence committees. The report may be submitted in a classified format, but shall be accompanied by an unclassified summary of the findings

Cislunar.—The Committee notes that the National Cislunar Science and Technology Strategy released in November 2022 outlines four National objectives for cislunar space. The Department of Defense is co-lead on one of the four objectives that aims to extend space situational awareness capabilities into cislunar space. While the Committee recognizes that there have been some investments in this area, mainly space domain awareness and novel propulsion technologies, these efforts have largely been funded through congressional increases. The Committee notes that in the fiscal year 2023 Cislunar Space Acquisition Report submitted by the Department of the Air Force, the Fiscal Year 2024 Future Years Defense Program budget was listed at \$132,100,000 for seven distinct projects, all of which were for early developmental or pathfinder projects, with no plans for sustained operational capability, or technological breakthroughs to enhance the Department's ability to operate on the lunar surface, or cislunar and deep space. Therefore, the Committee once again encourages the Secretary of the Air Force to increase investment in cislunar activities.

Further, the Committee recognizes that traditional solar array technologies for space vehicle power generation provide limited power sourcing, degrade over time, and can increase radar signature. The Committee notes that nuclear electric propulsion technology using a near-term fission system has the potential to increase the lifespan, range, and communications capabilities of space vehicles enabling the Space Force to develop new space architectures, as well as modified tactics, techniques, and procedures for operation within existing architectures. Therefore, the Committee encourages the Assistant Secretary for Space Acquisition and Inte-

gration to increase its investment in the maturation of nuclear propulsion technologies. The Committee recommends an additional \$515,000,000 in base and emergency resources to accelerate development of space nuclear propulsion technologies in an effort to provide our Nation with a reliable energy source for spacecraft that is essential for long-term, sustained operations in all orbits, including

cislunar space and beyond.

Space Training and Readiness Command.—The Committee commends the decision by the Secretary of the Air Force to approve the final basing decision for Space Training and Readiness Command [STARCOM]. As the U.S. Space Force begins to move facilities and other capabilities to STARCOM's permanent headquarters, the Secretary of the Air Force is encouraged to take advantage of various Federal, State, and local resources, including partnerships with academia and public-private partners to expedite and support various requirements.

#### RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, DEFENSE-WIDE

Budget estimate, 2025	\$35,227,834,000
Committee recommendation	36.946.466.000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$36,946,466,000, of which \$1,223,825,000 is designated as being for an emergency requirement pursuant to section 251(b)(2)(A)(i) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985. This is \$1,718,632,000 above the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVALUATION, DEFENSE- WIDE			
	BASIC RESEARCH			
1	DTRA UNIVERSITY STRATEGIC PARTNERSHIP BASIC RE- SEARCH	15,311	19,811	+ 4,500
2	DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES	303,830	13,611	- 303,830
3	HIGH ENERGY LASER RESEARCH INITIATIVES	16,518	16,518	
4	BASIC RESEARCH INITIATIVES	77,132	107,132	+ 30,000
5	BASIC OPERATIONAL MEDICAL RESEARCH SCIENCE	99,048		- 99,048
6	NATIONAL DEFENSE EDUCATION PROGRAM	169,986	179,986	
7	HISTORICALLY BLACK COLLEGES AND UNIV [HBCU]			+ 2,500
8	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM	37,812	37,812	. 070 040
A8	EMERGING OPPORTUNITIES		372,640	+ 372,640
	TOTAL, BASIC RESEARCH	819,429	836,191	+ 16,762
	APPLIED RESEARCH			-
9	JOINT MUNITIONS TECHNOLOGY	19,373	19,373	
10	BIOMEDICAL TECHNOLOGY	169,198		-169,198
11	PROMOTION AND PROTECTION STRATEGIES	3,191	3,191	
12	DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY INNOVATION	38,515	20,022	- 18,493
13	LINCOLN LABORATORY RESEARCH PROGRAM	47,528	47,528	
14	APPLIED RESEARCH FOR ADVANCEMENT S&T PRIORITIES	51,555	51,555	l

249

ine	Item	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimat
15	INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGY	397,266		- 397,26
17	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM	224,777		
18			224,777	
20	CYBER SECURITY RESEARCHSOCIAL SCIENCES FOR ENVIRONMENTAL SECURITY	17,652	31,652 5,456	+ 14,00
21		5,456 117.935	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	— 117.93
	TACTICAL TECHNOLOGY	,		
22	MATERIALS AND BIOLOGICAL TECHNOLOGY	337,772		- 337,77
23	ELECTRONICS TECHNOLOGY	573,265		- 573,26
24	COUNTER WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION DEFEAT TECH- NOLOGIES	174,955	170,615	- 4,34
25	SOFTWARE ENGINEERING INSTITUTE [SEI] APPLIED RE-	11 210	11 210	
0.0	SEARCH	11,310	11,310	
26	HIGH ENERGY LASER RESEARCH	48,640	48,640	
27	FSRM MODELLING	1,897	1,897	. 10 11
28	SOF TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	50,183	60,293	+ 10,11
28A	ACCESS AND AWARENESS		412,540	+ 412,54
28B	KINETIC AND NON-KINETIC DELIVERY		260,526	+ 260,52
28C	MAKING, MAINTAINING, SUPPLY CHAIN AND LOGISTICS		584,076	+ 584,07
28D	WARFIGHTING PERFORMANCE		272,691	+ 272,69
	TOTAL, APPLIED RESEARCH	2,290,468	2,226,142	- 64,32
	ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT			
29	JOINT MUNITIONS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	41,072	37,715	- 3,35
30	NATIONAL SECURITY INNOVATION CAPITAL	14,983	19,983	+ 5,00
31	SO/LIC ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT	5,176	5,176	
32	COMBATING TERRORISM TECHNOLOGY SUPPORT	76,639	233,639	+ 157,00
33	FOREIGN COMPARATIVE TESTING	30,007	30,007	
34 35	MISSION ENGINEERING & INTEGRATION (ME&I) COUNTER WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION ADVANCED	110,628	72,029	- 38,5
34	TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENTADVANCED CONCEPTS AND PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT	418,044	410,112	<b>- 7,9</b> 3
37	ADVANCED CONCEPTS AND PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT	17,920	27,920	+ 10,00
38	ADVANCED RESEARCH	19,354	24,854	+ 5,50
39	JOINT HYPERSONIC TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT AND TRAN-			
	SITION	51,941	56,941	+ 5,0
40	JOINT DOD-DOE MUNITIONS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	19,826	19,826	
39	INTELLIGENCE ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT			
42	ADVANCED AEROSPACE SYSTEMS	269,700		- 269,7
43	SPACE PROGRAMS AND TECHNOLOGY	225,457		- 225,4
44	ANALYTIC ASSESSMENTS	30,594	33,020	+ 2,4
45	ADVANCED INNOVATIVE ANALYSIS AND CONCEPTS	56,390	61,390	+ 5,00
46	QUANTUM APPLICATION	69,290	20,420	- 48,8
47	DEFENSE INNOVATION UNIT	109,614	123,614	+ 14,0
48	TECHNOLOGY INNOVATION	74,549	38,732	- 35,8
49	ADVANCED TECHNICAL INTEGRATION	26,053	26,053	
50	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM—AD-			
	VANCED DEV	230,051	236,051	+ 6,0
50	RETRACT LARCH	200,001	200,001	. 0,0
52	JOINT ELECTRONIC ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	20,188	17,177	-3,0
53	NETWORKED COMMUNICATIONS CAPABILITIES	5,234	5,234	
55	DEFENSE—WIDE MANUFACTURING SCIENCE AND TECH- NOLOGY PROG	190,557	425,057	+ 234,5
56	MANUFACTURING TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM	55,366	109,866	+ 54,5
57	GENERIC LOGISTICS R&D TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATIONS	18,543	18,543	+ 34,3
58		58,838	61,338	
59	STRATEGIC ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH PROGRAM			+ 2,5
	PORT	137,246	137,246	
60	JOINT WARFIGHTING PROGRAM	2,684	2,684	
	ADVANCED ELECTRONICS TECHNOLOGIES	257,844		- 257,8
61	COMMAND, CONTROL AND COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS			- 336,5

250

ine	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimat
63	NETWORK—CENTRIC WARFARE TECHNOLOGY	886,511		- 886,51
64	SENSOR TECHNOLOGY	267,961	10,000	- 267,9
66	SOFTWARE ENGINEERING INSTITUTE	16,982	16,982	
67	DEFENSE INNOVATION ACCELERATION	165,798	165,798	
68	HIGH ENERGY LASER ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM	110,367	115,367	+ 5,0
69	TEST AND EVALUATION SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY	268,722	357,222	+ 88,5
70	INTERNATIONAL INNOVATION INITIATIVES	125,680	15,390	-110,2
69	AUKUS INNOVATION INITIATIVES			
71	NATIONAL SECURITY INNOVATION NETWORK	21,322	21,322	
72	OPERATIONAL ENERGY CAPABILITY IMPROVEMENT	167,279	169,279	+ 2,0
73A	CONSTRUCTIVE MODELING AND SIMULATION		45,610	+ 45,6
74	SOF ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	197,767	182,767	- 15,0
74A	ADVANCED AEROSPACE AND SPACE SYSTEMS		482,850	+ 482,8
74B	ADVANCED ELECTRONICS AND CYBER TECHNOLOGY DEVEL- OPMENT		325,806	+ 325,8
74C	DARPA ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT		2,004,385	+ 2,004,3
74C	DARPA ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT (emergency)		(875,000)	(+875,00
	TOTAL, ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	5,208,719	6,157,405	+ 948,68
	ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT AND PROTOTYPES			
75	NUCLEAR AND CONVENTIONAL PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIP-			
75	MENT	63,162	60,711	- 2,4
76	WALKOFF	149,704	149.704	2,4
77	ENVIRONMENTAL SECURITY TECHNICAL CERTIFICATION PRO-	143,704	143,704	
11		120 512	102.012	. 20 5
70	GRAM	136,513	163,013	+ 26,5
78 79	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TERMINAL DEFENSE SEGMENT BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE MIDCOURSE DEFENSE SEG-	367,279	278,346	- 88,9
	MENT	768,227	768,227	
80	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM	304,374	290,064	- 14,3
81	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE SENSORS	209,002	209,002	
82	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE ENABLING PROGRAMS	609,406	602,314	<b>-7,0</b>
83	SPECIAL PROGRAMS—MDA	495,570	495,570	
84	AEGIS BMD	649,255	738,455	+ 89,2
84	AEGIS BMD (emergency)		(89,200)	(+89,20
85	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE COMMAND AND CONTROL, BAT-		(00,200)	(100,20
00	TLE MANAGEMENT	569,662	539,940	<b>– 29,7</b>
86	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE JOINT WARFIGHTER SUPPORT	47,723	47,723	23,7
87	MISSILE DEFENSE INTEGRATION AND OPERATIONS CENTER	47,723	47,723	
07		E4 E0E	E4 E0E	1
90	[MDIOC]	54,525	54,525	
88	REGARDING TRENCH	27,900	27,900	
89	SEA BASED X—BAND RADAR [SBX]	197,339	197,339	
90	ISRAELI COOPERATIVE PROGRAMS	300,000	300,000	
91	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TEST	367,491	356,884	-10,6
92	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TARGETS	604,708	624,108	+ 19,4
92	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TARGETS (emergency)		(14,400)	(+14,40
93 94	COALITION WARFARENEXT GENERATION INFORMATION COMMUNICATIONS TECH-	9,890	9,890	
J4	NOLOGY (5G)	139,427	50,936	- 88,4
94A	5G CROSS FUNCTIONAL TEAM		1,500	+ 1,5
95	DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE CORROSION PROGRAM	2,637	7,137	+ 4,5
96	GUAM DEFENSE DEVELOPMENT	415,794	471,754	+ 55,9
96	GUAM DEFENSE DEVELOPMENT (emergency)	413,734	(76,500)	(+76,50
97	TECHNOLOGY MATURATION INITIATIVES		2,500	+ 2,5
97	CHIEF DIGITAL AND ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE OFFICER (CDAO)—MIP		2,300	+ 2,3
99	ADVANCED MANUFACTURING COMPONENTS AND PROTO-			
	TYPES	16,776	31,776	+ 15,0
100	HYPERSONIC DEFENSE	182,283	182,283	
100		,		
100	ADVANCED INNOVATIVE TECHNOLOGIES	994,226	851,631	-142.5

251

RAPID PROTOTYPING PROGRAM   152,126   90,854		[In thousands of dollars]			
105	Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
104   RAPID PROTOTYPING PROGRAM   7,710   7,710   DEFENSE INNOVATION UNIT [DIU] PROTOTYPING   7,710   DEFENSE INNOVATION UNIT [DIU] PROTOTYPING   7,475   7,	102	DADID DDOTOTYDING DDOCDAM	152 126	00.004	- 61,272
DEFENSE INNOVATION UNIT [DIU] PROTOTYPING					
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE (DOD) UNMANNED SYSTEM COM-   MON DEVELOPMENT			7,710	7,710	
MON DEVELOPMENT	105	DEFENSE INNOVATION UNIT [DIO] PROTOTYPING			
107   CATAPULT	106				
OPERATIONAL ENERGY CAPABILITY IMPROVEMENT—NON SRT   S3,705   G1,705   S1,705   G1,705   S1,705   G1,705   S1,705   G1,705   S1,705   G1,705   S1,705   G1,705   G1,		MON DEVELOPMENT	2,527	9,527	+ 7,000
S&T	107		7,475	7,475	
110   WARCAMING AND SUPPORT FOR STRATEGIC ANALYSIS [SSA]   3,559   3,559   112   24   25   25   25   25   25   25   2	108	OPERATIONAL ENERGY CAPABILITY IMPROVEMENT—NON			
DEFENSE RAPID INNOVATION PROGRAM			53,705		+ 8,000
112   RAPID DEFENSE EXPERIMENTATION RESERVE [RDER]			3,559	3,559	
MULTI-DOMAIN JOINT OPERATIONS (MDIO)   11,383					-10,020
114   JOINT CS CAPABILITY DEVELOPMENT, INTEGRATION AND INTEROPERABILITY   10,082   100,822   100,822   1			53,149	23,750	- 29,399
INTEROPERABILITY	113		11,383		- 11,383
100   100	114	JOINT C5 CAPABILITY DEVELOPMENT, INTEGRATION AND			
IMPROVED HOMELAND DEFENSE INTERCEPTORS   1,697,121		INTEROPERABILITY	29,706	29,706	
BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TERMINAL DEFENSE SEGMENT TEST	115	LONG RANGE DISCRIMINATION RADAR	100,882	100,882	
TEST	116	IMPROVED HOMELAND DEFENSE INTERCEPTORS	1,697,121	1,697,121	
118   AEGIS BMD TEST (emergency)	117	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TERMINAL DEFENSE SEGMENT			
AEGIS BMD TEST (emergency)		TEST	25,673	25,673	
BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE SENSOR TEST	118	AEGIS BMD TEST	135,019	116,530	-18,489
120	118	AEGIS BMD TEST (emergency)		(1,200)	(+1,200)
120	119	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE SENSOR TEST	96,864	96,864	
BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE MIDCOURSE DEFENSE SEGMENT TEST	120		22,220	22,220	
MENT TEST			,	,	
HIGH ENERGY LASER ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT & PROTOTYPE			40.006	40.006	
SAFETY PROGRAM MANAGEMENT   1,771   1,781   1,831	122		,	,	
1.771			2 931	2 931	
124   CYBERCOM ACTIVITIES   35,700   35,700   35,700   120   ROBUST INFRASTRUCTURE AND ACCESS   158,345   135,345	123				
120   ROBUST INFRASTRUCTURE AND ACCESS     126   127   128   129					
27   ENTERPRISE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SYSTEMS			00,700	00,700	
27   ENTERPRISE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SYSTEMS	100	OVDED TRAINING ENVIRONMENT (OTE)	150.045	105.045	00.000
128   CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE   1,831   1,			,		- 23,000
129					
131   CYBER OPERATIONS FORCES AND FORCE SUPPORT					
CYBER OPERATIONS TECHNOLOGY SUPPORT   52,715   52,715			51,/84	51,/84	
132   OFFICE OF STRATEGIC CAPITAL (OSC)   132,640   35,331   119,561   119,561   119,561   119,561     119,561	125	CYBERSPACE OPERATIONS FORCES AND FORCE SUPPORT			
133   BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE SYSEM SPACE PROGRAMS   119,561   119,561	131	CYBER OPERATIONS TECHNOLOGY SUPPORT	52,715	52,715	
TOTAL, ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT AND PROTOTYPES	132	OFFICE OF STRATEGIC CAPITAL (OSC)	132,640	35,331	- 97,309
PROTOTYPES	133	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE SYSEM SPACE PROGRAMS	119,561	119,561	
PROTOTYPES		TOTAL ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT AND			
SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT AND DEMONSTRATION			11.285.067	10.853.914	- 431,153
CHIEF DIGITAL AND ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE OFFICER (CDAO)—DEM/VAL ACTIVITIES					,
CDAO    DEM/VAL ACTIVITIES		SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT AND DEMONSTRATION			
CDAO  DEM/VAL ACTIVITIES   371,833   169,988   -2	134	CHIEF DIGITAL AND ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE OFFICER			
JADC2     STATE   ST			371.833	169.988	- 201,845
135   ALPHA-1 DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES			,,,,,	,	, , , ,
136   NUCLEAR AND CONVENTIONAL PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIP-   MENT					
MENT	135	ALPHA-1 DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES	53,307	53,307	
137         CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM         270,265         253,216         —           138         JOINT TACTICAL INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM [JTIDS]         12,893         12,893	136	NUCLEAR AND CONVENTIONAL PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIP-			
137         CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM         270,265         253,216         —           138         JOINT TACTICAL INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM [JTIDS]         12,893         12,893		MENT	13,549	13,549	
	137	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM			- 17,049
	138	JOINT TACTICAL INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM [JTIDS]	12,893	12,893	
	139	COUNTER WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION SYSTEMS DE-		,	
			14,841	11,131	-3,710
	140				
					1

252

	[In thousands of dollars]			
Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
147	TRUSTED AND ASSURED MICROELECTRONICS	150,436	150,436	
148	ACQUISITION INTEGRATION AND INTEROPERABILITY (AI2)	12,804	12,804	
149	RADIOLOGICAL AND NUCLEAR DEFENSE MODERNIZATION			
	SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT AND DEMONSTRATION	3,575	3,575	
150	NUCLEAR COMMAND, CONTROL, AND COMMUNICATIONS	3,849	3,849	
151	DOD ENTERPRISE ENERGY INFORMATION MANAGEMENT	7 152	5 600	1 550
152	[EEIM] COUNTERPROLIFERATION ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT	7,152 13,151	5,600 13,151	- 1,552
147	CWMD SYSTEMS: SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT AND DEMONSTRA-	10,101	15,151	
	TION			
140	DOMESTIC PREPAREDNESS ASSAURT WEAPONS OF MASS DE			
148	DOMESTIC PREPAREDNESS AGAINST WEAPONS OF MASS DE-   STRUCTION			
	TOTAL, SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT AND DEMONSTRA-			
	TION	1,016,074	791,918	- 224,15
		,,,,,,	. ,	, .
	MANAGEMENT SUPPORT			
154	JOINT CAPABILITY EXPERIMENTATION	12,385	12,385	
155	JADC2 DEVELOPMENT AND EXPERIMENTATION ACTIVITIES	222,945	424,920	+ 201,97
155	JADC2 DEVELOPMENT AND EXPERIMENTATION ACTIVITIES		(100 700)	/ . 100 700
156	(emergency) DEFENSE READINESS REPORTING SYSTEM [DRRS]	11 /15	(122,700) 11,415	(+122,700
157	JOINT SYSTEMS ARCHITECTURE DEVELOPMENT	11,415 9,690	9,690	
158	CENTRAL TEST AND EVALUATION INVESTMENT DEVELOPMENT	782,643	710,935	- 71,70
159	ASSESSMENTS AND EVALUATIONS	1,503	1,503	71,70
160	ASSESSMENTS AND EVALUATIONS, DOD	4,253	4,253	
161	MISSION SUPPORT	113,007	127,584	+ 14,57
162	JOINT MISSION ENVIRONMENT TEST CAPABILITY [JMETC]	209,008	209,008	
163	JOINT INTEGRATED AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE ORGANIZA-			
104	TION	72,005	72,005	. 100.00
164 165	CLASSIFIED PROGRAM USD(P)	24.000	180,900	+ 180,90
166	STUDIES AND ANALYSIS SUPPORT	24,669 6,289	24,669 5,227	— 1,06
167	NUCLEAR MATTERS—PHYSICAL SECURITY	19,871	20,871	+ 1,00
168	SUPPORT TO NETWORKS AND INFORMATION INTEGRATION	8,580	8,580	1 1,00
169	GENERAL SUPPORT TO USD (INTELLIGENCE)	3,155	3,155	
170	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM	79,263	79,263	
177	CRITICAL TECHNOLOGY ANALYSIS	11,422		-11,42
178	SMALL BUSINESS INNOVATION RESEARCH [SBIR]/ SMALL			
	BUSINESS TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER	5,346	5,346	
179	MAINTAINING TECHNOLOGY ADVANTAGE	31,629	31,629	
180 181	DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY ANALYSIS    DEFENSE TECHNICAL INFORMATION CENTER [DTIC]	45,370	56,792 66,247	+ 11,42
182	R&D IN SUPPORT OF DOD ENLISTMENT, TESTING AND EVAL-	66,247	00,247	
102	UATION	26,935	28,935	+ 2,00
183	DEVELOPMENT TEST AND EVALUATION	37,233	37,233	
184	MANAGEMENT HQ—R&D	14,577		<b>— 14,57</b>
185	MANAGEMENT HQ—DEFENSE TECHNICAL INFORMATION	,		,
	CENTER [DTIC]	3,505	3,505	
186	SPECIAL ACTIVITIES	18,263	18,263	
187	BUDGET AND PROGRAM ASSESSMENTS	14,272	14,272	
188	ANALYSIS WORKING GROUP (AWG) SUPPORT	2,814	2,814	
189	CHIEF DIGITAL AND ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE OFFICER	0.000	14.700	
100	(CDAO) ACTIVITIES	9,262	14,762	+ 5,50
190 191	DEFENSE SCIENCE BOARD	3,403 6,536	3,403 4,444	— 2,09
191	AVIATION SAFETY TECHNOLOGIES	1,885	1,885	— Z,09
193	CYBER RESILIENCY AND CYBERSECURITY POLICY	40,401	46,401	+ 6,00
194	DEFENSE CIVILIAN TRAINING CORPS	27,054	27,054	
195	JOINT PRODUCTION ACCELERATOR CELL (JPAC)	5,010		- 5,01
196	MANAGEMENT, TECHNICAL AND INTERNATIONAL SUPPORT	12,115	10,039	- 2,07
	DEFENSE OPERATIONS SECURITY [DOSI]	3,151	3,151	
197	DEFENCE OF ENVIRONMENT [DOOR] INFINITION			

253

ino	He ···	2025 budget	Committee	Change from
ine	Item	estimate	recommendation	budget estima
199	C4I INTEROPERABILITY	65,144	65,144	
202	COMBINED ADVANCED APPLICATIONS			
		23,311	23,311	
204	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS	2,988	2,988	
205	JOINT STAFF OFFICE OF THE CHIEF DATA OFFICER (OCDO) ACTIVITIES	12,700	12,700	
206	COCOM EXERCISE ENGAGEMENT AND TRAINING TRANS- FORMATION	166,021	58,997	- 107,02
207	DEFENSE EQUAL OPPORTUNITY MANAGEMENT INSTITUTE [DEOMI]	315	315	
208	INTEGRATED PRIMARY PREVENTION	5,096	5,096	
209	MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS—MDA		29,033	
		29,033		
210	JOINT SERVICE PROVIDER [JSP]	2,244	2,244	
9999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	37,738	37,738	
	TOTAL, MANAGEMENT SUPPORT	2,319,134	2,527,537	+ 208,4
	OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT			
211	NEXT GENERATION INFORMATION COMMUNICATIONS TECH-			
	NOLOGY (5G)	12,424	20,024	+7,6
203	ENTERPRISE SECURITY SYSTEM [ESS]	12,724	20,024	',0
213	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL WEAPONS ELIMINATION TECH-			
210	NOLOGY IMPROVEMENT	4,254	4,254	
214	INDUSTRIAL BASE ANALYSIS AND SUSTAINMENT SUPPORT	1,099,243	1,156,243	+ 57,0
215 206	COUNTERPROLIFERATION MODERNIZATION CWMD SYSTEMS: OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	11,309	11,309	
216	GLOBAL THEATER SECURITY COOPERATION MANAGEMENT	8,654	8,654	
217	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE (OPERATIONAL SYS-			
	TEMS DEVELOPMENT)	84,098	69,032	- 15,0
218	RADIOLOGICAL AND NUCLEAR DEFENSE MODERNIZATION			
	OPERATIONAL SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT	1,668	1,668	
219	ROBUST INFRASTRUCTURE AND ACCESS	154,375	126,047	-28,3
220	CYBER COMMAND AND CONTROL (CYBER C2)	96,932	96,932	
221	DATA AND UNIFIED PLATFORM (D&UP)	106,053	87,053	- 19,0
225	DEFENSE INFO INFRASTRUCTURE ENGINEERING AND INTE-	100,000	07,000	10,0
LLU	GRATION	12,843	12,843	
226	COUNTERING THREATS AUTOMATED PLATFORM	6,057	6,057	
		· · · · · ·		
227	LONG HAUL COMMUNICATIONS [DCS]	51,214	51,214	
228	MINIMUM ESSENTIAL EMERGENCY COMMUNICATIONS NET-	4.005	4.005	
000	WORK	4,985	4,985	
230	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM	31,127	39,127	+ 8,0
232	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM	31,414	31,414	
234	DEFENSE SPECTRUM ORGANIZATION	24,991	24,991	
235	JOINT PLANNING AND EXECUTION SERVICES	3,304	3,304	
236	JOINT REGIONAL SECURITY STACKS [JRSS]	2,371	2,371	
242	DEFENSE INDUSTRIAL BASE (DIB) CYBER SECURITY INITIA-			
222	TIVEINDUSTRIAL SECURITY ACTIVITIES	15,524	15,524	
232				
248		1,800	1,800	
249	COMBINED ADVANCED APPLICATIONS	42,355	42,355	
252	POLICY R&D PROGRAMS	6,220	6,220	
253	NET CENTRICITY	20,620	20,620	
255	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS	5,854	5,854	
249	Insider threat			
263	HOMELAND DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER PROGRAM	1,867	1,867	
270	CYBER OPERATIONS TECHNOLOGY SUPPORT	479,672	425,113	- 54,5
271	NATIONAL INDUSTRIAL SECURITY SYSTEMS (NISS)	38,761	30,264	- 8,4
261	DOMESTIC PREPAREDNESS AGAINST WEAPONS OF MASS DE-		,-3.	]
201	STRUCTION			1

254

275   LOGISTICS SUPPORT ACTIVITIES   1,406   1,406	
PACIFIC DISASTER CENTERS   1,861   6,361	ge from estimate
276         PACIFIC DISASTER CENTERS         1,861         6,361           277         DEFENSE PROPERTY ACCOUNTABILITY SYSTEM         3,004         3,004           279         MQ-9 UAV         34,851         34,851           281         AVIATION SYSTEMS         263,712         231,492           282         INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT         81,648         85,347           283         OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS         206,307         239,007           283         OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS (emergency)         (10,200)         (+           284         WARRIOR SYSTEMS         245,882         297,007         -           284         WARRIOR SYSTEMS (emergency)         (34,625)         (+           285         SPECIAL PROGRAMS         539         539           286         UNMANNED ISR         31,578         24,851	
277         DEFENSE PROPERTY ACCOUNTABILITY SYSTEM         3,004         3,004           279         MQ-9 UAV         34,851         34,851           281         AVIATION SYSTEMS         263,712         231,492         -           282         INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT         81,648         85,347           283         OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS         206,307         239,007         -           283         OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS (emergency)         (10,200)         (+           284         WARRIOR SYSTEMS         245,882         297,007         -           285         SPECIAL PROGRAMS         539         539           286         UNMANNED ISR         31,578         24,851	
279     MQ-9 UAV     34,851     34,851       281     AVIATION SYSTEMS     263,712     231,492     -       282     INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT     81,648     85,347       283     OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS     206,307     239,007     -       284     WARRIOR SYSTEMS     245,882     297,007     -       284     WARRIOR SYSTEMS (emergency)     (34,625)     (+       285     SPECIAL PROGRAMS     539     539       286     UNMANNED ISR     31,578     24,851	+4,500
281       AVIATION SYSTEMS       263,712       231,492       -         282       INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT       81,648       85,347         283       OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS       206,307       239,007       -         283       OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS (emergency)       (10,200)       (+         284       WARRIOR SYSTEMS       245,882       297,007       -         284       WARRIOR SYSTEMS (emergency)       (34,625)       (+         285       SPECIAL PROGRAMS       539       539         286       UNMANNED ISR       31,578       24,851	
282       INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT       81,648       85,347         283       OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS       206,307       239,007       4         283       OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS (emergency)       (10,200)       (+         284       WARRIOR SYSTEMS       245,882       297,007       4         284       WARRIOR SYSTEMS (emergency)       (34,625)       (+         285       SPECIAL PROGRAMS       539       539         286       UNMANNED ISR       31,578       24,851	
283       OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS       206,307       239,007       4         283       OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS (emergency)       (10,200)       (+         284       WARRIOR SYSTEMS       245,882       297,007       4         284       WARRIOR SYSTEMS (emergency)       (34,625)       (+         285       SPECIAL PROGRAMS       539       539         286       UNMANNED ISR       31,578       24,851	- 32,220
283     OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS (emergency)     (10,200)     (+       284     WARRIOR SYSTEMS     245,882     297,007     -       284     WARRIOR SYSTEMS (emergency)     (34,625)     (+       285     SPECIAL PROGRAMS     539     539       286     UNMANNED ISR     31,578     24,851	+3,699
284     WARRIOR SYSTEMS     245,882     297,007        284     WARRIOR SYSTEMS (emergency)     (34,625)     (+       285     SPECIAL PROGRAMS     539     539       286     UNMANNED ISR     31,578     24,851	32,700
284       WARRIOR SYSTEMS (emergency)       (34,625)       (+         285       SPECIAL PROGRAMS       539       539         286       UNMANNED ISR       31,578       24,851	10,200)
285   SPECIAL PROGRAMS	51,125
285   SPECIAL PROGRAMS	34,625)
286 UNMANNED ISR	
287 SOF TACTICAL VEHICLES 9.025 7.025	-6.727
	-2,000
288   MARITIME SYSTEMS	-6,547
	÷ 17,000
	928,846
TOTAL, OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT 12,154,249 13,091,775 +	937,526
SOFTWARE AND DIGITAL TECHNOLOGY PILOT PROGRAMS	
292 ACQUISITION VISIBILITY—SOFTWARE PILOT PROGRAM	
	- 85,168
=	- 65,166 412.058
ADVANCING DATA ANALYTICS (ADVANA) 412,058   + 294A   DEFENSE INNOVATION UNIT [DIU] FIELDING	412,000
TOTAL, SOFTWARE AND DIGITAL TECHNOLOGY PILOT	200 200
PROGRAMS 134,694 461,584 +	326,890
TOTAL DESCADOL DEVELOPMENT TEST AND EVAL	
TOTAL, RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVAL- UATION, DEFENSE-WIDE	718,632
TOTAL, RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVAL-	
UATION, DEFENSE-WIDE (emergency)	

# COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

# The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1	DTRA Basic Research	15,311	19,811	+ 4,500
	Program increase: Materials science in extreme envi-			
	ronments			+4,500
2	Defense Research Sciences	303,830		-303,830
	Unjustified request			-10,685
	DARPA requested functional transfer to RDDW line 8A			- 293,145
4	Basic Research Initiatives	77,132	107,132	+ 30,000
	Program increase: DEPSCoR			+ 20,000
	Program increase: Hispanic serving research cohort			+ 10,000
5	Basic Operational Medical Research Science	99,048		- 99,048
	Unjustified request			- 19,553
	DARPA requested functional transfer to RDDW line 8A			− <b>79,49</b> 5
6	National Defense Education Program	169,986	179,986	+ 10,000
	Program increase: Civil society education and out-			
	reach to rural communities program			+10,000
7	Historically Black Colleges and Universities/Minority Institu-			
	tions	99,792	102,292	+ 2,500
	Program increase: Research activity status pilot pro-			
	gram	l		+ 2,500

255

	[In thousands of dollars]			
ine	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estima
8A	Emerging Opportunities		372,640	+ 372,6
	DARPA requested functional transfer from RDDW line			+ 293,1
	DARPA requested functional transfer from RDDW line			+ 79.4
10	Biomedical Technology	169,198		- 169,1
	DARPA requested functional transfer to RDDW Line			
	28D Unjustified request			- 122,8 - 46,3
12	Defense Technology Innovation	38,515	20,022	- 40,3 - 18,4
	Unjustified growth			- 18,4
15	Information & Communications Technology DARPA requested functional transfer to RDDW Line	397,266		- 397,2
	28A DARPA requested functional transfer to RDDW Line			− 291,6
	28B			- 82,2
	Unjustified request			- 23,4
18	Cyber Security Research	17,652	31,652	+ 14,0
	Program increase: Academic cyber institutes Program increase: Pacific intelligence and innovation			+ 5,0
	initiative			+ 4,0
	Program increase: University consortium for cyberse-			
21	curity Tactical Technology	117,935		+ 5,0 - 117,9
	DARPA requested functional transfer to RDDW Line	117,000		117,5
	28A			- 117,9
22	Materials and Biological Technology	337,772		- 337,7
	DARPA requested functional transfer to RDDW Line 28C			- 166,3
	DARPA requested functional transfer to RDDW Line 28D			— 149,8
	Unjustified request			- 21,5
23	Electronics Technology	573,265		- 573,2
	DARPA requested functional transfer to RDDW Line			- 56,5
	DARPA requested functional transfer to RDDW Line			,
	28C			- 417,7
	Unjustified request Effort previously funded			- 60,8 - 38,1
24	Counter Weapons of Mass Destruction Applied Research	174,955	170,615	-4,3
	Program increase: Diagnostic evaluation of transient turbulence	,	,	+ 5,0
	Prior year underexecution			- 9,3 - 9,3
28	SOF Technology Development	50,183	60,293	+ 10,1
	Program increase: Assessment of commercial systems			+ 3,1
	Program increase: Cold weather layering system Program increase: Wearable robotics for shock reduc-			+ 5,0
004	tion		410 540	+ 2,0
28A	Access and Awareness  Program increase: Beyond scaling technology		412,540	+ 412,5 + 3,0
	DARPA requested functional transfer from RDDW Line			+ 291,6
	DARPA requested functional transfer from RDDW Line			
28B	Z1 Kinetic and Non-Kinetic Delivery		260,526	+ 117,9 + 260,5
200	DARPA requested functional transfer from RDDW Line			
	DARPA requested functional transfer from RDDW Line			+ 82,2
	42 DARPA requested functional transfer from RDDW Line			+ 10,2
	23 DARPA requested functional transfer from RDDW Line			+ 56,
	63			+ 30

256

ine	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimat
	DARPA requested functional transfer from RDDW Line			
	61DARPA requested functional transfer from RDDW Line			+ 16,00
200	64		EQ4.070	+ 65,08
28C	Making, Maintaining, Supply Chain and Logistics DARPA requested functional transfer from RDDW Line		584,076	+ 584,07
	23 DARPA requested functional transfer from RDDW Line			+ 417,74
000	22			+ 166,33
28D	Warfighting Performance DARPA requested functional transfer from RDDW Line		272,691	+ 272,69
	22 DARPA requested functional transfer from RDDW Line			+ 149,88
	10			+ 122,80
29	Joint Munitions Advanced Technology Prior year underexecution	41,072	37,715	- 3,35 - 3,35
30	National Security Innovation Capital	14,983	19,983	+ 5,00
	Program increase: Enhanced LiDAR payload and sat- ellite bus development			+ 5,00
32	Combating Terrorism Technology Support Program increase: Artificial intelligence for explosive	76,639	233,639	+ 157,00
	ordinance disposal decision support			+ 2,00
	Program increase: Emerging technologies cooperation Program increase: Low cost VTOL precision strike loi-			+ 47,50
	tering munition			+ 1,00
	Program increase: Testbed for explosive hazards Program increase: Anti-tunneling			+ 4,00 + 47,50
	Program increase: C-UAS development including di- rected energy and laser technology			+ 55,00
34	Mission Engineering & Integration (ME&I)	110,628	72,029	- 38,59
	Effort previously funded			- 13,48 - 9,24
	Transfer remaining Big Play resources to RDDW Line			·
	73A, Constructive Modeling and Simulation Unjustified growth: Big Play			- 7,93 - 7,93
35	Counter Weapons of Mass Destruction Advanced Technology Development	418,044	410,112	— 7,93
	Prior year underexecution			- 7,93
37	Advanced Concepts and Performance Assessment Program increase: Counter hypersonic missile propul-	17,920	27,920	+ 10,00
20	sion	10.254	24 054	+ 10,00
38	Advanced Research Program increase: Advanced energetics for deeply	19,354	24,854	+ 5,50
	buried targets Program increase: Hypersonic interceptor component			+ 1,50
	technology			+ 4,00
39	Joint Hypersonic Technology Development &Transition Program increase: Specialized joint research range	51,941	56,941	+ 5,00
42	launch equipmentAdvanced Aerospace Systems	269.700		+ 5,00 - 269,70
42	DARPA requested functional transfer to RDDW Line	203,700		- 203,70
	28B DARPA requested functional transfer to RDDW Line			- 10,27
	74A			- 236,80
43	Reduce duplicative effortsSpace Programs and Technology	225,457		- 22,61 - 225,45
	DARPA requested functional transfer to RDDW Line			— 199.69
	74A Programmatic rebaseline: DRACO			- 199,69 - 16,09
44	Unjustified request	30,594	33,020	- 9,66 + 2,42
44	Program increase: Assessment and mitigation of for-			
45	eign ownership and control Advanced Innovative Analysis and Concepts	56,390	61,390	+ 2,42 + 5,00

257

ine	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimat
46	Program increase: CUAS for multi-modal classifier Quantum Application	69,290	20,420	+ 5,00 - 48,87
	Duplicative efforts			- 48,87
47	Defense Innovation Unit [DIU]	109,614	123,614	+ 14,00
	Program increase: Laser wireless power beaming			+ 2,00
	Program increase: Defense innovation onramp hubs			
	geographic expansion			+ 8,00
	Program increase: Autonomous electric maritime			+ 4.0
48	drones	74,549	38.732	+ 4,0 - 35,8
40	OSD identified excess to need	· '	, .	- 33,8 - 19,9
	Excess to need			- 15,3 - 15,8
50	Chemical and Biological Defense Program—Advanced De-			10,0
	velopment	230,051	236,051	+6,0
	Program increase: Broad-spectrum indirect antiviral	200,001	200,001	,,,
	research			+ 1,0
	Program increase: Synthetic molecular binding agents			· ·
	for diagnostics			+ 5,0
52	Joint Electronic Advanced Technology	20,188	17,177	- 3,0
	Prior year underexecution			-3,0
55	Defense-Wide Manufacturing Science and Technology Pro-			
	gram	190,557	425,057	+ 234,5
	Program increase			+ 200,0
	Program increase: Advanced robotics manufacturing			
	demonstration			+ 2,5
	Program increase: Automated manufacturing tech-			100
	nologies for very high temperature composites			+ 10,0
	Program increase: Digital manufacturing capability			
	training program			+ 2,5
	Program increase: Manufacturing of advanced com- posites for hypersonics			1.60
	Program increase: Nanoscale materials manufacturing			+ 6,0 + 5,0
	Program increase: Next generation textiles			+ 3,0
	Program increase: OT and internet-of-things asset			1 2,0
	identification and management			+ 3,5
	Program increase: Veteran's workforce program			+ 3,0
56	Manufacturing Technology Program	55,366	109,866	+ 54,5
	Program increase: 3D weaving of near-net-shape	,	,	
	hypersonic structures			+ 3,0
	Program increase: 3DHI microsystems assurance			+ 3,0
	Program increase: Antimony domestic supply chain			+ 2,0
	Program increase: Critical mineral supply chain resil-			
	iency			+ 5,0
	Program increase: Domestic production of tantalum			+ 4,0
	Program increase: High performance synthetic graph-			
	ite			+ 8,5
	Program increase: High temperature ceramic com-			. 10.0
	posite lab and prototyping			+ 10,0
	Program increase: Hypersonic radomes and apertures			+ 1,0
	Program increase: Hypersonic refactory alloy powder production			. 10
	Program increase: Niobium supply chain for aerospace			+ 1,0
	critical superalloys			+ 3,0
	Program increase: Processing pilot for high-purity			1 3,0
	nickel			+ 4,0
	Program increase: Steel performance initiative			+ 2,5
	Program increase: Supply chain readiness improve-			' -,3
	ment program			+ 7,5
58	Strategic Environmental Research Program	58,838	61,338	+ 2,5
	Program increase: Non PFAS firefighting protective			
	equipment fix caps			+ 2,5
61	Advanced Electronics Technologies	257,844		- 257,8
	DARPA requested functional transfer to RDDW Line	<i>'</i>		
	74B	l		− 141,8

258

ine	Item	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	DARPA requested functional transfer to RDDW Line			10.000
	28B Early to need: Next Generation Microelectronics Manu-			- 16,000
co	facturing	220 540		- 100,000
62	Command, Control and Communications Systems DARPA requested functional transfer to RDDW Line	336,542		- 336,542
	74B			- 183,962
	DARPA requested functional transfer to RDDW Line			- 152,580
63	Network-Centric Warfare Technology	886,511		- 886,51
	Classified adjustment Early to need: APEX			- 19,978 - 15,840
	DARPA requested functional transfer to RDDW Line			·
	74C DARPA requested functional transfer to RDDW Line			- 820,270
	28B			- 30,41
64	Sensor Technology	267,961		- 267,961
	74A			- 46,343
	DARPA requested functional transfer to RDDW Line 28B			- 65,083
	DARPA requested functional transfer to RDDW Line			- 05,000
	74C			- 156,535
68	High Energy Laser Advanced Technology Program Program increase: MOSA high energy laser architec-	110,367	115,367	+ 5,000
	ture			+ 5,000
69	Test & Evaluation Science & Technology	268,722	357,222	+ 88,500
	Program increase: Space testing facilities Program increase: Advanced EMS monitoring for west-			+ 25,000
	ern EW test ranges			+ 9,000
	Program increase: Hypersonic missile tracking targets			+ 5,000
	Program increase: Hypersonic secure multi-domain data cell capability			+ 10,000
	Program increase: Hypersonic wave heat facilities			+ 20,000
	Program increase: Mach 8 quiet wind tunnel con-			
	structionProgram increase: MACH-TB			+ 5,000 + 10,000
	Program increase: Thermal evaluation readiness ma-			7 10,000
	terials analysis lab			+ 2,500
	Program increase: High altitude LiDAR atmospheric sensing			+ 2,000
70	International Innovation Initiatives	125,680	15,390	- 110,290
	Unjustified request			- 90,29
	Transfer to RDT&E,N Line 24 Navy Warfighting Experi- ments and Demonstrations, to align execution			- 20,000
72	Operational Energy Capability Improvement	167,279	169,279	+ 2,000
	Program increase: Distributed maritime energy re-			
73A	search		45 C10	+ 2,00 + 45,61
/ JA	Transfer from RDDW Line 206, COCOM Exercise En-		45,610	7 43,010
	gagement and Training Transformation (CE2T2)—			
	non-MHA			+ 37,67
	Transfer from RDDW Line 34, Mission Engineering & Integration			+ 7,935
74	SOF Advanced Technology Development	197,767	182,767	- 15,000
	Program increase: Signature analysis and assess-			F 00/
	mentsUnjustifed request: HSVTOL long-lead materials			+ 5,000 - 20,000
74A	Advanced Aerospace and Space Systems		482,850	+ 482,850
	DARPA requested functional transfer from RDDW Line 42			+ 236,809
	DARPA requested functional transfer from RDDW Line			
	43			+ 199,698

259

ine	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimat
	DARPA requested functional transfer from RDDW Line			
	64			+ 46,34
74B	Advanced Electronics and Cyber Technology Development		325,806	+ 325,80
	DARPA requested functional transfer from RDDW Line			+ 183,96
	DARPA requested functional transfer from RDDW Line			+ 105,50
	61			+ 141,8
74C	DARPA Advanced Technology Development		2,004,385	+2,004,3
	DARPA requested functional transfer from RDDW Line			
	62			+ 152,5
	DARPA requested functional transfer from RDDW Line			+ 820,2
	DARPA requested functional transfer from RDDW Line			± 0∠0,∠
	64			+156,5
	Classified adjustment (emergency)			+ 875,0
75	Nuclear and Conventional Physical Security Equipment			
	RDT&E ADC&P	63,162	60,711	- 2,4
	Phase programmatic growth			- 2,4
77	Environmental Security Technical Certification Program	136,513	163,013	+ 26,5
	Program increase: Environmental research to dem-			. 11.0
	onstration partnerships			+ 11,0 + 2,5
	Program increase: Immersion cooling Program increase: PFAS cleanup, treatment and de-			+ 2,3
	struction technologies			+ 10,0
	Program increase: Sustainable technology evaluation	••••••		1 10,0
	and demonstration program			+ 3,0
78	Ballistic Missile Defense Terminal Defense Segment	367,279	278,346	- 88,9
	Unjustified growth: System Build 6.0			-85,5
	Program wide support adjustment			- 3,4
80	Chemical and Biological Defense Program—Dem/Val	304,374	290,064	- 14,3
	Program delays: Agent directed therapeutics			-1,9
	Prior year undergrounding TCMS			- 3,0 - 9
	Prior year underexecution: TCMS Prior year underexecution: Plague monoclonal anti-			- 5
	bodies			- 3,2
	Prior year underexecution: Medical countermeasure			0,2
	platform tech			-3,5
	Prior year underexecution: Accelerated antibodies en-			
	hanced biodefense			-1,6
82	BMD Enabling Programs	609,406	602,314	- 7,0
	Unjustified growth: Future concepts and planning			- 1,4
0.4	Unjustified growth: Verification and assessment	CAO 255	720 455	- 5,6
84	AEGIS BMDProgram increase: Guam Defense System (emergency)	649,255	738,455	+ 89,2 + 89,2
85	Ballistic Missile Defense Command and Control, Battle			1 03,2
00	Management and Communications (C2BMC)	569,662	539,940	<b>- 29,7</b>
	Planning and design previously funded			-15,0
	CODDS contract cancellation			- 2,8
	Unjustified growth: Spiral 8.2–7 deployment			-11,0
	Program wide support adjustment			- 8
91	Ballistic Missile Defense Test	367,491	356,884	- 10,6
	IMTP test adjustments			- 10,0
02	Program wide support adjustment Ballistic Missile Defense Targets	604 709	624 100	- 5 - 10 A
92	Program increase: Low-cost hypersonic flight test bed	604,708	624,108	+ 19,4 + 5,0
	Program increase: Guam Defense System (emergency)			+ 14,4
	Next Generation Information Communications Technology	•••••		1 14,4
94	(5G)	139,427	50,936	- 88,4
94	(04)	,		- 24,6
94	Unjustified request: Dual use 5G Use Cases			27,0
94				
94	Unjustified request: Dual use 5G Use Cases Unjustified request: Congested Spectrum OSD requested transfer from RDDW Line 94 to OMDW			- 35,1
94	Unjustified request: Dual use 5G Use Cases Unjustified request: Congested Spectrum			

260

ine	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estima
	OSD requested transfer from RDDW Line 94 to RDDW Line 211 to properly align 5G resourcing			<b>-7,6</b>
	OSD requested transfer from RDDW Line 94 to RDDW Line 94A to properly align 5G resourcing			- 1,5
94A	5G Cross Functional Team		1,500	+ 1,5
	Line 94A to properly align 5G resourcing			+ 1,5
95	Department of Defense Corrosion Program Program increase	2,637	7,137	+ 4,5 + 4.5
96	Guam Defense Development	415,794	471,754	+ 55,9 - 19,9
	FF&E early to need Program wide support adjustment			- 19,9 - 6
97	Program increase: Guam Defense System (emergency) Technology Maturation Initiatives		2,500	+ 76,5 + 2,5
	Program increase: Short pulse laser research			+ 2,5
99	Advanced Manufacturing Components and Prototypes Program increase: Large scale, agile, additive and hy-	16,776	31,776	+ 15,0
101	brid manufacturing pilot program		051.001	+ 15,0
101	Advanced Innovative Technologies Program increase: Project Pele	994,226	851,631	- 142,5 + 22,4
102	Program decrease: Hypervelocity gun weapon system Trusted & Assured Microelectronics	593,609	567,969	- 165,0 - 25,6
102	Program increase: Fusion linear accelerator for radi-	333,003	307,303	- 23,0
	ation hardening of microelectronics Program increase: Radiation-hardened chiplet design			+ 5,0
	acceleration			+ 4,0
	Program increase: Reliable and radiation tolerant microelectronics			+ 2,5
	Program increase: Trusted AI for microelectronics			+ 1,5 - 38.6
103	Prior year underexecution	152,126	90,854	- 36,6 - 61,2
	Program increase: LongShot			+ 10,0 - 3,3
	Retain PE Consolidation: Transfer from RDDW Line			
	113 Functional transfer of the Joint Fires Network to RDDW			+ 11,3
106	Line 155  Department of Defense [DOD] Unmanned System Common			_ 79,2
	Development	2,527	9,527	+ 7,0
	Program increase: Unmanned traffic management test, evaluation, and implementation			+ 7,0
108	Operational Energy Capability Improvement—Non S&T	53,705	61,705	+ 8,0
	Program increase: Field based airborne power genera- tion system			+ 8,0
111	Defense Rapid Innovation Program  Duplicative effort	10,020		-10,0 $-10,0$
112	Rapid Defense Experimentation Reserve [RDER]	53,149	23,750	- 29,3
	Transfer: Rapid Defense Innovation Reserve Transfer: Rapid Defense Experimentation Reserve			+ 23,7 - 23,7
110	Program decrease			- 29,3
113	Multi-Domain Joint Operations (MDJO) Retain PE Consolidation: Transfer to RDDW Line 103	11,383		- 11,3 - 11,3
116	Improved Homeland Defense Interceptors Unjustified test and engineering event	1,697,121	1,697,121	— 22,6
	Risk reduction activities			+ 22,6
118	Aegis BMD TestIMTP test adjustments	135,019	116,530	- 18,4 - 19,4
	Program wide support adjustment			-2
126	Program increase: Guam Defense System (emergency) Cyber Training Environment (CTE)	158,345	135,345	+ 1,2 - 23,0
	Program increase: Persistent Cyber Training Environ-		,	
	ment Excess growth PCTE			+ 2,0 - 25,0
132	Office of Strategic Capital (OSC)	132,640	35,331	- 97,3

261

ine	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estima
	Excess to need: Critical technologies limited partner			
	program			- 28,7
	Transfer to DOD Credit Program Account			- 24,6
134	Phase program growth			- 43,9
134	Chief Digital and Artificial Intelligence Officer (CDAO)— Dem/Val Activities	371,833	169,988	- 201,8
	Transfer to RDDW line 294A for ADVANA software pilot	371,033	103,300	201,0
	program			- 194,9
	Prior year underexecution			- 6,8
137	Chemical and Biological Defense Program—EMD	270,265	253,216	- 17,0
	Prior year underexecution			-12,5
	Unjustified growth: RAPID			- 4,5
139	Counter Weapons of Mass Destruction Systems Development	14,841	11,131	-3,7
151	Prior year underexecution	7 152	E COO	-3,7 -1,5
131	DoD Enterprise Energy Information Management [EEIM] Prior year carryover	7,152	5,600	- 1,5 - 1.5
155	JADC2 Development and Experimentation Activities	222,945	424,920	+ 201,9
200	Functional transfer of the Joint Fires Network from	222,010	.2.,020	. 201,0
	RDDW Line 103			+ 79,2
	Program increase: Joint Fires Network (emergency)			+ 122,7
158	Central Test and Evaluation Investment Development			
	(CTEIP)	782,643	710,935	-71,7
	Program increase: Excellence in aerospace modeling			. 20
	and simulationProgram increase: Hypersonic multi-domain test mod-			+ 3,0
	ules			+ 15.0
	Prior year underexecution			- 21,8
	Contract award delays: Electronic warfare airborne			,
	test systems			− 67,8
161	Mission Support	113,007	127,584	+ 14,5
	DARPA requested functional transfer from RDDW Line			
164	184		100 000	+ 14,5 + 180,9
104	Classified Program USD(P) Program increase		180,900	+ 180,5
166	Studies and Analysis Support—OSD	6,289	5,227	-1,0
	Prior year underexecution		-,	- 1,0
167	Nuclear Matters-Physical Security	19,871	20,871	+ 1,0
	Program increase: Nuclear enterprise supply chain			
	management			+ 1,0
177	Critical Technology Analysis	11,422		-11,4
180	Retain PE Consolidation: Transfer to RDDW 180 Defense Technology Analysis	45,370	56,792	- 11,4 + 11,4
100	Retain PE Consolidation: Transfer from RDDW 177	+5,570		+ 11,4
182	R&D in Support of DoD Enlistment, Testing and Evaluation	26,935	28,935	+ 2,0
	Program increase: Federal voting assistance program			+ 2,0
184	Management HQ—R&D	14,577		-14,5
	DARPA requested functional transfer to RDDW Line			
100	161 Object District and Artificial Labelliances Officer (ODAO) As			- 14,5
189	Chief Digital and Artificial Intelligence Officer (CDAO) Activities	9,262	14,762	1.5.5
	Program increase: Documentation of Al enabled weap-	3,202	14,702	+ 5,5
	ons, targeting, and decision support			+ 5
	Program increase: Ubiquitous technical surveillance			
	lab			+ 2,5
	Program increase: Enhancing data collection and			
	analysis capabilities for fighter aircraft			+ 2,5
191	Defense Science Board	6,536	4,444	- 2,0
102	Phase programmatic growth	40.401	46.401	- 2,0
193	Cyber Resiliency and Cybersecurity Policy Program increase: Cyber talent and security	40,401	46,401	+ 6,0 + 1,0
	Program increase: Deep cyber resilience analysis			+ 1,0 + 5,0
195	Joint Production Accelerator Cell (JPAC)	5,010		- 5,0
	Unjustified request			- 4,0

262

ne	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estima
	Transfer to RDDW Line 214, Industrial Base Analysis			
	and Sustainment Support			-1,0
196	Management, Technical & International Support	12,115	10,039	-2,0
	Prior year underexecution			- 2,0
206	COCOM Exercise Engagement and Training Transformation	100 001	50.007	107.0
	(CE2T2)—non-MHA	166,021	58,997	- 107,0
	Transfer to RDDW Line 73A, Constructive Modeling			25.0
	and Simulation Unjustified growth			- 35,6 - 71,3
211	Next Generation Information Communications Technology			- /1,3
211	(5G)	12,424	20,024	+ 7,6
	OSD requested transfer from RDDW Line 94 to RDDW	12,121	20,021	',,0
	line 211 to properly align 5G resourcing			+ 7.6
214	Industrial Base Analysis and Sustainment Support	1,099,243	1,156,243	+ 57,0
	Program increase: Advanced electrification dem-	, ,		,
	onstration			+ 4,0
	Program increase: Advanced manufacturing pilot pro-			
	gram			+ 5,0
	Program increase: Automated textile manufacturing			+ 2,5
	Program increase: Corrosion resistant magnesium			
	coating for aircraft			+ 6,0
	Program increase: Critcial materials processing Program increase: Distributed, independent, and agile			+ 5,0
	manufacturing on-demand			+ 3,0
	Program increase: Expansion of radar and avionics			1 3,0
	repair and sustainment facilities			+ 2,0
	Program increase: High accuracy maintenance robot-			,
	ics			+ 5,0
	Program increase: PFAS-free CBRN protective gar-			
	ments			+ 5,0
	Program increase: Precision optics manufacturing			+ 3,0
	Program increase: Production of critical chemicals for			
	DOD propellants			+ 3,0
	Program increase: Rare earth element demonstration			+ 5,0
	Program increase: Resilient manufacturing ecosystem Program increase: Supply chain improvement dem-			+ 3,0
	onstration			+ 1,5
	Program increase: Wafer bump upgrades for			1 1,5
	outsourced semiconductor assembly and test			+ 3,0
	Transfer from RDDW Line 195, Joint Production Accel-			, 0,0
	erator Cell (JPAC)			+1,0
217	Chemical and Biological Defense (Operational Systems De-			,
	velopment)	84,098	69,032	-15,0
	Phase program growth			-15,0
219	Robust Infrastructure and Access	154,375	126,047	- 28,3
	JCAP early to need			- 20,2
001	Prior year carryover	100.050	07.050	- 8,1
221	Data and Unified Platform (D&UP)	106,053	87,053	- 19,0
230	Unified platform unjustified growth	21 127	20 127	- 19,0
230	Information Systems Security Program Program increase: Centers for academic excellence	31,127	39,127	+ 8,0 + 5,0
	Program increase: Narrative intelligence			+ 3,0
270	Cyber Operations Technology Support	479,672	425,113	- 54,5
	JCW carryover			- 25,0
	JCW ahead of need			- 41,3
	JCWA integration prior year carryover			-3,0
	Transfer from RDT&E,DW line 294			+ 14,8
271	National Industrial Security Systems (NISS)	38,761	30,264	- 8,4
	Prior year underexectuion			- 8,4
276	Pacific Disaster Centers	1,861	6,361	+ 4,5
001	Program increase: Global water security center	000 710		+ 4,5
281	Aviation Systems	263,712	231,492	- 32,2
	Program increase: Synthetic vision avionics backbone			1

263

ine	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Prior year underexecution: MQ9 Malet			- 3,49
	Unjustified request: MC-130J Amphibious capability			-11,50
	Prior year underexecution: MH-60			-1,88
	Unjustified request: FARA SOF-p engineering			- 4,17
	Prior year underexecution: AC/MC-130J RFCM			-1,71
	Early to need: LEA UAS flight test			- 8,35
	Early to need: A2E developmental test			-5,10
282	Intelligence Systems Development	81,648	85,347	+ 3,69
	Program increase: Quantum computing and quantum			
	networking			+ 5,00
	Program increase: MTUAS enhancements			+ 4,00
	Contract award delay			- 5,30
283	Operational Enhancements	206,307	239,007	+ 32,70
	Program increase: High speed assault craft integrated			
	bridge system			+ 1,00
	Program increase: Single channel handheld enhance-			
	ments			+ 4,50
	Program increase: Small autonomous surface vessels			
	for maritime special operations forces			+ 5,00
	Program increase: VTOL UAS upgrade			+ 12,00
	Program increase: Loitering munition accelerated			
	fielding and reliability testing acceleration (emer-			
	gency)			+ 10,20
284	Warrior Systems	245,882	297,007	+ 51,12
	Program increase: Body armor optimization			+ 5,00
	Program increase: Platform agnostic data storage in-			.,
	frastructure			+ 2,50
	Program increase: Special operations TBI pilot pro-			, , ,
	gram			+ 4,00
	Program increase: Special operations longitudinal			,
	study			+ 5,00
	Program increase: Counter unmanned systems and			
	Group 3 defeat acceleration (emergency)			+ 34,62
286	Unmanned ISR	31,578	24.851	- 6,72
	Prior year carryover	l		- 6,72
287	SOF Tactical Vehicles	9,025	7,025	- 2,00
	Program delays			- 2,00
288	Maritime Systems	210,787	204,240	- 6,54
	Program increase: Affordable attritable AUVs			+ 1,00
	Prior year underexecution: UCME			- 1.11
	Early to need: Combat craft medium EMD			- 6,43
289	Operational Enhancements Intelligence	17,233	34,233	+ 17,00
	Program increase: Autonomous UAS droppable aircraft		,	
	improvements			+ 10,00
	Program increase: Eliminating battery supply chain			
	risk with advanced technology			+ 2,00
	Program increase: Amorphous silicon oxycarbide lith-			1 2,00
	ium-ion battery technology			+ 5,00
999	Classified Programs	8,686,427	9,615,273	+ 928,84
	Classified adjustment	0,000,127	0,010,270	+ 928,84
		85,168		- 85,16
294	Cyber Operations Technology Support			
294	Cyber Operations Technology Support Transfer to P.DW line 46	,		
294	Transfer to P,DW line 46			
294	Transfer to P,DW line 46 Transfer to O&M,DW line 120			- 20,4
	Transfer to P,DW line 46 Transfer to 0&M,DW line 120 Transfer to RDT&E,DW line 270			- 20,41 - 14,81
294 294A	Transfer to P,DW line 46 Transfer to 0&M,DW line 120 Transfer to RDT&E,DW line 270 Advancing Data Analytics (ADVANA)			- 20,41 - 14,81
	Transfer to P,DW line 46 Transfer to O&M,DW line 120 Transfer to RDT&E,DW line 270 Advancing Data Analytics (ADVANA) Transfer from OMDW line 4GTN for ADVANA software		412,058	- 20,41 - 14,81 + 412,05
	Transfer to P,DW line 46 Transfer to 0&M,DW line 120 Transfer to RDT&E,DW line 270 Advancing Data Analytics (ADVANA)			- 49,93 - 20,41 - 14,81 + 412,05 + 217,08

Office of the Undersecretary of Defense (Research and Engineering) prototyping efforts.—The Committee is concerned that the Office of the Undersecretary of Defense for Research and Engineering

[OUSD R&E] is shifting its emphasis to undertaking prototyping activities beyond the duties and authorities prescribed in Section 133a(b) of title 10, United States Code, including an increasing level of system-level and system-of-systems prototyping and contracting for end-items. The Committee believes this office's resources would be more appropriately allocated in the oversight of defense-wide science and technology [S&T] and research and development [R&D] activities, the conduct of holistic assessments on contemplated and existing Service technologies, understanding how these technologies will in turn shape the joint force, and issuance of department-wide guidance on S&T and R&D priorities.

It is the Committee's view that each of the Services retain the authority to develop specific system-level prototypes and end-items for their respective users, while a key OUSD R&E role is to look across the full breadth of the Department's planned technology maturation activities to identify gaps and opportunities. It is the Committee's position that the OUSD R&E should prioritize the conduct of joint S&T and R&D analysis, including assisting in identifying and resolving multi-Service technological challenges.

Accordingly, the Committee recommends several targeted realignments and reductions to initiatives proposed by the OUSD R&E in fiscal year 2025. Notwithstanding these reductions, the Committee acknowledges that the changing nature of warfare necessitates significant focus on the S&T and R&D of joint capabilities. However, it is the Committee's position that the development and fielding of these capabilities should be rooted in acquisition best practices under the leadership of one of the Department's Acquisition Executives in accordance with the authorities provided to the Department from the Congress.

The Defense Advanced Research Project Agency's Financial Management Practices and Budget Line Consolidation.—Since its establishment in 1958, the Defense Advanced Research Project Agency [DARPA] has invented and matured countless technologies that have enabled great leaps forward for our National defense. The Committee remains supportive of the critical research DARPA conducts

It has come to the Committee's attention that DARPA has routinely under-budgeted for indirect costs and anticipated program initiation costs, despite these costs being realized within the year of execution. Instead, DARPA has funded these costs by cutting funding from dozens of underperforming programs in any given year, while continuing to represent to the congressional defense committees that appropriated and requested funds were supporting the originally requested efforts. For example, in fiscal year 2024, DARPA anticipates approximately one-third of the resources appropriated for applied research in microelectronics will instead be applied to agency-wide indirect costs that were not budgeted. Overall, more than 10 percent of total agency resources in fiscal year 2024 will be spent on indirect costs that were not requested, rather than the core science and technology research program.

DARPA officials have communicated to the Committee that its current approach to budgeting allows the agency to retain more flexibility within their year-of-execution profile and terminate research projects not delivering results, thus avoiding reprogram-

ming actions and optimizing available resources. In the interest of enabling DARPA to continue its innovative work and at the agency's request, the Committee's recommendation includes a series of functional transfers to consolidate science and technology research program efforts. This results in a 60 percent reduction in the number of DARPA budget line program elements, simplifying accounting and operations.

However, the Committee remains concerned that DARPA's true operating costs are not being appropriately budgeted. Therefore, not later than September 30, 2024, the Director of the Defense Advanced Research Project Agency shall brief the congressional defense committees on projected indirect costs for fiscal year 2025, and present a plan for realigning such costs to DARPA's manage-

ment support program element.

Further, the Committee directs the Comptroller General of the United States to conduct quarterly audits of DARPA's financial management practices and accounting data, to include an assessment of the extent to which the science and technology research program and management support costs are appropriately captured in internal financial accounting systems and documents, as well as in the materials presented to the congressional defense committees. Such audits shall also include an evaluation of adherence to best practices and recommendations for improvement. The Comptroller General of the United States shall provide the results of the first

such quarterly audit not later than December 1, 2024.

Joint All Domain Command and Control.—The Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2024 (Public Law 118–47), consolidated defense-wide Joint All-Domain Command and Control [JADC2] resources into a single program element and directed the Undersecretary of Defense (Acquisition and Sustainment) to provide a spend plan as well as a resourcing and programming strategy for investment in JADC2 fires and common enterprise-level capabilities. While the Department of Defense has matured its ability to track defense-wide resources allocated in support of JADC2 efforts, it has yet to present an acquisition and programming strategy that documents its plans to deliver specific capabilities in response to codified user requirements. Moreover, it is not clear that the Department's work on long-range fire kill webs is sufficiently integrated into the broader JADC2 enterprise, potentially limiting the efficacy of any enterprise-wide solution. Specifically, the Committee commends the work of the Joint Long Range Fires Office [JLO] and believes any JADC2 architecture must be fully integrated with and incorporate the evolving findings of the JLO.

Therefore, the Committee directs the Deputy Secretary of Defense to, not later than 60 days after the enactment of this act, deliver a comprehensive JADC2 and JLO acquisition and programming strategy, accompanied by supporting spend plans, to the con-

gressional defense committees.

Joint Fires Network.—The Committee notes that the fiscal year 2025 President's budget request includes funding for a Joint Fires Network [JFN], which the Commander, U.S. Indo-Pacific Command has identified as a high-priority requirement. Before the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate in March 2024, the previous Commander testified that JFN experimentation was con-

ducted in three joint exercise and experimentation venues in 2023 scaling up from eight nodes in NORTHERN EDGE 23–1 to 20 nodes in NORTHERN EDGE 23–2, and most recently, a JFN live fire demonstration occurred during VALIANT SHIELD 2024 and intended to deliver an initial JFN combat credible capability to the Joint Force.

Given the critical warfighting importance of JFN and related efforts, the Committee believes that, as JFN and other Joint All-Domain Command and Control [JADC2] and Joint Long Range Fires [JLRF] initiatives mature to deliver combat credible capabilities to the Joint Force, the operational effectiveness and operational suitability under realistic operational conditions of such initiatives

must be independently assessed.

Accordingly, beginning on November 1, 2024, the Committee directs the Director, Operational Test and Evaluation to submit semi-annual reports directly to the congressional defense committees that evaluate the operational effectiveness and operational suitability of JFN and other JADC2 and JLRF initiatives as determined by the Director. In the case of the first report, the Director shall submit a baseline assessment of such initiatives through and including the VALIANT SHIELD 2024 exercise. Each subsequent report shall evaluate the activities of such initiatives subsequent to the activities covered in the previous report. The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense and each of the Service Secretaries to make available in a timely manner to the Director and his staff such information as the Director deems necessary to complete such reports. These reports shall continue until the JFN, JADC2, and JLRF are deemed by the Under Secretary of Defense (Acquisition and Sustainment) to have reached Full Operational Capability.

International Innovation Initiatives.—The President's fiscal year

International Innovation Initiatives.—The President's fiscal year 2025 budget request includes \$125,680,000 in the Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide account for International Innovation Initiatives. This is \$113,180,000 more than the fiscal year 2024 enacted budget. The preponderance of resources aligned against this effort support the Australia, United Kingdom, and United States' security partnership's Pillar II effort, which is

focused on promoting joint emerging technology maturation.

The Committee strongly supports practical, results-based collaboration between the United States and two of its closest allies, but assesses that the President's budget request could have aligned resources more efficiently to enable swifter execution. The United States, the United Kingdom, and Australia have a history of collaborative defense programs and innovation spanning decades, producing some of the most important advancements in defense technology since World War II. This collaboration has largely existed at the agency and program level. In response to Committee inquiries, the Office of the Secretary of Defense was unable to identify which specific entities within the Department of Defense would execute the requested funds. Therefore, in instances where specific program offices were identified and the requested funding appeared executable to the Committee, funds were transferred to that Program Element for execution by the appropriate military service. If these criteria were not met, the requested funding was redirected to other Department of Defense priorities.

The Committee is concerned that centralizing this one portion of international innovation within a single entity in the Office of the Secretary of Defense could result in duplication and sub-optimization of the related important technology maturation and prototyping work being conducted within the Services and defense agencies, whom are ultimately responsible for maturing and fielding developed technologies. The Committee looks forward to future engagements with the Undersecretary of Defense (Research and Engineering) and the Undersecretary of Defense (Policy) to identify mechanisms to enhance allied engagement with entities responsible for prototype and program development within the Department of Defense.

Advancing Analytics [ADVANA].—The Committee acknowledges the value of the Department of Defense's Advancing Analytics [ADVANA] platform and makes a series of recommendations to improve the execution of resources and strengthen program management. The fiscal year 2025 President's budget request includes resources for the Chief Digital and Artificial Intelligence Office's [CDAO] Advancing Analytics program [ADVANA] within the Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide, as well as Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide accounts. The Committee's recommendation realigns \$412,058,000 for ADVANA to budget activity 08 and directs that the CDAO shall only use the resources provided in that budget line to support ADVANA's work. In addition to the reporting requirements included in "Software and Digital Technology Pilot Programs," included elsewhere in this report, the CDAO is directed to provide quarterly reports to the congressional defense committees on ADVANA's resourcing, programmatic objectives, and acquisition strategy.

grammatic objectives, and acquisition strategy.

Defense Innovation Unit OnRamp Hubs.—The Defense Innovation Unit [DIU] OnRamp Hubs are important venues for the Department of Defense to engage with non-traditional industry performers located across the Nation. The Committee strongly supports the Department's efforts to increase external engagement with a regionally diverse set of industry partners and sees the DIU OnRamp Hubs as key enablers of this objective. However, the Committee is disappointed that the fiscal year 2025 President's budget request does not request funds to sustain these efforts. Therefore, the Committee directs the Director of the Defense Innovation Unit, in coordination with the Undersecretary of Defense (Comptroller), to, not later than 60 days following the enactment of this act, review funding for Defense Innovation Unit OnRamp Hubs across the Future Years Defense Program and brief the congressional defense committees on a plan to allocate resources towards this capability.

Secure Shipping Containers.—The Committee notes that Presidential Determination Number 2017–09 underscores the critical shortfalls in secure hybrid composite shipping container industrial capacity and notes that the United States defense industrial base cannot reasonably be expected to provide this capability in a timely manner without further action. The Committee is concerned that insufficient progress has been made in established policy and regulations to implement this determination since its publication in June 2017. At a minimum, the Committee believes that Department of Defense should update the requirements covering the se-

cure maritime shipment of Department of Defense or Department of Defense contractor equipment that is capable of transmitting, receiving, processing, or storing Top Secret or Special Access Program controlled information. The updated requirements should take into account the new and improved secure shipping capabilities established under Presidential Determination Number 2017-09 and specify, for each of the various types of covered equipment, threshold and objective values including location tracking, tampering alerts, intrusion detection, and false alarm probability. Accordingly, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of Homeland Security, to brief the congressional defense committees, not later than 90 days after enactment of this act on existing policy and requirements for shipping such equipment and potential new or additional policy and requirements options that would implement the intent of the Presidential Determination, improving the security of such equipment before, during, and after maritime shipment.

Domestic rare earth permanent magnet recycling capacity.—The Committee is concerned about the security of rare earth permanent magnet supply chains, given the reliance on foreign sources for rare earth elements. The United States has not invested in a diverse supply of rare earths despite the dominance of adversaries and competitors in the global marketplace. The Committee encourages the Department to explore a rare earth permanent magnet recycling at a domestic facility capable of processing all types of rare

earth permanent magnets.

5G Interference Risk Mitigation.—The Committee understands that the deployment of 5G networks across the country and abroad are vulnerable to unintentional and intentional interference. As 5G continues to expand across the globe, the potential for interference in US military operations by our adversaries becomes even more at risk. The committee understands an advanced radio-frequency [RF] architecture known as the Wideband Adaptive Signal Processer [WASP], which enables broadband Simultaneous Transmit And Receive [STAR] capability, has been demonstrated in government-controlled test environments for multiple Department of Defense applications. Therefore, the committee directs the Director, Test Resource Management Center to submit to the congressional defense committees a report, not later than 60 days after the enactment of this act, detailing the efforts to mitigate 5G interference utilizing WASP-based STAR technology.

Low-profile Persistent Power for Satellites.—The Committee supports Department of Defense programs that improve operational effectiveness via targeted operational energy technology investments. The Department's multi-domain mission success requires the development of high-density, persistent power sources. The Committee encourages the development of radioisotope power systems that are capable of providing lightweight, always-on, resilient power to increase the capabilities of Department spacecraft. These capabilities will enable greater competition with China and Russia in space.

Advanced node Semiconductor Technologies.—The Committee is aware that new emerging technologies may allow for the development of advanced-node semiconductors utilizing existing trailing-edge semiconductor foundries through a new category of logic and

memory that is three to four times denser than current state-ofthe-art within two-to-three years. As such, the Committee encourages further development and exploration of accelerating such tech-

nologies.

Integrated Photonics and Optics Innovation Hub.—The Committee recognizes the critical need for a strong domestic microelectronics manufacturing capability for both national security and economic reasons. Therefore, the Committee encourages the Microelectronics Commons program to explore the advancement of photonics-based microelectronic technologies for the Department.

Quantum Science and Information Center.—The Committee recognizes the importance of the development of a Quantum Science and Information Center integrating regional universities and defense divisions and encourages the Department of Defense to

prioritize funding for such an initiative.

Advancing Cognitive AI Technologies.—The Committee encourages the Chief Digital and Artificial Intelligence Officer [CDAO] to further explore the application of cognitive AI technologies. The Committee is aware of initial advances within critical areas including Air Operations Centers and document classification operations and supports the CDAO exploring pathways for future sustainment

of these capabilities.

Industrial Base Expansion for Next-Generation Aerostructures.— In line with the National Defense Industrial Strategy, the Committee encourages the Department of Defense to expand the aerostructures supply base. As the Department is preparing for a generational ramp-up in demand for new capacity across the Army, Navy, and Air Force, additional funding will be required to support aerostructure supplier industrial base health, capacity, and manufacturing equipment, as well as enabling the expanded use of auto-

mation, digitization, and advanced assembly techniques.

Regional Partnerships for Defense Supply Chain Enhancement.— The Committee understands the need to establish public-private partnerships to address regional defense supply chain deficiencies. The Committee recommends that these partnerships strive to enhance and sustain supply chain resiliency by advancing the use of state-of-the-art manufacturing technologies and a digitally connected regional supply chain ecosystem that maximizes the participation of small- and medium-sized manufacturer suppliers. The Committee encourages the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Industrial Base Policy) to continue prioritizing the development of public-private partnerships that emphasize the creation and maintenance of a skilled workforce.

Soy-based Firefighting Foam.—The Committee notes the opportunity soy-based firefighting foam presents to reduce dependence on foams containing harmful substances and to increase the use of safer and sustainably-sourced firefighting foams. The Committee encourages the Department to investigate ways to test soy-based

firefighting foams for potential military applications.

Underexplored Systems for Utility-Scale Quantum Computing.— The Committee recognizes the importance of the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency's [DARPA] Underexplored Systems for Utility-Scale Quantum Computing [US2QC] program and is encouraged by the significant progress made in demonstrating the technical feasibility of fault-tolerant utility-scale operations. Given the significant capital investments required for fault-tolerant, utility-scale systems, the Committee encourages the Secretary of Defense to begin planning for project transition, supporting infrastructure and follow-on US2QC programs and directs the Secretary to provide a briefing to the congressional defense committees on this effort not later than 60 days after enactment of this act.

Department of Defense Vehicle Fleet.—Not later than 180 days after the enactment of this act, the Secretary of Defense shall provide a report to the congressional defense committees that identifies the number of Department ground vehicles that run on electricity, low carbon fuels, gasoline, and diesel fuel. The report shall further identify the missions supported and vehicle shortfalls, as

applicable, for each category.

Use of 6PPD in Tires.—The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to submit a report, not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this act, on the use of the chemical N-(1,3dimethylbutyl)-N'-phenyl-p-phenylenediamine [6PPD] in the design and production of tires procured by the Department of Defense. The report shall include a listing of Department of Defense initiatives, if any, researching potential alternatives to 6PPD in tire production.

# OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION, DEFENSE

Budget estimate, 2025	\$348,709,000
Committee recommendation	850,809,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$850,809,000, of which \$500,000,000 is designated as being for an emergency requirement pursuant to section 251(b)(2)(A)(i) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985. This is \$502,100,000 above the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION, DEFENSE MANAGEMENT SUPPORT			
1 1 2 2 2 3 3	OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION  OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION (emergency)  LIVE FIRE TEST AND EVALUATION  LIVE FIRE TEST AND EVALUATION (emergency)  OPERATIONAL TEST ACTIVITIES AND ANALYSES  OPERATIONAL TEST ACTIVITIES AND ANALYSES (emergency)	136,226 109,561 102,922	424,526 (286,200) 239,061 (129,500) 187,222 (84,300)	+ 288,300 (+ 286,200) + 129,500 (+ 129,500) + 84,300 (+ 84,300)
	TOTAL, MANAGEMENT SUPPORT	348,709	850,809	+ 502,100
	TOTAL, OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION, DEFENSE	348,709	850,809	+ 502,100

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	TOTAL, OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION, DE- FENSE (emergency)		(500,000)	(+500,000)

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1	Operational Test and Evaluation Program increase: Browser security plug-in security	136,226	424,526	+ 288,300
	research			+ 2,100
	Program increase: MACH—TB (emergency) Program increase: Mach 8 quiet wind tunnel con-			+ 140,000
	struction (emergency) Program increase: Hypersonic testing capabilities			+ 5,000
	(emergency)			+ 116,200
	Program increase: Hypersonic readiness assessment (emergency)			+ 25,000
2	Live Fire Test and Evaluation	109,561	239,061	+ 129,500
	Program increase: Threats and targets for test and evaluation (emergency)			+ 50,500
	Program increase: Test and evaluation tools to assess traumatic brain injury risk (emergency) Program increase: DE testing and experimentation			+ 14,000
	(emergency)			+ 65,000
3	Operational Test Activities and Analyses	102,922		+ 84,300
	Program increase: Cyber test and evaluation (emergency)			+ 17,250
	Program increase: Digital environments, tools, and capabilities for test and evaluation (emergency) Program increase: Artificial intelligence test and eval-			+ 45,550
	uation (emergency)			+ 21,500

Certification of Funding for Test Infrastructure and Test Event Resources.—The Department of Defense's component and Service acquisition executives are directed to (1) certify to the Director, Operational Test and Evaluation [DOT&E], that the Department of Defense's and Services' test infrastructure, assets, and personnel are fully funded in the budget year and the Future Years Defense Program to support agreed-upon Test and Evaluation Master Plans, Test and Evaluation Strategies or equivalent documents for programs on the DOT&E Oversight List; and (2) provide this certification in the format, defined by the Director, not later than 60 days prior to the submission of the fiscal year 2026 President's budget request. The Director, Operational Test and Evaluation, is directed to provide an assessment to the congressional defense committees with submission of the fiscal year 2026 President's budget request on whether or not the test infrastructure, assets, and personnel funding in the budget year and the Future Years Defense Program can adequately support agreed-upon test and evaluation programs and identify where applicable-shortfalls by service and program.

Sufficiency of Test Resources.—The Committee recognizes the importance of test and evaluation [T&E] plans to ensure Department of Defense systems perform satisfactorily in operationally relevant conditions. Therefore, the Committee directs each of the Department's component and Service acquisition executives to ensure T&E documents such as master plans, strategies, and plans detail the resources required to support adequate testing and evaluation of operational effectiveness, suitability, survivability, and lethality (as applicable) of Department systems and services acquired via the Defense Acquisition System or via other non-standard acquisition systems. The T&E resources detailed shall include physical and virtual test range capabilities, digital tools, threats, targets, and the projected workforce requirements. The respective acquisition executive, in coordination with Director of Operational Test and Evaluation, shall report to the congressional defense committees, in writing, within 30 days of a deviation from an approved test and evaluation document that occurred due to insufficient T&E resources. Each such report shall include the test event or events that cannot be executed due to such insufficient resources, a description of the insufficient resources, and the revised cost and schedule to complete such event or events. The Committee further directs an assessment of the effect of identified insufficient T&E resources be included, when applicable, in reports issued by the Director of Operational Test and Evaluation.

#### TITLE V

#### REVOLVING AND MANAGEMENT FUNDS

#### DEFENSE WORKING CAPITAL FUNDS

Budget estimate, 2025	\$1,712,921,000
Committee recommendation	1,832,921,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,832,921,000. This is \$120,000,000 above the budget estimate.

# COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

Item	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
Industrial Operations	21,776	141,776	+ 120,000
Program increase: Arsenal Sustainment Initiative	1,828	1,828	+ 120,000
Total, Defense Working Capital Fund, Army	23,604	143,604	+ 120,000
Naval Surface Warfare Centers	30,000	30,000	
Total, Defense Working Capital Fund, Navy	30,000	30,000	
Supplies and Materials	86,874	86,874	
Total, Defense Working Capital Fund, Air Force	86,874	86,874	
Defense Logistics Agency-Defense Automation & Production Services Defense Logistics Agency-Energy Management	3 2,253	3 2,253	
Total, Defense Working Capital Fund, Defense-wide	2,256	2,256	
Commissary Operations	1,570,187	1,570,187	
Total, Defense Working Capital Fund, Defense-wide, DECA	1,570,187	1,570,187	
Grand Total, Defense Working Capital Funds	1,712,921	1,832,921	+ 120,000

*Meals Ready-to-Eat.*—The Committee recommendation supports the fiscal year 2025 President's budget request for Meals Ready to Eat and reaffirms its support for the Defense Logistics Agency War Reserve stock objective of 5.0 million cases.

# NATIONAL DEFENSE STOCKPILE TRANSACTION FUND

Budget estimate, 2025	\$7,629,000
Committee recommendation	7,629,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$7,629,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

# TITLE VI

#### OTHER DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE PROGRAMS

# DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM

Budget estimate, 2025	\$40,273,860,000
Committee recommendation	40.608.860.000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$40,608,860,000. This is \$335,000,000 above the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

Line	Item	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATION & MAINTENANCE			
10 20 30 40 50 60 70	IN-HOUSE CARE PRIVATE SECTOR CARE CONSOLIDATED HEALTH SUPPORT INFORMATION MANAGEMENT MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES EDUCATION AND TRAINING BASE OPERATIONS/COMMUNICATIONS UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT	10,766,432 20,599,128 2,048,030 2,469,204 341,254 371,817 2,306,692	10,739,985 20,199,128 2,018,465 2,469,204 341,254 385,317 2,287,704 — 200,000	- 26,447 - 400,000 - 29,565 
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACIVITY 1: OPERATION AND MAIN- TENANCE	38,902,557	38,241,057	- 661,500
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 2: RESEARCH DEVELOPMENT TEST AND EVALUATION			
80 90 100 110 120 130 140 150	DEFENSEWIDE ACTIVITIES  RESEARCH EXPLORATORY DEVELOPMENT ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT DEMONSTRATION/VALIDATION ENGINEERING DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT AND SUPPORT CAPABILITIES ENHANCEMENT UNDISTRIBUTED MEDICAL RESEARCH	41,476 188,564 328,825 175,518 130,931 88,425 18,697	50,476 205,564 337,825 175,518 130,931 88,425 18,697 961,500	+ 9,000 + 17,000 + 9,000 
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2: RESEARCH DEVELOP- MENT TEST AND EVALUATION	972,436	1,968,936	+ 996,500
150	BUDGET ACTIVITY 3: PROCUREMENT DEFENSEWIDE ACTIVITIES INITIAL OUTFITTING	23.449	23.449	
160				

276

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
170 180 180	JOINT OPERATIONAL MEDICINE INFORMATION SYSTEM	30,129 75,536 26,569	30,129 75,536 26,569	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 3: PROCUREMENT	398,867	398,867	
	TOTAL, DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM	40,273,860	40,608,860	+ 335,000

# COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

# The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee: $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Committee}}$

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
010	In-House Care	10,766,432	10,739,985	- 26,447 - 26,447
020	Private Sector Care Historical underexecution	20,599,128	20,199,128	- 400,000 - 400.000
030	Consolidated Health Support Unjustified growth	2,048,030	2,018,465	- 29,565 - 15.589
060	Other intra-govt purch unjustified growth Education and Training	371,817	385,317	- 13,976 + 13,500
	Program increase: TriService nursing research program			+ 5,000
	Program increase: Uniformed Services University Bio- technology Center Program increase: Uniformed Services University com-			+ 1,500
	bat medical support research			+ 7,000
070	Base Operations/Communications	2,306,692	2,287,704	- 18,988 - 18,988
UNDIST	Undistributed adjustment: Historical unobligated balances			- 200,000
080	R&D Research	41,476	50,476	+ 9,000 + 4,000
	health  Program increase: Nanomedicine manufacturing			+ 3,000 + 2,000
090	R&D Exploratory Development	188,564	205,564	+ 17,000
	erative Medicine III			+ 10,000
	Program increase: Blast sensors Program increase: Military-civilian trauma training			+ 2,000
100	partnerships	328.825	337.825	+ 5,000 + 9,000
	Program increase: Infectious disease detection toolkit Program increase: Materials in extreme environments			+ 1,500 + 2,500
	Program increase: Pre-hospital and prolonged cas-			
150	ualty care		961,500	+ 5,000 + 961,500 + 40,000
	Peer-reviewed Alzheimer's research			+ 15,000
	Peer-reviewed breast cancer research			+ 130,000
	Peer-reviewed cancer research Peer-reviewed Duchenne muscular dystrophy research			+ 130,000 + 12,500
	Peer-reviewed publication induction dystrophy research			+ 12,000
	Peer-reviewed medical research			+ 370,000
	Peer-reviewed melanoma research			+ 40,000
	Peer-reviewed military burn research Peer-reviewed ovarian cancer research			+ 10,000
	Peer-reviewed ovarian cancer research			+ 15,000 + 75,000

277

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Peer-reviewed rare cancers research			+ 17,500
	Peer-reviewed Toxic Exposures Research Program			+ 15,000
	Program increase: Brain, behavior and performance			. 2.000
	health initiative Program increase: Freeze-dried platelet hemostatic			+ 3,000
	development			+ 5,000
	Program increase: Intraosseous antibiotics for			. 0,000
	osseointegration			+ 3,000
	Program increase: Joint civilian-medical surge pilot			+ 15,000
	Program increase: Joint civilian-medical surge pilot			
	expansionProgram increase: Medical research to support mili-			+ 6,000
	tary families			+ 15,000
	Program increase: Military force vector borne health			.,
ļ	protection			+7,000
ŀ	Program increase: Non-opioid pain nanomedicine			+1,000
	Program increase: Pharmacogenomics testing for mili- tary readiness pilot			+ 2.000
	Program increase: Prolonged field care in austere en-			+ 2,000
	vironments			+ 2,500
	Program increase: University partnership initiative			+ 20,000
		1	I	I

Military Health System.—The Committee notes the Department of Defense's efforts to rebuild and stabilize the Military Health System to deliver high-quality care to its 2.8 million direct care beneficiaries. The Committee supports this stabilization effort, which will improve access to care for servicemembers and other beneficiaries, increase the clinical military readiness of the medical force, and support the medical readiness of the overall force. The Committee further recognizes that increased medical staffing in the military treatment facilities is critical to this stabilization effort. Therefore, the Committee recommends a robust funding level in fiscal year 2025 for In-House Care.

Defense Health Program Reprogramming Procedures.—To limit the amount of transfers between the In-House Care and the Private Sector Care budget subactivities, and to continue to improve oversight within the Defense Health Program operation and maintenance account, the Committee includes a provision which caps the funds available for Private Sector Care under the TRICARE program subject to prior approval reprogramming procedures. The provision and accompanying report language shall not be interpreted by the Department of Defense as limiting the amount of funds that may be transferred to the Direct Care System from other budget activities within the Defense Health Program. In addition, funding for the In-House Care and Private Sector Care budget subactivities are designated as congressional special interest items for the purpose of the Base for Reprogramming (DD Form 1414). Any transfer of funds in excess of \$15,000,000 into or out of these sub-activities requires the Secretary of Defense to follow prior approval reprogramming procedures.

The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide written notification to the congressional defense committees of cumulative transfers in excess of \$15,000,000 out of the Private Sector Care budget subactivity not later than 15 days after such a transfer. The Committee further directs the Assistant Secretary of De-

fense (Health Affairs) to provide quarterly briefings to the congressional defense committees on budget execution data for all of the Defense Health Program budget activities not later than 30 days after the end of each fiscal quarter, and to adequately reflect changes to the budget activities requested by the Services in future budget submissions.

Carryover.—For fiscal year 2025, the Committee recommends 1 percent carryover authority for the operation and maintenance account of the Defense Health Program, consistent with prior years. The Committee directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to submit a detailed spend plan for any fiscal year 2024 designated carryover funds to the congressional defense committees

not less than 30 days prior to executing the carryover funds.

Electronic Health Record.—The Committee notes that Military Health System [MHS] GENESIS is now fully deployed supporting 9.6 million beneficiaries, and that the Defense Health Agency has transitioned to a metrics-based enhancement phase for Department of Defense practitioners and these beneficiaries. The Committee directs the Program Executive Officer, Defense Healthcare Management Systems [PEO DHMS], to continue to provide monthly reports not later than 15 days after the end of each month to the congressional defense committees on the status of all open incident reports, as well as any high priority incident reports that remain open. The Committee also directs the PEO DHMS, in conjunction with the Director of the Federal Electronic Health Record Modernization [FEHRM] and the Director of the Defense Health Agency, to provide quarterly reports not later than 30 days after the end of each fiscal quarter to the congressional defense committees and the Government Accountability Office on the cost and schedule of the program, system performance, patient safety incidents and mitigations, metrics to include clinician and patient satisfaction, milestones, knowledge points, and acquisition timelines, as well as quarterly obligation reports. The Committee further directs the PEO DHMS to continue briefing the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate on a quarterly basis, immediately following the report submission.

In addition, the Committee directs the Comptroller General to continue quarterly performance reviews of the deployment of MHS GENESIS with a focus on whether the program is meeting expected cost, schedule, scope, quality and safety standards, performance, clinician and patient satisfaction and risk mitigation expectations. The Committee expects PEO DHMS to facilitate these quarterly performance reviews by providing the Comptroller General

with regular and in-depth access to the program.

The Committee directs the Director of the FEHRM to continue to provide quarterly reports to the House of Representatives and Senate Subcommittees on Appropriations for Defense and Military Construction, Veterans Affairs, and Related Agencies on the progress of interoperability between the two Departments as well as with other Federal and non-Federal health providers, networks, and systems.

Peer-Reviewed Medical Research Program.—The Committee recommends \$370,000,000 for the Peer-Reviewed Medical Research Program. The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense, in con-

junction with the Service Surgeons General, to select medical research projects of clear scientific merit and direct relevance to military health. Research areas considered under this funding are restricted to: Angelman syndrome; autism; burn pit exposure; cardiac health; celiac disease; congenital cytomegalovirus; congenital heart disease; dystonia; eating disorders; eczema; Ehlers-Danlos syndrome; endometriosis; epidermolysis bullosa; far-UVC germicidal light; fibrous dysplasia/McCune-Albright syndrome; focal segmental glomerulosclerosis; food allergies; Fragile X; frontotemporal degeneration; Guillain-Barre syndrome; hepatitis B; hereditary and acquired ataxias; Hermansky-Pudlack syndrome; hydrocephalus; inflammatory bowel disease; interstitial cystitis; malaria; maternal mental health; menopause; mitochondrial disease; multiple sclemyalgic encephalomyelitis/chronic fatigue syndrome; myotonic dystrophy; nephrotic syndrome; neurofibromatosis; orthotics and prosthetics outcomes; pancreatitis; Parkinson's; peripheral neuropathy; polycystic kidney disease; post-acute sequelae of SARS CoV-2 infection; proteomics; pulmonary fibrosis; reconstructive transplantation; respiratory health; Rett syndrome; scleroderma; sickle cell disease; sleep disorders and restriction; suicide prevention; tick-borne disease; traumatic brain injury and psychological health; tuberculosis; tuberous sclerosis complex; vision; and von Hippel-Lindau disease. The Committee emphasizes that the additional funding provided under the Peer-Reviewed Medical Research Program shall be devoted only to the purposes listed above.

Peer-Reviewed Cancer Research Programs.—The Committee recommends \$130,000,000 for the peer-reviewed breast cancer research program, \$75,000,000 for the peer-reviewed prostate cancer research program, \$40,000,000 for a peer-reviewed melanoma research program, \$15,000,000 for the peer-reviewed ovarian cancer research program, \$17,500,000 for a peer-reviewed rare cancers research program, and \$130,000,000 for the peer-reviewed cancer research program that would research cancers not addressed in the aforementioned programs currently executed by the Department of Defense.

The funds provided in the peer-reviewed cancer research program are directed to be used to conduct research in the following areas: bladder cancer; blood cancers; brain cancer; colorectal cancer; endometrial cancer; esophageal cancer; germ cell cancers; kidney cancer; liver cancer; lung cancer; lymphoma; mesothelioma; neuroblastoma; neuroendocrine tumors; pancreatic cancer; pediatric brain tumors; pediatric, adolescent, and young adult cancers; sarcoma; stomach cancer; and thyroid cancer.

The funds provided under the peer-reviewed cancer research program shall be used only for the purposes listed above. The Committee directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to provide a report not later than 18 months after the enactment of this act to the congressional defense committees on the status of the peer-reviewed cancer research program. For each research area, the report shall include the funding amount awarded, the progress of the research, and the relevance of the research to servicemembers.

Maternal Health Care in the Military Health System.—The Committee is concerned about the barriers to maternal healthcare for servicemembers and their spouses within the Military Health System including access to prenatal care, labor and delivery, and postpartum care. The Committee notes that the restructuring of military treatment facilities further limited the availability of maternal healthcare. Therefore, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a report to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate not later than 120 days after enactment of this act including the following: (1) an analysis of the availability of maternal healthcare for servicemembers and their spouses who access the Military Health System through such facilities; (2) the short and long-term actions being taken to address each barriers and increase access to maternal healthcare by the Defense Health Agency and the military services; (3) the costs associated with the implementation of these measures; and (4) potential funding sources in future budget requests.

Medical Research to Support Military Families.—The Committee recognizes the importance of military family health and well-being to servicemember readiness and morale and commends the Defense Health Agency for previous investments in the family and resilience portfolio. The Committee recommends an additional \$15,000,000 for medical research to support military families and directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to collaborate with institutions of higher education, Federal agencies, and non-profit entities that have robust research and clinical expertise with illness and conditions that have material effect on military family health and well-being, including, but not limited to adverse childhood events, menopause and mid-life women's health, medical barriers to growing and supporting families, mental and behavioral health, substance use disorders, and gender-specific healthcare. The Committee further directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to brief the Committees on Appropriations on the House of Representatives and the Senate on the plan for research in these areas, including an expected timeline for the research, not later than 90 days after enactment of this act. Finally, the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) shall submit a report to the Committees on Appropriations on the House of Representatives and the Senate not later than 120 days after enactment of this act on the status of the research being done on menopause and mid-life women's health.

Multigenerational Impact of Toxic Exposures.—The Committee recommends \$15,000,000 for the Toxic Exposures Research Program [TERP] to support research on the impacts of military toxic exposures. The Committee recognizes that servicemembers exposed to toxic substances during their military service are more likely to develop certain medical conditions, such as rare cancers, heart conditions, and chronic lung ailments, as a direct result of their toxic exposure. The Committee further recognizes that descendants of these toxic exposed servicemembers are also more likely to experience conditions related to their parents' or grandparents' exposure to toxic substances. While there has been some research on the link between birth defects and the multigenerational impacts of expo-

sure to toxic substances, the Committee believes more must be done. Therefore, the Committee directs the Assistant Secretary (Health Affairs) in coordination with the Director of the Congressionally Directed Medical Research Programs to increase the number of TERP funded studies that evaluate how toxic exposures impact the descendants of toxic exposed servicemembers and veterans.

Alzheimer's Therapies.—The Committee is concerned that TRICARE continues to explicitly exclude from coverage monoclonal antibodies for the prevention, treatment, or mitigation of symptoms related to mild cognitive impairment or Alzheimer's disease despite the U.S. Food and Drug Administration [FDA] approval and unequivocal evidence confirmed by the scientific community. The Committee recognizes that approval of a treatment by the FDA does not guarantee coverage under TRICARE, but notes that the health plan's current coverage policy, which specifically excludes specific treatments, is outdated and unwarranted. Given the progressive nature of Alzheimer's disease, the Committee encourages the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to update the TRICARE manual, Chapter 7 Section 15.1, Change 99 dated May 24, 2022 to align with the current science.

Peer-Reviewed Hydrocephalus Research Program.—The Committee is concerned about the large number of servicemembers at risk of developing hydrocephalus due to traumatic brain injury or other causes. Unfortunately, many of these cases are undiagnosed or misdiagnosed as Alzheimer's, Parkinson's, or another related dementia. Therefore, the Committee encourages the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to further its research into hy-

drocephalus for which there is no known cure.

Peer-Reviewed Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis Research.—The Committee is aware of promising research underway through the Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis [ALS] Research Program. The Committee recognizes that servicemembers are up to twice as likely to develop and die from ALS as those with no history of military service. Therefore, the Committee encourages the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to prioritize clinical research and specifically, early phase clinical trials that can bring effective treat-

ments to servicemembers and civilians living with ALS.

Peer-Reviewed Epilepsy Research Program.—The Committee is aware that servicemembers and veterans can acquire epilepsy through a variety of means, but often times, traumatic brain injury [TBI] causes seizures to start which leads to a diagnosis of posttraumatic epilepsy [PTE]. The Epilepsy Research Program was initiated in 2015 to better understand the genesis and progression of PTE in order to improve prevention and treatment of it. The Committee encourages the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to prioritize the following topics within the Epilepsy Research Program: identifying biomarkers or mechanisms of PTE; epidemiological characterization of PTE following TBI; longitudinal studies of the evolution of PTE; and understanding and improving the quality of life of individuals with PTE.

Peer-Reviewed Orthotics and Prosthetics Outcomes Research Program.—The Committee encourages the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to continue research on orthotics and prosthetics outcomes and improve care for servicemembers and others with limb loss and impairment. The Committee further encourages the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to include evidence-based practices that can evaluate which orthotic and prosthetic interventions can provide the most improvement in servicemembers' health status, functionality, quality of life, and be consistent with the Orthotics and Prosthetics Outcomes Research Program long-range strategic plan published by the Congressionally Directed Medical Research Programs in 2023.

Rapid Traumatic Brain Injury Screening.—The Committee is concerned that the most recent Department of Defense Office of Inspector General report, Evaluation of the DoD's Management of Traumatic Brain Injury, from March 2023, found that the Department of Defense did not consistently implement policies and procedures to determine the care needed for servicemembers with traumatic brain injury [TBI]. The report concluded that the Department of Defense is unable to accurately identify, treat, and track

incidents of TBI among the military services.

The Committee urges the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to make the rapid and accurate identification of TBI, both in combat and training, a top priority of the Defense Health Agency. Further, the Committee strongly encourages the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to take advantage of the availability of breakthrough point-of-care diagnostic solutions. The Committee notes the need for such a diagnostic tool for TBI testing as forward deployed medical personnel are currently unable to adequately treat head injuries, the most common injury on the battlefield. The ability to rapidly and accurately assess brain injury with point-of-care technology will greatly enhance the health of servicemembers and military readiness.

Therefore, the Committee directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to allocate sufficient funding to identify current gaps in screening and diagnosis of TBI, test and deploy the most innovative TBI screening and diagnostic tools to improve TBI assessment, and promote a better standard of care for TBI sustained by servicemembers in training and combat. The Committee further directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to provide a report on the status of screening, diagnosis, and assessment of TBI among servicemembers to the congressional defense committees not later than 90 days after the enactment of this act.

Osteopathic Manipulative Medicine.—The Committee is aware of research regarding the effectiveness of Osteopathic Manipulative Medicine [OMM] in reducing acute low back pain in active duty military personnel. This medicine is a non-invasive, drug free treatment that can accelerate the recovery time and reduce the need for opioid pain medication of servicemembers, often at a cheaper cost burden to the Military Health System. While the Committee understands that OMM has been used to a limited degree in the Military Health System, the Committee remains concerned that not enough is being done to incorporate all methods of care to the armed forces. Therefore, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a report to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate not later than 180 days

after enactment of this act, detailing how the Department of Defense can enhance research into the efficacy of OMM in treating servicemember pain and other combat-related injuries and inte-

grate OMM into the Military Health System.

Medical Defense Against Infectious Diseases.—The Committee recognizes the value of the Department of Defense's development of medical countermeasures for naturally occurring infectious diseases, such as malaria, leishmaniasis, diarrheal diseases, Dengue, and Chikungunya viruses which pose a significant threat to the strategic access and operational effectiveness of servicemembers deployed outside the United States. However, the Committee is concerned with the Department of Defense's decision to reduce funding for malaria, leishmaniasis, and diarrheal research as these diseases remain top infectious disease threats to servicemembers deployed abroad. Therefore, the Committee encourages continued research to develop drugs, tests, vaccines, and other medical countermeasures for malaria, leishmaniasis, diarrheal diseases, and health security threats. The Committee further encourages the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to partner with non-profit organizations, academic institutions, Federal agencies, foreign governments, and international agencies that have infectious disease research programs.

Peer-Reviewed Endometriosis Research.—The Committee notes that more than 6.5 million women in the United States have endometriosis. Endometriosis is a disqualifying condition for active duty servicemembers and may prevent women from serving in the military. The Committee further notes that a typical endometriosis diagnosis cannot be provided without exploratory abdominal surgery and the common treatment for endometriosis remains pain management. Therefore, the Committee encourages the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to support endometriosis research within the Peer-Reviewed Medical Research Program with a specific focus on the efficacy of excision surgical procedures in reducing the symptoms and recurrence of endometriosis, and techniques for medical procedures that reduce the need for multiple surgeries by focusing on diagnosing and treating endometriosis by excision within the same surgery. The Committee further encourages the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to partner with research institutions seeking to perform complimentary endo-

Nonaddictive Opioid Alternative.—The Committee is concerned about the continued use of opioids in the military for the treatment of pain. The Committee directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to provide a report to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate and publicly post on its website not later than 60 days after enactment of this act on steps the Department of Defense will take to ensure that nonaddictive alternatives to opioids are on the formulary. The report shall include a timeline detailing when these medications will be available to servicemembers once they have received U.S. Food and Drug Administration approval.

metriosis research.

Rapid Deployable Synthetic Vaccine Development.—The Committee notes the significant advancements in vaccine development and the need to quickly distribute infectious disease counter-meas-

ures when required to protect servicemembers deployed worldwide. The Committee directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to research the development of low cost, single dose, and highly scalable synthetic peptide vaccines that allow for rapid deployment to military personnel against infectious disease threats.

Peer-Reviewed Menopause Research.—The Committee notes that menopause related research pertaining to breast cancer is currently eligible under the Breast Cancer Research Program. The Committee further encourages the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to support menopause related research under the Peer-Reviewed Medical Research Program and to exchange relevant research activities with the Director of the National Institutes of Health.

Peer-Reviewed Tuberous Sclerosis Complex Research.—The Committee has included tuberous sclerosis complex research as part of the Peer-Reviewed Medical Research Program and strongly supports continued medical research funding focused on identifying and developing effective treatments for this condition afflicting an estimated 50,000 Americans.

Whole Blood Platelet Technologies.—The Committee encourages the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to support investments in whole blood platelet technologies. The Committee notes that production of whole blood platelets will enable pandemic preparedness and surge capacity during a national disaster when platelet transfusion is required to support injured patients in the

civilian and military population.

Peer-Reviewed Celiac Disease Research.—The Committee recognizes the growing prevalence of celiac disease among servicemembers and the lack of medication or cure for this disease. Further, the Committee notes that celiac disease increases the mortality risks for other diseases, including cancer, cardiovascular disease, and respiratory disease, and the risk for chronic illnesses. Therefore, the Committee encourages the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to prioritize celiac disease research to better understand the magnitude of the problem and improve patient

care and long-term outcomes.

Partnerships With Academic Medical Centers for Reconstructive Care.—The Committee recognizes that servicemembers often face uniquely debilitating wounds that can require complex care over a prolonged period. The Committee further recognizes that academic medical centers are developing multidisciplinary treatment and research programs centered on advanced clinical care and novel research strategies aimed at benefiting the injured servicemember. The large volume and heightened complexity of reconstructive care provided at these academic medical centers makes them well suited to augment the reconstructive care available within the Military Health System. Therefore, the Committee encourages the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to develop partnerships with academic medical centers to provide improved access to advanced reconstructive care for injured servicemembers, as well as opportunities for surgical training in advanced reconstructive techniques to include nerve reconstruction and microsurgery.

Neuro-Rehabilitation Technologies.—The Committee recognizes the Department of Defense's research, development, and clinical ac-

tivities supporting servicemember health, readiness, and post-injury rehabilitation. The Committee notes the Department of Defense's continued efforts to advance rehabilitative technologies and interventions to improve servicemember quality of life and help with the return to duty following traumatic injuries impacting muscular and neurological function. The Committee is aware of advances in neuro-rehabilitative modalities, including cognitive and mental wellness multidisciplinary care models using virtual reality and immersive therapies capable of improving the effectiveness of rehabilitative interventions for servicemembers and TRICARE beneficiaries. Therefore, the Committee encourages the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to increase research and development investments in neuro-rehabilitative technologies. The Committee further encourages the Department of Defense to partner with universities and academic medical centers to advance next-generation neuro-rehabilitative evaluation and treatment technologies.

Preemptive Health and Medicine Research.—The Committee notes that the field of preemptive health research has the potential to revolutionize the way the United States protects against a wide range of infectious disease threats and the way the country can prevent and preempt diseases such as diabetes, cancer, and neurodegenerative disorders. This area of research will improve our ability to maintain human health, identify early signals of predisease, and intervene to keep servicemembers and civilians from getting sick. Therefore, the Committee encourages the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to prioritize funding for preemptive health and medicine research to detect and protect individuals against infectious diseases or the onset of chronic diseases.

### CHEMICAL AGENTS AND MUNITIONS DESTRUCTION, DEFENSE

Budget estimate, 2025	\$775,507,000
Committee recommendation	775,507,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$775,507,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands o	f dollars]
-----------------	------------

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1	CHEMICAL AGENTS AND MUNITIONS DESTRUCTION, DEFENSE			
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE TEST AND EVALUATION	20,745 754,762	20,745 754,762	
	TOTAL	775,507	775,507	

#### DRUG INTERDICTION AND COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES, DEFENSE

Budget estimate, 2025	\$901,479,000
Committee recommendation	1,091,479,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,091,479,000, of which \$70,000,000 is designated as being for an emergency requirement pursuant to section 251(b)(2)(A)(i) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985. This is \$190,000,000 above the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

thousands		

Line	ltem	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1FU1 9999 2FU1 3FU1	Counter-Narcotics Support Classified Programs Drug Demand Reduction Program National Guard Counter-Drug Program Program increase Program increase (emergency) National Guard Counter-Drug Schools Program increase	339,292 314,410 135,567 106,043 	339,292 314,410 135,567 276,043 	+170,000 +100,000 +70,000 +20,000 +20,000
	Total, Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense	901,479	1,091,479	+ 190,000

#### OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL

Budget estimate, 2025	\$547,331,000
Committee recommendation	557.331.000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$557,331,000, of which \$10,000,000 is designated as being for an emergency requirement pursuant to section 251(b)(2)(A)(i) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985. This is \$10,000,000 above the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2025 budget estimate	Committee recommendation
Office of the Inspector General, Operation and Maintenance	542,107	552,107	+ 10,000 + 10,000
Office of the Inspector General, Operation and Maintenance-CYBER  Office of the Inspector General, Procurement  Office of the Inspector General, Research and Development	1,988 1,336 1,900	1,988 1,336 1,900	
Total, Office of the Inspector General	547,331	557,331	+ 10,000

Quarterly End Strength and Execution Reports.—The Department of Defense Inspector General is directed to provide quarterly

reports to the congressional defense committees on civilian personnel end strength, full-time equivalents, and budget execution not later than 15 days after the end of each fiscal quarter. The reports should contain quarterly civilian personnel end strength and full-time equivalents as well as an estimate of fiscal year end strength and fiscal year full-time equivalents. The reports should also include quarterly budget execution data along with revised fiscal year estimated execution data. The Inspector General is further directed to provide end of fiscal year estimates based on personnel trends to date.

# TITLE VII

# RELATED AGENCIES

# Central Intelligence Agency Retirement and Disability System Fund

Budget estimate, 2025 Committee recommendation	\$514,000,000 514,000,000		
The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$57. This is equal to the budget estimate.	14,000,000.		
Intelligence Community Management Account			
Budget estimate, 2025 Committee recommendation	\$650,000,000 615,507,000		
The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$61 This is \$34,493,000 below the budget estimate.	15,507,000.		

#### TITLE VIII

### GENERAL PROVISIONS

The following lists general provisions proposed by the Committee. The Committee recommends inclusion of several proposals which have been incorporated in previous appropriations acts, provisions requested for inclusion by the Defense Department, and new provisions. The Committee recommendations are as follows:

Sec. 8001. Publicity/Propaganda Limitation.—Retains a provi-

sion carried in previous years.

Sec. 8002. Compensation/Employment of Foreign Nationals.— Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8003. Annual Availability of Appropriations.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8004. *Obligations in Last 2 Months of Fiscal Year*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8005. General Transfer Authority.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8006. *Project Level Adjustments*.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8007. Establishment of Reprogramming Baseline.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8008. Working Capital Funds Cash Disbursements.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8009. Special Access Programs Notification.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8010. Multiyear Procurement Authority.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8011. Humanitarian and Civic Assistance.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8012. DMA.—Inserts a new provision reaffirming current law.

SEC. 8013. *Lobbying*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8014. Strategic Delivery Vehicles.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8015. *Mentor-Protégé Program*.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8016. Anchor and Mooring Chain.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8017. Alcoholic Beverages.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8018. Demilitarization of Surplus Firearms.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8019. Relocations Into the National Capital Region.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8020. *Indian Financing Act*.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8021. Walking Shield.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8022. Tribal Lands Environmental Impact.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8023. Defense Media Activity.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8024. Funding to Maintain Competitive Rates at Arsenals.— Retains a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8025. Civil Air Patrol.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8026. Federally Funded Research and Development Centers.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8027. Congressional Defense Committee Definition.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8028. Congressional Intelligence Committee Definition.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8029. Depot Maintenance Competition.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8030. Buy American Act Compliance.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8031. Carbon, Alloy, or Armor Steel Plate.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8032. Buy American Waivers.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8033. *Ball and Roller Bearings*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8034. National Defense Stockpile Transaction Fund.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8035. Buy American Computers.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8036. *Reciprocal Trade Agreements*.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8037. Flag Protection.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8038. Overseas Military Facility Investment.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8039. *Investment Item Unit Cost.*—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8040. Asia-Pacific Regional Initiative.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8041. Tobacco Use in the Military.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8042. Working Capital Fund Investment Item Restrictions.— Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8043. CIA Availability of Funds.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8044. Contractor Conversion and Performance.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8045. Rescissions.—The Committee recommends a general provision rescinding funds from prior years as displayed below:

	Amount (\$ in 000s)
2022 Appropriations	
Afghanistan Security Forces Fund	80,000
2023 Appropriations	
Aircraft Procurement, Army:	
AH-64 Apache Block IIIA Reman	25,000
Aircraft Procurement, Navy:	
CH-53K	3,700
Other Procurement, Navy:	50.00
Classified adjustment	50,000
Aircraft Procurement, Air Force:	00.000
Combat Rescue Helicopter	89,900
MQ-9 Mods	18,200
RQ-4 Post Production Charges	7,104
Procurement of Ammunition, Air Force:	22.000
Fuzes	23,000
2024 Appropriations	
Aircraft Procurement, Air Force:	
Classified adjustment	75,000
Other Procurement, Air Force:	
Classified adjustment	48,000
Procurement, Defense-Wide:	
Precision Strike Package	6,121
Classified adjustment	8,700
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy:	
Lightweight Torpedo Development	16,395
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Air Force:	
Joint Tactical Network Center	2,256
Joint Tactical Network	452
HH-60W	10,443
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Space Force:	
Protected Tactical Service [PTS]	6,665
Evolved Strategic SATCOM [ESS]	53,000
Resilient Missile Warning Missile Tracking—Medium Earth Orbit (MEO)	35,000
Narrowband Satellite Communications	17,000
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide:	
Classified Adjustment	17,800
RASE TOTAL	593.736
BASE TOTAL	593,73

Sec. 8046. Restrictions on Military Technician Reductions.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.
SEC. 8047. North Korea.—Retains a provision carried in previous

SEC. 8048. Counter-Drug Activities Transfer.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8049. United Service Organizations Grant.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8050. Small Business Set-Asides.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8051. Contractor Bonuses.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8052. Reserve Peacetime Support.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8053. National Guard Distance Learning.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8054. *Prohibition of C-40 Retirement*.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8055. End-Item Procurement.—Retains and modifies a pro-

vision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8056. *Military Family Housing*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

ŠEC. 8057. Innovation Acceleration Projects.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8058. Secretary of Defense Reporting Requirement.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8059. Missile Defense Authorization.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8060. *Armor-Piercing Ammo*.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8061. Personal Property Lease Payments.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8062. Classified O&M, Army Transfer.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8063. National Intelligence Program Separation.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8064. SOUTHCOM and AFRICOM Appropriation.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8065. Fisher House Authorization.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8066. *O&M*, *Navy Transfer to Stennis Center*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8067. Assignment of Forces.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8068. Rapid Acquisition Authority Reporting Requirement.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8069. *Israeli Cooperative Programs*.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8070. *Prior Year Shipbuilding*.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8071. *Intelligence Authorization*.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8072. New Start Authority.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8073. *Nuclear Armed Interceptors*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8074. Shipbuilding Transfer Authority.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8075. 53rd Weather Reconnaissance Squadron.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8076. Integration of Foreign Intelligence.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8077. DNI Availability of Funds Waiver.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8078. Shipbuilding Obligations.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8079. DNI Reprogramming Baseline.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8080. Defense Acquisition Workforce Development Account.—Retains and modifies a provision regarding reprogramming authorities.

SEC. 8081. NIP New Starts, Transfers, and Terminations.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8082. Public Disclosure of Agency Reports.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8083. Contractor Compliance With the Civil Rights Act of 1964.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8084. DOD-VA Medical Facility Demonstration.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8085. Missile Defense Restriction.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8086. Armored Vehicles.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8087. NIP Special Transfer Authority.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8088. National Defense Reserve Fleet.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8089. Public Disclosure of Grant Agreement.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8090. Restrictions on NSA.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8091. Transfers to Another Federal Agency.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8092. Authority to Transfer O&M, Navy Funds to Ready Reserve Force, Maritime Administration Account.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8093. *T–AO Oiler Program*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8094. *Buy American Provision for T-ARC(X) and T-AGOS(X)*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8095. Rapid Prototyping with DAWDA.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8096. Government Travel Card Prohibition.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8097. Blocking Pornography on Computers.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8098. Prohibition on Use of Equipment for Ceremonial Honors.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8099. *Integrity in Federal Contracting*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8100. Software and Digital Technology Pilot.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8101. U.N. Convention Against Torture.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8102. *Ükraine Šecurity Assistance Initiative*.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8103. Burden Sharing With Kuwait.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8104. Security Cooperation.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8105. Section 1226 Support.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8106. War Powers Resolution.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8107. Child Soldiers.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8108. *Taliban*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8109. Support to Friendly Foreign Countries.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8110. Rosoboronexport.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8111. Military Readiness Transfer Authority.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8112. Coalition Support Funds.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8113. Creating Helpful Incentives to Produce Semiconductors.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

#### DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE ALLOCATION OF FUNDS: CHIPS AND SCIENCE ACT FISCAL YEAR 2025

	Amount (\$ in 000s)
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide Budget Activity 02, Applied Research: Microelectronic Commons	72,188
Budget Activity 03, Advanced Technology Development: Microelectronic Commons	265 108
Budget Activity 04, Advanced Component Development and Prototypes:	203,100
Microelectronic Commons	62,704

SEC. 8114. Sexual Assault Prevention and Response.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8115. Wuhan Institute.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8116. EcoHealth Alliance, Inc.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8117. Transfer or Release of Detainees.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8118. NDAA Compliance for Guantanamo Bay.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8119. Modification of Detainee Facilities.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8120. Guantanamo Bay Limitation of Funds.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8121. *Closeout Costs.*—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8122. Alternative Engine.—Retains a provision carried in the previous year.

SEC. 8123. Availability of Funds for Loan Programs.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8124. *Rapid Acquisition Authority*.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8125. Indo-Pacific Security Assistance Initiative.—Inserts a new provision to appropriate funds to provide assistance to Taiwan.

SEC. 8126. *Micronesian Land Acquisition*.—Inserts a new provision for the reimbursement of land acquisition costs to the Federated States of Micronesia.

SEC. 8127. Working Capital Fund Cash Balances.—Inserts a new provision to address the excess cash balances in the Department of Defense Working Capital Funds.

SEC. 8128. Foreign Exchange Rates.—Inserts a new provision to reflect savings from favorable foreign currency exchange rates.

SEC. 8129. Travel Expenses.—Inserts a new provision to limit ex-

penses for travel and transportation of persons.

SEC. 8130. CENTCOM.—Inserts a new provision to appropriate funds designated as being for an emergency requirement to support the United States Central Command area of operations.

SEC. 8131. EUCOM Counter Terrorism.—Inserts a new provision to appropriate funds designated as being for an emergency requirement for global United States counter-terrorism activities and force protection requirements, to include the European Command area of operations.

SEC. 8132. Tactical Artificial Intelligence.—Inserts a new provision to appropriate funds designated as being for an emergency requirement to improve tactical artificial intelligence at the Combatant Commands.

SEC. 8133. *Fuel Costs*.—Inserts a new provision to appropriate funds designated as being for an emergency requirement for higher than anticipated fuel costs.

SEC. 8134. Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985.—Inserts a new provision regarding the availability of funds.

# COMPLIANCE WITH PARAGRAPH 7, RULE XVI OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

Paragraph 7 of rule XVI requires that Committee reports accompanying general appropriations bills identify each recommended amendment which proposes an item of appropriation which is not made to carry out the provisions of an existing law, a treaty stipulation, or an act or resolution previously passed by the Senate during that session.

The Committee is filing an original bill, which is not covered under this rule, but reports this information in the spirit of full disclosure.

### COMPLIANCE WITH PARAGRAPH 7(c), RULE XXVI OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

Pursuant to paragraph 7(c) of rule XXVI, on August 1, 2024, the Committee ordered favorably reported an original bill (S. 4921) making appropriations for the Department of Defense for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2025, and for other purposes, provided that the bill be subject to amendment and that the bill be consistent with its budget allocation, and provided that the Chair of the Committee or her designee be authorized to offer the substance of the original bill as a Committee amendment in the nature of a substitute to the House companion measure, by a recorded vote of 28–0, a quorum being present. The vote was as follows:

Yeas Nays

Chair Murray

Mr. Durbin

Mr. Reed

Mr. Tester

Mrs. Shaheen

Mr. Merkley Mr. Coons Mr. Schatz

Ms. Baldwin

Mr. Murphy

Mr. Manchin

Mr. Van Hollen

Mr. Heinrich

Mr. Peters

Ms. Sinema Ms. Collins

Mr. McConnell

Ms. Murkowski

Mr. Graham

Mr. Moran

Mr. Boozman

Mrs. Capito

Mr. Kennedy

Mrs. Hyde-Šmith

Mr. Hagerty

Mrs. Britt

Mr. Rubio

Mrs. Fischer

# COMPLIANCE WITH PARAGRAPH 12, RULE XXVI OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

Paragraph 12 of rule XXVI requires that Committee reports on a bill or joint resolution repealing or amending any statute or part of any statute include "(a) the text of the statute or part thereof which is proposed to be repealed; and (b) a comparative print of that part of the bill or joint resolution making the amendment and of the statute or part thereof proposed to be amended, showing by stricken-through type and italics, parallel columns, or other appropriate typographical devices the omissions and insertions which would be made by the bill or joint resolution if enacted in the form recommended by the Committee."

The Committee bill as recommended contains no such provisions.

### BUDGETARY IMPACT OF BILL

PREPARED IN CONSULTATION WITH THE CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET OFFICE PURSUANT TO SEC. 308(a), PUBLIC LAW 93-344, AS AMENDED

ſΙn	mil	lions	ηf	dηI	lare
ш	111111	HUHS	UΙ	uui	ıaısı

	Budget	authority	Outla	ays
	Committee allocation	Amount in bill	Committee allocation	Amount in bill
Comparison of amounts in the bill with the subcommittee allocation for 2025: Subcommittee on Defense:				
Mandatory	514	514	514	514
Discretionary	830,865	830,865	863,287	1 836,834
Defense	830,687	830,687	NA	NA
Non-defense	178	178	NA	NA
Projection of outlays associated with the recommendation:				
2025				<sup>2</sup> 489,513
2026				205,077
2027				63,945
2028				31,814
2029 and future years				26,238
Financial assistance to State and local governments for 2025	NA		NA	2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Includes outlays from prior-year budget authority.

NOTE.—Consistent with the funding recommended in the bill as an emergency requirement in accordance with subparagraph (A)(i) of section 251(b)(2) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985, the Committee anticipates that the Budget Committee will provide, at the appropriate time, a 302(a) allocation for the Committee on Appropriations reflecting an upward adjustment of \$20,800,000,000 in budget authority plus the associated outlays.

# DISCLOSURE OF CONGRESSIONALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS

Pursuant to Rule XLIV of the Standing Rules of the Senate, neither the bill nor this explanatory statement contain any congressionally directed spending, limited tax benefits or limited tariff benefits.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Excludes outlays from prior-year budget authority.

NA: Not applicable.

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL YEAR 2024 AND BUDGET ESTIMATES AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR FISCAL YEAR 2025
[In thousands of dollars]

Item	2024 appropriation	Budget estimate	Committee	Senate Committee recommendation compared with $(+ \text{ or } -)$	recommendation 1 (+ or -)
	:	<b>.</b>	гесопппепаацоп	2024 appropriation	Budget estimate
шеі					
MILITARY PERSONNEL					
Military Personnel, Army	50,041,206	50,679,897	50,702,367	+661,161	+ 22,470
Military Personnei, Army (emergency) Military Personnel, Nav	36.707.388	38.724.875	(135,000) 38,400,554	(+135,000) +1.693.166	(+135,000) -324.321
Military Personnel, Marine Corps	15,268,629	15,891,592	15,771,387	+502,758	-120,205
Military Personnel, Air Force	36,204,130	37,153,395 1,310,847	36,782,371	+578,241 +16.064	-371,024 $-37,810$
Reserve Personnel, Army	5,367,436	5,553,278	5,457,830	+ 90,394	- 95,448
Reserve Personnel, Navy	2,472,718	2,607,620	2,544,945	+ 72,227	-62,675
Reserve Personnel, Marine Corps	878,928	938,748	936,225	+ 57,297	
keserve Personnel, Air Force National Grand Personnel, Army	2,428,553	2,639,924	2,556,924 9,909,645	+128,371	-83,000 -27.115
National Guard Personnel, Air Force	5,272,165	5,397,298	5,285,794	+ 13,629	-111,504
Total, title I, Military Personnel	165,689,339	170,834,234	169,621,079	+ 3,931,740	-1,213,155
Total, title I, Military Personnel (emergency)			(135,000)	(+135,000)	(+135,000)
Total, Tricare Accrual payments (permanent, indefinite authority)	10,555,000	11,046,305	11,046,305	+491,305	
Total, including Tricare	176,244,339	181,880,539	180,667,384	+ 4,423,045	-1,213,155
TITLE 11					
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE					
Operation and Maintenance, Army	58,604,854	59,152,479	60,023,592	+ 1,418,738	+871,113
Operation and Maintenance, Army (emergency)	71,972,007	75,022,582	(774,338) 75,941,291	(+774,338) +3,969,284	(+774,338) + 918,709

Operation and Maintenance, Navy (emergency)	10 184 529	10 562 804	(1,009,082)	(+1,009,082)	(+1,009,082) + 653 180
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps (emergency)	22,101,01	10,000,01	(585,865)	(+585,865)	(+585,865)
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force	61,471,101	64,617,734	66,952,360	+ 5,481,259	+2,334,626
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force (emergency)			(2,441,731)	(+2,441,731)	(+2,441,731)
Operation and Maintenance, Space Force	4,895,818	5,292,272	5,228,537	+332,719	-63,735
Operation and Maintenance Defense-Wide (emergency)	32,333,000	04,173,000	(1,000)	(+1000)	(+1,000)
Counter-ISIS Train and Equip Fund (CTEF)	397,950	528,699	528,699	+130,749	
Operation and Maintenance, Army Reserve	3,562,714	3,360,777	3,355,777	-206,937	-5,000
Operation and Maintenance, Navy Reserve	1,370,710	1,341,662	1,335,162	-35,548	-6,500
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve	325,395	338,080	340,580	+ 15,185	+2,500
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force Reserve	4,005,756	4,173,796	4,120,296	+114,540	-53,500
Uperation and Maintenance, Army National Guard Oneration and Maintenance, dir National Guard	7335 405	0,040,143	0,003,230	- 2,639 + 65,676	- 50,007 - 2,690
Operation and Maintenance, All Mattorial Guald	16.620	21.035	21.035	+ 4.415	2,000
Environmental Restoration, Army	241,860	268,069	323,069	+ 81,209	+ 55,000
Environmental Restoration, Navý	410,240	343,591	343,591	- 66,649	
Environmental Restoration, Air Force	384,744	320,256	372,524	-12,220	+ 52,268
Environmental Restoration, Defense-Wide	8,965	8,800	9,480	+515	089+
Environmental Restoration, Formerly Used Defense Sites	232,806	234,475	257,207	+24,401	+ 22,732
Overseas Humanitarian, Disaster, and Civic Aid	142,500	115,335	115,335	-27,165	
Cooperative Threat Reduction Account	320,999	350,116	350,116	- 883	
Department of Defense Acquisition Workforce Development Account	64,977	56,176	115,676	+ 50,699	+ 59,500
Total, title II, Operation and Maintenance	287,190,915	296,334,504	300,599,339	+ 13,408,424	+4,264,835
Total, title II, Operation and Maintenance (emergency)			(4,812,016)	(+4,812,016)	(+4,812,016)
PROCUREMENT					
Aircraft Progurement Army	3 287 997	3 164 183	3 163 347	-124650	- 836
Missile Procurement, Army	4,622,213	6,245,770	6,316,380	+1,694,167	+ 70,610
Missile Procurement, Army (emergency)	4.244.226	3.699.392	(382,000)	( + 382,000) - 579.945	(+382,000) -35.111
Procurement of Weapons and Tracked Combat Vehicles, Army (emergency)			(199,800)	(+199,800)	(+199,800)
	2,943,574	2,702,640	3,810,333	+866,759	+1,107,693
Cher Producement Army	8 626 297	8.616.524	8.880.051	+ 253.754	(+960,307) +263.527

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL YEAR 2024 AND BUDGET ESTIMATES AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR FISCAL YEAR 2025—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Item	2024 appropriation	Budget estimate	Committee	Senate Committee recommendation compared with (+ or -)	recommendation $(+ \text{ or } -)$
		,	recommendation	2024 appropriation	Budget estimate
Other Procurement, Army (emergency)			(165,455)	(+165,455)	(+165,455)
Aircraft Procurement, Navy	19,826,909	16,214,250	15,241,216	-4,585,693	-973,034
Aircraft Procurement. Navy (emergency)			(124.800)	(+124.800)	(+124.800)
Weapons Procurement, Navy	5,876,828	6,600,327	6,568,402	+691,574	-31,925
Weapons Procurement, Navy (emergency)			(20,000)	(+ 50,000)	(+20,000)
Procurement of Ammunition, Navy and Marine Corps	1,161,205	1,747,883	1,643,478	+482,273	-104,405
Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy	33,665,493	32,378,291	37,023,244	+ 3,357,751	+4,644,953
Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy (emergency)			(2,153,500)	(+2,153,500)	(+2,153,500)
Other Procurement, Navy	14,385,665	15,877,253	16,482,271	+ 2,096,606	+605,018
Other Procurement, Navy (emergency)			(261,200)	(+597,500)	
Procurement, Marine Corps	3,904,532	4,243,863	4,201,143	+296,611	- 42,720
Procurement, Marine Corps (emergency)			(240,900)	(+240,900)	
	20.828.306	19.835.430	21,736,953	+ 908.647	+1.901.523
Aircraft Procurément. Air Force (emergency)			(2,140,821)	(+2.140.821)	(+2.140.821)
Missile Procurement, Air Force	4,693,647	4.373.609	4,208,262	-485,385	-165,347
Missile Procurement, Air Force (emergency)			(95,700)	(+ 95,700)	(+ 95,700)
Procurement of Ammunition, Air Force	589,943	709,475	598,855	+8,912	-110,620
Other Procurement, Air Force	31,327,131	30,298,764	29,876,245	-1,450,886	-422,519
Other Procurement, Air Force (emergency)			(344,980)	(+344,980)	(+344,980)
Procurement, Space Force	4,064,948	4,262,979	4,078,521	+ 13,573	-184,458
Procurement, Defense-Wide	6,392,675	5,406,751	5,819,954	-572,721	+413,203
Procurement, Defense-Wide (emergency)			(527,245)	(+527,245)	(+527,245)
Defense Production Act Purchases	587,905	393,377	909,377	+321,472	+516,000
Defense Production Act Purchases (emergency)			(200,000)	(+200,000)	(+200,000)
National Guard and Reserve Equipment	1,000,000		1,000,000		+1,000,000
National Guard and Reserve Equipment (emergency)			(650,000)	(+650,000)	(+650,000)
Total, title III, Procurement	172,029,494	166,770,761	175,222,313	+ 3,192,819	+ 8,451,552
Total, title III, Procurement (emergency)			(9,133,208)	(+9,133,208)	(+9,133,208)

TITLE IV RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION					
	17,115,037	14,073,308	14,495,968	-2,619,069	+ 422,660
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Ahling Veilnergeincy)  Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy  December Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy  December Development Test and Evaluation (Controllers)	27,964,807	25,697,815	26,221,839	(+4,300) -1,742,968	( + 4,300) + 524,024 / - 505,000)
research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy (enregency)	47,340,416	49,108,771	46,829,805	(+363,000) -510,611	(+363,000) -2,278,966
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Air Force (emergency)	19 660 944	18 700 153	(74,394)	(+74,394)	(+74,394)
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Space Force (emergency)	10,000,044	10,700,133	(1,030,000)	(+1,030,000)	(+1,030,000)
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide	36,892,886	35,227,834	36,946,466	+ 53,580 (+1.223.825)	+1,718,632 (+1,223.825)
Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense	337,489	348,709	850,809	+513,320	+ 502,100
			(000,000)	(000,000 - )	(000,000 + )
Total, title IV, Research, Development, Test and Evaluation	148,320,479	143,156,590	145,118,045	-3,202,434	+1,961,455
Total, title IV, Research, Development, Test and Evaluation (emergency)			(3,417,719)	(+3,417,719)	(+3,417,719)
тпе у					
REVOLVING AND MANAGEMENT FUNDS					
Defense Working Capital Funds	1,786,779	1,712,921 7,629	1,832,921 7,629	+ 46,142 + 7,629	+ 120,000
Total, title V, Revolving and Management Funds	1,786,779	1,720,550	1,840,550	+ 53,771	+ 120,000
тпе и					
OTHER DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE PROGRAMS					
Defense Health Program: Operation and maintenance	36,639,695	38,902,557	38,241,057	+ 1,601,362	-661,500
Procurement Research, development, test and evaluation	381,881 2,877,048	398,867 972,436	398,867 1,968,936	+ 16,986 - 908,112	+ 996,500
Total, Defense Health Program	39,898,624	40,273,860	40,608,860	+710,236	+ 335,000

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL YEAR 2025—Continued
FOR FISCAL YEAR 2025—Continued
[In thousands of dollars]

Item	2024 appropriation	Budget estimate	Committee	Senate Committee recommendation compared with ( $+$ or $-$ )	recommendation $(+ or -)$
			lecommendation	2024 appropriation	Budget estimate
Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Defense:  Operation and maintenance	89,284 1,002,560	20,745 754,762	20,745 754,762	— 68,539 — 247,798	
Total, Chemical Agents	1,091,844	775,507	775,507	-316,337	
Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense	1,177,061 528,565	901,479	1,091,479 (70,000) 557,331 (10,000)	- 85,582 (+ 70,000) + 28,766 (+ 10,000)	+ 190,000 (+ 70,000) + 10,000 (+ 10,000)
Total, title VI, Other Department of Defense Programs	42,696,094	42,498,177	43,033,177	+337,083	+ 535,000
Total, title VI, Other Department of Defense Programs (emergency)			(80,000)	(+80,000)	(+80,000)
TITLE VII  RELATED AGENCIES Central Intelligence Agency Retirement and Disability System Fund Intelligence Community Management Account (ICMA)	514,000 625,419	514,000 650,000	514,000 615,507	- 9,912	- 34,493
Total, title VII, Related agencies	1,139,419	1,164,000	1,129,507	- 9,912	- 34,493
GENERAL PROVISIONS Additional transfer authority (Sec. 8005) National Defense Stockpile Transaction Fund (Sec. 8034) National Defense Stockpile Transaction Fund (Sec. 8034)	(6,000,000)	(8,000,000)	(6,000,000) (6,000,000) (500,000)	(000'005+)	(-2,000,000) +600,000 (+500,000)

Rescissions (Sec. 8045)	-2,595,522 49,000		- 593,736 24,000	+ 2,001,786 - 25,000	-593,736 + 24,000
0&M, Defense-Wide Transfer Authority (Sec. 8052)  0&M, Army Transfer Authority (Sec. 8062)  USSOUTHCOM and USFREICOM Allies and Partnership (Sec. 8064)	(30,000) (175,944) 100,000	(30,000) (194,453)	(30,000) (194,453) 400,000	(+18,509) +300,000	+ 400,000
Fisher House Down Army Mady Air Porce Transfer Authority (Sec. 8066)	(11,000) (1,000) (1,000) (1,000) (1,000)	(162,500)	(15,000)	(-9,500)	(+1,000)
Natural menigemes flogali i faisier Autriority (sec. 2027)  Operational Readiness (Sec. 8111)  Contract Ciscout (Sec. 8121)	(1,300,000)	(1,300,000)	2,000,000	+ 2,000,000 + 80,000	+2,000,000 +80,000
Department of Defense Credit Program Account (Sec. 8123)  Reductions for Excess Working Capital Fund Cash Balances (Sec. 8127)  Foreign Currency Fluctuations (Sec. 8128)	49,200 500,000 969,000		24,600 650,000 28,236	-24,600 $-150,000$ $+940,764$	+24,600 $-650,000$ $-28,236$
Travel Adjustment (Sec. 8129)			50,000 800,000 250,000	-50,000 + 800,000 + 250,000	-50,000 +800,000 +250,000
Artificial Intelligence Scaling at the Combatant Commands (Sec. 8132) (emergency) Fuel Shortfall (Sec. 8133) (emergency)		-150,000	500,000 1,172,057	$^{+500,000}_{+1,172,057}$	$^{+500,000}_{+1,172,057}_{+150,000}$
AFRHT Transfer Authority Advisory and Assistance Services	-500,000	(150,000)		+ 500,000	(-150,000)
Fisher House Foundation	-27,137 $-2,000$ $-100,000$			+27,197 $-5,000$ $+100,000$	
Total, title VIII, General Provisions	-4,438,519	-150,000	4,528,685	+ 8,967,204	+4,678,685
Total, title VIII, General Provisions (emergency)			(3,222,057)	(+3,222,057)	(+3,222,057)
OTHER APPROPRIATIONS UKRAINE SECURITY SUPPLEMENTAL APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2024 Military Personnel					
Military Personnel, Army (emergency) Military Personnel, Marine Corps (emergency) Military Personnel, Air Force (emergency) Military Personnel, Space Force (emergency)	207,158 3,538 23,302 4,192			$\begin{array}{l} -207,158 \\ -3,538 \\ -23,302 \\ -4,192 \end{array}$	

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL YEAR 2024 AND BUDGET ESTIMATES AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR FISCAL YEAR 2025—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Item	2024 appropriation	Budget estimate	Committee	Senate Committee recommendation compared with (+ or -)	recommendation (+ or -)
*****		0	recommendation	2024 appropriation	Budget estimate
Total	238,190			-238,190	
Operation and Maintenance					
Operation and Maintenance, Army (emergency) Operation and Maintenance, Navy (emergency) Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps (emergency) Operation and Maintenance, Air Force (emergency)	4,887,581 976,405 69,045 371,475			-4,887,581 -976,405 -69,045 -371,475	
Uperation and Maintenance, Space Force (emergency)	8,443 27,930,780			- 8,443 - 27,930,780	
Total	34,243,729			- 34,243,729	
Procurement					
Missile Procurement, Army (emergency) Procurement of Ammunition, Army (emergency) Other Procurement, Army (emergency)	2,742,757 5,612,900 308,991			-2,742,757 -5,612,900 -308,991	
Weapons Procurement, Navy (emergency) Other Procurement, Navy (emergency) Procurement, Marine Corps (emergency)	706,976 26,000 212,443			-706,976 $-26,000$ $-212,443$	
Procurement, Marine Corps (emergency) Other Procurement, Air Force (emergency) Procurement, Defense-Wide (emergency)	366,001 3,284,072 46,780			-366,001 -3,284,072 -46,780	
Total	13,306,920			-13,306,920	
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation					
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army (emergency)	18,594			-18,594	

Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy (emergency)	13,825 406,834 194,125		$\begin{array}{c} -13,825 \\ -406,834 \\ -194,125 \end{array}$	
Total	633,378		-633,378	
Other Department of Defense Programs Office of the Inspector General (emergency)	8,000		-8,000	
Related Agencies Intelligence Community Management Account (emergency)	2,000		-2,000	
Total, Ukraine Security Supplemental	48,432,217		- 48,432,217	
ISRAEL SECURITY SUPPLEMENTAL APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2024  Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide (emergency)	4,400,000		-4,400,000	
Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide (emergency) Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide (emergency) Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide (emergency)	801,400 5,200,000 198,600		$\begin{array}{l} -801,400 \\ -5,200,000 \\ -198,600 \end{array}$	
Total	6,200,000		-6,200,000	
Related Agencies Department of Defense (emergency)	2,440,000		-2,440,000	
Total, Israel Security Supplemental	13,040,000	 	-13,040,000	
INDO-PACIFIC SECURITY SUPPLEMENTAL APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2024  Operation and Maintenance, Navy (emergency) Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide (emergency)	557,758 1,900,000		- 557,758 - 1,900,000	

306

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL YEAR 2024 AND BUDGET ESTIMATES AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR FISCAL YEAR 2025—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Hom	2024 appropriation	Rudget ectimate	Committee	Senate Committee recommendation compared with $(+ \text{ or } -)$	recommendation $(+ \text{ or } -)$
TO STATE OF THE ST			recommendation	2024 appropriation	Budget estimate
lotal	2,457,758			-2,457,758	
Procurement					
Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy (emergency)	2,155,000 293,570 132,600			$\begin{array}{c} -2,155,000 \\ -293,570 \\ -132,600 \end{array}$	
Total	2,581,170			-2,581,170	
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation Navy (emergency)	7,000			-7,000	
General Provision Department of Defense (Sec	542,400			-542,400	
Total, Indo-Pacific Security Supplemental	5,588,328			- 5,588,328	
Total, Other Appropriations	67,060,545			-67,060,545	

Grand total	892,029,545	833,375,121	852,139,000	- 39,890,545	+ 18,763,879
(Appropriations) (Emergency appropriations, of which) (Rescissions) (Transfer Authority)	(827,564,522) (67,060,545) (-2,595,522) (7,889,944)	(833,375,121)	(850,010,679) (2,722,057) (18,077,943) (-593,736) (7,898,953)	(+22,446,157) (-64,338,488) (+18,077,943) (+2,001,786) (+9,009)	(+16,635,558) (+2,722,057) (+18,077,943) (-593,736) (-2,149,000)