# EXPLANATORY STATEMENT FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 2018

#### BACKGROUND

#### PURPOSE OF THE BILL

This bill makes appropriations for the military functions of the Department of Defense for the period October 1, 2017, through September 30, 2018. Functional areas include the pay, allowances, and support of military personnel, operation and maintenance of the forces, procurement of equipment and systems, and research, development, test and evaluation. Appropriations for foreign military assistance, military construction, family housing, nuclear weapons programs, and civil defense are provided in other bills.

#### **HEARINGS**

The Appropriations Subcommittee on Defense began hearings on March 15, 2017, and concluded them on June 21, 2017, after ten separate sessions. The subcommittee heard testimony from representatives of the Department of Defense.

#### SUMMARY OF THE BILL

The Committee recommendation of \$643,722,869,000 includes funding to develop, maintain, and equip the military forces of the United States and for other purposes.

The fiscal year 2018 budget request for activities funded in the Department of Defense appropriations bill totals \$627,819,232,000 in new budget authority, including \$65,118,982,000 in overseas contingency operations funding, \$4,485,844,000 in emergency funding and \$514,000,000 in mandatory spending.

In fiscal year 2017, the Congress appropriated \$591,980,267,000 for activities funded in this bill. This amount includes \$509,631,000,000 in base appropriations and \$82,349,267,000 in overseas contingency operations appropriations provided in Public Law 114–254 and in Public Law 115–31, titles IX and X. The Committee recommends that funds requested for Coast Guard overseas contingency operations be appropriated directly to the Department of Homeland Security.

The Committee recommendation in this bill is \$51,742,602,000 above the amount provided in fiscal year 2017 and \$15,903,637,000 above the amount requested for fiscal year 2018.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS

The following table displays the recommendations for each title:

[In thousands of dollars]

	Fiscal year 2017 enacted	Fiscal year 2018 estimate	Committee recommendation
Title I—Military Personnel	128,725,978	133,881,636	133,632,584
Title II—Operation and Maintenance	167,603,260	188,570,298	191,917,176
Title III—Procurement	108,426,827	113,931,877	124,322,846
Title IV—Research, development, test and evaluation	72,301,587	82,691,636	85,967,322
Title V—Revolving and management funds	1,511,613	2,095,923	1,706,596
Title VI—Other Department of Defense Programs	35,615,831	35,868,136	36,330,000
Title VII—Related Agencies	1,029,596	1,046,000	1,057,000
Title VIII—General provisions (net)	- 5,583,692	128,900	- 651,531
Title IX—Overseas Contingency Operations	61,822,000	65,118,982	64,955,032
Title X—Missile Defense and Defeat Enhancements		4,485,844	4,485,844
Title X—Additional Appropriations (FY 2017)	14,752,267		
Other appropriations (Public Law 114-254)	5,775,000		
Net grand total	591,980,267	627,819,232	643,722,869
Total discretionary (incl. scorekeeping adjustments)	598,464,267	635,496,212	650,899,869

The Committee has displayed recommended adjustments in tables presented under each appropriation account.

These adjustments reflect the following Committee actions: elimination of funds requested for programs which are lower priority, duplicative, or not supported by firm requirements in out-year development or procurement appropriations; deletion of excess funds based on program delays or slow execution; addition of funds to reflect congressional priorities and to rectify shortfalls in the budget estimate; and implementation of recommendations in the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2018.

The Committee directs that the funding increases outlined in these tables shall be provided only for the specific purposes indicated in the table.

#### CLASSIFIED PROGRAM ADJUSTMENTS

The Committee recommends adjustments to certain classified programs, as explained in the classified annex to the Committee's report.

## BUDGET JUSTIFICATION MATERIALS FOR SPECIAL ACCESS PROGRAMS

The Committee recommends adjustments to the budget justification materials provided in the Special Access Program Annual Report to Congress submitted in accordance with Title 10, United States Code, Section 119(a)(1), as explained in the Committee's classified annex to the Committee's report.

#### DEFINITION OF PROGRAM, PROJECT AND ACTIVITY

For the purposes of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985 (Public Law 99–177), as amended by the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Reaffirmation Act of 1987 (Public Law 100–119), and by the Budget Enforcement Act of 1990 (Public Law 101–508), the terms "program, project, and activity" for appropriations contained in this act shall be defined as the most specific level of budget items identified in the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2018, the related classified annexes

and Committee reports, and P-1 and R-1 budget justification documents as subsequently modified by congressional action.

The following exception to the above definition shall apply: the military personnel and the operation and maintenance accounts, for which the term "program, project, and activity" is defined as the appropriations accounts contained in the Department of Defense

Appropriations Act.

At the time the President submits the budget request for fiscal year 2019, the Secretary of Defense is directed to transmit to the congressional defense committees budget justification documents to be known as the "M-1" and "O-1" which shall identify, at the budget activity, activity group, and sub-activity group level, the amounts requested by the President to be appropriated to the Department of Defense for military personnel and operation and maintenance in any budget request, or amended budget request, for fiscal year 2019.

#### Reprogramming Guidance

The Secretary of Defense is directed to continue to follow the reprogramming guidance for acquisition accounts as specified in the report accompanying the House version of the Department of Defense appropriations bill for fiscal year 2008 (House Report 110–279). The dollar threshold for reprogramming funds shall remain at \$10,000,000 for military personnel; \$15,000,000 for operation and maintenance; \$20,000,000 for procurement; and \$10,000,000

for research, development, test and evaluation.

Also, the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) is directed to continue to provide the congressional defense committees annual DD Form 1416 reports for titles I and II and quarterly, spreadsheet-based DD Form 1416 reports for service and defense-wide accounts in titles III and IV of this act. Reports for titles III and IV shall comply with guidance specified in the explanatory statement accompanying the Department of Defense Appropriations Act for Fiscal Year 2006. The Department shall continue to follow the limitation that prior approval reprogrammings are set at either the specified dollar threshold or 20 percent of the procurement or research, development, test and evaluation line, whichever is less. These thresholds are cumulative from the base for reprogramming value as modified by any adjustments. Therefore, if the combined value of transfers into or out of a military personnel (M-1), an operation and maintenance (O-1), a procurement (P-1), or a research, development, test and evaluation (R-1) line exceeds the identified threshold, the Secretary of Defense must submit a prior approval reprogramming to the congressional defense committees. In addition, guidelines on the application of prior approval reprogramming procedures for congressional special interest items are established elsewhere in this report.

#### COMMITTEE INITIATIVES

The Committee has included funding above the President's budget request for several programmatic initiatives which the Committee believes are of inherent value for national defense. In several cases, funds are restored for programs which were included in previous Department of Defense budget requests, and for programs that the Committee believes are necessary to improve the U.S. defense posture even though they have not been included under the

request formulated by the Department of Defense.

For instance, the Committee's hearings with the military services and the Department's leadership highlighted the risk to maintaining the U.S. technological edge under sequester-level budgets. Therefore, the Committee recommends increases to sustain U.S. technological superiority such as fifth generation aircraft, various shipbuilding programs, missile defense, battlefield intelligence programs, and space programs. In addition, the Committee recommends funding to increase readiness, sustain U.S. force structure and maintain our industrial base. Finally, the Committee recommends increases in basic research, alternative energy and science and technology funding, which are the foundation for enhancing future technological superiority. The Committee also recommends funding for programs that are chronically underfunded, such as National Guard and Reserve equipment purchases.

The Committee directs that funds for these initiatives are to be competitively awarded or provided to programs that have received

competitive awards in the past.

#### RAPID ACQUISITION AUTHORITY

The Committee recognizes the Department of Defense's need to address urgent and unfunded requirements that arise following the enactment of appropriations. General and Special Transfer Authorities, as specified in sections 8005 and 9002 of this act, are provided for the purpose of addressing higher priority items, based on unforeseen military requirements, than those for which funding was originally appropriated. In addition, Congress appropriated more than \$950,000,000 for Joint Urgent Operational Needs and Joint Emerging Operational Needs through the regular appropriations process in fiscal year 2017, and the fiscal year 2018 budget includes more than \$800,000,000 in regular appropriations accounts for similar purposes. Finally, in the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2017, Congress established the Rapid Prototyping Program in the Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide appropriations account, which the Department of Defense subsequently incorporated into its fiscal year 2018 budget request, and which the Committee recommends fully funding in fiscal year 2018.

Nevertheless, the Committee notes the increased use in frequency and associated dollar amounts in recent years of Rapid Acquisition Authority [RAA] pursuant to section 806(c)(4) of the Bob Stump National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2003 (10 U.S.C. 2302 note), as subsequently amended. The Committee has supported the use of these authorities to address urgent needs to eliminate documented deficiencies, but is concerned by the lack of timely communication by the Department of Defense to the Subcommittees on Defense of the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and House of Representatives regarding the application of RAA. Therefore, the Committee recommends a new general provision, section 8066, to ensure timely notification to all congressional defense committees of the Secretary of Defense's use of RAA.

In addition, the Committee notes that many efforts initiated and funded with RAA require additional funding in subsequent years, and, if applicable, are intended for transition to the normal acquisition system not later than 2 years after the date on which the Secretary of Defense made the RAA determination. Therefore, the Committee directs that no later than 5 days following the notification to the congressional defense committees of the Secretary of Defense's intention to execute, or application of RAA, the Secretary of Defense, through his appropriate designee and in conjunction with the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) shall provide the congressional defense committees no less than the following: the documented requirement intended to be addressed; the agency/Department charged with implementing the material solution identified; the identification of funds affected by the RAA by appropriations account, line and/or program element, to include outyear funding requirements by fiscal year; and an explanation as to why source funds are available to fund this higher priority item. In addition, the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) is directed to provide guidance to the Services and defense agencies to appropriately identify previously received RAA funds and items funded in budget exhibits and briefings provided to the congressional defense committees in support of Department of Defense budget requests, and, where appropriate, to update the Financial Management Regulations to that effect. The Committee notes the engagements by the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) and the Under Secretary of Defense (Acquisition, Technology and Logistics) to address the Committee's concerns, and looks forward to continuing this dialogue to ensure congressional oversight responsibilities are met without impeding the Department's ability to respond to urgent

Finally, the Committee notes that in practice, funds for RAA initiatives are executed in place within the program identified as the funding source regardless of the original purpose for which funds were appropriated, and without any apportionment documents being generated. The Committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) to provide to the congressional defense committees a briefing on the impact of RAA funding mechanisms on Financial Improvement and Audit Readiness efforts.

#### AUTHORIZED END STRENGTH LEVELS

Sections 401 and 410 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2018 authorize end strength increases for active-duty and reserve forces, as follows:

Military Component	Fiscal Year 2018 End Strength
Army	+7,500 +1,000 +500 +500
Total	+ 9,500

Based on cost estimates provided by the Department of Defense, the Committee recommendation includes additional funding to support increased authorized end strength levels. The additional funds are distributed, as follows:

#### [In thousands of dollars]

Appropriation	
Military Personnel, Army Military Personnel, Marine Corps Reserve Personnel, Army National Guard Personnel, Army	429,000 37,400 12,100 4,200
Total, Military Personnel	482,700
Operation and Maintenance, Army	172,300 12,800 2,900 3,700
Total, Operation and Maintenance	191,700
Total	674,400

#### MILITARY PAY RAISE

The budget submission proposed a military pay raise of 2.1 percent for fiscal year 2018. In accordance with section 601 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2018, the Committee recommendation includes additional funds to support a pay raise of 2.4 percent for all members of the uniformed services effective January 1, 2018. The additional funds are distributed as follows:

[In thousands of dollars]

Appropriation	
Military Personnel, Army	63,600
Military Personnel, Navy	42,200
Military Personnel, Marine Corps	21,000
Military Personnel, Air Force	44,700
Reserve Personnel, Army	8,400
Reserve Personnel, Navy	3,000
Reserve Personnel, Marine Corps	1,200
Reserve Personnel, Air Force	2,800
National Guard Personnel, Army	14,100
National Guard Personnel, Air Force	5,400
Total, Military Personnel	206,400

#### READINESS

The Committee recommends an additional \$900,000,000 in title VIII and \$3,000,000,000 in title IX of this act to be transferred to the operation and maintenance accounts and be divided proportionately among the services and the National Guard and reserve components. This funding shall be used only to improve military readiness, including increased training, depot maintenance, and base op-

erations support. None of the funding provided may be used for recruiting, marketing, or advertising programs. The funding provided is a congressional special interest item. The Secretary of Defense and the Service Secretaries are directed to submit a detailed spending plan by sub-activity group to the House and Senate Appropriations Committees not less than 30 days prior to the obligation of these funds. These transfers may be implemented 30 days after congressional notification unless an objection is received from either the House or Senate Appropriations Committees.

#### MISSILE DEFENSE AGENCY

Additional Funding Recommendations for Missile Defense Agency.—The fiscal year 2018 President's budget request includes \$7,886,133,000 across the Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide, Procurement, Defense-Wide, Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide and Military Construction appropriation accounts for the Missile Defense Agency [MDA], a decrease of \$334,182,000 from amounts appropriated for fiscal year 2017. Subsequent to enactment of fiscal year 2017 appropriations, Congress approved via above threshold reprogramming \$249,000,000 in additional funding for MDA to initiate an expansion of U.S. missile defense capacity and capability, including the accelerated development and fielding of 20 additional Ground-Based Interceptors with a Redesigned Kill Vehicle; the construction of an additional missile field in Fort Greely, Alaska; and various sensor upgrades. On November 6, 2017, President Trump submitted a fiscal year 2018 budget amendment to Congress requesting additional funds for and Detect Defeat Enhancements,' "Missile which \$2,036,600,000 was requested for MDA to sustain funding for efforts initiated via fiscal year 2017 reprogramming actions, and to procure additional THAAD and SM-3 Block IIA interceptors. The Committee recommends fully funding this request. Additionally, the Committee recommends \$364,700,000 above the budget request in Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide to further accelerate the missile detect and defeat capacity and capability enhancements identified and initiated by MDA.

Additionally, subsequent to enactment of fiscal year 2017 appropriations, Congress approved the use of \$74,100,000 under rapid acquisition authority pursuant to section 806(c)(4) of the Bob Stump National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2003 in support of a U.S. Pacific Command Joint Emerging Operational Need [JEON]. The Committee notes that of \$2,036,600,000 requested for MDA in the November 6, 2017 Missile Detect and Defeat and Enhancements budget amendment for fiscal year 2018, \$126,600,000 is requested to continue funding this JEON, and recommends fully funding this request. Additionally, the Committee recommends \$392,000,000 above the budget request in Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide and in Procurement, Defense-Wide to accelerate and expand fielding of integrated THAAD and Patriot missile defense capabilities in support of the JEON, as identified by MDA.

to include enhanced discrimination capabilities, development and

Further, the Committee recommends \$322,300,000 above the budget request for MDA unfunded requirements and critical needs,

fielding of a radar in Hawaii, increased test capabilities and cyber enhancements. In total, the Committee recommends \$1,079,000,000 above the President's request for U.S. missile defense programs managed by MDA to ensure that U.S. ballistic missile defense capacity and capabilities keep pace with the evolving threat in an in-

creasingly complex global security environment.

U.S.-Israeli Cooperative Missile Defense Programs.—The fiscal year 2018 President's budget request includes \$147,354,000 for the development and procurement of U.S.-Israeli cooperative missile defense programs, a decrease of \$453,381,000 from amounts appropriated for fiscal year 2017. The Committee has received a request from the Government of Israel for an additional \$558,446,000 for these programs and recommends fully funding this request for a total recommendation of \$705,800,000 in fiscal year 2018. The Committee notes that funds recommended in Procurement, Defense-Wide for Iron Dome, Arrow and David's Sling co-production efforts are subject to their respective U.S.-Israeli co-production agreements and notes further that the co-production agreements for Arrow and David's Sling programs are new agreements in fiscal year 2017 and currently under negotiation. As a result, no funds recommended in Procurement, Defense-Wide for co-production of U.S.-Israeli missile defense programs in fiscal year 2017 have been obligated at this time. The Committee expects amendments to these agreements for fiscal year 2018 to be completed in a timely manner to ensure timely fielding of these critical capabilities, accountability of the industrial base and the appropriate phasing of funds.

#### JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER

Joint Strike Fighter Production.—The Fiscal Year 2018 President's budget request includes 70 F–35 Joint Strike Fighters [JSF], 4 fewer than were provided in the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2017 (Public Law 115-31). While the Committee commends the JSF Program Executive Officer [PEO] for changing the Joint Program Office's processes to allow aircraft to be placed on contract in a more timely manner, the Committee remains concerned that programmed quantities of Air Force and Navy aircraft continue to be delayed. In the Fiscal Year 2018 President's budget request, the Air Force delayed procuring 60 F-35A variants in one fiscal year from fiscal year 2021 to fiscal year 2023. Similar to previous years, the Navy continues to delay previously planned production increases of the F-35C carrier variant. As a result and to support the planned fielding of F-35 squadrons, the Committee recommends an additional \$120,000,000 in advance procurement for the F-35A and encourages the Air Force to revisit F-35A procurement quantities in the fiscal year 2019 President's budget request. Further, the Committee recommends \$270,000,000 for 2 additional F-35C carrier variants for the Navy.

Joint Strike Fighter Advance Procurement.—The Fiscal Year 2018 President's budget request includes \$660,989,000 for the procurement of economic order quantities of material and equipment for 207 U.S. F-35 aircraft to be procured in fiscal years 2019 and 2020. The Committee notes that requesting authority for economic order quantity procurement outside of a multi-year procurement re-

quest runs counter to Department of Defense financial management regulations and congressional practice. However, the Committee notes the projected cost savings of this approach—when combined with other acquisition authorities—is in excess of \$1,800,000,000. Therefore, Committee the recommends \$660,989,000 in advance procurement [AP] for 207 U.S. F-35 aircraft planned for procurement in fiscal years 2019 and 2020, as delineated in the fiscal year 2018 budget submission. The Committee notes with concern that in previous years, the Department has repeatedly adjusted F-35 procurement quantities year-over-year, most notably the F-35C variant. In the fiscal year 2018 President's budget request, the Navy plans to procure 9 and 16 F-35C aircraft in fiscal year 2019 and 2020, respectively. Therefore, the Committee directs that of the \$660,989,000 recommended for AP in fiscal year 2018, funds may only be obligated or expended for aircraft quantities budgeted in fiscal years 2019 and 2020 in the Fiscal Year 2019 budget submission, unless the Secretary of Defense submits a written certification to the congressional defense committees that it is in the national interest to procure material and equipment in excess of budgeted aircraft. Further, the Committee directs the F-35 Joint Program Executive Officer to delineate funding in future budget requests for advance procurement by fiscal year in each F-35 advance procurement line.

F-35B Third Life Cycle Test Aircraft.—The Committee understands that as part of the F-35 System Design and Development program, the F-35B has completed its second life cycle test, but that due to configuration changes, a third life cycle test is required. Noting the benefit of this testing to all F-35B customers and the progress of third life testing of the F-35A and F-35C variants, the Committee recommends \$115,000,000 in Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy for an additional F-35B test aircraft to complete 3rd lifecycle testing in a timely manner.

#### SURFACE FORCE INCIDENTS

Funding for Recommendations of the Comprehensive Review of Recent Surface Force Incidents.—The Committee has been briefed on the findings of the "Comprehensive Review of the Recent Surface Force Incidents," which was conducted by the Commander, Fleet Forces Command following three collisions and one grounding involving U.S. Navy ships in the Western Pacific in 2017 that resulted in the tragic deaths of 17 U.S. Navy sailors. In order to begin addressing weaknesses identified in this review, the Committee recommends \$22,593,000 above the budget request in Operation and Maintenance, Navy and in Other Procurement, Navy, consistent with identified Navy requirements for fiscal year 2018. The Committee understands that outyear funding requirements for these efforts exceed \$500,000,000 and expects the Navy to prioritize these investments, consistent with commitments made by the Secretary of the Navy and the Chief of Naval Operations to the Congress to fix the Navy's problems regarding collisions at sea.

#### AVIATION EMERGENT PHYSIOLOGICAL EPISODES

The Committee is extremely concerned about the numerous cases of physiological episodes among military pilots of T-45, F/A-18, F-22, and F-35 aircraft. In some instances, these episodes have grounded entire fleets of aircraft, adding to an already strained pilot training pipeline. The Committee strongly believes that the health and safety of pilots should be a top priority of the Department of Defense and commends the Navy for conducting a comprehensive review earlier this year which examined the facts, circumstances, and processes surrounding the recent physiological episodes. However, the Committee is concerned that the results of this review determined that "the Navy has not yet been able to pin-point a specific root cause of the physiological episodes."

In order to build on the Navy review to determine and remove root cause and initiatives to reliably alert aircrew when malfunctions occur, the Committee recommendation includes a total of \$129,350,000 above the fiscal year 2018 President's budget request in the Operation and Maintenance, Navy; Aircraft Procurement, Navy; Other Procurement, Navy; and Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy accounts. The Committee expects the Secretary of the Navy, in coordination with the Secretary of the Army, Secretary of the Air Force, and Secretary of Defense, to keep the congressional defense committees informed of future resourcing re-

quirements to solve this ongoing problem.

#### BIG DATA ANALYTICS STRATEGY

The Committee recognizes that data is an invaluable asset to the Department of Defense. Modern technology tools gained through hyper-scale cloud computing like big data analytics, machine-learning technologies, and artificial intelligence software represent an unprecedented opportunity to leverage this data, stay ahead of adversaries, and enable both offensive and defensive capabilities for the warfighter. The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to conduct a review of the adoption of hyper-scale cloud computing in the Department of Defense and to provide a report detailing the results of the review to the congressional defense committees not later than 60 days after the enactment of this act.

#### ABILITYONE CONTRACTING

The Committee understands the importance of increasing employment and training opportunities for persons who are blind or have other significant disabilities through the purchase of products and services from qualified nonprofit agencies employing such individuals. Federal Acquisition Regulation [FAR] 8.002 Priorities for Use of Mandatory Sources affords procurement priority to "Supplies that are on the Procurement List maintained by the Committee for Purchase From People Who Are Blind or Severely Disabled." Further, the Javit's Wagner O'Day [JWOD] Act, 41 U.S.C. Ch. 85, requires said Committee to maintain a Procurement List [PL] of products and services which shall be procured by Government departments and agencies under the JWOD Act. Products listed on the PL are mandatory if the Government has a requirement to purchase those products.

The Committee believes that Federal procurement systems, including e-Commerce on-line storefronts (e.g. GSA Advantage, FedMall), and AbilityOne Program Base Supply Centers [BSC] should implement policies and procedures that ensure the purchase priorities in FAR 8.002 are fully implemented. In accordance with the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2017 section 898, which established the "Panel on DoD and AbilityOne Contracting Oversight, Accountability, and Integrity," the Committee directs the Panel to report to the congressional defense committees not later than one year after the enactment of this act. The report should include a review of Federal Government on-line storefronts and BSCs and their compliance with FAR 8.002 purchase priorities. In addition, the report should also consider whether establishing an AbilityOne Program goal of 2 percent of the total value of prime contract awards for each fiscal year would help to increase employment opportunities for individuals who are blind, have significant disabilities, or are veterans with disabilities.

#### TITLE I

#### MILITARY PERSONNEL

Funds appropriated under this title provide the resources required for basic pay, retired pay accrual, employers' contribution for Social Security taxes, basic allowance for subsistence, basic allowance for housing, special and incentive pays, permanent change of station travel, and other personnel costs for uniformed members of the Armed Forces.

The President's fiscal year 2018 budget requests a total of \$133,881,636,000 for military personnel appropriations. This request funds an Active component end strength of 1,314,000 and a Reserve component end strength of 815,900.

#### SUMMARY OF COMMITTEE ACTION

The Committee recommends military personnel appropriations totaling \$133,632,584,000 for fiscal year 2018. This is \$249,052,000 below the budget estimate.

Committee recommended military personnel appropriations for fiscal year 2018 are summarized below:

#### SUMMARY OF MILITARY PERSONNEL APPROPRIATIONS

[In thousands of dollars]

Account	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
Military Personnel:			
Military Personnel, Army	41,533,674	41,919,855	+ 386,181
Military Personnel, Navy	28,917,918	28,756,535	- 161,383
Military Personnel, Marine Corps	13,278,714	13,226,114	- 52,600
Military Personnel, Air Force	28,962,740	28,807,440	- 155,300
Reserve Personnel:			
Reserve Personnel, Army	4,804,628	4,720,608	- 84,020
Reserve Personnel, Navy	2,000,362	1,984,672	-15,690
Reserve Personnel, Marine Corps	766,703	767,903	+1,200
Reserve Personnel, Air Force	1,824,334	1,802,554	-21,780
National Guard Personnel:			
National Guard Personnel, Army	8.379.376	8.240.036	- 139.340
National Guard Personnel, Air Force	3,413,187	3,406,867	-6,320
Total	133,881,636	133,632,584	- 249,052

Committee recommended end strengths for fiscal year 2018 are summarized below:

#### RECOMMENDED END STRENGTH

	2017	2018 budget	Committee	Change from
	authorization	estimate	recommendation	budget estimate
Active: Army	476,000	476,000	483,500	+ 7,500

13
RECOMMENDED END STRENGTH—Continued

	2017 authorization	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
Navy Marine Corps Air Force	323,900 185,000 321,000	327,900 185,000 325,100	327,900 186,000 325,100	+ 1,000
Subtotal	1,305,900	1,314,000	1,322,500	+ 8,500
Selected Reserve: Army Reserve Navy Reserve Marine Corps Reserve Air Force Reserve Army National Guard Air National Guard	199,000 58,000 38,500 69,000 343,000 105,700	199,000 59,000 38,500 69,800 343,000 106,600	199,500 59,000 38,500 69,800 343,500 106,600	+ 500 
Subtotal	813,200	815,900	816,900	+ 1,000
Total	2,119,100	2,129,900	2,139,400	+ 9,500

Committee recommended end strengths for full-time support of the Reserve and Guard for fiscal year 2018 are summarized below:

#### RECOMMENDED ACTIVE GUARD AND RESERVE END STRENGTH

	2017 authorization	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
Active Guard and Reserve:				
Army Reserve	16,261	16,261	16,261	
Navy Reserve	9,955	10,101	10,101	
Marine Corps Reserve	2,261	2,261	2,261	
Air Force Reserve	2,955	3,588	3,588	
Army National Guard	30,155	30,155	30,155	
Air National Guard	14,764	16,260	16,260	
Totals:	76,351	78,626	78,626	

#### REPROGRAMMING GUIDANCE FOR MILITARY PERSONNEL ACCOUNTS

The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to submit the Base for Reprogramming (DD Form 1414) for each of the fiscal year 2018 appropriation accounts not later than 60 days after the enactment of this act. The Secretary of Defense is prohibited from executing any reprogramming or transfer of funds for any purpose other than originally appropriated until the aforementioned report is submitted to the House and Senate Appropriations Committees. The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to use the normal prior approval reprogramming procedures to transfer funds in the services' military personnel accounts between budget activities in excess of \$10,000,000.

#### MILITARY PERSONNEL SPECIAL INTEREST ITEMS

Items for which additional funds have been provided or have been specifically reduced as shown in the project level tables or in paragraphs using the phrase "only for" or "only to" in this report are congressional special interest items for the purpose of the Base for Reprogramming (DD Form 1414). Each of these items must be carried on the DD Form 1414 at the stated amount as specifically

addressed in the Committee report. Below Threshold Reprogrammings may not be used to either restore or reduce funding from congressional special interest items as identified on the DD Form 1414.

#### MILITARY PERSONNEL OVERVIEW

Reserve Component Budget Reporting.—The Committee continues its requirement for the Department to provide a semi-annual detailed report to the congressional defense committees showing transfers between subactivities within the military personnel appropriation. Reports shall be submitted not later than 30 days following the end of the second quarter and 30 days following the end of the fiscal year.

Unobligated Balances.—A Government Accountability Office analysis of past year obligation rates shows that the services continue to underexecute their military personnel accounts. Due to excess unobligated balances, the Committee recommends a total reduction of \$868,870,000 from the fiscal year 2018 military personnel accounts.

Advanced Trauma Training Program for National Guard and Reserve.—The Committee recognizes the valuable support universities, hospitals, and other military partners provide by offering civilian-based emergency response trauma training and critical care training including public health, bio-environmental, and biomedical instruction to sustain medics' and medical providers' capabilities of the National Guard Enhanced Response Forces Packages [CERFP], National Guard Homeland Response Forces [NGHRF], the Army Reserve Consequence Management Response Forces [CCMRF] and other National Guard and Reserves medical conversion/readiness requirements. The Committee encourages the National Guard and Reserves to continue pursuing state-of-the-art trauma training with these civilian partners, thus maintaining unit medical readiness postures at optimum levels as military healthcare providers in CERFPs, NGHRFs, and CCMRFs maintain their individual skills to respond effectively to emergency incidents on the homeland. Furthermore, in order to minimize the civilian-military operational gaps in the event of a catastrophic incident, the Committee also encourages the development of enhanced medical and critical care preparedness medical training programs focusing on public health curriculums and the epidemiology of public health diseases, mass casualty triage, advanced disaster life support, advanced hazardous material life support, emergency dental, and psychological health by increasing civilian-based advanced trauma expertise gained through day-to-day experiences and medical research programs.

#### MILITARY PERSONNEL, ARMY

Appropriations, 2017	\$40,042,962,000
Budget estimate, 2018	41,533,674,000
Committee recommendation	41,919,855,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$41,919,855,000. This is \$368,181,000 above the budget estimate.

# COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

Line	ltem	2018 budget	Committee	Change from
		estimate	recommendation	budget estimate
	MILITARY PERSONNEL, ARMY			
-	ACTIVITY 1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS	7 004 000	7 004 000	
5	BASIC PAY	7,024,696	7,024,696	
10	RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	1,998,990	1,998,990	
11	THRIFT SAVINGS PLAN MATCHING CONTRIBUTIONS	76,094	76,094	
25	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	2,178,830	2,178,830	
30	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	285,298	285,298	
35	INCENTIVE PAYS	85,272	85,272	
40 45	SPECIAL PAYSALLOWANCES	378,874 182,527	378,874 182,527	
50	SEPARATION PAY			
55	SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	107,732 534,396	107,732 534,396	
33	SUCIAL SECURITI TAX	334,330	334,330	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	12,852,709	12,852,709	
	'	12,002,700	12,002,700	
	ACTIVITY 2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
60	BASIC PAY	12,948,769	12,948,769	
65	RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	3,686,753	3,686,753	
66	THRIFT SAVINGS PLAN MATCHING CONTRIBUTIONS	136,998	136,998	
80	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	4,588,794	4,588,794	
85	INCENTIVE PAYS	94,105	94,105	
90	SPECIAL PAYS	1,294,027	1,294,027	
95	ALLOWANCES	713,047	713,047	
100	SEPARATION PAY	484,510	484,510	
105	SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	990,581	990,581	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2	24,937,584	24,937,584	
	·	21,007,001	21,007,001	
	ACTIVITY 3: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF CADETS			
110	ACADEMY CADETS	82,393	82,393	
	ACTIVITY 4: SUBSISTENCE OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
115	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	1,254,144	1,254,144	
120	SUBSISTENCE-IN-KIND	606,660	606,660	
121	FAMILY SUBSISTENCE SUPPLEMENTAL ALLOWANCE	601	601	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	1,861,405	1,861,405	
	ACTIVITY 5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION			
125	ACCESSION TRAVEL	179,209	179,209	
130	TRAINING TRAVEL	150,368	150,368	
135	OPERATIONAL TRAVEL	379,251	379,251	
140	ROTATIONAL TRAVEL	730,865	730,865	
145	SEPARATION TRAVEL	273,871	273,871	
150	TRAVEL OF ORGANIZED UNITS	4,170	4,170	
155	NON-TEMPORARY STORAGE	12,653	12,653	
160	TEMPORARY LODGING EXPENSE	36,983	36,983	
		4 = 4 = -	4 = 4 = 4 = -	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 5	1,767,370	1,767,370	
	ACTIVITY 6: OTHER MILITARY PERSONNEL COSTS			
170	APPREHENSION OF MILITARY DESERTERS	309	309	
175	INTEREST ON UNIFORMED SERVICES SAVINGS	160	160	
180	DEATH GRATUITIES	40,700	40,700	
185	UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS	107,682	107,682	
195	EDUCATION BENEFITS	16,091	16,091	
200	ADOPTION EXPENSES	603	603	
210	TRANSPORTATION SUBSIDY	7,884	7,884	
215	PARTIAL DISLOCATION ALLOWANCE	64	64	
217	RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS (ROTC)	101,008	101,008	

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
218	JUNIOR ROTC	28,037	28,037	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 6	302,538	302,538	
	LESS REIMBURSABLES	- 270,325	-270,325	
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT		-106,419	-106,419
	MILITARY PAY RAISE		63,600	+63,600
	AUTHORIZED END STRENGTH INCREASE		429,000	+ 429,000
	TOTAL, ACTIVE FORCES, ARMY	41,533,674	41,919,855	+ 386,181
	TOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL, ARMY	41,533,674	41,919,855	+ 386,181

# COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
UNDIST	Improving funds management: Enlisted workyear variance Improving funds management: Historical unobligated bal-			- 31,299
UNDIST	ances Program increase: Military pay raise			- 75,120 + 63.600
UNDIST				+ 429,000

#### MILITARY PERSONNEL, NAVY

Appropriations, 2017	\$27,889,405,000
Budget estimate, 2018	28,917,918,000
Committee recommendation	28.756.535.000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$28,756,535,000. This is \$161,383,000 below the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	MILITARY PERSONNEL, NAVY			
	ACTIVITY 1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS			
5	BASIC PAY	4,250,732	4,250,732	
10	RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	1,209,645	1,209,645	
11	THRIFT SAVINGS PLAN MATCHING CONTRIBUTIONS	46,944	46,944	
25	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	1,554,695	1,554,695	
30	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	171,681	171,681	
35	INCENTIVE PAYS	131,251	131,251	
40	SPECIAL PAYS	445,426	445,426	
45	ALLOWANCES	120,469	120,469	
50	SEPARATION PAY	43,709	43,709	
55	SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	324,231	324,231	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	8,298,783	8,298,783	

 $17 \\ \hbox{[In thousands of dollars]}$ 

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	ACTIVITY 2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
60	BASIC PAY	9,165,195	9,165,195	
65	RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	2,611,852	2,611,852	
66	THRIFT SAVINGS PLAN MATCHING CONTRIBUTIONS	102,201	102,201	
80	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	4,382,826	4,382,826	
85	INCENTIVE PAYS	104,363	104,363	
90	SPECIAL PAYS	798,735	798,735	
95	ALLOWANCES	589,072	589,072	
100	SEPARATION PAY	138,013	138,013	
105	SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	701,137	701,137	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2	18,593,394	18,593,394	
	ACTIVITY 3: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF MIDSHIPMEN			
110	MIDSHIPMEN	81,501	81,501	
	ACTIVITY 4: SUBSISTENCE OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
115	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	803,889	803,889	
120	SUBSISTENCE-IN-KIND	415,383	415,383	
121	FAMILY SUBSISTENCE SUPPLEMENTAL ALLOWANCE	10	10	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	1,219,282	1,219,282	
	ACTIVITY 5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION			
125	ACCESSION TRAVEL	92,004	92,004	
130	TRAINING TRAVEL	88,677	88,677	
135	OPERATIONAL TRAVEL	219,686	219,686	
140	ROTATIONAL TRAVEL	347,267	347,267	
145	SEPARATION TRAVEL	118,410	118,410	
150	TRAVEL OF ORGANIZED UNITS	30,884	30,884	
155	NON-TEMPORARY STORAGE	12,673	12,673	
160	TEMPORARY LODGING EXPENSE	17,850	17,850	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 5	927,451	927,451	
	ACTIVITY 6: OTHER MILITARY PERSONNEL COSTS			
170	APPREHENSION OF MILITARY DESERTERS	59	59	
175	INTEREST ON UNIFORMED SERVICES SAVINGS	1,162	1,162	
180	DEATH GRATUITIES	18,500	18,500	
185	UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS	65,326	65,326	
195	EDUCATION BENEFITS	16,736	16,736	
200	ADOPTION EXPENSES	223	223	
210	TRANSPORTATION SUBSIDY	4,926	4,926	
215	PARTIAL DISLOCATION ALLOWANCE	10	10	
217	RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS (ROTC)	20,536	20,536	
218	JUNIOR ROTC	15,410	15,410	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 6	142,888	142,888	
	LESS REIMBURSABLES	- 345,381	- 345,381	
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT		- 203,583	- 203,583
	MILITARY PAY RAISE		42,200	+ 42,200
	TOTAL, ACTIVE FORCES, NAVY	28,917,918	28,756,535	- 161,383
	TOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL, NAVY	28,917,918	28,756,535	- 161,383
	,			

# COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
UNDIST	Improving funds management: Officer and enlisted workyear variance			- 17,583
UNDIST	Improving funds management: Historical unobligated bal- ances			- 186,000
UNDIST	Program increase: Military pay raise			+ 42,200

# MILITARY PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS

Appropriations, 2017	\$12,735,182,000
Budget estimate, 2018	13,278,714,000
Committee recommendation	13,226,114,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$13,226,114,000. This is \$52,600,000 below the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	MILITARY PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS			
5	ACTIVITY 1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS BASIC PAY	1,581,886	1,581,886	
10	RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	450,292	450,292	
11	THRIFT SAVINGS PLAN MATCHING CONTRIBUTIONS	17,859	17,859	
25	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	535,011	535,011	
30	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	66,887	66,887	
35	INCENTIVE PAYS	36,374	36,374	
40	SPECIAL PAYS	3,333	3,333	
45	ALLOWANCES	43,841	43,841	
50	SEPARATION PAY	13,257	13,257	
55	SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	120,531	120,531	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	2,869,271	2,869,271	
	ACTIVITY 2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
60	BASIC PAY	4,980,929	4,980,929	
65	RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	1,416,193	1,416,193	
66	THRIFT SAVINGS PLAN MATCHING CONTRIBUTIONS	55,287	55,287	
80	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	1,620,934	1,620,934	
85	INCENTIVE PAYS	9,137	9,137	
90	SPECIAL PAYS	144,597	144,597	
95	ALLOWANCES	319,915	319,915	
100	SEPARATION PAY	90,030	90,030	
105	SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	380,478	380,478	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2	9,017,500	9,017,500	
	ACTIVITY 4: SUBSISTENCE OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
115	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	450,121	450,121	
120	SUBSISTENCE-IN-KIND	415,759	415,759	
121	FAMILY SUBSISTENCE SUPPLEMENTAL ALLOWANCE	10	10	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	865,890	865,890	
	ACTIVITY 5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION			
125	ACCESSION TRAVEL	55,098	55,098	
130	TRAINING TRAVEL	18,718	18,718	
135	OPERATIONAL TRAVEL	184,003	184,003	

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
140	ROTATIONAL TRAVEL	120,351	120,351	
145	SEPARATION TRAVEL	93,216	93,216	
150	TRAVEL OF ORGANIZED UNITS	4,671	4,671	
160	TEMPORARY LODGING EXPENSE	5,578	5,578	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 5	481,635	481,635	
	ACTIVITY 6: OTHER MILITARY PERSONNEL COSTS			
170	APPREHENSION OF MILITARY DESERTERS	326	326	
175	INTEREST ON UNIFORMED SERVICES SAVINGS	19	19	
180	DEATH GRATUITIES	12,900	12,900	
185	UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS	46,624	46,624	
195	EDUCATION BENEFITS	8,591	8,591	
200	ADOPTION EXPENSES	92	92	
210	TRANSPORTATION SUBSIDY	1,986	1,986	
215	PARTIAL DISLOCATION ALLOWANCE	103	103	
218	JUNIOR ROTC	4,408	4,408	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 6	75,049	75,049	
	LESS REIMBURSABLES	-30,631	-30,631	
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT		-111,000	-111,000
	MILITARY PAY RAISE		21,000	+21,000
	AUTHORIZED END STRENGTH INCREASE		37,400	+ 37,400
	TOTAL, ACTIVE FORCES, MARINE CORPS	13,278,714	13,226,114	- 52,600
	TOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS	13,278,714	13,226,114	- 52,600

# COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
UNDIST UNDIST	Improving funds management: Enlisted workyear variance Improving funds management: Historical unobligated bal-			-1,000
	ances			-110,000
UNDIST	Program increase: Military pay raise			+ 21,000
UNDIST	Program increase: Authorized end strength increase			+ 37,400

# MILITARY PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2017	\$27,958,795,000
Budget estimate, 2018	28,962,740,000
Committee recommendation	28 807 440 000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$28,807,440,000. This is \$155,300,000 below the budget estimate.

# COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

20

	[In thousands of dollars]				
Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate	
	MILITARY PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE				
	ACTIVITY 1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS				
5	BASIC PAY	4,969,886	4,969,886		
10	RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	1,407,970	1,407,970		
11	THRIFT SAVINGS PLAN MATCHING CONTRIBUTIONS	55,447	55,447		
25	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	1,524,644	1,524,644		
30	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	198,005	198,005		
35	INCENTIVE PAYS	236,704	236,704		
40 45	SPECIAL PAYSALLOWANCES	336,933 112,425	336,933 112,425		
50	SEPARATION PAY	48,922	48,922		
55	SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	379,552	379,552		
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	9,270,488	9,270,488		
	ACTIVITY 2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL				
60	BASIC PAY	9,209,338	9,209,338		
65	RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	2,617,286	2,617,286		
66	THRIFT SAVINGS PLAN MATCHING CONTRIBUTIONS	100,601	100,601		
80 85	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSINGINCENTIVE PAYS	3,739,482	3,739,482 40.719		
90	SPECIAL PAYS	40,719 481,217	40,719		
95	ALLOWANCES	530,970	530,970		
100	SEPARATION PAY	130,904	130,904		
105	SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	704,515	704,515		
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2	17,555,032	17,555,032		
110	ACTIVITY 3: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF CADETS	70.000	70.000		
110	ACADEMY CADETS	78,280	78,280		
	ACTIVITY 4: SUBSISTENCE OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL	1 000 000	1 000 000		
115 120	Basic Allowance for Subsistence	1,029,962 136,688	1,029,962 136,688		
121	FAMILY SUBSISTENCE SUPPLEMENTAL ALLOWANCE	130,000	130,000		
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	1,166,659	1,166,659		
		1,100,000	1,100,000		
125	ACTIVITY 5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION ACCESSION TRAVEL	100 740	100 740		
130	TRAINING TRAVEL	106,749 69,348	106,749 69,348		
135	OPERATIONAL TRAVEL	280,290	280,290		
140	ROTATIONAL TRAVEL	572,460	572,460		
145	SEPARATION TRAVEL	159,066	159,066		
150	TRAVEL OF ORGANIZED UNITS	7,422	7,422		
155	NON-TEMPORARY STORAGE	26,779	26,779		
160	TEMPORARY LODGING EXPENSE	35,420	35,420		
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 5	1,257,534	1,257,534		
170	ACTIVITY 6: OTHER MILITARY PERSONNEL COSTS	10	10		
170 175	APPREHENSION OF MILITARY DESERTERSINTEREST ON UNIFORMED SERVICES SAVINGS	16	16 2.691		
175	DEATH GRATUITIES	2,691 14,500	2,691 14,500		
185	UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS	33,916	33,916		
195	EDUCATION BENEFITS	75	75		
200	ADOPTION EXPENSES	460	460		
210	TRANSPORTATION SUBSIDY	4,841	4,841		
215	PARTIAL DISLOCATION ALLOWANCE	421	421		
217	RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS (ROTC)	29,557	29,557		
218	JUNIOR ROTC	18,530	18,530		
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 6	105,007	105,007		
	LESS REIMBURSABLES	<b>- 470,260</b>	<b>- 470,260</b>		
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT		-200,000	-200,000	

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	MILITARY PAY RAISE		44,700	+ 44,700
	TOTAL, ACTIVE FORCES, AIR FORCE	28,962,740	28,807,440	- 155,300
	TOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE	28,962,740	28,807,440	- 155,300

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
UNDIST	Improving funds management: Historical unobligated balances			- 200.000
UNDIST	Program increase: Military pay raise			+ 44,700

# RESERVE PERSONNEL, ARMY

Appropriations, 2017	\$4,524,863,000
Budget estimate, 2018	4,804,628,000
Committee recommendation	4,720,608,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$4,720,608,000. This is \$84,020,000 below the budget estimate.

# COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	RESERVE PERSONNEL, ARMY			
	ACTIVITY 1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT			
10	PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS & DRILLS 24/48)	1,642,326	1,632,326	-10,000
20	PAY GROUP B TRAINING (BACKFILL FOR ACTIVE DUTY)	42,649	42,649	
30	PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS)	256,000	246,000	-10,000
40	PAY GROUP P TRAINING (PIPELINE RECRUITS)	13,204	13,204	
60	MOBILIZATION TRAINING	332	332	
70	SCHOOL TRAINING	243,143	243,143	
80	SPECIAL TRAINING	264,269	264,269	
90	ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT	2,170,749	2,170,749	
94	THRIFT SAVINGS PLAN MATCHING CONTRIBUTIONS	19,894	19,894	
100	EDUCATION BENEFITS	32,688	32,688	
120	HEALTH PROFESSION SCHOLARSHIP	63,577	63,577	
130	OTHER PROGRAMS	55,797	55,797	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	4,804,628	4,784,628	- 20,000
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT		- 84,520	- 84,520
	MILITARY PAY RAISE		8,400	+ 8,400
	AUTHORIZED END STRENGTH INCREASE		12,100	+ 12,100
	TOTAL RESERVE PERSONNEL, ARMY	4,804,628	4,720,608	- 84,020

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
10	BA 1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT Pay Group A Training (15 Days & Drills 24/48) Improving funds management: Participation rate over-	1,642,326	1,632,326	- 10,000
	estimation			-10,000
30	Pay Group F Training (Recruits)	256,000	246,000	-10,000
	Maintain program affordability: Excess growth			-10,000
UNDIST	Improving funds management: Historical unobligated balances		- 84,520	- 84,520
UNDIST	Program increase: Military pay raise		8,400	+8,400
UNDIST	Program increase: Authorized end strength increase		12,100	+ 12,100

# RESERVE PERSONNEL, NAVY

Appropriations, 2017	\$1,921,045,000
Budget estimate, 2018	2,000,362,000
Committee recommendation	1,984,672,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,984,672,000. This is \$15,690,000 below the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	RESERVE PERSONNEL, NAVY			
	ACTIVITY 1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT			
10	PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS & DRILLS 24/48)	671,515	671,515	
20	PAY GROUP B TRAINING (BACKFILL FOR ACTIVE DUTY)	7,972	7,972	
30	PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS)	62,459	62,459	
60	MOBILIZATION TRAINING	10,029	10,029	
70	SCHOOL TRAINING	52,423	52,423	
80	SPECIAL TRAINING	107,811	107,811	
90	ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT	1,026,549	1,026,549	
94	THRIFT SAVINGS PLAN MATCHING CONTRIBUTIONS	7,802	7,802	
100	EDUCATION BENEFITS	77	77	
120	HEALTH PROFESSION SCHOLARSHIP	53,725	53,725	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	2,000,362	2,000,362	
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT		- 18.690	- 18.690
	MILITARY PAY RAISE		3,000	+ 3,000
	TOTAL, RESERVE PERSONNEL, NAVY	2,000,362	1,984,672	- 15,690

### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

#### [In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
UNDIST	Improving funds management: Historical unobligated		- 18.690	- 18.690
UNDIST	Program increase: Military pay raise		3,000	+3,000

# RESERVE PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS

Appropriations, 2017	\$744,795,000
Budget estimate, 2018	766,703,000
Committee recommendation	767,903,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$767,903,000. This is \$1,200,000 above the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	RESERVE PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS			
	ACTIVITY 1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT			
10	PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS & DRILLS 24/48)	277,010	277,010	
20	PAY GROUP B TRAINING (BACKFILL FOR ACT DUTY)	41,817	41,817	
30	PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS)	126,184	126,184	
60	MOBILIZATION TRAINING	1,969	1,969	
70	SCHOOL TRAINING	25,294	25,294	
80	SPECIAL TRAINING	39,809	39,809	
90	ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT	239,298	239,298	
94	THRIFT SAVINGS PLAN MATCHING CONTRIBUTIONS	2,640	2,640	
95	PLATOON LEADER CLASS	8,828	8,828	
100	EDUCATION BENEFITS	3,854	3,854	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	766,703	766,703	
	MILITARY PAY RAISE		1,200	+ 1,200
	TOTAL, RESERVE PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS	766,703	767,903	+ 1,200

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
UNDIST	Program increase: Military pay raise		1,200	+ 1,200

#### RESERVE PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2017	\$1,725,526,000
Budget estimate, 2018	1,824,334,000
Committee recommendation	1,802,554,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,802,554,000. This is \$21,780,000 below the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	RESERVE PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE			
	ACTIVITY 1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT			
10	PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS & DRILLS 24/48)	700,605	700,605	
20	PAY GROUP B TRAINING (BACKFILL FOR ACTIVE DUTY)	100,151	100,151	
30	PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS)	58,268	58,268	
40	PAY GROUP P TRAINING (PIPELINE RECRUITS)	2,390	2,390	
60	MOBILIZATION TRAINING	709	709	
70	SCHOOL TRAINING	156,088	156,088	
80	SPECIAL TRAINING	262,850	262,850	
90	ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT	465,255	465,255	
94	THRIFT SAVINGS PLAN MATCHING CONTRIBUTIONS	5,304	5,304	
100	EDUCATION BENEFITS	16,322	16,322	
120	HEALTH PROFESSION SCHOLARSHIP	53,326	53,326	
130	OTHER PROGRAMS (ADMIN & SUPPORT)	3,066	3,066	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	1,824,334	1,824,334	
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT		- 24,580	<b>- 24,580</b>
	MILITARY PAY RAISE		2,800	+ 2,800
	TOTAL, RESERVE PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE	1,824,334	1,802,554	- 21,780

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
UNDIST	Improving funds management: Historical unobligated			
	balances		-24,580	-24,580
UNDIST	Program increase: Military pay raise		2,800	+ 2,800

# NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, ARMY

Appropriations, 2017	\$7,899,423,000
Budget estimate, 2018	8,379,376,000
Committee recommendation	8,240,036,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$8,240,036,000. This is \$139,340,000 below the budget estimate.

# COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

#### [In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, ARMY			
	ACTIVITY 1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT			
10	PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS & DRILLS 24/48)	2,623,904	2,623,904	
30	PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS)	589,009	589,009	
40	PAY GROUP P TRAINING (PIPELINE RECRUITS)	46,426	46,426	
70	SCHOOL TRAINING	570,713	570,713	
80	SPECIAL TRAINING	697,050	694,050	- 3,000
90	ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT	3,739,553	3,739,553	
94	THRIFT SAVINGS PLAN MATCHING CONTRIBUTIONS	32,437	32,437	
100	EDUCATION BENEFITS	80,284	80,284	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	8,379,376	8,376,376	- 3,000
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT		- 156.440	- 156.440
	TRAUMA TRAINING		1.800	+ 1,800
	MILITARY PAY RAISE		14,100	+ 14,100
	AUTHORIZED END STRENGTH INCREASE		4,200	+ 4,200
	TOTAL, NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, ARMY	8,379,376	8,240,036	- 139,340

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
80	BA 1: ARMY NATIONAL GUARD TRAINING AND SUPPORT Special Training Improving funds management: Exercise overestimation	697,050	694,050	- 3,000 - 15,000
UNDIST	Program increase: Cyber protection teams Improving funds management: Historical unobligated		— 156.440	+ 12,000 - 156.440
UNDIST UNDIST UNDIST	Program increase: Trauma training		1,800 14,100 4,200	+ 1,800 + 14,100 + 4,200

# NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2017	\$3,283,982,000
Budget estimate, 2018	3,413,187,000
Committee recommendation	3,406,867,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$3,406,867,000. This is \$6,320,000 below the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE			
10	ACTIVITY 1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS & DRILLS 24/48)	980.536	980.536	

26

#### [In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
30 40 70 80 90 94 100	PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS) PAY GROUP P TRAINING (PIPELINE RECRUITS) SCHOOL TRAINING SPECIAL TRAINING ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT THRIFT SAVINGS PLAN MATCHING CONTRIBUTIONS EDUCATION BENEFITS	88,496 8,684 343,710 175,589 1,782,793 13,814 19,565	88,496 8,684 343,710 175,589 1,782,793 13,814 19,565	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	3,413,187	3,413,187 - 13,520 1,800 5,400 3,406,867	- 13,520 + 1,800 + 5,400 - 6,320

# COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

# The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
UNDIST	Improving funds management: Historical unobligated balances		- 13,520	- 13.520
UNDIST UNDIST	Program increase: Trauma training Program increase: Military pay raise		1,800 5,400	+ 1,800 + 5,400

#### TITLE II

#### OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

Funds appropriated under this title provide the resources required to prepare for and conduct combat operations and other peace time missions. These funds are used to purchase fuel and spare parts for training operations, pay supporting civilian personnel, and purchase supplies, equipment, and service contracts for the repair of weapons and facilities.

The President's fiscal year 2018 budget requests a total of \$188,570,298,000 for operation and maintenance appropriations.

#### SUMMARY OF COMMITTEE ACTION

The Committee recommends operation and maintenance appropriations totaling \$191,917,176,000 for fiscal year 2018. This is \$3,346,878,000 above the budget estimate.

Committee recommended operation and maintenance appropriations for fiscal year 2018 are summarized below:

#### SUMMARY OF OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE APPROPRIATIONS

Account	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
Operation and Maintenance:			
Operation and Maintenance, Army	38,945,417	39,780,856	+ 835,439
Operation and Maintenance, Navy	45,439,407	46,309,702	+ 870,295
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps	6,933,408	6,841,008	<b>- 92,400</b>
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force	39,429,232	40,751,433	+1,322,201
Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide	34,585,817	34,010,337	- 575,480
Operation and Maintenance, Army Reserve	2,906,842	2,902,504	-4,338
Operation and Maintenance, Navy Reserve	1,084,007	1,062,707	-21,300
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve	278,837	279,914	+ 1,077
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force Reserve	3,267,507	3,227,407	-40,100
Operation and Maintenance, Army National Guard	7,307,170	7,500,220	+ 193,050
Operation and Maintenance, Air National Guard	6,939,968	7,090,368	+ 150,400
United States Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces	14,538	14,538	
Environmental Restoration, Army	215,809	215,809	
Environmental Restoration, Navy	281,415	323,649	+ 42,234
Environmental Restoration, Air Force	293,749	337,549	+ 43,800
Environmental Restoration, Defense-Wide	9,002	9,002	
Environmental Restoration, Formerly Used Defense Sites	208,673	215,673	+7,000
Overseas Humanitarian, Disaster, and Civic Aid	104,900	119,900	+ 15,000
Cooperative Threat Reduction Account	324,600	324,600	
Department of Defense Acquisition Workforce Development Fund		600,000	+ 600,000
Total	188,570,298	191,917,176	+ 3,346,878

# REPROGRAMMING GUIDANCE FOR OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE ACCOUNTS

The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to submit the Base for Reprogramming (DD Form 1414) for each of the fiscal year 2018 appropriation accounts not later than 60 days after the enactment of this act. The Secretary of Defense is prohibited from executing any reprogramming or transfer of funds for any purpose other than originally appropriated until the aforementioned report is submitted to the House and Senate Appropriations Committees. The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to use the nor-

The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to use the normal prior approval reprogramming procedures to transfer funds in the services' operation and maintenance accounts between O-1 budget activities, or between subactivity groups in the case of Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide, in excess of \$15,000,000. During fiscal year 2018, the Committee directs the Service Secre-

During fiscal year 2018, the Committee directs the Service Secretaries to submit written notification to the congressional defense committees not later than 15 days prior to implementing transfers in excess of \$15,000,000 out of the following readiness budget subactivities:

#### Army:

Maneuver units

Modular support brigades

Aviation Assets

Land forces operations support

Force readiness operations support

Land forces depot maintenance

Facilities sustainment, restoration and modernization

Specialized skill training

# Navy:

Mission and other flight operations

Fleet air training

Aircraft depot maintenance

Mission and other ship operations

Ship depot maintenance

Facilities sustainment, restoration and modernization

Marine Corps:

Operational forces

Field logistics

Depot maintenance

Facilities sustainment, restoration and modernization

#### Air Force:

Primary combat forces

Combat enhancement forces

Depot maintenance

Facilities sustainment, restoration and modernization

Contractor logistics support and system support

Flying hour program

Additionally, the Secretary of the Army shall submit written notification to the congressional defense committees not later than 15 days prior to implementing transfers in excess of \$15,000,000 into the following budget sub-activities:

Operation and Maintenance, Army:

Other personnel support

Operation and Maintenance, Army National Guard:

Other personnel support

The Committee further directs the Service Secretaries to include an enclosure with each written notification that includes: (1) increases and decreases by sub-activity group, (2) detailed justification explaining why the sources are available and why the increase is necessary, and (3) an explanation of the impact on resources included in the fiscal year 2019 budget request for each increase and decrease entry.

All transfers may be implemented 15 days after a congressional notification unless an objection is received from one of the congressional defense committees.

#### OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE BUDGET EXECUTION DATA

The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to continue to provide the congressional defense committees with quarterly budget execution data. Such data should be provided not later than 45 days after the close of each quarter of the fiscal year, and should be provided for each O-1 budget activity, activity group, and subactivity group for each of the active, defense-wide, reserve, and National Guard components. For each O-1 budget activity, activity group, and sub-activity group, these reports should include the budget request and actual obligation amount, the distribution of unallocated congressional adjustments to the budget request, all adjustments made by the Department in establishing the Base for Reprogramming (DD Form 1414) report, all adjustments resulting from below threshold reprogrammings, and all adjustments resulting from prior approval reprogramming requests.

#### OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE SPECIAL INTEREST ITEMS

Items for which additional funds have been provided or have been specifically reduced as shown in the project level tables or in paragraphs using the phrase "only for" or "only to" in the Committee report are congressional special interest items for the purpose of the Base for Reprogramming (DD Form 1414). Each of these items must be carried on the DD Form 1414 at the stated amount as specifically addressed in the Committee report. Below Threshold Reprogrammings may not be used to either restore or reduce funding from congressional special interest items as identified on the DD Form 1414.

#### OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE OVERVIEW

Civilian Workforce.—The Committee expects the Department of Defense to maintain a stable, effective, right-sized civilian cadre. The Committee further expects the hiring process to be responsive and efficient in order to build the workforce needed to achieve its mission and strategic goals. The Committee recognizes the critical role that the civilian workforce plays every day in ensuring the mission success of the Armed Forces and fully funds the 1.9 percent pay raise for civilian personnel as requested.

Contract Services Spending.—The Committee is concerned that the Department of Defense does not have adequate policies, procedures, and controls in place to enforce limitations on the annual amounts expended on contracted services. There is further concern that not all contracted services are being subjected to spending limitations because of the exclusion of contracted services involving Economy Act transfers between and within Department of Defense components. Additionally, because of the disparity between the levels of contracted services captured in the Inventory of Contracts for Services, required under section 2330a of title 10, United States Code, and what the Department budgets for contracted services, it appears as though the Department does not deliberately plan for most contracted services. The Committee urges the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) to review the efforts of the financial management and acquisition communities to implement effective control mechanisms for contracted services spending.

Operation and Maintenance Budget Justification.—The Committee commends the Department for the improvements in the Operation and Maintenance [O&M] budget materials made over the past several years. To further inform the congressional review, the

Committee directs the following actions:

—Each OP-5 exhibit includes a personnel summary which provides helpful information about the civilian workforce. However, the way it is displayed includes memo entries for military technicians and reimbursable civilians, which makes it difficult to quickly analyze direct hire full time equivalents [FTEs]. The Office of the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) is directed to revise the personnel summary section of the OP-5 exhibit to maintain the categories of information provided (including military technicians and reimbursable civilians) but to breakout the numbers without using memo entries.

—The Department's Financial Management Regulations [FMR] directs the services and defense agencies to itemize major program changes and provide the baseline in dollars to which the increase or decrease applies on the OP–5 exhibit. The Department of the Navy is directed to use the most specific programmatic baseline possible, regardless of whether or not the program baseline is listed in the performance criteria for both the base budget and Overseas Contingency Operations [OCO]

budget requests.

The FMR provides specific instructions for the performance criteria for base operations support (Exhibit OP-5 Base Support Program (Attachment 8)). The Air Force is directed to follow this outline; particularly the instruction that says that the sum of amounts must match the Base Support total in the O-1 exhibit.

—The Department of the Air Force and the Marine Corps are encouraged to begin utilizing OP–32 line 990 Information Tech-

nology Contract Support Services.

Tobacco Use in the Military.—Tobacco use is the leading cause of preventable death in the United States, with more than 480,000 deaths attributable to cigarette smoking each year. The Department of Defense has affirmed the goal of a tobacco-free military, and has implemented a range of programs including public education campaigns, the banning of all tobacco use during basic training, and the prohibition of tobacco use by instructors in the presence of students. The Committee supports the Secretary of De-

fense's Policy Memorandum 16–001 from April 8, 2016, directing the military services "to ensure a comprehensive tobacco policy that assists with preventing initiation of tobacco use, helping those who want to quit using tobacco succeed, and decreasing exposure to second-hand smoke for all our people." As a result, the Committee retains a provision from the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2017 (Public Law 115–31) directing the elimination of the price subsidy provided to tobacco products at military exchanges.

Voluntary Military Education Programs—Tracking Outcomes.— The Committee acknowledges the delivery of the report "Tracking Outcomes: A Report to Congress on Voluntary Military Education Programs" first requested by the Committee in fiscal year 2014. The Committee recognizes the Department of Defense's infrastructure challenges in accessing and analyzing data, and its collaboration with the services and the Department of Education to produce the report. The Committee encourages the Department of Defense to continue efforts to improve the ability to analyze and assess how Voluntary Military Education Programs are benefitting servicemembers.

Voluntary Military Education Programs—Enforcing Memorandum of Understanding.—The Committee supports the Department of Defense's commitment to enforcing the terms of the current Memorandum of Understanding [MOU] with all educational institutions providing educational programs through the Department of Defense tuition assistance program. The Committee is also supportive of the Department's efforts to increase participating institutions' understanding of their contractual obligations as MOU signatories and the procedures for enforcement as outlined in Department of Defense Instruction [DODI] 1322.25. The Committee urges the Department to maintain vigorous defense of these responsibilities in order to protect the integrity and quality of voluntary military education programs.

Item Unique Identification Implementation.—The Committee supports the Department of Defense's goal of enhancing asset visibility through item-unique identification [IUID] and automatic identification technology/automatic identification and data capture [AIT/AIDC] processes, but remains concerned with the level of the compliance with its own IUID policy which was released on September 3, 2015. The Committee believes the Department of Defense must improve its efforts to capture meaningful data and mark, track and verify assets to enable life-cycle management, implement asset valuation/accountability for audit readiness, and support counterfeit part risk reduction. Any successful asset visibility or AIT/AIDC strategy requires continuous identification, integration, and monitoring of efforts. The committee urges the Department and the services to increase their oversight of the implementation of IUID and other AIT/AIDC policies.

Federal Fleet Management Transparency and Metrics.—The Committee encourages the Secretary of Defense to maintain supporting documentation on the methods the Department of Defense uses for determining optimal fleet inventories and justification for any deviation from the General Services Administration's Federal Property Management Regulations.

Resourcing the Arctic Strategy.—The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to submit, in conjunction with the fiscal year 2019 President's budget request exhibits, a concise accounting of the manner in which amounts requested in the budget for fiscal year 2019 support implementation of the Department of Defense's current Arctic Strategy and Operations Plan including, to the maximum extent practicable, identification of specific obligations that address gaps in research, military infrastructure, and capabilities in the Arctic region. The accounting should also make note of obligations for military capabilities unique to the Arctic region. This material should be submitted in unclassified form but may include a classified annex.

Report on Educational Opportunities in Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics.—The Committee recognizes the importance of educational opportunities in science, technology, engineering, and mathematics [STEM] for children of our servicemembers. The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the congressional defense committees, not later than two years after the enactment of this Act, containing a description and assessment of: (1) current Department of Defense programs to improve opportunities for STEM education for military children; and (2) efforts to increase opportunities and achievement in STEM education for military children.

Environmental Impacts on National Security Planning.—The Committee acknowledges the Department's initial steps to mitigate the national security implications of environmental impacts, as required by Senate Report 113–211 (July 17, 2014). The Committee affirms that the Department of Defense ought to be prepared to respond to increasing requests for humanitarian assistance and disaster relief [HA/DR] and defense support to civil authority [DSCA] missions. The Secretary of Defense is encouraged to continue to assess the risks posed by environmental impacts and to consider whether changes to force structure or specialized training could make military forces more capable of responding to emerging requirements with minimal disruption to existing missions.

Armed Forces Reserve Centers.—The Committee encourages the Chiefs of the Reserve Components to consider the impact of unit relocation and any Base Realignment and Closure [BRAC] consolidation activity within the past 10 years prior to the relocation of personnel or decrease in force structure at newly constructed reserve center facilities.

Drinking Water Contamination.—The Committee recognizes the importance of studying the health implications of per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances contamination in drinking water and affirms its support for section 343 of S. 1519, the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2018, as passed by the Senate, which relates to the health implications of this contamination. As directed by the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2017 (Public Law 115–31), the Secretary of Defense submitted a report on the extent of the problem, plans for community notification, and procedures for timely remediation. The Committee now directs the Secretary of Defense to provide quarterly updates to the congressional defense committees on these efforts.

Boards for Correction of Military Records.—The Air Force Review Board Agency, Army Review Boards Agency, and the Board of Naval Corrections play a decisive role ensuring that military personnel enjoy fair, just, and equitable decisions as it pertains to their service records and benefits. However, the Committee is concerned that the Boards have failed to modernize personnel, processes, and infrastructure at the pace required for demonstrated increases in the volume and complexity of its caseloads. As a result, the Boards are significantly under-resourced. The Committee also notes that none of the Boards have current, validated needs assessments for personnel, information technology, and operations, which are critical to the budget process. Therefore, the Committee recommends additional resources for each board, and directs the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Service Secretaries, to submit a complete needs assessment of each Board to the congressional defense committees not later than 180 days after the enactment of this act.

#### OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY

Appropriations, 2017	\$32,738,173,000
Budget estimate, 2018	38,945,417,000
Committee recommendation	39,780,856,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$39,780,856,000. This is \$835,439,000 above the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY			
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
10 20 30 40 50	LAND FORCES MANEUVER UNITS MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADES THEATER LEVEL ASSETS LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT AVIATION ASSETS	1,455,366 105,147 604,117 793,217 1,169,478 1,496,503	1,445,366 105,147 728,117 738,217 1,219,478 1,536,503	- 10,000 
70 80 90	LAND FORCES READINESS FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT LAND FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS LAND FORCES DEPOT MAINTENANCE	3,675,901 466,720 1,443,516	3,677,401 471,592 1,518,516	+ 1,500 + 4,872 + 75,000
100 110 120	LAND FORCES READINESS SUPPORT BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION, & MODERNIZATION MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONAL HEADQUARTERS	8,080,357 3,401,155 443,790	8,100,357 4,080,382 443,790	+ 20,000 + 679,227
180 190 200 210	COMBATANT COMMAND SUPPORT US AFRICA COMMAND US EUROPEAN COMMAND US SOUTHERN COMMAND US FORCES KOREA	225,382 141,352 190,811 59,578	225,382 141,352 190,811 59,578	
	TOTAL BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	23.752.390	24.681.989	+ 929.599

34

	[In thousands of dollars]			
Line	Item	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 2: MOBILIZATION			
	MOBILITY OPERATIONS			
220	STRATEGIC MOBILITY	346,667	346,667	
230	ARMY PREPOSITIONED STOCKS	422,108	422,108	
240	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS	7,750	7,750	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2	776,525	776,525	
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 3: TRAINING AND RECRUITING			
050	ACCESSION TRAINING	107.550	107.550	
250 260	OFFICER ACQUISITIONRECRUIT TRAINING	137,556 58,872	137,556 58,872	
270	ONE STATION UNIT TRAINING	58,035	58,035	
280	SENIOR RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS	505,089	505,089	
200		303,003	303,003	
200	BASIC SKILL AND ADVANCED TRAINING	1 015 541	005 541	20,000
290 300	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAININGFLIGHT TRAINING	1,015,541 1,124,115	995,541 1,124,115	- 20,000
310	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION	220,688	220,688	
320	TRAINING SUPPORT	618,164	588,164	- 30,000
320		010,104	300,104	30,000
220	RECRUITING AND OTHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION	C12 E0C	C12 E0C	
330 340	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISINGEXAMINING	613,586 171,223	613,586 171,223	
350	OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION	214,738	214,738	
360	CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING	195,099	195,099	
370	JUNIOR RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS	176,116	181,616	+ 5,500
				<u> </u>
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 3	5,108,822	5,064,322	- 44,500
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
	LOGISTICS OPERATIONS			
390	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	555,502	555,502	
400	CENTRAL SUPPLY ACTIVITIES	894,208	894,208	
410	LOGISTICS SUPPORT ACTIVITIES	715,462	710,462	- 5,000
420	AMMUNITION MANAGEMENT	446,931	446,931	
	SERVICEWIDE SUPPORT			
430	ADMINISTRATION	493,616	490,616	- 3,000
440	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	2,084,922	2,084,922	
450	MANPOWER MANAGEMENT	259,588	259,588	
460	OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT	326,387	326,387	
470	OTHER SERVICE SUPPORT	1,087,602	1,087,602	
480	ARMY CLAIMS ACTIVITIES	210,514	210,514	
490	REAL ESTATE MANAGEMENT	243,584	243,584	
500	FINANCIAL IMPROVEMENT AND AUDIT READINESS SUPPORT OF OTHER NATIONS	284,592	284,592	
510	INTERNATIONAL MILITARY HEADQUARTERS	415.694	415,694	
520	MISC. SUPPORT OF OTHER NATIONS	46,856	46,856	
020	OTHER PROGRAMS	10,000	10,000	
	OTHER PROGRAMS	1,242,222	1,261,162	+ 18,940
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	9,307,680	9,318,620	+ 10,940
	OVERESTIMATION OF CIVILIAN FTE TARGETS		- 50,000	- 50,000
	REIMBURSABLE MANPOWER CONVERSION		-55,000	- 55,000
	Unjustified Program Growth		-19,400	- 19,400
	0 & M TRANSFER TO DAWDF		-110,000	-110,000
	AUTHORIZED END STRENGTH INCREASE		172,300	+ 172,300
	BOARD OF CORRECTIONS		1,500	+ 1,500
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY	38,945,417	39,780,856	+ 835,439

# COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

# The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
111	Maneuver Units	1,455,366	1,445,366	- 10,000
	Improving funds management: Remove one-time fiscal			
	year 2017 increases Program increase: Improve training and maintenance			-110,000
	readiness			+ 100,000
113	Echelons Above Brigade	604,117	728,117	+ 124,000
	Program increase: Improve training and maintenance			·
	readiness	700 017	700 017	+ 124,000
114	Theater Level Assets	793,217	738,217	- 55,000
	fied growth			- 90,000
	Program increase: Improve maintenance readiness			+ 35,000
115	Land Forces Operations Support	1,169,478	1,219,478	+ 50,000
110	Program increase: Improve maintenance readiness	1 400 500	1 520 502	+ 50,000
116	Aviation Assets  Program increase: Improve maintenance readiness	1,496,503	1,536,503	+ 40,000 + 40,000
121	Force Readiness Operations Support	3.675.901	3,677,401	+ 1,500
	Maintain program affordability: Unjustified growth			-60,000
	Program increase: Advanced helmets			+ 5,000
	Program increase: SOUTHCOM maritime patrol aircraft-			
	expansionProgram increase: SOUTHCOM ship, special mission			+ 38,500 + 18.000
122	Land Forces Systems Readiness	466,720	471,592	+ 10,000
122	Program increase: Medical equipment sets			+ 4,872
123	Land Forces Depot Maintenance	1,443,516	1,518,516	+ 75,000
	Program increase: Improve maintenance readiness			+ 75,000
131	Base Operations Support	8,080,357	8,100,357	+ 20,000
	Improving funds management: Program decrease unac- counted for			- 30,000
	Program increase: Improve readiness			+ 50,000
132	Facilities Sustainment, Restoration & Modernization	3,401,155	4,080,382	+ 679,227
	Program increase			+ 679,227
321	Specialized Skill Training	1,015,541	995,541	- 20,000 - 20,000
324	Training Support	618,164	588,164	- 20,000 - 30,000
02.	Maintain program affordability: Unjustified growth			- 30,000
335	Junior Reserve Officer Training Corps	176,116	181,616	+ 5,500
	Program increase			+ 5,500
423	Logistic Support Activities	715,462	710,462	- 5,000
	year 2017 costs			- 5.000
431	Administration	493,616	490,616	- 3,000
	Maintain program affordability: Unjustified growth			-3,000
999	Classified Programs	1,242,222	1,261,162	+ 18,940
	Program increase: SOUTHCOM ISRClassified adjustment			+ 21,750 - 2,810
UNDIST	Maintaining program affordability: Unjustified program			- 2,610
0.12.01	growth for reimbursable manpower conversion		- 55,000	- 55,000
UNDIST	Maintaining program affordability: Unjustified program			·
LINDIOT	growth		- 19,400	- 19,400
UNDIST	Maintain program affordability: Overestimation of civilian FTEs		- 50,000	- 50,000
UNDIST	Transfer: Operation and Maintenance funds to provide ap-		30,000	- 50,000
	propriations for the Department of Defense Acquisition			
	Workforce Development Fund		-110,000	-110,000
UNDIST UNDIST	Program increase: Authorized end strength increase		+ 172,300 + 1,500	+ 172,300 + 1,500
ופועויוט	Trugram micrease: Duaru di Coffections		+ 1,300	+ 1,300

Army Sustainment, Restoration and Modernization [SRM] and Facility Reduction Funding.—The Committee has provided additional resources for facility sustainment, restoration and modernization. The Secretary of the Army is encouraged to use a portion of these funds on Army testing facilities and for the demolition of contaminated military facilities that are no longer in use.

#### OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY

Appropriations, 2017	\$38,552,017,000
Budget estimate, 2018	45,439,407,000
Committee recommendation	46,309,702,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$46,309,702,000. This is \$870,295,000 above the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In	thousands	of	dollars]
-----	-----------	----	----------

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY			
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
	AIR OPERATIONS			
10	MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS	5,544,165	5,544,165	
20	FLEET AIR TRAINING	2,075,000	2,023,000	- 52,000
30 40	AVIATION TECHNICAL DATA AND ENGINEERING SERVICES AIR OPERATIONS AND SAFETY SUPPORT	46,801 119.624	46,801 128.864	+ 9.240
50	AIR SYSTEMS SUPPORT	552,536	589,536	+ 9,240 + 37,000
60	AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE	1.088.482	1.088.482	+ 37,000
70	AIRCRAFT DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT	40.584	40.584	
80	AVIATION LOGISTICS	723,786	843,786	+ 120,000
	SHIP OPERATIONS			
90	MISSION AND OTHER SHIP OPERATIONS	4,067,334	4.049.334	- 18,000
100	SHIP OPERATIONS SUPPORT AND TRAINING	977,701	977,701	
110	SHIP DEPOT MAINTENANCE	7,165,858	7,165,858	
120	SHIP DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT	2,193,851	2,187,551	- 6,300
	COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS/SUPPORT			
130	COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS	1,288,094	1,256,094	-32,000
150	SPACE SYSTEMS AND SURVEILLANCE	206,678	206,678	
160	WARFARE TACTICS	621,581	602,581	-19,000
170	OPERATIONAL METEOROLOGY AND OCEANOGRAPHY	370,681	375,681	+ 5,000
180	COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES	1,437,966	1,437,966	
190	EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE	162,705	162,705	
210	COMBATANT COMMANDERS CORE OPERATIONS	65,108	65,108	
220 230	COMBATANT COMMANDERS DIRECT MISSION SUPPORT	86,892	91,892	+ 5,000
240	MILITARY INFORMATION SUPPORT OPERATIONS	8,427 385.212	8,427 372.212	- 13,000
240		303,212	3/2,212	- 13,000
000	WEAPONS SUPPORT	1 070 450	1 050 450	00.000
260 280	FLEET BALLISTIC MISSILE	1,278,456	1,258,456	- 20,000
290	OTHER WEAPON SYSTEMS SUPPORT	745,680 380,016	750,680 380.016	+ 5,000
230		300,010	300,010	
300	Base Support Enterprise information technology	914.428	877.428	- 37.000
310	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	1,905,679	2,568,679	- 37,000 + 663,000
320	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	4,333,688	4,357,688	+ 003,000 + 24,000
520	DIOL OF LITTING SOFT ON	7,000,000	4,557,000	7 24,000
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	38,787,013	39,457,953	+ 670,940

37

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
-	DUDOFT ACTIVITY O MODILIZATION	Cottillate	recommendation	budget estimate
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 2: MOBILIZATION			
330	READY RESERVE AND PREPOSITIONING FORCES SHIP PREPOSITIONING AND SURGE	417,450	564,447	+ 146,997
340	READY RESERVE FORCE	417,430	289,255	+ 140,337 + 289,255
0.0	ACTIVATIONS/INACTIVATIONS		200,200	. 200,200
360	SHIP ACTIVATIONS/INACTIVATIONS	198,341	198,341	
000	MOBILIZATION PREPAREDNESS	100,011	100,011	
370	EXPEDITIONARY HEALTH SERVICES	66,849	121,302	+ 54.453
390	COAST GUARD SUPPORT	21,870	21,870	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2	704,510	1,195,215	+ 490,705
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 3: TRAINING AND RECRUITING			
	ACCESSION TRAINING			
400	OFFICER ACQUISITION	143,924	143,924	
410 420	RECRUIT TRAININGRESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS	8,975 144,708	8,975 144,708	
420		144,700	144,700	
430	BASIC SKILLS AND ADVANCED TRAINING SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING	812,708	814,708	+ 2,000
450	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION	180,448	180,448	+ 2,000
460	TRAINING SUPPORT	234,596	242,896	+ 8,300
	RECRUITING, AND OTHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION	,	,	,
470	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	177,517	177,517	
480	OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION	103,154	103,154	
490	CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING	72,216	72,216	
500	JUNIOR ROTC	53,262	53,262	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 3	1,931,508	1,941,808	+ 10,300
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
	SERVICEWIDE SUPPORT			
510	ADMINISTRATION	1,135,429	1,106,929	- 28,500
530 540	CIVILIAN MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT	149,365	149,365 386,749	
340		386,749	360,749	
590	LOGISTICS OPERATIONS AND TECHNICAL SUPPORT SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	105 201	105 201	
610	PLANNING, ENGINEERING AND DESIGN	165,301 311.616	165,301 311.616	
620	ACQUISITION AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT	665,580	665,580	
	SECURITY PROGRAMS	,		
660	NAVAL INVESTIGATIVE SERVICE	659,143	659,143	
	OTHER PROGRAMS	,		
	OTHER PROGRAMS	543,193	536,643	<b>-6,550</b>
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	4,016,376	3,981,326	- 35,050
	, ·	, ,	, ,	,
	ENTERPRISE LICENSE AGREEMENTS		- 25,000 - 17,000	- 25,000 - 17,000
	O & M TRANSFER TO DAWDF		- 17,000 - 227,000	- 17,000 - 227.000
	LONG TERM TEMP DUTY WAIVERS		1,400	+ 1,400
	BOARD OF CORRECTIONS		1,000	+ 1,000
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY	45,439,407	46,309,702	+ 870,295

# COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

38

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1A2A	Float Air Training	2.075.000	2 022 000	E2.00
IAZA	Fleet Air Training	2,075,000	2,023,000	- 52,000
	cal year 2017 increase			- 52,000
1A4A	Air Operations and Safety Support	119,624	128,864	+ 9,240
	Program increase: T-45 and F/A-18 physiological epi-			
	sode funding			+ 9,240
1A4N	Air Systems Support	552,536	589,536	+ 37,000
	Improving funds management: Remove one-time fis-			0.50
	cal year 2017 congressional increase Improving funds management: Program decrease not			- 6,50
	accounted for			- 30,000
	Program increase: T—45 and F/A—18 physiological epi-			30,00
	sode funding			+ 31,50
	Program increase: Fund to maximum executable			+ 42,00
1A9A	Aviation Logistics	723,786	843,786	+ 120,00
1010	Program increase: Fund to maximum executable	4 007 004	4 0 4 0 0 0 4	+ 120,00
1B1B	Mission and Other Ship Operations	4,067,334	4,049,334	- 18,00
	Improving funds management: Program decrease not accounted for			- 40,00
	Program increase: Combat logistics maintenance			40,00
	funding for TAO-187			+ 22,00
1B5B	Ship Depot Operations Support	2,193,851	2,187,551	- 6,30
	Improving funds management: Remove one-time fis-			•
	cal year 2017 congressional increase			- 6,30
1C1C	Combat Communications	1,288,094	1,256,094	- 32,00
1040	Maintain program affordability: Unjustified growth	CO1 FO1	COO FOI	- 32,00
1C4C	Warfare Tactics	621,581	602,581	- 19,00 - 20,00
	Maintain program affordability: Unjustified growth Program increase: Operational range clearance and			- 20,00
	environmental compliance			+1,00
1C5C	Operational Meteorology and Oceanography	370,681	375,681	+ 5,00
	Program increase: Unmanned systems in maritime en-	,	,	,,,,,
	vironment			+ 5,00
1CCM	Combatant Commanders Direct Mission Support	86,892	91,892	+ 5,00
	Program increase: PACOM no-notice agile logistics ex-			. 5 00
1CCY	ercise	385,212	372,212	+ 5,00 - 13,00
1001	Maintain program affordability: Unjustified growth	303,212	372,212	- 13,000
1D2D	Fleet Ballistic Missile	1,278,456	1,258,456	- 20,00
	Improving funds management: Program decrease not			•
	accounted for			- 20,00
1D4D	Weapons Maintenance	745,680	750,680	+ 5,00
	Program increase: Air to air training rounds and CVN			
BSIT	magazine alterations Enterprise Information	914,428	877,428	+ 5,00 - 37,00
ווטם	Maintain program affordability: Savings from contract	314,420	077,420	- 37,00
	award delay			- 37,00
BSM1	Sustainment, Restoration and Modernization	1,905,679	2,568,679	+ 663,00
	Program increase			+ 445,00
	Program increase: FSRM funding shortfall for projects			+ 218,00
BSS1	Base Operating Support	4,333,688	4,357,688	+ 24,00
	Maintain program affordability: Unjustified growth			- 27,00
	Program increase: FSRM funding shortfall for projects			+ 28,00
	Program increase: Operational range clearance and environmental compliance			+ 11,00
	Program increase: Port operations service craft main-			T 11,000
	tenance—Return to 5 year overhaul periodicity			+ 12,00
2A1F	Ship Prepositioning and Surge	417,450	564,447	+ 146,99
	Transfer from National Defense Sealift Fund: LMSR		•	,
	Maintenance			+ 135,80
	Transfer from National Defense Sealift Fund: Mobiliza-			
0405	tion Alterations		200 255	+ 11,19
2A2F	Ready Reserve Force		289,255	+ 289,25

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Transfer from National Defense Sealift Fund: Ready			
	Reserve Force			+ 289,255
2C1H	Expeditionary Health Services Systems Transfer from National Defense Sealift Fund: T-AH	66,849	121,302	+ 54,453
	Maintenance			+54,453
3B1K	Specialized Skill Training	812,708	814,708	+ 2,000
	Program increase: Comprehensive review of recent			
	surface warfare incidents—Shore-based bridge			
	trainer improvements			+ 2,000
3B4K	Training Support	234,596	242,896	+ 8,300
	Program increase: Comprehensive review of recent			
	surface warfare incidents—Shore-based bridge			
	trainer improvements			+ 8,300
4A1M	Administration	1,135,429	1,106,929	-28,500
	Maintain program affordability: Unjustified growth			-24,000
	Improving funds management: Program transfer not			
	fully accounted for			- 5,500
	Program increase: Review of ship logs for veterans'			
000	claims			+ 1,000
999	Classified Programs	543,193	536,643	- 6,550
LINDIOT	Classified adjustment			- 6,550
UNDIST	Maintain program affordability: Unjustified growth for en-		25 000	25.000
UNDIST	terprise license agreements		- 25,000	- 25,000
ופועווט	Maintain program affordability: Unjustified growth for civil-		17 000	17.000
UNDIST	ian FTE Transfer: Operation and Maintenance funds to provide ap-		- 17,000	- 17,000
ופועווו	propriations for the Department of Defense Acquisition			
	Workforce Development Fund		- 227.000	- 227,000
UNDIST	Program increase: Joint Travel Regulation-Long-term tem-		- 227,000	- 227,000
UNDIST	porary duty waivers		1,400	+1,400
UNDIST	Program increase: Board of Corrections		1,400	+ 1,400 + 1,000
וטועווט	Trogram micrease. Duard of Confections		1,000	+ 1,000

Unmanned Systems in Maritime Environment.—The Committee recognizes the importance of unmanned maritime systems and the numerous challenges to incorporating these new technologies. The development of a test range for unmanned maritime systems is underway and a significant gap exists for ongoing oceanographic measurements in the region of the range. The Secretary of the Navy is encouraged to develop partnerships with research universities to leverage the immense oceanographic expertise and to enhance the mission of the Naval Meteorology and Oceanography Command.

Minimum Capital Investment for Certain Depots.—The Secretary of each military department is directed to invest in the capital budgets of the covered depots set forth in 10 U.S.C. 2476(e) a total amount equal to not less than 6 percent of the average total combined maintenance, repair, and overhaul workload funded at all the depots of that military department for the preceding 3 fiscal years. The intent of this investment funding is to modernize or improve the efficiency of depot facilities, equipment, work environment, or processes in direct support of depot operations. The depots also spend funding on sustainment of existing facilities, infrastructure, or equipment but those are not to be included in the 6 percent calculation.

The Committee is concerned that the military services are calculating the funds available for both investment and sustainment using 6 percent of the total workload revenue, and thereby under-

funding both areas. The Committee notes that the military depots should be receiving not less than 6 percent of their total workload funding for investment actions alone, while funding for sustainment actions should be budgeted separately.

Chief of Naval Air Training [CNATRA].—The Committee understands the critical training requirement and pilot shortfall that the Department of Defense is experiencing and encourages the Secretary of the Navy to seek alternate airfields, to include in-land lo-

cations, to expand training opportunities.

Dry Dock Recapitalization.—The Committee notes that Naval Sea Systems Command [NAVSEA] is continuing to assess the requirements necessary to improve graving docks to sustain the maintenance needs of the fleet. The Committee urges the Commander of the Naval Sea Systems Command to continue this analysis and to develop plans and program requirements to ensure that all four public shipyards continue to have the maximum capacity to perform the mission critical work of keeping the fleet afloat. The Committee also encourages the Commander of NAVSEA to consider the value of mobile floating dry docks to support the force generating requirements of the Pacific Fleet and Fleet Force commanders, and the value that mobile floating dry docks could bring to the warfighting mission.

Naval Shipyard Apprentice Program.—The Committee directs that during fiscal year 2018 the Navy shall induct classes of no fewer than 100 apprentices, respectively, at each of the naval shipyards. The Committee further directs the Navy to include the costs of the fiscal year 2019 class of apprentices in its budget request.

U.S. Coast Guard.—The Committee is aware that Department of Defense [DOD] regulations currently restrict DOD mission appropriated funded activities from offering reimbursable rates to non-DOD agencies. This restriction forces the Navy to charge the U.S. Coast Guard fully burdened rates for drydocking services at Navy shipyards rather than reimbursable rates. Therefore, the Committee directs that funds appropriated under Operation and Maintenance, Navy may be used to pay overhead costs incurred by a Naval Shipyard when drydocking U.S. Coast Guard ships.

Advanced Skills Management Legacy System Upgrades.—The

Advanced Skills Management Legacy System Upgrades.—The Committee is concerned that the Navy is not giving full consideration to commercial-off-the-shelf [COTS] software solutions. The Secretary of the Navy is encouraged to use the best value procure-

ment methods when upgrading legacy software systems.

#### OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS

Appropriations, 2017	\$5,676,152,000
Budget estimate, 2018	6,933,408,000
Committee recommendation	6,841,008,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$6,841,008,000. This is \$92,400,000 below the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

41

Line	Item	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS			
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
10 20 30	EXPEDITIONARY FORCES OPERATIONAL FORCES FIELD LOGISTICS DEPOT MAINTENANCE	967,949 1,065,090 286,635	970,949 1,025,090 286,635	+ 3,000 - 40,000
40	USMC PREPOSITIONING MARITIME PREPOSITIONING	85,577	85,577	
50	COMBAT OPERATIONS/SUPPORT CYBERSPACE ACTIVITIES	181,518	181,518	
60 70	BASE SUPPORT FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	785,264 2,196,252	829,064 2,157,252	+ 43,800 - 39,000
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	5,568,285	5,536,085	- 32,200
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 3: TRAINING AND RECRUITING			
80 90	ACCESSION TRAINING RECRUIT TRAINING OFFICER ACQUISITION	16,163 1,154	16,163 1,154	
100 110 120	BASIC SKILLS AND ADVANCED TRAINING SPECIALIZED SKILLS TRAINING PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION TRAINING SUPPORT	100,398 46,474 405,039	100,398 46,474 405,039	
130 140 150	RECRUITING AND OTHER TRAINING EDUCATION RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION JUNIOR ROTC	201,601 32,045 24,394	201,601 32,045 24,394	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 3	827,268	827,268	
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
160 170 190	SERVICEWIDE SUPPORT SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION ADMINISTRATION ACQUISITION AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT	28,827 378,683 77,684	28,827 368,683 77,684	- 10,000
	SECURITY PROGRAMS SECURITY PROGRAMS	52,661	52,661	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	537,855	527,855	- 10,000
	REMOVE FY17 CONGRESSIONAL INCREASE PROGRAM TRANSFER UNACCOUNTED FOR AUTHORIZED END STRENGTH INCREASE		- 59,000 - 4,000 12,800	- 59,000 - 4,000 + 12,800
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS	6,933,408	6,841,008	- 92,400

# COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

Line	Item	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1A1A	Operational Forces	967,949	970,949	+ 3,000
	year 2017 increase			- 12,000

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1A2A	Program increase: Protective equipment Field Logistics	1,065,090	1,025,090	+ 15,000 - 40,000 - 40,000
BSM1	Sustainment, Restoration & Modernization Program increase	785,264	829,064	+ 43,800 + 43,800
BSS1	Base Operating Support	2,196,252	2,157,252	- 39,000 - 19,000
4A4G	Improving funds management: Remove one-time fiscal year 2017 increase	378,683	368,683	- 20,000 - 10,000
UNDIST	Maintain program affordability: Unjustified growth Improving funds management: Remove one-time fiscal year			-10,000
UNDIST	2017 congressional increase		- 59,000 - 4.000	- 59,000 - 4.000
UNDIST	Program increase: Authorized end strength increase		12,800	+ 12,800

Marine Corps Civilian Personnel.—The Committee is aware that the Marine Corps operates under a Manage to Payroll concept that focuses on a funding level for civilian labor and adjusts the Full Time Equivalent [FTE] level to reflect the estimated number of workyears that funding level will afford. This is unlike the other military services where the civilian personnel funding estimates are built on the workload requirements. To better understand this concept, the Committee directs the Government Accountability Office to provide the congressional defense committees a report not later than 90 days after enactment of this act on the details of how the Marine Corps develops its civilian labor requirements (both FTEs and funding). The report should examine the benefits and shortfalls of this concept and make recommendations to either continue or change this approach.

#### OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2017	\$36,247,724,000
Budget estimate, 2018	
Committee recommendation	40,751,433,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$40,751,433,000. This is \$1,322,201,000 above the budget estimate.

### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE			
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
	AIR OPERATIONS			
10	PRIMARY COMBAT FORCES	694,702	698,902	+4,200
20	COMBAT ENHANCEMENT FORCES	1,392,326	1,494,026	+ 101,700
30	AIR OPERATIONS TRAINING	1,128,640	1,226,740	+ 98,100
40	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	2.755.367	2.895.967	+ 140.600

43

Line				
	Item	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
50	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	3,292,553	4,029,053	+ 736,500
60	CONTRACTOR LOGISTICS SUPPORT AND SYSTEM SUPPORT	6,555,186	6,871,486	+ 316,300
70	FLYING HOUR PROGRAM	4,135,330	3,775,330	-360,000
80	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	5,985,232	6,379,432	+ 394,200
	COMBAT RELATED OPERATIONS	047.510	001.510	74.000
90	GLOBAL C31 AND EARLY WARNING	847,516	921,516	+ 74,000
100	OTHER COMBAT OPERATIONS SUPPORT PROGRAMS	1,131,817	1,154,717	+ 22,900
100	SPACE OPERATIONS	175 457	175 457	
120 130	LAUNCH FACILITIES	175,457 353,458	175,457 353,458	
130		333,436	333,436	
100	COCOM	100 001	100 001	
160	US NORTHCOM/NORAD	189,891	189,891	15.000
170 180	US STRATCOM	534,236	519,236	- 15,000
190	US CENTCOM	357,830 168,208	357,830 168,208	
200	US SOCOM	2,280	2,280	
210	US TRANSCOM	533	533	
		555	000	
	OPERATING FORCES CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	1,091,655	1,087,655	-4,000
	CLASSIFIED FROGRAMIS	1,091,000	1,067,033	- 4,000
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	30,792,217	32,301,717	+1,509,500
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 2: MOBILIZATION			
	MOBILITY OPERATIONS			
220	AIRLIFT OPERATIONS	1,570,697	1,570,697	
230	MOBILIZATION PREPAREDNESS	130,241	176,741	+ 46,500
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2	1,700,938	1,747,438	+ 46,500
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 3: TRAINING AND RECRUITING			
	ACCESSION TRAINING			
270	OFFICER ACQUISITION	113,722	113,722	
280	RECRUIT TRAINING	24,804	24,804	
290	RESERVE OFFICER TRAINING CORPS (ROTC)	95,733	99,733	+4,000
	BASIC SKILLS AND ADVANCED TRAINING			
320	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING	395,476	405,676	+ 10.200
330	FLIGHT TRAINING	501,599	501,599	. 10,200
340	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION	287,500	287,500	
350	TRAINING SUPPORT	91,384	91,384	
	RECRUITING, AND OTHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION			
370	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	166,795	166,795	
380	EXAMINING	4,134	4,134	
390	OFF DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION	222,691	222,691	
400	CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING	171,974	171,974	
410	JUNIOR ROTC	60,070	60,070	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 3	2,135,882	2,150,082	+ 14,200
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
	LOGISTICS OPERATIONS			
420	LOGISTICS OPERATIONS	805,453	776,453	-29,000
430	TECHNICAL SUPPORT ACTIVITIES	127,379	127,379	
	SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
470	ADMINISTRATION	911,283	890,283	-21,000
480	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	432,172	432,172	
490	OTHER SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	1,175,658	1,160,558	-15,100
500	CIVIL AIR PATROL CORPORATION	26,719	30,800	+4,081
	SUPPORT TO OTHER NATIONS			

44

Line	Item	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	SECURITY PROGRAMS SECURITY PROGRAMS	1,244,653	1,240,673	- 3,980
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	4,800,195	4,735,196	- 64,999
	ACQUISITION WORKFORCE TRANSFER PRICING		- 84,000 - 100,000 1,000	- 84,000 - 100,000 + 1,000
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE	39,429,232	40,751,433	+ 1,322,201

# COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

# The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
011A	Primary Combat Forces	694,702	698,902	+ 4,200
011C	group-PACAF Combat Enhancement Forces Transfer: Air Force-requested from RDAF line 100	1,392,326	1,494,026	+ 4,200 + 101,700
	Program increase: Battlefield airman-equipment as- sembly			+ 23,000 + 8.300
011D	Program increase: Unified capabilities Air Operations Training (OJT, Maintain Skills)	1,128,640	1,226,740	+ 70,400 + 98,100
	Program increase: Additional F–35 contract mainte- nance training instructors		_,,	+ 82.900
	Program increase: Contract adversary air services Program increase: Operational training and infrastruc-			+ 10,200
011M	ture initiatives	2,755,367	2,895,967	+ 5,000 + 140,600
	Maintain program affordability: Unjustified growth Program increase: Battlefield airman-equipment as-			- 20,000
	sembly Program increase: Weapon system sustainment			+ 7,100 + 153,500
011R	Facilities Sustainment, Restoration & Modernization Maintain program affordability: Unjustified growth for	3,292,553	4,029,053	+ 736,500
	exchange rate factor			-15,000 + 746,000 + 5,500
011W	Contractor Logistics Support and System Support  Program increase: EC-130H Compass Call	6,555,186	6,871,486	+ 316,300 + 20,000
011Y	Program increase: Weapon system sustainment Flying Hour Program	4,135,330	3,775,330	+ 296,300 - 360,000
0111	Improving funds management: Flying hour pricing transfer unaccounted for			- 360,000
011Z	Base Support	5,985,232	6,379,432	+ 394,200 - 108,000
	Maintain program affordability: Unjustified growth for exchange rate factor			<b>– 50,000</b>
	Program increase: Enterprise services Program increase: Cloud migration-cloud computing			+ 65,000
	environment Program increase: Application hosting/MSO			+ 42,600 + 45,000
	Program increase: Mission readiness at installations Program increase: Operational communications and			+ 146,000
	JIE conversion Program increase: Transient alert contracts			+ 190,000 + 10,300
	Program increase: Child and youth compliance	l	l	+ 35,000

45

[In thousands of dollars]

	-			
Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Program increase: Financial literacy			+ 11,600
	Program increase: Restore contingency response			
012A	group-PACAF	047.510	001 510	+ 6,700
UIZA	Global C3I and Early Warning Program increase: SBIRS survivable ground sites	847,516	921,516	+ 74,000 + 8,000
	Program increase: UH—1N replacement environmental			+ 6,000
	impact study			+ 2,000
	Program increase: NC3 weapon system and education			1 2,000
	improvements			+ 64,000
012C	Other Combat Ops Spt Programs	1,131,817	1,154,717	+ 22,900
	Program increase: Eagle Vision maintenance and	, . , .	, , ,	,,,,,
	sustainment			+6,100
	Program increase: Restore contingency response			
	group-PACAF			+ 16,800
015D	US STRATCOM	534,236	519,236	- 15,000
000	Maintain program affordability: Unjustified growth	1 001 055	1 007 055	- 15,000
999	Classified Programs	1,091,655	1,087,655	- 4,000
021D	Classified adjustment	130.241	176.741	-4,000 + 46,500
0210	Program increase: Set the theater-base expeditionary	130,241	1/0,/41	+ 40,300
	airfield resources-PACOM			+ 29,600
	Program increase: Restore contingency response			1 23,000
	group-PACAF			+ 16,900
031D	Reserve Officers Training Corps [ROTC]	95,733	99,733	+4,000
	Program increase: Expanded student pilot training ini-		· ·	
	tiative			+4,000
032A	Specialized Skill Training	395,476	405,676	+ 10,200
	Program increase: AFSPC cyber request for CMF initial			10.000
0414	skills training pipeline	005.450	770 450	+ 10,200
041A	Logistics Operations	805,453	776,453	- 29,000 - 29,000
042A	Maintain program affordability: Unjustified growth Administration	911,283	890,283	- 29,000 - 21,000
U42A	Improving funds management: Program decrease un-	311,203	030,203	- 21,000
	accounted for			-21,000
042G	Other Servicewide Activities	1,175,658	1,160,558	- 15,100
	Maintain program affordability: Unjustified growth			-20,000
	Program increase: Violence prevention program			+ 4,900
0421	Civil Air Patrol	26,719	30,800	+4,081
	Program increase			+4,081
999	Classified Programs	1,244,653	1,240,673	- 3,980
UNDICT	Classified adjustment			- 3,980
UNDIST	Improving funds management: Acquisition workforce		94.000	04.000
UNDIST	transfer pricing adjustment Transfer: Operation and Maintenance funds to provide		- 84,000	- 84,000
וטועווטו	appropriations for the Department of Defense Ac-			
	quisition Workforce Development Fund		- 100,000	- 100,000
UNDIST	Program increase: Board of Corrections		1,000	+ 1,000
	<u> </u>		,,,,,,	,

Air Force Associate Units.—The Committee recognizes the importance of Total Force Integration within the Air Force and certain Air National Guard units who have a critical role in construction and engineering. This integration ensures cross-component interoperability to fill current and future mission requirements. The Committee encourages the Air Force to continue placing an increased focus on actively managing associate units between the Air National Guard and the active duty component and to establish robust memorandum of agreements to ensure units are not left without equipment when the active component deploys.

Search and Rescue in the Arctic.—The Committee notes that increased utilization of the Arctic by commercial air and vessel traffic

requires a reexamination of search and rescue requirements in the region. The Alaska Air National Guard 176th Wing is the closest dedicated rescue force with the greatest capability but maintains only a single Arctic Sustainment Package for alerts and a partial package for training. Given the lack of a defined requirement for search and rescue in the Arctic, the Alaska Air National Guard must be prepared to respond without specific funding for equipment, sustainment of operations or logistics. The Secretary of Defense is encouraged to further refine requirements for Arctic Search and Rescue during fiscal year 2018 and to report to the congressional defense committees on current requirements for Arctic search and rescue not later than 120 days after the enactment of this act.

#### OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE

Appropriations, 2017	\$32,373,949,000
Budget estimate, 2018	34,585,817,000
Committee recommendation	34,010,337,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$34,010,337,000. This is \$575,480,000 below the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE			
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
10	JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF	440,853	440,853	
20	JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF—CE2T2	551,511	551,511	
40	SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND	5,008,274	4,971,308	- 36,966
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	6,000,638	5,963,672	- 36,966
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 3: TRAINING AND RECRUITING			
50	DEFENSE ACQUISITION UNIVERSITY	144,970	142,941	- 2,029
60	JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF RECRUITING, AND OTHER TRAINING AND	,	,	
	EDUCATION	84,402	86,402	+ 2,000
80	SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND	379,462	379,462	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 3	608,834	608,805	- 29
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
90	CIVIL MILITARY PROGRAMS	183.000	206.000	+ 23.000
110	DEFENSE CONTRACT AUDIT AGENCY	597,836	588,347	- 9,489
120	DEFENSE CONTRACT MANAGEMENT AGENCY	1,439,010	1,418,485	-20,525
130	DEFENSE HUMAN RESOURCES ACTIVITY	807,754	841,637	+ 33,883
140	DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEMS AGENCY	2,009,702	1,977,789	-31,913
160	DEFENSE LEGAL SERVICES AGENCY	24,207	24,207	
170	DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY	400,422	388,879	- 11,543
180	DEFENSE MEDIA ACTIVITY	217,585	227,585	+ 10,000
190	DEFENSE POW /MISSING PERSONS OFFICE	131,268	125,991	- 5,277
200	DEFENSE SECURITY COOPERATION AGENCY	722,496	613,496	
210	DEFENSE SECURITY SERVICE	683,665	653,665	- 30,000
230	DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY SECURITY AGENCY	34,712	34,712	
240	DEFENSE THREAT REDUCTION AGENCY	542,604		
260	DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE EDUCATION ACTIVITY	2,794,389		
270	MISSILE DEFENSE AGENCY	504,058	475,050	<b>− 29,008</b>

47

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
290 300 310 320	OFFICE OF ECONOMIC ADJUSTMENT OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND WASHINGTON HEADQUARTERS SERVICES OTHER PROGRAMS TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	57,840 1,488,344 94,273 436,776 14,806,404 27,976,345	57,840 1,483,298 91,698 434,397 14,709,144 27,669,477	- 5,046 - 2,575 - 2,379 - 97,260
	OVERESTIMATION OF CIVILIAN FTE TARGETS  O&M TRANSFER TO DAWDF  IMPACT AID  IMPACT AID FOR CHILDREN WITH SEVERE DISABILITIES  PFOS/PFOA STUDIES AND ANALYSIS		- 108,617 - 163,000 25,000 5,000 10,000	$\begin{array}{c} -108,617 \\ -163,000 \\ +25,000 \\ +5,000 \\ +10,000 \end{array}$
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE	34,585,817	34,010,337	- 575,480

# COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

# The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee: $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Committee}}$

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Special Operations Command/Operating Forces	5,008,274	4,971,308	- 36,966
	equipment ahead of need			-7,181
	funded by 0&M, Air Force Reduce duplication: Other Operations-CWMD funded by			- 2,131
	0&M, Air Force			- 6,636
	ian FTE pricing			- 5,719
	justified growth Improving funds management: Flight Operations-re-			- 19,872
	move one-time fiscal year 2017 costs			- 2,567
	and CLS discrepancies			- 20,000
	sion—outfit OCONUS facility w/ C4l infrastructure Program increase: Publicly available information [PAI]			+ 6,300
	contract support			+ 20,840
	Defense Acquisition University	144,970	142,941	- 2,029
	Improving funds management: Overestimation of travel			- 2,029
	Joint Chiefs of Staff	84,402	86,402	+ 2,000
	Program increase: National Asia Research Program-NDU			+ 2,000
	Civil Military Programs	183,000	206,000	+ 23,000
	Program increase: Innovative readiness training			+ 10,000
	Program increase: NG Youth Challenge program			+ 13,000
	Defense Contract Audit Agency	597,836	588,347	- 9,489
	counted for			- 5,600
	Maintain program affordability: Unjustified growth			- 3,889
	Defense Contract Management Agency	1,439,010	1,418,485	- 20,525
	Maintain program affordability: Unjustified growth			- 20,525
	Defense Human Resources Activity	807,754	841,637	+ 33,883
	Maintain program affordability: Unjustified growth Improving funds management: Program decrease unac-			- 2,117
	counted for			- 9,625
	Program increase: Beyond Yellow Ribbon Program			+ 20,000
	Program increase: Special Victims' Counsel	I	l	+ 20,000

48

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Program increase: Language flagship program-Arabic			+ 1,625
	Program increase: Defense suicide prevention office			+ 4,000
	Defense Information Systems Agency	2,009,702	1,977,789	- 31,913
	Insufficient budget justification: Transfer disparity			-11,00
	Maintain program affordability: Unjustified growth			-20,91
	Defense Logistics Agency	400,422	388,879	- 11,54
	Insufficient budget justification: Unjustified transfer			- 4,39
	Maintain program affordability: Unjustified growth			- 1,32
	Improving funds management: Program decreases un-			
	accounted for			- 14,32
	Program increase: Procurement technical assistance			
	program			+ 8,50
	Defense Media Activity	217,585	227,585	+ 10,00
	Program increase: IP streaming upgrades			+ 10,00
	Defense Personnel Accounting Agency	131,268	125,991	- 5,27
	Maintain program affordability: Unjustified growth			-2,13
	Improving funds management: Remove one-time fiscal			
	year 2017 costs			- 8,14
	Program increase: DPAA public-private partnerships			+ 5,00
	Defense Security Cooperation Agency	722,496	613,496	- 109,00
	Maintain program affordability: Maintain level of ef-			
	fort—Wales Initiative			- 6,00
	Maintain program affordability: Maintain level of ef-			
	fort—Defense institution reform initiative			- 3,00
	Maintain program affordability: Maintain level of ef-			
	fort—Build the capacity of foreign security forces			- 70,00
	Maintain program affordability: Maintain level of ef-			
	fort—South East Asia maritime security initiative			- 30,00
	Defense Security Service	683,665	653,665	- 30,00
	Maintain program affordability: Unjustified growth			- 30,00
	Defense Threat Reduction Agency	542,604	530,604	- 12,00
	Insufficient budget justification: Unjustified growth			- 8,20
	Improving funds management: Decrease unaccounted			
	for			- 3,80
	Department of Defense Education Activity	2,794,389	2,786,653	-7,73
	Improving funds management: Overestimation			- 16,25
	Insufficient budget justification: Transfer unaccounted			
	for			- 1,48
	Program increase: Youth serving organizations			+ 10,00
	Missile Defense Agency	504,058	475,050	- 29,00
	Improving funds management: Remove one-time fiscal			
	year 2017 costs			- 12,13
	Improving funds management: Program decrease unac-			
	counted for			- 4,00
	Maintain program affordability: Unjustified growth			- 12,87
	Office of the Secretary of Defense	1,488,344	1,483,298	- 5,04
	Maintain program affordability: OUSD AT&L—Unjustified			
	growth			-3,00
	Program termination: BRAC support			- 2,04
	Special Operations Command/Admin & Svc-wide Activities	94,273	91,698	- 2,57
	Maintain program affordability: Unjustified growth			- 2,57
	Washington Headquarters Services	436,776	434,397	- 2,37
	Improving funds management: Remove one-time fiscal			
	year 2017 costs-DIUx			− 2,37
	Classified Programs	14,806,404	14,709,144	<b>− 97,26</b>
	Classified adjustment			− 97,26
NDIST	Transfer: Transfer Operation and Maintenance funds to			
	provide appropriations for the Department of De-			
	fense Acquisition Workforce Development Fund		-163,000	-163,00
IDIST	Improving funds management: Overestimation of civil-		•	1
	ian FTE targets		-108,617	- 108,61
NDIST	Program increase: Impact Aid		25,000	+ 25,00
NDIST	Program increase: Impact Aid for children with severe		.,	
			5,000	

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
UNDIST	Program increase: PFOS/PFOA studies and analysis		10,000	+ 10,000

Special Operations Command Operation and Maintenance Budget Justification.—The Committee acknowledges that the operation and maintenance budget justification for United States Special Operations Command [USSOCOM] continues to improve every budget cycle. However, the sub-activity group structure as presented in the justification materials remains an informal presentation that is not individually identified as formal budget line items in various budgetary and execution documents. Therefore, the Committee directs the Commander of USSOCOM, in coordination with the Office of the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) and the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Special Operations/Low-Intensity Conflict), to submit a report that provides a detailed proposal on how to restructure and formalize the budget formulation and execution of the USSOCOM budget by sub-activity group. This report shall also address how the proposed restructure will improve visibility of the USSOCOM budget and execution and shall be submitted to the House and Senate Appropriations Committees not later than 90 days after the enactment of this act.

Wargaming.—The Office of the Secretary of Defense's budget request included funding for wargaming initiatives that will pursue innovative ways to sustain and advance military superiority and improve business operations throughout the Department of Defense. The Committee is supportive of the Department of Defense's efforts to combat emerging threats and to challenge adversaries. Therefore, the Secretary of Defense is directed to submit a quarterly report on the wargaming initiatives that are funded in fiscal year 2018. The reports shall include the title of each initiative, the recipient, the amount funded and a short explanation of the objective. The reports shall be submitted to the congressional defense committees not later than 30 days after the close of each quarter

Special Victims' Counsel Program.—The Committee remains concerned with the level of sexual assault in the military and supports the Department's continuing efforts to strengthen its sexual assault prevention and response program. The needs of victims of sexual assault for legal advice and guidance are particularly acute. To ensure that the Department continues to make progress protecting a victim's confidentiality and ending alienation during the investigation and prosecution of their case, the Committee recommends an additional \$20,000,000 for implementation of the Special Victims' Counsel Program across the services.

of the fiscal year.

Youth Serving Organizations.—The Committee recognizes that the dependent children of uniformed servicemembers often experience turbulence and instability during their developmental years as a result of frequent deployments, permanent change of station moves, and training requirements borne by their parents in the service of the nation. The Committee further recognizes the importance of youth outreach programs that provide military children opportunity and mentorship as they cope with the turbulence that

often accompanies military service. Therefore, the Committee recommends an additional \$10,000,000 to support youth serving organizations and to expand efforts to support free memberships for military children who reside off base and wish to join off-installa-

tion sponsored youth serving organizations.

Defense POW/MIA Accounting Agency.—The Committee recommends an additional \$5,000,000 for the Defense POW/MIA Accounting Agency [DPAA]. The increase shall only be used for partnerships with research universities to systematically conduct archival and field research on potential remains, confirm the location of possible remains, and recover such remains consistent with Department of Defense protocols, in order to maximize recoveries and make use of economies of scale.

Support of the Fiscal Year 2018 President's Budget Request Levels.—The Committee appreciates the Department's efforts to include adequate funding levels in the fiscal year 2018 request for certain programs that have historically been increased by congressional adjustments. The Committee fully funds Women's Military Service Memorials and Museums at \$5,000,000 and Readiness and Environmental Protection Integration at \$75,000,000, as requested

for each of these programs in fiscal year 2018.

European Deterrence Initiative.—The Committee condemns the Russian Federation's continued occupation of Crimea and other destabilizing activities throughout Europe, including attempts to interfere in democratic elections. The Committee affirms the United States' obligations under the North Atlantic Treaty, including collective self-defense under Article V, and strongly supports the Department's European Deterrence Initiative as an important, demonstrable commitment to the territorial integrity of all 28 NATO nations and a deterrent against further Russian aggression in Europe.

Military Assistance to Burma.—The Committee is concerned about recent actions by the Burmese military against the Rohingya people in Rakhine State. The Committee supports the State Department action of October 24, 2017, consistent with the Leahy law, including finding all units and officers involved in operations in Rakhine State ineligible to receive U.S. assistance or attend U.S. sponsored security events. Pursuant to the Leahy law, the Secretary of Defense shall notify the congressional defense committees within 15 days of any exception or waiver issued in accordance

with 10 U.S.C. 362.

Defense Language and National Security Education Office and the Language Flagship Program.—The Committee recognizes that, in partnership with universities across the country, the Defense Language and National Security Education Office [DLNSEO] provides critical college accredited training for service members and government officials in a number of languages and strategic cultures. The Committee also notes that the Language Flagship Program has successfully recruited language proficient students by utilizing partnerships devoted to creating articulated pathways into the program through scholarships and fellowships. The Committee encourages the Department of Defense to continue placing a high priority on these programs to ensure warfighters, both conventional

and special operations forces, receive the language and culture training needed to complete their missions effectively.

Demonstration Project for Contractors Employing Persons with Disabilities.—The Committee encourages the Secretary of Defense to pursue a demonstration project for contractors employing persons with disabilities. The demonstration project is modeled after the Small Business Administration's Set Aside program, but uniquely includes an incentive for Federal contracting entities to hire people with disabilities who currently receive Social Security benefits. Such a demonstration project provides opportunities for severely disabled individuals to become gainfully employed taxpayers instead of remaining Social Security Disability Insurance beneficiaries.

Transfer of Veterans Memorial Objects to Foreign Governments.— The Committee directs that the use of any funds appropriated in this act to carry out authorities under section 2864 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2018 be subject to normal reprogramming procedures.

#### OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY RESERVE

Appropriations, 2017	\$2,743,688,000
Budget estimate, 2018	2,906,842,000
Committee recommendation	2,902,504,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$2,902,504,000. This is \$4,338,000 below the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY RESERVE			
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
10 20 30 40 50	LAND FORCES MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES	11,461 577,410 117,298 552,016 80,302	7,461 572,410 121,298 560,016 77,802	- 4,000 - 5,000 + 4,000 + 8,000 - 2,500
60 70 80	LAND FORCES READINESS FORCES READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT LAND FORCES SYSTEM READINESS DEPOT MAINTENANCE	399,035 102,687 56,016	399,035 102,687 43,385	— 12,631
90 100 110	LAND FORCES READINESS SUPPORT BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONS HEADQUARTERS	599,947 273,940 22,909	581,947 314,833 22,909	- 18,000 + 40,893
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	2,793,021	2,803,783	+ 10,762
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
120 130	ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION ADMINISTRATION	11,116 17,962	11,116 17.962	

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
140 150 160	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	18,550 6,166 60,027	18,550 6,166 60,027	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	113,821	113,821 18,000 2,900	
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY RESERVE	2,906,842	2,902,504	-4,338

# COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
Modular Support Brigades	11,461	7,461	-4,000
Improving funds management: Remove one-time fiscal			
*	ı	l	-4,000
	577,410	572,410	- 5,000
3			- 20,000
			+ 15,000
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	+ 4,000
	1	l	+ 4,000
		,	+ 8,000
			+ 8,000
	· '	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	- 2,500
0,0 ,,			- 2,500
•	56,016	43,385	- 12,631
			10.000
3			-10,000
			- 2,631
			· · · · · ·
	, .	, .	- 18,000
0,0 ,,	l		- 18,000
	2/3,940	314,833	+ 40,893
			- 8,957
*			- 6,937
			- 150
			+ 50,000
			1 30,000
, ,		_ 18 000	- 18,000
Program increase: Authorized end strength increase		2,900	+ 2,900
	Modular Support Brigades Improving funds management: Remove one-time fiscal year 2017 increases  Echelons Above Brigade Improving funds management: Remove one-time fiscal year 2017 increases Program increase: Improve training and maintenance readiness Theater Level Assets Program increase: Improve maintenance readiness Land Forces Operations Support Program increase: Improve maintenance readiness Aviation Assets Maintaining program affordability: Unjustified growth Land Forces Depot Maintenance Improving funds management: Remove one-time fiscal year 2017 increases Maintaining program affordability: Unjustified program growth for reimbursable manpower conversion Base Operations Support Maintaining program affordability: Unjustified growth . Facilities Sustainment, Restoration & Modernization Improving funds management: Remove one-time fiscal year 2017 increases Transfer: FSRM funding from O&M Army Reserve to O&M ARNG for Pine Bluff Reserve Center Program increase Maintain program affordability: Overestimation of civilian FTEs	Modular Support Brigades	Modular Support Brigades

# OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY RESERVE

Appropriations, 2017	\$929,656,000
Budget estimate, 2018	1,084,007,000
Committee recommendation	1.062.707.000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,062,707,000. This is \$21,300,000 below the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

		2018 budget	Committee	Change from
Line	Item	estimate	recommendation	budget estimate
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY RESERVE			
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
10 20 30 40 50	RESERVE AIR OPERATIONS MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS INTERMEDIATE MAINTENANCE AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE AIRCRAFT DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT AVIATION LOGISTICS	596,876 5,902 94,861 381 13,822	576,876 5,902 94,861 381 13,822	- 20,000 
60	RESERVE SHIP OPERATIONS SHIP OPERATIONAL SUPPORT AND TRAINING	571	571	
70 80 90	RESERVE COMBAT OPERATIONS SUPPORT COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES CYBERSPACE ACTIVITIES	16,718 118,079 308	16,718 118,079 308	
100	RESERVE WEAPONS SUPPORT ENTERPRISE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	28,650	28,650	
110 120	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	86,354 103,596	86,354 103,596	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	1,066,118	1,046,118	- 20,000
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
130 140 160	ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES ADMINISTRATION	1,371 13,289 3,229	1,371 11,989 3,229	- 1,300
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	17,889	16,589	- 1,300
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY RESERVE	1,084,007	1,062,707	- 21,300

# COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1A1A	Maintain program affordability: Unjustified program	596,876	576,876	- 20,000
4A4M	growth	13.289	11.989	- 20,000 - 1,300
4A4IVI	Improving funds management: Program decrease unac-	13,209	11,505	- 1,300
	counted for			-1,300

# OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS RESERVE

Appropriations, 2017	\$271,133,000
Budget estimate, 2018	278,837,000
Committee recommendation	279,914,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$279,914,000. This is \$1,077,000 above the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS RESERVE			
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
10	EXPEDITIONARY FORCES  OPERATING FORCES	103,468	103,468	
20 30	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	18,794 32,777	18,794 33,854	+ 1,077
40	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	111,213	111,213	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	266,252	267,329	+ 1,077
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
60	ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES ADMINISTRATION	12,585	12,585	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	12,585	12,585	
	TOTAL, OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS RESERVE	278,837	279,914	+ 1,077

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
BSM1	Sustainment, Restoration and Modernization Program increase	32,777	33,854	+ 1,077 + 1,077

# OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE RESERVE

Appropriations, 2017	\$3,069,229,000
Budget estimate, 2018	3,267,507,000
Committee recommendation	3,227,407,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$3,227,407,000. This is \$40,100,000 below the budget estimate.

# COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE RESERVE			
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
	AIR OPERATIONS			
10	PRIMARY COMBAT FORCES	1,801,007	1,751,007	- 50,000
20	MISSION SUPPORT OPERATIONS	210,642	210,642	
30 40	DEPOT MAINTENANCEFACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	403,867 124,951	403,867 158,951	+ 34,000
50	CONTRACTOR LOGISTICS SUPPORT AND SYSTEM SUPPORT	240,835	266,735	+ 25,900
60	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	371,878	371,878	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	3,153,180	3,163,080	+ 9,900
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
	ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
70	ADMINISTRATION	74,153	74,153	
80	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	19,522	19,522	
90 100	MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT	12,765 7,495	12,765 7,495	
110	AUDIOVISUAL	392	392	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	114,327	114,327	
	OVERESTIMATION OF CIVILIAN FTE TARGETS		- 50,000	- 50,000
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE RE-			
	SERVE	3,267,507	3,227,407	-40,100

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

# The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

#### [In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
011A	Primary Combat Forces	1,801,007	1,751,007	- 50,000 - 50,000
011R	Facilities Sustainment, Restoration & Modernization	124,951	158,951	+ 34,000 + 34.000
011W	Contractor Logistics Support and System Support Program increase: WSS C5 CLS AMP/REPR Workload	240,835	266,735	+ 25,900
UNDIST	and C17 CLS workload for cargo aircraft			+ 25,900
	FTEs		- 50,000	- 50,000

# OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD

Appropriations, 2017	\$6,861,478,000
Budget estimate, 2018	7,307,170,000
Committee recommendation	7.500.220.000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$7,500,220,000. This is \$193,050,000 above the budget estimate.

# COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

56

Line	Item	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate	
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD				
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES				
10 20 30 40 50 60	LAND FORCES MANEUVER UNITS	777,883 190,639 807,557 85,476 36,672 956,381	807,883 182,639 817,557 97,476 36,672 981,381	+ 30,000 - 8,000 + 10,000 + 12,000 	
70 80 90	LAND FORCES READINESS FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT LAND FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS	777,756 51,506 244,942	778,956 51,506 244,942	+1,200	
100 110 120	LAND FORCES READINESS SUPPORT BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORTFACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONAL HEADQUARTERS	1,144,726 781,895 999,052	1,133,726 1,012,045 994,052	-11,000 +230,150 -5,000	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	6,854,485	7,138,835	+ 284,350	
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES				
130 140 150 160 170 180	ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	7,703 79,236 85,160 8,654 268,839 3,093	7,703 79,236 85,160 8,654 268,839 3,093		
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	452,685	452,685		
	REMOVE FY17 INCREASE OVERESTIMATION OF CIVILIAN FTE TARGETS		- 57,000 - 38,000 3,700	- 57,000 - 38,000 + 3,700	
	TOTAL, OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD	7,307,170	7,500,220	+ 193,050	

# COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

# The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

Line	Item	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
111	Maneuver Units	777,883	807,883	+ 30,000
	year 2017 increase			-20,000
	Program increase: Improve training and maintenance readiness			+ 50,000
112	Modular Support BrigadesImproving funds management: Remove one-time fiscal	190,639	182,639	- 8,000
	year 2017 increase			-8,000
113	Echelons Above Brigade	807,557	817,557	+10,000
	Improving funds management: Remove one-time fiscal			
	year 2017 increase			- 5,000
	Program increase: Improve training and maintenance			
	readiness			+ 15,000
114	Theater Level Assets	85,476	97,476	+ 12,000

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Program increase: Improve maintenance readiness			+ 12,000
116	Aviation Assets	956.381	981.381	+ 25,000
	Program increase: Improve maintenance readiness			+ 25,000
121	Force Readiness Operations Support	777,756	778,956	+ 1,200
	Program increase: Trauma training			+1,200
131	Base Operations Support	1,144,726	1,133,726	-11,000
	Maintain program affordability: Unjustified program			
	growth			-11,000
132	Facilities Sustainment, Restoration & Modernization	781,895	1,012,045	+ 230,150
	Transfer: FSRM funding from O&M Army Reserve to			
	0&M ARNG for Pine Bluff Reserve Center			+ 150
	Program increase			+ 200,000
100	Program increase: Armory lead abatement			+ 30,000
133	Management and Operational Headquarters	999,052	994,052	- 5,000
	Improving funds management: Program decrease unac-			F 000
LINDICT	counted for			- 5,000
UNDIST	Improving funds management: Remove one-time fiscal year		E7 000	E7.000
UNDICT	2017 increase		- 57,000	- 57,000
UNDIST	Maintain program affordability: Overestimation of civilian		38 000	- 38.000
UNDIST	FTEs Program increase: Authorized end strength increase		- 38,000 3,700	+ 3,700
ופועווט	i rogram morease: Audiorizeu enu strength morease		3,700	+ 3,700

State Partnership Program.—The State Partnership Program [SPP] has been successfully building relationships for over 20 years by linking a State's National Guard with the armed forces or equivalent of a partner country in a cooperative, mutually beneficial relationship. It includes 73 unique security partnerships involving 79 nations around the globe. The Committee recognizes the importance of SPP and encourages continued robust support of this important partnership program.

#### OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR NATIONAL GUARD

Appropriations, 2017	\$6,615,095,000
Budget estimate, 2018	6,939,968,000
Committee recommendation	7,090,368,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$7,090,368,000. This is \$150,400,000 above the budget estimate.

### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR NATIONAL GUARD			
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
10	AIR OPERATIONS AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS	3,175,055	3,098,555	- 76,500
20	MISSION SUPPORT OPERATIONS	746,082	803,282	+ 57,200
30	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	867,063	867,063	
40	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	325,090	449,090	+ 124,000
50	CONTRACTOR LOGISTICS SUPPORT AND SYSTEM SUPPORT	1,100,829	1,139,529	+ 38,700
60	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	583,664	583,664	

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	6,797,783	6,941,183	+ 143,400
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
	SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
70	ADMINISTRATION	44,955	44,955	
80	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	97,230	97,230	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	142,185	142,185	
	AIR NATIONAL GUARD WILDFIRE TRAINING		7,000	+7,000
	TOTAL, OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, AIR NATIONAL			
	GUARD	6,939,968	7,090,368	+ 150,400

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

# The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
011F	Aircraft Operations	3,175,055	3,098,555	- 76,500
	Improving funds management: Projected underexecution			- 76,500
011G	Mission Support Operations	746,082	803,282	+ 57,200
	Maintain program affordability: Unjustified program			
	growth			-10,000
	Program increase: Trauma training			+1,200
	Program increase: Information technology service man-			
	agement-Air National Guard			+ 66,000
011R	Facilities Sustainment, Restoration & Modernization	325,090	449,090	+ 124,000
	Program increase			+ 124,000
011W	Contractor Logistics Support and System Support	1,100,829	1,139,529	+ 38,700
	Improving funds management: Program decrease unac-			
	counted for			- 20,000
	Program increase: Increase weapons systems			
	sustainment-Air National Guard			+ 58,700
UNDIST	Program increase: Air National Guard wildfire training		7,000	+ 7,000

Air National Guard Cyber Training.—The Committee recognizes the success of the Air National Guard in training and developing servicemembers to fulfill cyber mission requirements through the recently launched Cyber Skills Validation Course. The course was created to ease the backlog in the initial skills training pipeline by capitalizing on the cyber experience in the Air National Guard. The Committee encourages expansion of this training program, to include additional course instructors and a virtual training range, to enable participation by civilian and joint service personnel.

# U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE ARMED FORCES

Appropriations, 2017	\$14,194,000
Budget estimate, 2018	14,538,000
Committee recommendation	14.538.000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$14,538,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

#### ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, ARMY

Appropriations, 2017	\$170,167,000
Budget estimate, 2018	215,809,000
Committee recommendation	215,809,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$215,809,000.

This is equal to the budget estimate.

Badger Army Ammunition Plant.—In 2011, an Army Feasibility Study concluded that an offsite drinking water treatment system was needed as part of a comprehensive groundwater cleanup remedy for the former Badger Army Ammunition Plant [BAAP]. Accordingly, in 2015, the Town of Merrimac, Wisconsin, designed and approved a sanitation district required by the Army to support such a system, and as recently as May 2016, the Army noted in writing that "design of the municipal drinking water system has been initiated." Recently, however, the Army reversed its plans to construct and operate the drinking water system. The Committee is concerned about this decision, its potential to delay the provision of clean drinking water to homes near the site, and the Army's lack of public communication regarding the decision.

Therefore, the Committee expects the Army to conduct required human health risk assessments expeditiously, and if needed, use expedited contracting authorities. Additionally, the Committee urges the Army to hold regular public meetings to update and engage with local stakeholders. The Committee expects the Army to integrate local priorities in its remediation plans. Furthermore, not later than 90 days after the enactment of this act, the Secretary of the Army shall submit to the House and Senate Appropriations Committees a report and provide a corresponding briefing regarding the Army's rationale and process for approving plans to construct and operate a drinking water system and its subsequent decision to terminate such plans, as well as the Army's completed and planned actions for environmental restoration at the site.

# Environmental Restoration, Navy

Appropriations, 2017	\$289,262,000
Budget estimate, 2018	281,415,000
Committee recommendation	323 649 000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$323,649,000. This is \$42,234,000 above the budget estimate to address costs associated with remediating contamination caused by perfluorinated chemicals [PFCs].

#### Environmental Restoration, Air Force

Appropriations, 2017	\$371,521,000
Budget estimate, 2018	293,749,000
Committee recommendation	337,549,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$337,549,000. This is \$43,800,000 above the budget estimate to address costs associated with remediating contamination caused by perfluorinated chemicals [PFCs].

# ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, DEFENSE-WIDE

Appropriations, 2017	\$9,009,000
Budget estimate, 2018	9,002,000
Committee recommendation	9,002,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$9,002,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

#### Environmental Restoration, Formerly Used Defense Sites

Appropriations, 2017	\$222,084,000
Budget estimate, 2018	208,673,000
Committee recommendation	215,673,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$215,673,000. This is \$7,000,000 above the budget estimate to help address unfunded needs.

# OVERSEAS HUMANITARIAN, DISASTER, AND CIVIC AID

Appropriations, 2017	\$123,125,000
Budget estimate, 2018	104,900,000
Committee recommendation	119,900,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$119,900,000. This is \$15,000,000 above the budget estimate for South China Sea regional engagement.

### COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION ACCOUNT

Appropriations, 2017	\$325,604,000
Budget estimate, 2018	324,600,000
Committee recommendation	324,600,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$324,600,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION			
1 2 3 5 6 7	Strategic Offensive Arms Elimination Chemical Weapons Destruction Biological Threat Reduction Other Assessments/Admin Costs Global Nuclear Security WMD Proliferation Prevention	12,188 5,000 172,753 26,980 17,887 89,792	12,188 5,000 172,753 26,980 17,887 89,792	
	Total, Cooperative Threat Reduction	324,600	324,600	

# DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE ACQUISITION WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT FUND

Appropriations, 2017	
Budget estimate, 2018	
Committee recommendation	\$600,000,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$600,000,000. This is \$600,000,000 above the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1	Training and Development Program increase		336,000	+ 336,000 + 336.000
2	Retention and Recognition Program increase		19,907	+ 19,907 + 19,907
3	Recruiting and Hiring		244,093	+ 244,093 + 244,093
	Total, Department of Defense Acquisition Workforce Development Fund		600,000	+600,000

Budgeting for the Department of Defense Acquisition Workforce.— The Department of Defense Acquisition Workforce Development Fund [DAWDF] was established in fiscal year 2008 to address the attrition of the Department of Defense acquisition workforce caused by the failure of the Department of Defense and military services to appropriately resource their acquisition workforce requirements. The Committee notes that the purpose of the DAWDF was to increase the acquisition workforce by 20,000 personnel by 2015, and that the Department of Defense achieved that goal in 2010 by applying authorities and funding provided through the DAWDF in combination with other Department of Defense initiatives. The Committee further notes that since the original purpose of the DAWDF was accomplished, funds are being applied towards additional purposes.

The Committee is concerned that the non-traditional funding mechanisms for the DAWDF combined with repeated expansions of its purpose have negatively impacted planning and budgeting for the program, as well as funding execution; and impeded efforts to align the Department of Defense's Strategic Human Capital Management Plan for its acquisition workforce with plans, programs and budgets. Therefore, in order to restore program and funding stability for the Department of Defense acquisition workforce, the Committee recommends restoring annual appropriations for the DAWDF while retaining its unique authorities, and recommends \$600,000,000 for the DAWDF in this bill. The Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) is expected to follow this budgeting approach in future budget submissions.

Further, the Committee directs the Under Secretary (Acquisition and Sustainment) to submit to the congressional defense committees with the Department's Fiscal Year 2019 budget submission a report identifying all budgeted costs in the Department of Defense for the acquisition workforce by fiscal year and funding category across the Future Years Defense Program in the DAWDF, as well as in regular operation and maintenance and research, development, test and evaluation accounts. In addition, the Committee di-

rects the Under Secretary (Acquisition and Sustainment) to identify the costs budgeted to pay the salaries of personnel to manage the DAWDF, per section 843 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2018; to provide a cost estimate for the proposed Program Manager Development Program, per section 841 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2018; and to identify any unfunded fiscal year 2019 requirements for the De-

partment of Defense acquisition workforce.

Reporting Requirements Relating to the Acquisition Workforce.—
The Committee directs that the comprehensive plan to be provided by the Secretary of Defense to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives in accordance with section 841 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2018 shall also be provided to the Subcommittees on Defense of the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and the House of Representatives. In addition, the report to be submitted by the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Sustainment to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives in accordance with section 843 (c)(1) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2018 shall also be provided to the Subcommittees on Defense of the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and the House of Representatives.

#### TITLE III

#### **PROCUREMENT**

Funds appropriated under this title provide the resources required to purchase military equipment and hardware, including aircraft, ships, missiles, combat vehicles, ammunition, weapons, electronic sensors and communications equipment, and other procurement items.

The President's fiscal year 2018 budget requests a total of \$113,931,877,000 for procurement appropriations.

#### SUMMARY OF COMMITTEE ACTION

The Committee recommends procurement appropriations totaling \$124,322,846,000 for fiscal year 2018. This is \$10,390,969,000 above the budget estimate.

Committee recommended procurement appropriations for fiscal year 2018 are summarized below:

#### SUMMARY OF PROCUREMENT APPROPRIATIONS

[In thousands of dollars]

Account	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
Procurement:			
Aircraft Procurement, Army	4,149,894	5,085,303	+ 935,409
Missile Procurement, Army	2,519,054	2,846,053	+ 326,999
Procurement of Weapons and Tracked Combat Vehicles, Army	2,423,608	3,439,391	+ 1,015,783
Procurement of Ammunition, Army	1,879,283	2,403,384	+ 524,101
Other Procurement, Army	6,469,331	7,814,263	+ 1,344,932
Aircraft Procurement, Navy	14,956,235	18,416,079	+ 3,459,844
Weapons Procurement, Navy	3,420,107	3,393,458	- 26,649
Procurement of Ammunition, Navy and Marine Corps	792,345	817,495	+25,150
Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy	20,403,607	21,816,923	+ 1,413,316
Other Procurement, Navy	7,902,864	8,115,384	+ 212,520
Procurement, Marine Corps	2,064,825	2,093,749	+ 28,924
Aircraft Procurement, Air Force	15,430,849	16,189,022	+ 758,173
Missile Procurement, Air Force	2,296,182	2,238,828	- 57,354
Space Procurement, Air Force	3,370,775	3,095,995	- 274,780
Procurement of Ammunition, Air Force	1,376,602	1,318,602	- 58,000
Other Procurement, Air Force	19,603,497	20,334,550	+ 731,053
Procurement, Defense-Wide	4,835,418	4,866,966	+ 31,548
Defense Production Act Purchases	37,401	37,401	
Total	113,931,877	124,322,846	+ 10,390,969

#### REPROGRAMMING GUIDANCE FOR ACQUISITION ACCOUNTS

The Secretary of Defense is directed to continue to follow the reprogramming guidance as specified in the report accompanying the House version of the Department of Defense appropriations bill for fiscal year 2008 (House Report 110–279). Specifically, the dollar threshold for reprogramming funds will remain at \$20,000,000 for

procurement and \$10,000,000 for research, development, test and evaluation.

Also, the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) is directed to continue to provide the congressional defense committees quarterly, spreadsheet-based DD Form 1416 reports for service and defensewide accounts in titles III and IV of this act. Reports for titles III and IV shall comply with guidance specified in the explanatory statement accompanying the Department of Defense Appropriations Act for Fiscal Year 2006. The Department shall continue to follow the limitation that prior approval reprogrammings are set at either the specified dollar threshold or 20 percent of the procurement or research, development, test and evaluation line, whichever is less. These thresholds are cumulative from the base for reprogramming value as modified by any adjustments. Therefore, if the combined value of transfers into or out of a procurement (P-1), or a research, development, test and evaluation (R-1) line exceeds the identified threshold, the Secretary of Defense must submit a prior approval reprogramming to the congressional defense committees. In addition, guidelines on the application of prior approval reprogramming procedures for congressional special interest items are established elsewhere in this report.

#### PROCUREMENT OVERVIEW

Missile Budgeting.—The Army and Marine Corps procure weapons including Guided Multiple Launch Rockets, Tube-Launched, Optically-Tracked, Wireless-guided missiles, and Javelin missiles, via Army contracts. The Committee is concerned that Army acquisition and contracting methodologies, which varies from one munition to another, preclude both services from achieving efficient resource management. By relying on Undefinitized Contract Actions [UCA] the Army cannot be certain of the quantity of missiles it will procure or the unit costs of those missiles in a given fiscal year. Army and Marine Corps budget justification materials for programs that have been budgeted for years under a UCA reflect these uncertainties. The Committee relies on the services to provide accurate program projections and cost estimates for its weapons procurements. The Army and Marine Corps' lack of visibility into their own procurement process results from regularly operating under continuing resolution authority, relying on undefinitized contracts, and applying differing cost models when preparing budget submissions, despite the fact that the they buy the same weapons on the same contracts. The Committee directs the Secretaries of the Army and Navy to submit a joint report to the congressional defense committees not later than 120 days after the enactment of this act outlining the collaborative activities, procedures, and policies they intend to adopt to reduce the use of undefinitized missile and rocket contracts and reduce service inconsistency in missile and rocket procurement cost estimating.

Army Organic Industrial Base.—The Committee directs the Secretary of the Army to provide 45-day written notification to the congressional defense committees prior to the Secretary approving civilian reductions in force that will result in an employment loss of 50 or more full-time employees at any Army organic industrial base facility. The notification shall include the impact that the pro-

posed reduction in force will have on the ability to maintain the organic industrial base critical manufacturing capabilities as delineated in the Army Organic Industrial Base Strategy Report, a detailed accounting of the costs of implementing the reduction in force, and an assessment of the cost of, and time necessary, to restore any lost capability to meet future organic wartime manufac-

turing needs.

Arsenal Sustainment Initiative.—The Committee supports the ongoing efforts of the Department of the Army to develop the Army Organic Industrial Base Strategy. This process is identifying manufacturing capabilities at each organic industrial facility that are critical for the country to sustain in wartime and peacetime if the U.S. military is called to act. However, the Committee is concerned that while the Army Organic Industrial Base Strategy is identifying capabilities, it has not prioritized them in annual budget requests to Congress. In particular, the Nation's arsenals are at risk of not having the capacity to respond rapidly to meet the Department's needs. Addressing this concern, the Army in comments provided to the Government Accountability Office [GAO] in advance of its December 2015 report, Actions Needed to Identify and Sustain Critical Capabilities, the Army concurred with the GAO's recommendation that it must issue "clear and detailed implementation guidance, such as an instruction or guidebook, on the process for conducting make-or-buy analysis in a consistent manner." The Committee directs the Secretary of the Army to issue such guidance as soon as possible. The Committee further directs the Secretary to assign the arsenals sufficient workload to maintain the critical capabilities identified in the Army Organic Industrial Base Strategy Report and ensure cost efficiency and technical competence in peacetime, while preserving the ability to provide an effective and timely response to mobilizations, national defense contingency situations, and other emergency requirements. Finally, the Committee notes that it has not yet received detailed recommendations from the Secretary of Defense on how the Air Force, Navy, and Marine Corps can better use the arsenals for their manufacturing needs, or what opportunities may exist for the arsenals to assist the services and the Defense Logistics Agency to procure for our spare parts inventory, as required by Senate Report 114-

Buy American Compliance.—The Committee is concerned with two separate Inspector General reports, required by the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014, that found both the Air Force and Navy were not in compliance with the Buy American Act and Berry Amendment. Ensuring appropriate domestic source requirements are included in contracts and strict adherence to these requirements are vital to the health of the Defense industrial base. Therefore, the Committee encourages the Secretary of Defense to dedicate resources to expand training and ensure compliance with the Buy American and Berry Amendment.

Shade Evaluation.—The Committee recognizes that the Defense Logistics Agency [DLA] currently employs a visual test methodology in its shade evaluation process for military uniforms. The Committee encourages the Director, DLA to identify and leverage alternative methodologies for shade evaluation of Non-Shade Crit-

ical uniform fabrics in an effort to standardize shade specifications, objectively measure shade readings, and promote a more robust

clothing and textiles manufacturing base.

Standard Missile Program Inventory and Acquisition Objectives.—In fiscal year 2017, in Senate Report 114–263 and in House Report 114–577, the Director, Missile Defense Agency, in coordination with the Assistant Secretary of the Navy (Research, Development and Acquisition), was directed to provide to the congressional defense committees an acquisition objective for the SM–3 Block IB and Block IIA missile programs. The Committee has reviewed the report and finds it unnecessarily vague and not helpful in determining overall program requirements and acquisition strategies,

managing the industrial base or controlling costs.

The Committee understands that the Department of Defense is considering a multiyear procurement proposal for certain variants of the Standard Missile family, and believes that understanding inventory and acquisition objectives for all Standard Missile variants should be part of any such consideration. Therefore, the Committee directs the Director, Missile Defense Agency, in coordination with the Deputy Chief of Naval Operations for Warfare Systems, to provide with the fiscal year 2019 President's budget submission an unclassified report with a classified annex detailing inventory and acquisition objectives for all elements of the Standard Missile portfolio by variant. Further, the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller), in coordination with the Missile Defense Agency Director for Operations and the Assistant Secretary of the Navy (Financial Management and Comptroller), is directed to certify in writing to the congressional defense committees that the fiscal year 2019 President's budget program submission fully funds Standard Missile requirements in support of inventory and acquisition objectives identified in the aforementioned report.

#### AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY

Appropriations, 2017	\$4,587,598,000
Budget estimate, 2018	4,149,894,000
Committee recommendation	5,085,303,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$5,085,303,000. This is \$935,409,000 above the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

			2010 hudanat		#immo	Change from	from
Line	Item	Qty.	estimate	Qty.	recommendation	Qty.	Budget estimate
	AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY						
	AIRCRAFT						
2 4	FIXED WING UTILITY FAV CARGO AIRCRAFT	2 4	75,115	4 9	75,115	+	+ 38,800
		1					
9 1	UH-72 LAKOTA LIGHT UTILITY HELICOPTER	13	108,383	24	198,383	+ 11	+ 90,000
~ oc	REMAN [AP_CY]	0	170,910	40	170,910		- 30,000
6	_	13	374,100	22	682,800	6+	+ 308,700
9 :	AH-64 APACHE BLOCK IIIB NEW BUILD [AP-CY]	9.0	71,900	7	1 070 400	0	-71,900
12	UIT-00 BLACKHAWK [MIT]	0	86,305	00	2,076,406	o  -	140,100
13	A AND L MODEI	36	76,516	39	93,216	۳ +	+ 16,700
14		2	202,576	9	449,076	+ 4	+246,500
15	CH-47 HELICOPTER [AP-CY]		17,820		13,320		-4,500
	TOTAL, AIRCRAFT		2,878,105		3,611,905		+ 733,800
	MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT						
17	MQ-1 PAYLOAD—UAS		5,910		19,110		+13,200
82 5	UNIVERSAL GROUND CONTROL EQUIPMENT		15,000		15,000		
13 20 20	GRAY EAGLE MOUSZ		/4,291 68 812		/4,291 68.812		
21	- :		238,141		238.141		
22	CH-47 CARGO HELICOPTER MODS		20,166		22,366		+2,200
23	GRCS SEMA MODS [MIP]		5,514		5,514		
24	ARL SEMA MODS [MIP]		11,650		11,650		
52			15,2/9		15,2/9		
27	UTILITY HELICOPTER MODS		5,737		5/,/3/		+ 34 809
58	NETWORK AND MISSION PLAN		142,102		132,402		-9,700
53	COMMS, NAV SURVEILLANCE		166,050		150,650		-15,400

[Dollars in thousands]

			2010 hudant		owni#oo	Change from	from
Line	Item	Otty.	colo budgel estimate	Qty.	recommendation	Qty.	Budget estimate
30 31 32	GATM ROLLUP RQ-7 UAV MODS		37,403 83,160 26,109		37,403 194,160 26,109		+ 111,000
	TOTAL, MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT		973,224		1,109,333		+ 136,109
33	GROUND SUPPORT AVIONICS AIRCRAFT SURVIVABILITY EQUIPMENT SURVIVABILITY CM CMMS COMMS		70,913 5,884 26,825		70,913 5,884 57,725		+ 30,900 + 30,900
37 38 39 40 41	OTHER SUPPORT AVIONGS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT COMMON GROUND EQUIPMENT AIRCREW INTEGRATED SYSTEM AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES LAUNCHER, 2.75 ROCKET		7,038 47,404 47,066 83,790 1,397 1,911		7,038 47,404 59,166 75,390 1,397 1,911		+ 12,100
	TOTAL, SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES		298,565		364,065		+ 65,500
	TOTAL, AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY		4,149,894		5,085,303		+ 935,409

[Dollars in thousands]

# COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

# The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
4	MQ-1 UAV	30,206	69.006	+ 38,800
	Program increase: Extended Range-Improved Gray Eagle	,	,	,
	air vehicles			+38,800
5	Helicopter, Light Utility [LUH]	108,383	198,383	+90,000
	Program increase			+90,000
6	AH—64 Apache Block IIIA Reman	725,976	695,376	-30,600
	Improving funds management: Excess government fur-			
	nished equipment and support costs	074.100		- 30,600
8	AH-64 Apache Block IIIB New Build	374,100	682,800	+ 308,700
0	Program increase: Nine new build AH-64E aircraft	71.000		+ 308,700
9	AH-64 Apache Block IIIB New Build—AP	71,900		-71,900
	Maintain program affordability: Excess advance procure-			<b>-71.900</b>
10	mentUH-60 Blackhawk M Model (MYP)	938.308	1.078.408	- 71,900 + 140,100
10	Program increase: Additional aircraft for the Army National	330,300	1,070,400	+ 140,100
	Guard			+ 108,000
	Program increase: UH-60M Engineering change proposals			1 100,000
	for production line modification			+ 62,000
	Maintain program affordability: Excess tooling			- 9,500
	Maintain program affordability: Excess training equipment			- 20,400
12	UH-60 Black Hawk A and L Models	76,516	93,216	+ 16,700
	Program increase: Three additional UH-60V aircraft	, 0,010		+ 16,700
13	CH-47 Helicopter	202.576	449.076	+ 246,500
	Program increase: Four new build MH—47G aircraft			+ 246,500
14	CH-47 Helicopter—AP	17,820	13,320	- 4,500
	Maintain program affordability: Excess advance procure-	,	,	,,
	ment			-4,500
15	MQ-1 Payload (MIP)	5,910	19,110	+13,200
	Program increase: Common sensor payloads			+13,200
20	CH-47 Cargo Helicopter Mods (MYP)	20,166	22,366	+ 2,200
	Program increase: Safety, obsolescence, weight reduction,			
	and maintenance requirements			+ 2,200
25	Utility Helicopter Mods	5,900	40,709	+ 34,809
	Program increase: Aircraft survivability, protection, and			
	safety requirements			+ 34,809
26	Network and Mission Plan	142,102	132,402	<b>- 9,700</b>
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Improved data modem			2.700
	unit cost growth			-3,700
	Maintain program affordability: Fielding and training un- justified growth			- 6,000
27	Comms. Nav Surveillance	166.050	150.650	- 0,000 - 15,400
21	Restoring acquisition accountability: ARC-231 radio set	100,030	130,030	13,400
	unit cost growth			- 15,400
29	RQ-7 UAV MODS	83,160	194,160	+ 111,000
	Program increase: Five Shadow V2 BLK III systems and		,	,
	recap of six USMC Shadow Systems			+111,000
33	CMWS	26,825	57,725	+ 30,900
	Program increase: B-kits to detect enemy MANPADS			+ 30,900
34	Common Infrared Countermeasures [CIRCM]	6,337	37,237	+ 30,900
	Program increase: B-kits			+ 30,900
37	Aircrew Integrated Systems	47,066	59,166	+ 12,100
	Program increase: Aircrew restraint tethers—UH-60 and			
	CH-47 aircraft			+ 12,100
38	Air Traffic Control	83,790	75,390	- 8,400
	Insufficient budget justification			-8,400

# MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY

Appropriations, 2017	\$1,533,804,000
Budget estimate, 2018	2,519,054,000
Committee recommendation	2,846,053,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$2,846,053,000. This is \$326,999,000 above the budget estimate.

# COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

					:	Change from	from
Line	ltem	ûty.	2018 budget estimate	Qty.	Committee	Otty.	Budget estimate
	MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY						
	OTHER MISSILES						
-	SURFACE-TO-AIR MISSILE SYSTEM I OWRP TIEP AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE (AMD)		140 826		136 579		_ A 2A7
7	LOWER HER AND MISSILE DEFENSE (AMP)	93	459,040	93	455,320		-4,24, $-3,720$
က	INDIRECT FIRE PROTECTION CAPABILITY		57,742		50,056		-7,686
	AIR-TO-SURFACE MISSILE SYSTEM	G	0	c c	0		
ဂ	HELLYIKE SYS SUMWAKY JOINT AIR-TO-GROUND MSLS [JAGM]	998	94,790 178,432	998	94,790 178,432		
	ANTI-TANK/ASSAULT MISSILE SYSTEM						
∞	JAVELIN (AAWS-M) SYSTEM SUMMARY	525	110,123	525	257,488		+ 147,365
0 5	TOW 2 SYSTEM SUMMARY	1,156	85,851	1,156	85,851		
3 =	GUIDED MIRS ROCKET IGMIRSI	4.458	595.182	4.458	596.168		986 +
12	MLRS REDUCED RANGE PRACTICE ROCKETS (RRPR)	3,306	28,321	3,306	34,651		+6,330
13	HIGH MOBILITY ARTILLERY ROCKET SYSTEM (HIMARS)				197,000		+ 197,000
	TOTAL, OTHER MISSILES		1,770,256		2,106,284		+ 336,028
	MODIFICATION OF MISSILES						
	MODIFICATIONS						
15	PATRIOT MODS		329,073		329,073		
17	ATHOMS MODS		110,040		110,040		
18	STINGER MODS		63,090		55,061		-8,029
19	AVENGER MODS		62,931		62,931		
50	ITAS/TOW MODS		3,500		3,500		
77	MILKS MODIS		138,235		138,235		
	TOTAL, MODIFICATION OF MISSILES		722,966		714,937		-8,029

[Dollars in thousands]

			2010 hudget		Committon	Change from	from
Line	ltem	QtA.	estimate	Qty.	recommendation	Othy.	Budget estimate
23	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS 23 SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		18,915		17,915		-1,000
24 26	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES 24 AIR DEFENSE TARGETS 26 PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT		5,728 1,189		5,728 1,189		
	TOTAL, SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES		6,917		6,917		
	TOTAL, MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY		2,519,054		2,846,053		+ 326,999

[Dollars in thousands]

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Lime Restoring acquisition accountability: Logistics cost growth		•			
Restoring acquisition accountability: Logistics cost growth  MSE Missile Restoring acquisition accountability: Unit cost growth Indirect Fire Protection Capability Inc 2–1 Restoring acquisition accountability: AIM—9X Unit cost variance Javelin (Aaws-M) System Summary Program increase: Convert CLUs to block 1 configuration Tion Restoring acquisition accountability: Unit cost growth Program increase:  MLRS Reduced Range Practice Rockets [RRPR] Righ Mobility Artillery Rocket System [HIMARS] Program increase: Launchers in support of EDI Stinger Mods Restoring acquisition accountability: Unit cost variance Restoring acquisition accountability: Unit cost variance Stinger Mods Restoring acquisition accountability: Unit cost variance Restoring acqu	Line	ltem			Change from budget estimate
2 MSE Missile	1	_ = =	140,826	136,579	- 4,247
Restoring acquisition accountability: Unit cost growth Indirect Fire Protection Capability Inc 2–I		growth			- 4,247
Indirect Fire Protection Capability Inc 2-I	2	MSE Missile	459,040	455,320	- 3,720
Restoring acquisition accountability: AIM—9X Unit cost variance		Restoring acquisition accountability: Unit cost growth			- 3,720
3   Javelin (Aaws-M) System Summary	3	Restoring acquisition accountability: AIM-9X Unit cost	57,742	50,056	- 7,686
Program increase: Convert CLUs to block 1 configuration				1	- 7,686
Guided MLRS Rocket [GMLRS]   596,168   Restoring acquisition accountability: Unit cost growth Program increase   +1	8		110,123	257,488	+ 147,365
Restoring acquisition accountability: Unit cost growth   Program increase   +1   +1		tion			+ 147,365
Program increase	11	Guided MLRS Rocket [GMLRS]	595,182	596,168	+ 986
MLRS Reduced Range Practice Rockets [RRPR]		Restoring acquisition accountability: Unit cost growth			-13,514
Program increase		Program increase			+ 14,500
High Mobility Artillery Rocket System [HIMARS]	12	MLRS Reduced Range Practice Rockets [RRPR]	28,321	34,651	+6,330
Program increase: Launchers in support of EDI		Program increase			+ 6,330
18 Stinger Mods	13	High Mobility Artillery Rocket System [HIMARS]		197,000	+ 197,000
Restoring acquisition accountability: Unit cost vari-		Program increase: Launchers in support of EDI			+ 197,000
	18	Stinger Mods	63,090	55,061	- 8,029
9000		Restoring acquisition accountability: Unit cost vari-			
		ance			- 8,029
	23		18,915	17,915	-1,000
Insufficient budget justification: Poor justification		Insufficient budget justification: Poor justification			
materials –		materials			- 1,000

## PROCUREMENT OF WEAPONS AND TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES, ARMY

Appropriations, 2017	\$2,229,455,000
Budget estimate, 2018	2.423,608,000
Committee recommendation	3 439 391 000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$3,439,391,000. This is \$1,015,783,000 above the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

			-		:	Change from	from
Line	ltem	Qty.	ZU18 budget estimate	Qty.	Committee	Qty.	Budget estimate
2 4 5 6 6 7 7 7 10 11 11 11 13 13	PROCUREMENT OF WEAPONS AND TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES (W&TCV), ARMY TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES ARMORED MULTI PURPOSE VEHICLE (AMPV) MODIFICATION OF TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES STRYKER (MOD) STRYKER (MOD) STRYKER (MOD) MIO9 FOW MODIFICATIONS MIO9 FOW MODIFICATIONS IMPROVED RECOVERY VEHICLE (M88A2 HERCULES) ASSAULT BREACHER VEHICLE MASSAULT BREACHER VEHICLE MAT ABRAMS TANK (MOD)	42 	193,715 97,552 444,851 646,413 72,402 5,855 34,221 4,826 128,330 248,826 275,000	42 116 59 16 7 7	137,435 97,552 348,000 555,851 46,413 72,402 4,736 64,221 4,736 64,221 4,736 128,350 128,350 416,326	+1116	- 56,280 + 348,000 + 111,000 - 14,565 - 1,119 + 30,000 + 250,000
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 25 26 27 28	TOTAL, TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES WEAPONS AND OTHER COMBAT VEHICLES MA240 MEDIUM MACHINE GUN (7.62MM) MULTI-ROLE ANTI-PERSONNEL WEAPON MORTAR SYSTEMS XM320 GRENADE LAUNCHER MODULE (GLM) COMPACT SEMI-AUTOWATIC SNIPER SYSTEM COMMON REMOTELLY OPERATED WEAPONS STATION MOD OF WEAPONS AND OTHER COMBAT VEH MK-19 GRENADE MACHINE GUN MODS M777 MODS MA CARBINE MODS MA CARBINE MODS MA CARBINE MODS		2,216,241 1,992 6,520 21,452 4,524 43,150 43,150 8,326 8,326 8,326 3,985 31,315 47,414		3,050,777 3,292 6,520 18,830 18,524 50,700 43,150 22,250 8,326 8,326 8,326 8,326 8,326 8,722 31,315 47,414		+ 834,536 + 1,300 - 2,622 + 14,000 + 50,700 + 21,500 + 21,500

30		3,339	3,339	
31	31 M240 MEDIUM MACHINE GUN MODS	4,577	11,159	+6,582
32	SNIPER RIFLES MODIFICATIONS	1,488	1,488	
33	M119 MODIFICATIONS	12,678	12,678	
34	MORTAR MODIFICATION	3,998	3,998	
35	35   MODIFICATIONS LESS THAN \$5.0M (WOCV-WTCV)	2,219	2,219	
	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES			
36	36   ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (WOCV-WTCV)	5,075	5,075	
37	37   PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (WOCV-MTCV)	366	992	
22	22 INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS		4,000	+4,000
39	39 SMALL ARMS EQUIPMENT (SOLDIER ENH PROG)	1,573	1,573	
	TOTAL, WEAPONS AND OTHER COMBAT VEHICLES	207,367	388,614	+ 181,247
	TOTAL, PROCUREMENT OF W&TCV, ARMY	2,423,608	3,439,391	+1,015,783

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
2	Armored Multi Purpose Vehicle [AMPV]	193,715	137,435	- 56,280
5	fund long lead only		348,000	- 56,280 + 348,000 + 348.000
6	Bradley Program (MOD) Program increase: Recap M2A4 vehicles	444,851	555,851	+ 111,000 + 111.000
7	M109 FOV Modifications	64,230	49,665	- 14,565 - 14,565
10	Assault Bridge (Mod)	5,855	4,736	- 1,119 - 1,119
11	Assault Breacher Vehicle	34,221	64,221	+ 30,000
14	width mine plows	248,826	.,	+ 30,000 + 167,500
	Program increase Improving funds management: Undefinitized CROWS— LP contract			+ 171,000 - 3,500
15	Abrams Upgrade Program Program increase: Recap tanks to M1A2SEPv3	275,000	525,000	+ 250,000 + 250,000
18	M240 Medium Machine Gun (7.62MM)  Program increase	1,992	3,292	+ 1,300 + 1,300
20	Mortar Systems	21,452	18,830	- 2,622 - 2,622
21	XM320 Grenade Launcher Module [GLM] Program increase	4,524	18,524	+ 14,000 + 14.000
22	Compact Semi-Automatic Sniper System Program increase: Squad designated marksman rifle		50,700	+ 50,700 + 50,700
24	Common Remotely Operated Weapons Station  Program increase  Program increase: CROWS modifications to integrate	750	22,250	+ 21,500 + 11,500
27	the XM914	3,985	89,772	+ 10,000 + 85,787
31	Program increase: M777 lightweight towed howitzers and chrome tubes	4,577	11,159	+ 85,787 + 6,582
	Program increase: M240L 7.62mm machine guns			+ 6,582
38	Industrial Preparedness		4,000	+ 4,000 + 4,000

Active Protection Systems.—The Committee continues to be concerned about the proliferation and lethality of anti-armor weapons developed by adversary nations and concurs with the Army's assessment that Active Protection Systems may be the only viable near-term mitigation for these threats. In order to accelerate procurement, the Committee encourages the Secretary of the Army to rapidly field Active Protection Systems by using all available authorities and expeditious contracting mechanisms

thorities and expeditious contracting mechanisms.

Mobile Protected Firepower.—The fiscal year 2018 President's budget request includes planned procurement funding in fiscal year 2019 for Mobile Protected Firepower. The Committee directs the Secretary of the Army to provide a report, no later than the submission of the fiscal year 2019 President's budget request, explaining the changes in infantry brigade combat team doctrine, organization, training, logistics, personnel, and facilities required to sup-

port armored vehicles organic to those formations. The report shall include estimates of the amount and timing of the funds over the future years defense program required to establish or modify training and gunnery ranges, establish or renovate, man, and operate maintenance facilities, man and train tank crews, and procure and field associated vehicles required to support light tanks. The report shall also include detailed explanation of the number, size, and distribution of tank units within infantry brigade combat teams. Finally, this report should be submitted to the congressional defense committees and the military construction appropriations subcommittees.

M4 Carbine Rails.—The Committee supports Department of the Army efforts over the last decade to upgrade the M4 carbine and its accessory systems. The Committee notes that United States Special Operations Command units have fielded rail systems for their M4 carbines that place no weight on the barrel, resulting in increased accuracy and durability. The Committee directs the Secretary of the Army to submit a report to the congressional defense committees, not later than June 30, 2018, which describes the costs and benefits, both budgetary and operational, of fielding free-floating rails for the M4.

#### PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY

Appropriations, 2017	\$1,483,566,000
Budget estimate, 2018	1,879,283,000
Committee recommendation	2,403,384,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$2,403,384,000. This is \$524,101,000 above the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

Item	Otty.	2018 budget estimate	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Chang Qty.	Change from Budget
PROCIREMENT OF AMMINITION ARMY					(t)	estimate
AMMUNITION						
SMALL/MEDIUM CAL AMMUNITION CTG. 5.56MM, ALL TYPES		39,767		46,258		+6,491
CTG, 7.62MM, ALL TYPES CTG, HANDGUN, ALL TYPES		46,804 10,413		61,704		+ 14,900
CTG, .50 CAI, ALL TYPES		62,837 8,208		71,322		+8,485
		8,640 76,850 108 189		40,502 79,000 125,380		+ 31,862 + 2,150 + 17,191
MORTAR AMMUINTON 60MM MORTAR, ALL TYPES		57,359		55,359		-2,000
81MM MORRAR, ALL TYPES 120mm mortar, all types		49,471 91,528		49,471 108,328		+ 16,800
TANK AMMUNITION CTG TANK 105MM AND 120MM: ALL TYPES		133,500		134,700		+1,200
ARTILLERY AMMUNTION CTG, ARTY, 75MM AND 105MM: ALL TYPES		44,200		44,200		
ARTILLERY PROJECTILE, 155MM, ALL TYPES PROJ 155MM EXTENDED RANGE XM982 ARTILLERY PROPELLANTS, FUZES AND PRIMERS, ALL TYPES	 480	187,149 49,000 83,046	480	229,649 199,000 162,768		+ 42,500 + 150,000 + 79,722
YPES		3,942		3,942		
ROCKETS SHOUDER LAUNCHED MUNTIONS, ALL TYPES ROCKET, HYDRA 70, ALL TYPES		5,000 161,155		5,000 154,155		000,7—
OTHER AMMUNITION 21 CAD/PAD ALL TYPES 22 DEMOLITION MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES		7,441 19,345		7,441		

## The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1	CTG, 5.56mm, All Types	39,767	46,258	+ 6,491 + 6,491
2	CTG, 7.62mm, All Types Program increase	46,804	61,704	+ 14,900 + 14,900
4	CTG, 50 Cal, All Types	62,837	71,322	+ 8,485 + 8,485
6	CTG, 25mm, All Types Program increase	8,640	40,502	+ 31,862 + 31,862
7	CTG, 30mm, All Types	76,850	79,000	+ 2,150 + 2,150
8	CTG, 40mm, All Types	108,189	125,380	+ 17,191 + 17,191
9	60MM Mortar, All Types Restoring acquisition accountability: Acquisition strategy	57,359	55,359	-2,000
11	(60MM ILLUM VL M721)	91,528	108,328	-2,000 + 16,800 + 16,800
12	Cartridges, Tank, 105MM and 120MM, All Types	133,500	134,700	+ 1,200
	(120MM APFSDS-T) Program increase			- 39,100 + 40,300
14	Artillery Projectile, 155MM, All Types	187,149	229,649	+ 42,500 - 35,500
	Restoring acquisition accountability: engineering change proposal cost growth (155MM HE IM Training round)			- 1,500
15	Program increase	49.000	199.000	+ 79,500 + 150.000
16	Program increase	83.046	162.768	+ 150,000 + 79,722
	Program increase			+ 79,722
20	Rocket, Hydra 70, All Types	161,155	154,155	-7,000 -7.000
23	Grenades, All Types Program increase	22,759	32,759	+ 10,000 + 10.000
24	Signals, All Types	2,583	2,083	- 500 - 500
32	Industrial Facilities	329,356	428,656	+ 99,300
22	tiative)	107.005	050.005	-700 +100,000
33	Conventional Munitions Demilitarization Program increase: Destruction of obsolete and unsafe mu- nitions	197,825	250,825	+ 53,000 + 53,000
	IIILIUII3			7 33,000

## OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY

Appropriations, 2017	\$6,147,328,000
Budget estimate, 2018	6,469,331,000
Committee recommendation	7.814.263.000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$7,814,263,000. This is \$1,344,932,000 above the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

			2019 budget		, man	Change from	from
Line	ltem	Qty.	estimate	Qty.	recommendation	Qty.	Budget estimate
	OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY TACTICAL AND SUPPORT VEHICLES						
1 2	TACTICAL VEHICLES TACTICAL TRAILERS/DOLLY SETS		9,716		6,716		-3,000 -3,000
4 × 4	GROUND MOBILITY WEHICLES (GMV)		53,000 40,935		53,000 40,935		000,42
9	= $=$	2,110	804,440	2,110	120,000 804,440		+ 120,000
7 8	Truck, dump, 20t (CCE)		967 78,650		967 232,750		+ 154,100
9 10	FIRETRUCKS & ASSOCIATED FIREFIGHTING EQUIPMEN FAMILY OF HEAVY TACTICAL VEHICLES [FHTV]		19,404		19,404 85,544		+3,888
11 12 13 14	PLS ESP HEAVY EXPANDED MOBILE TACTICAL TRUCK EXT SERV TACTICAL WHEELED VEHICLE PROTECTION KITS MODIFICATION OF IN SVC EQUIP		7,129 43,040 83,940		59,729 112,250 43,040 83,940		+ 52,600 + 112,250
16 17 18	NON-TACTICAL VEHICLES HEAVY ARMORED SEDAN PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES NONTACTICAL VEHICLES, OTHER		269 1,320 6,964		269 1,320 6,964		
	TOTAL, TACTICAL AND SUPPORT VEHICLES		1,245,581		1,709,419		+ 463,838
19 20 21 22 23	COMM—JOINT COMMUNICATIONS WIN-T—GROUND FORCES TACTICAL NETWORK SIGNAL MODERNIZATION PROGRAM TACTICAL NETWORK TECHNOLOGY MOD IN SERVICE JOINT INCIDENT SITE COMMUNICATIONS CAPABILITY JCSE EQUIPMENT (USREDCOM)		420,492 92,718 150,497 6,065 5,051		102,400 92,718 359,597 6,065 5,051		- 318,092 + 209,100

- 2,393 + 18,000	+ 8,409	- 25,100 - 4,000		- 19,616 - 32,531 + 110,000
158,990 80,600 11,622 6,799 7,065 21,667	2,658	11,160 2,041 5,534 996 500 4,411 15,275 15,964	9,560 4,030 107,804 53,436 690 4,000	24,135 85,570 4,490 20,050 296,251
161,383 62,600 11,622 6,799 7,065 21,667	2,658	25,100 11,160 2,041 5,534 996 4,500 4,411 15,275 15,964	9,560 4,030 107,804 53,436 690 4,000	43,751 118,101 4,490 20,050 186,251
COMM—SATELLITE COMMUNICA DEFENSE ENTERPRISE WIDEBANI TRANSPORTABLE TACTICAL COM SHF TERM SMART—T (SPACE) GLOBAL BROCST SVC—GBS GLOBAL BROCST SVC—GBS ENROUTE MISSION COMMAND IC COMM—COMBAT SUPPORT COM			COMM—INTELLIGENCE COMM CI AUTOMATION ARCHITECTURE [MIP] DEFENSE MILITARY DECEPTION INITIATIVE INFORMATION SECURITY COMMUNICATIONS SECURITY (COMSEC) INSIDER THREAT PROGRAM—UNIT ACTIVITY MONITOR PERSISTENT CYBER TRAINING ENVIRONMENT	COMM—LONG HAUL COMMUNICATIONS  BASE SUPPORT COMMUNICATIONS  COMM—BASE COMMUNICATIONS INFORMATION SYSTEMS  EMPRECIALLY MANAGEMENT MODERNIZATION PROGRAM HOME STATION MISSION COMMAND CENTERS (MSMCC) INSTALLATION INFO INFRASTRUCTURE MOD PROGRAM
26 27 28 30 31 33 33	38	39 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	51 52 52 56 57 58 59 59	60 61 62 63 64

			0.00			Change from	from
Line	ltem	Qty.	zuza buaget estimate	Qty.	recommendation	Othy.	Budget estimate
67 70 72 73 74 74 75	ELECT EQUIP ELECT EQUIP—TACT INT REL ACT [TIARA] JITCIBS—M [MIP] DCGS—A [MIP] MOD OF IN-SVC EQUIP (INTEL SPT) [MIP] CLOSE ACCESS TARGET RECONNAISSANCE (CATR) MACHINE FOREIGN LANGUAGE TRANSLATION SYSTEM ELECT EQUIP—ELECTRONIC WARFARE [EW]		12,154 274,782 16,052 51,034 7,815 8,050 567		12,154 274,782 16,052 51,034 7,813 7,816 8,050 567		
78 79 83 83 84	LIGHTWEIGHT COUNTER MORTAR RADAR EW PLANNING AND MANAGEMENT TOOLS AIR VIGILANCE (AV) COUNTERINTELLIGENCE/SECURITY COUNTERMEASURES CI MODERNIZATION [MP] ELECT FOLIO TACTICAL SURVIVES.		20,459 5,805 5,348 469 285		20,459 5,805 5,348 469 285		
85 87 89 90			28,491 166,493 13,947 21,380 59,105		100,491 182,242 16,097 598,613 59,105 2,129		+72,000 +15,749 +2,150 +577,233
93 94 95 97 98	-ATFORM [JBC—P] KSTEM (JETS) ENT (LLDR) 3C XM32 EM		282,549 48,664 5,198 8,117 31,813 329,057		402,971 48,664 5,198 8,117 31,813 393,257		+ 120,422
99 100 102	ELECT EQUIP—TACTICAL C2 SYSTEMS FIRE SUPPORT C2 FAMILY AIR & MSL DEFENSE PLANNING & CONTROL SYS (AMD LIFE CYCLE SOFTWARE SUPPORT [LCSS]		8,700 26,635 1,992		8,700 123,613 1,992		+ 96,978

			09	1			
- 59,900	-4,000			+ 828,609	+7,000 +5,000		
15,1/9 72,672 37,201 16,140 6,093 1,134	11,575 87,983 4,465 66,363 1,001 26,183	4,441 3,414	499 25,050	4,650,174	1,613 16,696 16,110	16,610 21,761 21,046	5,000 32,442 10,571 21,695 4,516
132,572 132,572 37,201 16,140 6,093 1,134	11,575 91,983 4,465 66,363 1,001 26,183	4,441 3,414	499 25,050	3,821,565	1,613 9,696 11,110	16,610 21,761 21,046	5,000 32,442 10,571 21,695 4,516
NE WORK MANAGEMENT INITALIZATION AND SERVICE MANEUVER CONTROL SYSTEM IMCS] GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM—ARMY INTEGRATED PERSONNEL AND PAY SYSTEM—ARMY RECONNAISSANCE AND SURVEYING INSTRUMENT SET MOD OF IN-SERVICE EQUIPMENT (ENFIRE)	ARMY TRAINING MODERNIZATION AUTOMATED DATA PROCESSING EQUIPMENT AUTOMATED DATA PROCESSING EQUIPMENT HIGH PERF COMPUTING MOD PROGRAM CONTRACT WRITING SYSTEM RESERVE COMPONENT AUTOMATION SYS [RCAS]	ELECT EQUIP—AUDIO VISUAL SYS (AV) TACTICAL DIGITAL MEDIA	ELECT EQUIP—SUPPORT PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT [C-E] BCT EMERGING TECHNOLOGIES	TOTAL, COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT	CHEMICAL DEFENSIVE EQUIPMENT PROTECTIVE SYSTEMS FAMILY OF NON-LETHAL EQUIPMENT [FNLE] CBRN DEFENSE	Bridging Equipment Tactical Bridging Tactical Bridge, Float-Ribbon Common Bridge Transporter Recap	ENGINEER (NON-CONSTRUCTION) EQUIPMENT HANDHELD STANDOFF MINEFIELD DETECTION SYS-HST HANDHELD STANDOFF MINE DETECTION SYSTEM (GSTAMIDS) AREA MINE DETECTION SYSTEM (HMDS) HUSKY MOUNTED DETECTION SYSTEM (HMDS) ROBOTIC COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM EOD ROBOTICS SYSTEMS RECAPITALIZATION
		ш —			, , , ,		

			-		:	Change from	from
Line	tem	Qty.	2018 budget estimate	Qty.	recommendation	Otty.	Budget estimate
133 135 136 136	ROBOTICS AND APPLIQUE SYSTEMS REMOTE DEMOLITION SYSTEMS ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M, COUNTERMINE EQUIPMENT FAMILY OF BOATS AND MOTORS		3,000 5,847 1,530 4,302		2,000 5,847 1,530 4,302		-1,000
138 139 140	COMBAT SERVICE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT HEATERS AND ECU'S SOLDIER ENHANCEMENT PERSONNEL RECOVERY SUPPORT SYSTEM [PRSS]		7,405 1,095 5,390		7,405 4,095 5,390		+3,000
141 142 143	GROUND SOLDIER SYSTEM MOBILE SOLDIER POWER FORCE PROVIDER		38,219 10,456		38,219 10,456 27,700		+ 27.700
144 145 146	FIELD FEEDING EQUIPMENT CARGO AERIAL DEL & PERSONNEL PARACHUTE SYSTEM FAMILY OF ENGR COMBAT AND CONSTRUCTION SETS		15,340 30,607 10,426		15,340 30,607 10,426		
148	PETROLEUM EQUIPMENT QUALITY SURVEILLANCE EQUIPMENT DISTRIBUTION SYSTEMS, PETROLEUM & WATER		6,903		6,903 47,597		
150	MEDICAL EQUIPMENT COMBAT SUPPORT MEDICAL		43,343		61,843		+ 18,500
151	MAINTENANCE EQUIPMENT MOBILE MAINTENANCE EQUIPMENT SYSTEMS ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (MAINT EQ)		33,774 2,728		33,774 2,728		
153	CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT GRADER, ROAD MIZD, HVY, 6X4 (CCE) SCRAPERS, EARTHWOVING		989		989		
159 160 162 163	ALL TEKKANI CKANES HIGH MOBILITY ENGINEER EXCAVATOR (HMEEJ FOS HIGH MOBILITY ENGINEER EXCAVATOR (HMEEJ FOS CONST EQUIP ESP TIEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (CONST EQUIP)		8,933 64,339 2,563 19,032 6,899		8,935 62,547 2,563 19,032 6,899		-1,792

164	RAIL FLOAT CONTAINERIZATION EQUIPMENT ARMY WATERCRAFT ESP TEEMS LESS THAM 45: AM (21 AATED ALL)	 20,110	20,110	
166	GENERATORS GENERATORS GENERATORS AND ASSOCIATED EQUIPMENT	115,635	115,635	
167 168	MATERIAL HANDLING EQUIPMENT TACITOAL ELECTRIC POWER RECAPITALIZATION FAMILY OF FORKLIFTS	7,436	7,436	
169 170 171 172 172	TRAINING EQUIPMENT COMBAT TRAINING CENTERS SUPPORT TRAINING DEVICES, NONSYSTEM CLOSE COMBAT TACTICAL TRAINER. AVIATION COMBINED ARMS TACTICAL TRAINER (AVCA. GAMING TECHNOLOGY IN SUPPORT OF ARMY TRAINING.	88,888 285,989 45,718 30,568 5,406	126,638 259,251 45,718 30,568 5,406	+ 37,750 - 26,738
174 175 176	TEST MEASURE AND DIG EQUIPMENT [TMD] CALIBRATION SETS EQUIPMENT INTEGRATED FAMILY OF TEST EQUIPMENT [IFTE] TEST EQUIPMENT MODERNIZATION (TEMOD]	5,564 30,144 7,771	5,564 30,144 7,771	
177 178 179 180 181 181 183	OTHER SUPPORT EQUIPMENT M25 STABILIZED BINOCULAR. RAPID EQUIPPING SOLDIER SUPPORT EQUIPMENT PHYSICAL SECURITY SYSTEMS (0PA3) BASE LEVEL COUNT EQUIPMENT (0PA-3) PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (OTH). SPECIAL EQUIPMENT FOR USER TESTING TRAĞTOR YARD	3,956 5,000 60,047 13,239 60,192 2,271 5,319 5,319	3,956 5,000 60,047 13,239 601,92 2,271 14,319 5,935	000'6+
186	TOTAL, OTHER SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	1,359,097	1,437,517	+ 78,420
666	TOTAL, SPARE AND REPAIR PARTS	38,269	12,334	- 25,935
	TOTAL, OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY	6,469,331	7,814,263	+1,344,932

## The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
_	T .: T .:			
1	Tactical Trailers/Dolly SetsImproving funds management: Prior year carryover	9,716	6,716	- 3,000 - 3,000
2	Semitrailers, Flatbed	14.151	38,151	+ 24.000
-	Program increase			+ 24,000
5	ARNG HMMWV Modernization Program		120,000	+ 120,000
	Program increase: HMMV ambulance modernization			+120,000
8	Family of Medium Tactical Veh [FMTV]	78,650	232,750	+154,100
10	Program increase		05.544	+ 154,100
10	Family of Heavy Tactical Vehicles [FHTV]	81,656	85,544	+ 3,888
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Trailer unit cost growth			-3,240
	Program increase			+7,128
11	Pls Esp	7,129	59,729	+ 52,600
	Program increase			+ 52,600
12	Hvy Expanded Mobile Tactical Truck Ext Serv		112,250	+112,250
	Program increase			+112,250
19	Win-T—Ground Forces Tactical Network	420,492	102,400	- 318,092
	Transfer funding for network modernization strategy:			000 100
	Army-requested to OPA line 21			- 209,100
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Network moderniza- tion strategy			- 108,992
21	Tactical Network Technology Mod In Svc	150,497	359,597	+209,100
	Transfer funding for network modernization strategy:	100,107	000,007	1 200,100
	Army-requested from OPA line 19			+ 209,100
26	Defense Enterprise Wideband Satcom Systems	161,383	158,990	-2,393
	Maintain program affordability: Unjustified fielding			
0.7	growth			- 2,393
27	Transportable Tactical Command Communications	62,600	80,600	+ 18,000
38	Program increase Handheld Manpack Small Form Fit [HMS]	255 251	262 760	+ 18,000 + 8,409
30	Program increase	355,351	363,760	+ 8,409 + 8,409
39	Mid-Tier Networking Vehicular Radio [MNVR]	25,100		-25.100
•	Program termination: Network modernization strategy			-25,100
45	Spider Family of Networked Munitions Incr	4,500	500	-4,000
	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover			-4,000
60	Base Support Communications	43,751	24,135	- 19,616
	Restoring acquisition accountability: LMR unit cost			10.010
61	growth	118.101	85.570	- 19,616 - 32.531
01	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover	110,101	65,570	- 32,531 - 32,531
64	Installation Info Infrastructure Mod Program	186,251	296,251	+110.000
٠.	Program increase			+ 110,000
85	Sentinel Mods	28,491	100,491	+ 72,000
	Program increase: Additional Sentinel radars			+72,000
86	Night Vision Devices	166,493	182,242	+ 15,749
0.7	Program increase: Limited visibility enhancements	10.047	10.007	+ 15,749
87	Small Tactical Optical Rifle Mounted MLRF	13,947	16,097	+ 2,150
89	Program increase:	21,380	598,613	+ 2,150 + 577,233
03	Program increase	21,500	330,013	+ 577,233
93	Joint Battle Command—Platform [JBC-P]	282,549	402,971	+ 120,422
	Program increase			+ 120,422
98	Counterfire Radars	329,057	393,257	+ 64,200
	Program increase: AN/TPQ-53 counterfire target acquisi-			
100	tion radar system		100.010	+ 64,200
100	AIR & MSL Defense Planning & Control Sys	26,635	123,613	+ 96,978
104	Program increase	132,572	72.672	+ 96,978 59,900
107		102,012	12,012	. 55,500

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Transfer funding for network modernization strategy: Army-requested to RDA line 109 Transfer funding for network modernization strategy: Army-requested to RDA line 137			- 25,000 - 10,000
	Program termination: Network modernization strategy- CPOF			- 10,000 - 24.900
110	Automated Data Processing Equip Improving funds management: Prior year carryover	91,983		- 4,000 - 4.000
120	Family of Non-Lethal Equipment [FNLE] Program increase: Acoustic hailing devices to provide	9,696	16,696	+7,000
122	non-lethal escalation capability	11,110	16,110	+ 7,000 + 5,000
133	Program increase: Contamination avoidance	3,000	2,000	+ 5,000 - 1,000
139	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover  Soldier Enhancement	1,095	4,095	- 1,000 + 3,000 + 3,000
143	Force Provider Program increase Program increase		27,700	+ 27,700 + 27,700
150	Combat Support Medical	43,343	61,843	+ 18,500
	wheeled vehicle fleet Program increase: Enhanced medical kits for rotary wing			+ 13,500
159	platforms	64,339	62,547	+ 5,000 - 1,792
	engineer shortfalls Restoring acquisition accountability: Unit cost growth			+ 20,560 - 22,352
169	Combat Training Centers Support Program increase	88,888	126,638	+ 37,750 + 37,750
170	Training Devices, Nonsystem	285,989	259,251	- 26,738 - 26,738
183	Special Equipment for User Testing Program increase: Regional service delivery points		14,319	+ 9,000 + 9,000
186	Initial Spares—C&E	38,269	12,334	- 25,935
	tion strategy—WIN-T spares excess to need Restoring acquisition accountability: MCS spares excess			- 23,935
	to need			- 2,000

HMMWV Modernization.—The Committee notes the critical role that important safety technologies like Antilock Brake Systems [ABS] play on the High Mobility Multipurpose Wheeled Vehicle [HMMWV] fleet. The Committee supports the incorporation of ABS into the HMMWV new production process and the HMMWV modernization process for Active, Reserve, and National Guard components. To ensure proper quality control during the ABS installation process for new and enduring Active, Reserve, and National Guard HMMWVs, the Committee directs that installation of ABS on all HMMWVs shall be performed during the HMMWV new production process or the HMMWV modernization process, when the HMMWV produced is new, or returned to a zero-hour, zero-mile, like-new condition.

HMMWV Ambulances.—The Committee notes that the average age of ground ambulance fleets within the active and reserve components of the Army is 28 years. At current levels of investment, these fleets will remain less than 25 percent modernized across the Future Years Defense Program, impairing the total Army's ability to execute its Federal and State missions. The Committee rec-

ommends \$173,000,000 for High Mobility Multipurpose Wheeled Vehicle ambulances, an increase of \$120,000,000 over the fiscal year 2018 President's budget request, of which \$53,000,000 is intended for Regular Army and \$120,000,000 is intended for the

Army National Guard and the Army Reserve.

Ground Mobility Vehicle.—The Committee is concerned with the Army's plan to procure a limited quantity of ground mobility vehicles [GMV] for use by airborne brigades. A comparison of GMV unit cost targets proposed by the Army against actuals contained in Department of Defense contracts and on the General Services Administration [GSA] schedule indicates that a developmental vehicle will cost at least \$100,000 per unit more than available non-developmental vehicles. Further, procurement of the planned developmental vehicle will delay full fielding of 295 vehicles until sometime after 2020, undermining the Army's rapid acquisition objective. The Committee recommends full funding for this program and directs that, of the funds provided, none are available except to execute an accelerated competition for the GMV program of record or to procure such vehicles via the GSA schedule.

or to procure such vehicles via the GSA schedule.

Commercial-off-the-Shelf Simulation Devices.—Simulators allow geographically dispersed Army National Guard and Army Reserve units to conduct training when time and distance prevent traditional military exercises. The Committee commends the Army for acquiring commercially available simulation devices to enable the Reserve Components to provide training at an affordable cost and encourages the Army to establish means of quantifying the impact of simulation-based training on individual and collective training

readiness.

Personal Dosimeters.—The Army has a critical shortfall of personal dosimeters which measure radiation exposure, creating an Army readiness gap. Instruments currently fielded are past their expected life, placing soldiers at risk. The Committee remains concerned that shortfalls exist in fielding the most current radiation detection devices across the Army. To ensure soldiers have the capability to detect nuclear radiation, the Committee recommends an increase of \$5,000,000 above the fiscal year 2018 President's budget request to expedite the fielding of radiation detection equipment,

specifically personal dosimeters to the force.

Army Network Modernization.—Section 237 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2016 (Public Law 114-92) directed the Director, Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation [CAPE] and Director, Operational Test and Evaluation [DOT&E] to commission a major independent report of the Army's tactical communications network. This report, produced by the Institute for Defense Analysis [IDA], concluded that "a steady tide of performance deficiencies, cancellations, and program restructuring has drawn out the (network's) acquisition timeline in a way that creates a unique opportunity to untether it from history and question the path forward." Following the submission of the Army's fiscal year 2018 budget request, and the publication of IDA's assessment, the Chief of Staff of the Army initiated an agency review of the Army's radio and tactical network programs resulting in the suspension or revision of existing programs within its radio and tactical network portfolio. There is now a disconnect between the Army's fiscal year

2018 budget request and the funding requirements for the Army's

revised radio and tactical network strategy.

While the Committee supports a majority of net-zero realignment requests for fiscal year 2018 in the Other Procurement, Army and Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army accounts as the first step toward a broader revision to the Army radio and tactical network modernization strategy, the Committee is concerned the requested funding realignments represent a resource-constrained solution as opposed to a cohesive strategy-driven approach.

The Committee further notes that section 112 of the National Defense Authorization Act [NDAA] for Fiscal Year 2018 directs the Secretary of the Army to submit to the congressional defense committees a report, no later than January 31, 2018, detailing the Army's strategy for "modernizing air-land ad-hoc, mobile tactical communications and data networks." While the directed report will further inform the congressional defense committees of the details of the Army's revised radio and tactical network modernization strategy, the Committee remains concerned that future years' budget requests will adequately support the Army's revised radio and tactical network modernization strategy.

Therefore, the Committee directs the Director, CAPE and the Director, DOT&E to perform an independent analysis of the report directed by the NDAA for fiscal year 2018. This report shall include an overall assessment of the Army's radio and tactical network modernization plan; identification of specific capabilities, systems, or programs needed to meet the Army's requirements; strategy for integration of networking systems; and independent assessments of the Secretary of the Army's response to each report element outlined in section 112 of the NDAA for Fiscal Year 2018, including an assessment on the suitability and viability of the Army's plan as it pertains to each element. The assessment shall be provided to congressional defense committees no later than May 1, 2018.

### AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY

Appropriations, 2017	\$16,135,335,000
Budget estimate, 2018	
Committee recommendation	18,416,079,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$18,416,079,000. This is \$3,459,844,000 above the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

	[extraction in critical]	5					
			2010 hudant		#: "	Change from	from
Line	Rem	Qty.	estimate	Qty.	recommendation	Otty.	Budget estimate
	AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY						
	COMBAT AIRCRAFT						
2	F/A-18E/F (FIGHTER) HORNET [MYP]	14	1,200,146	24	1,908,946	+10	+ 708,800
m·	F/A-18E/F (FIGHTER) HORNET [MYP] (AP)		52,971		52,971		
4 ,	JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER CV	4	582,324	∞	1,094,024	+ 4	+ 511,700
n u		06	263,112	VC	263,112	+	4.412.800
^	JS STOVE IAP-CY	07	413.450	17	413,450	+	412,000
. ∞		4	567,605	9	817,605	+2	+ 250,000
6			147,046		147,046		
10	V-22 (MEDIUM LIFT)	9	677,404	12	1,094,404	9+	+417,000
Ξ	_		27,422		27,422		
12		22	678,429	22	671,929		005'9-
13	_		42,082		42,082		
14				∞	400,000	<b>%</b> +	+400,000
16		7	1,245,251	7	1,204,451		-40,800
17	P-8A POSEIDON [AP-CY]		140,333		140,333		
<u> </u>	E-2D ADV HAWKEYE (28. 00.)	ç	/33,910	ç	/16,310		-17,600
F1	E-ZD ADV HAWKEYE [AP-CY]		102,026		121,626		+ 19,600
	TOTAL, COMBAT AIRCRAFT		9,271,650		11,926,650		+2,655,000
	TRAINER AIRCRAFT						
				,			
22		2	129,577	9	472,277	+ 4	+ 342,700
2 2	NC-LSUJ [AK-CT]	3	52,497	~	79,497		- 23 800
25		)	57.266	)	57.266		0
26			49,472		43,672		-5,800
27			880		880		
	TOTAL, OTHER AIRCRAFT		784,818		1,097,918		+313,100

	MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT				
30	AEA SYSTEMS		52.960	52.960	
31	AV-8 SFRIFS		43,555	43,555	
35	ADVERSARY		2,565	2,565	
33			943,661	1.080,761	+ 137.100
34	H-53 SFRIES		38.712	38.712	
35	SH-60 SERIES		95,333	95,333	
36	H-1 SERIES		101,886	101,886	
37	EP-3 SERIES		7,231	7,231	
38	P-3 SERIES		700	700	
33			97,563	81.813	-15,750
40			8,184	8,184	
41			18,673	18,673	
42			83,541	83,541	
43			630	630	
44	CARGO/TRANSPORT A/C SERIES		10,075	10,075	
45	E-6 SERIES		223,508	210,608	-12,900
46	EXECUTIVE HELICOPTERS SERIES		38,787	38,787	
47			8,304	8,304	
48			148,071	176,021	+27.950
49	POWER PLANT CHANGES		19.827	19.827	i
20	IPATS SERIES		27 007	22,307	-4 700
2.5			146.642	146.642	2
52	FS		123,507	123,507	
23	N SYSTEM		2.317	2,317	
54	. :		49.524	49.524	
55			18,665	14,865	-3.800
26			10,111	10,111	
22			32,361	27,561	-4.800
29	SPREY		228,321	228,321	
09			34,963	34,963	
61			31,689	31,689	
62	TY (ORC)		24.766	24.766	
63			39,996	13,296	-26,700
	TOTAL, MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT		2,713,635	2,810,035	+ 96,400
	AIRCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS				
64	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	_	1,681,914	2,077,258	+ 395,344

	te it									9,844
from	Budget estimate									+3,459,844
Change from	Qty.									
Committee	recommendation		388,052	24,613	39,614	1,463	48,500	1,976	504,218	18,416,079
	Otty.									
2018 budget estimate			388,052	24,613	39,614	1,463	48,500	1,976	504,218	14,956,235
	Otty.									
	frem	AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES	65 COMMON GROUND EQUIPMENT	AIRCRAFT INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES		OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES	69 SPECIAL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	70 FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION	TOTAL, AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT & FACILITIES	TOTAL, AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY
	Line		65	99	29	89	69	70		

# The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
2	F/A-18E/F (Fighter) Hornet	1,200,146	1,908,946	+ 708,800 + 739,000
	Maintain program affordability: Support costs excess to need			- 30,200
4	Joint Strike Fighter CV Program increase: Two aircraft for the Navy	582,324	1,094,024	+ 511,700 + 270,000
	Program increase: Two aircraft for the Marine Corps			+ 270,000
	Improving funds management: Non-recurring cost prior year carryover			- 18,300
6	Restoring acquisition accountability: Unit cost savings	2 200 120	2 010 020	- 10,000 + 412,800
0	JSF STOVL Program increase: Four aircraft for the Marine Corps Improving funds management: Non-recurring cost prior	2,398,139	2,810,939	+ 480,000
	year carryover Restoring acquisition accountability: Unit cost savings			- 43,200 - 24.000
8	CH-53K (Heavy Lift)	567,605	817,605	+ 250,000
10	Program increase: Two aircraft for the Marine Corps V-22 (Medium Lift)	677.404	1.094.404	+ 250,000 + 417,000
	Program increase: Two aircraft for the Navy			+ 175,000
	Program increase: Four aircraft for the Marine Corps Restoring acquisition accountability: Unit cost savings			+ 320,000 - 72,000
	Maintain program affordability: ECO excess to need			- 72,000 - 6,000
12	H-1 Upgrades (UH-1Y/AH-1Z)	678,429	671,929	- 6,500
15	Maintain program affordability: ECO excess to need		400,000	- 6,500 + 400,000
	Program increase: Maintain MH—60R production line through fiscal year 2018			+ 400,000
16	P-8A Poseidon	1,245,251	1,204,451	- 40,800
18	Maintain program affordability: Excess support costs E–2D Adv Hawkeye	733,910	716,310	- 40,800 - 17,600
10	Improving funds management: Support equipment forward financed		710,010	— 17.600
19	E-2D Adv Hawkeye-AP	102,026	121,626	+ 19,600
	Program increase—lead long for 5 aircraft in fiscal year 2019			+ 19,600
22	KC-130J	129,577	472,277	+ 342,700
24	Program increase: Four aircraft for the Marine Corps		400 220	+ 342,700
24	MQ-4 Triton	522,126	498,326	- 23,800 - 23,800
26	MQ-8 UAV	49,472	43,672	- 5,800
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Production line shut-			5 000
33	down funding early to needF–18 Series	943,661	1,080,761	- 5,800 + 137,100
•	Program increase: T—45 and F/A—18 physiological episodes	0.10,001	1,000,701	·
	funding Program increase: ALQ–214 USMC retrofits			+ 56,000 + 65,100
	Program increase: ALR-67(V)3 retrofit A-kits and partial			+ 05,100
	B-Kits			+ 16,000
39	E-2 Series Restoring acquisition accountability: Aerial refueling instal-	97,563	81,813	- 15,750
45	lations early to need E–6 Series	223,508	210,608	- 15,750 - 12,900
	Maintain program affordability: Excess installation costs Improving funds management: Tech insertion (OSIP 003—			- 7,300
40	04) forward financed	140.071	170.001	- 5,600
48	T-45 Series Program increase: T-45 and F/A-18 physiological episodes	148,071	176,021	+ 27,950
	funding		l	+ 27,950

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
50	JPATS Series	27,007	22,307	- 4,700 - 4,700
55	P-8 Series	18,665	14,865	-3,800
	installation equipment early to need			-3,800
57	MQ-8 Series	32,361	27,561	-4,800
	to need			-4,800
63	MQ-4 Series	39,996	13,296	- 26,700 - 26,700
64	Spares and Repair Parts	1,681,914	2,077,258	+ 395,344
	Program increase: Improve aircraft readiness Program increase: KC—130J initial spares for four addi-			+ 375,000
	tional aircraft			+ 12,844
	Program increase: CH-53K initial spares for two additional aircraft			+7,500

Navy Strike Fighter Inventory Shortfall.—The Committee commends the Navy for budgeting for 80 F/A–18E/F Super Hornets over the next 5 years, instead of relying on Congress to address the Navy's strike fighter inventory shortfall. The Committee is hopeful that the additional F/A–18s, in concert with the procurement of 5th generation aircraft and the ongoing modernization of 4th generation aircraft, will continue to reduce the Navy's strike fighter inventory shortfall. With the submission of the fiscal year 2019 budget request, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Navy to provide a report to the congressional defense committees on the status of the Navy's strike fighter inventory shortfall. The report should address all investment, modernization, and sustainment efforts that impact the strike fighter inventory shortfall, including: the Legacy Hornet modernization effort, the plan to modernize the Super Hornet fleet to a Block III configuration, trends in the utilization and demand of the current F–18 fleet, and the long-term plans to procure F–35C and MQ–25 aircraft.

Multiyear Procurement Contracts.—In the fiscal year 2018 budget request, the Department of the Navy requests authority for a new multiyear procurement [MYP] contract for the V-22 aircraft program for a period of 7 years through fiscal year 2024. A MYP contract beyond 5 years runs counter to the authorities provided in previous appropriations acts. Also, Section 2306b of title 10, United States Code limits the time period of MYP contracts to no more than 5 years. The Committee recommends maintaining the 5-year limit for MYP contracts due to the greater level of uncertainty in procurements beyond 5 years and the statute's consistency with the budgetary planning horizon of the Department. The Committee recommendation includes \$1,094,404,000 for the procurement of 12 V-22 aircraft, an increase of 6 aircraft above the budget request, and encourages the Department of the Navy to maintain a stable procurement profile for V-22 in the fiscal year 2019 budget request. The Committee understands that the Navy and Marine Corps would save nearly \$800,000,000 by procuring the aircraft over a 5year period. As a result, the Committee recommends a unit cost savings of \$72,000,000, which it recommends reinvesting into additional aircraft.

In addition, the Committee understands that the Department of the Navy might request in fiscal year 2019 a new MYP contract for the E–2D program for a period of 6 years. The Committee recommendation includes \$121,626,000 for E–2D advance procurement, an increase of \$19,600,000, to support the procurement of long-lead items for 5 aircraft, instead of 4 aircraft, in fiscal year 2019. The Committee encourages the Department of the Navy to complete the E–2D buy in 5 years beginning in fiscal year 2019.

## WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY

Appropriations, 2017	\$3,265,285,000
Budget estimate, 2018	3,420,107,000
Committee recommendation	3,393,458,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$3,393,458,000. This is \$26,649,000 below the budget estimate.

### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

			2010 hudant		oo #immo	Change from	from
Line	Item	Qty.	estimate	Qty.	recommendation	Qty.	Budget estimate
	WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY						
	BALLISTIC MISSILES						
1	MODIFICATION OF MISSILES TRIDENT II MODS		1,143,595		1,140,987		-2,608
2	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES MISSILE INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES		7,086		7,086		
	TOTAL, BALLISTIC MISSILES		1,150,681		1,148,073		-2,608
	OTHER MISSILES						
က	STRATEGIC MISSILES TOMAHAWK	34	134,375	34	134,375		
	TACTICAL MISSILES		-		-		
4	AMRAAM	120	197,109	120	186,915		-10,194
2	SIDEWINDER	185	79,692	185	78,999		-693
9	)30W		2,487		5,487		
7	STANDARD MISSILE	117	510,875	117	453,403		-57,472
∞	SMALL DIAMETER BOMB II	06	20,968	06	20,968		
σ,	RAM	09	58,587	120	106,587	09+	+ 48,000
10	JOINT AIR GROUND MISSILE [JAGM]		3,789	Ç	3,789		
13	STAND OFF PRECISION GUIDED MUNITIONS [SUPGM]	19	3,122	FI .	3,122		
15	OTHER MISSILE SUPPORT		3.420		3,420		
16	LRASM	25	74,733	25	74,733		
	Modification of Missiles						
	ESSM	30	74,524	30	74,524		
	HARPOON MODS		17,300		17,300		
20	Hakm Mous Standard Missiles mods		183,368		183,368		
22			4,021		4,021		

23	FLEET SATELLITE COMM FOLLOW-ON		46,357		41,540	-4,817	
25	ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		47,159		47,159		
	TOTAL, OTHER MISSILES		1,601,372		1,576,196	-25,176	
	Torpedoes and related equipment						
26			5,240		5,240		
27	MK-48 TORPEDO ASW TARGETS	17	44,771 12,399	17	44,771 12,399		
29 30 31	MOD OF TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIP MK-54 TORPEDO MODS MK-48 TORPEDO ADCAP MODS QUICKSTRIKE MINE		104,044 38,954 10,337		98,744 38,954 7,772	-5,300 -2,565	
32	Support Equipment Torpedo Support Equipment Asw range Support		70,383		70,383		ē
34	DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION		3,961		3,961		00
	TOTAL, TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT		293,953		286,088	 -7,865	
35	SMALL ARMS AND WEAPONS		11,332		11,332		
36	CIWS MODS COAST GUARD WEAPONS		72,698 38,931		72,698 47,931	+ 9,000	
39 40 41		110	13,110 34,825 16,925	110	76,022 13,110 34,825 16,925		
	TOTAL, OTHER WEAPONS		263,846		272,846	+ 9,000	
43	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		110,255		110,255		

	Change from	Budget estimate	. — 26,649
	Chan	. Oth	
	oo#i#oo	recommendation	3,393,458
		Qty.	
	2010 hudget	estimate	3,420,107
hou sands]		Otty.	
[Dollars in thousands]		Item	TOTAL, WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY
		Line	

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1	Trident II Mods	1,143,595	1,140,987	-2,608
	Restoring acquisition accountability: SPALT kits cost			
	growth			- 1,476
	Restoring acquisition accountability: SRM cost growth	107.100	100.015	-1,132
4	AMRAAM	197,109	186,915	-10,194
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Obsolescence up- grade concurrency			- 22.194
	Program increase: Air to air training rounds and CVN			- 22,134
	magazine alterations			+ 12.000
5	Sidewinder	79.692	78.999	- 693
	Restoring acquisition accountability: AUR and CATM cost	.,	.,	
	growth			<b>- 693</b>
7	Standard Missile	510,875	453,403	- 57,472
	Classified program adjustment	1		- 57,472
9	RAM	58,587	106,587	+ 48,000
	Program increase: RAM BLK II increase (+60 missiles)			40.000
00	(From 60 to 120)			+ 48,000
23	Fleet Satellite Comm Follow-On	46,357	41,540	- 4,817
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Ground system cost growth			- 4.817
29	MK-54 Torpedo Mods	104.044	98,744	- 4,617 - 5.300
23	Improving funds management: HAWC procurement early	104,044	30,744	- 3,300
	to need			- 5,300
31	Quickstrike Mine	10.337	l	,
	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover			- 2,565
37	Coast Guard Weapons	38,931	47,931	+ 9,000
	Program increase: MK110 Gun for NSC 10			+ 9,000

## PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS

Appropriations, 2017	\$633,678,000
Budget estimate, 2018	792,345,000
Committee recommendation	817,495,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$817,495,000. This is \$25,150,000 above the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

			1000		4	Change from	from
Line	ltem	Qty.	2018 budget estimate	Qty.	recommendation	Otty.	Budget estimate
	PROCUREMENT OF AMMO, NAVY & MARINE CORPS PROC AMMO, NAVY						
0	NAVY AMMUNITION GENERAL PURPOSE BOMBS	0000	34,882	00 400	34,882		
7 K 4	JUMAN ARBORNE ROCKETS, ALL TYPES MACHINE GUN AMMUNITION	7,432	27,343 79,318 14,112	764,7	27,543 76,318 14,112		-3,000
2 /	Practice bombs Cartridges & Cart actuated devices Air expendable countermeasures		47,027 57,718 65.908		43,427 55,718 65,908		$-3,600 \\ -2,000$
8 01	JATOS 5 INCH/54 GUN AMMUNTION		2,895 22,112		2,895		
11	INTERMEDIATE CALIBER GUN AMMUNITION  OTHER SHIP GUN AMMUNITION		12,804		12,804		
13	SMALL ARMS & LANDING PARTY AMMO PYROTECHING AND DEMOLITION AMMINITION LESS THAN & S MILLION		49,401 9,495 3,080		49,401 9,495 2,930		- 150
			497.689		488.939		-8.750
23	MARINE CORPS AMMUNITION MORTARS DIRECT SUPPORT MUNITIONS INFANTRY WEAPONS AMMUNITION		24,118 64,045 91,456		49,618 59,445 91,456		+ 25,500 - 4,600
29 32 34	COMBAT SUPPORT MUNTIONS  AMMO MODERNIZATION  ARTILLERY MUNTIONS  ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION		11,788 17,862 79,427 5,960		11,788 17,862 96,427 1,960		+ 17,000 - 4,000
	TOTAL, PROC AMMO, MARINE CORPS		294,656		328,556		+ 33,900
	TOTAL, PROCUREMENT OF AMMO, NAVY & MARINE CORPS		792,345		817,495		+ 25,150

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
3	Airborne Rockets, All Types	79,318	76,318	- 3,000
	(APKWS)			-3,000
5	Practice Bombs	47,027	43,427	-3,600
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Schedule slips (MK76)		1	- 3,600
6	Cartridges & Cart Actuated Devices	57,718	55,718	- 2,000
	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover (Misc.			0.000
	Devices)			- 2,000
16	Ammunition Less Than \$5 Million	3,080	2,930	-150
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Unit cost growth (LUU-19)			- 150
20			40 C10	
20	Mortars	24,118	49,618	+ 25,500
				+ 11,000
	Program increase: 81mm full range practice round			+ 14,500
23	Direct Support Munitions	64,045	59,445	-4,600
	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover			-4,600
33	Artillery Munitions	79,427	96,427	+17,000
	Program increase: 155mm HE training round			+17,000
34	Items Less Than \$5 Million	5,960	1,960	-4,000
	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover		· '	-4.000

## SHIPBUILDING AND CONVERSION, NAVY

Appropriations, 2017	\$21,156,886,000
Budget estimate, 2018	20,403,607,000
Committee recommendation	21,816,923,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$21,816,923,000. This is \$1,413,316,000 above the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

	(בטומז) וו נוספיסמוות)	Topuno no					
			2019 hudget		oomittoo.	Change from	from
Line	Item	Qty.	estimate	Qty.	recommendation	Qty.	Budget estimate
	SHIPBUILDING & CONVERSION, NAVY						
1	FLEET BALLISTIC MISSILE SHIPS OHIO REPLACEMENT SUBMARINE		842,853		842,853		
c		-	1 000 714	-	1 500 71		000
3 8		7	1,880,714	7	1,380,714		000,000 –
4 4	VIRGINIA CLASS SUBMARINE .	2	3,305,315	2	3,480,315		+175,000
9			1,604,890		1,604,890		
7			75,897		75,897		
<b>∞</b> оп		2	3.499.079	2	3.329.079		- 170.000
10		1	90,336	1	90,336		
Ξ	LITTORAL COMBA	2	1,136,071	2	1,136,071		
	TOTAL, OTHER WARSHIPS		16,297,924		16,002,924		- 295,000
12	AMPHIBIOUS SHIPS AMPHIBIOUS SHIP REPLACEMENT			-	1.000.000	+	+1.000.000
15	LHA REPLACEMENT		1,710,927		1,710,927		
	TOTAL, AMPHIBIOUS SHIPS		1,710,927		2,710,927		+1,000,000
	AUXILIARIES, CRAFT, AND PRIC						
22	EXPEDITIONARY FAST TRANSPO	-	165 988		225,000	+	+ 225,000
19	TAO FLEET OILER (AP-CY)	1	75,068	+	75,068		
20		-	76,204			-1	-76,204
23	LCU 1700	-	31,850	,		-	-31,850
23A 24	I -AGS OCEANAGRAPHIC SURVEY SHIP		548 703		150,000	+	+150,000 $-59,630$
25		3	212,554	∞	524,554	+5	+312,000
26 29 29	SERVICE CRAFT  COMPLETION OF PY SHIPBLIII DING PROGRAMS		23,994		62,994		+ 39,000
ì			! >		. !		

31	POLAR ICEBREAKERS (AP)		150,000		+ 150,000
	TOTAL, AUXILIARIES, CRAFT, AND PRIOR-YEAR PROGRAM	1,551,903	2,260,219		+ 708,316
	TOTAL, SHIPBUILDING & CONVERSION, NAVY	 20,403,607	21,816,923	,816,923	+1,413,316

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
2	Carrier Replacement Program	1,880,714	1,580,714	- 300,000
	projection (CVN 80)			-300,000
4	Virginia Class Submarine	3,305,315	3,480,315	+175,000
	Program increase: Industrial base expansion			+175,000
9	DDG-51	3,499,079	3,329,079	-170,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: AMDR previously funded			- 170.000
12	Amphibious Ship Replacement		1.000.000	+1.000.000
	Program increase: Incremental funding for LX-R or		2,000,000	. 1,000,000
	LPD-30			+1.000.000
17	Expeditionary Fast Transport [EPF]		225.000	+ 225.000
1,	Program increase: One additional ship		220,000	+ 225,000
20	Towing, Salvage, and Rescue Ship (ATS)	76.204		- 76.204
	Improving funds management: Program delays	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		- 76.204
23	LCU 1700	31.850		- 31,850
20	Improving funds management: Program delays	01,000		- 31.850
23A	T-AGS Oceanagraphic Survey Ships		150.000	+ 150.000
Lon	Program increase: T-AGS oceanagraphic survey ship		100,000	+ 150,000
24	Outfitting	548,703	489.073	- 59.630
	Improving funds management: Outfitting and post de-	0.0,700	100,070	00,000
	livery funds early to need			- 59.630
25	Ship to Shore Connector	212.554		+ 312.000
20	Program increase: Additional craft	, , , ,	. ,	+ 312,000
26	Service Craft	23.994	62.994	+ 39,000
20	Program increase: Berthing Barge to avert production	20,001	02,001	1 00,000
	break			+ 39.000
31	Polar Icebreaker		150.000	+ 150,000
31	Program increase		,	+ 150,000
	i iugiaiii iiilcicase			± 130,000

Submarine Industrial Base.—The fiscal year 2018 President's budget includes \$1,884,500,000 for the Ohio-class replacement program [ORP] and \$5,581,500,000 for the Virginia-class program [VA] in Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy; Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy; and Other Procurement, Navy. The Committee understands that the budget request fully funds the programs' respective cost estimates and recommends full funding. Further, the Committee notes that no special acquisition or funding authorities in addition to those previously provided have been requested in the President's budget or are required in fiscal year 2018.

The Committee notes recent major accomplishments in these programs, including the approval of Milestone B for ORP in November 2016 and award of the ORP Integrated Product and Process Development contract in September 2017. Additionally, significant upcoming milestones include the cut-in to production of the Virginia Payload Module [VPM] in fiscal year 2019 and a planned ten-ship multi-year procurement contract award for the Virginia-class program in fiscal year 2018.

The Committee is aware of several issues that could potentially introduce risk to these programs, including: cost and schedule concerns raised by the shipbuilder regarding the Virginia-class Block V multi-year procurement program; capacity constraints at sub-tier

vendors impacting critical component deliveries; schedule delays in design completions across the enterprise requiring aggressive remedial schedules; vendor oversight issues; loss of schedule margin in certain prototype manufacturing; and consistent manning shortfalls across all submarine programs. The Committee understands that these issues have not yet negatively affected costs and schedules of the ORP and VA programs. However, the Committee is concerned with the accumulation of challenges and encourages the Secretary of the Navy and the Chief of Naval Operations to remain focused on addressing these issues. The Committee recommends an additional \$175,000,000 in Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy for submarine industrial base expansion in support of Navy efforts to implement action plans to improve readiness assessments of critical

suppliers for the ORP and VA shipbuilding enterprise.

DDG 51 Destroyer.—The fiscal year 2018 budget request includes \$3,499,079,000 for the procurement of two DDG-51 Arleigh Burke class Flight III guided missile destroyers. The Committee supports the Department of the Navy's plans to procure additional DDG-51s, including the request for Multi Year Procurement Authority of up to ten DDGs from fiscal year 2018 to fiscal year 2022 at a cost of \$17,865,433,000, a cost avoidance of \$1,833,209,000 compared to annual pricing. However, the Committee notes that subsequent to the delivery of the fiscal year 2018 budget request, two DDG-51 Flight III ships have gone under contract. The Committee also notes that the Government Accountability Office [GAO] has expressed concerns about the Navy's limited detail design knowledge to support the current Flight III procurement strategy in GAO report 16-613. Therefore, the Committee directs the Director, Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation, to provide with the fiscal year 2019 budget submission an updated Independent Cost Estimate for the DDG-51 Multi Year Procurement program. This report is also addressed in section 8010 of this bill.

Further, the Secretary of the Navy shall report to the congressional defense committees no later than February 28, 2018, on the multiyear acquisition strategy to include a contracting plan that addresses workload balance, stability and viability for both ship-

builders.

LHA Acceleration.—The fiscal year 2018 President's budget request includes \$1,710,927,000 for the LHA replacement program to complete construction of LHA 8. The Committee supports the full request for the procurement of LHA 8 but notes with concern that the Department of the Navy's program of record reflects a seven year planned break in production between LHA 8 and 9. The Committee believes the Navy's current LHA build plan does not take advantage of the efficiencies and subsequent cost avoidance inherent in maintaining an active industrial base for the amphibious fleet. During the Committee's fiscal year 2018 budget review of Navy shipbuilding programs, the Navy proposed acceleration of multiple platforms, including various advanced procurement, economic order quantities, industrial base support, and block buy proposals, but did not propose any options for accelerating the procurement of amphibious assault ships to support the Marine Corps amphibious lift requirements. Therefore, the Committee directs the Assistant Secretary of the Navy (Research, Development and Ac-

quisition) to brief the congressional defense committees on the potential cost avoidance accomplished by an accelerated LHA 9 procurement schedule. Further, the Secretary of the Navy, in consultation with the Commandant of the Marine Corps, shall report to the congressional defense committees on the impact of the planned break in production on Marine Corps Amphibious Readiness Group and Marine Expeditionary Unit operations.

### OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY

Appropriations, 2017	\$6,308,919,000
Budget estimate, 2018	7,902,864,000
Committee recommendation	8,115,384,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$8,115,384,000. This is \$212,520,000 above the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

							10	9															
from	Budget estimate			-6,331			+ 29,000		-1,375						+5 000	5			-6,962				-4,768
Change from	Qty.																						
#	recommendation			41,910	27,392	65,943	180,240		601,980	2,240	4,287	17,293	27,990	46,610	72 594	61,908	15,812	4,178	299,088	2,507	55,922	3.036	5,596
	Qty.																						
2010 hudget	estimate			41,910 6,331	27,392	65,943	151,240		603,355	2,240	4,287	17,293	27,990	46,610	17 592	61,908	15,812	4,178	306,050	2,507	55,922	3.036	10,364
	Otty.																						
	ltem	OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY	SHIPS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	SHIP PROPULSION EQUIPMENT SURFACE POWER EQUIPMENT HYBRID ELECTRIC DRIVE [HED]	GENERATORS Surface combatant HM&E	NAVIGATION EQUIPMENT OTHER NAVIGATION EQUIPMENT	PERISCOPES SUB PERISCOPES AND IMAGING SUPPORT EQUIPMENT PROGRAM	OTHER SHIPBOARD EQUIPMENT	DDG MOD ENGLISHTING FOLLIDMENT	COMMAND AND CONTROL SWITCHBOARD	LHA/LHD MIDLIFE	POLLUTION CONTROL EQUIPMENT			LCS CLASS SUPPURI EQUIPMENT		STRATEGIC PLATFORM SUPPORT EQUIP	DSSP EQUIPMENT	CRUISER MODERNIZATION			CHEMICAL WARFARE DETECTORS	
	e			ε 4	5	9	∞		9	2 =	12	14	15	19	_ ≃	19	21	22	23	24	25	27	28

SECONDERSONAL COMMUNICATION STSTEM (ASS)   218.17	+ 31,171 + 4,082	+4,000	+1,000	——————————————————————————————————————	
SHEDOMASSANCE EQUIPMENT SHEDOARD IN EXPLOIT SHEDOMAND SYSTEM [ASS]  OTHER SHIP ELECTRONC EQUIPMENT TO COOPERATIVE GAGGEMENT CAPABILITY ATDS. ATD					
RECONNAISSANCE EQUIPMENT SHEPGAGEN OF KEPUL AUTOMATED IDENTIFICATION SYSTEM [IAS] OTHER SHIP ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT COOPERATURE UNSAGEMENT CAPABILITY AND TACTICAL COMMAND SUPPORT SYSTEM [INCSS] MAY COMMAND AND OWN TO SYSTEM [INCSS] MANY COMMAND AND OWN TO SYSTEM REPLACEMENT SHALLOW WATER MCM MANEXMEEPING SYSTEM REPLACEMENT SHALLOW WATER MCM MANY TROON TO COUPMENT ASHORE ATC EQUIPMENT ASHORE ATC AND AND IN ASHORE ATC AND AND IN ASHORMATION ASSUBMARINE BROADCAST SUPPORT ASSUBMARINE BROADCAST SUPPORT ASSUBMARINE BROADCAST SUPPORT ASSUBMARINE BROADCAST SUPPORT ASSUBATION ASSUBLICED A					
RECONNAISSANCE EQUIPMENT SHIPBOARD IN EXPLOIT OTHER SHIPE LECTRONC EQUIPMENT CODFERATIVE ENGAREINT CAPABILITY MANAL TACTICAL COMMAND SUPPORT SYSTEM [INCSS] ATDLS. MINESWEEPING SYSTEM REPLACEMENT SHALLOW WATER MOM MANYATR GROWNE COUPMENT ANY COMMAND NO FOR THE COUPMENT ANY COUNTY AND FOR THE COUPMENT TACTICAL MOBILE CA! SYSTEMS OTHER SHORE ELECTRONC EQUIPMENT TACTICAL MOBILE CA! SYSTEMS OTHER SHORE ELECTRONC EQUIPMENT TACTICAL MOBILE CA! SYSTEMS CANES AND COMMANDICATIONS SHIPBOARD COMMUNICATIONS SHIPBOARD COMMUNICATIONS SHIPBOARD TACTICAL COMMUNICATIONS SHIPBOARD TACTICAL COMMUNICATIONS SHIPBOARD TACTICAL COMMUNICATIONS SHIPBOARD COMMUNICATIONS SHIPBOARD COMMUNICATIONS SHIPBOARD COMMUNICATIONS SHIPBOARD COMMUNICATIONS SHIPBOARD COMMUNICATIONS SUBMARINE COMMUNICATIONS SUBMARINE COMMUNICATIONS SUBMARINE COMMUNICATIONS SUBMARINE COMMUNICATIONS SUBMARINE COMMUNICATION EQUIPMENT	187,007	23,892 10,741 38,016 4,512 31,531 8,796 11,923 2,730 6,889	71,882 44,611 21,239 11,976		
RECONNAISSANCE EQUIPMENT SHIPBOARD IN EXPLOIT SHIPBOARD IN EXPLOIT OTHER SHIP ELECTRONIC EQUIPMEI COOPERATIVE ENGAGEMENT CAPAB NAVAL TACTICAL COMMAND SUPPOI ANDLS NAVED FORCES RADIO AND TO STRATEGIC PLATFORM SUPPORT EQ ANATION ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT ASHORE ATC EQUIPMENT ACICAL/MOBILE C41 SYSTEMS OTHER SHORE ELECTRONIC EQUIPM TACTICAL/MOBILE C41 SYSTEMS TACTICAL/MOBILE C41 SYSTEMS OTHER SHORE ELECTRONIC EQUIPMING SHIPBOARD COMMUNICATIONS SHIPBOARD COMMUNICATIONS SHIPBOARD TACTICAL COMMUNICATION SHIPBOARD TACTICAL COMMUNICATION SUBMARINE COMMUNICATION SUUPORT SUBMARINE COMMUNICATION EQUIPMENT SUBMARINE COMMUNICATION EQUIPMING SUBMARINE COMMUNICATION EQUIPMENT SUBMARINE COMMUNICATION E					
	RECONNAISSANCE EQUIPMENT SHIPBOARD IW EXPLOIT	OTHER SHIP ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT COOPERATIVE ENGAGEMENT CAPABILITY NAVAL TACTICAL COMMAND SUPPORT SY ATDLS NAVY COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM MINESWEEPING SYSTEM REPLACEMENT SHALLOW WATER MCM NAVSTAR GPS RECEIVERS (SPACE) ARNUED FORCES READIO AND TY STRATEGIC PLATFORM SUPPORT EQUIP.	AVATION ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT ASHORE ATC EQUIPMENT AFLOAT ATC EQUIPMENT ID SYSTEMS NAVAL MISSION PLANNING SYSTE	OTHER SHORE ELECTRONIC EQUIPM TACTICAL/MOBILE C41 SYSTEMS DCGS-N CANES. CANES. CANES. CANES. CARES-INTELL GPETE MASF. INTEG COMBAT SYSTEM TEST FACIL EMI CONTROL INSTRUMENTATION ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION SHIPBOARD COMMUNICATIONS SHIPBOARD TACTICAL COMMUNICATIONS SHIPBOARD TACTICAL COMMUNICATIONS SHIPBOARD COMMUNICATIONS TEMS UNDER \$5 SUBMARINE COMMUNICATIONS SUBMARINE COMMUNICATION EQUII	

			2010 budget		*:	Change from	from
Line	Item	Otty.	estimate	Qty.	recommendation	ûty.	Budget estimate
96	SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS NAVY MULTIBAND TERMINAL [NMT]		14,654 69,764		14,654 67,371		-2,393
6	SHORE COMMUNICATIONS JCS COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT		4,256		4,256		
99	Cryptographic Equipment Info Systems Security Program (ISSP) Mio intel exploitation team		89,663 961		101,663 961		+ 12,000
101	CRYPTOLOGIC EQUIPMENT CRYPTOLOGIC COMMUNICATIONS EQUIP		11,287		11,287		
110	OTHER ELECTRONIC SUPPORT COAST GUARD EQUIPMENT		36,584		36,584		
	TOTAL, COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT		2,555,260		2,693,176		+ 137,916
	AVIATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
112	SONOBUOYS SONOBUOYS—ALL TYPES		173,616		173,616		
113 114 115 116 117 118	ARCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT ARCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT ARCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT ADVANCED ARRESTING GEAR (AAG) METROPOLOGICAL EQUIPMENT OTHER PHOTOGRAPHIC EQUIPMENT AIRBORNE MINE COUNTERMEASURES AVAITION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		72,110 108,482 10,900 21,137 660 20,605 34,032		72,110 108,482 10,900 21,137 660 20,605 34,750		+ 718
	TOTAL, AVIATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		441,542		442,260		+718
	ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
120	SHIP GUN SYSTEM EQUIPMENT SHIP GUN SYSTEMS EQUIPMENT		5,277		5,277		

			2010 hudget		Committoo	Change from	from
Line	Item	Qty.	estimate	Qty.	recommendation	Qty.	Budget estimate
	PERSONNEL AND COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
146	TRAINING DEVICES TRAINING AND EDUCATION EQUIPMENT		25,624		25,624		
147	COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		59.076		57.976		-1.100
149	Medical Support equipment		4,383		4,383		
	NAVAL MIP SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		2,030		2,030		
	OPERATING FORCES SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		7,500		7,500		
	CAISR EQUIPMENT		4,010		4,010		
154	ENVIRONMENTAL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		23,644		23,644		
155	PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT		101,982		120,982		+19,000
156	ENTERPRISE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY NEXT GENERATION ENTERPRISE SERVICE		19,789 104,584		19,789 104,584		
	TOTAL, PERSONNEL AND COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		352,622		370,522		+17,900
161	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		278,565 23,707		278,565 23,707		
	TOTAL, OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY		7,902,864		8,115,384		+ 212,520

115

## The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
4	Hybrid Electric Drive [HED]Program termination	6,331		- 6,331 - 6,331
8	Sub Periscope, Imaging and Supt Equip Prog Program increase: Submarine warfare federated tac- tical systems (+3 Shipsets)	151,240	180,240	+ 29,000 + 29,000
9	DDG Mod	603,355	601,980	-1,375 +3,000
18	kits unit cost growth	17,594	22,594	- 4,375 + 5,000
23	Program increase	306,050	299,088	+ 5,000 - 6,962
	Restoring acquisition accountability: CEC installation cost growth			- 1,400
28	stallation cost growth	10,364	5.596	- 5,562 - 4,768
20	Restoring acquisition accountability: Low pressure electrolyzer early to need	10,304		-4,768 -4,768
34	Operating Forces Ipe	191,541	187,562	- 3,979
36	cranes unit cost growth  LCS Common Mission Modules Equipment  Restoring acquisition accountability: Mission pack-	34,666	18,760	- 3,979 - 15,906
37	age training equipment early to need LCS MCM Mission Modules	55,870	48,068	— 15,906 — 7,802
	Restoring acquisition accountability: MCM support equipment and production engineering excess to need			- 7,802
39	LCS SUW Mission Modules	52,960	20,925	- 32,035
	face mission module early to need			-11,631
40	mission package acquisition strategy LCS In-Service Modernization Program increase: LCS mod for increased lethality	74,426	158,426	- 20,404 + 84,000
43	and survivability upgrades for 4 ships	20,086	18,993	+ 84,000 - 1,093
46	funding early to need	287,553	314,553	- 1,093 + 27,000
51	tical systems (+3 Shipsets) Fixed Surveillance System	300,102	330,102	+ 27,000 + 30,000
52	Program increase	30,180	40,180	+ 30,000 + 10,000
55	AOR	187,007	218,178	+ 10,000 + 31,171
	and Paragon/Graywing (+3 Systems)			+ 38,375
	prior year contract savings Restoring acquisition accountability: Increment F kit			- 3,952
56	cost growth	510	4,592	- 3,252 + 4,082

116

#### [In thousands of dollars]

	tili tilousalius vi uoi	ı	ı	
Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Program increase: Comprehensive review of recent surface warfare incidents—AIS laptop procure- ment			+ 1,482
	surface warfare incidents—Update existing AIS laptops			+ 2,600
58	Cooperative Engagement Capability  Program increase: CEC identification friend or foe	23,892	27,892	+ 4,000 + 4,000
63	[IFF] Mode 5 acceleration	31,531	59,531	+ 4,000 + 28,000 + 28,000
78	Naval Mission Planning Systems Program increase: Air to air training rounds and CVN	11,976	12,976	+ 1,000
82	magazine alterations	322,754	316,984	+ 1,000 - 5,770
84	Improving funds management: Installation early to need	48,028	47,263	- 5,770 - 765
89	Improving funds management: Installation early to need	105,292	112,603	- 765 + 7,311
03	Program increase: Comprehensive review of recent surface warfare incidents—Next generation sur-	103,232	112,000	·
91	face ship radarShip Communications Automation	103,990	97,363	+ 7,311 - 6,627
96	assured command and control cost growth Navy Multiband Terminal [NMT] Restoring acquisition accountability: Afloat ship kit	69,764	67,371	- 6,627 - 2,393
99	cost growth	89,663	101,663	- 2,393 + 12,000
119	Program increase: Crypto mod procurement for UHF and narrowband radios to meet NSA mandate Aviation Support Equipment	34,032	34,750	+ 12,000 + 718
	Program increase: T—45 and F/A—18 physiological episodes funding			+ 2,100
124	Restoring acquisition accountability: Joint technical data integration cost growth	129,972	149,972	- 1,382 + 20,000
130	Program increase: Submarine warfare federated tactical systems (+3 Shipsets)			+ 20,000
130	Surface Training Equipment Program increase: Comprehensive review of recent surface warfare incidents—Shore-based Bridge	83,022	80,166	- 2,856
	trainer improvements Restoring acquisition accountability: BFTT upgrade kits installation funding ahead of need			+ 900 - 3,756
147	Command Support EquipmentProgram increase: Optionally unmanned hydrographic	59,076	57,976	-1,100
	survey launch Restoring acquisition accountability: Deployable mis- sion support systems unjustified growth			+ 6,000 - 7,100
155	Physical Security Equipment	101,982	120,982	+ 19,000
	pair facilities			+ 19,000

## PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS

Appropriations, 2017	\$1,307,456,000
Budget estimate, 2018	2,064,825,000
Committee recommendation	2,093,749,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$2,093,749,000. This is 28,924,000 above the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

			2019 budget		1	Change from	from
Line	ltem	Qty.	estimate	Qty.	recommendation	Qty.	Budget estimate
	PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS						
	WEAPONS AND COMBAT VEHICLES						
,	TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES						;
- 2	AAV7A1 PIP AMPHIROLIS COMRAT VEHICLE 1.1	96	107,665	96	85,362		-22,303 $-6,633$
3 6	LAV PIP		17,244		9,152		-8,092
-	ARTILLERY AND OTHER WEAPONS		363		000		
4 7			929 20,259		20,259		
9	HIGH MOBILITY ARTILLERY RO		59,943		59,943		
`			19,010		19,616		
٥	OTHER SUPPORT		17 770		17 770		
0	MUDITION NIS		1/,//0		11,110		
	TOTAL, WEAPONS AND COMBAT VEHICLES		404,642		367,614		-37,028
	GUIDED MISSILES AND EQUIPMENT						
5	GUIDED MISSILES		000		0.00		
2 =	GROUND BASED AIN DEFENSE	222	9,432	222	35,831		-5,328
12	FOLLOW ON TO SMAW		25,125		25,125		
13	ANTI-ARMOR WEAPONS SYSTEM-HEAVY (AAWS-H)		51,553		46,509		-5,044
	TOTAL, GUIDED MISSILES AND EQUIPMENT		127,269		116,897		- 10,372
	COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT						
16	COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEMS COMMON AVIATION COMMAND AND CONTROL SYS		44,928		44,928		
17	repair and test equipment repair and test equipment		33,056		33,056		

									1	20				
from	Budget estimate							-16,904	+2,895			-14,009	-5,400	+ 28,924
Change from	Qty.													
#:	recommendation			1,405	1,788	5,830	27,240	36,573	79,080	26,286	7,716	197,411	30,240 4,214	2,093,749
	Qty.													
2010 budget	estimate			1,405	1,788	9,910	27,240	53,477	76,185	26,286	7,716	211,420	35,640 4,214	2,064,825
	Otty.													
	Item	ENGINEER AND OTHER EQUIPMENT		ENVIKONMENIAL CONIKOL EQUIP ASSURI	HACHICAL FUEL SYSTEMS	FUWER EQUIPMENT ASSURTED	EOD SYSTEMS	MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT	GENERAL PROPERTY Training devices	FAMILY OF CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT	OTHER SUPPORT ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	TOTAL, ENGINEER AND OTHER EQUIPMENT	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS  CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	TOTAL, PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS
		l	,	~ ~		_ ~ .	~	-	′0	~ ~	_		0.1	

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1	AAV7A1 PIP	107,665	85,362	- 22,303
2	survivability upgrade	161,511	154,878	-22,303 -6,633
	ahead of need Maintain program affordability: Excess program man- agement and support			- 1,633 - 5.000
3	LAV PIP	17,244	9,152	- 8,092
11	Javelin	41,159	35,831	- 8,092 - 5,328
13	Restoring acquisition accountability: Unit cost growth Anti-Armor Weapons System-Heavy [AAWS—H]	51,553	46,509	- 5,328 - 5,044 - 5,044
20	Items Under \$5 Million (Comm & Elec)	17,644	37,844	+ 20,200
23	sniper rifle Ground/Air Task Oriented Radar [G/ATOR] Program increase: G/ATOR system acceleration Program increase: G/ATOR comm equipment group	139,167	239,178	+ 20,200 + 100,011 + 95,511
31	shelters	14,193	12,258	+ 4,500 - 1,935
36	growth  Common Computer Resources  Program increase: Full spectrum cyber ops—deployable	66,894	73,998	- 1,935 + 7,104
37	mission support systems	186,912	182,547	+ 7,104 - 4,365
39	cost adjustment	54,615	49,615	- 4,365 - 5,000 - 5.000
40	Comm & Elec Infrastructure Support Improving funds management: Prior year carryover	44,455	39,455	- 5,000 - 5,000
42	Commercial Cargo Vehicles	66,951	61,951	-5,000 -2,000 -3,000
46	commercial passenger vehicles Trailers	10,282		- 3,000 - 10,282 - 10,282
54	Physical Security Equipment	53,477	36,573	- 16,904
56	ahead of need  Training Devices  Improving funds management: Prior year carryover	76,185	79,080	- 16,904 + 2,895 - 3,186
	Maintain program affordability: Ranges and training area management unjustified growth Program increase: ITESS—II, force on force training sys-			- 2,798
62	rrogram increase: ITESS—II, force on force training sys- tems  Spares and Repair Parts  Maintain program affordability: Spares ahead of need	35,640	30,240	+ 8,879 - 5,400 - 5,400

Amphibious Combat Vehicle.—The Committee notes the Marine Corps' efforts to modernize its amphibious vehicle fleet through the incremental approach to the Amphibious Combat Vehicle [ACV] program, starting with ACV increment 1.1, which leverages the

previously halted Marine Personnel Carrier [MPC] as the program baseline. Following increment 1.1, the acquisition strategy plans for increment 1.2 to field a ship-to-shore capability, and increment 2.0 will aim to achieve high water speeds. The Committee further notes the recent U.S. Government Accountability Office [GAO] report on ACV acquisition, GAO-17-402, and notes the GAO's recommendation to delay the ACV 1.1 Milestone C decision from June 2018 into first quarter of fiscal year 2019. In making that recommendation, GAO cites concerns over an aggressive test schedule driven by the service's Initial Operational Capability [IOC] date in August 2020, concurrency between testing and production, and a lack of test data with which the Congress can make a fully informed funding decision on the initial Low-Rate Initial Production [LRIP] lot.

The Committee shares some of GAO's concerns, particularly in light of delays in final deliveries of ACV Engineering Development Model [EDM] assets, recent test results, subsequent vehicle modifications, and the resultant potential for future schedule impacts.

Further, despite repeated requests, the Committee was denied access to the Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation's [CAPE] Independent Cost Estimate [ICE] for the ACV program. However, the Committee recognizes the significance of the ACV program within the Marine Corps' overarching Ground Combat Tactical Vehicle Replacement Strategy, the high priority placed on ACV by the Commandant of the Marine Corps, and most importantly, the survivability challenges with legacy platforms in today's threat environment. Therefore, the Committee provides adequate funding to initiate LRIP lot 1, but encourages the Commandant of the Marine Corps to carefully assess the status of the ACV program prior to entrance into Milestone C review and contractor down-select, which has the potential to inform ACV 1.2 cost estimates. Further, the Committee directs the Director, CAPE to provide the updated ICE and the Program Executive Officer Land Systems to provide the updated component cost estimate to the congressional defense committees in advance of the Milestone C review.

Infantry Automatic Rifle [IAR].—In 2008, the U.S Marine Corps competed its IAR program as a partial replacement for the M249 Squad Automatic Weapon [SAW] within infantry squads. That competition led to the M27 IAR being selected with the award of an Indefinite Delivery, Indefinite Quantity [IDIQ] contract worth up to \$23,600,000. In February of 2017, Marine Corps Systems Command [MARCORSYSCOM] issued a Request for Information [RFI] initiating market research efforts in advance of a potential procurement of approximately 11,000 new IARs. In August 2017, MARCORSYSCOM released their intent to solicit and negotiate with a sole source for up to 50,814 IARs. The Committee is concerned that the increase in quantities from the RFI to the notification of intent, may signal a larger shift in the Marine Corps' broader small arms weapon strategy without the support of a validated requirement, established acquisition objective, or a full and open competition to satisfy that requirement. Therefore, the Committee encourages the Deputy Commandant, Combat Development and Integration to clearly articulate their small arms strategy to the congressional defense committees and industry, particularly as it re-

lates to the M27 IAR and its potential to serve as a replacement for the M4 Carbine across the Marine Corps. Further, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Navy that of the funds available in this act, none are available to purchase IARs beyond those required to arm the Ground Combat Element without first providing to the congressional defense committees a report detailing the M27 IAR validated requirement, acquisition strategy, and acquisition objective.

#### AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2017	\$14,253,623,000
Budget estimate, 2018	15,430,849,000
Committee recommendation	16,189,022,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$16,189,022,000. This is \$758,173,000 above the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

	[Dollars in thousands]	iou sands]					
			2018 hudget		Committee	Change from	from
Line	Item	Oty.	estimate	Qty.	recommendation	Qty.	Budget estimate
	AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE						
	COMBAT AIRCRAFT						
_	TACTICAL FORCES	97	A 544 684	77	1 A A G G 8A		138 000
3 2 1	F-35 (AP-CY) KC-46A TANKER	15	4,344,684 780,300 2,545,674	15	4,400,864 900,300 2,412,550		-138,000 + 120,000 - 133,124
	TOTAL, COMBAT AIRCRAFT		7,870,658		7,719,534		-151,124
	AIRUITI AIRCRAFT						
			902 23		150700		-
4	C-130J HC-130J MC-130J	2 5	198,502	£ 2	281,502	+ +	+ 102,000 + 83,000 + 800,000
,			30,000	G .	30,000	-	000
	TOTAL, AIRLIFT AIRCRAFT		665,583		1,650,583		+ 985,000
	OTHER AIRCRAFT						
	HELICOPTERS						
12	MISSION SUPPORT AIRCRAFT CIVIL AIR PATROL A/C	9	2,695	9	10,600		+7,905
14	OTHER AIRCRAFT TARGET DRONES	42	109,841	42	109,841		
17 15X	MQ-9 COMPASS CALL		117,141		92,141 108,173		-25,000 + 108,173
	TOTAL, OTHER AIRCRAFT		229,677		320,755		+ 91,078
	MODIFICATION OF INSERVICE AIRCRAFT						
18	STRATEGIC AIRCRAFT B-2A		96.727		96.727		

8-52 LARGE AIRCRAFT INFRARED COUNTERMEASURES	155,634 109,295 4,046		121,634 109,295 4,046		- 34,000
A-10 A-10 F-15 F-16 F-22A F-32 MODIFICATIONS INGREMENT 3.2b	 6,010 417,193 203,864 161,630 15,000 68,270 105,766 6,213	72	6,010 412,843 203,864 144,830 15,000 68,270 105,756 6,213		-4,350 -16,800
C-5M C-17A C-17A C-21 C-32A C-330	36,592 6,817 125,522 13,253 79,449 15,423	2	21,742 6,817 103,022 13,253 79,449 145,423	+ 2	$\begin{array}{c} -14,850 \\ -22,500 \\ +130,000 \\ -10,727 \end{array}$
GLIDER MODS	136 35,706 21,477 51,641		136 35,706 21,477 51,641		
U-Z MORA MICKART I U-Z MORA (ATCA) CC-12 CC-13 CC-130 MODS CC-130 CC-131 CC-135 COMBATS CALL MODS COMBAT FLIGHT INSPECTION (CFIN)	36.406 4.243 5.846 52.107 31.119 66.310 171,230 69.428 23,091 166,541 166,541 23,091 166,541 23,091		33,406 4,243 5,846 52,107 31,119 81,310 181,957 69,428 23,091 69,68 4,968 204,559		-3,000 + 15,000 + 10,727 - 97,473 + 3,000

			1000		1	Change from	from
Line	ltem	Qty.	2016 Budget estimate	Qty.	recommendation	Otty.	Budget estimate
54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 63	E-3 E-4 AIRBORNE WARNING AND CONTROL SYSTEM AIRBORNE WARNING AND CONTROL SYSTEM H-1 H-6 HCAQ- UAV MODS HCAQ- UAV MODS HCAQ- 130 MODIFICATIONS HCAQ- 130 MODIFICATIONS HCAQ- 130 MODIFICATIONS HCAQ- 130 MODIFICATIONS HCAG- 130 MCD MCG- 130	3	189,772 30,493 113,232 16,786 24,716 3,730 75,989 43,968 67,674 59,674 59,674 66,740 60,990		176,692 25,914 13,232 142,886 15,017 3,730 75,989 83,568 62,074 59,068 197,540	-3	- 13,080 - 4,579 - 21,900 - 9,699 + 39,600 + 5,600 - 67,200
19	TOTAL, MODIFICATION OF INSERVICE AIRCRAFT		3,573,914		3,446,483		-127,431 +302,650
	TOTAL, AIRCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		1,041,569		1,344,219		+ 302,650
68 69 71	F S F		75,846 8,524 501		75,846 8,524 501		
72 73 74 75 78 79 80	POST PRODUCTION SUPPORT  P-2A B-2A C-17A C-17A F-15 F-15 F-15 F-15 F-16 F-16 F-17 F-16 F-17 F-16 F-17 F-17 F-17 F-17 F-17 F-17 F-17 F-17		447 38,509 199 12,028 29,700 20,000 2,524 18,051		447 32,109 199 12,028 29,700 20,000 2,524 8,151		-6,400 9,900

82	82   F–22A	119,566	119,566	
83	OTHER AIRCRAFT	85,000	85,000	
82	RQ-4 POST PRODUCTION CHARGES	86,695	86,695	
98	CV-22 MODS	4,500	4,500	
87	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS	1/1 739	11/739	
8	C-130J	102,000	CO 1,1	-102,000
68	WAR CONSUMABLES WAR CONSUMABLES	37.647	37.647	
G	OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES	1 339 160	1 127 660	- 211 500
95	OTHER AIRCRAFT	009	009	211,000
	TOTAL, AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES	1,996,236	1,666,436	-329,800
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	 53,212	 41,012	-12,200
	TOTAL, AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE	15,430,849	16,189,022	 + 758,173

128

## The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1	F–35	4,544,684	4,406,684	- 138,000
-	Improving funds management: Non-recurring cost prior	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	,,,,,,,,,	
	year carryover			- 88,000
2	Restoring acquisition accountability: Unit cost savings F-35 (AP-CY)	780.300	900.300	- 50,000 + 120,000
2	Program increase: Advance procurement for additional	760,300	300,300	+ 120,000
	fiscal year 2019 aircraft			+ 120,000
3	KC-46A Tanker	2,545,674	2,412,550	- 133,124
	Improving funds management: Unit cost savings			- 24,750 - 52,374
	Improving funds management: LAIRCM ahead of need Restoring acquisition accountability: Support equipment			- 52,574
	excess growth			- 56,000
4	C-130J	57,708	159,708	+ 102,000
	Weapon system trainer—Air Force requested transfer			. 100 000
6	from line 88	198,502	281,502	+ 102,000 + 83,000
U	Program increase: One aircraft	130,302	201,302	+ 100,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Excess unit cost			
	growth			- 17,000
8	MC-130J	379,373	1,179,373	+ 800,000
12	Program increase: Eight aircraft	2,695	10,600	+ 800,000 + 7,905
12	Program increase	2,000	10,000	+ 7,905
15x	Compass Call		108,173	+ 108,173
	Second EC-X air vehicle—transfer from line 51			+ 108,173
17	MQ-9Restoring acquisition accountability: Dual ground control	117,141	92,141	- 25,000
	station unit cost growth			- 25.000
19	B–1B	155,634	121,634	- 34,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: F101 engine SLEP	,	,	
	previously funded			- 34,000
23	F-15	417,193	412,843	-4,350
	Restoring acquisition accountability: APG—82 unit cost growth			- 4.350
25	F–22A	161,630	144,830	-16,800
	Restoring acquisition accountability: RAMMP installation			
	cost growth			-11,800
	Improving funds management: Trainers modernization excess growth			- 5.000
31	C-5	36,592	21,742	- 14,850
	Restoring acquisition accountability: CMC and weather			,
	radar contract delay			- 14,850
33	C-17A	125,522	103,022	- 22,500
	Restoring acquisition accountability: IFF GATM Mode 5 kit cost growth			- 22.500
36	C-37A	15.423	145.423	+ 130,000
	Program increase: Two aircraft—AF unfunded require-			
	ment			+ 130,000
37	C-130J	10,727		- 10,727
42	Air Force requested transfer to line 48U-2 Mods	36,406	33,406	- 10,727 - 3,000
72	Air Force requested transfer to line 53	30,400	33,400	-3,000
47	C-130	66,310	81,310	+ 15,000
	Program increase: C-130H modernization			+ 15,000
48	C-130J Mods	171,230	181,957	+ 10,727
51	Air Force requested transfer from line 37	166,541	69,068	+ 10,727 - 97,473
51	Program increase: EC—X Compass Call Cross Deck-mis-	100,541	05,000	37,473
	sion and support equipment	l	l	+ 24,600

129

[In thousands of dollars]

ine	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimat
	Program increase: EC-130H Compass Call-avionics via-			
	bility programRestoring acquisition accountability: Third BL3 B kit for			+ 10,00
	EC-X ahead of need			- 23,90
53	Second EC-X air vehicle—transfer to line 15X	201,559	204,559	- 108,17 + 3,00
54	Air Force requested transfer from line 42 E-3	189,772	176,692	+ 3,00 - 13,08
01	Restoring acquisition accountability: Dragon B kits unit cost growth	100,772	170,002	-7,79
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Installation costs ahead of need			- 5,29
55	E-4	30,493	25,914	- 5,23 - 4,57
	Restoring acquisition accountability: LFTS baseline kit unit cost growth			- 4,57
57	Airborne Warning and Control System	164,786	142,886	- 21,90
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Block 40/45 A kits unit cost growth			- 21.90
58	Family of Beyond Line-of-Sight Terminals	24,716	15,017	- 9,69
61	Restoring acquisition accountability: Contract delay RQ-4 Mods	43,968	83,568	- 9,6 + 39,6
01	Program increase: Replace RQ-4 tactical field terminal	,	,	,
62	antennas—MIP HC/MC—130 Modifications	67,674	62,074	+ 39,6 - 5,6
0.2	Restoring acquisition accountability: Situational aware-	0.,0.	02,07	· ·
65	ness contract delay	264,740	197,540	- 5,6 - 67,2
00	Improving funds management: DAS-4 upgrade prior year	204,740	137,540	· ·
67	carryover	1.041.569	1.344.219	- 67,2 + 302,6
07	Program increase: Improve aircraft readiness	1,041,509	1,344,219	+ 302,0
	Improving funds management: KC—46A spares prior year			00.0
	carryoverRestoring acquisition accountability: C-17 spares excess			- 22,0
	to need			- 20,0
73	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover	20 500	32.109	- 30,3 - 6,4
13	B-2a Improving funds management: Prior year carryover	38,509	32,109	- 6,4 - 6,4
81	F-16	18,051	8,151	- 9,9
	Improving funds management: Production line shutdown excess to need			_ 9.9
88	C-130J	102,000		- 102,0
	Weapon system trainer—Air Force requested transfer to			100.0
90	line 4	1,339,160	1,127,660	- 102,0 - 211.5
50	Program increase	1,555,100	1,127,000	+ 5,0
	Classified adjustment		41.010	-216,5
	Classified Programs	53,212	41,012	- 12,2 - 12,2

Air Force Fighter Force Structure.—The Committee is aware that the Air Force is reviewing its future requirements for fighter force structure, which includes a review of fourth and fifth generation capabilities. The Committee is concerned with rumors of possible retirement of certain F–15 airframes or the cancellation of modification plans in future budget submissions. While the Committee is encouraged with the progress of the F–35, the fielding of the Lightning has been slower than planned due to cost and development challenges. This in turn has required investments to keep fourth generation aircraft such as the F–16s and F–15s in the fleet. These investments, supported by Congress, have increased the fly-

ing time and capabilities of these legacy systems. The Committee believes that retirement or cancellation of upgrade plans of legacy fighters should be limited until the Air Force has completed its future needs assessments and provided the congressional defense committees with a strategy that looks beyond the future year's defense plan. Therefore, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to provide an update on its fighter force structure plan along with the fiscal year 2019 President's budget submission.

E-3 Airborne Warning and Control System.—The Committee supports the ongoing efforts by the Air Force to upgrade its fleet of E-3 Airborne Warning and Control System [AWACS] aircraft, which provide battle management, command and control, surveillance, target detection, and tracking capabilities. The Committee encourages the Secretary of the Air Force to fully fund the Block 40/45 and DRAGON modifications to the entire fleet of AWACS aircraft, as planned in the fiscal year 2018 President's budget request.

Active Electronically Scanned Array Radars.—The Committee recognizes that Active Electronically Scanned Array [AESA] radars significantly improve reliability, maintainability, and supportability as well as operational effectiveness and combat lethality of existing F–16 aircraft. Many of these aircraft are used by the Air National Guard in its Aerospace Control Alert [ACA] mission to defend against and defeat threats to the homeland. The Committee believes that AESA radars bolster the Air National Guard's ability to carry out its ACA mission and supports their continued procurement to address the U.S. Northern Command Joint Emergent Operational Need.

B-2 Antiskid Control Unit and Braking System.—The Committee notes that the B-2 brake control system is original to the aircraft and overdue for replacement. A large number of the parts of the system as well as the system itself are either obsolete or in very short supply. In particular, some of the printed wiring boards are out of production and cannot readily be replaced. The Committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to provide a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 180 days after the enactment of this act on the condition of the brake systems and the timeline to either replace the entire brake system or to replace the parts that are no longer available, in short supply, or obsolete.

#### MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2017	\$2.348.121.000
Budget estimate, 2018	2,296,182,000
Committee recommendation	2 238 828 000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$2,238,828,000. This is \$57,354,000 below the budget estimate.

### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

tem	Qty.	2018 budget	Qty.	Committee	Change from	
. I		estimate	· (cr	recommendation	Otty.	Budget estimate
MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE						
BALLISTIC MISSILES						
Missile Replacement Equipment—Ballistic Missile Replacement Eq-Ballistic		860'66		94,744		-4,354
OTHER MISSILES						
TACTICAL IONT AIR-SLIBEACE STANDOFF MISSLIF LIASSMI	198	441 367	360	433 447		—7 920
LONG RANGE ANTI-SHIP MISSILE (LRASMO)	15	44,728	15	44,728		22.
SIDEWINDER (AIM-9X)	310	125,350	310	125,350		
AMRAAM PREDATOR HELLEIRE MISSILE	399	304,327	205	259,247		- 45,080
SWALL DIAMETER BOMB	5,039	266,030	5,039	266,030		
INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS/POLLUTION PREVENTION		976		926		
TOTAL, OTHER MISSILES		1,217,595		1,164,595		- 53,000
MODIFICATION OF INSERVICE MISSILES						
CLASS IV		P 330		6.334		
MM III MODIFICATIONS AGM-65D MAYRPICK		80,109		91,109		+ 11,000
AIR LAUNCH CRUISE MISSILE SMALL DIAMETER BOMB		36,425 14,086		36,425 14,086		
TOTAL, MODIFICATION OF INSERVICE MISSILES		137,243		148,243		+ 11,000
SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS INITIAL SPARES/REPAIR PARTS		101,153		90,153		-11,000
SPECIAL PROGRAMS 20   SPECIAL UPDATE PROGRAMS		32,917		32,917		

			2010 budget		#	Change from	from
Line	ltem	Oth.	estimate estimate	Qty.	recommendation	Qty.	Budget estimate
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS		708,176		708,176		
	TOTAL, MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE		2,296,182		2,238,828		- 57,354

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1	Missile Replacement Eq-Ballistic	99,098	94,744	- 4,354
	Restoring acquisition accountability: TERP unit cost			
	growth			- 2,710
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Support equipment			
	cost growth			- 1,644
2	Joint Air-Surface Standoff Missile	441,367	433,447	- 7,920
	Restoring acquisition accountability: AUR unit cost			7 000
_	growth			- 7,920
5	AMRAAM	304,327	259,247	- 45,080
	Improving funds management: Obsolescence upgrade			45.000
	concurrency			- 45,080
10	MM III Modifications	80,109	91,109	+ 11,000
	Program increase: Upgrade Minimum Essential Emer-			11.000
	gency Communications Network			+ 11,000
15	Initial Spares/Repair Parts	101,153	90,153	-11,000
	Insufficient budget justification: Poor justification mate-			
	rials			-11,000

## SPACE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2017	\$2,733,243,000
Budget estimate, 2018	3,370,775,000
Committee recommendation	3.095.995.000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$3,095,995,000. This is \$274,780,000 below the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

			2018 hudget		Committoo	Change from	from
Line	Item	Qty.	estimate	Qty.	recommendation	Qty.	Budget estimate
	SPACE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE						
	SPACE PROGRAMS						
<b>-</b> -	ADVANCED EHF		56,974		56,974		
7 8	AF SALELLIE CUMM SYSIEM COLINTERSPACE SYSTEMS		57,516 28,798		47,516 28.798		- 10,000 -
4	FAMILY OF BEYOND LINE-OF-SIGHT TERMINALS		146,972		101,092		-45,880
2	WIDEBAND GAPFILLER SATELLITES		80,849		75,849		-5,000
9	GPS III SPACE SEGMENT		85,894		85,894		
7	GLOBAL POSITIONING (SPACE)		2,198		2,198		
∞	SPACEBORNE EQUIP (COMSEC)		25,048		25,048		
10	MILSATCOM TERMINALS		33,033		33,033		
Ξ	EVOLVED EXPENDABLE LAUNCH VEH CAPABILITY		957,420		905,420		-52,000
12	EVOLVED EXPENDABLE LAUNCH VEH (SPACE)	9	606,488	က	441,788		-164,700
13	SBIR HIGH (SPACE)		981,009		1,022,809		+41,800
14	SBIR HIGH (SPACE)		132,420		82,420		-50,000
15	NUDET DETECTION SYSTEM SPACE		6,370		6,370		
16	SPACE MODS SPACE		37,203		58,203		+ 21,000
17	SPACELIFT RANGE SYSTEM SPACE		113,874		113,874		
18			18,709		8,709		-10,000
	TOTAL, SPACE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE		3,370,775		3,095,995		-274,780

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

	1	ı	
Item	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
AF Satellite Comm System	57,516	47,516	-10,000
Improving funds management: Prior year carryover			-10,000
Family of Beyond Line-of-Sight Terminals	146,972	101,092	- 45,880
Restoring acquisition accountability: Schedule slip			- 45,880
Wideband Gapfiller Satellites (Space)	80,849	75,849	- 5,000
Improving funds management: Prior year carryover			- 5,000
Evolved Expendable Launch Capability	957,420	905,420	- 52,000
Improving funds management: Excess Forward Financing			- 52,000
Evolved Expendable Launch Veh (Space)	606,488	441,788	- 164,700
Reduce duplication: Funding in ELC			- 134,700
Maintain program affordability: Unjustified growth mis-			
sion assurance and SE&I			- 30,000
SBIR High (Space)	981,009	1,022,809	41,800
			- 50,000
			+ 16,000
, ,			+ 30,900
			+ 44,900
	132,420	82,420	,
			- 50,000
	37,203	58,203	+ 21,000
			+ 21,000
	'		-10,000
Improving funds management: Prior year carryover			- 10,000
	AF Satellite Comm System Improving funds management: Prior year carryover Family of Beyond Line-of-Sight Terminals Restoring acquisition accountability: Schedule slip Wideband Gapfiller Satellites (Space) Improving funds management: Prior year carryover Evolved Expendable Launch Capability Improving funds management: Excess Forward Financing Evolved Expendable Launch Veh (Space) Reduce duplication: Funding in ELC Maintain program affordability: Unjustified growth mission assurance and SE&I SBIR High (Space) Improving funds management: Excess Forward Financing for launch and checkout Program increase: SBIRS survivable ground sites Program increase: SBIRS survivable endurable evolution SBIR High (Space) Restoring acquisition accountability: Acquisition strategy Space Mods Program increase: Enterprise space battle management command and control Initial Spares/Repair Parts	AF Satellite Comm System Improving funds management: Prior year carryover Family of Beyond Line-of-Sight Terminals Restoring acquisition accountability: Schedule slip Wideband Gapfiller Satellites (Space) Improving funds management: Prior year carryover Evolved Expendable Launch Capability Improving funds management: Excess Forward Financing Evolved Expendable Launch Veh (Space) Reduce duplication: Funding in ELC Maintain program affordability: Unjustified growth mission assurance and SE&I SBIR High (Space) Improving funds management: Excess Forward Financing for launch and checkout Program increase: SBIRS survivable ground sites Program increase: SBIRS survivable endurable evolution SBIR High (Space) Restoring acquisition accountability: Acquisition strategy Space Mods Program increase: Enterprise space battle management command and control Initial Spares/Repair Parts  57,516	AF Satellite Comm System 57,516 lmproving funds management: Prior year carryover 146,972 lol1,092 Restoring acquisition accountability: Schedule slip 80,849 lmproving funds management: Prior year carryover 250 lmproving funds management: Prior year carryover 250 lmproving funds management: Prior year carryover 250 lmproving funds management: Excess Forward Financing 250 lmproving funds management 250 lmproving funds management 250 lmproving funds

Space Based Infrared System.—The fiscal year 2018 President's budget request includes \$132,420,000 for advanced procurement for Space Based Infrared System [SBIRS] vehicles 7/8, yet the Air Force has indicated that it is still studying options for fulfilling future Overhead Persistent Infrared requirements that would include modifications to SBIRS 7/8. While the Committee understands the need for resiliency upgrades, payload modernization, and other potential enhancements to the SBIRS vehicles, the Committee notes the rapidly approaching need for SBIRS 7/8 and seeks to ensure that the overall health and safety of the SBIRS constellation not be compromised as the Air Force studies the future of the program. Because the planned execution timeline is delayed and undefined, the Committee recommends \$84,420,000 for SBIRS advanced procurement, a reduction of \$50,000,000. The Committee directs that remaining advanced procurement funds be executed as proposed in the budget request, and designates the program a congressional special interest item for reprogramming purposes.

#### PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2017	\$1,589,219,000
Budget estimate, 2018	1,376,602,000
Committee recommendation	1.318.602.000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,318,602,000. This is \$58,000,000 below the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

uty. estimate uty. recommendation Oty.	estimate UV. recommendation

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Committee}}$ 

#### [In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
4	General Purpose Bombs Restoring acquisition accountability: Acquisition strategy (GBU-49)	329,501	291,501	- 38,000 - 36,000
15	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover Flares	143,983	123,983	- 2,000 - 20,000 - 20,000

## OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2017	\$17,768,224,000
Budget estimate, 2018	19,603,497,000
Committee recommendation	20,334,550,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$20,334,550,000. This is \$731,053,000 above the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

			2019 budget		Committoo	Change from	from
Line	Item	Otty.	coro pudger estimate	Qty.	recommendation	Qty.	Budget estimate
	OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE						
	VEHICULAR EQUIPMENT						
1	PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLE		15,651		15,651		
2 8 8	CARGO + UTILITY VEHICLES FAMILY MEDIUM TACTICAL VEHICLE CAP VEHICLES TTALES OF VEHICLES		54,607		38,251		$^{-16,356}_{+689}$
5 4			59,398		50,180		-9,218
0 /			14,768		14,768		
∞	MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT ITEMS LESS THAT \$5,000,000		13,561		13,561		
9			3,429 60,075		3,429 60,075		
	TOTAL, VEHICULAR EQUIPMENT		270,954		246,069		- 24,885
11	ELECTRONICS AND TELECOMMUNICATIONS EQUIP COMM SECURITY EQUIPMENT(COMSEC) COMSEC EQUIPMENT		115,000		106,681		-8,319
13 14 15	INTELLIGENCE PROGRAMS INTERNATIONAL INTEL TECH AND ARCHITECTURES INTELLIGENCE TRAINING EQUIPMENT INTELLIGENCE COMM EQUIP		22,335 5,892 34,072		16,335 5,892 34,072		-6,000
16	ELECTRONICS PROGRAMS TRAFFIC CONTROL/LANDING		66,143		18,803		-47,340

	Course from 11 (1910)	[cnupcno	-	-	•		
			2019 budget		Ommittoo	Change from	from
Line	Item	Otty.	estimate	Qty.	recommendation	Qty.	Budget estimate
17	NATIONAL AIRSPACE SYSTEM		12,641		12,641		
28	BATTLE CONTROL SYSTEM—FIXED		6,415		6,415		
13 0	HEALER AIR CONIROL SYS IN		23,233		23,233		
20 21	WEALIFEK UBDEKVALIUN FUKECHOL		40,116 72,810		40,116		
22	CHEYENNE MOLINTAIN COMPLEX		9 864		9.864		
23			15,486		15.486		
25	INTEGRATED STRAT PLAN AND ANALY NETWORK (ISPAN)		9,187		9,187		
	SPECIAL COMM-ELECTRONICS PROJECTS						
26	GENERAL INFORMATION TECHN		51,826		54,626		+2,800
27	AF GLOBAL COMMAND & CONT		3,634		3,634		
28	MOBILITY COMMAND AND CONTROL		10,083		10,083		
29	AIR FORCE PHYSICAL SECURITY SYSTEM		201,866		191,866		-10,000
30	COMBAT TRAINING RANGES		115,198		77,242		-37,956
31	_		292				- 292
32			62,087		62,087		
33	C3 COUNTERMEASURES		37,764		37,764		
34	1 GCSS-AF FOS		2,826		1,426		-1,400
35	DEFENSE ENTE		1,514				-1,514
36	THEATER BATTLE MGT C2 SYS		9,646		9,646		
37	AIR AND SPACE OPERATIONS CTR-WPN SYSTEM		25,533		25,533		
	AIR FORCE COMMUNICATIONS						
40	INFORMATION TRANSPORT SYSTEMS		28,159		28,159		
41			160,820		270,820		+110,000
42			5,135		5,135		
43	USCENTCOM		18,719		18,719		
-			000		200 00		
44	IACITICAL C—E EQUIPMENI		123,206		123,206		
45			3,004		3,004		
40			13,/30		10,700		
4/	CCIV/AUDIOVISUAL EQUIPMENT		5,480		3,480		-2,000
48	I BASE COMM INFRASIRUCIURE		_		130,539		

49	MODIFICATIONS COMM ELECT MODS	70,798	70,798	
	TOTAL, ELECTRONICS AND TELECOMMUNICATIONS EQUIP	1,517,059	1,515,038	-2,021
	OTHER BASE MAINTENANCE AND SUPPORT EQUIP			
51	PERSONAL SAFETY AND RESCUE EQUIP ITEMS LESS THAN \$5,000,000 (SAFETY)	52,964	131,664	+ 78,700
52	DEPOT PLANT + MATERIALS HANDLING EQ MECHANIZED MATERIAL HANDLING	10,381	8,576	-1,805
53 54 55 56	BASE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT BASE PROCURED EQUIPMENT ENGINEERING AND EOD EQUIPMENT MOBILITY EQUIPMENT ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M (BASE SUPPORT)	15,038 26,287 8,470 28,768	7,038 26,287 45,150 28,768	-8,000
58 59 61	SPECIAL SUPPORT PROJECTS DARP RC135 DISTRIBUTED GROUND SYSTEMS SPECIAL UPDATE PROGRAM	25,985 178,423 840,980	25,985 259,723 840,980	+ 81,300
	TOTAL, OTHER BASE MAINTENANCE AND SUPPORT EQUIP	1,187,296	 1,374,171	+ 186,875
72	SPARE AND REPAIR PARTS SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	26,675 16,601,513	17,381 17,181,891	-9,294 + 580,378
	TOTAL, OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE	19,603,497	20,334,550	+ 731,053

142

# The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
2	Family Medium Tactical Vehicle	54,607	38,251	- 16,356
	ments Improving funds management: Priory year carryover			- 6,356 - 10,000
3	Cap Vehicles Program increase	1,011	1,700	+ 689 + 689
5	Security Ānd Tactical Vehicles	59,398	50,180	- 9,218
11	justments	115,000	106,681	- 9,218 - 8,319
13	funded	22,335	16,335	- 8,319 - 6,000
16	Transfer: Air Force-requested to RDAF line 269	66,143	18,803	- 6,000 - 47,340 - 4,038
	Restoring acquisition accountability: D-RAPCON program delay			- 43,302
26	General Information Technology	51,826	54,626	+ 2,800 + 2,800
29	skills training pipeline	201,866	191,866	- 10,000 - 10,000
30	Combat Training Ranges	115,198	77,242	- 37,956 - 2,500
	Restoring acquisition accountability: JTE unit cost adjustments			- 2,300 - 2,456
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Range equipment ahead of need			- 33,000
31	Minimum Essential Emergency Comm N	292		- 292 - 292
34	GCSS-AF FoS	2,826	1,426	- 1,400 - 1.400
35	Defense Enterprise Accounting and Mgmt System	1,514		- 1,514 - 1,514
41	AFNET Program increase: ARAD enterprise software—NIPRnet	160,820	270,820	+ 110,000 + 18,000
	Program increase: ARAD enterprise software—SIPRnet Program increase: AF SIPR Enterprise in support of			+ 8,000
47	MAJCOMS  CCTV/Audiovisual Equipment  Improving funds management: Prior year carryover	5,480	3,480	+ 84,000 - 2,000 - 2,000
51	Items Less Than \$5 Million (Safety) Improving funds management: Prior year carryover Program increase: Battlefield airman combat equip-	52,964	131,664	+ 78,700 - 5,000
	ment—Air National Guard Program increase: Battlefield airman combat equip-			+ 79,400
52	ment—Air Force Reserve Mechanized Material Handling Equip Restoring acquisition accountability: Pricing adjustments	10,381	8,576	+ 4,300 - 1,805 - 1.805
53	Base Procured Equipment	15,038	7,038	-8,000
55	Maintain program affordability: Unjustified PMA growth Mobility Equipment Program increase: Set the theater-base expeditionary	8,470	45,150	- 8,000 + 36,680
59	airfield resources—PACOM	178,423	259,723	+ 36,680 + 81,300
72	Program increase	26,675	17,381	+ 81,300 - 9,294

#### [In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Improving funds management: JTE spares ahead of need Restoring acquisition accountability: D-RAPCON program			- 8,000
999	delay	16.601.513	17.181.891	- 1,294 + 580.378
333	Classified adjustment			+ 580,378

Basic Expeditionary Airfield Resources Energy Efficiency.—The Committee notes that providing adequate living conditions ensures that deployed forces are more combat effective. Therefore, the Committee encourages the Secretary of the Air Force to leverage existing technologies, such as reusable and re-deployable insulation systems, in order to reduce logistics and sustainment costs associated with shelters in the Basic Expeditionary Airfield Resources [BEAR] program.

#### PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE

Appropriations, 2017	\$4,881,022,000
Budget estimate, 2018	4,835,418,000
Committee recommendation	4,866,966,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$4,866,966,000. This is \$31,548,000 above the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

Line	to the same of the						
	וגמוו	Oth.	estimate	Qty.	recommendation	Qty.	Budget estimate
	PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE						
_	MAJOR EQUIPMENT						
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DCAA MAJOR EQUIPMENT ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M		1,475		1,475		
2	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DCMA MAJOR EQUIPMENT		4,347		4,347		
Г.	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DHRA PERSONNEL ADMINISTRATION		14,588		14,588		
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DISA NECENDATION SYSTEMS SECIRITY		24 805		24 805		
. ∞	TELEPORT PROGRAM		46,638		46,638		
	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M		15,541		15,541		
3 =	NEI GENIRIC ENIERPRISE SERVICES (NCES) Defense information systems network		1,161		1,161		
			1,817		1,817		
	WHITE HOUSE COMMUNICATION AGENCY		45,243		45,243		000 006
16	STATION ELECTRONING THE STATION OF T		188,483		168,483 80.974		-200,000 $-20,000$ $-19,809$
19			2,951		2,951		
20 /	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DMACT A—WEAPON SYSTEM COST	8	13,464	8	13,464		
21 / 23	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DODEA AUTOMATION/EDUCATIONAL SUPPORT & LOGISTICS MAJOR EQUIPMENT		1,910		1,910 1,073		
	major equipment, defense threat reduction agency Mehicies		700		200		
792	OTHER MAJOR EQUIPMENT		12,363		12,363		

+ 37,800 + 4 + 111,247 + 120,000 + 120,000 + 50,000 + 50,000					+ 184,238	- 27,955	
256.265 +44 38,738 +44 947	2,938	21,999	9,341	10,529	2,323,642	131,033 13,295 4,892 5,769 87,345 42,178 21,600 229,728 229,728	74 176
		20 2					
34 451,592 34 425,018 38 38,738 38,738 39,739 42,000 1160,330 121	5,938	36,999	9,341	10,529	2,139,404	158,988 13,295 4,892 5,769 87,345 42,178 229,728 179,934	02 606
34		20			2,		
I THARE	ISSP]					INT	
TWARE	curity program (ISSP)		212		IN.	ES AND SUSTAINMENT FEHICLE	
MADUR E CUIPMINI, MDA THAAD SYSTEM AEGIS BMD AEGIS BMD (AP) BMDS AN/TPY—2 RADARS ARROW WEAPON SYSTEM DAVID'S SLING WEAPON SYSTEM AEGIS SLING WEAPON SYSTEM IRON DOME SYSTEM AEGIS BMD HARDWARE AND SOFTWARE	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, NSA INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURI	major equipment, osd Major equipment, osd	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, TJS MAJOR EQUIPMENT, TJS MAJOR EQUIPMENT, TJS-CE2T2	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, WHS MAJOR EQUIPMENT, WHS	total, major equipme	AVIATION PROGRAMS SOF ROTARY WING UPGRADES A UNMANNED ISR UNNANNED ISR NON-STANDARD AVIATION SOF U-28 MH-47 CHINOOK CV-22 SOF MODIFICATION MG-9 UNMANNIED ARRAL VEHIC PRECISION STRIKE PACKAGE MACMC-1301 CL-130 MODIFICATIONS	SHIPBUILDING
MADUR 27 THAAD 28 AEGIS 29 AEGIS 31 ARROW 32 DAVID" 33 AEGIS 34 IRON D	MAJOR 41 INFORM	MAJOR 42 MAJOR	MAJOR 44 MAJOR	45 MAJOR		AVIATION PRO AVIATION PRO 50 UNMANNED II 51 NON-STANDAD 52 SOF U-28 53 MH-47 CHIN 55 CV-22 SOF N 57 MC-9 UNMAN 58 PRECISION S 60 ACMC-1301 61 C-130 MOINI	

			2010 budget		oo#immoO	Change from	from
Line	Rem	Otty.	estimate	Qty.	recommendation	Oty.	Budget estimate
63	AMMUNITION PROGRAMS SOF ORDINANCE ITEMS UNDER \$5,000,000		112,331		112,331		
64	OTHER PROCUREMENT PROGR SOF INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS DOGS-SOF		82,538		82,538		
99			54,592		49,498		-5,094
89	, 0, ,		16,053		16,053		
69			63,304 252,070		63,304 254,770		+2,700
77	COMBAT MISSION REQUIREM		19,570		19,570		
73			17,953		17,953		
75	SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCE		241,429		258,954		+17,525
	TOTAL, SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND		1,762,197		1,715,866		- 46,331
76	CHEMICAL/BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE CHEMICAL BIOLOGICAL SITUATIONAL AWARENESS CB PROTECTION AND HAZARD MITIGATION		135,031 141,027		119,470 127,429		-15,561 $-13,598$
	TOTAL, CHEMICAL/BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE		276,058 657,759		246,899 580,559		-29,159 $-77,200$
	TOTAL, PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE		4,835,418		4,866,966		+ 31,548

[Dollars in thousands]

147

# COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

# The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
14	Senior Leadership Enterprise	294,139	94,139	- 200,000 - 200,000
16	Joint Regional Security Stacks (JRSS)  Improving funds management: Break and Inspect pre- viously funded	188,483	168,483	- 20,000 - 20.000
17	Joint Service Provider	100,783	80,974	- 20,000 - 19,809 - 19.809
27	PRMRF capital equipment THAADProgram increase: THAAD/Patriot JEON Phase I-III	451,592	489,392	+ 37,800 + 37,800 + 37,800
28	Aegis BMDTransfer: SM-3 IIA interceptors-transfer from RDDW	425,018	536,265	+ 111,247
	for AUR full funding Program increase: Fully fund 4 SM-3 Block IIA AUR			+ 41,247 + 70,000
31	Arrow Weapon SystemProgram increase: Arrow 3 Weapon System Co-produc-		120,000	+ 120,000
32	tion  David's Sling Weapon System  Program increase: David's Sling Weapon System Co-		120,000	+ 120,000 + 120,000
34	production	42,000	92,000	+ 120,000 + 50,000
42	production	36,999	21,999	+ 50,000 - 15,000
49	Improving funds management: Forward financing Rotary Wing Upgrades and Sustainment Transfer: SOCOM requested transfer to RDDW line 251	158,988	131,033	- 15,000 - 27,955 - 7,500
	Transfer: SOCOM requested transfer to RDDW line 251 Restoring acquisition accountability: Degraded visual			-6,000
	environment acquisition strategy Restoring acquisition accountability: A/MH–6 block 3.0 upgrade-support costs ahead of need			- 5,700 - 8,755
60	AC/MC-130J	179,934	151,754	- 28,180
61	countermeasures schedule adjustment C—130 Modifications Improving funds management: RAMS ahead of need	28,059	23,662	- 28,180 - 4,397 - 4,397
62	Underwater Systems Transfer: SOCOM requested transfer to RDDW line 258	92,606	74,176	- 18,430 - 12,800
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Shallow water combat submersible schedule adjustments			- 5,630
66	Other Items <\$5M Improving funds management: Collateral equipment ahead of need	54,592	49,498	- 5,094 - 5.094
67	Combatant Craft Systems Program increase: Maritime systems	23,272	40,772	+ 17,500 + 17,500
70	Warrior Systems <\$5M Program increase: Weapons optics	252,070	254,770	+ 2,700 + 2,700
75	Operational EnhancementsProgram increase: Joint Task Force Platform Expan-	241,429	258,954	+ 17,525
	sion—outfit OCONUS facility w/ C41 infrastructure Program increase: Data storage and bulk data scrap-			+ 15,900
76	ing servers for PAI data Chemical Biological Situational Awareness Restoring acquisition accountability: Program adjust-	135,031	119,470	+ 1,625 - 15,561
	ments-CBRN DRS Improving funds management: Prior year carryover-			- 13,361
77	CALS fielding support CB Protection & Hazard Mitigation	141,027	127,429	- 2,200 - 13,598

148

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Contract delays- GPD			- 3,892 - 9,706
999	Classified Programs	657,759	580,559	- 77,200 - 77,200

# DEFENSE PRODUCTION ACT PURCHASES

Appropriations, 2017	\$64,065,000
Budget estimate, 2018	37,401,000
Committee recommendation	37,401,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$37,401,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

			2019 hudget		Committon	Change from	from
Line	Item	Otty.	estimate estimate	Qty.	recommendation	Qty.	Budget estimate
	DEFENSE PRODUCTION ACT PURCHASES						
_	DEFENSE PRODUCTION ACT PURCHASES		37,401		37,401	37,401	
	TOTAL, DEFENSE PRODUCTION ACT PURCHASES		37,401		37,401		

[Dollars in thousands]

### TITLE IV

### RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION

Funds appropriated under this title provide the resources required to conduct a program of research, development, test and evaluation, including research in basic science, applied research, advanced technology development, demonstration and validation, engineering and manufacturing development, and operational systems development.

The President's fiscal year 2018 budget requests a total of \$82,691,636,000 for research, development, test and evaluation appropriations.

### SUMMARY OF COMMITTEE ACTION

The Committee recommends research, development, test and evaluation appropriations totaling \$85,967,322,000 for fiscal year 2018. This is \$3,275,686,000 above the budget estimate.

Committee recommended research, development, test and evaluation appropriations for fiscal year 2018 are summarized below:

SUMMARY OF RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION APPROPRIATIONS
[In thousands of dollars]

Account	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation: Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Air Force Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense	9,425,440 17,650,035 34,914,359 20,490,902 210,900	9,860,343 17,628,000 36,587,419 21,680,660 210,900	+ 434,903 - 22,035 + 1,673,060 + 1,189,758
Total	82,691,636	85,967,322	+ 3,275,686

## REPROGRAMMING GUIDANCE FOR ACQUISITION ACCOUNTS

The Secretary of Defense is directed to continue to follow the reprogramming guidance as specified in the report accompanying the House version of the Department of Defense appropriations bill for fiscal year 2008 (House Report 110–279). Specifically, the dollar threshold for reprogramming funds will remain at \$20,000,000 for procurement and \$10,000,000 for research, development, test and evaluation.

Also, the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) is directed to continue to provide the congressional defense committees quarterly, spreadsheet-based DD Form 1416 reports for service and defensewide accounts in titles III and IV of this act. Reports for titles III and IV shall comply with guidance specified in the explanatory statement accompanying the Department of Defense Appropria-

tions Act for Fiscal Year 2006. The Department shall continue to follow the limitation that prior approval reprogrammings are set at either the specified dollar threshold or 20 percent of the procurement or research, development, test and evaluation line, whichever is less. These thresholds are cumulative from the base for reprogramming value as modified by any adjustments. Therefore, if the combined value of transfers into or out of a procurement (P–1), or a research, development, test and evaluation (R–1) line exceeds the identified threshold, the Secretary of Defense must submit a prior approval reprogramming to the congressional defense committees. In addition, guidelines on the application of prior approval reprogramming procedures for congressional special interest items are established elsewhere in this report.

### RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION OVERVIEW

Department of Defense Laboratory Alternative Governance Assessment Pilot Program.—The Committee encourages the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Research, Development and Engineering to conduct a study evaluating alternative governance models for Department of Defense laboratories. This review should build upon previous work and may result in a pilot program that permits the laboratories selected to implement new management approaches and governance methods that improve autonomy, decision-making and technology transfer opportunities.

### RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, ARMY

Appropriations, 2017	\$8,332,965,000
Budget estimate, 2018	9,425,440,000
Committee recommendation	9,860,343,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$9,860,343,000. This is \$434,903,000 above the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

	RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, ARMY BASIC RESEARCH			,
	BASIC RESEARCH			
2 3	IN-HOUSE LABORATORY INDEPENDENT RESEARCH	12,010 263,590 67,027 87,395	12,010 273,590 67,027 102,395	+ 10,000
	TOTAL, BASIC RESEARCH	430,022	455,022	+ 25,000
5 6	APPLIED RESEARCH MATERIALS TECHNOLOGY SENSORS AND ELECTRONIC SURVIVABILITY TRACTOR HIP	29,640 35,730 8.627	58,640 81,230 8.627	+ 29,000 + 45,500
8	AVIATION TECHNOLOGY	66,086	73,586	+ 7,500
10	ELECTRONIC WARFARE TECHNOLOGY	27,144 43,742 22,785	34,144 53,742 27.785	+7,000 +10,000 +5.000

152

Line	Item	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
12	ADVANCED CONCEPTS AND SIMULATION	28,650	28,650	
13	COMBAT VEHICLE AND AUTOMOTIVE TECHNOLOGY	67,232	77,232	+ 10.00
14	BALLISTICS TECHNOLOGY	85,309	85,309	
15	CHEMICAL, SMOKE AND EQUIPMENT DEFEATING TECHNOLOGY	4,004	4,004	
16	JOINT SERVICE SMALL ARMS PROGRAM	5,615	5,615	
17	WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS TECHNOLOGY	41,455	76,455	+ 35,00
18	ELECTRONICS AND ELECTRONIC DEVICES	58,352	84,352	+ 26,00
19	NIGHT VISION TECHNOLOGY	34,723	34,723	
20	COUNTERMINE SYSTEMS	26,190	26,190	
21	HUMAN FACTORS ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY	24,127	24,127	
22	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY	21,678	34,678	+ 13.00
23	COMMAND, CONTROL, COMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGY	33,123	33,123	
24	COMPUTER AND SOFTWARE TECHNOLOGY	14,041	14,041	
25	MILITARY ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY	67,720	104,920	+ 37,20
26	MANPOWER/PERSONNEL/TRAINING TECHNOLOGY	20,216	20,216	
27	WARFIGHTER TECHNOLOGY	39,559	44,559	+ 5,00
28	MEDICAL TECHNOLOGY	83,434	83,434	
	<u> </u>		,	
	TOTAL, APPLIED RESEARCH	889,182	1,119,382	+ 230,20
29	ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT WARFIGHTER ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	44.000	53.363	+ 8.50
		44,863	,	
30	MEDICAL ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	67,780	75,780	+ 8,00
31	AVIATION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	160,746	165,746	+ 5,00
32	WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	84,079	107,079	+ 23,00
33	COMBAT VEHICLE AND AUTOMOTIVE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	125,537	150,537	+ 25,00
34 35	SPACE APPLICATION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY MANPOWER, PERSONNEL AND TRAINING ADVANCED TECH-	12,231	39,731	+ 27,50
	NOLOGY	6,466	6,466	
36	TRACTOR HIKE	28,552	28,552	
37	NEXT GENERATION TRAINING & SIMULATION SYSTEMS	16,434	16,434	
39	COMBATING TERRORISM, TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	26,903	43,903	+ 17,00
40	TRACTOR NAIL	4,880	4,880	
41	TRACTOR EGGS	4,326	4,326	
42	ELECTRONIC WARFARE TECHNOLOGY	31,296	34,296	+ 3,00
43	MISSILE AND ROCKET ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	62,850	117,850	+ 55,00
44	TRACTOR CAGE	12,323	12,323	
45	HIGH PERFORMANCE COMPUTING MODERNIZATION PROGRAM	182,331	221,331	+ 39,00
46	LANDMINE WARFARE AND BARRIER ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	17,948	18,948	+ 1,00
47	JOINT SERVICE SMALL ARMS PROGRAM	5,796	5,796	
48	NIGHT VISION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	47,135	47,135	
49	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATIONS	10,421	29,421	+ 19,00
50	MILITARY ENGINEERING ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	32,448	94,648	+ 62,20
51	ADVANCED TACTICAL COMPUTER SCIENCE & SENSOR TECH-	,	,	,
52	NOLOGYCOMMUNICATIONS ADVANCED TECH-	52,206	52,206	
02	NOLOGY	33,426	33,426	
	TOTAL, ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	1,070,977	1,364,177	+ 293,20
	DEMONSTRATION & VALIDATION			
53	ARMY MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEMS INTEGRATION	9,634	23,634	+ 14,00
55	AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEMS ENGINEERING	33,949	35,949	+ 2,00
56	LANDMINE WARFARE AND BARRIER—ADV DEV	72,909	72,909	
57	SMOKE, OBSCURANT AND TARGET DEFEATING SYS-ADV DEV	7,135	7,135	
58	TANK AND MEDIUM CALIBER AMMUNITION	41,452	41,902	+ 45
59	ARMORED SYSTEM MODERNIZATION—ADV DEV	32,739	42,739	+ 10,00
60	SOLDIER SUPPORT AND SURVIVABILITY	10,157	10,157	
61	TACTICAL ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM—AD	27,733	27,733	
62	NIGHT VISION SYSTEMS ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT	12,347	10,947	- 1,40
63	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY	10,456	10,456	1,40
64	NATO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	2,588	2,588	
65	AVIATION—ADV DEV	14,055	10,055	- 4.00
υJ	Logistics and engineer equipment—adv dev	35,333	30,833	- 4,00 - 4.50
66				

153

		2018 budget	Committee	Change from
Line	ltem	estimate 2018	recommendation	budget estimate
68	SOLDIER SYSTEMS—ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT	20,239	40,239	+ 20,00
69	ROBOTICS DEVELOPMENT	39,608	39,608	
70	ANALYSIS OF ALTERNATIVES	9,921	9,921	
71	LOWER TIER AIR MISSILE DEFENSE (LTAMID) SENSOR	76,728	59,828	-16,90
72	TECHNOLOGY MATURATION INITIATIVES	115,221	150,221	+35,00
73	MANEUVER—SHORT RANGE AIR DEFENSE (M-SHORAD)	20,000		-20,00
74	TRACTOR BEAM	10,400	10,400	
75 76	ASSURED POSITIONING, NAVIGATION AND TIMING (PNT)SYNTHETIC TRAINING ENVIRONMENT REFINEMENT AND PROTO-	164,967	134,967	- 30,00
77	TYPING	1,600	1,600	
77	INDIRECT FIRE PROTECTION CAPABILITY INCREMENT 2-INTERC	11,303	11,303	
78	CYBERSPACE OPERATIONS FORCES AND FORCE SUPPORT	56,492	56,492	
79	ARMY SPACE SYSTEMS INTEGRATION	20,432	20,432	
	TOTAL, DEMONSTRATION & VALIDATION	890,889	897,539	+ 6,65
80	ENGINEERING & MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT AIRCRAFT AVIONICS	30,153	30,153	
81	ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT	71,671	71,671	
83	MID-TIER NETWORKING VEHICULAR RADIO	10,589	71,071	- 10,58
84	ALL SOURCE ANALYSIS SYSTEM	4,774	4,774	10,50
85	TRACTOR CAGE	17,252	30,252	+ 13.00
86	INFANTRY SUPPORT WEAPONS	87.643	91,992	+ 4,34
87	MEDIUM TACTICAL VEHICLES	6.039	6,039	1 7,07
88	JAVELIN	21,095	21,095	
89	FAMILY OF HEAVY TACTICAL VEHICLES	10,507	10,507	
90	AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL	3,536	3,536	
92	LIGHT TACTICAL WHEELED VEHICLES	7,000	7,000	
93	ARMORED SYSTEMS MODERNIZATION (ASM)—ENG DEV	36,242	36,242	
94	NIGHT VISION SYSTEMS—SDD	108,504	126,004	+ 17,50
95	COMBAT FEEDING, CLOTHING, AND EQUIPMENT	3,702	8,702	+ 5,00
96	NON-SYSTEM TRAINING DEVICES—SDD	43,575	53,575	+ 10,00
97	AIR DEFENSE COMMAND. CONTROL AND INTELLIGENCE -SDD	28,726	36,726	+ 8,00
98	CONSTRUCTIVE SIMULATION SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	18,562	18,562	1 0,00
99	AUTOMATIC TEST EQUIPMENT DEVELOPMENT	8,344	8,344	
100	DISTRIBUTIVE INTERACTIVE SIMULATIONS (DIS)—SDD	11,270	11,270	
101	BRILLIANT ANTI-ARMOR SUBMUNITION (BAT)	10,000	10,000	
102	COMBINED ARMS TACTICAL TRAINER (CATT) CORE	18,566	18,566	
103	BRIGADE ANALYSIS, INTEGRATION AND EVALUATION	145,360	145,360	
104	WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS—SDD	145,232	149,410	+ 4,17
105	LOGISTICS AND ENGINEER EQUIPMENT—SDD	90,965	91,023	+ 5
106	COMMAND, CONTROL, COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS—SDD	9,910	9,910	
107	MEDICAL MATERIEL/MEDICAL BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE EQUIPMENT	39,238	39,238	
108 109	LANDMINE WARFARF/BARRIER—SDD	34,684	25,884	- 8,80
103	WARE	164,409	162,909	- 1,50
110	RADAR DEVELOPMENT	32,968	32,968	- 1,30
111	GENERAL FUND ENTERPRISE BUSINESS SYSTEM [GFEBS]	49,554	49,554	
112	FIREFINDER	45,605	45,605	
113	SOLDIER SYSTEMS—WARRIOR DEM/VAL	16,127	16,127	
114 115	SUITE OF SURVIVABILITY ENHANCEMENT SYSTEMS -EMD ARTILLERY SYSTEMS	98,600 1,972	92,900 3,972	- 5,70 + 2,00
116	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	· '	74,576	+ 2,00 - 7,20
	INTEGRATED PERSONNEL AND PAY SYSTEM-ARMY (IPPS-A)	81,776		
117 118	ARMORED MULTI-PURPOSE VEHICLE	172,361 199,778	189,744 191,778	+ 17,38 - 8,00
119	INTEGRATED GROUND SECURITY SURVEILLANCE RESPONSE CA-			,
100	PABILITY (IGSSR—C)	4,418	4,418	
120	JOINT TACTICAL NETWORK CENTER (JTNC)	15,877	15,877	
121	JOINT TACTICAL NETWORK (JTN)	44,150	44,150	
122 123	TRACTOR TIREGROUND-BASED OPERATIONAL SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM-	34,670	113,570	+ 78,90
	EXPENDITIONARY (GBOSS-E)	5,207	5,207	
124	TACTICAL SECURITY SYSTEM (TSS)	4,727	4,727	
125		105,778		- 26,40

154

	[In thousands of dollars]			
Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
126	COMBATING WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION (CWMD)	6,927	6,927	
127	EVIDENCE COLLECTION AND DETAINEE PROCESSING	214	214	
128	NUCLEAR BIOLOGICAL CHEMICAL RECONNAISSANCE VEHICLE	16,125	16,125	
129	DEFENSIVE CYBER TOOL DEVELOPMENT	55,165	38,165	- 17,000
130	TACTICAL NETWORK RADIO SYSTEMS (LOW-TIER)	20,076	11,337	- 8,739
131	CONTRACT WRITING SYSTEM	20,322	20,322	
132	MISSILE WARNING SYSTEM MODERNIZATION (MWSM)	55,810	13,000	<b>-42,810</b>
133	AIRCRAFT SURVIVABILITY DEVELOPMENT	30,879	30,879	21.000
134 135	INDIRECT FIRE PROTECTION CAPABILITY INC 2—BLOCK 1  GROUND ROBOTICS	175,069 70,760	143,169 59,730	- 31,900 - 11,030
137	AMF JOINT TACTICAL RADIO SYSSTEM	8.965	18,965	+ 10,000
138	JOINT AIR-TO-GROUND MISSILE [JAGM]	34,626	19,726	- 14,900 - 14,900
140	ARMY INTEGRATED AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE [AIAMD]	336,420	330,420	- 6.000
143	NATIONAL CAPABILITIES INTEGRATION	6.882	9.382	+ 2,500
144	JOINT LIGHT TACTICAL VEHICLE ENG AND MANUFACTURING	23,467	23,467	
145	AVIATION GROUND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	6,930	6,930	
146	PALADIN INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT [PIM]	6,112	6,112	
147	TROJAN—RH12	4,431	4,431	
150	ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT	14,616	14,616	
151	TRACTOR BEARS	17,928	17,928	
	TOTAL, ENGINEERING & MANUFACTURING DEVELOP-			
	MENT	3,012,840	2,985,140	- 27,700
152	RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT THREAT SIMULATOR DEVELOPMENT	22,862	31.862	+ 9,000
153	TARGET SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	13,902	13,902	1 3,000
154	MAJOR T&E INVESTMENT	102,901	114,901	+ 12.000
155	RAND ARROYO CENTER	20,140	20,140	1 12,000
156	ARMY KWAJALEIN ATOLL	246,663	246,663	
157	CONCEPTS EXPERIMENTATION PROGRAM	29,820	29,820	
159	ARMY TEST RANGES AND FACILITIES	307,588	317,588	+ 10,000
160	ARMY TECHNICAL TEST INSTRUMENTATION AND TARGETS	49,242	49,242	
161	SURVIVABILITY/LETHALITY ANALYSIS	41,843	41,843	
162	AIRCRAFT CERTIFICATION	4,804	4,804	
163	METEOROLOGICAL SUPPORT TO RDT&E ACTIVITIES	7,238	7,238	
164	MATERIEL SYSTEMS ANALYSIS	21,890	21,890	
165	EXPLOITATION OF FOREIGN ITEMS	12,684	12,684	
166	SUPPORT OF OPERATIONAL TESTING	51,040	51,040	
167 168	ARMY EVALUATION CENTERARMY MODELING AND SIMULATION X—CMD COLLABORATION	56,246	56,246	
100	AND INTEG	1,829	1,829	
169	PROGRAMWIDE ACTIVITIES	55,060	55,060	
170	TECHNICAL INFORMATION ACTIVITIES	33,934	37,934	+ 4,000
171	MUNITIONS STANDARDIZATION, EFFECTIVENESS AND SAFETY	43,444	57,444	+ 14,000
172	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY MGMT SUPPORT	5.087	5,087	
173	MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS (RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT)	54,679	54,679	
174	MILITARY GROUND-BASED CREW TECHNOLOGY	7,916	7,916	
175	RONALD REAGAN BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TEST SITE	61,254	61,254	
176	DEFENSE MILITARY DECEPTION INITIATIVE	1,779	1,779	
	TOTAL, RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT	1,253,845	1,302,845	+ 49,000
	OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT			
178	MLRS PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	8,929	8,929	
179	TRACTOR PULL	4,014	4,014	
180	ANTI-TAMPER TECHNOLOGY SUPPORT	4,094	4,094	
181	WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS	15,738	15,738	
182	TRACTOR SMOKE	4,513	4,513	14.000
183	LONG RANGE PRECISION FIRES (LRPF)	102,014	88,014	- 14,000
184	APACHE PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	59,977	57,877	-2,100
185	BLACKHAWK RECAP/MODERNIZATION CHINOOK HELICOPTER PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	34,416	34,416	24.250
186 187	FIXED WING AIRCRAFT	194,567	170,317	- 24,250
188		9,981 204,304	9,981 147.504	— 56,800
100	IIII NOTED TONDINE ENGINE I NOGNAMI	204,304	147,304	- 50,000

155

Line	Item	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
189	EMERGING TECHNOLOGIES FROM NIE	1,023	1,023	
190	LOGISTICS AUTOMATION	1,504	1,023	- 1,504
191	AVIATION ROCKET SYSTEM PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT AND DE-	1,304		1,304
131	VELOPMENT	10,064	10.064	
192	UNMANNED AIRCRAFT SYSTEM UNIVERSAL PRODUCTS	38,463	38,463	
193	FAMILY OF BIOMETRICS	6,159		- 6.159
194	PATRIOT PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT	90.217	78,867	-11,350
195	AEROSTAT JOINT PROJECT OFFICE	6,749		- 6,749
196	JOINT AUTOMATED DEEP OPERATION COORDINATION SYSTEM	33,520	33,520	
197	COMBAT VEHICLE IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS	343,175	321,175	- 22,000
198	MANEUVER CONTROL SYSTEM	6,639	6,639	
198	155MM SELF-PROPELLED HOWITZER IMPROVEMENTS	40,784	40,784	
200	AIRCRAFT MODIFICATIONS/PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS	39,358	39,358	
201	AIRCRAFT ENGINE COMPONENT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	145	145	
202	DIGITIZATION	4,803	4,803	
203	MISSILE/AIR DEFENSE PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	2,723	28,723	+ 26,000
204	OTHER MISSILE PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS	5,000	5,000	
205	TRACTOR CARD	37,883	37,883	
207	MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT	1,582	1,582	
208	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY—OPERATIONAL SYSTEM	195	195	
209	LOWER TIER AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE [AMD] SYSTEM	78,926	72,426	- 6,500
210	GUIDED MULTIPLE-LAUNCH ROCKET SYSTEM [GMLRS]	102,807	97,807	- 5,000
213	SECURITY AND INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES	13,807	35,652	+ 21,845
214	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM	132,438	106,438	- 26,000 - 17.383
215 217	GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM WWMCCS/GLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM	64,370	46,987	,
217	COMBINED ADVANCED APPLICATIONS	10,475	10,475 1.100	
222	TACTICAL UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES	1,100 9.433	9.433	
223	AIRBORNE RECONNAISSANCE SYSTEMS	5.080	5.080	
223	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS	24.700	24.700	
225	MQ-1 SKY WARRIOR A UAV (MQ-1C GRAY EAGLE UAS)	9.574	24,700	- 9.574
226	RQ-11 UAV	2.191	2.191	- 5,574
227	RQ-7 UAV	12.773	12.773	
228	BIOMETRICS ENABLED INTELLIGENCE	2,537	2,537	
229	WIN-T INCREMENT 2—INITIAL NETWORKING	4,723	2,337	- 4,723
230	END ITEM INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS ACTIVITIES	60,877	85,677	+ 24,800
231	SATCOM GROUND ENVIRONMENT (SPACE)	11.959	11.959	1 21,000
232	JOINT TACTICAL GROUND SYSTEM	10.228	10.228	
202		-, -	- ' '	
	TOTAL, OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	1,040,285	1,021,750	- 18,535
9999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	7,154	7,154	
	TOTAL, RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, ARMY	9,425,440	9,860,343	+ 434,903

# COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

# The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee: $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Committee}}$

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
2	Defense Research Sciences	263,590	273,590	+10,000
	human dimension			+10,000
4	University and Industry Research Centers	87,395	102,395	+ 15,000
	Basic research program increase			+ 15,000
5	Materials Technology	29,640	58,640	+ 29,000
	Program increase			+ 24,000
	Program increase: High end materials for military			
	applications			+ 5,000
6	Sensors and Electronic Survivability	35,730	81,230	+ 45,500

156

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Program increase: Advanced space data exploitation			
	and integration program			+7,50
	Program increase: Agile manufacturing materials			
	processing			+ 23,00
	Program increase: Tactical space—small satellite technology development			+ 15,00
8	Aviation Technology	66,086	73,586	+ 15,00 + 7,50
U	Program increase		70,000	+ 2,50
	Program increase: Aviation and missile technology			,
	transfer and innovation			+5,00
9	Electronic Warfare Technology	27,144	34,144	+7,00
10	Program increase		F0.740	+ 7,00
10	Missile Technology	43,742	53,742	+ 10,00
	Program increase: Composites research—air vehicle development and sustainment			+ 10,00
11	Advanced Weapons Technology	22,785	27,785	+ 5,00
	Program increase: Army aerophysics research			+ 5,00
13	Combat Vehicle and Automotive Technology	67,232	77,232	+ 10,00
	Program increase			+ 10,00
17	Weapons and Munitions Technology	41,455	76,455	+ 35,00
	Program increase: Composite barrel technology			+ 10,00
10	Program increase: Railgun weapon technology			+ 25,00
18	Electronics and Electronic Devices	58,352	84,352	+ 26,00
	Program increase: Protective and anti-tamper tech- nologies for electronic attack			+ 10,00
	Program increase: Silicon carbide electronics re-			1 10,00
	search			+ 16,00
22	Environmental Quality Technology	21,678	34,678	+ 13,00
	Program increase: Coatings technology			+ 3,00
	Program increase: Environmental containment sen-			
	sors			+ 6,00
0.5	Program increase: UAS for UXO detection		104.000	+ 4,00
25	Military Engineering Technology	67,720	104,920	+ 37,20
	Program increase: Advanced blast load simulator Program increase: Construction materials			+ 4,50 + 7,00
	Program increase: Engineered resilient systems			+ 10,00
	Program increase: Lightweight high performance			. 10,00
	materials			+10,00
	Program increase: M1 Abrams tank track system			+1,60
	Program increase: Smart runway program			+2,10
	Program increase: Bio-inspired functionally graded			
07	composites for hazard mitigation	20.550	44.550	+ 2,00
27	Warfighter Technology	39,559	44,559	+ 5,00
	Program increase: Expeditionary mobile base camp technology			+ 5,00
29	Warfighter Advanced Technology	44.863	53,363	+ 8,50
	Program increase: Maneuver support			+ 6,00
	Program increase: Non-centroidal helmets for			.,
	warfighters			+2,50
30	Medical Advanced Technology	67,780	75,780	+ 8,00
	Program increase: Peer-reviewed military burn re-			
0.1	search program	100.740	105 740	+ 8,00
31	Aviation Advanced Technology	160,746	165,746	+ 5,00
32	Program increase: Rotary wing development	84.079	107,079	+ 5,00 + 23,00
JZ	Program increase: High energy laser research	04,079	107,075	+ 25,00
	Program increase: High energy laser rotorcraft inte-			1 10,00
	gration			+ 8,00
33	Combat Vehicle and Automotive Advanced Technology	125,537	150,537	+ 25,00
	Program increase			+ 5,00
	Program increase: Advanced materials development			+10,00
	Program increase: Combat vehicle weight reduction			
2.4	initiative	10.001	20.721	+ 10,00
34	Space Application Advanced Technology	12,231 l	39,731 l	+27,50

157

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Program increase: Tactical small launch			+ 20,000
20	Program increase: Global communications research		40.000	+ 7,500
39	Combating Terrorism—Technology Development	26,903	43,903	+ 17,000
	Program increase: Lightweight, low-power radar sys-			
	tems  Program increase: Long endurance UAV research			+ 6,00 + 8.00
	Program increase: Long endurance GAV research			+ 3,00
42	Electronic Warfare Technology	31,296	34,296	+ 3,00
42	Program increase: PACOM multi-domain battle exer-	31,230	34,230	+ 3,00
	cise capabilities			+ 3,00
43	Missile and Rocket Advanced Technology	62,850	117,850	+ 55,00
	Program increase			+ 45,00
	Program increase: Land-based anti-ship missile—			.,
	development and integration			+10,00
45	High Performance Computing Modernization Program	182,331	221,331	+ 39,00
	Program increase			+39,00
46	Landmine Warfare and Barrier Advanced Technology	17,948	18,948	+1,00
	Program increase: Explosive hazard detection re-			
	search			+1,00
49	Environmental Quality Technology Demonstrations	10,421	29,421	+19,00
	Program increase: Autonomous transport innovation			+ 5,00
	Program increase: Depleted uranium cleanup			+ 4,00
	Program increase: Rapid safe carbon nanotechnology			10.00
	research		04.040	+ 10,00
50	Military Engineering Advanced Technology	32,448	94,648	+ 62,20
	Program increase			+7,00
	Program increase: Additive manufacturing/3–D print-			. 0.00
	ing			+ 2,00
	Program increase: Advanced polymer development			+ 5,00
	Program increase: Bathymetric-topographic LiDAR re-			
	search Program increase: Demonstration of ultra-high effi-			+ 8,00
	ciency natural gas technologies			+4,00
	Program increase: Emerging natural gas tech-			1 4,00
	nologies			+10,00
	Program increase: Energy efficient window insulation			. 10,00
	research			+5.00
	Program increase: Heavy vehicle simulator research			+ 8,20
	Program increase: Inferential sensing on tactical			
	wheeled vehicles			+5,00
	Program increase: Reliable distributed generation in			
	austere environments			+3,00
	Program increase: Sensor protection from under-			
	ground threats			+5,00
53	Army Missile Defense Systems Integration	9,634	23,634	+14,00
	Program increase: Integrated environmental control			
	and power			+ 14,00
55	Air and Missile Defense Systems Engineering	33,949	35,949	+ 2,00
	Program increase: Interoperability of integrated air			15.00
	and missile defense			+15,00
	Restoring acquisition accountability—Lack of di-			10.00
го	rected requirement	41 450	41.000	- 13,00
58	Tank and Medium Caliber Ammunition	41,452	41,902	+ 45
	Program increase			+ 8,00
	Program increase: Next generation of expendable			1 2 45
	Countermeasures			+ 2,45
	Restoring acquisition accountability: EU1 Enhanced			10.00
59	Lethality Cannon Munitions funding duplication Armored System Modernization—Adv Dev	32 720	42,739	-10,00 + 10,00
39	Program increase	32,739	42,739	+ 10,00 + 10,00
62	Night Vision Systems Advanced Development	12,347	10,947	+ 10,00 - 1,40
UZ	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover	12,347	10,547	- 1,40 - 1,40
65	Aviation—Adv Dev	14,055	10,055	- 1,40 - 4,00
00		17,000		- 4,00 - 4,00

158

[In thousands of dollars]					
Line	Item	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate	
66	Logistics and Engineer Equipment—Adv Dev	35,333	30,833	- 4,500	
67	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover  Medical Systems—Adv Dev	33,491	35,491	- 4,500 + 2,000	
68	Program increase: Body armor	20,239	40,239	+ 2,000 + 20,000	
71	Program increase: Next generation squad weapon Lower Tier Air Missile Defense [LTAMD] Sensor	76,728	59,828	+ 20,000 - 16,900	
72	Restoring acquisition accountability: Contract delay Technology Maturation Initiatives Program increase: Multi-mission high energy laser	115,221	150,221	- 16,900 + 35,000	
73	research	20,000		+ 35,000 - 20,000	
75	viously funded	164,967	134,967	- 20,000 - 30,000	
83	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover Mid-tier Networking Vehicular Radio [MNVR]	10,589		- 30,000 - 10,589	
85	Program termination: Network modernization strategy TRACTOR CAGE	17,252	30,252	- 10,589 + 13,000	
86	Program increase: Classified cyber capabilities Infantry Support Weapons	87,643	91,992	+ 13,000 + 4,349	
	Program increase Program increase: Squad designated marksman rifle Improving funds management: EW4 prior year carry-			+ 6,000 + 2,949	
94	over	108,504	126,004	- 4,600 + 17,500	
95	weapon sights	3,702	8,702	+ 17,500 + 5,000	
96	Program increase	43,575	53,575	+ 5,000 + 10,000	
97	tegrated air defense systemAir Defense Command, Control and Intelligence—Eng Dev	28,726	36,726	+ 10,000 + 8,000	
104	Program increase: All digital radar	145,232	149,410	+ 8,000 + 4,178	
105	door breaching cartridge Logistics and Engineer Equipment—Eng Dev Program increase: Improved camouflage net system	90,965	91,023	+ 4,178 + 58 + 2,000	
108	Restoring acquisition accountability: Engine driven generators schedule delay	34,684	25,884	- 1,942 - 8,800	
	Restoring acquisition accountability: DLBS test fund- ing ahead of need			- 1,000	
109	Restoring acquisition accountability: RCIS schedule delay	164,409	162,909	- 7,800 - 1,500	
	Improving funds management: TNOM funding ahead of need			- 4,500	
	ahead of need			- 10,000	
	over			- 12,000	
114	line 102 Suite of Survivability Enhancement Systems—EMD Program increase: Additional APS funding	98,600	92,900	+ 25,000 - 5,700 + 25,000	
	Improving funds management: VPS/MAPS funding ahead of need			- 3,700	
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Bradley test funding ahead of need			— 20,000	

159

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Stryker test funding ahead of need			-7,00
115	Artillery Systems—EMD Program increase: Integrated tube #3 demonstrator,	1,972	3,972	+ 2,00
116	blast over pressure testing	81,776	74,576	+ 2,00 - 7,20
	management growth			- 2,00 - 5,20
117	Integrated Personnel and Pay System-Army [IPPS—A] Army-requested transfer from line 215	172,361	189,744	+ 17,38 + 17,38
118	Armored Multi-Purpose Vehicle [AMPV]	199,778	191,778	- 8,00 - 8.00
122	Improving funds management: Excess EMD funding TRACTOR TIRE	34,670	113,570	+ 78,90
125	Program increase: Classified cyber capabilities  Common Infrared Countermeasures [CIRCM]  Improving funds management: Program of record	105,778	79,378	+ 78,90 - 26,40
129	prior year carryover  Defensive CYBER Tool Development Improving funds management: Prior year carryover	55,165	38,165	- 26,40 - 17,00 - 17,00
130	Tactical Network Radio Systems (Low-Tier) Restoring acquisition accountability: Manpack test delay	20,076	11,337	- 8,73 - 8,73
132	Missile Warning System Modernization [MWSM] Restoring acquisition accountability: Funding early to	55,810	13,000	<b>-42,81</b>
134	need	175,069	143,169	- 42,81 - 31,90
135	delay	70,760	59,730	- 31,90 - 11,03
137	delay  AMF Joint Tactical Radio System [JTRS]  Transfer funding for network modernization strategy:	8,965	18,965	- 11,03 + 10,00
138	Army-requested from OPA line 102	34,626	19,726	+ 10,00 - 14,90
140	test delay	336,420	330,420	- 14,90 - 6,00 + 15,00
	Program increase: Rapid integration for emerging threats			+ 25,00
143	due to program restructure	6,882	9,382	- 46,00 + 2,50
152	Program increase Threat Simulator Development	22,862	31,862	+ 2,50 + 9,00
154	Program increase: Integrated threat force	102,901	114,901	+ 9,00 + 12,00
159	Program increase: Cyber virtualization research  Army Test Ranges and Facilities	307,588	317,588	+ 12,00 + 10,00
170	tem-of-system cybersecurity testing  Technical Information Activities  Program increase	33,934	37,934	+ 10,00 + 4,00 + 4,00
171	Munitions Standardization, Effectiveness and Safety Program increase	43,444	57,444	+ 14,00 + 14,00
183	Long Range Precision Fires [LRPF]	102,014	88,014	- 14,00
184	Apache Product Improvement Program	59,977	57,877	- 14,00 - 2,10
186	Restoring acquisition accountability: FOT&E II delay Chinook Product Improvement Program	194,567	170,317	- 2,10 - 24,25

160

ine		2018 budget	Committee	Change from
	ltem	estimate	recommendation	budget estimat
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Blk 2 EMD con-			
	tract delayImproving funds management: Program management			- 19,2
	excess growth			- 5,0
188	Improved Turbine Engine Program	204,304	147,504	- 56,8
	Restoring acquisition accountability: PDR funds ex- cess to need			<b>-40,4</b>
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Blackhawk and			- 40,4
	Apache integration funding ahead of need			- 16,4
190	Logistics Automation	1,504		-1,5
	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover			- 1,5
193	Family of Biometrics	6,159		<b>-6,</b> 1
104	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover			<b>−6,</b>
194	Patriot Product Improvement	90,217	78,867	- 11,3
	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover Restoring acquisition accountability: Program man-			- 8,7
	agement growth			- 2.6
195	Aerostat Joint Project—COCOM Exercise	6,749		-6,
	Program termination			- 6,7
197	Combat Vehicle Improvement Programs	343,175	321,175	- 22,
	Program increase			+ 3,0
	Program increase: Development of ECP for the M88A2E1			+ 8,0
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Abrams ECP1B			, 0,,
	delay			- 10,0
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Abrams pro-			,
	gram support excess growth			- 2,
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Bradley ECP2			_
	delay			— 5,i
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Bradley UBIS contract delay			-1,
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Stryker ECP2			1,
	delay			- 15,0
203	Missile/Air Defense Product Improvement Program	2,723	28,723	+ 26,
	Program increase: Stinger product improvement pro-			
	gram research			+ 26,
209	Lower Tier Air and Missile Defense [AMD] System	78,926	72,426	- 6,
210	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover Guided Multiple-Launch Rocket System [GMLRS]	102,807	97,807	- 6, - 5,
	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover	102,007	37,007	- 5,i
		13,807	35,652	+ 21,
213	Security and intelligence activities			
213	Security and Intelligence Activities Program increase: Cyber capabilities development			+ 21,
	Program increase: Cyber capabilities development Information Systems Security Program	132,438	106,438	- 26,
	Program increase: Cyber capabilities development Information Systems Security Program Program increase: Cyber security operations center			- 26,
	Program increase: Cyber capabilities development Information Systems Security Program Program increase: Cyber security operations center Restoring acquisition accountability: Excess embed-	132,438	106,438	- 26,
	Program increase: Cyber capabilities development Information Systems Security Program Program increase: Cyber security operations center Restoring acquisition accountability: Excess embedded crypto modernization funding due to program	132,438	106,438	- 26,1 + 18,1
214	Program increase: Cyber capabilities development Information Systems Security Program Program increase: Cyber security operations center Restoring acquisition accountability: Excess embedded crypto modernization funding due to program delay	132,438	106,438	- 26, + 18, - 44,
214	Program increase: Cyber capabilities development Information Systems Security Program Program increase: Cyber security operations center Restoring acquisition accountability: Excess embedded crypto modernization funding due to program delay	132,438	106,438	- 26,0 + 18,0 - 44,0 - 17,3
214	Program increase: Cyber capabilities development Information Systems Security Program Program increase: Cyber security operations center Restoring acquisition accountability: Excess embedded crypto modernization funding due to program delay Global Combat Support System	132,438	106,438	+ 21,1 - 26,0 + 18,0 - 44,1 - 17,3 - 17,3 - 9,1
214	Program increase: Cyber capabilities development Information Systems Security Program Program increase: Cyber security operations center Restoring acquisition accountability: Excess embedded crypto modernization funding due to program delay	132,438	106,438	- 26,0 + 18,0 - 44,1 - 17,3 - 17,3
214 215 225	Program increase: Cyber capabilities development Information Systems Security Program Program increase: Cyber security operations center Restoring acquisition accountability: Excess embedded crypto modernization funding due to program delay Global Combat Support System	132,438	106,438	- 26,1 + 18,0 - 44,1 - 17,3 - 17,3 - 9,9
214 215 225	Program increase: Cyber capabilities development Information Systems Security Program Program increase: Cyber security operations center Restoring acquisition accountability: Excess embedded crypto modernization funding due to program delay	64,370	106,438	- 26,0 + 18,0 - 44,1 - 17,3 - 9,9 - 9,9 - 4,7
<ul><li>213</li><li>214</li><li>215</li><li>225</li><li>229</li></ul>	Program increase: Cyber capabilities development Information Systems Security Program Program increase: Cyber security operations center Restoring acquisition accountability: Excess embedded crypto modernization funding due to program delay Global Combat Support System Army-requested transfer to line 117 MQ-1C Gray Eagle UAS Restoring acquisition accountability: Testing previously funded Win-T Increment 2—Initial Networking Program termination: Network modernization strategy	64,370 9,574 4,723	46,987	- 26,1 + 18,1 - 44,1 - 17,2 - 17,3 - 9,4 - 4,1 - 4,1 - 4,1
214 215 225	Program increase: Cyber capabilities development Information Systems Security Program Program increase: Cyber security operations center Restoring acquisition accountability: Excess embedded crypto modernization funding due to program delay Global Combat Support System Army-requested transfer to line 117 MQ-1C Gray Eagle UAS Restoring acquisition accountability: Testing previously funded Win-T Increment 2—Initial Networking Program termination: Network modernization strategy End Item Industrial Preparedness Activities	132,438 	46,987	- 26,1 + 18,1 - 44,1 - 17,2 - 17,3 - 9,4 - 4,1 - 4,1 - 4,1
214 215 225 229	Program increase: Cyber capabilities development Information Systems Security Program Program increase: Cyber security operations center Restoring acquisition accountability: Excess embedded crypto modernization funding due to program delay Global Combat Support System Army-requested transfer to line 117 MQ-1C Gray Eagle UAS Restoring acquisition accountability: Testing previously funded Win-T Increment 2—Initial Networking Program termination: Network modernization strategy	64,370 9,574 4,723	46,987	- 26,0 + 18,0 - 44,1 - 17,3 - 17,3

Improved Turbine Engine Program.—The fiscal year 2018 budget request includes \$204,304,000 for the Improved Turbine Engine Program [ITEP]. The Army's acquisition strategy for ITEP includes contracting with no less than two engine developers through Mile-

stone B to ensure competition in the program. The Committee is fully supportive of this approach and the overall program and has provided the necessary resources to fully fund this strategy. The Committee notes, however, that funding for the Preliminary Design Review has expended more slowly than planned. Additionally, the Engineering and Manufacturing Development contract, which includes Black Hawk and Apache integration, has been delayed at least three months and is now scheduled to be awarded in the first quarter of fiscal year 2019. Therefore, the Committee recommends a reduction of \$56,800,000 to the fiscal year 2018 budget request but remains supportive of this important Army modernization priority.

Armored Multi-Purpose Vehicle.—While the Committee understands the Army's requirement to field the Armored Multi-Purpose Vehicle [AMPV], particularly in the European theater, to increase survivability; size, weight, power, and cooling improvements; and the ability to incorporate future technologies, it is concerned with the concurrency built into the continued development, testing, and procurement of the program. The fiscal year 2018 budget request includes \$199,778,000 for research, development, test and evaluation, to include procurement of live fire test assets for planned second quarter fiscal year 2020 testing, as well as \$447,618,000 for procurement of 107 vehicles that will begin delivering in the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2019.

To ensure that vehicles are operationally effective before deploying to theater, the Committee encourages the Army to accelerate testing and directs the Secretary of the Army to provide a report to the congressional defense committees within 180 days of enactment of this act on the results of vehicle testing to date and an explanation of why vehicles are being procured in significant quantities prior to Initial Operational Test and Evaluation, currently

scheduled for second quarter of fiscal year 2021.

Soldier Fitness Program and Suicide Prevention Program.—The fiscal year 2018 budget request includes \$3,120,000 for the Solider Fitness Program and Suicide Prevention Program. While the Committee is fully supportive of these programs, it is dismayed that the budget justification materials for them lacked sufficient detail and substance and expects these omissions to be corrected in the fiscal year 2019 budget request.

Life Cycle Pilot Process.—The Committee commends the Army for its continuing work in Transformative Manufacturing Technology and strongly encourages the Secretary of the Army to dedicate resources to further the development and equipping of the national technical industrial base with these new and emerging Transformative Manufacturing and ammunition technologies.

Materials Under Extreme Dynamic Environments.—The Committee recognizes the critical role of the Army's Materials in Extreme Dynamic Environments program in strengthening the domestic capability to develop and manufacture essential protection materials and encourages the Secretary of the Army to continue research in this area.

Power Management Systems for Ground Combat Vehicles.—The Committee recognizes that power demand for ground combat vehicles like Stryker and High Mobility Multipurpose Wheeled Vehicle (HMMWV) is increasing due to the addition of sophisticated electronic communication systems, sensor systems, electrical drive chain equipment, and active protection systems. Advanced battery and power management systems provide the possibility of replacing existing battery standards for more efficient energy usage and longer durations of low heat-signature observation. The Committee encourages the Department of the Army to conduct further research in modular lithium battery systems for ground combat vehi-

cles and other power management system solutions.

Strategic Materials Research.—The Committee continues to recognize the importance of the Army Research Laboratory [ARL] in expanding research, education, and technology development efforts in materials and metals processing science and engineering, aiming to transform the affordability, performance and environmental sustainability of strategic materials. The Committee further notes that ARL's Open Campus concept benefits the Army, the academic community, and industry through collaboration involving ARL's research staff and facilities, leading to continued technological superiority for the U.S. warfighter. The Committee encourages the Army to consider accelerating expansion of its Open Campus approach to its Materials and Manufacturing Science laboratories in order to benefit strategic materials research.

Adaptive Red Team Support.—The Committee supports the work of the Army's Adaptive Red Team Technical Support and Operational Analysis program and understands it provides vital feedback on information technology system vulnerabilities and limitations. Highlighting these vulnerabilities has translated into more secure and resilient systems as well as increased production cost savings in the acquisition process. Therefore, the Committee encourages the Secretary of the Army to continue to invest in these

efforts.

Material Development, Characterization, and Computational Modeling.—The Committee recognizes the importance of evaluating materials and technologies as well as designing and developing methodologies and models to enable enhanced lethality and survivability. Methods such as computational research allow for the development of models that predict the mechanical properties of materials that are used in research and development at the U.S. Army Research Laboratory [ARL]. These models and simulations, which are based on quantum mechanics, statistical mechanics principles and thermodynamic simulations, and are tested via cold spray synthesis and mechanical testing, provide a cost savings to the Department of Defense by simulating materials prior to testing them to ensure mechanical properties will work together. Additionally, these methodologies allow for the enhanced development of technologies such as lightweight armors, protective structures, kinetic energy active protection, ballistic shock and mine blast protection, helmet technologies to prevent traumatic brain injury, and numerous other uses. The Committee encourages ARL to continue the utilization of computational modeling and simulations research to achieve greater cost savings.

Human Factors Engineering Technology.—The Committee is encouraged by the overall progress of the Human Factors Engineering Technology program and particularly the Army's collaboration

with institutes of higher education and centers of excellence. Within this program, the work being done regarding Continuous Multifaceted Soldier Characterization for Adaptive Technologies has shown much promise, and the Committee encourages continuing research in this area.

Underwater Cut and Capture.—The Committee is concerned that insufficient attention has been placed on successfully migrating obsolete, discarded, and unstable munitions disposal technology to the undersea arena. Therefore, the Committee encourages the Secretary of the Army to conduct additional targeted research to demonstrate technology which removes underwater munitions without displacing the munition body in order to avoid damaging critical habitat and coral by detonating the munition.

Surface Haptics.—The Committee is aware of recent advances in human-computer interface making use of tactile communication channels. Potential applications of surface haptics for situational awareness and team communication, human-human and human-computer interaction when vision is impaired, when light emission is unacceptable, when visual attention must be maintained elsewhere, or when motion and vibration compromise reliable interaction with controls. The Committee encourages the Secretary of the Army to increase research into surface haptics both for visual touchscreens and also for non-visual interaction (dark haptics).

Bioresorable Electronic Systems.—The Committee is aware of recent advances in bioresorable electronic systems and biocompatible devices to facilitate a new class of technology for emergency medicine, combat casualty care, and rehabilitation care. The Committee encourages the Secretary of the Army to increase research into bioresorable electronic systems and biocompatible devices, including relevant work across the spectrum from fundamental research through clinical research and engineering development.

#### RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, NAVY

Appropriations, 2017	\$17,214,530,000
Budget estimate, 2018	17,650,035,000
Committee recommendation	17,628,000,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$17,628,000.000. This is \$22,035,000 below the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, NAVY			
	BASIC RESEARCH			
1	UNIVERSITY RESEARCH INITIATIVES	118,130	118,130	
2	IN-HOUSE LABORATORY INDEPENDENT RESEARCH	19,438	19,438	
3	DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES	458,333	458,333	
	TOTAL BASIC RESEARCH	595.901	595.901	

164

	[iii tilousalius oi uoliars]			
Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	APPLIED RESEARCH			
4	POWER PROJECTION APPLIED RESEARCH	13,553	18,553	+ 5,000
5	FORCE PROTECTION APPLIED RESEARCH	125,557	174,057	+ 48,500
6	MARINE CORPS LANDING FORCE TECHNOLOGY	53,936	55,936	+ 2,000
7	COMMON PICTURE APPLIED RESEARCH	36,450	36,450	
8	WARFIGHTER SUSTAINMENT APPLIED RESEARCH	48,649	48,649	
9	ELECTROMAGNETIC SYSTEMS APPLIED RESEARCH	79,598	85,598	+6,000
10	OCEAN WARFIGHTING ENVIRONMENT APPLIED RESEARCH	42,411	49,911	+ 7,500
11	JOINT NON-LETHAL WEAPONS APPLIED RESEARCH	6,425	6,425	
12	UNDERSEA WARFARE APPLIED RESEARCH	56,094	61,094	+ 5,000
13	FUTURE NAVAL CAPABILITIES APPLIED RESEARCH	156,805	156,805	
14	MINE AND EXPEDITIONARY WARFARE APPLIED RESEARCH	32,733	35,233	+ 2,500
15	INNOVATIVE NAVAL PROTOTYPES (INP) APPLIED RESEARCH	171,146	171,146	
16	SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY MANAGEMENT—ONR HEAD-			
	QUARTERS	62,722	62,722	
	TOTAL, APPLIED RESEARCH	886,079	962,579	+ 76,500
	ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT			
19	FORCE PROTECTION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	26,342	54,342	+ 28,000
20	ELECTROMAGNETIC SYSTEMS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	9,360	9,360	
21	MARINE CORPS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATION [ATD]	154,407	166,907	+ 12,500
22	JOINT NON-LETHAL WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	13,448	13,448	
23	FUTURE NAVAL CAPABILITIES ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEV	231,772	231,772	
24	MANUFACTURING TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM	57,797	57,797	
25	WARFIGHTER PROTECTION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	4,878	12,878	+ 8,000
26	UNDERSEA WARFARE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY		10,000	+ 10,000
27	NAVY WARFIGHTING EXPERIMENTS AND DEMONSTRATIONS	64,889	64,889	
28	MINE AND EXPEDITIONARY WARFARE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	15,164	15,164	
29	INNOVATIVE NAVAL PROTOTYPES (INP) ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	108,285	128,485	+ 20,200
	TOTAL, ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	686,342	765,042	+ 78,700
	DEMONSTRATION & VALIDATION			
30	AIR/OCEAN TACTICAL APPLICATIONS	48,365	48,365	
31	AVIATION SURVIVABILITY	5,566	5,566	
33	AIRCRAFT SYSTEMS	695	695	
34	ASW SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	7,661	7,661	
35	TACTICAL AIRBORNE RECONNAISSANCE	3,707	3,707	
36	ADVANCED COMBAT SYSTEMS TECHNOLOGY	61,381	7,745	- 53,636
36A	RAPID PROTOTYPING, EXPERIMENTATION AND DEMONSTRATION		24,050	+ 24,050
37	SURFACE AND SHALLOW WATER MINE COUNTERMEASURES	154,117	77,407	- 76,710
37A	SURFACE MINE COUNTERMEASURES		12,900	+ 12,900
37B	AIRBORNE LITTORAL MCM		11,623	+ 11,623
38	SURFACE SHIP TORPEDO DEFENSE	14,974	14,974	
39	CARRIER SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	9,296	9,296	
40	PILOT FISH	132,083	111,383	- 20,700
41	RETRACT LARCH	15.407	15,407	20,700
42	RETRACT JUNIPER	122,413	122,413	
43	RADIOLOGICAL CONTROL	745	745	
44	SURFACE ASW	1,136	1,136	
45	ADVANCED SUBMARINE SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT	100,955	89,955	- 11,000
46	SUBMARINE TACTICAL WARFARE SYSTEMS	13,834	13,834	- 11,000
40	SHIP CONCEPT ADVANCED DESIGN		21,316	- 15,575
48	SHIP PRELIMINARY DESIGN & FEASIBILITY STUDIES	12,012	23,741	+ 11,729
49	ADVANCED NUCLEAR POWER SYSTEMS	329,500	329,500	+ 11,723
50	ADVANCED SURFACE MACHINERY SYSTEMS	29,953	29,953	
50 51	CHALK EAGLE	· '	191,610	
	LITTORAL COMBAT SHIP [LCS]	191,610		
52 52	COMBAT SYSTEM INTEGRATION	40,991	40,991 20.274	— 4.400
53 54	OHIO REPLACEMENT PROGRAM	24,674	. ,	,
54		776,158	801,158	+ 25,000
55	LITTORAL COMBAT SHIP [LCS] MISSION MODULES	116,871	96,871	- 20,000
56	AUTOMATED TEST AND RE-TEST	8,052	8,052	
57 58	FRIGATE DEVELOPMENTCONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS	143,450	113,450	- 30,000
	CHNVENTIONAL MINITIONS	8,909	8,909	1

165

	[In thousands of dollars]			
Line	Item	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
60	MARINE CORPS GROUND COMBAT/SUPPORT SYSTEM	1,428	1,428	
61	JOINT SERVICE EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DEVELOPMENT	53,367	49,867	- 3,500
63	OCEAN ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	8,212	8,212	
64	ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION	20,214	20,214	
65	NAVY ENERGY PROGRAM	25,623	39,623	+ 14,000
66 67	FACILITIES IMPROVEMENTCHALK CORAL	2,837 245,143	6,837 245,143	+ 4,000
68	NAVY LOGISTIC PRODUCTIVITY	2,995	2,995	
69	RETRACT MAPLE	306,101	306,101	
70	LINK PLUMERIA	253,675	402,575	+ 148,900
71	RETRACT ELM	55,691	55,691	
72	LINK EVERGREEN	48,982	48,982	
74 75	NATO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENTLAND ATTACK TECHNOLOGY	9,099 33,568	9,099 18,568	— 15,000
76	JOINT NONLETHAL WEAPONS TESTING	29,873	29,873	- 15,000
77	JOINT PRECISION APPROACH AND LANDING SYSTEMS	106,391	106,391	
78	DIRECTED ENERGY AND ELECTRIC WEAPON SYSTEMS	107,310	99,310	- 8,000
79	GERALD R. FORD CLASS NUCLEAR AIRCRAFT CARRIER	83,935	83,935	
81	TACTICAL AIR DIRECTIONAL INFRARED COUNTERMEASURES	46,844	46,844	
83	MARINE CORPS ADDITIVE MANUFACTURING TECHNOLOGY DE-	C 200	C 200	
85	VELOPMENTRAPID TECHNOLOGY CAPABILITY PROTOTYPE	6,200 7,055	6,200 17,055	+ 10,000
86	LX (R)	9,578	9,578	1 10,000
87	ADVANCED UNDERSEA PROTOTYPING	66,543	66,543	
87A	UNMANNED UNDERSEA VEHICLE		22,687	+ 22,687
89	PRECISION STRIKE WEAPONS DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM	31,315	22,715	- 8,600
90	SPACE & ELECTRONIC WARFARE [SEW] ARCHITECTURE/ENGINE	42,851	42,851	
91	OFFENSIVE ANTI-SURFACE WARFARE WEAPON DEVELOPMENT	160,694	160,694	
93 94	ASW SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT—MIPADVANCED TACTICAL UNMANNED AIRCRAFT SYSTEM	8,278 7,979	8,278 7,979	
95	ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT—MIP	527	527	
00		-	-	
	TOTAL, DEMONSTRATION & VALIDATION	4,193,714	4,211,482	+ 17,768
96	ENGINEERING & MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT TRAINING SYSTEM AIRCRAFT	16,945	18,545	+ 1,600
97	OTHER HELO DEVELOPMENT	26,786	26,786	T 1,000
98	AV-8B AIRCRAFT—ENG DEV	48,780	45,680	- 3,100
99	STANDARDS DEVELOPMENT	2,722	2,722	
100	MULTI-MISSION HELICOPTER UPGRADE DEVELOPMENT	5,371	5,371	
101	AIR/OCEAN EQUIPMENT ENGINEERING	782	5,782	+ 5,000
102	P-3 MODERNIZATION PROGRAM	1,361	1,361	
103 104	WARFARE SUPPORT SYSTEMTACTICAL COMMAND SYSTEM	14,167 55,695	14,167 49,745	— 5,950
104	ADVANCED HAWKEYE	292,535	302,535	+ 10,000
106	H–1 UPGRADES	61,288	61,288	1 10,000
107	ACOUSTIC SEARCH SENSORS	37,167	37,167	
108	V-22A	171,386	186,386	+ 15,000
109	AIR CREW SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	13,235	14,195	+ 960
110	EA-18	173,488	141,488	+ 960 - 32,000
111	ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT	54,055	57,055	+ 3,000
112 113	EXECUTIVE HELO DEVELOPMENT	451,938 632,936	451,938 617,936	— 15,000
114	JOINT TACTICAL RADIO SYSTEM—NAVY (JTRS—NAVY)	4,310	4,310	13,000
115	NEXT GENERATION JAMMER [NGJ] INCREMENT II	66,686	66,686	
116	SURFACE COMBATANT COMBAT SYSTEM ENGINEERING	390,238	333,738	- 56,500
117	LPD-17 CLASS SYSTEMS INTEGRATION	689	689	
118	SMALL DIAMETER BOMB [SDB]	112,846	112,846	
119	STANDARD MISSILE IMPROVEMENTS	158,578	133,578	- 25,000
120	AIRBORNE MCM	15,734	15,734	
122 124	NAVAL INTEGRATED FIRE CONTROL-COUNTER AIR SYSTEMS ENG ADVANCED ABOVE WATER SENSORS	25,445 87,233	25,445 87,233	
144				l
125	SSN-688 AND TRIDENT MODERNIZATION	130,981	130,981	

166

	[In thousands of dollars]				
Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate	
127	SHIPBOARD AVIATION SYSTEMS	177,926	177,926		
128	COMBAT INFORMATION CENTER CONVERSION	8,062	8,062		
129	AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE RADAR (AMDR) SYSTEM	32,090	32,090		
130	NEW DESIGN SSN	120,087	120,087		
131	SUBMARINE TACTICAL WARFARE SYSTEM	50,850	50,850		
132 133	SHIP CONTRACT DESIGN/LIVE FIRE T&E NAVY TACTICAL COMPUTER RESOURCES	67,166 4,817	67,634 4,817	+ 468	
134	VIRGINIA PAYLOAD MODULE (VPM)	72,861	72,861		
135	MINE DEVELOPMENT	25,635	25,635		
136	LIGHTWEIGHT TORPEDO DEVELOPMENT	28,076	28,076		
137	JOINT SERVICE EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DEVELOPMENT	7,561	7,561		
138	PERSONNEL, TRAINING, SIMULATION, AND HUMAN FACTORS	40,828	24,728	-16,100	
139	JOINT STANDOFF WEAPON SYSTEMS	435	435		
140 141	SHIP SELF DEFENSE (DETECT & CONTROL)	161,713	164,713	+3,000	
141	SHIP SELF DEFENSE (ENGAGE: HARD KILL)SHIP SELF DEFENSE (ENGAGE: SOFT KILL/EW)	212,412 103,391	212,412 103,391		
143	INTELLIGENCE ENGINEERING	34,855	44,855	+ 10,000	
144	MEDICAL DEVELOPMENT	9,353	9,353		
145	NAVIGATION/ID SYSTEM	92,546	92,546		
146	JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER (JSF)—EMD	152,934	267,934	+ 115,000	
147	JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER (JSF)	108,931	108,931		
148	JSF FOLLOW ON DEVELOPMENT—MARINE CORPS	144,958	107,312	- 37,646	
149	JSF FOLLOW ON DEVELOPMENT—NAVY	143,855	106,469	- 37,386	
150	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	14,865	14,865	20.000	
151 152	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENTANTI-TAMPER TECHNOLOGY SUPPORT	152,977 3,410	123,177 3,410	- 29,800	
153	CH-53K	340,758	340,758		
154	MISSION PLANNING	33,430	33,430		
155	COMMON AVIONICS	58,163	53,512	- 4,651	
156	SHIP TO SHORE CONNECTOR (SSC)	22,410	32,410	+10,000	
157	T–A0 (X)	1,961	1,961		
158	UNMANNED CARRIER AVIATION	222,208	209,008	- 13,200	
159	JOINT AIR-TO-GROUND MISSILE [JAGM]	15,473	14,873	- 600	
160 161	MULTI-MISSION MARITIME AIRCRAFT [MMA] MULTI-MISSION MARITIME AIRCRAFT [MMA] INCREMENT 3	11,795	11,795 121,731	60,000	
162	MARINE CORPS ASSAULT VEHICLES SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT AND DEMO	181,731 178,993	157,993	-60,000 $-21,000$	
163	JOINT LIGHT TACTICAL VEHICLE (JLTV) SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT	·			
164	AND DEMODDG—1000	20,710 140,500	20,710 140,500		
168	TACTICAL CRYPTOLOGIC SYSTEMS	28,311	28,311		
170	CYBER OPERATIONS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	4,502	4,502		
	TOTAL, ENGINEERING & MANUFACTURING DEVELOP-	.,	-,		
	MENT	6,362,102	6,178,197	- 183,905	
171	RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT THREAT SIMULATOR DEVELOPMENT	91,819	91.819		
171	TARGET SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	23,053	23,053		
173	MAJOR T&E INVESTMENT	52,634	69,634	+ 17,000	
174	JOINT THEATER AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE ORGANIZATION	141	141		
175	STUDIES AND ANALYSIS SUPPORT—NAVY	3,917	3,917		
176	CENTER FOR NAVAL ANALYSES	50,432	50,432		
179 180	TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICES	782	782		
	& INTERNATIONAL SUPPORT	94,562	132,062	+ 37,500	
181	STRATEGIC TECHNICAL SUPPORT	4,313	4,313		
182	RDT&E SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY MANAGEMENT	1,104	1,104		
183	RDT&E SHIP AND AIRCRAFT SUPPORT	105,666	105,666		
184 185	TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORTOPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION CAPABILITY	373,667 20,298	373,667 20,298		
186	NAVY SPACE AND ELECTRONIC WARFARE [SEW] SUPPORT	20,298 17,341	20,298 17,341		
188	MARINE CORPS PROGRAM WIDE SUPPORT	21,751	21,751		
189	MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS—R&D	44,279	44,279		

167

	[In thousands of dollars]			
Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
190 191	WARFARE INNOVATION MANAGEMENT	28,841	28,841	
194	TIVITIES) SEW SURVEILLANCE/RECONNAISSANCE SUPPORT	1,749 9,408	1,749 9,408	
	TOTAL, RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT	945,757	1,000,257	+ 54,500
196 197	OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT COOPERATIVE ENGAGEMENT CAPABILITY (CEC) DEPLOYABLE JOINT COMMAND AND CONTROL	92,571 3,137	103,571 3,137	+11,000
198 199	STRATEGIC SUB & WEAPONS SYSTEM SUPPORTSBN SECURITY TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM	135,219 36,242	142,219 36,242	+ 7,000
200 201	SUBMARINE ACOUSTIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT	12,053 18,221 224,470	12,053 18,221	90,000
203 203A 204	F/A-18 SQUADRONS	33,525	137,570 47,900 8,525	- 86,900 + 47,900 - 25,000
205 206	SURFACE SUPPORT TOMAHAWK AND TOMAHAWK MISSION PLANNING CENTER (TMPC)	24,829 133,617	24,829 106,817	— 26,800
207 208	INTEGRATED SURVEILLANCE SYSTEMAMPHIBIOUS TACTICAL SUPPORT UNITS	38,972 3,940	38,972 3,940	
209 210 211	GROUND/AIR TASK ORIENTED RADAR CONSOLIDATED TRAINING SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT CRYPTOLOGIC DIRECT SUPPORT	54,645 66,518 1.155	54,645 66,518 1,155	
212 213	ELECTRONIC WARFARE [EW] READINESS SUPPORT HARM IMPROVEMENT	51,040 87,989	51,040 87,989	
214 215	TACTICAL DATA LINKSSURFACE ASW COMBAT SYSTEM INTEGRATION	89,852 29,351	89,852 29,351	
216 217 218	MK-48 ADCAP	68,553 119,099 127,445	49,053 124,099 127,445	- 19,500 + 5,000
219 220	MARINE CORPS COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS	127,445 123,825 7,343	136,825 7,343	+ 13,000
221 222	MARINE CORPS GROUND COMBAT/SUPPORTING ARMS SYSTEMS MARINE CORPS COMBAT SERVICES SUPPORT	66,009 25,258	66,009 25,258	
223	USMC INTELLIGENCE/ELECTRONIC WARFARE SYSTEMS [MIP] AMPHIBIOUS ASSAULT VEHICLE	30,886 58,728	30,886 58,728	- 6,300
225 226 232	TACTICAL AIM MISSILES	42,884 25,364 24,271	36,584 25,364 24,271	- 6,300
233 236	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM JOINT MILITARY INTELLIGENCE PROGRAMS	50,269 6,352	50,269 6,352	
237 238	TACTICAL UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES UAS INTEGRATION AND INTEROPERABILITY	7,770 39,736	7,770 21,936	— 17,800
239 240 241	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND SYSTEMS/SURFACE SYSTEMS DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND SYSTEMS/SURFACE SYSTEMS MQ-4C TRITON	12,867 46,150 84,115	12,867 46,150 84,115	
242 243	MQ-8 UAV RQ-11 UAV	62,656 2,022	62,656 2,022	
245 246	SMALL (LEVEL 0) TACTICAL UAS (STUASLO)RQ-21A	4,835 8,899	4,835 10,649	+ 1,750
247 248 249	MULTI-INTELLIGENCE SENSOR DEVELOPMENT UNMANNED AERIAL SYSTEMS (UAS) PAYLOADS [MIP] RQ-4 MODERNIZATION	99,020 18,578 229,404	99,020 18,578 174,404	— 55,000
250 251	MODELING AND SIMULATION SUPPORT	5,238 38,227	5,238 26,879	- 55,000 
252 253	MARITIME TECHNOLOGY (MARITECH)	4,808 37,836	4,808 37,836	
	TOTAL, OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	2,615,793	2,452,795	- 162,998
9999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	1,364,347	1,461,747	+ 97,400
	TOTAL, RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, NAVY	17,650,035	17,628,000	- 22,035

168

# COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

# The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
4	Power Projection Applied Research	13,553	18,553	+ 5,000
5	counter-IED research Force Protection Applied Research	125,557	174,057	+ 5,000 + 48,500
	Program increase Program increase: Alternative energy research			+26,500  +15,000
	Program increase: Hybrid composite structures research for enhanced mobility			+ 5,000
6	Program increase: Standoff detection of buried hazards Marine Corps Landing Force Technology	53,936	55,936	+ 2,000 + 2,000
9	Program increase Electromagnetic Systems Applied Research	79,598	85,598	+ 2,000 + 6,000
10	Program increase Ocean Warfighting Environment Applied Research	42,411	49.911	+ 6,000 + 7.500
12	Program increase	56,094	61,094	+ 7,500 + 5,000
	Program increase			+ 5,000
14	Mine and Expeditionary Warfare Applied Research Program increase	32,733	35,233	+ 2,500 + 2,500
19	Force Protection Advanced Technology Program increase: Navy autonomous swarmboats	26,342	54,342	+ 28,000 + 28,000
21	USMC Advanced Technology Demonstration [ATD] Program increase	154,407	166,907	+ 12,500 + 12,500
25	Warfighter Protection Advanced Technology	4,878	12,878	+ 8,000 + 8,000
26	Undersea Warfare Advanced Technology Program increase: Unmanned underwater vehicle re-		10,000	+10,000
29	search	108,285	128,485	+ 10,000 + 20,200
	Program increase: Solid state laser technology matura-			+8,200
36	Program increase: Ruggedized high energy laser	61,381	7,745	+ 12,000 - 53,636
	tionary SURTASS			- 20,000 - 15,361
36a	3424		24,050	- 18,275 + 24,050
37	SURTASS Program increase: Expeditionary SURTASS Surface and Shallow Water Mine Countermeasures	154,117	77,407	+ 20,000 + 4,050 - 76,710
	Program increase: Unmanned influence sweep system Transfer to line 37A: Projects 0530, 1235, 1233 Transfer to line 37B: Project 2131			+ 13,000 - 12,900 - 11,623
	Transfer to line 87A: Project 2094 Restoring acquisition accountability: Barracuda schedule delays			- 60,187 - 5.000
37A	Surface Mine Countermeasures		12,900	+ 12,900 + 12,900
37B	Airborne Littoral MCM		11,623	+11,623
40	Transfer from line 37: Project 2131	132,083	111,383	+ 11,623 - 20,700
45	Program adjustment	100,955	89,955	$-20,700 \\ -11,000$

169

	[In thousands of dollar	ı		
Line	Item	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Project 2096 pro- gram adjustment			- 11,000
47	Ship Concept Advanced Design Restoring acquisition accountability: Future surface	36,891	21,316	- 15,575
	combatant studies early to need Transfer from National Defense Sealift Fund: Strategic			- 22,000
48	Sealift Research & Development	12,012	23,741	+ 6,425 + 11,729
53	Operational Logistics Integration	24,674	20,274	+ 11,729 - 4,400
54	Warfare Office	776,158	801,158	- 4,400 + 25,000
55	Program increase: Advanced materials propeller program LCS Mission Modules Restoring acquisition accountability: Anti-submarine	116,871	96,871	+ 25,000 - 20,000
57	warfare mission package program delays	143,450	113,450	- 20,000 - 30,000
	program Program increase: Government and industry source se-			- 50,000
61	Joint Service Explosive Ordnance Development	53,367	49,867	+ 20,000 - 3,500
65	tract award delay	25,623	39,623	- 3,500 + 14,000 + 4,000
66	Program increase: Renewable energy technologies Facilities Improvement	2,837	6,837	+ 10,000 + 4,000
70	Program increase	253,675	402,575	+ 4,000 + 148,900 + 148,900
75	Land Attack Technology Insufficient budget justification: AGS projectile unjusti- fied request	33,568	18,568	- 15,000 - 15,000
78	Directed Energy and Electric Weapon Systems	107,310	99,310	- 8,000 - 14,000
85	Program increase: High energy storage modules	7,055	17,055	+ 6,000 + 10,000
87A	for Marine Corps Warfighting Lab Unmanned Undersea Vehicle Transfer from line 37: LDUUV Restoring acquisition accountability: LDUUV UUV pro-		22,687	+ 10,000 + 22,687 + 60,187
89	gram concurrency Precision Strike Weapons Development Program Restoring acquisition accountability: Project 3378 anal-	31,315	22,715	- 37,500 - 8,600
96	ysis of alternatives completed Training System Aircraft Program increase: T–45 and F/A–18 physiological epi-	16,945	18,545	- 8,600 + 1,600
98	sodes funding	48,780	45,680	+ 1,600 - 3,100
101	lack of full funding	782	5,782	- 3,100 + 5,000
104	ronment	55,695	49,745	+ 5,000 - 5,950
105	Digital Warfare Office	292,535	302,535	- 5,950 + 10,000 + 10,000

170

	[In thousands of dollars	s]		
Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
108	V-22A	171,386	186,386	+ 15,000
109	ments	13,235	14,195	+ 15,000 + 960
110	sodes funding	173,488	141,488	+ 960 - 32,000
111	growth	54,055	57,055	- 32,000 + 3,000
113	tison capability Next Generation Jammer [NGJ]	632,936	617,936	+ 3,000 - 15,000
116	Insufficient budget justification: integration delays  Surface Combatant Combat System Engineering	390,238	333,738	- 15,000 - 56,500
	growth			-11,000
	lack of justification Restoring acquisition accountability: ACB 20 unjustified			-21,000
	growth			- 10,000 - 14,500
119	Standard Missile Improvements	158,578	133,578	- 25,000
132	ments ECP program adjustment due to cost	67,166	67,634	- 25,000 + 468
138	Prepositioning Force (Future)	40,828	24,728	+ 468 - 16,100
140	Field Test onlyShip Self Defense (Detect & Control)	161,713	164,713	- 16,100 + 3,000
143	Program increase: C2 systems for amphibs—integrating CAC2S with SSDS	34,855	44,855	+ 3,000 + 10,000
143	Program increase: Maritime port security technology integration		44,000	+ 10,000
146	Joint Strike Fighter [JSF]—EMD Program increase: STOVL third life-cycle test aircraft	152,934	267,934	+ 115,000 + 115,000
148	Joint Strike Fighter Follow On Modernization [FoM]—Marine Corps Improving funds management: Follow on modernization	144,958	107,312	- 37,646
149	early to need	143,855	106,469	- 37,646 - 37,386
151	early to need	152,977	123,177	- 37,386 - 29,800 - 9,800
	MPT&E Core: Integration concurrency  NMMES—TR acceleration concurrency with NMMES upgrades to support clean financial audit			- 17,000 - 13,000
	Enterprise product lifecycle management analytics for affordability			+ 10,000
155	Common Avionics	58,163	53,512	-4,651
156	Digital Warfare Office	22,410	32,410	-4,651 + 10,000 + 10,000
158	Unmanned Carrier Aviation [UCA] Control segment and connectivity unjustified growth	222,208	209,008	- 13,200 - 13,200
159	Joint Air-to-Ground Missile [JAGM]	15,473	14,873	- 600 - 600

171

	[In thousands of dollar:	5]		
Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
161	Multi-Mission Maritime [MMA] Increment III	181,731	121,731	-60,000
162	tent and funding adjustment lack of justification Marine Corps Assault Vehicles System Development & Dem-			-60,000
	onstration Restoring acquisition accountability: Corrective action	178,993	157,993	-21,000
173	modifications	52,634	69,634	- 21,000 + 17,000
	Program increase Program increase: Critical infrastructure investments for major range and test facilities—Navy unfunded re-			+ 4,000
	quirements Program increase: Critical infrastructure investments for			+ 7,000
100	major range and test facilities—Navy unfunded requirements			+ 6,000
180	Management, Technical & International Support Program increase: Printed circuit board Program increase: Navy Research Lab infrastructure up-	94,562	132,062	+ 37,500 + 7,500
196	grades	92,571	103,571	+ 30,000 + 11,000
	Program increase: CEC identification friend or foe [IFF] Mode 5 acceleration			+11,000
198	Strategic Sub & Weapons System Support	135,219	142,219	+ 7,000 + 7,000
203 203A	F/A-18 Squadrons	224,470	137,570 47,900	- 86,900 - 86,900 + 47,900
203A	Transfer from line 203: IRST Block II development Restoring acquisition accountability: Infrared search and		47,300	+86,900
204	track contract award delay	33,525	8,525	- 39,000 - 25,000 - 25,000
206	Tomahawk and Tomahawk Mission Planning Center [TMPC] Restoring acquisition accountability: JMEWS ECP product	133,617	106,817	- 26,800
	development lack of cost data			- 7,000 - 19,800
216	MK-48 ADCAPRestoring acquisition accountability: TI-1 contract	68,553	49,053	- 19,500
217	award delay Aviation Improvements Program increase	119,099	124,099	-19,500 + 5,000 + 5,000
219	Marine Corps Communications Systems	123,825	136,825	+ 13,000 + 13,000
225	Tactical AIM Missiles	42,884	36,584	-6,300 -6,300
238	UAS Integration and Interoperability	39,736	21,936	- 17,800
246	software development programs	8,899	10,649	- 17,800 + 1,750
249	for tactical exploitation	229,404	174,404	+ 1,750 - 55,000
251	concurrency  Depot Maintenance (Non-IF)  MH–60 SLAP program adjustment	38,227	26,879	- 55,000 - 11,348 - 11,348
999	Classified programs	1,364,347	1,461,747	+ 97,400 + 97,400

Rapid Prototyping, Experimentation and Demonstration.—The fiscal year 2018 President's budget request contains \$162,000,000 in Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy lines 29, 36, and 78 for several Rapid Prototyping, Experimentation and Demonstration [RPED] projects designated as "Accelerated Acquisitions for the Rapid Development, Demonstration and Fielding of Capability" by the Chief of Naval Operations and the Assistant Secretary of the Navy (Research, Development and Acquisition). The Committee notes that subsequent to the budget submission, the Navy identified to the Committee additional requirements of \$121,000,000 for these projects that are being addressed through funding flexibilities granted by Congress via below threshold reprogramming authority, above threshold reprogramming authority, and additional funds recommended by the Committee in this bill. The Committee continues to expect timely and complete communication from the Secretary of the Navy and the Chief of Naval Operations regarding RPED projects, to include cost, schedule, as well as progress against previously identified objectives and transition plans, and will continue to review each project on its requirement, merit, technology and manufacturing readiness, cost, schedule, and performance. Finally, the Committee notes that several projects selected for accelerated acquisitions were previously either rejected by the Navy or initiated without a full understanding of system design maturity, full development costs, or schedule requirements, which resulted in unbudgeted funding requirements. The Committee expects the Secretary of the Navy and the Chief of Naval Operations, through their respective designees, to exercise the appropriate acquisition rigor and fiscal oversight for all proposed accelerated acquisition programs.

Surface and Shallow Water Mine Countermeasures.—The fiscal

year 2018 President's budget request includes \$154,117,000 for numerous programs and projects in the program element for Surface and Shallow Water Mine Countermeasures, an increase of more than 66 percent from 2 years ago. The Committee finds the current budget structure and associated nomenclature unnecessarily obfuscating and, after consultation with the Assistant Secretary of the Navy (Financial Management and Comptroller), recommends realigning funds for the projects as displayed in the table of Committee Recommended Adjustments. The Assistant Secretary of the Navy (Financial Management and Comptroller) is directed to follow

this format in future budget submissions.

FFG (X) Acquisition.—The fiscal year 2018 President's budget request includes \$143,450,000 in Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy for the conceptual design and development of the Navy's FFG (X) Frigate, a multi-mission platform designed for operation in littoral and blue water environments. The Committee understands that Frigate capabilities will include an increased air warfare capability in both self-defense and escort roles, enhanced survivability specifically focused on reduced vulnerability, and increased electromagnetic maneuver warfare capabilities were added to the Frigate program due to a changing threat environment that impacts naval concepts of operations. Therefore, the Committee is concerned that with submission of the fiscal year 2018 President's

budget, the Navy changed the Littoral Combat Ship (LCS)/Frigate acquisition strategy by extending the period for Frigate conceptual design and studies and delaying the acquisition of FFG (X) Frigates by one year. Given the contested threat environment, the Committee recommends accelerating the Frigate acquisition program and recommends an increase of \$20,000,000 to advance Government and industry source selection efforts in support of a competi-

tive FFG (X) acquisition.

Marine Corps Rapid Capabilities Office.—The fiscal year 2018 President's budget request includes \$7,055,000 for the Marine Corps Rapid Capabilities Office [MCRCO]. The Committee recommends \$17,055,000, an increase of \$10,000,000, and directs that none of these funds may be obligated or expended until the Marine Corps provides an update to the Committee on the status of plans and decisions for pending projects and projects under review. The Committee notes the positive congressional engagements by the Marine Corps Rapid Capabilities Office with the Committee regarding program plans and expects these communications to con-

tinue and accelerate as projects are reviewed and initiated. *EA-18G Modernization.*—The fiscal year 2018 President's budget request includes \$173,488,000 for modernization of the EA-18G, an increase of \$69,044,000 over amounts appropriated in fiscal year 2017 and \$126,567,000 over amounts appropriated in fiscal year 2016. The Committee has previously supported continued modernization of the EA-18G through flight plan spiral capability development. However, the Committee is concerned by the poor justification of these budget requests, to include insufficient details regarding content, requirements changes, as well as adjustments to previous plans, schedules and budgets. In fiscal year 2018, a significant amount of the budget request is explained as being for "Wholeness". The Committee finds this unsatisfactory and recommends \$141,488,000 for EA-18G modernization in fiscal year 2018, a reduction of \$32,000,000 from the request, and consistent with amounts planned for flight plan upgrades in the previous budget submission.

Surface Combatant Combat Systems Engineering.—The fiscal year 2018 President's budget request includes \$390,238,000, an increase of \$114,474,000 over amounts enacted in fiscal year 2017, for further development and integration of modifications to the AEGIS Weapon System. The Committee notes the significant capabilities the AEGIS combat system provides to the warfighter, to include countering current and expected future air, ballistic missile, surface and sub-surface threats. However, the Committee is concerned by the repeated annual programmatic, fiscal, and schedule perturbations in the Navy's program. Further, the Committee is concerned by the apparent disconnect between the Navy and the Missile Defense Agency regarding Aegis baselines with Integrated Air and Missile Defense Capability, to include nomenclature, schedules, as well as joint and unique funding requirements. The Committee encourages the Navy and Missile Defense Agency to improve joint program efforts regarding the Aegis Integrated Air and Mis-

sile Defense Capability.

P-8A Poseidon.—The fiscal year 2018 President's budget request includes \$181,731,000 for continued development of P-8A Poseidon Increment III, an increase of \$69,411,000 over amounts appropriated in fiscal year 2017. The Committee remains concerned by the lack of budget clarity for the multiple engineering change proposals being developed under Increment III, which remains the budgetary equivalent of a major defense acquisition program, and sees no justification for an increase to the budget. Therefore, the Committee recommends a reduction of \$60,000,000.

Costs of Engineering Change Proposals for Missile Programs.— The fiscal year 2018 President's budget request includes no less than \$101,000,000 for five development efforts of the Tomahawk weapons system that the Navy plans to incorporate into current Tomahawk missiles through a series of engineering change proposals during the missiles' recertification process. The development of these modernization initiatives is budgeted at close to \$900,000,000 over the next 5 years, and cutting these efforts into production will significantly increase the Tomahawk missile's unit cost. The Committee recognizes and strongly supports the need to modernize the Tomahawk and other weapons systems through incremental upgrades, but is concerned that the Navy historically has failed to recognize the true cost of developing and procuring missile upgrades through engineering change proposals upfront. The Committee notes that as a result, when previously funded engineering change proposals transitioned from development to production, the Navy has had to reduce planned procurement quantities due to higher than budgeted costs. This has resulted in a loss of fielded capacity of at least two other families of missiles. The Committee directs the Assistant Secretary of the Navy (Research, Development and Acquisition) to review the Navy's acquisition practices for engineering change proposals in all its missile programs, to include cost estimating as well as measures to inject competition into modernization efforts, and to report back to the congressional defense committees with submission of the Fiscal Year 2019 budget request with his findings. Further, the Committee recommends no funds, a reduction of \$26,800,000, to initiate two new engineering change proposals for the Tomahawk weapon system in fiscal year 2018.

Advanced Energetics Research.—The Committee notes advances made by our adversaries in advanced energetics and believes the United States would benefit from a renewed, long-term investment in research and development for advanced energetics to increase the lethality, range and speed of weapons, develop new leap-ahead capabilities, and grow the national energetics workforce. Therefore, the Committee recommends additional funds for multi-domain research, development, prototyping and experimentation to lead to energetic weapons improvement. The Committee encourages the Office of Naval Research to execute the funding for the necessary efforts best suited to advance the overall knowledge, expertise and capability of energetics, to conduct a pilot program in rapid prototyping, technology transfer, technology business incubation and continuing education for the Navy energetics workforce, and to incorporate these developments into advanced weapon systems, when technologically feasible, as appropriate.

Navy Alternative Energy Research.—The Committee recommends \$15,000,000 in additional funds for Navy alternative energy re-

search, and \$10,000,000 for renewable energy technologies. The Committee notes the fiscal and operational value of investing in alternative energy research and encourages the Navy to continue research, development, test and deployment of advanced energy systems that have the potential to reduce the cost of energy and increase energy security, reliability and resiliency at Department of Defense facilities. The Committee understands that the integration of emerging land- and ocean-based energy generation and energy efficiency technologies could potentially improve the operational security and resiliency of critical physical and cyber-physical infrastructure and encourages the Navy to invest in energy demonstration activities relating to Department of Defense facilities and activities in coordination with other Federal agencies and entities.

Power Generation and Energy Storage Research.—The Committee continues to support Navy investments in power generation and energy storage research. The Committee notes that the development and deployment of lithium-ion batteries are critical to Department of Defense missions, but that safety incidents restrict their operational use. Therefore, the Committee believes that the development and qualification of technologies that improve the safety in lithium-ion batteries should be a research priority.

Marine Corps Asset Life-Cycle Management.—The Committee

Marine Corps Asset Life-Cycle Management.—The Committee supports Marine Corps' efforts to reduce costs associated with routine equipment maintenance through further research and development in the areas of remanufacturing and vehicle monitoring. The Committee encourages the Office of Naval Research to continue its

efforts in this area.

Improved Optical Space Surveillance.—The Committee understands the importance of improving ground-based space situational awareness, and encourages the Naval Research Laboratory to continue investments that significantly extend U.S. National space sit-

uational awareness capabilities.

Ocean Warfighting Environment.—The Committee notes the importance of basic research of the natural environment for technological developments that provide new or enhanced warfare capabilities for the battlespace environment, to include measuring, analyzing, modeling and simulating environmental factors affecting naval material and operations in the battlespace environment. The Chief of Naval Research is directed to provide a report to the congressional defense committees within 180 days after enactment of this act detailing the research being conducted in these areas, to include an assessment of ways to streamline the transition of technology through the science and technology "valley of death."

Research and Workforce Partnerships for Submarine and Under-

Research and Workforce Partnerships for Submarine and Undersea Vehicle Programs.—In order to support a potential increase in Navy submarine force structure, the Committee encourages the Chief of Naval Research and the Assistant Secretary of the Navy (Research, Development and Acquisition) to build stronger partnerships between the Office of Naval Research laboratories, Navy program offices, academia and U.S. nuclear shipyards for the purposes of leveraging additional research facilities, manufacturing tools and engineering expertise that will strengthen industrial capacity.

Coastal Environmental Research.—The Committee notes the importance of the littorals to the Navy and encourages the Chief of

Naval Research to focus additional research on the characteristics of the magnetic, electric, and acoustic ambient fields in the coastal ocean regions, and the development of predictive techniques to distinguish ships and submarines from naturally occurring back-

ground features.

Silicon Carbide Power Modules.—The Committee notes the recommendations in the 2015 Naval Power and Energy System Technology Development Roadmap for the development of advanced power electronics, to include silicon carbide power modules, which the Committee understands can reduce the size and weight of electronic systems needed to power advanced sensors and weapon systems. Noting space limitations in a shipboard environment, the Committee encourages the Chief of Naval Research to invest in cost reduction initiatives and the qualification of silicon carbide power modules in order to enable planned deployment of highpower, mission-critical systems on Navy platforms in the near future.

Unmanned Systems in a Maritime Environment.—The Committee recognizes the importance of unmanned maritime systems and the numerous challenges to incorporating these novel technologies onto the battlefield. The Committee understands that the development of a test range for unmanned maritime systems is underway and that ongoing oceanographic measurements in the region of the range are a critical gap. The Navy is encouraged to develop partnerships with research universities and to leverage the national oceanographic expertise to enhance Naval capabilities and fill this critical gap.

Maritime Port Security Technology.—The Committee notes the maritime security threats facing U.S. military and commercial ports, and the danger these pose to the Department of Defense, U.S. industry and critical maritime infrastructure. Therefore, the Committee recommends additional funds for the development of a maritime security technology integration framework to develop capabilities to support maritime surveillance, detection and warning.

Aircraft Engine Noise Reduction.—The Committee understands the difficulties near-field and far-field aircraft engine noise poses for communities surrounding military installations and service members working in close proximity to military aircraft. The Committee notes that the Navy has pursued noise reduction solutions, and understands that variable exhaust nozzle seal chevron technologies have the potential to contribute to noise reduction. The Committee encourages the Navy to continue to pursue researching these technologies.

Spectral and Reconnaissance Imagery for Tactical Exploitation.— The Committee is aware of the versatility and broad application the spectral and reconnaissance imagery for tactical exploitation sensor system brings to the warfighter in support of intelligence, surveillance and reconnaissance missions. The Committee understands that certain capabilities are available for integration and testing on current unmanned aircraft prior to the complete system being available and encourages the Navy to accelerate integration and test of these modular sensor systems.

Synthetic Biology.—The Committee recognizes the potential for synthetic biology to enable the manufacture of pharmaceuticals,

fuels, and industrial chemicals using environmentally low impact and cost effective processes. The Committee urges the Department of Defense (DoD), through the Office for Naval Research, to support basic research and engineering on the rapid development of cell-free biosynthesis of commercially important molecules, by combining high throughput screening methods, rapid protein production, and computational analysis.

Materials Research.—The Committee urges the Office of Naval Research to support research and development that addresses materials homogeneity and integration related to electronic and photonic technologies. The results of fundamental electronic and photonic materials research can be more rapidly translated into military and commercial applications in portable electronics and displays, such as sensors, communications systems, power systems,

and enemy monitoring technology.

Improving Performance of Military Platforms.—The Committee notes that turbulent boundary layers form along the surfaces of aircraft and marine platforms, and produce a force that opposes the motion of the subject vehicle. The Committee further notes that mitigating such drag can reduce fuel consumption and optimize performance of military platforms, such as ships, submarines, and transport and fighter aircraft. However, there is only limited domestic capability to study and quantify the impact of turbulent boundary layers on Naval platforms. Therefore, the Committee encourages the Office of Naval Research to review its existing infrastructure and technical capabilities to experimentally quantify aircraft and marine platforms turbulence, and to address any identified gaps.

### RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2017	\$27,788,548,000
Budget estimate, 2018	34,914,359,000
Committee recommendation	36,587,419,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$36,587,419,000. This is \$1,673,060,000 above the budget estimate.

### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, AIR FORCE			
1 2 3	BASIC RESEARCH DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES UNIVERSITY RESEARCH INITIATIVES HIGH ENERGY LASER RESEARCH INITIATIVES	342,919 147,923 14,417	342,919 147,923 14,417	
	TOTAL, BASIC RESEARCH	505,259	505,259	
4 5 6	APPLIED RESEARCH MATERIALS AEROSPACE VEHICLE TECHNOLOGIES HUMAN FFFECTIVENESS APPLIED RESEARCH	124,264 124,678 108.784	144,264 139,678 108.784	+ 20,000 + 15,000

178

	[In thousands of dollars]			
Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
7	AEROSPACE PROPULSION	192,695	197,695	+ 5,000
8	AEROSPACE SENSORS	152,782	159,282	+ 6,500
9	SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY MANAGEMENT—MAJOR HEAD-	,	,	,
	QUARTERS	8,353	8,353	
10	SPACE TECHNOLOGY	116,503	156,103	+ 39,600
11	CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS	112,195	112,195	
12	DIRECTED ENERGY TECHNOLOGY	132,993	132,993	
13	DOMINANT INFORMATION SCIENCES AND METHODS	167,818	189,318	+ 21,500
14	HIGH ENERGY LASER RESEARCH	43,049	43,049	
	TOTAL, APPLIED RESEARCH	1,284,114	1,391,714	+ 107,600
	ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT			
15	ADVANCED MATERIALS FOR WEAPON SYSTEMS	37,856	37,856	
16	SUSTAINMENT SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY (S&T)	22,811	22,811	
17	ADVANCED AEROSPACE SENSORS	40,978	47,978	+7,000
18	AEROSPACE TECHNOLOGY DEV/DEMO	115,966	115,966	
19	AEROSPACE PROPULSION AND POWER TECHNOLOGY	104,499	122,999	+ 18,500
20	ELECTRONIC COMBAT TECHNOLOGY	60,551	60,551	
21	ADVANCED SPACECRAFT TECHNOLOGY	58,910	83,910	+ 25,000
22	MAUI SPACE SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM [MSSS]	10,433	10,433	
23	HUMAN EFFECTIVENESS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	33,635	33,635	
24	CONVENTIONAL WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY	167,415	167,415	
25	ADVANCED WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY	45,502	45,502	
26	MANUFACTURING TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM	46,450	55,050	+ 8,600
27	BATTLESPACE KNOWLEDGE DEVELOPMENT & DEMONSTRATION	49,011	55,011	+ 6,000
	TOTAL, ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	794,017	859,117	+ 65,100
00	ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT	F 050	7.050	0.000
28	INTELLIGENCE ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT	5,652	7,652	+ 2,000
30	COMBAT IDENTIFICATION TECHNOLOGY	24,397	24,397	
31	NATO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	3,851	3,851	
33 34	INTERCONTINENTAL BALLISTIC MISSILE POLLUTION PREVENTION (DEM/VAL)	10,736	30,736 2	+ 20,000
35	LONG RANGE STRIKE	2,003,580	2,003,580	
36	INTEGRATED AVIONICS PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT	65,458	65,458	
37	ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY AND SENSORS	68,719	88,349	+ 19,630
38	NATIONAL AIRBORNE OPS CENTER [NAOC] RECAP	7,850	4,850	-3,000
39	TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER	3,295	18,295	+ 15,000
40	HARD AND DEEPLY BURIED TARGET DEFEAT SYSTEM	17,365	14,065	-3,300
41	CYBER RESILIENCY OF WEAPON SYSTEMS—ACS	32,253	42,453	+ 10,200
44	DEPLOYMENT AND DISTRIBUTION ENTERPRISE R&D	26,222	26,222	
46	TECH TRANSITION PROGRAM	840,650	1,026,650	+ 186,000
47	GROUND BASED STRATEGIC DETERRENT	215,721	200,621	-15,100
49	NEXT GENERATION AIR DOMINANCE	294,746	294,746	
50	THREE DIMENSIONAL LONG-RANGE RADAR	10,645	10,645	
52	COMMON DATA LINK EXECUTIVE AGENT (CDL EA)	41,509	41,509	
53	CYBER OPERATIONS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	226,287	194,487	-31,800
54	ENABLED CYBER ACTIVITIES	16,687	16,687	
55	SPECIAL TACTICS/COMBAT CONTROL	4,500	4,500	
56 57	CONTRACTING INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SYSTEM NAVSTAR GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM (USER EQUIPMENT)	15,867	15,867	
	(SPACE)	253,939	332,439	+ 78,500
58	EO/IR WEATHER SYSTEMS	10,000	10,000	10.000
59	WEATHER SYSTEM FOLLOW-ON	112,088	102,088	-10,000
60	SPACE SITUATION AWARENESS SYSTEMS	34,764	44,764	+ 10,000
61	MIDTERM POLAR MILSATCOM SYSTEM	63,092	63,092	
62	SPACE CONTROL TECHNOLOGY	7,842	128,642	+ 120,800
63	SPACE SECURITY AND DEFENSE PROGRAM	41,385	38,385	- 3,000
64 65	PROTECTED TACTICAL ENTERPRISE SERVICE (PTES)	18,150	18,150	
66	PROTECTED TACTICAL SERVICE (PTS)PROTECTED SATCOM SERVICES (PSCS)—AGGREGATED	24,201 16,000	24,201 16,000	
67	OPERATIONALLY RESPONSIVE SPACE	87,577	87,577	
07	OF EIGHTONALLT INLOT ONOTIVE OF MOL	07,377	07,377	

179

		2010	Committee	Change from
ie	Item	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	TOTAL, ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT	4,605,030	5,000,960	+ 395,930
	ENGINEERING & MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT			
8	FUTURE ADVANCED WEAPON ANALYSIS & PROGRAMS	5,100	5,100	
9	INTEGRATED AVIONICS PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT	101,203	101.203	
0	NUCLEAR WEAPONS SUPPORT	3,009	3,009	
ĺ	ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT	2,241	2,241	
	TACTICAL DATA NETWORKS ENTERPRISE	38,250	38,250	
	PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT	19,739	19,739	
	SMALL DIAMETER BOMB [SDB]	38,979	38,979	
	AIRBORNE ELECTRONIC ATTACK	7,091	2,091	-5,000
	ARMAMENT/ORDNANCE DEVELOPMENT	46,540	46,540	
	SUBMUNITIONS	2,705	2,705	
	AGILE COMBAT SUPPORT	31,240	37,740	+6,500
	LIFE SUPPORT SYSTEMS	9,060	9,060	
	COMBAT TRAINING RANGES	87,350	83,850	-3,500
	F-35—EMD	292,947	292,947	
	LONG RANGE STANDOFF WEAPON	451,290	451,290	
	ICBM FUZE MODERNIZATION	178,991	178,991	
	JOINT TACTICAL NETWORK CENTER (JTNC)	12,736	12,736	
	JOINT TACTICAL NETWORK (JTN)	9,319	9,319	
	F-22 MODERNIZATION INCREMENT 3.2B	13,600	13,600	10.000
	NEXT GENERATION AERIAL REFUELING AIRCRAFT KC-46	93,845	83,845	-10,000
	ADVANCED PILOT TRAINING	105,999	86,199	-19,800
	COMBAT RESCUE HELICOPTER	354,485	354,485	114 745
	AIR AND SPACE OPS CENTER 10.2	119,745	5,000	- 114,745 - 51,200
	B-2 DEFENSIVE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM	194,570 91,237	143,370 91,237	- 31,200
}	F-15 EPAWSS	209,847	209,847	
	STAND IN ATTACK WEAPON	3,400	3,400	
,	FULL COMBAT MISSION TRAINING	16,727	8,727	- 8,000
	NEXTGEN JSTARS	417,201	405.451	- 11,750
	C-32 EXECUTIVE TRANSPORT RECAPITALIZATION	6,017	6,017	11,750
	PRESIDENTIAL AIRCRAFT REPLACEMENT	434,069	434,069	
	AUTOMATED TEST SYSTEMS	18,528	18,528	
	COMBAT SURVIVOR EVADER LOCATOR	24,967	24,967	
	SPACE SITUATION AWARENESS OPERATIONS	10,029	10,029	
	COUNTERSPACE SYSTEMS	66,370	66,370	
	SPACE SITUATION AWARENESS SYSTEMS	48,448	48,448	
	SPACE FENCE	35,937	62,837	+26,900
	ADVANCED EHF MILSATCOM (SPACE)	145,610	145,610	
	POLAR MILSATCOM (SPACE)	33,644	33,644	
	WIDEBAND GLOBAL SATCOM (SPACE)	14,263	7,263	-7,000
	SPACE BASED INFRARED SYSTEM [SBIRS] HIGH EMD	311,844	324,644	+12,800
	EVOLVED SBIRS	71,018	71,018	
	EVOLVED EXPENDABLE LAUNCH VEHICLE PROGRAM (SPACE)—			
	EMD	297,572	397,572	+ 100,000
	TOTAL, ENGINEERING & MANUFACTURING DEVELOP-			
	MENT	4,476,762	4,391,967	<b>- 84,795</b>
	DDT 0 E MANACEMENT CHDDODT			
	RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT THREAT SIMULATOR DEVELOPMENT	35,405	35,405	
			44-0-4	± 35 000
	RAND PROJECT AIR FORCE	82,874 34,346	117,874 34,346	+ 35,000
	INITIAL OPERATIONAL TEST & EVALUATION	15,523	15,523	
	TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT	678,289	705,689	+ 27,400
	ACQ WORKFORCE— GLOBAL POWER	219,809	219,809	T 27,400
	ACQ WORKFORCE— GLOBAL VIG & COMBAT SYS	223,179	228,179	+ 5,000
,	ACQ WORKFORCE— GLOBAL VIG & COMBAT 313	138,556	138,556	+ 3,000
}	ACQ WORKFORCE— CYBER, NETWORK, & BUS SYS	221,393	206,393	- 15,000
ļ	ACQ WORKFORCE— GLOBAL BATTLE MGMT	152,577	147,577	- 5,000
,	ACQ WORKFORCE— CAPABILITY INTEGRATION	196,561	217,061	+ 20,500
ò	ACQ WORKFORCE— ADVANCED PRGM TECHNOLOGY	28,322	28,322	
7	ACQ WORKFORCE— NUCLEAR SYSTEMS	126,611	121,111	- 5,500

180

	[In thousands of dollars]			
Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
140	MANAGEMENT HQ—R&D	9,154	9,154	
141	FACILITIES RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION—TEST & EVAL	135,507	135,507	
142	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT—TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT	28,720	28,720	
143	REQUIREMENTS ANALYSIS AND MATURATION	35,453	142,453	+ 107,000
146	ENTERPRISE INFORMATION SERVICES (EIS)	29,049	29,049	
147	ACQUISITION AND MANAGEMENT SUPPORT	14,980	14,980	
148	GENERAL SKILL TRAINING	1,434	1,434	
150	INTERNATIONAL ACTIVITIES	4,569	4,569	
151	SPACE TEST AND TRAINING RANGE DEVELOPMENT	25,773	25,773	10.000
152 153	SPACE AND MISSILE CENTER (SMC) CIVILIAN WORKFORCE SPACE & MISSILE SYSTEMS CENTER—MHA	169,887 9,531	159,887	-10,000
154	ROCKET SYSTEMS LAUNCH PROGRAM (SPACE)	20,975	9,531 33,975	+ 13,000
155	SPACE TEST PROGRAM (STP)	25.398	25,398	+ 13,000
133	STAGE TEST FROGRAM (STI)	23,336	23,336	
	TOTAL, RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT	2,663,875	2,836,275	+ 172,400
157	OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT NUCLEAR WEAPONS SUPPORT	27 570	27 570	
158	SPECIALIZED UNDERGRADUATE FLIGHT TRAINING	27,579 5,776	27,579 5,776	
159	WIDE AREA SURVEILLANCE	16,247	16,247	
161	AIR FORCE INTEGRATED MILITARY HUMAN RESOURCES SYSTEM	21,915	17,915	-4,000
162	ANTI-TAMPER TECHNOLOGY EXECUTIVE AGENCY	33,150	33,150	4,000
163	FOREIGN MATERIEL ACQUISITION AND EXPLOITATION	66.653	66,653	
164	HC/MC-130 RECAP RDT&E	38,579	32,979	- 5.600
165	NC3 INTEGRATION	12,636	12,636	
166	B-52 SQUADRONS	111,910	107,310	-4,600
167	AIR-LAUNCHED CRUISE MISSILE [ALCM]	463	463	
168	B-1B SQUADRONS	62,471	62,471	
169	B-2 SQUADRONS	193,108	171,108	- 22,000
170	MINUTEMAN SQUADRONS	210,845	210,845	
171	STRAT WAR PLANNING SYSTEM—USSTRATCOM	25,736	25,736	
173	WORLDWIDE JOINT STRATEGIC COMMUNICATIONS	6,272	13,272	+7,000
174	INTEGRATED STRATEGIC PLANNING & ANALYSIS NETWORK	11,032	11,032	
176	UH—1N REPLACEMENT PROGRAM	108,617	108,617	
177	REGION/SECTOR OPERATION CONTROL CENTER MODERNIZATION	3,347	3,347	10.400
179	MQ-9 UAV A-10 SQUADRONS	201,394	190,994	-10,400
182 183	F-16 SQUADRONS	17,459 246,578	17,459 256,578	+ 10.000
184	F–15E SQUADRONS	320,271	320,271	+ 10,000
185	MANNED DESTRUCTIVE SUPPRESSION	15,106	15,106	
186	F–22 SQUADRONS	610,942	610,942	
187	F–35 SQUADRONS	334,530	256,717	- 77,813
188	TACTICAL AIM MISSILES	34,952	34,952	
189	ADVANCED MEDIUM RANGE AIR-TO-AIR MISSILE (AMRAAM)	61,322	61,322	
191	COMBAT RESCUE—PARARESCUE	693	693	
193	PRECISION ATTACK SYSTEMS PROCUREMENT	1,714	1,714	
194	COMPASS CALL	14,040	34,240	+ 20,200
195	AIRCRAFT ENGINE COMPONENT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	109,243	109,243	
197	JOINT AIR-TO-SURFACE STANDOFF MISSILE [JASSM]	29,932	24,932	- 5,000
198	AIR AND SPACE OPERATIONS CENTER [AOC]	26,956	88,756	+61,800
199	CONTROL AND REPORTING CENTER [CRC]	2,450	2,450	
200	AIRBORNE WARNING AND CONTROL SYSTEM [AWACS]	151,726	151,726	
201	TACTICAL AIRBORNE CONTROL SYSTEMS	3,656	3,656	
203	COMBAT AIR INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM ACTIVITIES	13,420	15,920	+ 2,500
204	TACTICAL AIR CONTROL PARTY-MOD	10,623	10,623	
205	C2ISR TACTICAL DATA LINK	1,754	1,754	
206	DCAPES NATIONAL TECHNICAL NUCLEAR FORENSICS	17,382	17,382	
207 208	SEEK EAGLESEEK EAGLE	2,307	2,307	
208	USAF MODELING AND SIMULATION	25,397 10,175	25,397 10,175	
210	WARGAMING AND SIMULATION CENTERS	10,175	10,175	
211	DISTRIBUTED TRAINING AND EXERCISES	4,190	4,190	
211	MISSION PLANNING SYSTEMS	85,531	85,531	
213			,	
210		. 0,701	5,701	

181

215 AI 218 G 219 N 227 AI 228 E- 230 M 231 IN 232 G 236 AI 237 C 240 C 241 G 243 W 244 AI 245 AI 245 AI 245 AI 246 AI 247 D 250 D 257 D 258 EI 260 M 261 D 262 R 263 N 266 SI 269 IN 271 R 275 PI 278 C	IF OFFENSIVE CYBERSPACE OPERATIONS  IF DEFENSIVE CYBERSPACE OPERATIONS  SILOBAL SENSOR INTEGRATED ON NETWORK (GSIN)  HUCLEAR PLANNING AND EXECUTION SYSTEM (NPES)  HIR FORCE SPACE AND CYBER NON-TRADITIONAL ISR FOR  BATTLESPACE AWARENESS  HAT IN A STIONAL AIRBORNE OPERATIONS CENTER [NAOC]  MINIMUM ESSENTIAL EMERGENCY COMMUNICATIONS NETWORK  NFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM  BLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM  BLOBAL FORCE MANAGEMENT—DATA INITIATIVE  HURBORNE SIGINT ENTERPRISE  COMMERCIAL ECONOMIC ANALYSIS  COMMERCIAL ECONOMIC ANALYSIS  COMMINICALIGENCE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY  BLOBAL AIR TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT (GATM)  VEATHER SERVICE  HIR TRAFFIC CONTROL, APPROACH, & LANDING SYSTEM (ATC)  LERIAL TARGETS  BECURITY AND INVESTIGATIVE ACTIVITIES  DEFENSE JOINT COUNTERINTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES  DEFENSE JOINT COUNTERINTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES	35,693 20,964 3,549 4,371 35,467 48,841 42,973 105 2,147 121,948 3,544 1,542 4,453 26,654 6,306	35,693 20,964 3,549 4,371 35,467 27,841 42,973 105 2,147 121,948 3,544 1,542 4,453	
215 AI 218 G 219 N 227 AI 228 E- 230 M 231 IN 232 G 233 G 236 AI 237 C 241 G 244 AI 245 AI 244 AI 245 AI 246 AI 247 D 257 D 258 EI 250 M 261 M 262 R 263 N 265 N 266 SI 269 IN 271 R 275 PI 278 C	IF DEFENSIVE CYBERSPACE OPERATIONS SLOBAL SENSOR INTEGRATED ON NETWORK (GSIN) INCLEAR PLANNING AND EXECUTION SYSTEM (NPES) INF FORCE SPACE AND CYBER NON-TRADITIONAL ISR FOR BATTLESPACE AWARENESS	20,964 3,549 4,371 3,721 35,467 48,841 42,973 105 2,147 121,948 3,544 1,542 4,453 26,654	20,964 3,549 4,371 3,721 35,467 27,841 42,973 105 2,147 121,948 3,544 1,542 4,453	
218 G 219 N 227 Al 228 E-230 M 231 IN 232 G 236 Al 237 C 240 C 241 G 244 Al 245 Al 245 Al 245 Al 246 C 262 R 263 N 266 S   266 S	SLOBAL SENSOR INTEGRATED ON NETWORK (GSIN)  JUCLEAR PLANNING AND EXECUTION SYSTEM (NPES)  JUCLEAR PLANNING AND EXECUTION SYSTEM (NPES)  JUCLEAR PLANNING AND EXECUTION SYSTEM (NPES)  BATTLESPACE AND CYBER NON-TRADITIONAL ISR FOR BATTLESPACE AWARENESS  -4B NATIONAL AIRBORNE OPERATIONS CENTER [NAOC]  JINIMUM ESSENTIAL EMERGENCY COMMUNICATIONS NETWORK NFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM  SICOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM  SICOBAL FORCE MANAGEMENT —DATA INITIATIVE  JURITARY SIGNIT ENTERPRISE  SOMMERCIAL ECONOMIC ANALYSIS  SCEND INTELLIGENCE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY  SICOBAL AIR TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT (GATM)  VEATHER SERVICE  JURITARFIC CONTROL, APPROACH, & LANDING SYSTEM (ATC)  JURITARY AND INVESTIGATIVE ACTIVITIES  DEFENSE JOINT COUNTERINTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES	3,549 4,371 3,721 35,467 48,841 42,973 105 2,147 121,948 3,544 1,542 4,453 26,654	3,549 4,371 3,721 35,467 27,841 42,973 105 2,147 121,948 3,544 1,542 4,453	
219 N 227 Ai 228 E. 230 M 231 IN 232 G 233 G 237 C 240 C 241 G 243 W 244 Ai 245 Ai 245 Ai 246 D 259 Ai 260 M 261 D 262 R 263 N 266 SI 266 SI 267 N 271 R 275 Pi 276 IN 278 C	IUCLEAR PLANNING AND EXECUTION SYSTEM (NPES)  IR FORCE SPACE AND CYBER NON-TRADITIONAL ISR FOR BATTLESPACE AWARENESS  IN HOR SEARCH STATE OF THE STATE OF THE SPACE AWARENESS  INIMIUM ESSENTIAL EMERGENCY COMMUNICATIONS NETWORK  INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM  BLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM  BLOBAL FORCE MANAGEMENT—DATA INITIATIVE  INTRORNE SIGINT ENTERPRISE  COMMERCIAL ECONOMIC ANALYSIS  COMMERCIAL ECONOMIC ANALYSIS  COMMERCIAL ECONOMIC ANALYSIS  COMMERCIAL FORCE MANAGEMENT (GATM)  VEATHER SERVICE  UR TRAFFIC CONTROL, APPROACH, & LANDING SYSTEM (ATC)  LERIAL TARGETS  SECURITY AND INVESTIGATIVE ACTIVITIES  DEFENSE JOINT COUNTERINTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES	4,371 3,721 35,467 48,841 42,973 105 2,147 121,948 3,544 1,542 4,453 26,654	4,371 3,721 35,467 27,841 42,973 105 2,147 121,948 3,544 1,542 4,453	- 21,000
227 Ai 228 E- 230 M 231 IN 232 G 233 G 236 Ai 237 C 240 C 241 G 243 W 245 Ai 245 Ai 246 D 258 Ei 259 Ai 260 M 261 D 262 R 263 N 265 N 266 SI 269 IN 271 R 275 PI 278 C	IR FORCE SPACE AND CYBER NON-TRADITIONAL ISR FOR BATTLESPACE AWARENESS  —4B NATIONAL AIRBORNE OPERATIONS CENTER [NAOC]  MINIMUM ESSENTIAL EMERGENCY COMMUNICATIONS NETWORK SIT OF THE SECONDARY SECO	3,721 35,467 48,841 42,973 105 2,147 121,948 3,544 1,542 4,453 26,654	3,721 35,467 27,841 42,973 105 2,147 121,948 3,544 1,542 4,453	- 21,000 
228 E. 230 M M 231 IN 232 G	BATTLESPACE AWARENESS AB NATIONAL AIRBORNE OPERATIONS CENTER [NAOC] MINIMUM ESSENTIAL EMERGENCY COMMUNICATIONS NETWORK NFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM BLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM BLOBAL FORCE MANAGEMENT—DATA INITIATIVE MIRBORNE SIGINT ENTERPRISE COMMERCIAL ECONOMIC ANALYSIS COMMERCIAL ECONOMIC ANALYSIS COMMERCIAL TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT (GATM) WEATHER SERVICE HIR TRAFFIC CONTROL, APPROACH, & LANDING SYSTEM (ATC) LERIAL TARGETS BECURITY AND INVESTIGATIVE ACTIVITIES DEFENSE JOINT COUNTERINTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES	35,467 48,841 42,973 105 2,147 121,948 3,544 1,542 4,453 26,654	35,467 27,841 42,973 105 2,147 121,948 3,544 1,542 4,453	-21,000
230 M 231 IN 232 G 233 G 236 Al 237 C 240 C 241 G 244 Al 245 Al 248 SI 250 D 258 EI 259 Al 261 D 262 R 263 N 265 N 266 SI 269 IN 271 R 275 PPI 276 IN 278 IN	—4B NATIONAL AIRBORNE OPERATIONS CENTER [NAOC]  MINIMUM ESSENTIAL EMERGENCY COMMUNICATIONS NETWORK  NFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM  BLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM  GLOBAL FORCE MANAGEMENT—DATA INITIATIVE  MIRBORNE SIGINT ENTERPRISE  COMMERCIAL ECONOMIC ANALYSIS  COCKDI INTELLIGENCE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY  BLOBAL AIR TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT (GATM)  VEATHER SERVICE  UR TRAFFIC CONTROL, APPROACH, & LANDING SYSTEM (ATC)  MERIAL TARGETS  BECURITY AND INVESTIGATIVE ACTIVITIES  DEFENSE JOINT COUNTERINTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES	35,467 48,841 42,973 105 2,147 121,948 3,544 1,542 4,453 26,654	35,467 27,841 42,973 105 2,147 121,948 3,544 1,542 4,453	-21,000
230 M 231 IN 232 G 233 G 236 Al 237 C 240 C 241 G 244 Al 245 Al 248 SI 250 D 258 EI 259 Al 261 D 262 R 263 N 265 N 266 SI 269 IN 271 R 275 PPI 276 IN 278 IN	MINIMUM ESSENTIAL EMERGENCY COMMUNICATIONS NETWORK NFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM SLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM SLOBAL FORCE MANAGEMENT—DATA INITIATIVE URBORNE SIGINT ENTERPRISE COMMERCIAL ECONOMIC ANALYSIS COMD INTELLIGENCE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SLOBAL AIR TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT (GATM) WEATHER SERVICE URE TRAFFIC CONTROL, APPROACH, & LANDING SYSTEM (ATC) LIR TRAFFIC TARGETS SECURITY AND INVESTIGATIVE ACTIVITIES DEFENSE JOINT COUNTERINTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES	48,841 42,973 105 2,147 121,948 3,544 1,542 4,453 26,654	27,841 42,973 105 2,147 121,948 3,544 1,542 4,453	-21,000
231 IN 232 G 233 G 236 Al 240 C 241 G 243 W 245 Al 245 Al 246 SI 250 D 258 El 259 Al 260 M 261 D 262 R 263 N 265 N 266 SI 269 IN	NFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM  SLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM  SLOBAL FORCE MANAGEMENT—DATA INITIATIVE  SLOBAL FORCE MANAGEMENT—DATA INITIATIVE  SUMMERCIAL ECONOMIC ANALYSIS  COMD INTELLIGENCE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY  SLOBAL AIR TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT (GATM)  VEATHER SERVICE  SIR TRAFFIC CONTROL, APPROACH, & LANDING SYSTEM (ATC)  SECURITY AND INVESTIGATIVE ACTIVITIES  DEFENSE JOINT COUNTERINTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES	42,973 105 2,147 121,948 3,544 1,542 4,453 26,654	42,973 105 2,147 121,948 3,544 1,542 4,453	
232 G 233 G 236 Al 237 C 240 C 241 G 243 W 244 Al 248 SI 250 D 257 D 260 M 261 D 261 D 266 SI 266 SI 269 IN 271 R 275 P 276 IN 278 C	SLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM  SLOBAL FORCE MANAGEMENT—DATA INITIATIVE  LIRBORNE SIGINT ENTERPRISE  COMMERCIAL ECONOMIC ANALYSIS  COMMERCIAL ECONOMIC ANALYSIS  COMD INTELLIGENCE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY  SLOBAL AIR TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT (GATM)  VEATHER SERVICE  LIR TRAFFIC CONTROL, APPROACH, & LANDING SYSTEM (ATC)  LERIAL TARGETS  SECURITY AND INVESTIGATIVE ACTIVITIES  DEFENSE JOINT COUNTERINTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES	105 2,147 121,948 3,544 1,542 4,453 26,654	105 2,147 121,948 3,544 1,542 4,453	
233 G 236 Al 237 C 240 C 243 W 244 Al 245 Al 248 SI 257 D 258 El 259 Al 260 M 261 D 262 R 263 N 265 S 269 III 271 R 275 Pl 276 III 276 C	SLOBAL FORCE MANAGEMENT—DATA INITIATIVE  LIRBORNE SIGINT ENTERPRISE  COMMERCIAL ECONOMIC ANALYSIS  COMD INTELLIGENCE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY  SLOBAL AIR TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT (GATM)  VEATHER SERVICE  LIR TRAFFIC CONTROL, APPROACH, & LANDING SYSTEM (ATC)  LERIAL TARGETS  SECURITY AND INVESTIGATIVE ACTIVITIES  DEFENSE JOINT COUNTERINTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES	2,147 121,948 3,544 1,542 4,453 26,654	2,147 121,948 3,544 1,542 4,453	
236 AI 237 C 240 C 241 G 243 W 244 AI 245 AI 245 AI 250 D 257 D 258 EI 259 AI 260 M 262 R 263 N 265 N 266 SI 269 III 271 R 275 PI 276 III 278 C	INBORNE SIGINT ENTERPRISE  COMMERCIAL ECONOMIC ANALYSIS  COMD INTELLIGENCE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY  BLOBAL AIR TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT (GATM)  VEATHER SERVICE  LIR TRAFFIC CONTROL, APPROACH, & LANDING SYSTEM (ATC)  LERIAL TARGETS  BECURITY AND INVESTIGATIVE ACTIVITIES  DEFENSE JOINT COUNTERINTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES	121,948 3,544 1,542 4,453 26,654	121,948 3,544 1,542 4,453	
237 C C 240 C C 241 G C 243 W 244 A A 245 A A 250 D C 258 E C 259 A 260 M 265 N 266 S C 262 R 263 N 265 N 266 S C 267 R 275 P P 275 P P 278 C 78	COMMERCIAL ECONOMIC ANALYSIS	3,544 1,542 4,453 26,654	3,544 1,542 4,453	
240 C 241 G 243 W 244 Al 245 Al 250 D 257 D 258 El 259 Al 261 D 263 N 265 N 266 SI 269 IN 271 R 275 P 276 IN 278 C	CCMD INTELLIGENCE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	1,542 4,453 26,654	1,542 4,453	1
241 G 243 W 244 Al 245 Al 250 D 257 D 258 El 259 Al 261 D 262 R 263 N 265 N. 265 S. 269 IN 271 R 275 Pl 275 Pl 278 C	SLOBAL AIR TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT (GATM)	4,453 26,654	4,453	
243 W 244 AI 245 AI 248 SI 257 D 258 EI 259 AI 261 D 262 R 263 N 265 N. 266 SI 271 R 275 PI 276 III 278 C	VEATHER SERVICE UR TRAFFIC CONTROL, APPROACH, & LANDING SYSTEM (ATC) LERIAL TARGETS SECURITY AND INVESTIGATIVE ACTIVITIES DEFENSE JOINT COUNTERINTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES	26,654	,	
244 AI 245 AI 248 SI 250 D 257 D 258 EI 259 AI 260 M 262 R 263 N 265 N 266 SI 269 III 271 R 275 PI 275 PI 278 I C	IIR TRAFFIC CONTROL, APPROACH, & LANDING SYSTEM (ATC) IERIAL TARGETS			
245 AI 248 SI 250 D 257 D 258 EI 259 AI 260 M 261 D 262 R 263 N 265 N 266 SI 269 IN 271 R 275 PI 276 IN 278 C	IERIAL TARGETS SECURITY AND INVESTIGATIVE ACTIVITIES DEFENSE JOINT COUNTERINTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES	0,300	26,654	
248 SI 250 D 257 D 258 EI 259 A 260 M 261 D 262 R 263 N 265 N 266 SI 269 IN 271 R 275 PI 276 IN 276 C	SECURITY AND INVESTIGATIVE ACTIVITIES DEFENSE JOINT COUNTERINTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES	21 205	6,306	
250 D 257 D 258 E1 259 Al 260 M 261 D 262 R 263 N 265 N 266 SI 269 IN 271 R. 275 P 276 II 278 C	DEFENSE JOINT COUNTERINTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES	21,295	21,295	
257 D 258 EL 259 Al 260 M 261 D 263 N 266 SI 269 IN 271 R. 271 R. 276 II 278 C		415	415	
258 E1 259 A1 260 M 261 D 262 R 263 N 266 SI 269 IN 271 R. 275 P1 276 IN 278 C		3,867	3,867 29.486	F 000
259 AI 260 M 261 D 262 R 263 N 265 N. 266 SI 269 IN 271 R. 275 PI 276 IN 278 C		34,486	.,	- 5,000
260 M 261 D 262 R 263 N 265 N. 266 SI 269 IN 271 R. 275 PI 276 IN 278 C	NDURANCE UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES	4.450	40,000	+ 40,000
261 D 262 R 263 N 265 N. 266 SI 269 IN 271 R. 275 PI 276 IN 278 C	IIRBORNE RECONNAISSANCE SYSTEMS	4,450	26,950	+ 22,500
262 R 263 N 265 N. 266 SI 269 IN 271 R 275 PI 276 IN 278 C		14,269	14,269	
263 N. 265 N. 266 SI 269 IN  271 R. 275 PI 276 IN 278 C	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS	27,501	36,501	+ 9,000
265 N. 266 SI 269 IM 271 R. 275 PI 276 IM 278 C	RQ—4 UAV	214,849	222,849	+ 8,000
266 SI 269 IN 271 R. 275 PI 276 IN 278 C	IETWORK-CENTRIC COLLABORATIVE TARGET [TIARA]	18,842	18,842	
269 IN 271 R. 275 Pl 276 IN 278 C	NATO AGS	44,729	44,729	
271 R. 275 Pl 276 IM 278 C	SUPPORT TO DCGS ENTERPRISE	26,349	26,349	
275 PI 276 IN 278 C	NTERNATIONAL INTELLIGENCE TECHNOLOGY AND ARCHITEC-	2 401	0.401	
275 PI 276 IN 278 C	TURES	3,491	9,491	+ 6,000
276 IN 278 C	RAPID CYBER ACQUISITION	4,899	4,899	
278 C	PERSONNEL RECOVERY COMMAND & CTRL (PRC2)	2,445	2,445	
	NTELLIGENCE MISSION DATA (IMD)	8,684	8,684	
	C-130 AIRLIFT SQUADRONC-5 AIRLIFT SQUADRONS	10,219 22,758	10,219 11,758	— 11.000
			,	,
	C-17 AIRCRAFTC-130J PROGRAM	34,287 26,821	34,287 26,821	
	ARGE AIRCRAFT IR COUNTERMEASURES [LAIRCM]	5,283	5,283	
- 1	C-135S		9,942	
	IC-1933	9,942 7,933	7,933	
	DPERATIONAL SUPPORT AIRLIFT		6,681	
	V-22	6,681 22,519	22,519	
	MC COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM	3,510	3,510	
	SPECIAL TACTICS / COMBAT CONTROL	8,090	8,090	
	DEPOT MAINTENANCE (NON-IF)	1,528	1,528	
	MAINTENANCE, REPAIR & OVERHAUL SYSTEM	31.677	31,677	
	OGISTICS INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY [LOGIT]	33,344	33,344	
	SUPPORT SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT		11,362	+ 2,000
	OTHER FLIGHT TRAINING	9,362	2,074	+ 2,000
	OTHER PERSONNEL ACTIVITIES	2,074 107	2,074	
	OINT PERSONNEL RECOVERY AGENCY		2,006	
	CIVILIAN COMPENSATION PROGRAM	,	3,780	
	PERSONNEL ADMINISTRATION	3,780		
	NR FORCE STUDIES AND ANALYSIS AGENCY	7,472	7,472 1,563	
	INANCIAL MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	1,563	91,211	
	SERVICE SUPPORT TO STRATCOM—SPACE ACTIVITIES	91,211		
		14,255	14,255	
	IF TENCAP	31,914	31,914	
		32,426	56,526	+ 24,100
	AMILY OF ADVANCED BLOS TERMINALS (FAB-T)	18,808	18,808	
305 N	AMILY OF ADVANCED BLOS TERMINALS (FAB—T) SATELLITE CONTROL NETWORK (SPACE)	1		
306 SI	AMILY OF ADVANCED BLOS TERMINALS (FAB-T)	10,029	10,029	

182

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
307	SPACE INNOVATION, INTEGRATION AND RAPID TECHNOLOGY DE-			
	VELOPMENT	11,390	11,390	
308	INTEGRATED BROADCAST SERVICE (IBS)	8,747	8,747	
309	SPACELIFT RANGE SYSTEM (SPACE)	10,549	20,549	+10,000
310	GPS III SPACE SEGMENT	243,435	215,435	- 28,000
311	SPACE SUPERIORITY INTELLIGENCE	12,691	10,691	- 2,000
312	JSPOC MISSION SYSTEM	99,455	147,955	+ 48,500
313	NATIONAL SPACE DEFENSE CENTER	18,052	86,052	+ 68,000
314	SHARED EARLY WARNING [SEW]	1,373	1,373	
315	NCMC—TW/AA SYSTEM	5,000	5,000	
316	NUDET DETECTION SYSTEM (SPACE)	31,508	31,508	
317	SPACE SITUATION AWARENESS OPERATIONS	99,984	131,984	+ 32,000
318	GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM III—OPERATIONAL CONTROL SEG-			
	MENT	510,938	510,938	
	TOTAL, OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	5,647,300	5,862,487	+ 215,187
9999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	14,938,002	15,739,640	+801,638
	TOTAL, RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, AIR	24.014.250	20 507 410	. 1 672 060
	FORCE	34,914,359	36,587,419	+ 1,673,060

# COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

# The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

Line	Item	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
4	Materials	124,264	144,264	+ 20,000
	Program increase: Certification of advanced com-			15.000
	posites			+ 15,000
5	Program increase: Coatings	124,678	139.678	+ 5,000 + 15,000
3	Aerospace Vehicle Technologies	124,076	139,076	+ 15,000 + 10,000
	Program increase: Hypersonic research capability			+ 10,000
	development			+ 5.000
7	Aerospace Propulsion	192,695	197,695	+ 5.000
,	Program increase		137,033	+ 5,000
8	Aerospace Sensors	152,782	159,282	+ 6,500
-	Program increase: Research by minority leaders		,	,
	program			+ 2,500
	Program increase			+4,000
10	Space Technology	116,503	156,103	+ 39,600
	Program increase: Small satellites for resiliency			
	and augmentation of space architecture			+19,600
	Program increase			+ 20,000
13	Dominant Information Sciences and Methods	167,818	189,318	+21,500
	Program increase: Radar-based C-UAS systems			+6,000
	Program increase			+ 15,500
17	Advanced Aerospace Sensors	40,978	47,978	+ 7,000
19	Program increase	104 400	100.000	+7,000
19	Aerospace Propulsion and Power Technology Program increase: Silicon carbide research	104,499	122,999	+ 18,500 + 11,000
	Program increase			+ 11,000 + 7,500
21	Advanced Spacecraft Technology	58.910	83,910	+ 25.000
21	Program increase: Commercial SSA consortia/	30,310	05,510	1 23,000
	testbed			+ 15,000
	Program increase			+ 10,000
26	Manufacturing Technology Program	46,450	55,050	+ 8,600
	Program increase: F–35 battery technology			+ 8,600
27	Battlespace Knowledge Development and Demonstration	49,011	55,011	+6,000

183

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
28	Program increase: Radar-based C–UAS systems Intelligence Advanced Development Program increase: Distributed common ground sys-	5,652	7,652	+ 6,000 + 2,000
33	tems	10,736	30,736	+ 2,000 + 20,000
37	Program increase	68,719	88,349	+ 20,000 + 19,630
38	Radar Systems [ASARS-2B] Program increase: Hyperspectral chip development National Airborne Ops Center [NAOC] Recap	7,850	4,850	+ 11,500 + 8,130 - 3,000
39	Maintain program affordability: Recap excess to need	2 205	19 205	-3,000
40	Technology Transfer  Program increase: Technology partnerships  Hard and Deeply Buried Target Defeat System [HDBTDS]	3,295	18,295	+ 15,000 + 15,000
40	Program	17,365	14,065	- 3,300
41	Advanced 5000 Pound Penetrator	32,253	42,453	- 3,300 + 10,200
46	weapon systems Tech Transition Program Program increase: Light attack combat demonstra-	840,650	1,026,650	+ 10,200 + 186,000
	tion Program increase: Directed energy prototyping Program increase: Logistics technologies			+ 100,000 + 70,000 + 10,000
47	Program increase: Alternative energy research Ground Based Strategic Deterrent	215,721	200,621	+ 6,000 - 15,100
53	Improving funds management: Forward financed  Cyber Operations Technology Development  Maintain program affordability: Unjustified program	226,287	194,487	- 15,100 - 31,800
57	growth	253,939	332,439	- 31,800 + 78,500
59	INC2—handhelds Improving funds management: Prior year carryover Weather System Follow-on	112,088	102,088	+ 98,500 - 20,000 - 10,000
วฮ	Program increase: Commercial Weather Data pilot program			- 10,000 + 10,000
60	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover Space Situation Awareness Systems Program increase	34,764	44,764	-20,000 + 10,000 + 10,000
62	Space Control Technology	7,842	128,642	+ 120,800 + 7.000
63	Program increase: Space Defense Force Packaging Space Security and Defense Program	41,385	38,385	+ 113,800 - 3,000
78	Maintain program affordability: Unjustified growth Airborne Electronic Attack	7,091	2,091	- 3,000 - 5,000
82	Improving funds management: Forward financed Agile Combat Support	31,240	37,740	- 5,000 + 6,500 + 3,500
85	field damage repair initiative	87,350	83,850	+ 3,000 - 3,500 + 6,000
94	Improving funds management: Forward financed KC-46	93,845	83,845	- 9,500 - 10,000
95	program	105,999	86,199	- 10,000 - 19,800

184 [In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Restoring acquisiton accountability: Contract award delay			- 19,800
100	Air & Space Ops Center 10.2 RDT&E Transfer: Air Force-requested to RDAF line 198 and OMAF SAG 11C	119,745	5,000	- 114,745 - 84,800
101	Program termination: AOC 10.2	194,570	143,370	- 29,945 - 51,200
105	programmatic changes to acquisition strategy Full Combat Mission Training	16,727	8,727	- 51,200 - 8,000
109	Improving funds management: Forward financed  JSTARS Recap  Maintain program affordability: Excess manage-	417,201	405,451	- 8,000 - 11,750
117	ment services	35,937	62,837	- 11,750 + 26,900
120	based operational surveillance system Wideband Global SATCOM (SPACE)	14,263	7,263	+ 26,900 - 7,000 - 7,000
121	Reduce duplication: AoA effort	311,844	324,644	+ 12,800 + 12,800
123	Evolved Expendable Launch Vehicle Program (SPACE)— EMD	297,572	397,572	+ 100,000
125	Program increase	82,874	117,874	+ 100,000 + 35,000 + 15,000
	Program increase: T&E range investments Program increase: Weapon system cyber resil- iency—test and evaluation			+ 15,000 + 5,000
129	Test and Evaluation Support	678,289	705,689	+ 27,400 + 23,000
131	Program increase: Weapon system cyber resil- iency—test and evaluation	223,179	228,179	+ 4,400 + 5,000
133	Transfer: Air Force requested from RDTE line 134 Acq Workforce- Cyber, Network, & Bus Sys	221,393	206,393	+ 5,000 - 15,000
134	Transfer: Air Force requested to RDTE line 135  Acq Workforce- Global Battle Mgmt	152,577	147,577	- 15,000 - 5,000 - 5,000
135	Transfer: Air Force requested to RDTE line 131  Acq Workforce- Capability Integration  Transfer: Air Force requested from RDTE lines 133	196,561	217,061	+ 20,50
137	and 137  Acq Workforce- Nuclear Systems  Transfer: Air Force requested to RDTE line 135	126,611	121,111	+ 20,50 - 5,50 - 5,50
143	Requirements Analysis and Maturation Program increase: Modeling and simulation—joint	35,453	142,453	+ 107,00
	simulation environment Program increase: Global Strike Command analytics Program increase: Air superiority 2030 planning for			+ 70,000 + 7,000
152	development Space and Missile Center [SMC] Civilian Workforce Maintain program affordability: Maintain level of	169,887	159,887	+ 30,00 - 10,00
154	effort following transfer of positions	20,975	33,975	-10,00 + 13,00
161	Program increaseAF Integrated Personnel and Pay System [AF-IPPS] Maintain program affordability: Unjustified program	21,915	17,915	+ 13,00 - 4,00
164	growthHC/MC-130 Recap RDT&E	38,579	32,979	- 4,00 - 5,60
166	Restoring acquisition accountability: Block 8 devel- opment early to need B–52 Squadrons	111,910	107,310	- 5,600 - 4,600

185

	[In thousands of dol		1	
Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimat
	Restoring acquisition accountability: GPS-IU con-			
	tract award delay			- 4,6
169	B-2 Squadrons	193,108	171,108	-22,0
	Improving funds management: Forward financed			-13,0
	Restoring acquisition accountability: JASSM—ER in-			
	tegration early to need			- 8,0
	Maintain program affordability: Airspace compli-			
170	ance excess to need		10.070	- 1,0
173	Worldwide Joint Strategic Communications	6,272	13,272	+7,0
179	Program increase: NC3 architecture development	201 204	190,994	+ 7,0 - 10,4
1/3	MQ-9 UAV	201,394	130,334	- 10,4
	need			- 10.4
183	F-16 Squadrons	246,578	256,578	+ 10,0
100	Program increase: F-16 Multifunctional Information	210,070	200,070	1 10,0
	Distribution System-JTRS			+ 10,0
187	F–35 Squadrons	334,530	256,717	- 77,8
	Improving funds management: Follow on mod-	,		,-
	ernization early to need			- 77,8
194	Compass Call	14,040	34,240	+ 20,2
	Program increase: EC-X/Compass Call Cross Deck			+ 20,2
197	Joint Air-to-Surface Standoff Missile [JASSM]	29,932	24,932	<b>- 5,0</b>
	Insufficient budget justification: Capability en-			
	hancements			<b>–</b> 5,0
198	Air & Space Operations Center [AOC]	26,956	88,756	+ 61,8
	Transfer: Air Force-requested from RDAF line 100			+ 61,8
203	Combat Air Intelligence System Activities	13,420	15,920	+ 2,5
	Program increase			+ 2,5
230	Minimum Essential Emergency Communications Network	40.041	07.041	01.0
	[MEECN]	48,841	27,841	- 21,0
257	Improving funds management: Forward financed	24.400	20.400	- 21,0
257	Dragon U-2	34,486	29,486	— 5,0 — 5,0
258	Improving funds management: Forward financed Endurance Unmanned Aerial Vehicles		40,000	+ 40,0
230	Program increase: Ultra-long endurance aircraft		40,000	+ 40,0
259	Airborne Reconnaissance Systems	4,450	26,950	+ 22,5
200	Program increase: Multi-mission payload program			+ 17,5
	Program increase			+ 5,0
261	Distributed Common Ground/Surface Systems	27,501	36,501	+ 9,0
	Program increase: Open architecture and SIGINT in-			
	tegration			+ 9,0
262	RQ-4 UAV	214,849	222,849	+ 8,0
	Program increase: UPA for Block 40s			+ 8,0
269	International Intelligence Technology and Architectures	3,491	9,491	+ 6,0
	Transfer: Air Force-requested from OPAF line 13			+ 6,0
279	C-5 Airlift Squadrons (IF)	22,758	11,758	- 11,0
000	Improving funds management: Forward financed			-11,0
292	Support Systems Development	9,362	11,362	+ 2,0
302	Program increase	22.426		+ 2,0
302	Family of Advanced BLoS Terminals [FAB-T] Program increase: Fix POTUS national voice con-	32,426	56,526	+ 24,1
	ference integration			+ 21,7
	Program increase: NC3 FAB-T CPT			+7,4
	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover			- 5,0
306	Space and Missile Test and Evaluation Center	25,051	65,051	+ 40,0
550	Program increase: Space Enterprise Defense Imple-	20,001	00,001	. 10,0
	mentation			+ 40,0
309	Spacelift Range System (SPACE)	10,549	20,549	+ 10,0
	Program increase: Space launch range services			+ 10,0
310	GPS III Space Segment	243,435	215,435	- 28,0
	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover			-7,5
	Reduce duplication: M-code			-20,5
311	Space Superiority Intelligence	12,691	10,691	-2,0
	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover			-2,0

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
312	JSpOC Mission System	99,455	147,955	+ 48,500
313	mentation	18,052	86,052	+ 48,500 + 68,000
317	agement command and control	99,984	131,984	+ 68,000 + 32,000
999	based operational surveillance system	14,938,002	15,739,640	+ 32,000 + 801,638 + 801,638

Long Range Strike Bomber.—The Committee recommendation includes \$2,003,580,000, the same as the budget request, for the development of the B-21 Long Range Strike Bomber. To more easily oversee the development progress of the next generation bomber, the Committee directs the Rapid Capabilities Office to provide quarterly briefings on the B-21 program to the congressional defense committees. The quarterly briefings should include updated data by month of planned and actual: (1) personnel levels, (2) obligations and expenditures, (3) software builds, and (4) design pack-

Small Diameter Bomb Modifications.—The Committee understands ongoing counter-terrorism operations drive the current need for Global Positioning System [GPS] guided air-to-ground missiles like the Small Diameter Bomb. However, the increasing risk of GPS jamming threatens the warfighter's ability to strike targets precisely with low collateral damage in many areas of the globe. The Committee appreciates the work of the Strategic Capabilities Office in developing methods for legacy weapons to navigate using alternative sources to GPS and encourages the Air Force to apply these modifications to an increasing percentage of their munitions inventories in the future.

Joint Surveillance and Target Attack Radar System.—The fiscal year 2018 budget request includes \$417,201,000 for the Joint Surveillance and Target Attack Radar System [JSTARS] recapitalization program, of which more than \$370,000,000 is budgeted to support the start of the Engineering and Manufacturing Development [EMD] phase. Similar to schedule delays in the last three budget requests, the fiscal year 2018 request delays the EMD contract award from early fiscal year 2018 to the late fiscal year 2018. As a result, the Committee extends the period of obligation or expenditure of funds for pre-milestone activities to June 30, 2018.

Following the release of the budget, Air Force leadership informed several members of Congress that the Air Force plans to reconsider alternatives to the JSTARS recapitalization program. The Committee encourages the Air Force to award the JSTARS EMD contract as soon as possible and continue efforts to accelerate initial operational capability in 2024 and full operational capability in 2028. An analysis of alternatives was completed prior to initiating the recapitalization program in 2011 and reviewed again prior to the Milestone A decision in 2015. The Committee is concerned that another review will result in further delays, contradicting the intent of the program to rapidly integrate mature technologies onto an existing platform. Therefore, the Committee encourages the Air Force to only consider follow-on modernization efforts to a fielded JSTARS recapitalization platform. This approach aligns with the Air Force's acquisition strategy for the JSTARS recapitalization program to competitively develop mission capability with a modular, open services-based architecture.

If the Secretary of the Air Force cancels or modifies the current JSTARS recapitalization acquisition strategy, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the congressional defense committees to include: (1) a justification for the new strategy and the impact on each service and combatant command, (2) a certification that the new strategy does not result in capability gaps to the combatant commanders, and (3) an assessment on whether the Air Force or Army is best positioned to execute the new acquisition strategy.

Further, the Committee notes that the current JSTARS fleet provides significant joint air command and control capabilities in both land and maritime areas and strongly encourages the Department to fund all necessary modifications to the current JSTARS fleet in order to continue worldwide missions, avoid degradation of mission performance, and meet Combatant Commander requirements.

Civilian Personnel.—The fiscal year 2018 President's budget request transfers 10,458 civilian full time equivalents [FTE] and \$1,202,613,000 from Operation and Maintenance, Air Force to Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Air Force [RDTE, AF] to support the civilian personnel costs of the acquisition workforce. The intent of the transfer is to improve budget flexibility and address changing requirements as acquisition programs begin and evolve. The Committee supports this proposal, but wants to ensure that there is increased visibility and appropriate execution. Therefore, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to provide semi-annual updates to the congressional defense committees on civilian personnel funding in RDTE, AF by program element, and not limited to the acquisition workforce, to include: (1) the number of civilian FTEs and funding programmed in the current fiscal year and annually over the next five fiscal years, (2) the number of civilian FTEs and funding executed in the current and previous fiscal years, (3) explanation of all below the threshold and above the threshold reprogrammings involving civilian personnel, and (4) the impact on the staffing and effectiveness of the acquisition programs. Reports shall be submitted not later than 30 days following the end of the second quarter and 30 days following the end of the fiscal year.

Distributed Common Ground Systems.—The Committee recognizes the importance the Air Force's globally networked intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance [ISR] enterprise, referred to as Distributed Common Ground Systems [DCGS]. The Committee commends the warfighters that collect, process, exploit, and deliver tailored, real-time intelligence and recommends an additional \$92,300,000 in Other Procurement, Air Force and Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Air Force funding to support

DCGS modernization.

However, the Committee understands that several deficiencies exist with DCGS, including that the enterprise is: (1) too stove-piped, making it difficult for the warfighter to provide integrated products that incorporate different types of intelligence from different weapon systems across different levels of classification, (2) not survivable against cyber threats, and (3) comprised of eight separate acquisition programs, all of which are in the sustainment phase despite new capabilities being regularly tested and fielded. Further, the Committee understands that the Air Force plans to transition DCGS to an open architecture, but will rely on existing legacy systems until 2024. Therefore, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to submit a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 150 days after enactment of this act on a DCGS Modernization Roadmap, to include: (1) a plan to accelerate the transition of the eight acquisition programs and all planned capabilities to an open architecture, (2) a plan to achieve cyber security for the DCGS enterprise, (3) a summary by appropriation of funding to sustain, develop, test, and field capabilities, and (4) opportunities to use agile software development practices.

Global Hawk.—The Committee recognizes the importance of the Block 40 variant of the Global Hawk fleet that supports ground forces with intelligence, surveillance and reconnaissance on moving targets. The Committee understands that the Air Force plans to modify the fleet with a universal payload adapter [UPA] to increase flexibility and capability. The Committee recommends an additional \$8,000,000 for the Air Force to accelerate the integration of the UPA to the Block 40 while continuing currently planned, crit-

ical modernization efforts to the Global Hawk fleet.

### SPACE PROGRAMS

Weather.—The Committee has long advocated that the Air Force ensure that the next generation of weather satellites meet the full spectrum of warfighter and intelligence requirements, that other weather coverage gaps are met using appropriate civil or international weather assets, and that urgent needs for electro-optical/ infrared coverage for cloud characterization and weather forecasting, particularly in the CENTCOM theater of operations are met with rapid capability solutions. The Committee is encouraged that the fiscal year 2018 President's budget submission shows the Air Force's commitment to all of these efforts.

While the Committee supports the comprehensive plan, it recommends that the Secretary of the Air Force examine the possibility of achieving cost savings by combining the short-term Operationally Responsive Space-8 (ORS-8) electro-optical/infrared solution with the long-term Weather System Follow-On electro-optical/infrared [WSF-E] solution. The Committee recommends that the Secretary examine whether vendor solutions for the ORS-8 mission could meet WSF-E requirements and consider proposal submission that are over budget for ORS-8, but if used to satisfy long-term WSF-E requirements, could achieve overall cost savings to the Air Force. Finally, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to continue efforts to examine the use of commercial weather data to supplement existing assets and fill future coverage gaps and recommends \$10,000,000 for the commercial weather data pilot program.

Space Acquisition Strategy.—In early 2017, the Office of Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation [CAPE] provided an analysis of national security space acquisition that found a troubling pattern of near-simultaneous recapitalization of almost every Department of Defense satellite system. The analysis showed a dramatic decrease in spending on space research and development following recapitalization that resulted in reductions in the numbers of scientists and engineers at major satellite contractors in the following decade. This industrial base decline, in turn, resulted in even higher costs during the next recapitalization phase as contractors and the government had to rebuild a skilled workforce for several satellite architectures concurrently.

The Committee is concerned that the Air Force is about to embark on another near-simultaneous recapitalization as the Air Force plans for new development or completely new architectures in Space Situation Awareness, Positioning, Navigation and Timing, Weather, Early Warning, Wideband Communications, and Protected Communications. In light of the CAPE findings and expected continued budget constraints, the Committee recommends that the Secretary of the Air Force reexamine current recapitalization plans to focus on those highest priority programs that must enter a near-term redevelopment phase and determine whether other programs can replace ageing satellites with continued production of current designs in the near-term in order to stagger architecture recapitalization, better protect the industrial base, and potentially achieve cost savings.

#### RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, DEFENSE-WIDE

Appropriations, 2017	\$18,778,550,000
Budget estimate, 2018	20,490,902,000
Committee recommendation	21,680,660,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$21,680,660,000. This is \$1,189,758,000 above the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, DEFENSE-WIDE			
	BASIC RESEARCH			
1	DTRA UNIVERSITY STRATEGIC PARTNERSHIP BASIC RESEARCH	37,201	37,201	
2	DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES	432,347	408,837	-23,510
3	BASIC RESEARCH INITIATIVES	40,612	40,612	
4	BASIC OPERATIONAL MEDICAL RESEARCH SCIENCE	43,126	43,126	
5	NATIONAL DEFENSE EDUCATION PROGRAM	74,298	103,298	+ 29,000
6	HISTORICALLY BLACK COLLEGES & UNIV (HBCU)	25,865	25,865	
7	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM	43,898	43,898	
	TOTAL BASIC RESEARCH	697.347	702.837	+ 5.490

190

ne	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimat
	APPLIED RESEARCH			
8	JOINT MUNITIONS TECHNOLOGY	19,111	19,111	
9	BIOMEDICAL TECHNOLOGY	109,360	109,360	
11	LINCOLN LABORATORY RESEARCH PROGRAM	49,748	49,748	
12	APPLIED RESEARCH FOR ADVANCEMENT S&T PRIORITIES	49,226	49,226	
13	INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGY	392,784	392,784	
14	BIOLOGICAL WARFARE DEFENSE	13,014	13,014	
15	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM	201,053	203,053	+ 2,00
16	CYBER SECURITY RESEARCH	14.775	14,775	
17	TACTICAL TECHNOLOGY	343,776	312,776	- 31,0
18	MATERIALS AND BIOLOGICAL TECHNOLOGY	224,440	201,896	- 22.5
19	ELECTRONICS TECHNOLOGY	295,447	295,447	
20	WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION DEFEAT TECHNOLOGIES	157,908	157,908	
21	SOFTWARE ENGINEERING INSTITUTE	8,955	8,955	
22	SPECIAL OPERATIONS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	34,493	34,493	
	•			
	TOTAL, APPLIED RESEARCH	1,914,090	1,862,546	- 51,5
22	ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	25 627	25 627	
23	JOINT MUNITIONS ADVANCED TECH INSENSITIVE MUNITIONS AD	25,627	25,627	. 47.5
24	COMBATING TERRORISM TECHNOLOGY SUPPORT	76,230	123,730	+ 47,5
25	FOREIGN COMPARATIVE TESTING	24,199	22,199	- 2,0
26	COUNTERPROLIFERATION INITIATIVES—PROLIF PREV & DEFEAT	268,607	268,607	
27	ADVANCED CONCEPTS AND PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT	12,996	12,996	
29	WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY	5,495	5,495	
31	ADVANCED RESEARCH	20,184	20,184	
32	JOINT DOD-DOE MUNITIONS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	18,662	18,662	
35	ADVANCED AEROSPACE SYSTEMS	155,406	120,406	<b>— 35,0</b>
36	SPACE PROGRAMS AND TECHNOLOGY	247,435	247,435	
37	ANALYTIC ASSESSMENTS	13,154	13,154	
38	ADVANCED INNOVATIVE ANALYSIS AND CONCEPTS	37,674	37,674	
39	ADVANCED INNOVATIVE ANALYSIS AND CONCEPTS—MHA	15,000	15,000	
40	COMMON KILL VEHICLE TECHNOLOGY	252,879	56,879	- 196,0
41	DEFENSE INNOVATION UNIT EXPERIMENTAL (DIUX)	29,594	34,594	+ 5,0
42	TECHNOLOGY INNOVATION	59,863	39,863	- 20,0
43	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM—ADVANCED		33,003	20,0
	DEV	145,359	145,359	
44	RETRACT LARCH	171,120	171,120	
45	JOINT ELECTRONIC ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	14,389	14,389	
46	JOINT CAPABILITY TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATIONS	105,871	105,871	
47	NETWORKED COMMUNICATIONS CAPABILITIES	12,661	12,661	
48	DEFENSE-WIDE MANUFACTURING SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY	120 150	170 150	. 40.6
40	PROG	136,159	176,159	+ 40,0
49	MANUFACTURING TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM	40,511	40,511	
50	EMERGING CAPABILITIES TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	57,876	80,376	+ 22,5
51	GENERIC LOGISTICS R&D TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATIONS	10,611	16,611	+ 6,0
53	STRATEGIC ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH PROGRAM	71,832	74,832	+ 3,0
54	MICROELECTRONIC TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT AND SUPPORT	219,803	249,803	+ 30,0
55	JOINT WARFIGHTING PROGRAM	6,349	6,349	
56	ADVANCED ELECTRONICS TECHNOLOGIES	79,173	79,173	
57	COMMAND, CONTROL AND COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS	106,787	93,295	- 13,4
58	NETWORK-CENTRIC WARFARE TECHNOLOGY	439,386	439,386	
59	SENSOR TECHNOLOGY	210,123	195,740	- 14,3
60	DISTRIBUTED LEARNING ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	11,211	11,211	
62	SOFTWARE ENGINEERING INSTITUTE	15,047	15,047	
63	QUICK REACTION SPECIAL PROJECTS	69,203	67,203	- 2,0
64	ENGINEERING SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY	25,395	25,395	
65	TEST & EVALUATION SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY	89,586	105,586	+ 16,0
66	OPERATIONAL ENERGY CAPABILITY IMPROVEMENT	38.403	40,903	+ 2,5
67	CWMD SYSTEMS	33,382	33,382	T 2,0
68	SPECIAL OPERATIONS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	72,605	95,605	+ 23,0
	The state of the s	12,000	55,555	1 . 20,0

191

	[In thousands of dollars]				
Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate	
	DEMONSTRATION & VALIDATION				
69	NUCLEAR AND CONVENTIONAL PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT	32,937	32,937		
70	WALKOFF	101,714	101,714		
72	ACQUISITION ENTERPRISE DATA AND INFORMATION SERVICES	2,198	2,198		
73	ENVIRONMENTAL SECURITY TECHNICAL CERTIFICATION PRO-	,	,		
	GRAM	54,583	54,583		
74	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TERMINAL DEFENSE SEGMENT	230,162	508,662	+ 278,500	
75	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE MIDCOURSE DEFENSE SEGMENT	828,097	980,093	+ 151,996	
76	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM	148,518	138,593	- 9,925	
77	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE SENSORS	247,345	291,307	+ 43,962	
77A	HOMELAND DEFENSE RADAR—HAWAII		109,000	+ 109,000	
78	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE ENABLING PROGRAMS	449,442	508,384	+ 58,942	
79	SPECIAL PROGRAMS—MDA	320,190	320,190		
80	AEGIS BMD	852,052	749,005	- 103,047	
83	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE COMMAND AND CONTROL, BATTLE				
	MANAGEMENT	430,115	463,115	+ 33,000	
84	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE JOINT WARFIGHTER SUPPORT	48,954	48,954		
	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE INTERGRATION AND OPERATIONS				
85	CENTER (MDIOC)	53,265	53,265		
86	REGARDING TRENCH	9,113	9,113		
87	SEA BASED X—BAND RADAR [SBX]	130,695	143,695	+ 13,000	
88	ISRAELI COOPERATIVE PROGRAMS	105,354	373,800	+ 268,446	
89	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TEST	305,791	331,191	+ 25,400	
90	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TARGETS	410,425	467,546	+ 57,121	
91	HUMANITARIAN DEMINING	10,837	10,837		
92	COALITION WARFARE	10,740	10,740		
93	DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE CORROSION PROGRAM	3,837	3,837		
94	TECHNOLOGY MATURATION INITIATIVES	128,406	164,406	+ 36,000	
95	MISSILE DEFEAT PROJECT	98,369	98,369		
96	HYPERSONIC DEFENSE	75,300	55,100	- 20,200	
97	ADVANCED INNOVATIVE TECHNOLOGIES	1,175,832	1,200,832	+ 25,000	
98	TRUSTED AND ASSURED MICROELECTRONICS	83,626	83,626		
99	RAPID PROTOTYPING PROGRAM	100,000	100,000		
101	DOD UNMANNED AIRCRAFT SYSTEM (UAS) COMMON DEVELOP-	2.007	7.007	. 4 000	
100	MENT	3,967	7,967	+ 4,000	
102 104	WARGAMING AND SUPPORT FOR STRATEGIC ANALYSIS (SSA)	3,833	3,833		
104	JOINT C5 CAPABILITY DEVELOPMENT, INTEGRATION AND INTER-	22.020	າາ ຕາດ		
105	OPERABILITY	23,638	23,638	. 12 500	
105 106	LONG RANGE DISCRIMINATION RADAR	357,659	370,159	+ 12,500	
	IMPROVED HOMELAND DEFENSE INTERCEPTORS BMD TERMINAL DEFENSE SEGMENT TEST	465,530	693,730	+ 228,200	
107 108	AEGIS BMD TEST	36,239 134,468	36,239 134,468		
100	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE SENSOR TEST	'	,		
110	LAND-BASED SM-3 [LBSM3]	84,239 30,486	84,239 30,486		
111	AEGIS SM-3 BLOCK IIA CO-DEVELOPMENT	· '	9,739		
111	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE MIDCOURSE DEFENSE SEGMENT	9,739	3,733		
112	TEST	76,757	96 057	+ 9,300	
113	MULTI-OBJECT KILL VEHICLE	6,500	86,057 6,500	+ 3,300	
114	JOINT ELECTROMAGNETIC TECHNOLOGY [JET] PROGRAM	2,902	2,902		
114	CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE	986	986		
116	SPACE TRACKING AND SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM	34.907	34,907		
117		. ,	16,994		
117	NATIONAL SECURITY TECHNOLOGY ACCELERATOR/MDS	10,554	25,500	+ 25,500	
	TOTAL, DEMONSTRATION & VALIDATION	7,736,741	8,983,436	+ 1,246,695	
	ENGINEERING & MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT				
118	NUCLEAR AND CONVENTIONAL PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT	12,536	12,536		
119	PROMPT GLOBAL STRIKE CAPABILITY DEVELOPMENT	201,749	201,749		
120	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM	406,789	368,887	- 37,902	
122	JOINT TACTICAL INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM [JTIDS]	15,358	17,858	+ 2,500	
	WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION DEFEAT CAPABILITIES	6,241	6,241		
123					
123 124	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT HOMELAND PERSONNEL SECURITY INITIATIVE	12,322	11,322 4,893	-1,000	

192

	[In thousands of dollars]				
Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate	
126	DEFENSE EXPORTABILITY PROGRAM	3,162	2,162	-1,000	
127	OUSD(C) IT DEVELOPMENT INITIATIVES	21,353	21,353		
128	DOD ENTERPRISE SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT AND DEMONSTRA-	6,266	6,266		
129	DCMO POLICY AND INTEGRATION	2,810	2,810		
130	DEFENSE AGENCY INITIATIVES FINANCIAL SYSTEM	24,436	24,436		
131	DEFENSE RETIRED AND ANNUITANT PAY SYSTEM (DRAS)	13,475	13,475		
133	DEFENSE-WIDE ELECTRONIC PROCUREMENT CAPABILITY	11,870	11,870		
134 135	TRUSTED & ASSURED MICROELECTRONICSGLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM	61,084 2,576	61,084 2,576		
136	DOD ENTERPRISE ENERGY INFORMATION MANAGEMENT (EEIM)	3,669	3,669		
137	CWMD SYSTEMS: SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT AND DEMONSTRATION	8,230	8,230		
	TOTAL, ENGINEERING & MANUFACTURING DEVELOP-	818,819	781,417	- 37,402	
	RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT				
138	DEFENSE READINESS REPORTING SYSTEM [DRRS]	6,941	6,941		
139	JOINT SYSTEMS ARCHITECTURE DEVELOPMENT	4,851	4,851		
140	CENTRAL TEST AND EVALUATION INVESTMENT DEVELOPMENT	211,325	211,325		
141 142	ASSESSMENTS AND EVALUATIONS	30,144 63,769	30,144 63,769		
143	JOINT MISSION ENVIRONMENT TEST CAPABILITY [JMETC]	91,057	91,057		
144	TECHNICAL STUDIES, SUPPORT AND ANALYSIS	22,386	22,386		
145	JOINT INTEGRATED AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE ORGANIZATION	36,581	36,581		
146	CLASSIFIED PROGRAM USD(P)	27 622	138,494 37.622	+ 138,494	
147 148	SYSTEMS ENGINEERINGSTUDIES AND ANALYSIS SUPPORT	37,622 5,200	5,200		
149	NUCLEAR MATTERS—PHYSICAL SECURITY	5,232	5,232		
150	SUPPORT TO NETWORKS AND INFORMATION INTEGRATION	12,583	12,583		
151	GENERAL SUPPORT TO USD (INTELLIGENCE)	31,451	31,451		
152 161	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM	104,348 2,372	104,348 2,372		
162	DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY ANALYSIS	24,365	27,365	+ 3,000	
163	DEFENSE TECHNICAL INFORMATION CENTER [DTIC]	54,145	54,145		
164	R&D IN SUPPORT OF DOD ENLISTMENT, TESTING & EVALUATION	30,356	22,856	- 7,500	
165	DEVELOPMENT TEST AND EVALUATION	20,571	20,571		
166 167	MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS (RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT)  MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS DEFENSE TECHNICAL INFORMA- TION CENTER [DTIC]	14,017 4,187	14,017 4,187		
168	BUDGET AND PROGRAM ASSESSMENTS	3,992	3,992		
169	ODNA TECHNOLOGY AND RESOURCE ANALYSIS	1,000	1,000		
170	OPERATIONS SECURITY [OPSEC]	2,551	5,551	+3,000	
171 174	JOINT STAFF ANALYTICAL SUPPORTSUPPORT TO INFORMATION OPERATIONS [10] CAPABILITIES	7,712	7,712 673		
174	DEFENSE MILITARY DECEPTION PROGRAM OFFICE	673 1,006	1,006		
177	COMBINED ADVANCED APPLICATIONS	16,998	15,498	- 1,500	
180	INTELLIGENCE CAPABILITIES AND INNOVATION INVESTMENTS	18,992	18,992		
181 183	CWMD SYSTEMS: RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT	1,231	1,231		
184	FORMATIONMANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS—MDA	44,500 29,947	44,500 29,947		
187	JOINT SERVICE PROVIDER (JSP)	5,113	5,113		
9999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	63,312	63,312		
	TOTAL, RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT	1,010,530	1,146,024	+ 135,494	
	OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT		. = . =		
188 189	ENTERPRISE SECURITY SYSTEM (ESS)REGIONAL INTERNATIONAL OUTREACH & PARTNERSHIP FOR	4,565	4,565		
103	PEACPEAC	1,871	1,871		
190	OVERSEAS HUMANITARIAN ASSISTANCE SHARED INFORMATION SY	298	298		
191	INDUSTRIAL BASE ANALYSIS AND SUSTAINMENT SUPPORT	10,882	16,882	+ 6,000	

193

	[III thousands of donars]			
Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
192	OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	7.222	7.222	
193	GLOBAL THEATER SECURITY COOPERATION MANAGEMENT	14.450	14.450	
194	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE (OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS	2.,.00	21,100	
10.	DEVELOPMENT	45.677	45.677	
195	PLANNING AND DECISION AID SYSTEM	3.037	3.037	
196	C4I INTEROPERABILITY	59,490	59,490	
198	JOINT/ALLIED COALITION INFORMATION SHARING	6.104	6.104	
202	NATIONAL MILITARY COMMAND SYSTEM-WIDE SUPPORT	1,863	1,863	
203	DEFENSE INFO INFRASTRUCTURE ENGINEERING & INTEGRATION	21,564	21,564	
204	LONG HAUL COMMUNICATIONS [DCS]	15,428	15,428	
205	MINIMUM ESSENTIAL EMERGENCY COMMUNICATIONS NETWORK	15,855	15,855	
206	PUBLIC KEY INFRASTRUCTURE [PKI]	4,811	4,811	
207	KEY MANAGEMENT INFRASTRUCTURE [KMI]	33,746	33,746	
208	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM	9,415	9,415	
209	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM	227,652	232,652	+ 5,000
210	GLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM	42,687	42,687	
211	JOINT SPECTRUM CENTER (DEFENSE SPECTRUM ORGANIZATION)	8,750	8,750	
214	JOINT INFORMATION ENVIRONMENT (JIE)	4,689	4,689	
216	FEDERAL INVESTIGATIVE SERVICES INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	50,000	36,000	-14,000
222	CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE	1,686	1,686	
227	POLICY R&D PROGRAMS	6,526	6,526	
228	NET CENTRICITY	18,455	18,455	
230	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS	5,496	5,496	
233	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS	3,049	3,049	
236	INSIDER THREAT	5,365	5,365	
237	HOMELAND DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER PROGRAM	2,071	2,071	
243	INTELLIGENCE MISSION DATA (IMD)	13,111	13,111	
245	PACIFIC DISASTER CENTERS	1,770	1,770	
246	DEFENSE PROPERTY ACCOUNTABILITY SYSTEM	2,924	2,924	
248	MQ-9 UAV	37,863	30,863	-7,000
251	SPECIAL OPERATIONS AVIATION SYSTEMS ADVANCED DEV	259,886	260,386	+ 500
252	SPECIAL OPERATIONS INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	8,245	8,245	
253	SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS	79,455	99,455	+ 20,000
254	WARRIOR SYSTEMS	45,935	57,935	+ 12,000
255	SPECIAL PROGRAMS	1,978	1,978	
256	UNMANNED ISR	31,766	36,766	+ 5,000
257	SOF TACTICAL VEHICLES	2,578	2,578	
258	SOF MARITIME SYSTEMS	42,315	55,115	+ 12,800
259	SOF GLOBAL VIDEO SURVEILLANCE ACTIVITIES	4,661	4,661	
260	SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS INTELLIGENCE	12,049	12,049	
261	SOF TELEPORT PROGRAM	642	642	
	TOTAL, OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	1,177,882	1,218,182	+40,300
999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	3,689,646	3,627,746	-61,900
	TOTAL, RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, DEF- WIDE	20,490,902	21,680,660	+ 1,189,758

# COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

# The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
2	Defense Research Sciences  Restoring acquisition accountability: Insufficient budget justification (New Functionalities for Biological Sys-	432,347	408,837	- 23,510
	tems)			- 9,510
	Improving funds management: Program delays			-14,000
5	National Defense Education Program	74,298	103,298	+ 29,000

194

Line	ltem	2018 budget	Committee	Change from
		estimate	recommendation	budget estimate
	Program increase: Manufacturing initiatives			+ 29,000
15	Chemical and Biological Defense Program	201,053	203,053	+ 2,000
17	Program increase Tactical Technology	343,776	312,776	+ 2,000 - 31,000
17	Improving funds management: Program delays	343,770	312,770	-31,000 -31,000
18	Materials and Biological Technology	224,440	201,896	- 22,544
	Improving funds management: Program delays			- 22,544
24	Combating Terrorism Technology Support	76,230	123,730	+ 47,500
25	Program increase: Anti-tunneling research Foreign Comparative Testing	24,199	22,199	+ 47,500 - 2,000
23	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover	24,133	22,133	- 2,000 - 2,000
35	Advanced Aerospace Systems	155,406	120,406	- 35,000
	Improving funds management: Program delays			- 35,000
40	Common Kill Vehicle Technology	252,879	56,879	- 196,000
	Transfer to line 106 for RKV schedule and technology risk reduction			-100,000
	Transfer to line 94 for low power laser demonstrator pro-			100,000
	totypes post-PDR risk reduction			- 36,000
	MOKV program adjustment			- 60,000
41	Defense Innovation Unit Experimental [DIUx] Program increase	29,594	34,594	+ 5,000 + 5,000
42	Technology Innovation	59,863	39.863	- 20.000
	Maintain Program Affordability: Maintain level of effort			- 20,000
48	Defense-Wide Manufacturing Science and Technology Program	136,159	176,159	+40,000
	Program increase: Gallium nitride semiconductor tech-			. 15 000
	nology Program increase: Manufacturing engineering programs			+ 15,000 + 25,000
50	Emerging Capabilities Technology Development	57,876	80,376	+ 22,500
•	Program increase: Disruptive air and missile defense			+ 7,500
	Program increase: High-altitude optical reconnaissance			
	unit and sensors			+ 10,000
	Program increase: Technical support and operational analysis effort			+ 5,000
51	Generic Logistics R&D Technology Demonstrations	10,611	16,611	+ 6,000
	Program increase: Liquid hydrocarbon fuels and nanocel-	,	,	,
	lulose composites			+ 4,000
	Program increase: Sustainable technology demonstration and validation			+ 2,000
53	Strategic Environmental Research Program	71,832	74,832	+ 3,000
	Program increase	, 1,002		+ 3,000
54	Microelectronics Technology Development and Support	219,803	249,803	+ 30,000
F 7	Program increase: Trusted Foundry	100 707	02.205	+ 30,000
57	Command, Control and Communications Systems Improving funds management: Program delays	106,787	93,295	- 13,492 - 13,492
59	Sensor Technology	210,123	195,740	-14,383
	Improving funds management: Program delays			-14,383
63	Quick Reaction Special Projects	69,203	67,203	-2,000
	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover			- 5,000 + 3,000
65	Program increase: Solar energy research Test & Evaluation Science & Technology	89,586	105,586	+ 16,000
00	Program increase			+ 16,000
66	Operational Energy Capability Improvement	38,403	40,903	+ 2,500
	Program increase	70.005		+ 2,500
68	SOF Advanced Technology Development Program increase: Identity threat mitigation research	72,605	95,605	+ 23,000 + 18,000
	Program increase: Tactical assault light operator suit			+ 5,000
74	Ballistic Missile Defense Terminal Defense Segment	230,162	508,662	+ 278,500
	Program increase: THAAD/Patriot JEON Phase 4 (THAAD)			+ 108,800
	Program increase: THAAD/Patriot JEON Phase 4 (Patriot)			+ 145,500
	Program increase: THAAD/Patriot JEON Phase I-III Program increase: Improved discrimination capabilities			+ 20,200 + 4,000
75	Ballistic Missile Defense Midcourse Defense Segment	828,097	980,093	+ 151,996
	Program increase: Improved discrimination capabilities			+ 21,996

195

	[In thousands of dollars]	l		
Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Program increase: Accelerate deployment of 20 additional			
	GBIs with RKV Program increase: Begin missile field #4 at Fort Greely			+ 52,000
7.0	with 20 new silos	140 510	120 502	+ 78,000
76	Chemical and Biological Defense Program—Dem/Val	148,518	138,593	- 9,925 - 9,925
77	Ballistic Missile Defense Sensors	247,345	291,307	+ 43,962
	Homeland Defense Radar—Hawaii—Transfer to line 77A Atlantic radar study—early to need			- 21,000 - 5,000
	Program increase: Improved discrimination capabilities			+ 57,862
	Program increase: THAAD/Patriot JEON Phase 4			+ 6,900
77A	Program increase: THAAD/Patriot JEON Phase I–III Homeland Defense Radar—Hawaii		109,000	+ 5,200 + 109,000
	Homeland Defense Radar—Hawaii—Transfer from line			
	Program ingraces Hampland Defence Pader, Hawaii			+ 21,000 + 88,000
78	Program increase: Homeland Defense Radar—Hawaii BMD Enabling Programs	449,442	508,384	+ 58,942
	Aegis Ashore unjustified program growth			-10,000
	Program increase: Cyber training and enhancements			+ 25,000
	Program increase: High fidelity modeling and simulation Program increase: Improved discrimination capabilities			+ 6,100 + 23,342
	Program increase: THAAD/Patriot JEON Phase I-III			+ 14,500
80	AEGIS BMD	852,052	749,005	- 103,047
	SM-3 Block IIA All Up Rounds—transfer to Procurement, Defense-wide for All Up Round interceptor procurement			- 41,247
	Aegis ballistic missile defense 6.x development unjusti-			12,2.7
	fied growth			- 64,500
83	Program increase: THAAD/Patriot JEON Phase I-III Ballistic Missile Defense Command and Control, Battle Man-			+ 2,700
00	agement and Communicati	430,115	463,115	+ 33,000
	Program increase: Improved discrimination capabilities			+ 3,000
87	Program increase: Accelerate mobile sensor integration Sea Based X—Band Radar [SBX]	130,695	143,695	+ 30,000 + 13,000
07	Program increase: Accelerate SBX software upgrades			+ 13,000
88	Israeli Cooperative Programs	105,354	373,800	+ 268,446
	Program increase: Arrow program Program increase: Arrow upper tier			+ 71,459 + 28,139
	Program increase: Arrow upper tier flight test			+ 105,000
	Program increase: David's Sling short range ballistic mis-			
89	sile defense Ballistic Missile Defense Test	305,791	331,191	+ 63,848 + 25,400
03	Program increase: HALO replacement aircraft and sensors			+ 17,500
	Program increase: THAAD/Patriot JEON Phase 4			+ 7,400
90	Program increase: THAAD/Patriot JEON Phase I—III Ballistic Missile Defense Targets	410,425	467,546	+ 500 + 57,121
30	Flight test delay	410,425	407,540	-21,379
	Program increase: THAAD/Patriot JEON Phase 4			+ 42,500
	Program increase: Accelerate deployment of 20 additional GBIs with RKV			+ 36.000
94	Technology Maturation Initiatives	128,406	164,406	+ 36,000
	Transfer from line 40 for low power laser demonstrator			
96	prototypes post-PDR risk reduction Hypersonic Defense	75,300	55,100	+ 36,000 - 20,200
30	Early to need pending completion of Analysis of Alter-	75,500	33,100	20,200
0.7	natives			- 20,200
97	Advanced Innovative Technologies Program increase: Smarter machine learning	1,175,832	1,200,832	+ 25,000 + 25,000
101	Department of Defense [DoD] Unmanned System Common De-			1 23,000
	velopment	3,967	7,967	+4,000
	Program increase: Unmanned traffic management system development			+ 4,000
105	Long Range Discrimination Radar [LRDR]	357,659	370,159	+ 12,500
	Program increase: LRDR BMEWS removal			+ 12,500
106	Improved Homeland Defense Interceptors	l 465,530	693,730	+ 228,200

196

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Transfer from line 40 for RKV risk reduction and accel-			
	eration			+ 100,000
	C3 booster early to need			-11,200
	Program increase: Accelerate deployment of 20 additional			
110	GBIs with RKV	70.757		+ 139,400
112	Ballistic Missile Defense Midcourse Segment Test	76,757	86,057	+ 9,300
	Program increase: Accelerate deployment of 20 additional			. 0 200
101	GBIs with RKV		25.500	+ 9,300 + 25,500
хх 120	National Security Technology Accerator/MD5 Chemical and Biological Defense Program—EMD	406,789	368,887	+ 25,500 - 37,902
120	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover	400,763	300,007	- 37,902 - 37,902
122	Joint Tactical Information Distribution System [JTIDS]	15.358	17.858	+ 2.500
122	Program increase	10,000	17,000	+ 2,500
124	Information Technology Development	12,322	11,322	- 1,000
	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover	,	,	-1,000
126	Defense Exportability Program	3,162	2,162	-1,000
	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover			-1,000
146	Classified Program USD(P)		138,494	+ 138,494
	Classified Adjustment			+ 138,494
162	Defense Technology Analysis	24,365	27,365	+ 3,000
	Program increase			+ 3,000
164	R&D in Support of DoD Enlistment, Testing and Evaluation	30,356	22,856	− 7,500
	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover			-7,500
170	Defense Operations Security Initiative [DOSI]	2,551	5,551	+ 3,000
	Program increase			+ 3,000
177	Combined Advanced Applications	16,998	15,498	-1,500
191	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover	10.000	10 000	-1,500
191	Industrial Base Analysis and Sustainment Support	10,882	16,882	+ 6,000 + 6.000
209	Program increaseInformation Systems Security Program	227,652	232,652	+ 5,000
203	Program increase: Security tools	227,032	232,032	+ 5,000
216	Federal Investigative Services Information Technology	50.000	36,000	-14.000
210	Improving funds management: Forward financing			- 14,000
248	MQ-9 UAV	37,863	30.863	- 7,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Excess product de-	07,000	00,000	,,,,,,
	velopment (MALET MQ-9)			<b>-7,000</b>
251	Aviation Systems	259,886	260,386	+ 500
	Transfer: SOCOM requested transfer from PDW line 49			+7,500
	Transfer: SOCOM requested transfer from PDW line 49			+ 6,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Excess product de-			
	velopment (RFCM)			-10,000
	Insufficient budget justification: poor justification mate-			
	rials (CV-22)			- 3,000
253	Operational Enhancements	79,455	99,455	+ 20,000
	Program increase: Autonomous anti-denial defeat UAS			+ 2,000
	Program increase: Enhanced precision strike munitions			+ 16,000
	Program increase: Software enhancement for ingestion of			
0.54	PAI data	45.025	F7.02F	+ 2,000
254	Warrior Systems	45,935	57,935	+ 12,000 + 12,000
256	Unmanned ISR	31,766	36,766	+ 5,000
230	Program increase: UAS anti-icing	31,700	30,700	+ 5,000
258	Maritime Systems	42,315	55,115	+ 12,800
230	Transfer: SOCOM requested transfer from PDW line 62	42,313	33,113	+ 12,800
	Classified Programs	3,689,646	3,627,746	- 61,900
	Classified Adjustment	0,000,040	3,027,740	- 61.900
				1 02,500

Manufacturing Technology Program.—The Committee understands that metal castings play a significant role in ensuring warfighter preparedness and that investment is needed in castings technology to maintain technological superiority in the advanced manufacturing industry. Therefore, the Committee supports the fis-

cal year 2018 President's budget request of \$41,5110,000 for the Manufacturing Technology Program and encourages the Secretary

of Defense to invest in metal castings technology.

Joint Chemical-Biological Defense Logistics and Distribution Center.—Consistent with the report accompanying the Senate version of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2018 (Senate Report 115–125), the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to evaluate the feasibility of designating a Joint Chemical-Biological Defense Logistics Distribution Center to consolidate the Joint Chemical, Biological, Radiological, and Nuclear sustainment functions and provide enhanced military readiness to the warfighter.

Strategic Capabilities Office.—The Committee supports the Department of Defense's fiscal year 2018 President's budget request of \$1,175,832,000 for the Strategic Capabilities Office [SCO] and commends SCO for responding to critical needs from Combatant Commanders that address near term national security requirements. Further, the Committee notes that SCO's direct reporting to the Secretary of Defense has led to the rapid development of breakthrough technologies that have successfully transitioned to the military services. Therefore, the Committee directs the Department to maintain the current chain of command for conducting

SCO activities. Trusted Microelectronics.—The Committee remains concerned with the Department of Defense's lack of a long-term plan to establish a trusted microelectronics roadmap that maintains supply chain assurance against counterfeit parts and ensures access to trusted microelectronics. In the report accompanying the Senate version of the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2017 (Senate Report 114–263), the Committee directed quarterly updates on efforts to maintain a trusted microelectronics capability. In the fiscal year 2018 President's budget request, the Department requested \$364,513,000 for trusted foundry, trusted microelectronics, and microelectronics technology development without a comprehensive plan. While the Committee recognizes the challenge of ensuring secure microelectronics requires a whole of government approach, the Department of Defense is encouraged to apply greater urgency, oversight and resources to address the issue. Therefore, the Committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense (Research and Engineering) and the Under Secretary of Defense (Acquisition and Sustainment) to provide a joint report no later than 180 days after enactment of this act which includes a definition of the scope of the microelectronics problem; confirmation that the United States has the infrastructure to provide legacy and future chips for our weapons systems or what resources might be necessary to provide for that infrastructure; and testing protocols that the Department is utilizing to ensure current microelectronics have achieved security assurance. The report shall also identify policy concerns to ensure the Department of Defense complies sufficiently in con-

Cyber Vulnerabilities Organization/Section 1647.—Consistent with section 1647 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2016, Congress provided funding to evaluate the cyber vulnerabilities of major Department of Defense weapons systems in

ducting the National Security mission.

fiscal year 2016. The organization tasked with conducting these assessments, referred to as section 1647, has provided critical insights into the challenges and solutions being utilized to address vulnerabilities in the Nation's critical systems. The Committee believes their success was evident in the Department's June 2017 submission of a reprogramming action to continue cyber vulnerability assessments in fiscal year 2017. The Committee encourages the Department to continue to adequately resource the organization in future budget submissions to ensure its continued progress

in protecting the Department's systems from cyber threats.

With the reorganization of the Under Secretary of Defense (Acquisition, Technology and Logistics), the Committee is concerned that the essential mission being served by section 1647 may be squandered if it is moved into an organization whose mission is more information technology focused. The Committee believes that the section 1647 group must be aligned with an organization within the Department that is focused on operational needs and addresses the requirements of the Combatant Commands. Therefore, not later than 90 days of the enactment of this act, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, to report to the congressional defense committees on a plan to realign section 1647 within the Department of Defense that maintains its focus on mission and operational assurance and provides an avenue for adequate resourcing.

National Security Accelerator/MD5.—The Committee continues to support the work of The National Security Technology Accelerator Initiative/MD5 to facilitate collaboration between civilian and military personnel, their counterparts in academia, and hightech industry. Therefore, the Committee recommends \$25,500,000 for the program in fiscal year 2018 and encourages the Department of Defense to include funding for this program in its fiscal year

2019 budget request.

Directed Energy Fielded Capabilities Bridge.—The Committee notes that robust directed energy technology investments have not produced acquisition programs or fielded capabilities sufficient to warfighter requirements. The Department of Defense has consistently described the potential warfighting advantages of directed energy programs, as well as their concern about the growing operational capabilities and developments of foreign adversaries in this technology area. Therefore, the Committee directs the Undersecretary of Defense (Research and Engineering) to conduct a review across the services to identify directed energy technologies that can be transitioned out of the laboratories and provide a report to the congressional defense committees no later than 120 days after the enactment of this act which describes the technology readiness levels of existing programs, an assessment of both the time frame for potential transition of each program to a program of record/fielded technology, as well as funds required to complete the technology transition.

Manufacturing Engineering Education Grant Program.—The Committee recognizes that the United States must maintain a technically trained workforce to meet the defense industrial base requirements of the Department of Defense. Therefore, the Committee recommends an additional \$25,000,000 above the fiscal year 2018 budget request for manufacturing engineering grants and encourages the Secretary of Defense to prioritize funding under this program to support community colleges and technical schools.

Prompt Global Strike.—The Committee continues to support the Department's efforts to develop and demonstrate a conventional prompt global strike capability and recommends full funding of the President's fiscal year 2018 budget request, an increase of more than \$40,000,000 over the fiscal year 2017 enacted level. Further, the Committee understands that validated requirements from Pacific Command and European Command are in risk of not being supported in the 2020–2022 timeframe. Therefore, the Committee encourages the Secretary of Defense to provide an updated plan to the congressional defense committees for deployment of an early operational capability.

#### MISSILE DEFENSE AGENCY

Redesigned Kill Vehicle.—The fiscal year 2018 President's request includes \$384,900,000 in Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide for continued development of the Redesigned Kill Vehicle [RKV], which will provide a more robust homeland defense capability and be more reliable, producible, effective, easier to maintain, and cheaper to build than the current kill vehicle. Subsequent to the fiscal year 2018 budget submission, the President submitted a request to Congress to accelerate the RKV program and field 20 additional Ground-Based Interceptors [GBIs] with RKVs in a new missile field that will be constructed at Fort Greely, Alaska. Following successful completion of a flight test, employment of 20 GBIs with RKVs is scheduled to begin in late 2021 and complete by the end of fiscal year 2023. The Committee recommends full funding for this request.

The Committee has been informed by MDA that the accelerated RKV program is fully funded in MDA's budget, that all technical risks are understood, and that MDA will maintain its current acquisition strategy, to include compliance with the Department of Defense's full funding policy for usable end items. Further, the Committee has been informed that MDA plans to maintain its 'fly before you buy' acquisition approach. Nevertheless, to reduce the risk introduced to the RKV program by the accelerated fielding and integration schedule, the Committee recommends an additional \$100,000,000 for RKV development risk reduction in fiscal year 2018, and an additional \$236,700,000 to further mitigate schedule risk for the employment of the 20 GBIs with RKVs by 2023.

The Committee directs the Director, Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation to provide to the congressional defense committees with the fiscal year 2019 President's request an updated Independent Cost Estimate for the RKV program that reflects the accelerated development, testing, integration, and fielding schedule. In addition, the Director, MDA, in conjunction with the Under Secretary of Defense (Acquisition, Technology and Logistics), is directed to provide to the congressional defense committees with the fiscal year 2019 President's request an updated acquisition strategy for the RKV program, to include the revised acquisition objective, an acquisition program baseline, the test plan, contracting strategy, as well as an identification of any programmatic, technical and

manufacturing risks to the program schedule. Finally, the Director, MDA is directed to certify to the congressional defense committees with the fiscal year 2019 President's request full funding of the ac-

celerated RKV program.

Sea-Based X-Band (SBX) Radar Report.—The Committee understands that pursuant to section 1684 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2016, the Director, Missile Defense Agency [MDA], is reviewing possible basing locations for an SBX radar in the Atlantic. The Committee encourages the Director, MDA to consult with the Secretary of the Navy to avoid homeport sites that negatively impact national defense infrastructure and priorities, including U.S. naval operations such as ship and submarine maintenance activities at public shipyards. The Director, MDA and the Secretary of the Navy are directed to include in the forthcoming report an evaluation for each Atlantic SBX radar location under consideration of potential impacts to public shipyards, as well as mitigation strategies and associated joint costs.

### OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION, DEFENSE

Appropriations, 2017	\$186,994,000
Budget estimate, 2018	210,900,000
Committee recommendation	210,900,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$210,900,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1 2 3	Operational Test and Evaluation	83,503 59,500 67,897	83,503 59,500 67,897	
	Total, Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense	210,900	210,900	

#### TITLE V

## REVOLVING AND MANAGEMENT FUNDS

## DEFENSE WORKING CAPITAL FUNDS

Appropriations, 2017	\$1,511,613,000
Budget estimate, 2018	1,586,596,000
Committee recommendation	1,706,596,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,706,596,000. This is \$120,000,000 above the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

Item	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
Arsenals Initiative	43,140	163,140	+ 120,000 + 120,000
Supply Management	40,636	40,636	
Total, Defense Working Capital Fund, Army	83,776	203,776	+ 120,000
Supplies and Materials	66,462	66,462	
Total, Defense Working Capital Fund, Air Force	66,462	66,462	
Defense Logistics Agency	47,018	47,018	
Total, Defense Working Capital Fund, Defense-Wide	47,018	47,018	
Commissary Operations	1,389,340	1,389,340	
Total, Defense Working Capital Fund, Defense-Wide, DECA	1,389,340	1,389,340	
Grand Total, Defense Working Capital Funds	1,586,596	1,706,596	+ 120,000

Meals Ready-to-Eat.—The Committee recommends full funding for the Defense Logistics Agency's request of 2.5 million cases of Meals Ready to Eat and reaffirms its support for the War Reserve stock objective of 5.0 million cases and the minimum sustainment rate for the industrial base.

## NATIONAL DEFENSE SEALIFT FUND

Appropriations, 2017	
Budget estimate, 2018	\$509,327,000
Committee recommendation	

The Committee recommends no appropriation for the National Defense Sealift Fund.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Mobilization Preparedness	201,450		- 201,450
	Transfer to OM,N 2A1F: LMSR Maintenance			- 135,800
	Transfer to OM,N 2A1F: Mobilization alterations			-11,197
	Transfer to OM,N 2C1H: T-AH Maintenance			- 54,453
	Research And Development	18,622		-18,622
	Transfer to RDTE,N Line 132: Maritime prepositioning force (future)			- 468
	development			- 6,425
	tegration			-11,729
	Ready Reserve Force	289.255		- 289,255
	Transfer to OM,N 2A2F: Ready Reserve Force			- 289,255
	Total, National Defense Sealift Fund	509,327		- 509,327

National Defense Sealift Fund.—The Committee provides no funding for the National Defense Sealift Fund and reiterates the direction that the procurement of ships shall not be funded in this account. However, the Committee supports the recapitalization of the National Defense Reserve Fleet for the Maritime Administration's national defense and national emergencies mission. Therefore, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Maritime Administrator, to provide to the congressional defense committees a revised acquisition strategy related to vessels, alteration, and conversion of vessels that support the National Defense Reserve Fleet.

# TITLE VI

# OTHER DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE PROGRAMS

# DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM

Appropriations, 2017	\$33,781,270,000
Budget estimate, 2018	33,664,466,000
Committee recommendation	34,118,567,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$34,118,567,000. This is \$454,101,000 above the budget estimate.

# COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

Line	Item	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM			
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE			
10	IN-HOUSE CARE	9,457,768	9,312,768	- 145,000
20	PRIVATE SECTOR CARE	15,317,732	15,154,732	- 163,000
30	CONSOLIDATED HEALTH SUPPORT	2,193,045	2,131,045	- 62,000
40	INFORMATION MANAGEMENT	1,803,733	1,791,733	-12,000
50	MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES	330,752	330,752	
60	EDUCATION AND TRAINING	737,730	692,657	- 45,073
70	BASE OPERATIONS/COMMUNICATIONS	2,255,163	2,253,163	-2,000
	SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	32,095,923	31,666,850	- 429,073
	PROCUREMENT			
150	INITIAL OUTFITTING	26,978	26,978	
160	REPLACEMENT AND MODERNIZATION	360,831	360,831	
180	JOINT OPERATIONAL MEDICINE INFORMATION SYSTEM	8,326		- 8,326
200	DOD HEALTH MANAGEMENT SYSTEM MODERNIZATION	499,193	479,193	- 20,000
	SUBTOTAL, PROCUREMENT	895,328	867,002	- 28,326
	RESEARCH DEVELOPMENT TEST AND EVALUATION			
80	RESEARCH	9,796	9,796	
90	EXPLORATORY DEVELOPMENT	64,881	64,881	
100	ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT	246,268	246,268	
110	DEMONSTRATION/VALIDATION	99,039	99,039	
120	ENGINEERING DEVELOPMENT	170,602	150,602	- 20,000
130	MANAGEMENT AND SUPPORT	69,191	69,191	
140	CAPABILITIES ENHANCEMENT	13,438	13,438	
150	UNDISTRIBUTED MEDICAL RESEARCH		931,500	+ 931,500
	SUBTOTAL. RESEARCH DEVELOPMENT TEST AND EVAL-			
	UATION	673,215	1,584,715	+ 911,500
	TOTAL, DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM	33,664,466	34,118,567	+ 454,101

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Item	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
Operation and Maintenance	32,095,923	31,666,850	- 429,073
In-House Care	9,457,768	9,312,768	- 145,000
Authorization increase: Pre-mobilization healthcare			+ 8,000
Improving funds management: Printing and reproduction			
excess growth			- 3,000
Improving funds management: Pharmaceutical drugs ex- cess growth			-100,000
Improving funds management: Medical care contracts			,
excess growth	15 217 720	15 154 720	- 50,000
Private Sector Care	15,317,732	15,154,732	- 163,000
Improving funds management: Historical underexecution	0.100.045	0.101.045	- 163,000
Consolidated Health Care	2,193,045	2,131,045	- 62,000
Improving funds management: Historical underexecution	1 000 700	1 701 700	- 62,000
Information Management/IT	1,803,733	1,791,733	-12,000
Improving funds management: DHMSM prior year under-			10000
execution			-12,000
Management Activities	330,752	330,752	45.070
Education and Training	737,730	692,657	- 45,073
Improving funds management: Historical underexecution			- 19,700
Insufficient budget justification: HPSP prior year reduc-			
tion not properly accounted			- 25,373
Base Operations and Communications	2,255,163	2,253,163	- 2,000
Improving funds management: Visual information sys-			
tems underexecution			- 2,000
Procurement	895,328	867,002	- 28,326
Restoring acquisition accountability: DHMSM prior year carry-			
over			- 20,000
Restoring acquisition accountability: JOMIS ahead of need		1 504 715	- 8,326
Research and Development	673,215	1,584,715	+ 911,500
Restoring acquisition accountability: JOMIS prior year carry-			
over			- 20,000
Restore core funding reduction			+ 240,000
Peer-reviewed ALS research			+ 10,000
Peer-reviewed breast cancer research			+ 120,000
Peer-reviewed cancer research			+ 60,000
Peer-reviewed epilepsy research			+ 7,500
Peer-reviewed medical research			+ 300,000
Peer-reviewed ovarian cancer research			+ 10,000
Peer-reviewed prostate cancer research			+ 64,000
Peer-reviewed traumatic brain injury and psychological health			
research			+60,000
Joint warfighter medical research			+ 50,000
Orthotics and prosthetics outcomes research			+ 10,000
Total	33,664,466	34,118,567	+ 454,101

Defense Health Program Reprogramming Procedures.—The Committee remains concerned regarding the transfer of funds from the In-House Care budget sub-activity to pay for contractor-provided medical care. To limit such transfers and improve oversight within the Defense Health Program operation and maintenance account, the Committee includes a provision which caps the funds available for Private Sector Care under the TRICARE program subject to prior approval reprogramming procedures. The provision and accompanying report language should not be interpreted by the Department as limiting the amount of funds that may be transferred

to the Direct Care System from other budget activities within the Defense Health Program. In addition, the Committee continues to designate the funding for the In-House Care budget sub-activity as a congressional special interest item. Any transfer of funds from the In-House Care budget sub-activity into the Private Sector Care budget sub-activity or any other budget sub-activity will require the Secretary of Defense to follow prior approval reprogramming

procedures for operation and maintenance funds.

The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide written notification to the congressional defense committees of cumulative transfers in excess of \$10,000,000 out of the Private Sector Care budget sub-activity not later than fifteen days after such a transfer. The Committee further directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to provide quarterly reports to the congressional defense committees on budget execution data for all of the Defense Health Program budget activities and to adequately reflect changes to the budget activities requested by the Services in future budget submissions.

Carryover.—For fiscal year 2018, the Committee recommends one percent carryover authority for the operation and maintenance account of the Defense Health Program. The Committee directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to submit a detailed spending plan for any fiscal year 2017 designated carryover funds to the congressional defense committees not less than 30 days prior

to executing the carryover funds.

The Committee further directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to prioritize the payment of arrears to state vaccine programs, as authorized by section 719 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2017, in expenditure of

these carryover funds.

Operation and Maintenance Reductions.—The Committee notes that the budget justification materials for the Defense Health Program do not delineate operation and maintenance funding between the Defense Health Agency, Army, Navy, Air Force, Uniformed Services University of Health Sciences, and National Capital Region Medical Directorate. Given this lack of granular visibility, the Department is expected to implement operation and maintenance reductions among the various components in accordance with their historical underexecution.

Electronic Health Record.—The Committee remains supportive of the goal of the Departments of Defense and Veterans Affairs to develop fully interoperable electronic health records that can exchange data in a meaningful way and be used in a dynamic environment to improve patient care and facilitate smoother transitions for servicemembers from military service to veteran status. Additionally, the Committee appreciates the efforts by the Program Executive Officer [PEO] for the Defense Healthcare Management Systems [DHMS] to provide more detailed information on electronic health record budgets.

The PEO DHMS, in conjunction with the Director of the Interagency Program Office [IPO], is directed to provide quarterly reports to the congressional defense committees on the cost and schedule of the program, to include milestones, knowledge points, and acquisition timelines, as well as quarterly obligation reports.

These reports should also include the following: (1) any changes to the deployment timeline, including benchmarks, for full operating capability; (2) any refinements to the cost estimate for full operating capability and the total life cycle cost of the project; and (3) the progress toward developing, implementing, and fielding the interoperable electronic health record throughout the two Departments' medical facilities. The Committee further directs the PEO DHMS to continue briefing the House and Senate Defense Appropriations Subcommittees on a quarterly basis, coinciding with the report submission.

Finally, the Committee directs the IPO to continue to provide quarterly reports to the House and Senate Subcommittees on Appropriations for Defense and Military Construction, Veterans Affairs, and Related Agencies on the progress of interoperability be-

tween the two Departments.

Traumatic Brain Injury [TBI]/Psychological Health.—The Committee recommends \$60,000,000 above the fiscal year 2018 budget request for continued research into treatment, prevention, and detection of traumatic brain injuries and improved psychological health. The Committee directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to submit a report to the congressional defense committees within 180 days of enactment of this Act on expenditure and obligation data of additional funding added by Congress for psychological health and traumatic brain injury. This report should include information on agreements made with other government agencies.

Additionally, the Committee is aware of recent medical advances in drug development for neurodegenerative diseases and encourages the Department to further its research into developing drugs that reverse, halt, or slow the neurodegenerative process associated with traumatic brain injury. The Committee is also aware of efforts to establish a brain donor network to better understand mild traumatic brain injury and identify biomarkers for diagnosis and monitoring. The Committee supports expansion of this network and inclusion of states with high populations of veterans and suicide inci-

dence into this research.

Peer-Reviewed Medical Research Program.—The Committee recommends \$300,000,000 for the Peer-Reviewed Medical Research Program. The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense, in conjunction with the Service Surgeons General, to select medical research projects of clear scientific merit and direct relevance to military health. Research areas considered under this funding are restricted to: acute lung injury, alzheimer's, antimicrobial resistance, arthritis, autism, burn pit exposure, cardiomyopathy, cerebellar ataxia, chronic migraine and post-traumatic headache, chronic pain management, congenital heart disease, constrictive bronchiolitis, diabetes, dystonia, eating disorders, emerging infectious diseases, epidermolysis bullosa, focal endometriosis, segmental glomerulosclerosis, Fragile X, Guillain-Barre Syndrome, gulf war illness, hearing restoration, hepatitis B and C, hereditary angioedema, hydrocephalus, immunomonitoring of intestinal transplants, inflammatory bowel diseases, interstitial cystitis, lung injury, lupus, malaria, metals toxicology, mitochondrial disease, multiple sclerosis, musculoskeletal disorders, myotonic dystrophy, neurofibromatosis, non-opioid pain management, nutrition optimization, orthopedics, pancreatitis, Parkinson's, pathogen-inactivated blood products, post-traumatic osteoarthritis, pressure ulcers, pulmonary fibrosis, reconstructive transplantation, respiratory health, Rett syndrome, rheumatoid arthritis, scleroderma, sleep disorders, spinal cord injury, spinal muscular atrophy, sustained-release drug delivery, tinnitus, tissue regeneration, tuberculosis, tuberous sclerosis complex, vascular malformations, vision, and women's heart disease. The Committee emphasizes that the additional funding provided under the Peer-Reviewed Medical Research Program shall

be devoted only to the purposes listed above.

Joint Warfighter Medical Research Program.—The Committee recommends \$50,000,000 for the Joint Warfighter Medical Research Program. Funds shall be used to augment and accelerate high priority Department of Defense and Service medical requirements and to continue both core and congressionally-directed prior year initiatives that are close to achieving their objectives and yielding a benefit to military medicine. These funds shall not be used for new projects or basic research, and they shall be awarded at the discretion of the Secretary of Defense following a review of medical research and development gaps, as well as unfinanced medical requirements of the Services. Further, the Committee directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to provide a report not later than 180 days after the enactment of this act to the congressional defense committees, which lists the projects that receive funding. The report should include the funding amount awarded to each project, a thorough description of each project's research, and the benefit the research will provide to the Department

Peer-Reviewed Cancer Research Programs.—The Committee recommends \$120,000,000 for the peer-reviewed breast cancer research program, \$64,000,000 for the peer-reviewed prostate cancer research program, \$10,000,000 for the peer-reviewed ovarian cancer research program, and \$60,000,000 for the peer-reviewed cancer research program that would research cancers not addressed in the aforementioned programs currently executed by the Department of Defense.

The funds provided in the peer-reviewed cancer research program are directed to be used to conduct research in the following areas: brain cancer, colorectal cancer, immunotherapy, kidney cancer, liver cancer, melanoma, mesothelioma, myeloma, neuroblastoma, pancreatic cancer, pediatric brain tumors, and stomach cancer.

The funds provided under the peer-reviewed cancer research program shall be used only for the purposes listed above. The Committee directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to provide a report not later than 180 days after the enactment of this Act to the congressional defense committees on the status of the peer-reviewed cancer research program. For each research area, the report should include the funding amount awarded, the progress of the research, and the relevance of the research to servicemembers.

The Committee commends the Department for ensuring that projects funded through the various peer-reviewed cancer research

programs maintain a focus on issues of significance to military populations and the warfighter. This includes promoting collaborative research proposals between Department of Defense researchers and non-military research institutions. These collaborations leverage the knowledge, infrastructure, and access to clinical populations that the partners bring to the research effort. Additionally, promoting these collaborations provides a valuable recruitment and retention incentive for military medical and research personnel. The Committee encourages the Department to emphasize the importance of these collaborations between military and non-military re-

searchers throughout the peer-review process.

The Cancer Center at Walter Reed National Military Medical Center.—The Committee recognizes that close cooperation between the John P. Murtha Cancer Center at Walter Reed National Military Medical Center and the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) has enabled the formation of a partnership between the Murtha Cancer Center and the Oncology Research Information Exchange Network [ORIEN]. The Murtha Cancer Center is the only center of excellence for cancer care in the military health system. This partnership allows the Murtha Cancer Center to collaborate in cancer research with several academic cancer centers that all use a single protocol for long-term health surveillance of cancer patients to correlate patterns in cancer incidence, treatment response, and survivorship with genetic information, demographic data, and other factors. The Murtha Cancer Center has begun ORIEN enrollment with patients at the Walter Reed National Military Medical Center and is preparing to facilitate enrollment at military treatment facilities throughout the United States. The Committee commends the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) for assisting the Murtha Cancer Center in entering this partnership and encourages increased support to allow for continued expansion of this effort to deliver enhanced cancer treatment for all servicemembers and their families.

Ethnic and Racial Diversity in Cancer.—The Committee recognizes that the cultural, racial, and ethnic diversity of the Armed Forces reflects the composition of the American population and that military medicine would benefit from an understanding and application of cancer genetic information to the prevention and treatment of cancer. The Committee encourages the U.S. Army Medical Research and Material Command [USAMRMC], through the Congressionally Directed Medical Research Program, to facilitate research on the cause, prevention, and treatment of cancer in populations with diverse cultural, racial, and ethnic composition. Furthermore, the Committee encourages USAMRMC to consider expertise in ethnic and racial diversity and, where appropriate, collaborate with the National Institute of Health's Cancer Moonshot initiative to share clinical research, data, and expertise.

Orthotics and Prosthetics Outcomes Research.—The Committee recommends \$10,000,000 in support of orthotics and prosthetics outcomes research. The focus of this research should be on outcomes-based best practices through analysis of the merits of clinical options currently available, not on the development or improvement of new and existing technology. The Committee directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to provide a report not later than 180 days after the enactment of this Act to the congressional defense committees on the peer-reviewed projects that receive funding. The report should include the funding amount awarded to each project and the anticipated effect on patient care.

Advanced Orthopedic Surgical Training.—The Committee understands that servicemembers and their families regularly undergo orthopedic procedures and that these types of musculoskeletal injuries account for a significant amount of medical separations or retirements from military service. Delivery of direct training based on best practices related to orthopedic procedures for injuries to the knee, shoulder, and other extremities has become an increasingly important readiness issue. The Committee strongly encourages the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to provide military orthopedic health professionals advanced surgical training in arthroscopic techniques and do so in partnership with medical professional societies that maintain best practices related to arthroscopic surgery and techniques.

Mental Health Professionals.—The Committee recognizes that servicemembers and their families face unique stresses beyond those of everyday life. After over 15 years of war, the need for mental health professionals in the Department is at an all-time high, and the Committee believes that every beneficiary of the Military Health System should have timely access to mental health services. However, the Committee is concerned with the Department's inability to recruit and retain enough psychiatrists, psychologists, social workers, nurse practitioners, and registered nurses to provide

adequate mental health care.

The Government Accountability Office [GAO] review of this issue found that progress is being made regarding the annual reporting of mental health professional staffing needs. However, GAO also noted that the Services need to accurately report any additional measures used to supplement the Psychological Health Risk-Adjusted Model for Staffing [PHRAMS] as well as report their PHRAMS-generated estimates in the requirements fields of the Defense Health Agency's [DHA] quarterly mental health staffing reports.

The Committee encourages the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs), the Director of the Defense Health Agency, and the Service Surgeons General to continue to work together to ensure annual estimates of mental health professionals meet the needs of all beneficiaries in the military health system. In addition, the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) is directed to prepare as part of DHA's fiscal year 2019 budget submission a review of these estimates as well as an outline of current challenges in recruiting and retaining mental health professionals by the Department of Defense.

Chronic Pain Management Research.—The Committee includes chronic pain management as a priority research area under the Peer-Reviewed Medical Research Program in order to advance research on opioid-alternative or non-addictive methods to treat and manage chronic pain. Chronic pain is defined as a pain that occurs on at least half the days for six months or more and can be caused by issues including, but not limited to: combat and training-related physical or mental stress and trauma, migraines and chronic head-

aches, traumatic brain injury, arthritis, muscular-skeletal conditions, neurological disease, tick and vector-borne disease, other insect-transmitted or tropical disease, and cancer. The Committee encourages the Department to conduct research in this area on the effects of using prescription opioids and skeletal-muscular relaxants to treat and manage chronic pain and for researching alternatives, namely non-opioid or non-addictive methods, to treat and manage chronic pain. The Committee encourages the Department to collaborate with non-military research institutions, such as the institutions of the National Institutes of Health Pain Consortium, and the institutions represented in the Interagency Pain Research Coordinating Committee to address the efforts outlined in the 2016 National Pain Strategy.

Warfighter Respiratory Health.—The Committee remains concerned about respiratory ailments among deployed and returning servicemembers and their deleterious effects on combat and personnel readiness. It is estimated that respiratory diseases affect more than 100,000 servicemembers each year and result in almost 27,000 lost workdays per year. The Committee has included respiratory health as part of the Peer-Reviewed Medical Research Program and believes that further development of a comprehensive, broad-based warfighter respiratory research program is necessary to support overall readiness, and directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to provide a report to the congressional defense committees not more than 120 days after the enactment of this Act detailing the scope and impact of respiratory illness on military personnel - particularly on deployed troops - dating from

the first Gulf War to the present. *Epilepsy Research.*—The Committee is concerned about the large number of service men and women returning from combat zones who have sustained traumatic brain injuries [TBI] and the long term consequences of TBI. These wounded warriors are at high risk for developing post-traumatic epilepsy, depression, cognitive difficulties, and post-traumatic stress disorder, which may be interconnected. As current TBI longitudinal studies have not included epilepsy, the Committee encourages the Department to place greater priority and invest more funding in longitudinal epidemiological research, including epilepsy surveillance, to better understand the magnitude of the problem and improve patient care and outcomes. To assist in these efforts, the Committee recommends \$7,500,000 in support of epilepsy research. Additionally, the Committee urges the Department to expand research into the mechanisms by which brain injury produces epilepsy and research directed at the prevention of epilepsy and concomitant comorbidities in those known to be at high risk.

Melanoma Research.—The Committee understands that melanoma diagnoses are increasing among active duty servicemembers and that melanoma is the fifth most common cancer among veterans. Recent research suggests that exposure to high levels of solar radiation in young adulthood is associated with a higher risk of melanoma mortality. Given the extreme and harsh conditions servicemembers face in theater and the rise of this aggressive and frequently deadly form of cancer, the Committee encourages the Department to continue its investments in melanoma research.

Sleep Disorder Research.—The Committee recognizes that sleep disorders are increasingly prevalent among servicemembers and that such disruptions have been associated with diverse mental and physical disorders, including traumatic brain injury and post-traumatic stress. The Committee applauds the Army for acknowledging the importance of sleep in achieving optimal physical, mental, and emotional health and including sleep as a focus in the Performance Triad. In support of this effort, the Committee urges the Department to support basic, translational, and clinical research on how the disruption of normal sleep and circadian biological rhythms adversely affects the health, safety, performance, and pro-

ductivity of military and civilian populations.

Medical Defense against Infectious Disease.—The Committee recognizes the importance of prevention and treatment of naturally occurring infectious diseases and tropical infectious diseases, such as malaria, Dengue, and Chikungunya viruses. These diseases pose a significant threat to the strategic access and operational effectiveness of forces deployed outside the United States. The Committee is concerned with the Department's decisions over recent years to precipitously decrease funding for malaria research and encourages the Department to address these diseases of military importance and invest in research for chemoprophylaxis, surveillance, novel approaches to vaccine development, and other countermeasures. The Committee urges the Department to partner with colleges and universities that have strong research programs in infectious diseases, as well as other Federal agencies, foreign governments, international agencies, and non-profit organizations to mitigate duplication of effort and maximize the use of Department resources.

Additionally, several emerging infectious diseases have taken the global community by surprise over the last few decades, including SARS, H1N1, Ebola, and Zika. Disease surveillance, rapid detection, outbreak response, and epidemiology are essential to providing an early warning of emerging infectious disease threats to servicemembers abroad and global health security in general. The Committee recommends \$240,000,000 for core Defense Health Program research and encourages the Department to continue its in-

vestments in neglected and infectious diseases.

Sustained-Release Drug Delivery.—The Committee encourages development of technology that can deliver oral drugs for up to one week or more for specific, targeted, military health care needs. Potential application of this technology could include long-acting oral therapies for post-traumatic stress, opiate dependence, low-dose pain control, allergies, attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder, chemoprophylaxis, and other Department-identified priority areas. Most oral therapies are limited in their duration of efficacy by short half-lives in the body and by the rapid gastrointestinal transit of the pill. Oral, long-acting therapies may be particularly advantageous in complex environments with limited access to medical personnel and resources.

Advanced Surgical Bleed Prevention.—The Committee is aware that recent advances in adjunctive hemostatic agents to control bleeding in cardiac, spinal, and other major surgeries can lead to reductions in post-operative complications, fewer blood transfusions, shorter Intensive Care Unit [ICU] and hospital stays, and

improved patient outcomes. Such agents and techniques may significantly improve combat casualty care, and the Committee encourages the Department of Defense to conduct a bleed prevention pilot program that trains medical personnel in bleed prevention with hemostatic control agents and techniques. Further, the Department is encouraged to evaluate these capabilities for use in

combat situations as well as in military hospital settings.

Telehealth and Remote Patient Monitoring Utilization in Tricare for Life.—The Committee acknowledges the recent efforts by the Department of Defense to expand telehealth services for military health system beneficiaries. In order to better understand the use of these services, the Committee directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs), in consultation with the Department of Health and Human Services' Telehealth Centers of Excellence, to conduct an evaluation of the use of telehealth and remote patient monitoring by Tricare for Life beneficiaries. The study shall include an assessment of: (A) the telehealth and remote patient monitoring services available to Tricare for Life beneficiaries and whether these services are covered by Medicare under current law; (B) the utilization of available telehealth and remote patient monitoring services; (C) the health outcomes from telehealth and remote patient monitoring programs used by Tricare for Life beneficiaries; and (D) the costs and cost-savings associated with telehealth and remote patient monitoring services. The Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) shall submit the report to the congressional defense committees not later than 1 year after enactment of this

Behavioral and Mental Health Care for National Guard and Reserve.—The Committee recognizes that the men and women of the National Guard and Reserve components need greater access to care if they are to maintain a high state of medical readiness to support regularly occurring deployments. It also recognizes that the suicide rate in the reserve components is consistently higher than the suicide rate for both the active duty military and the civilian population. Therefore, the Committee encourages the Department to better ensure that periodic health assessments are followed by medical treatment to address any behavioral or mental health conditions that could impact a servicemember's ability to deploy, even if such care falls outside of the pre-deployment window. This practice would allow for a more medically ready, deployable force and would expand access to behavioral and mental health care for reserve component servicemembers.

Military Healthcare Navigator.—The Committee supports the continued efforts in the Department of Defense to improve the healthcare experience for beneficiaries while lowering the total cost of healthcare. Healthcare navigator systems utilized in the private sector have demonstrated an ability to lower healthcare costs by helping families optimize their employer-sponsored benefits. The Committee encourages the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to continue exploring ways to utilize healthcare navigators through the military health system in order to provide enhanced clinical outcomes, improve beneficiary experience, reduce unneces-

sary utilization, and lower healthcare costs.

Departments of Defense [DoD] and Veterans Affairs [VA] Prescription Drug Purchasing.—The Committee believes there are potential savings in combining DoD and VA prescription drug purchasing. A 2014 Government Accountability Office [GAO] report surveyed 83 common drugs purchased by both Departments and found that if purchasing drugs for both Departments at the lowest DoD or VA price, the taxpayer could have realized a combined savings of \$120,000,000 in 2012. GAO recommended DoD, VA, and Medicare align the structure, statutory parameters, and regulatory guidance across all Federal prescription buying programs to increase buying power and reduce costs. The Department is directed to work with the Department of Veterans Affairs and submit a report to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress no later than 180 days after enactment of this act detailing the feasibility of aligning their structures, statutory parameters, and regulatory guidance with the Department of Veterans Affairs for their prescription buying program in order to increase buying power and reduce cost.

Biomarkers for Hazardous Exposures.—The Committee recognizes that hazardous exposures cost the Departments of Defense and Veterans Affairs billions of dollars annually in medical care, reduce servicemember performance, and create a multitude of health compensation claims. Identifying biomarkers for toxic or psychologically traumatic exposures could allow for faster diagnosis and treatment of a number of exposure-related conditions among military and veteran populations. The Committee supports research efforts that capitalize on recent advances in exposure science, biosignatures discovery, wearable sensors technology, chemical surveillance, and systems biology including proteomics, genomics, and metabolomics. Further, the Committee encourages the Department to collaborate with research universities, medical research centers, and National Laboratories that specialize in the enumerated health research capabilities. This research shall aim to align and integrate research and development efforts to rapidly transition products in support of the emerging Joint Health Risk Management Program.

Health Effects of Burn Pits.—The Committee continues to be concerned about the hazardous occupational and environmental health exposures associated with burn pits occurring during deployment and the negative impacts that these exposures have on short-term and long-term health, as well as mission readiness. Therefore, the Committee has included "burn pit exposure" as an eligible research topic in the Peer-Reviewed Medical Research Program. The Committee on the Assessment of the Department of Veterans Affairs Airborne Hazards and Open Burn Pit Registry under the auspices of the National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine recently completed an assessment of the Department of Veterans Affairs registry for servicemembers who may have been exposed to toxic airborne chemicals and fumes generated by open burn pits. Among its recommendations was more seamless integration of Department of Veterans Affairs and Department of Defense data sources in order to reduce participant burden, increase data quality, and improve usefulness of the registry database for healthcare professionals and researchers. The Committee directs the Assistant

Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to provide a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 180 days of enactment of this act identifying actions the Department of Defense can take to improve integration of data sources, especially with regards to servicemembers or units that may have been exposed to fumes from open air burn pits, in order to improve the value of the burn pit registry for scientific research.

# CHEMICAL AGENTS AND MUNITIONS DESTRUCTION, DEFENSE

Appropriations, 2017	\$523,726,000
Budget estimate, 2018	961,732,000
Committee recommendation	961.732.000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$961,732,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

			2010 hudget		oo #ima	Change from	from
Line	ltem	Qty.	estimate	Qty.	recommendation	Othy.	Budget estimate
	CHEMICAL AGENTS AND MUNITIONS DESTRUCTION, DEFENSE						
_	CHEM DEMILITARIZATION—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE		104,237		104,237		
က			18,081		18,081		
2	2 CHEM DEMILITARIZATION—RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT,.						
2	2 TEST AND EVALUATION		839,414		839,414		
	TOTAL CHEMICAL AGENTS AND MINITIONS DESTRICTION DEFENSE		961 732		961 732		

[Dollars in thousands]

Supplemental Destruction Technologies.—The Committee understands that supplemental destruction technologies are available, including the Explosive Destruction System and the Static Detonation Chamber, and may be used to enhance and accelerate the destruction of the U.S. stockpile of chemical weapons. The Committee strongly encourages the Program Executive Officer for the Assembled Chemical Weapons Alternatives program to utilize these technologies as main plant operations continue to face delays. When practicable, supplemental destruction technologies should be run concurrently with main plant operations in order to expedite destruction and meet the current treaty deadline of December 31, 2023.

#### Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense

Appropriations, 2017	\$998,800,000
Budget estimate, 2018	790,814,000
Committee recommendation	930,814,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$930,814,000. This is \$140,000,000 above the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
010	Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities Transfer to National Guard Counter-Drug Schools	557,648	552,648	- 5,000 - 5,000
020	Drug Demand Reduction Program	116,813	116,813	
030	National Guard Counter-Drug Program	116,353	236,353	+ 120,000
	Program increase			+ 120,000
040	National Guard Counter-Drug Schools		25,000	+ 25,000
	Program increase			+ 20,000
	Transfer from Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities			+ 5,000
	Total, Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities	790,814	930,814	+ 140,000

[In thousands of dollars]

National Guard Counter-Drug Program.—The Committee is concerned that the Department has reduced overall funding for the National Guard Counter-Drug program from the fiscal year 2017 enacted level. Therefore, the Committee recommends \$236,353,000 for the National Guard Counter-Drug program, an increase of \$120,000,000 above the fiscal year 2018 President's budget request, to provide additional support for National Guard state plans.

to provide additional support for National Guard state plans.

National Guard Counter-Drug Schools.—The Committee continues to support the National Guard Counter-Drug schools and notes that the report accompanying the Senate version of the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2017 (Senate Report 114–263) directed the Department of Defense to fund these activities in an individual budget line. The Committee is dismayed that this direction was not followed by the Department in the fiscal year 2018 President's budget request and again directs the Department to in-

clude an individual budget line for this program in future budget submissions.

#### JOINT IMPROVISED-THREAT DEFEAT FUND

Appropriations, 2017	
Budget estimate, 2018	\$14,442,000
Committee recommendation	. , ,

The Committee recommends no funding for the Joint Improvised-Threat Defeat Fund JITDF. Base Appropriations requested for the Joint Improvised-Threat Defeat Organization in the JITDF are recommended in overseas contingency operations appropriations accounts for Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide; and Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide, as detailed in the associated Committee Recommended Program tables, and in the classified annex to the Committee's report.

# COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

r.				Ġ
IIn	thousands	01	dollars	ì

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1	Enable Rapid Capability Delivery Transfer to RDDW, OCO for execution Transfer to OMDW, OCO for execution	14,442		- 14,442 - 7,000 - 7,442
	Total, Joint Improvised-Threat Defeat Fund	14,442		- 14,442

Budgeting for the Joint Improvised-Threat Defeat Organization.— The fiscal year 2018 budget request includes \$14,442,000 in base appropriations and \$483,058,000 in overseas contingency operations appropriations for the Joint Improvised-Threat Defeat Organization [JIDO] in the Joint Improvised-Threat Defeat Fund [JITDF]. In addition, the fiscal year 2018 budget request includes \$97,788,000 in Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide base appropriations for JIDO, reflecting the transition of the activities, functions, and resources of the Joint Improvised-Threat Defeat Agency [JIDA] to the JIDO under the authority, direction and control of the Defense Threat Reduction Agency, as directed by Congress and implemented as of September 30, 2016.

The Committee notes that requesting funding for certain activities previously requested in the JITDF and its predecessor fund, the Joint Improvised-Explosives Devices Defeat Fund [JIEDDF], in the Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide appropriations account is consistent with enacted congressional appropriations in previous fiscal years, and supports that budgeting approach. In addition, the Committee believes that completing the full transition of JIDO's activities, functions and resources without degrading the countering of weapons of mass destruction [CWMD] and counter improvised-threat missions while ensuring budget predictability, stability, transparency, auditability and congressional oversight, requires the complete transition of JIDO resources to regular appropriation accounts. Therefore, the Committee recommends no funds

in base or overseas contingency operations appropriations for the JITDF, and recommends transferring funds requested in the JITDF for JIDO to overseas contingency operations appropriations accounts for Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide; Procurement, Defense-Wide; and Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide only for the purpose of allowing the Director of JIDO to investigate, develop and provide equipment, supplies, services, training, facilities, personnel and funds to assist United States forces in the defeat of improvised explosive devices in accordance with JIDO's fiscal year 2018 budget execution plans. The specific recommended transfers are detailed in the classified annex to the Committee's report, and the Committee notes that its recommendation retains JIDO's execution flexibility.

Further, the Committee recommends two new general provisions, section 9014 and section 9015 terminating the JIEDDF and the JITDF, and expects the Department of Defense's fiscal year 2019 budget request to include funds for JIDO in regular appropriation accounts.

#### JOINT URGENT OPERATIONAL NEEDS FUND

Appropriations, 2017	
Budget estimate, 2018	\$99,795,000
Committee recommendation	

The Committee recommends no funding for the Joint Urgent Operational Needs Fund.

#### OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL

Appropriations, 2017	\$312,035,000
Budget estimate, 2018	336,887,000
Committee recommendation	318,887,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$318,887,000. This is \$18,000,000 below the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

Item	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
Office of the Inspector General, Operation and Maintenance	334,087	316,087	- 18,000
equivalents			-18,000
Office of the Inspector General, Research and Development	2,800	2,800	
Office of the Inspector General, Procurement			
Total, Office of the Inspector General	336,887	318,887	- 18,000

Quarterly End Strength and Execution Reports.—The Department of Defense Inspector General is directed to provide quarterly reports to the congressional defense committees on civilian personnel end strength, full-time equivalents, and budget execution no later than 15 days after the end of each fiscal quarter. The reports

should contain quarterly civilian personnel end strength and fulltime equivalents as well as an estimate of fiscal year end strength and fiscal year full-time equivalents. The reports should also include quarterly budget execution data along with revised fiscal year estimated execution data. The Inspector General is directed to provide realistic end of fiscal year estimates based on personnel trends to date.

Civilian Average Strength Overestimation.—The Committee notes that the Office of the Inspector General [OIG] has historically overestimated its civilian average strength which causes operation and maintenance budget requests to be falsely inflated. The fiscal year 2016 President's budget request estimated an average strength of 1,570 full-time equivalent civilians; and actual average strength came to 1,441 civilians, a difference of 129 civilians. The fiscal year 2017 President's budget request estimated an average strength of 1,587 civilians; while actual average strength came to 1,464 civilians, a difference of 123 civilians. The Committee also understands that non-pay requirements arise during the fiscal year and that excess civilian pay funding is used to cover these requirements. While improved fiscal controls have been put in place by the OIG over the last year, the Committee believes that the fiscal year 2018 President's budget request continues the trend of civilian average strength overestimation. The Committee recommends a reduction of \$18,000,000 to the fiscal year 2018 budget request but believes a larger asset is available due to this overestimation. This smaller reduction is recommended so that any additional funding can be used for non-pay requirements during the year of execution, as identified by the OIG. The Committee expects the OIG to submit future budget requests that more accurately reflect historical trends in civilian average strength and non-pay requirements.

# TITLE VII

# RELATED AGENCIES

# Central Intelligence Agency Retirement and Disability System Fund

Appropriations, 2017	\$514,000,000
Budget estimate, 2018	514,000,000
Committee recommendation	514,000,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$514,000,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

# INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT

Appropriations, 2017	\$515,596,000
Budget estimate, 2018	532,000,000
Committee recommendation	543,000,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$543,000,000. This is \$11,000,000 above the budget estimate.

#### TITLE VIII

#### GENERAL PROVISIONS

The following lists general provisions proposed by the Committee. The Committee recommends inclusion of several proposals which have been incorporated in previous appropriations acts, provisions requested for inclusion by the Defense Department, and new provisions. The Committee recommendations are as follows:

SEC. 8001. Publicity/Propaganda Limitation.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8002. Compensation/Employment of Foreign Nationals.—

Retains a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8003. Obligation Rate of Appropriations.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8004. Obligations in Last 2 Months of Fiscal Year.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8005. General Transfer Authority.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8006. *Project Level Adjustments*.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8007. Establishment of Reprogramming Baseline.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8008. Working Capital Funds Cash Disbursements.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8009. Special Access Programs Notification.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8010. Multiyear Procurement Authority.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8011. *Humanitarian and Civic Assistance*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8012. Restriction on Civilian Personnel End-Strength.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8013. Lobbying.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8014. Educational Benefits and Bonuses.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8015. Mentor-Protégé Program.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8016. Alcoholic Beverages.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8017. Demilitarization of Surplus Firearms.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8018. Relocations into the National Capital Region.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8019. *Indian Financing Act*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8020. *Defense Media Activity*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8021. Burden Sharing With Kuwait.—Retains a provision

carried in previous years.

SEC. 8022. Civil Air Patrol.—Retains and modifies a provision

carried in previous years.

SEC. 8023. Federally Funded Research and Development Centers.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years. SEC. 8024. Carbon, Alloy, or Armor Steel Plate.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8025. Congressional Defense Committee Definition.—Re-

tains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8026. Depot Maintenance Competition.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8027. Reciprocal Trade Agreements.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8028. Overseas Military Facility Investment.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8029. Walking Shield.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8030. *Investment Item Unit Cost.*—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8031. Limitation on Funds to Disestablish SROTC.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8032. Tobacco Use in the Military.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8033. Working Capital Fund Investment Item Restrictions.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8034. CIA Availability of Funds.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8035. Asia-Pacific Regional Initiative.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8036. Tribal Lands Environmental Impact.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8037. Buy American Act Compliance.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8038. Field Operating Agencies.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8039. Contractor Conversion and Performance.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8040. *Rescissions*.—The Committee recommends a general provision rescinding funds from prior years as displayed below:

	Amount
2016 Appropriations	
Other Procurement, Army:	
Mine-Resistant Ambush-Protected [MRAP] Mods	\$20,000,000
Emergency Management Modernization Program	2,000,000
Bridge Supplemental Set	3,967,000
Husky Mounted Detection System [HMDS]	7,000,000
Remote Demolition Systems	2,000,000
Mobile Soldier Power	2,000,000
Items Less Than \$5.0M (Const Equip)	3,000,000

	Amount
rcraft Procurement, Navy:	
MH-60R (MYP)	24,500,000
JPATS	
Adversary	
Trainer A/C Series	
rcraft Procurement. Air Force:	
F–35 (AP–CY)	11,000,000
C-130H Modifications	
F-16 Production Line Shutdown	
rocurement of Ammunition, Air Force:	
Massive Ordnance Penetrator [MOP]	15,000,000
2017 Appropriations	
rcraft Procurement, Army:	17,000,000
Utility F/W Aircraftocurement of Weapons and Tracked Combat Vehicles, Army:	17,000,000
Integrated Air Burst Weapon System Family	E CE2 000
	5,652,000
ocurement of Ammunition, Army: Shoulder Launched Munitions, All Types	17 000 000
ther Procurement, Army:	17,000,00
Heavy Armored Sedan	390,00
Mid-Tier Networking Vehicular Radio [MNVR]	
rcraft Procurement, Navy:	10,000,00
P-8A Poseidon	20,900,00
MQ-4 Triton	
rcraft Procurement. Air Force:	45,000,00
KC–46A Tanker	31,100,00
UH-1N Replacement	
Initial Spares/Repair Parts—ARS Spares	
Classified	
pace Procurement, Air Force:	10,000,00
Evolved Expendable Launch Vehicle	79,800,00
rocurement of Ammunition, Air Force:	
Fuzes	18,000,00
ther Procurement, Air Force:	, ,
MEECN—GASNT Increment 1	121,225,00
Classified	
D-RAPCON	12,466,00
Combat Training Ranges: CEAR	3,900,00
esearch, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army:	
Cyberspace Operations Forces and Force Support	20,000,00
Aircraft Avionics	
Mid-tier Networking Vehicular Radio [MNVR]	
Combat Vehicle Improvement Programs—Stryker engineering change proposals carryover	
Aircraft Modifications/Product Improvement Programs	
Distributed Common Ground/Surface Systems	
esearch, Development, Test and Evaluation, Air Force:	, , , , , ,
Space Fence	20,000,00
ICBM Fuze Modernization	
KC-46	
Nuclear Weapons Modernization	
C-130 Airlift Squadrons	
Classified Programs	
esearch, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-wide:	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
Combatting Terrorism Technology Support	25,000,00
	[ 20,000,00
efense Health Program—Research, Development, Test and Evaluation:	

Sec. 8041. Civilian Technician Reductions.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8042. North Korea.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8043. Reserve Component Intelligence Reimbursement.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8044. Counter-Drug Activities Transfer.—Retains a provi-

sion carried in previous years.

SEC. 8045. Funding to Maintain Competitive Rates at Arsenals.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8046. Launch Service Competitions.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8047. United Service Organizations Grant.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8048. Buy American Computers.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8049. Small Business Set-Asides.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8050. Contractor Bonuses.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8051. Reserve Peacetime Support.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8052. *Unexpended Balances*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

ŜEC. 8053. National Guard Distance Learning.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8054. Assignment of Forces.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8055. Sexual Assault Prevention Programs.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8056. *End-Item Procurement*.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8057. Buy American Waivers.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8058. O&M, Navy Transfer to Stennis Center.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8059. Joint Capability Demonstration Project.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8060. Secretary of Defense Reporting Requirement.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8061. Missile Defense Authorization.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8062. Armor-Piercing Ammo.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8063 Personal Property Lease Payments.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8064. *Ô&M*, *Army Transfer*.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8065. National Intelligence Program Separation.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8066. Rapid Acquisition Authority Reporting Requirement.—Inserts a new provision requiring notifications be submitted to the congressional defense committees.

SEC. 8067. Israeli Cooperative Programs.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8068. *Prior Year Shipbuilding*.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8069. Intelligence Authorization.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8070. New Start Authority.—Retains a provision carried in

previous years.

Sec. 8071. Contingency Operations Budget Justification.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8072. Nuclear Armed Interceptors.—Retains a provision

carried in previous years.

SEC. 8073. Rapid Acquisition Authority. —Inserts a new provision providing transfer authority for rapid acquisition and deployment of supplies and associated support.

SEC. 8074. 53rd Weather Reconnaissance Squadron.—Retains a

provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8075. Integration of Foreign Intelligence.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8076. Army Tactical UAVs.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8077. DNI R&D Waiver.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8078. Shipbuilding Obligations.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8079. DNI Reprogramming Baseline.—Retains and modi-

fies a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8080. Support to Friendly Foreign Countries.—Inserts a new provision requiring that funds available for support to friendly foreign countries are pursuant to sections 8005 or 9002 of this Act.

Sec. 8081. Defense Acquisition Workforce Development Fund.— Inserts a new provision requiring that any transfer of funds out of DAWDF are pursuant to sections 8005 or 9002 of this Act.

SEC. 8082. Child Soldiers.—Retains a provision carried in pre-

vious years.

SEC. 8083. NIP Reprogramming.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8084. Future-Years Intelligence Program.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8085. Congressional Intelligence Committee Definition.— Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8086. Fisher House Authorization.—Retains a provision

carried in previous years. Sec. 8087. Defense Acquisition Workforce Development Fund.—

Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years. SEC. 8088. Public Disclosure of Agency Reports.—Retains a pro-

vision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8089. Contractor Compliance With the Civil Rights Act of 1964.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8090. DOD-VA Medical Facility Demonstration.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8091. O&M Readiness Funds and Transfer Authority.—Inserts a new provision which provides for O&M Readiness Funds and Transfer Authority.

SEC. 8092. Armored Vehicles.—Retains a provision carried in

previous years.

SEC. 8093. NIP Reprogramming.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8094. Transfer of Detainees to or Within the United States.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8095. Detainee Facilities.—Retains a provision carried in

previous years.

Sec. 8096. Detainee Transfer to a Foreign Country or Entity.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8097. War Powers Resolution.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

. ŠEC. 8098. Rosoboronexport.—Retains a provision carried in pre-

vious years.

SEC. 8099. Crime Databases Reporting Requirement.—Includes a new provision requiring two reports on the Department of Defense's submission of records to crime databases.

Sec. 8100. Ex Gratia Payments.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8101. Strategic Delivery Vehicles.—Retains a provision car-

ried in previous years.

SEC. 8102. Rapid Prototyping Fund reprogrammings and account reductions.—Includes a new provision regarding reprogrammings and reductions to procurement and research, development, test and evaluation accounts.

SEC. 8103. Restrictions on NSA.—Retains a provision carried in

previous years.

Sec. 8104. Transfers to Another Federal Agency.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8105. Support to Foreign Countries.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8106. T-AO(X) Oiler Program.—Retains and modifies pro-

vision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8107. Fuel Savings.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8108. Authority to Transfer O&M, Navy Funds to Ready Reserve Force, Maritime Administration Account.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8109. Authority to Use Funds for OPM Background Investigations.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous

years.

SEC. 8110. Joint Surveillance Target Attack Radar System Recapitalization.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8111. Closure of GTMO.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8112. Blocking Pornography on Computers.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8113. Global Engagement Center Reprogrammings.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8114. Rapid Prototyping with DAWDF.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

## TITLE IX

# OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS

# DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE—MILITARY

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$64,955,032,000 for operations related to Overseas Contingency Operations. In fiscal year 2017 Congress appropriated \$82,349,791,000 for activities funded in this title in Public Law 114–254 and in Public Law 115–31, titles IX and X.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

#### The following table summarizes the Committee recommendation:

[In thousands of dollars]

	Fiscal year 2017 enacted	Fiscal year 2018 estimate	Committee recommendation
Military Personnel	3,442,008	4,326,172	4,326,172
Operation and Maintenance	47,736,507	49,091,691	47,837,999
Procurement	9,368,076 406.669	9,803,921 648,387	10,459,621 911,487
Revolving and management funds	140.633	148.956	148.956
Other Department of Defense Programs	908.631	1.099.855	616,797
General provisions (net)	- 180,524	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	654,000
Public Law 114-254	5,775,000		
Public Law 115-31 title X	14,752,267		
Total, Overseas Contingency Operations	82,349,791	65,118,982	64,955,032

# OVERVIEW

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$64,955,032,000 of additional appropriations for Overseas Contingency Operations in fiscal year 2018. This funding will ensure that resources, equipment, and supplies are available for our servicemembers without interruption, and will enable the Department to avoid absorbing operational costs from within baseline programs that are critical to future readiness and home-station activities.

## REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

The Secretary of Defense is directed to submit reports, on a quarterly basis, to the congressional defense committees not later than 30 days after the last day of each quarter of the fiscal year that detail commitment, obligation, and expenditure data by sub-activity group for the Afghanistan Security Forces Fund and the Counter-the Islamic State of Iraq and Syria Fund.

# MILITARY PERSONNEL

The Committee recommends a total of \$4,326,172,000 for pay, allowances, and other personnel costs for Active, Reserve, and Guard troops activated for duty in Afghanistan and other contingency operations. This recommendation includes funding for subsistence, permanent change of station travel, and special pays including imminent danger pay, family separation allowance, and hardship duty pay.

# MILITARY PERSONNEL, ARMY

Appropriations, 2017*	\$2,145,612,000
Budget estimate, 2018	2,683,694,000
Committee recommendation	2,683,694,000

<sup>\*</sup>Includes amounts appropriated in Public Law 114–254.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$2,683,694,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

# COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

rı	Alexander de		dellers?	
In	thousands	01	dollars	

Line	Item	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	BA 1: Pay and Allowances of Officers			
5	Basic Pay	462,564	462,564	
10	Retired Pay Accrual	104,534	104,534	
25	Basic Allowance for Housing	167,686	167,686	
30	Basic Allowance for Subsistence	18,508	18,508	
35	Incentive Pays	3,191	3,191	
40	Special Pays	24,006	24,006	
45	Allowances	14,668	14,668	
50	Separation Pay	7,090	7,090	
55	Social Security Tax	35,386	35,386	
	Total	837,633	837,633	
	BA 2: Pay and Allowances of Enlisted			
60	Basic Pay	764,819	764,819	
65	Retired Pay Accrual	172,849	172,849	
80	Basic Allowance for Housing	346,571	346,571	
85	Incentive Pays	2,784	2,784	
90	Special Pays	48,257	48,257	
95	Allowances	44,934	44,934	
100	Separation Pay	15,317	15,317	
105	Social Security Tax	58,509	58,509	
	Total	1,454,040	1,454,040	
	BA 4: Subsistence of Enlisted Personnel			
115	Basic Allowance for Subsistence	86.977	86,977	
120	Subsistence-In-Kind	222,885	222,885	
	Total	309,862	309,862	
	BA 5: Permanent Change of Station Travel			
135	Operational Travel	22.158	22.158	
		,		
140	Rotational Travel	5,741	5,741	

229

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Total	27,899	27,899	
175 180 185 216	BA 6: Other Military Personnel Costs Interest on Uniformed Services Savings Death Gratuities Unemployment Benefits SGLI Extra Hazard Payments	2,997 2,000 39,253 10,010	2,997 2,000 39,253 10,010	
	Total	54,260	54,260	
	Total, Military Personnel, Army	2,683,694	2,683,694	

# MILITARY PERSONNEL, NAVY

Appropriations, 2017*	\$337,911,000
Budget estimate, 2018	377,857,000
Committee recommendation	377,857,000

<sup>\*</sup>Includes amounts appropriated in Public Law 114-254.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$377,857,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

# COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	BA 1: Pay and Allowances of Officers			
5	Basic Pay	74,171	74,171	
10	Retired Pay Accrual	16,763	16,763	
25	Basic Allowance for Housing	25,185	25,185	
30	Basic Allowance for Subsistence	2,616	2,616	
35	Incentive Pays	631	631	
40	Special Pays	3,168	3,168	
45	Allowances	7,597	7,597	
55	Social Security Tax	5,674	5,674	
	Total	135,805	135,805	
	BA 2: Pay And Allowances Of Enlisted Personnel			
60	Basic Pay	86.671	86.671	
65	Retired Pay Accrual	19,588	19,588	
80	Basic Allowance for Housing	46,974	46,974	
90	Special Pays	6,542	6,542	
95	Allowances	17,995	17,995	
105	Social Security Tax	6,630	6,630	
	Total	184,400	184,400	
	BA 4: Subsistence of Enlisted Personnel			
115	Basic Allowance for Subsistence	10.359	10.359	
120	Subsistence-In-Kind	29,101	29,101	
	Total	39,460	39,460	
	PA 5 Permanent Change of Station Travel			
125	BA 5: Permanent Change of Station Travel Accession Travel	3,806	3.806	
135	Operational Travel		1.264	
133	i operational itavel	1,204	1,204	l

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
140 145	Rotational Travel	600 2,152	600 2,152	
	Total	7,822	7,822	
180 185 212 216	BA 6: Other Military Personnel Costs Death Gratuities Unemployment Benefits Reserve Income Replacement Program SGLI Extra Hazard Payments	300 5,978 9 4,083	300 5,978 9 4,083	
	Total	10,370	10,370	
	Total, Military Personnel, Navy	377,857	377,857	

# MILITARY PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS

Appropriations, 2017*	\$185,573,000
Budget estimate, 2018	103,979,000
Committee recommendation	103,979,000

<sup>\*</sup> Includes amounts appropriated in Public Law 114–254.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$103,979,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

# COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	BA1: Pay and Allowances of Officers			
5	Basic Pay	19.766	19,766	
10	Retired Pay Accrual	4.479	4,479	
25	Basic Allowance for Housing	6.679	6,679	
30	Basic Allowance for Subsistence	634	634	
35	Incentive Pays	160	160	
40	Special Pays	2,486	2,486	
45	Allowances	920	920	
55	Social Security Tax	1,512	1,512	
	Total	36,636	36,636	
	BA 2: Pay And Allowances Of Enlisted Personnel			
60	Basic Pay	24.617	24.617	
65	Retired Pay Accrual	5.551	5,551	
80	Basic Allowance for Housing	15.229	15.229	
85	Incentive Pays	23	23	
90	Special Pays	8.084	8.084	
95	Allowances	6,935	6,935	
105	Social Security Tax	1,883	1,883	
	Total	62,322	62,322	
	BA 4: Subsistence of Enlisted Personnel			
115		2 042	2 042	
115	Basic Allowance for Subsistence	2,842	2,842	
	Total	2,842	2,842	

231

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
175 205	BA 6: Other Military Personnel Costs Interest on Uniformed Services Savings	302 1,877	302 1,877	
	Total	2,179	2,179	
	Total, Military Personnel, Marine Corps	103,979	103,979	

# MILITARY PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2017*	\$888,911,000
Budget estimate, 2018	914,119,000
Committee recommendation	914,119,000

 $<sup>^{*}</sup>$  Includes amounts appropriated in Public Law 114–254.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$914,119,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

# COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Retired Pay Accrual   27,700   27,700   27,700   25   Basic Allowance for Housing   38,118   18,128   18,128   18,128   18,128   18,128   18,128   18,128   18,128   18,128   18,128	Change from budget estimate	Committee recommendation	2018 budget estimate	ltem	Line
Retired Pay Accrual   27,700   27,700   27,700   25   Basic Allowance for Housing   38,118		100.500	100 500		
Basic Allowance for Housing   38,118   38,118   38,118   30   Basic Allowance for Subsistence   4,276   4,27					
Basic Allowance for Subsistence				,	
Special Pays					
Allowances					
Social Security Tax		.,	.,	1	
Total   214,708   214,708					
BA 2: Pay and Allowances of Enlisted   279,399   279,3		9,376	9,376	Social Security Tax	55
Basic Pay   279,399   279,399   279,399   65   Retired Pay Accrual   63,144   63,1		214,708	214,708	Total	
Retired Pay Accrual   63,144   63,144   80   Basic Allowance for Housing   118,426				BA 2: Pay and Allowances of Enlisted	
Retired Pay Accrual   63,144   63,144   80   Basic Allowance for Housing   118,426   123,227   123,227   123,228   123,238   105   123,2		279,399	279,399	Basic Pay	60
Basic Allowance for Housing		63,144	63,144		65
Social Security Tax		118,426	118,426		80
95		23,327	23,327	Special Pays	90
Total		23,238	23,238		95
BA 4: Subsistence of Enlisted Personnel     30,311   30,311     30,311   17,214   117,214     117,214     121   Family Subsistence Supplemental Allowance		21,374	21,374	Social Security Tax	105
115		528,908	528,908	Total	
120   Subsistence-In-Kind				BA 4: Subsistence of Enlisted Personnel	
121   Family Subsistence Supplemental Allowance   147,525   147,525       BA 6: Other Military Personnel Costs   147,525   147,525		30,311	30,311	Basic Allowance for Subsistence	115
Total		117,214	117,214	Subsistence-In-Kind	120
BA 6: Other Military Personnel Costs				Family Subsistence Supplemental Allowance	121
		147,525	147,525	Total	
				BA 6: Other Military Personnel Costs	
100   Douth Gratings		1,007	1,007	Death Gratuities	180
			,	Unemployment Benefits	185
010 0011 5 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1					216
Total		22,978	22,978	Total	

Line	Item	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Total, Military Personnel, Air Force	914,119	914,119	

# RESERVE PERSONNEL, ARMY

Appropriations, 2017	\$42,506,000
Budget estimate, 2018	24,942,000
Committee recommendation	24,942,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$24,942,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

# COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

#### [In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
80	BA 1: Reserve Component Training and Support Special Training	24,942	24,942	
	Total	24,942	24,942	
	Total, Reserve Personnel, Army	24,942	24,942	

# RESERVE PERSONNEL, NAVY

Appropriations, 2017	\$11,929,000
Budget estimate, 2018	9,091,000
Committee recommendation	9,091,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$9,091,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

# COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

## [In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
80 90	BA 1: Reserve Component Training and Support Special Training Administration and Support	8,835 256	8,835 256	
	Total	9,091	9,091	
	Total, Reserve Personnel, Navy	9,091	9,091	

# RESERVE PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS

Appropriations, 2017	\$3,764,000
Budget estimate, 2018	2,328,000
Committee recommendation	2,328,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$2,328,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
80 90	BA1: Reserve Component Training and Support Special Training	2,285 43	2,285 43	
	Total	2,328	2,328	
	Total, Reserve Personnel, Marine Corps	2,328	2,328	

# RESERVE PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2017	\$20,535,000
Budget estimate, 2018	20,569,000
Committee recommendation	20,569,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$20,569,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

# COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

#### [In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
80	BA 1: Reserve Component Training and Support Special Training	20,569	20,569	
	Total	20,569	20,569	
	Total, Reserve Personnel, Air Force	20,569	20,569	

## NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, ARMY

Appropriations, 2017	\$196,472,000
Budget estimate, 2018	184,589,000
Committee recommendation	184,589,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$184,589,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

# COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

# [In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
10	BA 1: Reserve Component Training and Support Pay Group A Training (15 Days & Drills 24/48)	39,327	39,327	

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
70 80 90	School Training	2,881 132,994 9,387	2,881 132,994 9,387	
	Total	184,589	184,589	
	Total, National Guard Personnel, Army	184,589	184,589	

#### NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2017	\$5,288,000
Budget estimate, 2018	5,004,000
Committee recommendation	5,004,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$5,004,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

#### [In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
80	BA 1: Reserve Component Training and Support Special Training	5,004	5,004	
	Total	5,004	5,004	
	Total, National Guard Personnel, Air Force	5,004	5,004	

# OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

The Committee recommends \$47,837,999,000 for the operation and maintenance accounts. These funds are available to fund overseas deployments and other activities by the services and Special Operations Forces to include financing flying hours, ship steaming days, ground operations, special airlift missions, increased ship and aircraft maintenance, logistics support, fuel purchases, base support, civilian personnel, personnel support costs, overseas transportation, communications support, facility management, and other operation and maintenance requirements.

# OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY

Appropriations, 2017*	\$19,853,501,000
Budget estimate, 2018	16,998,894,000
Committee recommendation	16,702,994,000

<sup>\*</sup>Includes amounts appropriated in Public Law 114–254.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$16,702,994,000. This is \$295,900,000 below the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
111	Maneuver Units	828.225	828.225	
113	Echelons Above Brigade	25,474	25,474	
114	Theater Level Assets	1,778,644	1,778,644	
115	Land Forces Operations Support	260,575	260,575	
116	Avaition Assets	284,422	284,422	
121	Force Readiness Operations Support	2,784,525	2,734,525	-50,000
	Maintain program affordability: Unjustified growth			-50,000
122	Land Forces Systems Readiness	502,330	502,330	
123	Land Forces Depot Maintenance	104,149	104,149	
131	Base Operations Support	80.249	80.249	
132	Facilities Sustainment, Restoration, and Modernization	32,000	32,000	
135	Additional Activities	6,988,168	6,860,268	- 127,900
	Maintain program affordability: Unjustified growth			- 20,000
	Improving funds management: Program decrease unac-			
	counted for			- 107.900
136	Commanders' Emergency Response Program	5.000	5.000	
137	Reset	864,926	864,926	
141	US Africa Command	186,567	186,567	
142	US European Command	44.250	44.250	
212	Army Prepositioned Stocks	56,500	56,500	
421	Servicewide Transportation	789.355	739,355	- 50.000
	Maintain program affordability: Unjustified growth	l		- 50.000
422	Central Supply Activities	16,567	16,567	
423	Logistics Support Activities	6,000	6.000	
424	Ammunition Management	5.207	5.207	
434	Other Personnel Support	107.091	107.091	
437	Real Estate Management	165,280	165.280	
999	Classified Programs	1,083,390	1,083,390	
UNDIST	Maintain program affordability: Army PGSS requirement de-			
	crease		-41.000	- 41.000
UNDIST	Improving funds management: Program decrease unac-		,,,,,	1,000
23101	counted for		- 27,000	- 27,000
	Total, Operation and Maintenance, Army	16,998,894	16,702,994	- 295,900

Commanders' Emergency Response Program.—The Committee recommends \$5,000,000 for the Commanders' Emergency Response Program [CERP] in Afghanistan in fiscal year 2018. The Committee directs the Army to submit quarterly commitment, obligation, and expenditure data for CERP to the congressional defense committees not later than 30 days after each month.

The Committee includes language in section 9005 that requires

The Committee includes language in section 9005 that requires all CERP projects executed under this authority shall be small scale, and shall not exceed \$2,000,000 in cost (including any ancillary or related elements in connection with such project).

# OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY

Appropriations, 2017*	\$9,757,861,000
Budget estimate, 2018	5,951,289,000
Committee recommendation	5,789,404,000

<sup>\*</sup>Includes amounts appropriated in Public Law 114-254.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$5,789,404,000. This is \$161,885,000 below the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1A1A	Mission And Other Flight Operations	412,710	412,710	
1A2A	Fleet Air Training	5,674	5,674	
1A3A	Aviation Technical Data & Engineering Services	1,750	1,750	
1A4A	Air Operations And Safety Support	2,989	2,989	
1A4N	Air Systems Support	144,030	144,030	
1A5A	Aircraft Depot Maintenance	211,196	211,196	
1A6A	Aviation Depot Operations Support	1,921	1,921	
1A9A	Aviation Logistics	102,834	102,834	
1B1B	Mission And Other Ship Operations	871,453	871,453	
1B2B	Ship Operations Support & Training	19,627	19,627	
1B4B	Ship Depot Maintenance	2,483,179	2,483,179	
1C1C	Combat Communications	58,886	58,886	
1C3C	Space Systems and Surveillance	4.400	4,400	
1C4C	Warfare Tactics	21,550	21,550	
1C5C	Operational Meteorology And Oceanography	21,104	21,104	
1C6C	Combat Support Forces	611.936	611.936	
1C7C	Equipment Maintenance	11.433	11,433	
1D4D	Weapons Maintenance	371,611	371,611	
1D7D	Other Weapons Systems Support	9,598	9,598	
BSM1	Facilities Sustainment, Restoration And Modernization	31,898	31,898	
BSS1	Base Operating Support	230,246	230,246	
2B2G	Ship Activations/Inactivations	1,869	1.869	
2C1H	Expeditionary Health Service Systems	11,905	11,905	
2C3H	Coast Guard Support	161,885	11,505	- 161,885
20011	Coast Guard funded in Department of Homeland Security	101,000		101,000
	bill			- 161.885
3B1K	Specialized Skill Training	43,369	43,369	101,000
4A1M	Administration	3.217	3.217	
4A1W 4A4M		. ,	7,356	
484W 4B1N	Military Manpower And Personnel Management	7,356	67,938	
4B1N 4B3N	Servicewide Transportation	67,938		
	Acquisition And Program Management	9,446	9,446	
4C1P	Investigative and Security Services	1,528	1,528	
999	Classified Programs	12,751	12,751	
	Total, Operation and Maintenance, Navy	5,951,289	5,789,404	- 161,885

# OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS

Appropriations, 2017*	\$2,043,055,000
Budget estimate, 2018	1,141,374,000
Committee recommendation	1,141,374,000

 $<sup>^{*}</sup>$  Includes amounts appropriated in Public Law 114–254.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,414,374,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

# COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Operational Forces Field Logistics	720,013 256,536	720,013 256,536	

237

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
3B4D 4A3G	Depot Maintenance Base Operating Support Training Support Servicewide Transportation Classified Programs	52,000 17,529 29,421 62,225 3,650	52,000 17,529 29,421 62,225 3,650	
	Total,Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps	1,141,374	1,141,374	

# OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2017*	\$12,550,916,000
Budget estimate, 2018	10,266,295,000
Committee recommendation	10,223,895,000

<sup>\*</sup>Includes amounts appropriated in Public Law 114-254.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$10,223,895,000. This is \$42,400,000 below the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

# The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
011A	Primary Combat Forces	248.235	248.235	
011C	Combat Enhancement Forces	1,394,962	1,394,962	
011D	Air Operations Training	5,450	5,450	
011M	Depot Maintenance	699,860	699,860	
011R	Facilities Sustainment, Restoration & Modernization	113,131	113,131	
011W	Contractor Logistics Support and System Support	2,039,551	1,997,151	- 42,400
	Improving funds management: Remove one-time fiscal year 2017 costs			- 7,400
	Maintain program affordability: Unjustified program			
	growth			- 35,000
011Y	Flying Hour Program	2,059,363	2,059,363	
011Z	Base Operating Support	1,088,946	1,088,946	
012A	Global C3I And Early Warning	15,274	15,274	
012C	Other Combat Ops Spt Programs	198,090	198,090	
012F	Tactical Intelligence and Special Activities	58,098	58,098	
013A	Launch Facilities	385	385	
013C	Space Control Systems	22,020	22,020	
015C	US NORTHCOM/NORAD	381	381	
015D	US STRATCOM	698	698	
015E	US CYBERCOM	35,239	35,239	
015F	US CENTCOM	159,520	159,520	
015G	US SOCOM	19,000	19,000	
021A	Airlift Operations	1,430,316	1,430,316	
021D	Mobilization Preparedness	213,827	213,827	
031A	Officer Acquisition	300	300	
031B	Recruit Training	298	298	
031D	Reserve Officers Training Corps [ROTC]	90	90	
032A	Specialized Skill Training	25,675	25,675	
032B	Flight Training	879	879	
032C	Professional Development Education	1,114	1,114	
032D	Training Support	1,426	1,426	
041A	Logistics Operations	151,847	151,847	
041B	Technical Support Activities	8,744	8,744	
042A	Administration	6,583	6,583	
042B	Servicewide Communications	129,508	129,508	l

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Security Programs	84,110 53,255 120	84,110 53,255 120	
	Total, Operation and Maintenance, Air Force	10,266,295	10,223,895	- 42,400

# OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE

Appropriations, 2017*	\$7,573,883,000
Budget estimate, 2018	7,793,244,000
Committee recommendation	7,803,437,000

<sup>\*</sup>Includes amounts appropriated in Public Law 114-254.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$7,803,437,000. This is \$10,193,000 above the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Joint Chiefs of Staff	4,841	4,841	
	Special Operations Command	3,305,234	3,272,227	- 33,007
	Maintain program affordability: Maintenance- unjusti-			
	fied growth			- 33,007
	Defense Contract Audit Agency	9,853	9,853	
	Defense Contract Management Agency	21,317	21,317	
	Defense Information Systems Agency	64,137	64,137	
	Defense Legal Services Agency	115,000	115,000	
	Defense Media Activity	13,255	13,255	
	Department Of Defense Education Activity	31,000	31,000	
	Defense Security Cooperation Agency	2,312,000	2,037,000	- 275,000
	Maintain program affordability: Maintain level of ef-			
	fort—Security Cooperation			-100,000
	Maintain program affordability: Maintain level of ef-			
	fort—Lift and Sustain			- 175,000
	Defense Threat Reduction Agency		318,200	+ 318,200
	Transfer: Transfer from Joint Improvised-Threat Defeat			
	Fund base for execution			+7,442
	Transfer: Transfer from Joint Improvised-Threat Defeat			
	Fund OCO for execution			+ 310,758
	Office Of The Secretary Of Defense	34,715	34,715	
	Washington Headquarters Services	3,179	., .	
	Classified Programs	1,878,713	1,878,713	
	Total, Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide	7,793,244	7,803,437	+ 10,193

Pakistan Reimbursements.—The National Defense Authorization Act [NDAA] carries a limitation on reimbursement of Pakistan's counterterrorism activities pending certification by the Secretary of Defense that Pakistan has taken sufficient action against the Haqqani network to warrant such reimbursement. Thus far, Pakistan has not met the necessary certification requirements to receive the full reimbursement budgeted for these activities. The timing of certification decision, toward the end of the 2-year life of the funds, has resulted in hundreds of millions of unexecutable dollars

held in Department of Defense accounts for several years. The Committee believes that it would be more appropriate for the Department to request funding to cover the portion of Pakistan's reimbursable activities that are subject to the certification requirements in the year the certification decision will be made so that Congress can make informed decisions about whether such funds should be provided as requested or reallocated for higher priority Department of Defense requirements. Therefore, the Committee recommends a rescission of \$400,000,000 from fiscal year 2017 Coalition Support Funds. The Committee remains hopeful that Pakistan will undertake all necessary counterterrorism activities against the Haqqani network to meet the certification requirements and looks forward to a budget submission fully justified by an affirmative certification when warranted.

Security Cooperation.—At the end of fiscal year 2017, the Department of Defense had informed Congress of plans to spend only \$110,000,000 of the \$750,000,000 appropriated for Overseas Contingency Operations [OCO] building partner capacity efforts. The Committee understands that the lagging execution of the fiscal year 2017 OCO Security Cooperation funds can be attributed to a number of factors, including the lengthy budget delay in fiscal year 2017 and significant new processes and requirements resulting from security cooperation reforms in 10 U.S.C. § 333. Nevertheless, the Committee believes that the Department will not be able to execute all remaining fiscal year 2017 funds in addition to the \$750,000,000 recommended for security cooperation activities in fiscal year 2018 in a deliberative manner that would ensure that programs are properly vetted. Therefore, the Committee recommends a rescission of \$250,000,000 of fiscal year 2017 funds.

Security Sector Assistance Steering Committee.—The Committee supports the new Department of State-Department of Defense Security Sector Assistance Steering Committee which aims to promote joint planning and optimization of both Departments' security assistance resources. The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of State to provide a report on the activities and progress of the Defense Security Sector Assistance Steering Committee to the congressional defense and foreign relationscommittees, not later than 180 days after enactment of this Act.

Arms Sales and Human Rights.—The Committee has an interest in ensuring that the defense articles or services, sold, licensed, or exported by the United States, are not used by recipient countries in the commission of human rights violations. The Committee thereby directs the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Secretary of State, to report to the appropriate congressional committees not later than 180 days after the of enactment of this act, an assessment of whether U.S. supplied defense articles and services have contributed to violations of human rights by recipient countries in the past three years. The assessment should draw upon all-source reporting, including information from nongovernmental sources, as appropriate. Further, the report should include a proposal to mitigate the potential for the use of U.S. provided defense articles or services in human rights abuses by recipient countries in arms sales and security assistance planning.

Private Security Companies.—The Committee notes the prevalent use of contracted private security companies [PSCs] to address U.S. security needs overseas. While recognizing the necessity of using PSCs in certain high threat environments, the Committee is concerned with the lack of sufficient oversight and accountability for such companies, which can undermine U.S. national security interests. Therefore, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to report to the congressional defense committees, not later than 90 days after enactment of this act, a plan for ensuring that all PSCs under contract with the Department of Defense are certified by the International Code of Conduct Association and subject to its regular oversight and monitoring. The report shall include an explanation of any potential challenges or limitations in implementation of the plan.

Counter-Lord's Resistance Army.—The Committee commends the Department of Defense and U.S. Africa Command for the success of Operation Observant Compass in coordinating with community leaders and civil society partners to reduce Lord's Resistance Army [LRA] violence and strengthen early warning and civilian protection mechanisms. The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Secretary of State, to provide a report to the congressional defense and foreign relations committees on the lessons learned from Operation Observant Compass, including in the area of civil-military coordination, and an assessment of how these lessons are being institutionalized and applied to other complex crises, not later than 270 days after enactment of this act. The Committee recommends that the Secretary of Defense continue to support civil-military coordination in the region and closely track any signs of resurgence in LRA abductions and attacks.

## OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY RESERVE

Appropriations, 2017	\$38,679,000
Budget estimate, 2018	24,699,000
Committee recommendation	24,699,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$24,699,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
113	Echelons Above Brigade	4,179	4,179	
115	Land Forces Operations Support	2,132	2,132	
121	Force Readiness Operations Support	779	779	
131	Base Operations Support	17,609	17,609	
	Total, Operation and Maintenance, Army Reserve	24,699	24,699	

# OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY RESERVE

Appropriations, 2017	\$29,473,000
Budget estimate, 2018	23,980,000
Committee recommendation	23.980.000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$23,980,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

#### [In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1A5A 1C6C	Aircraft Depot Maintenance	14,964 9,016	14,964 9,016	
	Total, Operation and Maintenance, Navy Reserve	23,980	23,980	

## OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS RESERVE

Appropriations, 2017	\$3,304,000
Budget estimate, 2018	3,367,000
Committee recommendation	3.367.000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$3,367,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

# [In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1A1A BSS1	Operational Forces	2,548 819	2,548 819	
	Total, Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve	3,367	3,367	

# OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE RESERVE

Appropriations, 2017	\$172,685,000
Budget estimate, 2018	58,523,000
Committee recommendation	53,523,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$53,523,000. This is \$5,000,000 below the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
011M	Depot Maintenance	52,323	47,323	- 5,000
	Maintain program affordability: Program decrease not fully accounted for			- 5,000
011Z	Base Operating Support	6,200	6,200	
	Total, Operation and Maintenance, Air Force Reserve	58,523	53,523	- 5,000

# OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD

Appropriations, 2017	\$214,903,000
Budget estimate, 2018	108,111,000
Committee recommendation	108,111,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$108,111,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

# COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

## [In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
111	Maneuver Units	41,731	41,731	
112	Modular Support Brigades	762	762	
113	Echelons Above Brigade	11,855	11,855	
114	Theater Level Assets	204	204	
116	Aviation Assets	27,583	27,583	
121	Force Readiness Operations Support	5,792	5,792	
131	Base Operations Support	18,507	18,507	
133	Management and Operational Headquarters	937	937	
432	Servicewide Communications	740	740	
	Total, Operation and Maintenance, Army National Guard	108.111	108.111	
	GUATU	106,111	106,111	

# OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR NATIONAL GUARD

Appropriations, 2017	\$43,000,000
Budget estimate, 2018	15,400,000
Committee recommendation	15 400 000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$15,400,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

# COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

#### [In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Mission Support	3,468 11,932	3,468 11,932	
	Total, Operation and Maintenance, Air National Guard	15,400	15,400	

## AFGHANISTAN SECURITY FORCES FUND

Appropriations, 2017	\$4,262,715,000
Budget estimate, 2018	4,937,515,000
Committee recommendation	4.178.815.000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$4,178,815,000. This is \$758,700,000 below the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Sustainment	2,660,855	2,268,355	- 392,500
	Excess forward financing: Light Air Sustainment			- 4,500
	Excess forward financing: Other Aircraft Sustainment			- 27,500
	Insufficient budget justification: Rotary-Wing Aircraft			
	Sustainment			-166,000
	Insufficient budget justification: Special Mission Wing			
	Aircraft Sustainment			- 92,500
	Insufficient budget justification: ANA Combat Force			
	Sustainment			-30,000
	Excess forward financing: Secure Commnications			-47,000
	Excess forward financing: Night Vision Device Mainte-			· ·
	nance			-15,000
	Unjustified growth: Site Improvement and Minor Con-			· ·
	struction			-10,000
	Infrastructure	21,000	21,000	
	Equipment and Transportation	684,786	487,286	- 197.500
	Insufficient budget justification: Rotary-wing aircraft			-197,500
	Training and Operations	405,117	368,117	- 37,000
	Insufficient budget justification: Out of Country Fixed-	,	,	· · · · · ·
	wing Pilot Training			-18,000
	Insufficient budget justification: ASFF Training Program			- 9,000
	Unjustified growth: MOD Advisors:			-10,000
				<u>'</u>
	Subtotal, Ministry of Defense	3,771,758	3,144,758	-627,000
	Sustainment	955.574	823,874	- 131.700
	Unjustified growth: Police Salaries		020,071	- 69.700
	Contract savings: National Maintenance Strategy			- 62,000
	Infrastructure	39.595	39,595	02,000
	Equipment and Transportation	75.976	75,976	
	Training and Operations	94,612	94,612	
	,			
	Subtotal, Ministry of Interior	1,165,757	1,034,057	- 131,700
	,,	,,	,,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
	Total, Afghanistan Security Forces Fund	4,937,515	4,178,815	- 758,700
	1	1,007,010	1,170,010	1 ,00,700

Human Rights Vetting.—In June 2017, the Special Inspector General for Afghanistan Reconstruction [SIGAR] issued a report outlining inquiry findings in response to a request from 93 members of Congress who were concerned about allegations of child sexual abuse committed by members of the Afghan security forces and the manner in which vetting, required by 10 U.SC. § 362 or "the Leahy law," are implemented in Afghanistan. The Committee was surprised and troubled to learn that there were some credible cases of gross human rights violations for which the Secretary of Defense used the "notwithstanding clause" of the Afghanistan Security Forces Fund [ASFF] provision of the Department of Defense Appro-

priations Act to continue to provide select training, equipment, and other assistance to some of the Afghan units implicated in those incidents.

The Committee notes that the ASFF "notwithstanding clause" was not intended to be used to exempt requirements to withhold support from units involved in human rights abuses under the Leahy law, and therefore, has removed the "notwithstanding

clause" from the ASFF provision in this act.

The Committee understands that ASFF is unique among building partner capacity efforts in that it is used for operational purposes by a force that is in combat, at times alongside U.S. personnel, and that the withholding of assistance could present risks to U.S. or coalition forces or to U.S. national security objectives in Afghanistan. In those exceptional cases where such risks are determined by the Secretary of Defense to warrant continued provision of assistance to units involved in human rights abuses, the Committee believes the exceptional circumstances waiver and Congressional notification requirements of the Leahy law should be utilized. Moreover, to ensure that adequate mechanisms exist within the Department of Defense to ensure that sexual abuse of children and other violations of human rights by members of the Afghan security forces are reported and that human rights vetting requirements are enforced, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to establish a position responsible for overseeing and supporting Leahy law implementation in Afghanistan, as recommended by the SIGAR.

Excessive Contract Costs.—The Committee is concerned about the excessive costs of contracts awarded using the Afghanistan Security Forces Fund [ASFF]. Recent reports from both the Special Inspector General for Afghanistan Reconstruction and the Defense Contract Audit Agency [DCAA] found deficiencies that resulted in tens of millions of dollars potentially lost to fraud, waste, and abuse. In addition, the Committee's own review of ASFF budget justification materials showed excessive and unwarranted contract costs. For example, the request for the annual contract for out of country fixed-wing pilot training was over \$700,000 per Afghan student, including over \$160,000 per student just for housing, food and general expenses. Such excessive per student living costs are not justified. The contract for rotary-wing pilot training showed similarly large and unexplained costs. Therefore, the Committee directs that the Director of the DCAA examine all ASFF training contracts and report to the congressional defense committees on its finding. The Committee also directs the Secretary of Defense to provide to the congressional defense committees an accounting of all contracts funded with ASFF, the annual value of each contract, and the ASFF line item that funds each contract.

Afghanistan Security Forces Fund Budget Justification.—The Committee commends the Department for efforts to improve the Afghanistan Security Forces Fund [ASFF] budget justification materials and Financial Activity Plans [FAPs]. To further inform the budget review, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide budget justification materials that include, the budget request amount, the appropriated amount, and the actual obligation amount by line item, for the prior two years. In addition, concur-

rent with the submission of each FAP, the Secretary of Defense shall provide the congressional defense committees with line item detail of planned funding movements within ASFF using line item titles from the appropriate year's budget justification submission. Any line item that did not appear in the budget justification submission shall be delineated as a new line item.

#### COUNTER-ISIS TRAIN AND EQUIP FUND

Appropriations, 2017	\$1,606,400,000
Budget estimate, 2018	1,769,000,000
Committee recommendation	1,769,000,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,769,000,000. This is equal the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

ſΙn	thousands	nf	dol	larel	

Line	Item	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	C-ISIS Train and Equip Fund	1,769,000	1,769,000	
	Total, C—ISIS Train and Equip Fund	1,769,000	1,769,000	

## **PROCUREMENT**

The Committee recommends \$10,459,621,000 for the procurement accounts. The Overseas Contingency Operations funding supports our forces engaged in Operation Enduring Freedom and other contingency operations. The Committee recommends funding to replace combat losses and equipment left behind in theater, augment and upgrade equipment for deploying units, sustain munitions and other war consumables, and reset the units returning home to an equipment-ready status. Funding adjustments have been made in instances where the requirement was poorly defined, funding was requested ahead of need or program execution has been delayed.

## AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY

Appropriations, 2017	\$629,955,000
Budget estimate, 2018	424,686,000
Committee recommendation	420,086,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$420,086,000 below. This is \$4,600,000 below the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
4	MQ-1 UAVAH-64 Apache Block IIIA Reman	87,300 39,040	87,300 39,040	

Line	Item	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
15 18	MQ-1 Payload (MIP)	41,400 33,475	41,400 28,875	— 4,600
	stallations early to need			- 4,600
23	EMARSS SEMA Mods (MIP)	36,000	36,000	
27	Comms, Nav Surveillance	4,289	4,289	
33	CMWS	139,742	139,742	
34	Common Infrared Countermeasures [CIRCM]	43,440	43,440	
	Total, Aircraft Procurement, Army	424,686	420,086	-4,600

# MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY

Appropriations, 2017*	\$1,214,171,000
Budget estimate, 2018	559,283,000
Committee recommendation	559,283,000

<sup>\*</sup>Includes amounts appropriated in Public Law 114-254.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$559,283,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
5 8 9 11 13 14 18	Hellfire Sys Summary Javelin (Aaws-M) System Summary Tow 2 System Summary Guided MLRS Rocket [GMLRS] High Mobility Artillery Rocket System [HIMARS] LETHAL MINIATURE AERIAL MISSILE SYSTEM [LMAMS] Stinger Mods	278,073 8,112 3,907 191,522 41,000 8,669 28,000	278,073 8,112 3,907 191,522 41,000 8,669 28,000	
	Total, Missile Procurement, Army	559,283	559,283	

# PROCUREMENT OF WEAPONS AND TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES, ARMY

Appropriations, 2017	\$457,162,000
Budget estimate, 2018	1,191,139,000
Committee recommendation	1,191,139,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,191,139,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

## [In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1 2	Bradley Program	200,000 253.903	200,000 253.903	

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Bradley Program (MOD) Paladin Integrated Management [PIM] M1 Abrams Tank (MOD) Abrams Upgrade Program	138,700	30,000 125,736 138,700 442,800	
	Total, Procurement of Weapons and Tracked Combat Vehicles, Army	1,191,139	1,191,139	

# PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY

Appropriations, 2017	\$738,355,000
Budget estimate, 2018	193,436,000
Committee recommendation	191,836,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$191,836,000. This is \$1,600,000 below the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

#### [In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
3	CTG, Handgun, All Types	5	5	
4	CTG, .50 Cal, All Types	121	121	
5	CTG, 20mm, All Types	1,605	1,605	
7	CTG, 30mm, All Types	35,000	35,000	
15	Proj 155mm Extended Range M982	23,234	23,234	
16	Artillery Propellants, Fuzes and Primers, All	20,023	20,023	
17	Mines & Clearing Charges, All Types	11,615	11,615	
19	Shoulder Launched Munitions, All Types	25,000	25,000	
20	Rocket, Hydra 70, All Types	75,820	74,220	-1,600
	Improving funds management: Previously funded			
	(APKWS)			-1,600
24	Signals, All Types	1,013	1,013	
	Total, Procurement of Ammunition, Army	193,436	191,836	-1,600

# OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY

Appropriations, 2017*	\$1,827,119,000
Budget estimate, 2018	405,575,000
Committee recommendation	405,575,000

<sup>\*</sup>Includes amounts appropriated in Public Law 114-254.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$405,575,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

#### [In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
10	Family of Heavy Tactical Vehicles [FHTV]	25,874	25,874	

248

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
12	Hvy Expanded Mobile Tactical Truck Ext Serv	38,628	38,628	
14	Modification of In Svc Equip	64,647	64,647	
15	Mine-Resistant Ambush-Protected [MRAP] Mods	17,508	17,508	
20	Signal Modernization Program	4,900	4,900	
43	Tractor Ride	1,000	1,000	
64	Installation Info Infrastructure Mod Program	2,500	2,500	
70	DCGS-A (MIP)	39,515	39,515	
72	Trojan (MIP)	21,310	21,310	
73	Mod of In-Svc Equip (Intel Spt) (MIP)	2,300	2,300	
74	CI HUMINT Auto Reporting and Coll (CHARCS)	14,460	14,460	
77	Biometric Tactical Collection Devices (MIP)	5,180	5,180	
82	Family Of Persistent Surveillance Capabilities	16,935	16,935	
83	Counterintelligence/Security Countermeasures	18,874	18,874	
86	Night Vision Devices	377	377	
87	Small Tactical Optical Rifle Mounted MLRF	60	60	
89	Indirect Fire Protection Family of Systems	57,500	57,500	
95	Mod of In-Svc Equip (LLDR)	3,974	3,974	
97	Mortar Fire Control System	2,947	2,947	
100	AIR & MSL Defense Planning & Control Sys	9,100	9,100	
121	Base Defense Systems [BDS]	3,726	3,726	
138	Heaters and ECU'S	270	270	
144	Field Feeding Equipment	145	145	
145	Cargo Aerial Del & Personnel Parachute System	1,980	1,980	
150	Combat Support Medical	25,690	25,690	
151	Mobile Maintenance Equipment Systems	1,124	1,124	
155	Hydraulic Excavator	3,850	3,850	
159	High Mobility Engineer Excavator [HMEE]	1,932	1,932	
166	Generators and Associated Equip	569	569	
170	Training Devices, Nonsystem	2,700	2,700	
175	Integrated Family of Test Equipment [IFTE]	7,500	7,500	
178	Rapid Equipping Soldier Support Equipment	8,500	8,500	
	Total, Other Procurement, Army	405,575	405,575	

# AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY

Appropriations, 2017	\$682,187,000
Budget estimate, 2018	157,300,000
Committee recommendation	157,300,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$157,300,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

# COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
27	STUASLO UAV	3,900	3,900	
34	H-53 Series	950	950	
35	SH-60 Series	15,382	15,382	
37	EP-3 Series	7,220	7,220	
47	Special Project Aircraft	19,855	19,855	
51	Common ECM Equipment	75,530	75,530	
62	QRC	15,150	15,150	
64	Spares and Repair Parts	18,850	18,850	
66	Aircraft Industrial Facilities	463	463	

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Total, Aircraft Procurement, Navy	157,300	157,300	

# WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY

Appropriations, 2017	\$137,600,000
Budget estimate, 2018	152,373,000
Committee recommendation	152,373,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$152,373,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

#### [In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
3 7 11 12 17 35	Tomahawk Standard Missile Hellfire Laser Maverick ESSM Small Arms and Weapons	100,086 35,208 8,771 5,040 1,768 1,500	100,086 35,208 8,771 5,040 1,768 1,500	
	Total, Weapons Procurement, Navy	152,373	152,373	

# PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS

Appropriations, 2017	\$168,480,000
Budget estimate, 2018	236,440,000
Committee recommendation	235,150,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$235,150,000. This is \$1,290,000 below the budget estimate.

# COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1	General Purpose Bombs	74,021	74,021	
2	JDAM	106,941	106,941	
3	Airborne Rockets, All Types	1,184	1,184	
7	Air Expendable Countermeasures	15,700	15,700	
8	JATOS	540	540	
12	Other Ship Gun Ammunition	19,689	19,689	
13	Small Arms & Landing Party Ammo	1,963	1,963	
14	Pyrotechnic and Demolition	765	765	
16	Ammunition Less Than \$5 Million	866	866	
20	Mortars	1,290		-1,290
	Insufficient budget justification: Base requirement			-1,290
23	Direct Support Munitions	1,355	1,355	
24	Infantry Weapons Ammunition	1,854	1,854	
33	Artillery Munitions	10,272	10,272	

# 250

## [In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Total, Procurement of Ammunition, Navy and Marine Corps	236,440	235,150	- 1,290

# OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY

Appropriations, 2017	\$251,083,000
Budget estimate, 2018	251,559,000
Committee recommendation	239,359,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$239,359,000. This is \$12,200,000 below the budget estimate.

# COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
25	Underwater EOD Programs	12,348	12,348	
32	Standard Boats	18,000	18,000	
46	SSN Acoustic Equipment	43,500	43,500	
78	Naval Mission Planning Systems	2,550	2,550	
80	Tactical/Mobile C4I Systems	7,900	7,900	
81	DCGS-N	6,392	6,392	
101	Cryptologic Communications Equip	5,380	5,380	
119	Aviation Support Equipment	29,245	29,245	
121	Ship Missile Support Equipment	2,436	2,436	
126	Explosive Ordnance Disposal Equip	60,370	48,170	- 12,200
	Restoring acquisition accountability: JCREW unit cost			
	growth			-12,200
132	General Purpose Trucks	496	496	
134	Fire Fighting Equipment	2,304	2,304	
135	Tactical Vehicles	2,336	2,336	
141	Supply Equipment	164	164	
143	First Destination Transportation	420	420	
147	Command Support Equipment	21,650	21,650	
152	Operating Forces Support Equipment	15,800	15,800	
154	Environmental Support Equipment	1,000	1,000	
155	Physical Security Equipment	15,890	15,890	
	Classified Programs	2,200	2,200	
161	Spares and Repair Parts	1,178	1,178	
	Total, Other Procurement, Navy	251,559	239,359	- 12,200

# PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS

Appropriations, 2017	\$331,219,000
Budget estimate, 2018	65,274,000
Committee recommendation	64,446,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$64,446,000. This is \$828,000 below the budget estimate.

# COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

Line	Item	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
6	High Mobility Artillery Rocket System	5,360	5,360	
11	Javelin	2,833	2,569	- 264
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Unit cost growth			- 264
12	Follow On To SMAW	49	49	
13	Anti-Armor Weapons System-Heavy [AAWS-H]	5,024	4,460	- 564
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Unit cost growth			- 564
17	Repair and Test Equipment	8,241	8,241	
19	Modification Kits	750	750	
20	Items Under \$5 Million (Comm & Elec)	200	200	
24	RQ-21 UAS	8,400	8,400	
26	Fire Support System	50	50	
27	Intelligence Support Equipment	3,000	3,000	
37	Command Post Systems	5,777	5,777	
38	Radio Systems	4,590	4,590	
53	EOD Systems	21,000	21,000	
	Total, Procurement, Marine Corps	65,274	64,446	- 828

# AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2017	\$1,784,069,000
Budget estimate, 2018	740,778,000
Committee recommendation	722,963,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$722,963,000. This is \$17,815,000 below the budget estimate.

# COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
17	MQ-9	271,080	271.080	
33	C-17A	26,850	20,913	- 5,937
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Installation funding			
	ahead of need			- 5,937
48	C-130J Mods	8,400	5,822	- 2,578
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Installation funding			
	ahead of need			- 2,578
51	Compass Call Mods	56,720	56,720	
56	E–8	3,000	3,000	
62	HC/MC-130 Modifications	153,080	143,780	<b>−</b> 9,300
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Installation funding			
	ahead of need			<b>- 9,300</b>
63	Other Aircraft	10,381	10,381	
65	MQ-9 Mods	56,400	56,400	
67	Initial Spares/Repair Parts	129,450	129,450	
68	Aircraft Replacement Support Equip	25,417	25,417	
	Total, Aircraft Procurement, Air Force	740,778	722,963	- 17,815

# MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2017*	\$318,995,000
Budget estimate, 2018	395,400,000
Committee recommendation	395,400,000

 $<sup>^{*}\</sup>mbox{Includes}$  amounts appropriated in Public Law 114–254.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$395,400,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
6 7 11	Predator Hellfire Missile Small Diameter Bomb AGM-65D Maverick	294,480 90,920 10,000	294,480 90,920 10,000	
	Total, Missile Procurement, Air Force	395,400	395,400	

## SPACE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2017	
Budget estimate, 2018	\$2,256,000
Committee recommendation	2,256,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$2,256,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

# COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

#### [In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
10	MILSATCOM Terminals	2,256	2,256	
	Total, Space Procurement, Air Force	2,256	2,256	

## PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2017*	\$481,229,000
Budget estimate, 2018	501,509,000
Committee recommendation	501,509,000

<sup>\*</sup>Includes amounts appropriated in Public Law 114–254.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$501,509,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

# COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1 2 6 15 16	Rockets Cartridges Joint Direct Attack Munition Flares Fuzes	49,050 11,384 390,577 3,498 47,000	49,050 11,384 390,577 3,498 47,000	

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Total, Procurement of Ammunition, Air Force	501,509	501,509	

## OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2017	\$4,864,837,000
Budget estimate, 2018	4,008,887,000
Committee recommendation	3,183,490,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$3,183,490,000. This is \$825,397,000 below the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

#### [In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1	Passenger Carrying Vehicles	3,855	3,855	
4	Cargo and Utility Vehicles	1,882	1,882	
5	Security And Tactical Vehicles	1,100	1,100	
6	Special Purpose Vehicles	32,479	32,479	
7	Fire Fighting/Crash Rescue Vehicles	22,583	22,583	
8	Materials Handling Vehicles	5,353	5,353	
9	Runway Snow Removal & Cleaning Equip	11,315	11,315	
10	Base Maintenance Support Vehicles	40,451	40,451	
13	International Intel Tech & Architectures	8,873	8,873	
15	Intelligence Comm Equipment	2,000	2,000	
16	Air Traffic Control & Landing Sys	56,500	37,000	-19,500
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Unit cost growth			-3,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: D-RAPCON program			
	delay			-16,500
19	Theater Air Control Sys Improvements	4,970		- 4,970
	Improving funds management: Installations ahead of			
	need			- 4,970
29	Air Force Physical Security System	3,000		-3,000
	Insufficient budget justification: Unjustified growth			-3,000
48	Base Comm Infrastructure	55,000	55,000	
51	Items Less Than \$5 Million	8,469	8,469	
53	Base Procured Equipment	7,500		-7,500
	Insufficient budget justification: Unjustified growth			-7,500
54	Engineering and EOD Equipment	80,427	40,000	- 40,427
	Improving funds management: JCREW excess to need			- 40,427
56	Items Less Than \$5 Million	110,405	110,405	
58	DARP RC135	700	700	
59	DCGS-AF	9,200	9,200	
999	Classified Programs	3,542,825	2,792,825	- 750,000
	Classified adjustment			<b>– 750,000</b>
	Total, Other Procurement, Air Force	4,008,887	3,183,490	- 825,397

# PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE

Appropriations, 2017	\$754,819,000
Budget estimate, 2018	518,026,000
Committee recommendation	537,456,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$537,456,000. This is \$19,430,000 above the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
8	Teleport Program	1.979	1.979	
18	Defense Information Systems Network	12.000	12.000	
26	Other Major Equipment	,	11.000	+11.000
20	Transfer: Transfer from Joint Improvised-Threat Defeat		11,000	1 11,000
	Fund OCO for execution			+ 11,000
46	Manned ISR	15,900	15.900	,
40			20.000	
50	MC-12	20,000	.,	C 400
50	Unmanned ISR	38,933	32,533	- 6,400
	Improving funds management: Group 2 pricing adjust-			0.400
	ment	0.000	0.000	- 6,400
51	Non-Standard Aviation	9,600	9,600	
52	U-28	8,100	22,930	+ 14,830
	Program increase: Combat loss replacement			+ 14,830
53	MH-47 Chinook	10,270	10,270	
57	MQ-9 Unmanned Aerial Vehicle	19,780	19,780	
61	C-130 Modifications	3,750	3,750	
63	Ordnance Items <\$5M	62,643	62,643	
64	Intelligence Systems	12,000	12,000	
69	Tactical Vehicles	38,527	38,527	
70	Warrior Systems <\$5M	20,215	20,215	
73	Operational Enhancements Intelligence	7,134	7,134	
75	Operational Enhancements	193,542	193,542	
999	Classified Programs	43,653	43,653	
		,500	, 500	
	Total, Procurement, Defense-Wide	518,026	537,456	+ 19,430

## NATIONAL GUARD AND RESERVE EQUIPMENT

Appropriations, 2017	\$750,000,000
Budget estimate, 2018	
Committee recommendation	1,500,000,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,500,000,000. This is \$1,500,000,000 above the budget estimate.

The appropriation includes direction for the component commanders of the Army Reserve, Marine Forces Reserve, Air Force Reserve, Army National Guard and Air National Guard to submit to the congressional defense committees a detailed assessment of their component's modernization priorities, not later than 30 days after enactment of this act.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

Item	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
NATIONAL GUARD AND RESERVE EQUIPMENT			
RESERVE EQUIPMENT: ARMY RESERVE: Program increase: Miscellaneous equipment		225.000	+ 225.000

255

[In thousands of dollars]

ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
NAVY RESERVE:			
Program increase: Miscellaneous equipment		75,000	+ 75,000
MARINE CORPS RESERVE:			
Program increase: Miscellaneous equipment		75,000	+ 75,000
AIR FORCE RESERVE:			
Program increase: Miscellaneous equipment		225,000	+ 225,000
TOTAL, RESERVE EQUIPMENT		600,000	+ 600,000
TOTAL, RESERVE EQUITIVENT		000,000	+ 000,000
NATIONAL GUARD EQUIPMENT:			
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
Program increase: Miscellaneous equipment		450,000	+450,000
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
Program increase: Miscellaneous equipment		450,000	+ 450,000
TOTAL, NATIONAL GUARD EQUIPMENT		900,000	+ 900,000
TOTAL, NATIONAL GUAND EQUIFMENT		900,000	<del>+ 300,000</del>
TOTAL, NATIONAL GUARD AND RESERVE EQUIPMENT		1,500,000	+ 1,500,000
TOTAL, TRATIONAL GOTING THE RECEIVE EQUILIBRIAN		1,500,000	1,300,000

High-Priority Items.—The Committee directs that the National Guard and Reserve Equipment account shall be executed by the Chiefs of the National Guard and reserve components with priority consideration given to the following items: Acoustic Hailing Devices, Advanced Cargo Handling Systems for CH–47, Combat Uniforms and Cold Weather Protective Clothing, Commercial-Off-the-Shelf Simulation Devices, Crashworthy External Fuel Tanks, Digital Radar Warning Receivers for F–16s, Modular Container Systems for Palletized Cargo, Modular Small Arms and Self-Contained Ranges, MQ-9 Deployable Launch & Recovery Element Mission Support Kits, Portable High Frequency Radios and Antennas, Radar Warning Receivers for C–17s, Radiac Sets, Satellite Broadband Upgrades for RC–26, Small Arms Simulation Training Systems, and Sun Shades.

#### RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION

The Committee recommends \$911,487,000 for research, development, test and evaluation.

#### RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, ARMY

Appropriations, 2017*	\$342,356,000
Budget estimate, 2018	119,368,000
Committee recommendation	278.368.000

<sup>\*</sup>Includes amounts appropriated in Public Law 114-254.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$278,368,000. This is \$159,000,000 above the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

Line	Item	2018 budget	Committee	Change from
Lille	iteili	estimate	recommendation	budget estimate
55	Air and Missile Defense Systems Engineering	15,000	15,000	
60	Soldier Support and Survivability	3,000	3,000	
122	TRACTOR TIRE	5,000	5,000	
125	Common Infrared Countermeasures [CIRCM]	21,540	21,540	
133	Aircraft Survivability Development	30,100	185,100	+ 155,000
	Program increase: Limited interim missile warning sys-			
	tem to detect enemy MANPADS			+ 155,000
147	TROJAN—RH12	1,200	1,200	
203	Missile/Air Defense Product Improvement Program	15,000	15,000	
222	Tactical Unmanned Aerial Vehicles	7,492	7,492	
223	Airborne Reconnaissance Systems	15,000	15,000	
228	Biometrics Enabled Intelligence	6,036	6,036	
XXX	Transfer from Joint Improvised-Threat Defeat Fund OCO for			
	execution		4,000	+4,000
			,,,,,	,,,,,
	Total, Research, Development, Test and Evaluation,			
	Army	119.368	278.368	+ 159.000
	,	110,000	270,000	. 100,000

# RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, NAVY

Appropriations, 2017	\$326,537,000
Budget estimate, 2018	167,565,000
Committee recommendation	167,565,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$167,565,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

#### [In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
41 61 75 81 103 207 211 999	RETRACT LARCH Joint Service Explosive Ordnance Development Land Attack Technology Tactical Air Directional Infrared Countermeasures [TADIRCM] Warfare Support System Integrated Surveillance System Cryptologic Direct Support Classified Programs	22,000 29,700 2,100 5,710 5,400 11,600 1,200 89,855	22,000 29,700 2,100 5,710 5,400 11,600 1,200 89,855	
	Total, Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy	167,565	167,565	

# RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2017	\$365,205,000
Budget estimate, 2018	135,358,000
Committee recommendation	135,358,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$135,358,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
29	Space Control Technology Transfer to line 62	7,800		- 7,800 - 7.800
53	Cyber Operations Technology Development	5.400	5.400	,
62	Space Control Technology		7,800	+ 7,800
	Transfer from line 29			+7,800
196	ISR Innovations	5,750	5,750	
214	AF Offensive Cyberspace Operations	4,000	4,000	
999	Classified Programs	112,408	112,408	
	Total, Research Development, Test and Evaluation, Air			
	Force	135,358	135,358	

## RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, DEFENSE-WIDE

Appropriations, 2017*	\$442,104,000
Budget estimate, 2018	226,096,000
Committee recommendation	330,196,000

<sup>\*</sup>Includes amounts appropriated in Public Law 114-254.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$330,196,000. This is \$104,100,000 above the budget estimate.

# COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
24	Combating Terrorism Technology Support	25,000	25,000	
253	Operational Enhancements	1,920	1,920	
256	Unmanned ISR	3,000	3,000	
999	Classified Programs	196,176	196,176	
XX	Joint Improvised—Threat Defeat Organization		104,100	+ 104,100
	Transfer from Joint Improvised-Threat Defeat Fund base for execution			+ 7,000
	Transfer from Joint Improvised-Threat Defeat Fund OCO for execution			+ 92,100
	Program increase: Airborne detection			+ 5,000
	Total, Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide	226,096	330,196	+ 104,100

Joint Improvised-Threat Defeat Organization: Operational Airborne Hyperspectral Improvised Explosive Device Detection System.—The Committee understands the need for new and emerging stand-off hyperspectral imaging [HIS] technologies for the detection of improvised explosive devices [IEDs] and for the detection of the constituent chemicals and other materials used in the manufacture of IEDs such as nitrates, nitrites, phosphates and ammonia. The Committee recommends an additional \$5,000,000 for HIS sensor technology development and deployment.

## REVOLVING AND MANAGEMENT FUNDS

# DEFENSE WORKING CAPITAL FUNDS

Appropriations, 2017	\$426,314,000
Budget estimate, 2018	148,956,000
Committee recommendation	148,956,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$148,956,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the programs recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Supply Management	50,111	50,111	
	Total, Defense Working Capital Fund, Army	50,111	50,111	
	Energy Management	70,000 28,845	70,000 28,845	
	Total, Defense Working Capital Fund, Defense-Wide (DLA)	98,845	98,845	
	Grand Total, Defense Working Capital Funds	148,956	148,956	

# OTHER DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE PROGRAMS

## DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM

Appropriations, 2017	\$331,764,000
Budget estimate, 2018	395,805,000
Committee recommendation	395,805,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$395,805,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Operation and Maintenance In-House Care Private Sector Care Consolidated Health Care	61,857 331,968 1,980	61,857 331,968 1,980	
	Total, Defense Health Program	395,805	395,805	

## DRUG INTERDICTION AND COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES, DEFENSE

Appropriations, 2017	\$215,333,000
Budget estimate, 2018	196,300,000
Committee recommendation	196,300,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$196,300,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

## JOINT IMPROVISED-THREAT DEFEAT FUND

Appropriations, 2017	\$427,272,000
Budget estimate, 2018	483,058,000
Committee recommendation	

The Committee recommends no funding for the Joint Improvised-Threat Defeat Fund [JITDF]. Appropriations requested for the Joint Improvised-Threat Defeat Organization in the JITDF are recommended in overseas contingency operations appropriations accounts for Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide; Procurement, Defense-Wide; and Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide, as detailed in the associated Committee Recommended Program tables, and in the classified annex to the Committee's report.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

ſ	ln	thousands	οf	dollars	1
ı	ш	tiiuusaiius	UI	uullals	ı

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1 2 3 UNDIST UNDIST UNDIST UNDIST UNDIST	Enable Rapid Capability Delivery Assist Situational Understanding Enable DoD Responsiveness Transfer to RDDW, OCO for execution Transfer to OMDW, OCO for execution Transfer to PDW, OCO for execution Transfer to RDA, OCO for execution Unjustified mission growth Excess growth	197,458 270,950 14,650		- 92,100 - 310,758 - 11,000 - 4,000 - 30,500 - 34,700
	Total, Joint Improvised-Threat Defeat Fund	483,058		- 483,058

#### OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL

Appropriations, 2017	\$22,062,000
Budget estimate, 2018	24,692,000
Committee recommendation	24,692,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$24,692,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

#### GENERAL PROVISIONS—THIS TITLE

The following lists general provisions proposed by the Committee. The Committee recommends inclusion of several proposals which have been incorporated in previous appropriations acts, provisions requested for inclusion by the Defense Department, and new provisions. The Committee recommendations are as follows:

SEC. 9001. Funds in Addition to Base.—Retains and modifies a

provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 9002. Special Transfer Authority.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 9003. Supervision and Administration Costs.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 9004. Vehicle Procurement.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 9005. Commanders' Emergency Response Program.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 9006. Coalition Lift and Sustainment.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 9007. Permanent Military Installations.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 9008. U.N. Convention Against Torture.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 9009. Afghanistan Resource Oversight Council.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 9010. *Investment Unit Cost.*—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 9011. Coalition Support Funds.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 9012. *C-130 Cargo Aircraft Transfers*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 9013. *Rescissions*.—The Committee recommends a general provision rescinding funds from prior years as displayed below:

	Amount
2016 Appropriations	
Other Procurement, Air Force:	404 200 000
Classified	\$24,300,000
2017 Appropriations	
Operation and Maintenace, Defense-wide:	400 000 000
Coalition Support Fund	400,000,000 250,000,000
Counter-ISIL Train and Equip Fund	61,700,000
No-Year Appropriations	
Counter-ISIL Overseas Contingency Operations Transfer Fund	1,610,000,000

SEC. 9014. Joint Improvised Explosives Devices Defeat Fund.—Inserts a new provision terminating the Joint Improvised Explosives Devices Defeat Fund.

SEC. 9015. Joint Improvised-Threat Defeat Fund.—Inserts a new provision terminating the Joint Improvised-Threat Defeat Fund.

SEC. 9016. O&M Readiness Funds and Transfer Authority.—Inserts a new provision which provides for O&M Readiness Funds and Transfer Authority.

SEC. 9017. Emergency Designation.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

#### TITLE X

## MISSILE DEFEAT AND DEFENSE ENHANCEMENTS

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE—MILITARY

The Committee recommends \$4,485,844,000 in emergency appropriations for Missile Defeat and Defense Enhancements. This includes \$3,812,344,000 to support additional efforts to detect, defeat, and defend against North Korean use of ballistic missiles against the United States, its deployed forces, allies, or partners. It also includes \$673,500,000 to repair damage to and restore the operational readiness of the USS John S. McCain and USS Fitzgerald.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

#### The following table summarizes the Committee recommendation:

[In thousands of dollars]

	Fiscal year 2017 enacted	Fiscal year 2018 estimate	Committee recommendation
Operation and Maintenance		715,985 2,423,195 1,346,664	715,985 2,423,195 1,346,664
Total, Missile Defeat and Defense Enhancements		4,485,844	4,485,844

#### OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

#### OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY

Appropriations, 2017	
Budget estimate, 2018	\$673,500,000
Committee recommendation	673,500,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$673,500,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1B4B	Ship Depot Maintenance	673,500	673,500	
	Total, Operation and Maintenance, Navy	673,500	673,500	

## OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2017	
Budget estimate, 2018	\$18,750,000
Committee recommendation	18,750,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$18,750,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

#### [In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
999	Classified Programs	18,750	18,750	
	Total, Operation and Maintenance, Air Force	18,750	18,750	

## OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE

Appropriations, 2017	
Budget estimate, 2018	\$23,735,000
Committee recommendation	23,735,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$23,735,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

#### [In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
999	Classified Programs	23,735	23,735	
	Total, Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide	23,735	23,735	

# **PROCUREMENT**

# MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY

Appropriations, 2017	
Budget estimate, 2018	\$884,000,000
Committee recommendation	

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$884,000,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
2	MSE Missiles	647,000	647,000	

Line	Item	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Patriot ModsATACMS MODS	167,000 70,000	167,000 70,000	
	Total, Missile Procurement, Army	884,000	884,000	

## MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2017	
Budget estimate, 2018	\$12,000,000
Committee recommendation	12,000,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$12,000,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

#### [In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
18	Special Update Programs	12,000	12,000	
	Total, Missile Procurement, Air Force	12,000	12,000	

# OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2017	
Budget estimate, 2018	\$288,055,000
Committee recommendation	288,055,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$288,055,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

# COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

#### [In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
61 999	Special Update Program	41,000 247,055	41,000 247,055	
	Total, Other Procurement, Air Force	288,055	288,055	

# PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE

Appropriations, 2017	
Budget estimate, 2018	\$1,239,140,000
Committee recommendation	1,239,140,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,239,140,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
27 28 30 XXX XXX	THAAD: 50 Interceptors  Aegis BMD: 16 SM-3 Block IIA interceptors  BMDS AN/TPY-2 Radars: COBRA DANE upgrades Ground Based Midcourse: Missile Field #4  Ground Based Midcourse (AP)  Advance Procurement for 10 GBIs with RKVs  Advance Procurement for Missile Field #4 silos	509,140 451,000 11,000 180,000 88,000 [56,000]	509,140 451,000 11,000 180,000 88,000 [56,000]	
	Total, Procurement, Defense-Wide	1,239,140	1,239,140	

# RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION

# RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, ARMY

Appropriations, 2017	
Budget estimate, 2018	\$20,700,000
Committee recommendation	20,700,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$20,700,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
36 55	TRACTOR HIKE	12,000 8,700	12,000 8,700	
	Total, Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army	20,700	20,700	

# RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, NAVY

Appropriations, 2017	
Budget estimate, 2018	\$60,000,000
Committee recommendation	60,000,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$60,000,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

Line	Item	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate

# RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2017	
Budget estimate, 2018	\$255,744,000
Committee recommendation	255,744,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$255,744,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

Πn	thousands	۰f	dollaral

Line	Item	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
53 999	Cyber Operations Technology Development	90,500 165,244	90,500 165,244	
	Total, Research Development, Test and Evaluation, Air Force	255,744	255,744	

# RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, DEFENSE-WIDE

Appropriations, 2017	
Budget estimate, 2018	\$1,010,220,000
Committee recommendation	1,010,220,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,010,220,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

# The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
42 74	Technology Innovation (J-39)  Ballistic Missile Defense Terminal Defense Segment: THAAD/	5,000	5,000	
	Patriot JEON	62,100	62,100	
75	Ballistic Missile Defense Midcourse Defense Segment	129,000	129,000	
	NRE in advance of procurement to increase GBIs			
	from 44 to 64	[78,000]	[78,000]	
	NRE to add 20 new silos at Missile Field #4	[51,000]	[51,000]	
77	Ballistic Missile Defense Sensors	30,800	30,800	
	Studies and evaluations in support of a medium range			
	discrimination radar	[5,000]	[5,000]	
	Advanced discrimination efforts	[3,000]	[3,000]	
	Extend the lifespan of COBRA DANE	[5,000]	[5,000]	
	THAAD/Patriot JEON	[17,800]	[17,800]	
78	BMD Enabling Programs: THAAD/Patriot JEON	16,200	16,200	
79	Special Programs—MDA	45,000	45,000	
80	AEGIS BMD	8,736	8,736	
	Aegis SM—3 Block IIA missile test	[4,736]	[4,736]	
	THAAD/Patriot JEON	[4,000]	[4,000]	
83	Ballistic Missile Defense Command and Control, Battle Man-			
	agement and Communication	24,747	24,747	
	Advanced discrimination efforts	[6,000]	[6,000]	
	Mobile sensor integration	[10,000]	[10,000]	
87	Sea Based X-Band Radar [SBX]	15,000	15,000	
89	Ballistic Missile Defense Test	10,402	10,402	
	THAAD/Patriot JEON	[9,500]	[9,500]	l

266

Line	ltem	2018 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Aegis SM—3 Block IIA missile test	[902]	[902]	
90	Ballistic Missile Defense Targets	49,700	49,700	
	Additional RKV test asset	[6,100]	[6,100]	
	Aegis SM—3 Block IIA missile test	[33,700]	[33,700]	
	THAAD/Patriot JEON	[9,900]	[9,900]	
95	Missile Defeat Project	26,400	26,400	
97	Advanced Innovative Technologies (SCO)	306,700	306,700	
106	Improved Homeland Defense Interceptors	170,900	170,900	
108	Aegis BMD Test: Aegis SM-3 Block IIA missile test	3,315	3,315	
109	Ballistic Missile Defense Sensor Test: Aegis SM-3 Block IIA			
	missile test	17,600	17,600	
117	Ballistic Missile Defense System Space Programs	14,000	14,000	
151	General Support to USD (Intelligence)	30,000	30,000	
999	Classified Programs	44,620	44,620	
	Total, Research, Development, Test and Evaluation,			
	Defense-Wide	1,010,220	1,010,220	

# GENERAL PROVISIONS—THIS TITLE

SEC. 10001. Funds in Addition to Base.—Includes a provision stating funds available in this title are in addition to amounts appropriated or otherwise made available for the Department of Defense in fiscal year 2018.

# COMPLIANCE WITH PARAGRAPH 7(c), RULE XXVI OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

Pursuant to paragraph 7(c) of rule XXVI, on \_\_\_\_\_\_\_, 2017, the Committee ordered favorably reported an original bill (S. 0000) making appropriations for the Department of Defense for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2018, and for other purposes, provided, that the bill be subject to amendment and that the bill be consistent with the subcommittee funding guidance, and provided that the Chairman of the Committee or his designee be authorized to offer the substance of the original bill as a Committee amendment in the nature of a substitute to the House companion measure, by a recorded vote of 00–00, a quorum being present. The vote was as follows:

# COMPLIANCE WITH PARAGRAPH 12, RULE XXVI OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

Paragraph 12 of rule XXVI requires that Committee reports on a bill or joint resolution repealing or amending any statute or part of any statute include "(a) the text of the statute or part thereof which is proposed to be repealed; and (b) a comparative print of that part of the bill or joint resolution making the amendment and of the statute or part thereof proposed to be amended, showing by stricken-through type and italics, parallel columns, or other appropriate typographical devices the omissions and insertions which would be made by the bill or joint resolution if enacted in the form recommended by the Committee."

The Committee bill as recommended contains no such provisions.

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL YEAR 2017 AND BUDGET ESTIMATES AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR FISCAL YEAR 2018
[In thousands of dollars]

ltem	2017 appropriation	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Senate Committee recomme compared with (+ or 2017	Senate Committee recommendation compared with (+ or -)	
				2017 appropriation	Budget estimate	
ППСЕ 1						
MILITARY PERSONNEL						
	40,042,962	41,533,674	41,919,855	+ 1,876,893	+ 386,181	
Military personnel, Navy	27,889,405	28,917,918	28,756,535	+867,130	- 161,383	
	12,735,182	13,278,714	13,226,114	+ 490,932	- 52,600	
	27,958,795	28,962,740	28,807,440	+848,645	-155,300	
	4,524,863	4,804,628	4,720,608	+195,745	-84,020	
	1,921,045	2,000,362	1,984,672	+ 63,627	-15,690	
	744,795	766,703	767,903	+ 23,108	+1,200	26
Reserve personnel, Air Force	1,725,526	1,824,334	1,802,554	+ 77,028	- 21,780	9
National Guard personnel, Army	7,899,423	8,3/9,3/6	8,240,036	+340,613	-139,340	
Vational Guard personnel, Air Force	3,283,982	3,413,18/	3,406,867	+ 122,885	- 6,3ZU	
	128,725,978	133,881,636	133,632,584	+ 4,906,606	-249,052	
ттеп						
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE						
Operation and maintenance, Army	32,738,173	38,945,417	39,780,856	+ 7,042,683	+ 835,439	
Operation and maintenance, Navy	38,552,017	45,439,407	46,309,702	+ 7,757,685	+ 870,295	
	5,676,152	6,933,408	6,841,008	+1,164,856	-92,400	
	36,247,724	39,429,232	40,751,433	+ 4,503,709	+1,322,201	
Operation and maintenance. Army Reserve	2.743.688	2.906.842	2.902.504	+ 1,636,366	- 373,460	
	929,626	1,084,007	1,062,707	+133,051	-21,300	
d)	271,133	278,837	279,914	+8,781	+1,077	
	3,069,229	3,267,507	3,227,407	+158,178	- 40,100	
uuard	6,861,478	7,307,170	077,000,7	+ 638,742	+ 193,030	
Jeration and maintenance, Alf National Guard	6,613,033	6,539,306	7,030,306	+4/0,2/3	1 + 130,400	

270

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL YEAR 2017 AND BUDGET ESTIMATES AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR FISCAL YEAR 2018—Continued

2017	tion budget estimate rec	ed Forces     14,194     14,538     14,538     +344       170,167     215,809     215,809     +45,642     +42,234       289,262     281,415     323,649     +34,387     +42,234       4,002     9,002     9,002     -7     +43,800       efense Sites     123,125     104,900     119,900     -1,004     +15,000       123,125     104,900     324,600     -1,004     +60,000     +60,000	167,603,260 188,570,298 191,917,176 + 24,313,916 +	PROCUREMENT  PROCUREMENT  4,587,598  4,149,894  1,533,804  2,219,054  at vehicles, Army  1,483,566  1,483,566  1,483,566  1,483,566  1,483,566  1,879,283	16,135,335 14,956,235 18,116,079 + 2,280,744 128,173 3,265,286 3,420,107 3,393,458 + 128,173 13,65,286 20,403,607 21,816,886 20,403,607 21,816,923 + 660,037 6,308,19 7,902,864 8,115,384 + 1,806,465 1,304,466 2,064,825 2,093,749 + 7,862,93 14,285,399 14,285,629 11,243,63,623 15,430,849 116,189,022 + 1,935,399
	IIIII	United States Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces  Environmental restoration, Many  Environmental restoration, Navy  Environmental restoration, Air Force  Environmental restoration, Defense-Wide  Environmental restoration, Formerly Used Defense Sites  Overseas humanitarian, disaster, and civic aid  Cooperative Threat Reduction Account  Operation and maintenance, National Defense Restoration Fund  On acquisition man Hamiltone Account  The Appeal of Threat Reduction Account Threat Reduc	Total, Title II, Operation and maintenance		Vither procurement, Army Aircraft procurement, Navy Procurement of ammunition, Navy and Marine Corps Shipbuilding and conversion, Navy Other procurement, Navy Arcurement, Marine Corps Aircraft procurement, Air Force

			27	71				
- 58,000 + 731,053 + 31,548	+ 10,390,969	+ 434,903 - 22,035 + 1,673,060 + 1,189,758	+ 3,275,686	+ 120,000 - 509,327	-389,327	- 429,073 - 28,326 + 911,500	+ 454,101	
-270,617 +2,566,326 -14,056 -26,664	+ 15,896,019	+ 1,527,378 + 413,470 + 8,798,871 + 2,902,110 + 23,906	+ 13,665,735	+ 194,983	+ 194,983	+ 389,848 + 464,841 - 517,392	+337,297	- 15,748
1,318,602 20,334,550 4,866,966 37,401	124,322,846	9,860,343 17,628,000 36,587,419 21,680,660 210,900	85,967,322	1,706,596	1,706,596	31,666,850 867,002 1,584,715	34,118,567	104,237
1,376,602 19,603,497 4,835,418 37,401	113,931,877	9,425,440 17,650,035 34,914,359 20,490,902 210,900	82,691,636	1,586,596	2,095,923	32,095,923 895,328 673,215	33,664,466	104,237
1,589,219 17,768,224 4,881,022 64,065	108,426,827	8,332,965 17,214,530 27,788,548 18,778,550 186,994	72,301,587	1,511,613	1,511,613	31,277,002 402,161 2,102,107	33,781,270	119,985
Procurement of ammunition, Air Force Other procurement, Air Force Procurement, Defense-Wide Defense Production Act purchases Procurement, National Defense Restoration Fund	Total, Title III, Procurement	RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION Research, development, test and evaluation, Army Research, development, test and evaluation, Navy Research, development, test and evaluation, Defense-Wide Research, development, test and evaluation, Defense-Wide Operational test and evaluation, Defense Research, development, test and evaluation, National Defense Restoration Fund	Total, Title IV, Research, development, test and evaluation	TITLE V  REVOLVING AND MANAGEMENT FUNDS  Defense Working Capital Funds	Total, Title V, Revolving and Management Funds	TITLE VI OTHER DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE PROGRAMS Defense Health Program Operation and maintenance Procurement Research, development, test and evaluation	Total, Defense Health Program <sup>1</sup>	Chemical agents and munitions destruction, Defense: Operation and maintenance

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL YEAR 2017 AND BUDGET ESTIMATES AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR FISCAL YEAR 2018—Continued

	2017	-	Committee	Senate Committee recommendation compared with $(+ \ { m or} \ -)$	recommendation $(+ or -)$	
Itell	appropriation	budget estimate	recommendation	2017 appropriation	Budget estimate	
Procurement	15,132 388,609	18,081 839,414	18,081 839,414	+ 2,949 + 450,805		
Total, Chemical Agents <sup>2</sup>	523,726	961,732	961,732	+438,006		
Drug interdiction and counter-drug activities, Defense <sup>1</sup> Joint Improvised-Threat Defeat Fund Joint Urgent Operational Needs Fund Office of the Inspector General <sup>1</sup>	998,800	790,814 14,442 99,795 336,887	930,814	- 67,986 + 6,852	+ 140,000 - 14,442 - 99,795 - 18,000	4
Total, Title VI, Other Department of Defense Programs	35,615,831	35,868,136	36,330,000	+714,169	+ 461,864	272
TITLE VII RELATED AGENCIES						
Central Intelligence Agency Retirement and Disability System Fund	514,000 515,596	514,000 532,000	514,000 543,000	+ 27,404	+ 11,000	
Total, Title VII, Related agencies	1,029,596	1,046,000	1,057,000	+27,404	+ 11,000	
TITLE VIII GENERAL PROVISIONS						
Additional transfer authority (Sec.8005) FFRDC (Sec.8023) Rescissions (Sec.8040) National grants (Sec.8047) Shipbuliding and conversion, Navy Judgment Fund O&M, Defense-wide transfer authority (Sec.8051) John C. Stennis Center for Public Service Development Trust Fund (O&M, Navy transfer authority) (Sec. 8058)	(4,500,000) - 60,000 - 2,002,622 44,000 (30,000) (1,000)	(5,000,000)	(4,000,000) -116,000 -855,531 20,000 (30,000)	(-500,000) -56,000 +1,147,091 -24,000	(-1,000,000) -116,000 -855,531 +20,000 -5,000	

+ 900,000 - 600,000 - 123,900	-780,431			- 295,900 - 161,885 (-161,885) - 42,400 + 10,193
- 5,000 + 157,000 + 531,000 (- 6,856) + 900,000 + 336,000 + 555,000 + 1,391,070	+ 4,932,161	+735,046 +735,046 +50,430 -75,754 +208,413 -17,564 -2,838 -1,436 +34 -11,883 -18,83	+884,164	+1,009,926 -2,097,945 -465,885 -332,703 +1,326,788 (+80,000)
(11,000) (115,519) 900,000 – 600,000	-651,531	2,683,694 37,857 103,979 914,119 24,942 9,091 2,328 2,328 2,328 184,589 184,589	4,326,172	16,702,994 5,789,404 1,141,374 10,223,895 7,803,437 (1,000,000)
(11,000) (115,519) (115,519) (115,3190)	128,900	2,683,694 377,857 103,979 914,119 24,945 9,091 2,328 20,569 184,589 5,004	4,326,172	16,998,894 5,951,289 (161,865) 1,141,374 10,266,295 7,793,244 (1,000,000)
5,000 -157,000 -531,000 (11,000) (122,375) -386,000 -1,155,000 -1,391,070	- 5,583,692	1,948,648 327,427 179,733 705,706 42,506 11,929 3,764 20,535 196,472	3,442,008	15,693,068 7,887,349 1,607,239 10,556,598 6,476,649 (920,000)
Fisher House Foundation  Revised economic assumptions  Defense acquisition workforce development excess cash balances (rescission)  Fisher House O&M Army Navy Air Force transfer authority (Sec. 8096)  Defense Health Walk transfer authority (Sec. 8090)  Operation and maintenance readiness funds (sec. 8091)  Working Capital Fund, Army excess cash balances  Revised fuel costs (Sec. 8107)  Ship Modernization, Operation, and Sustainment Fund (rescission)  Operation and maintenance, Defense-Wide (Department of the Interior Compact Review Agreement)	Total, Title VIII, General Provisions	TITLE IX  OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS  Military personnel, Army [OCO] Military personnel, Marine Corps [OCO] Military personnel, Air Force [OCO] Reserve personnel, Air Force [OCO] Reserve personnel, Air Force [OCO] Reserve personnel, Marine Corps [OCO] Reserve personnel, Air Force [OCO] National Guard personnel, Air Force [OCO] Military personnel, Air Force [OCO] Military personnel, Air Force [OCO]	Total, Military personnel (OCO)	Operation & maintenance. Army (OCO] Operation & maintenance. Army (OCO] Operation & maintenance. Navy (OCO] (Coast Guard) (by transfer) (OCO] Operation & maintenance. Marine COOS] Operation & maintenance. Air Force (OCO] Operation & maintenance, Defense-Wide (OCO] (Coalition support funds) (OCO]

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL YEAR 2017 AND BUDGET ESTIMATES AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR FISCAL YEAR 2018—Continued

Henn	2017	Rudast setimate	Committee	Senate Committee recommendation compared with (+ or -)	recommendation h (+ or -)
itëni	appropriation	punger extilliate	recommendation	2017 appropriation	Budget estimate
Operation & maintenance, Army Reserve [OCO]  Operation & maintenance, Navy Reserve [OCO]  Operation & maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve [OCO]  Operation & maintenance, Air Force Reserve [OCO]  Operation & maintenance, Army National Guard [OCO]  Operation & maintenance, Army National Guard [OCO]  Operation & maintenance, Air National Defense Restoration Fund [OCO]	38,679 26,265 3,304 57,586 127,035 20,000	24,699 23,980 3,367 58,523 108,111 15,400	24,699 23,980 3,367 53,523 108,111 15,400	- 13,980 - 2,285 + 63 - 4,063 - 18,924 - 4,600	-2,000
Subtotal, Operation and Maintenance	42,493,792 4,262,715 980,000	42,385,176 4,937,515 1,769,000	41,890,184 4,178,815 1,769,000	$-603,608 \\ -83,900 \\ +789,000$	274 266,464 – 758,700
Total, Operation and Maintenance [OCO]	47,736,507	49,091,691	47,837,999	+101,492	-1,253,692
Aircraft procurement, Army [OCO]  Missile procurement, Army [OCO]  Procurement of was pons and tracked combat vehicles, Army [OCO]  Procurement of ammunition, Army [OCO]  Other procurement, Army [OCO]  Aircraft procurement, Navy [OCO]  Aircraft procurement, Navy [OCO]  Procurement of ammunition, Navy and Marine Corps [OCO]  Other procurement, Air (OCO)  Procurement, Air force [OCO]  Missile procurement, Air Force [OCO]  Missile procurement, Air Force [OCO]  Space procurement, Air Force [OCO]	313,171 405,317 395,944 290,670 1,343,010 367,930 85,00 65,380 99,786 118,939 927,949 118,939 927,949	424,686 559,283 1,191,139 193,436 103,436 157,330 152,330 152,330 152,330 152,330 152,330 152,330 251,559 65,274 740,778 395,400	420,086 559,283 1,191,139 191,836 405,575 115,730 115,237 125,375 125,375 125,375 135,375 135,375 139,339 139,339 139,339 139,339	+ 106,915 + 153,966 + 775,195 - 98,834 - 937,435 - 210,630 + 113,773 + 1199,773 + 1199,773 - 204,286 + 160,305 + 160,305 + 2,256	-4,600 -1,600 -1,290 -12,200 -12,200 -17,815
Procurement of ammunition, Air Force [0C0]	273,345	501,509	501,509	+ 228,164	

				275			
- 825,397 + 19,430 + 1,500,000	+ 655,700	+ 159,000	+ 263,100		- 483,058	- 483,058	( – 2,500,000) – 2,346,000 + 3,000,000 + 654,000
- 345,966 + 293,272 + 750,000	+ 1,091,545	+177,846 +89,242 +67,453 +170,277	+504,818	+ 8,323	+ 64,041 - 19,033 - 339,472 + 2,630	-291,834	(-500,000) -150,000 -500,000 -1,527,000 +11,524 +3,000,000 +834,524
3,183,490 537,456 1,500,000	10,459,621	278,368 167,565 135,358 330,196	911,487	148,956	395,805 196,300 24,692	616,797	(2,000,000) —2,346,000 3,000,000 654,000
4,008,887 518,026	9,803,921	119,368 167,565 135,358 226,096	648,387	148,956	395,805 196,300 483,058 24,692	1,099,855	(4,500,000)
3,529,456 244,184 750,000	9,368,076	100,522 78,323 67,905 159,919	406,669	140,633	331,764 215,333 339,472 22,062	908,631	(2,500,000) 150,000 500,000 -819,000 -11,524
Other procurement, Air Force (OCO) Procurement, Defense-Wide (OCO) National Guard and Reserve equipment (OCO) Procurement, National Defense Restoration Fund (OCO)	Total, Procurement [OCO]	Research, development, test & evaluation, Army [OC0] Research, development, test & evaluation, Navy [OC0] Research, development, test & evaluation, Air Force [OC0] Research, development, test & evaluation, Defense-Wide [OC0] Research, development, test & evaluation, Defense-Wide [OC0] Research, development, test & evaluation, Defense-Wide [OC0]	Total, Research, Development, Test & Evaluation [OCO]	Revolving and Management Funds  Defense Working Capital Funds [OCO]	Defense Health Program:  Operation & maintenance [0C0]  Drug interdiction and counter-drug activities, Defense [0C0]  Joint Improvised-Threat Defeat Fund [0C0]  Office of the Inspector General [0C0]	Total, Other Department of Defense Programs [OCO]	General Provisions  Additional transfer authority [OCD](Sec.9002)  Ukraine Security Assistance Initiative [OCD] Intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance [OCD] Rescissions [OCO] (Sec.9013) Coalition support funds (rescission) [OCO] Operations and maintenance readiness funds [OCO](Sec. 9016)  Total, General Provisions

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL YEAR 2017 AND BUDGET ESTIMATES AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL
FOR FISCAL YEAR 2018—Continued
In thousands of dollars)

[In thousands of dollars]		appropriation bouget estimate recommendation 2017 Budget estimate					- 673,500 673,500 673,500 18,750 18,750 18,750				884,000 884,000 +884,000   12,000   12,000   12,000	288,055 288,055 +288,055 +288,055 1,239,140 +1,239,140			20,700         20,700         + 20,700           60,000         60,000         + 60,000           255,744         255,744         + 255,744           1,010,220         1,010,220	
	Bran	וגמווו	Total, Title IX [000]	TITLE X	MISSILE DEFENSE AND DEFEAT ENHANCEMENTS	Operation and Maintenance	Operation and maintenance, Navy (emergency)	Operation and maintenance, Defense-Wide (emergency)	Total, Operation and maintenance	Procurement	Missile procurement, Army (emergency) Missile procurement, Air Force (emergency)	Other procurement, Air Force (emergency) Procurement, Defense-Wide (emergency)	Total, Procurement	Research and Development	Research, development, test and evaluation, Army (emergency)	

Total, Title X		4,485,844	4,485,844	+ 4,485,844	
OTHER APPROPRIATIONS SECURITY ASSISTANCE APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2017					
Military personnel (OCO)  Operation and maintenance (OCO)  Procurement (OCO)  Research, development, test, and evaluation (OCO)  Other Department of Defense Programs (OCO)	265,118 4,615,935 724,447 81,700 87,800			-265,118 -4,615,935 -724,447 -81,700 -87,800	
Total, Fiscal Year 2017 Security Assistance (PL 114-254)	5,775,000			- 5,775,000	
Total, Other Appropriations	5,775,000			-5,775,000	
Grand Total, Bill Appropriations Emergency appropriations Overseas Contingency Operations [OCO] Rescissions Rescissions [OCO] (Transfer Authority) [OCO]	577,228,000 (513,555,692) (68,427,524) (-3,924,692) (-830,524) 2,500,000	627,819,232 (558,214,406) (4,485,844) (65,118,982) 4,500,000	643,722,869 (575,137,524) (4,485,844) (67,301,032) (-855,531) (-2,346,000) 2,000,000	+ 66,494,869 (+ 61,581,832) (+ 4,485,844) (- 1,126,492) (+ 3,069,161) (- 1,515,476) - 500,000	+ 15,903,637 (+ 16,923,118) (+ 2,182,050) (- 855,531) (- 2,346,000) - 2,500,000

[Footnote to Come.]