# Calendar No. 115

Report

114-63

114TH CONGRESS 1st Session

SENATE

# DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 2016

JUNE 11, 2015.—Ordered to be printed

Mr. COCHRAN, from the Committee on Appropriations, submitted the following

# REPORT

# [To accompany S. 1558]

The Committee on Appropriations reports the bill (S. 1558) making appropriations for the Department of Defense for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2016, and for other purposes, reports favorably thereon and recommends that the bill do pass.

New obligational authority

Total of bill as reported to the Senate	\$569,841,694,000
Amount of 2015 appropriations	547,753,288,000
Amount of 2016 budget estimate	571,719,613,000
Bill as recommended to Senate compared to—	
2015 appropriations	+22,088,406,000
2016 budget estimate	

 $94\text{--}875~\mathrm{PDF}$ 

# CONTENTS

Background:	Page
Purpose of the Bill	5
Hearings	5
Summary of the Bill	$\overline{5}$
Definition of Program, Project and Activity	6
Reprogramming Guidance	7
Committee Initiatives	7
Navy Modernization Priorities	8
Title I: Military Personnel:	
Military resonnel. Military Devenuel Special Interest Items	13
Military Personnel Special Interest Items Military Personnel Overview	13
Military Personnel, Army	15
Military Personnel, Navy	17
Military Personnel, Marine Corps	19
Military Personnel, Air Force	20
Reserve Personnel, Army	22
Reserve Personnel, Navy	23
Reserve Personnel, Marine Corps	24
Reserve Personnel, Air Force	$\overline{25}$
National Guard Personnel, Army	$\overline{26}$
National Guard Personnel, Air Force	$\overline{27}$
Title II:	
Operation and Maintenance:	
Operation and Maintenance, Army	36
Operation and Maintenance, Navy	39
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps	43
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force	45
Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide	48
Operation and Maintenance, Army Reserve	51
Operation and Maintenance, Navy Reserve	53
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve	54
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force Reserve	54
Operation and Maintenance, Army National Guard	55
Operation and Maintenance, Air National Guard	57
U.S. Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces	58
Environmental Restoration, Army	58
Environmental Restoration, Navy	58
Environmental Restoration, Air Force	58
Environmental Restoration, Defense-Wide	58
Environmental Restoration, Formerly Used Defense Sites	58
Overseas Humanitarian, Disaster, and Civic Aid	59
Cooperative Threat Reduction Account	59
Department of Defense Acquisition Workforce Development Fund	59
Title III:	
Procurement:	63
Aircraft Procurement, Army	67
Missile Procurement, Army Procurement of Weapons and Tracked Combat Vehicles, Army	70
Procurement of American Ameri	70 74
Procurement of Ammunition, Army	74
Other Procurement, Army Aircraft Procurement, Navy	85
Weapong Progurement, Navy	91
Weapons Procurement, Navy Procurement of Ammunition, Navy and Marine Corps	94
Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy	94 97
Shipbunung and Conversion, Navy	31

	Page
Title III—Continued	
Procurement—Continued	100
Other Procurement, Navy	$\frac{103}{112}$
Procurement, Marine Corps Aircraft Procurement, Air Force	112
Missile Procurement, Air Force	123
Space Procurement, Air Force	127
Procurement of Ammunition, Air Force	131
Other Procurement, Air Force	133
Procurement, Defense-Wide Defense Production Act Purchases	139
Title IV:	140
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation:	
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army	150
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy	157
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Air Force Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide	170
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide	180
Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense	193
Title V: Revolving and Management Funds:	
Defense Working Capital Funds	194
National Defense Sealift Fund	195
Title VI:	
Other Department of Defense Programs:	
Defense Health Program	196
Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Defense	210
Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense	212
Joint Urgent Operational Needs Fund Office of the Inspector General	$\frac{213}{213}$
Title VII:	210
Related Agencies:	
Central Intelligence Agency Retirement and Disability System	
Fund	214
Intelligence Community Management Account	
Title VIII: General Provisions	215
Title IX: Overseas Contingency Operations: Department of Defense—Military	221
Military Personnel	
Military Personnel, Army	222
Military Personnel, Navy	223
Military Personnel, Marine Corps	224
Military Personnel, Air Force	
Reserve Personnel, Army	226
Reserve Personnel, Navy	226
Reserve Personnel, Marine Corps Reserve Personnel, Air Force	
National Guard Personnel, Army	
National Guard Personnel, Air Force	228
Operation and Maintenance:	
Operation and Maintenance, Army	229
Operation and Maintenance, Navy	229
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps	230
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force	231
Operation and Maintenance, Army Reserve	202 222
Operation and Maintenance, Navy Reserve	$\frac{235}{234}$
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve	234
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force Reserve	234
Operation and Maintenance, Army National Guard	235
Operation and Maintenance, Air National Guard	235
Afghanistan Security Forces Fund	236
Iraq Train and Equip Fund Syria Train and Equip Fund	237
Procurement:	237
Aircraft Procurement, Army	238
Missile Procurement, Army	$238 \\ 238$
Procurement of Weapons and Tracked Combat Vehicles, Army	$\frac{1}{239}$
Procurement of Ammunition, Army	239

3

Title IX—Continued	
Procurement—Continued	
Other Procurement, Army	240
Aircraft Procurement, Navy	240
Weapons Procurement, Navy	241
Procurement of Ammunition, Navy and Marine Corps	241
Other Procurement, Navy	242
Procurement, Marine Corps	
Aircraft Procurement, Air Force	242
Missile Procurement, Air Force	243
Procurement of Ammunition, Air Force	243
Other Procurement, Air Force	
Procurement, Defense-Wide	244
National Guard and Reserve Equipment	245
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation:	
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army	246
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy	246
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Air Force	246
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide	247
Revolving and Management Funds: Defense Working Capital Funds	247
Other Department of Defense Programs:	
Defense Health Program	248
Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense	248
Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund	248
Office of the Inspector General	249
General Provisions—This Title	249
Compliance With Paragraph 7, Rule XVI of the Standing Rules of the	
Senate	251
Compliance With Paragraph 7(c), Rule XXVI of the Standing Rules of the	
Senate	253
Compliance With Paragraph 12, Rule XXVI of the Standing Rules of the	
Senate	253
Budgetary Impact of Bill	254
Comparative Statement of Budget Authority	255

4

Page

## BACKGROUND

#### PURPOSE OF THE BILL

This bill makes appropriations for the military functions of the Department of Defense for the period October 1, 2015, through September 30, 2016. Functional areas include the pay, allowances, and support of military personnel, operation and maintenance of the forces, procurement of equipment and systems, and research, development, test and evaluation. Appropriations for foreign military assistance, military construction, family housing, nuclear weapons programs, and civil defense are provided in other bills.

## HEARINGS

The Appropriations Subcommittee on Defense began hearings on March 5, 2015, and concluded them on June 18, 2015, after 11 separate sessions. The subcommittee heard testimony from representatives of the Department of Defense.

## SUMMARY OF THE BILL

The Committee recommendation of \$569,841,694,000 includes funding to develop, maintain, and equip the military forces of the United States and for other purposes in nonemergency appropriations.

The fiscal year 2016 budget request for activities funded in the Department of Defense appropriations bill totals \$571,719,613,000 in new budget authority, including \$50,949,561,000 in contingency funding for the Department of Defense and \$514,000,000 in mandatory spending.

In fiscal year 2015, the Congress appropriated \$547,753,288,000 for activities funded in this bill. This amount includes \$483,705,993,000 in base appropriations, \$112,000,000 in emergency appropriations and \$63,935,295,000 in overseas contingency operations appropriations. The Committee recommends that funds requested for Coast Guard overseas contingency operations be appropriated directly to the Department of Homeland Security.

The Committee recommendation in this bill is \$22,088,406,000 above the amount provided in fiscal year 2015 and \$1,877,919,000 below the amount requested for fiscal year 2016.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS

The following table displays the recommendations for each title: [In thousands of dollars]

	Fiscal year 2015	Fiscal year 2016	Committee
	enacted	estimate	recommendation
Title I—Military Personnel	128,004,618	130,491,227	129,442,113
Title II—Operation and Maintenance	161,655,679	176,517,228	139,169,199

[In thousands	of	dollars]
---------------	----	----------

	Fiscal year 2015 enacted	Fiscal year 2016 estimate	Committee recommendation
Title III—Procurement   Title IV—Research, development, test and evaluation   Title V—Revolving and management funds   Title VI—Other Department of Defense programs   Title VII—Related agencies   Title VIII—General provisions (net)   Title X—Overseas Contingency Operations   Title X—Ebola Response and Preparedness, Department of Defense	93,835,072 63,713,275 2,134,480 34,144,557 1,021,600 - 803,288 63,935,295 112,000	106,914,372 69,784,963 1,786,732 34,230,507 1,044,023 1,000 50,949,561	109,813,734 70,324,687 2,253,732 34,293,892 1,027,923 – 3,351,838 86,868,252
Net grand total	547,753,288	571,719,613	569,841,694
Total discretionary (incl. scorekeeping adjustments)	554,193,988	577,877,613	575,999,694

The Committee has displayed recommended adjustments in tables presented under each appropriation account.

These adjustments reflect the following Committee actions: elimination of funds requested for programs which are lower priority, duplicative, or not supported by firm requirements in out-year development or procurement appropriations; deletion of excess funds based on program delays or slow execution; addition of funds to reflect congressional priorities and to rectify shortfalls in the budget estimate; and implementation of recommendations in S. 1376, the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2016, as reported.

The Committee directs that the funding increases outlined in these tables shall be provided only for the specific purposes indicated in the table.

#### CLASSIFIED PROGRAM ADJUSTMENTS

The Committee recommends adjustments to certain classified programs, as explained in the classified annex to the Committee's report.

#### DEFINITION OF PROGRAM, PROJECT AND ACTIVITY

For the purposes of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985 (Public Law 99–177), as amended by the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Reaffirmation Act of 1987 (Public Law 100–119), and by the Budget Enforcement Act of 1990 (Public Law 101–508), the terms "program, project, and activity" for appropriations contained in this act shall be defined as the most specific level of budget items identified in the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2016, the related classified annexes and Committee reports, and P–1 and R–1 budget justification documents as subsequently modified by congressional action.

The following exception to the above definition shall apply: the military personnel and the operation and maintenance accounts, for which the term "program, project, and activity" is defined as the appropriations accounts contained in the Department of Defense Appropriations Act.

At the time the President submits the budget request for fiscal year 2017, the Secretary of Defense is directed to transmit to the congressional defense committees budget justification documents to be known as the "M-1" and "O-1" which shall identify, at the budget activity, activity group, and sub-activity group level, the amounts requested by the President to be appropriated to the Department of Defense for military personnel and operation and maintenance in any budget request, or amended budget request, for fiscal year 2017.

#### **Reprogramming Guidance**

The Secretary of Defense is directed to continue to follow the reprogramming guidance for acquisition accounts as specified in the report accompanying the House version of the Department of Defense appropriations bill for fiscal year 2008 (House Report 110– 279). For operation and maintenance accounts, the Secretary of Defense shall continue to follow the reprogramming guidelines specified in the conference report accompanying H.R. 3222, the Department of Defense Appropriations Act for Fiscal Year 2008. The dollar threshold for reprogramming funds shall remain at \$10,000,000 for military personnel; \$15,000,000 for operation and maintenance; \$20,000,000 for procurement; and \$10,000,000 for research, development, test and evaluation.

Also, the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) is directed to continue to provide the congressional defense committees annual DD Form 1416 reports for titles I and II and quarterly, spreadsheet-based DD Form 1416 reports for service and defense-wide accounts in titles III and IV of this act. Reports for titles III and IV shall comply with guidance specified in the explanatory statement accompanying the Department of Defense Appropriations Act for Fiscal Year 2006. The Department shall continue to follow the limitation that prior approval reprogrammings are set at either the specified dollar threshold or 20 percent of the procurement or research, development, test and evaluation line, whichever is less. These thresholds are cumulative from the base for reprogramming value as modified by any adjustments. Therefore, if the combined value of transfers into or out of a military personnel (M-1), an operation and maintenance (O-1), a procurement (P-1), or a research, development, test and evaluation (R-1) line exceeds the identified threshold, the Secretary of Defense must submit a prior approval reprogramming to the congressional defense committees. In addition, guidelines on the application of prior approval reprogramming procedures for congressional special interest items are established elsewhere in this report.

#### COMMITTEE INITIATIVES

The Committee has included funding above the President's budget request for several programmatic initiatives which the Committee believes are of inherent value for national defense. In several cases, funds are restored for programs which were included in previous Department of Defense budget requests, and for programs that the Committee believes are necessary to improve the U.S. defense posture even though they have not been included under the request formulated by the Department of Defense.

For instance, the Committee's hearings with the military Services and the Department's leadership highlighted the risk to maintaining the U.S. technological edge under sequester-level budgets. Therefore, the Committee recommends increases to sustain U.S. technological superiority such as fifth generation aircraft, the Technology Offset Initiative, Cyber Vulnerability Assessments and the Unmanned Carrier-Launched Airborne Surveillance and Strike System [UCLASS]. In addition, the Committee recommends funding to increase readiness, sustain U.S. force structure and maintain our industrial base. Finally, the Committee recommends increases in basic research, alternative energy and science and technology funding, which are the foundation for enhancing future technological superiority. The Committee also recommends funding for programs that are chronically underfunded, such as the Defense Production Act and National Guard and Reserve equipment purchases.

The Committee directs that funds for these initiatives are to be competitively awarded or provided to programs that have received competitive awards in the past.

#### NAVY MODERNIZATION PRIORITIES

The fiscal year 2016 President's budget requests \$17,885,916,000 for Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy, an increase of \$1,927,456,000 over amounts appropriated for fiscal year 2015. While a significant portion of this increase can be attributed to programs of record as they mature and progress through the acquisition cycle, such as the Ohio Class Replacement program, the Presidential helicopter replacement program and the Marine Corps' Amphibious Combat Vehicle program, the Committee notes that the fiscal year 2016 request includes no less than \$151,563,000 for 13 new start programs and \$331,000,000 for several programs that the Navy proposes to initiate via directive letters, rapid fielding efforts or by adding scope to previously approved efforts. These initiatives are expected to require at least \$2,700,000,000 for additional devel-opment over the next 5 years. The Committee notes that in some instances, programs initiated outside of the regular acquisition process have replaced previously planned programs of record that would have been subject to a full vetting of requirements and competitive acquisitions. Further, the Committee notes that the Navy is investing modernization funds in programs that have only recently transitioned from development into production, such as the MQ-4C Triton Unmanned Aerial Vehicle, the E-2D Hawkeye Airborne Early Warning Aircraft, or the P-8 Poseidon Multi-mission Maritime Aircraft, while neglecting to invest in the modernization of existing and high-demand fleet assets, such as *Ticonderoga* class guided missile cruisers, or in the acquisition of self-described current and future warfighter needs, such as tactical fighter aircraft.

The Committee is concerned that the fiscal year 2016 budget request continues a trend by the Navy to submit budgets to the Congress that accept undue risk in programs deemed by the Navy to be critical, with the expectation that the congressional appropriations committees will fund these programs within its budget allocation for the Department of Defense. For instance, in fiscal year 2016, the Navy did not fund the continued procurement of tactical fighter aircraft, despite awareness of readiness shortfalls. In fiscal year 2015, the Navy removed all funds required to retain and modernize CVN 73, USS *George Washington*, from its budget not only in clear contravention of Public Law, but also in direct conflict with Navy leadership priorities identified to the Committee. In fiscal year 2013, the Navy submitted a budget that removed funding for a *Virginia* class submarine previously included in a ten-ship multiyear procurement plan.

As a result of these budgetary maneuvers, the Committee must question whether budget requests from the Navy accurately reflect the service's most important priorities. This is particularly concerning with major acquisitions looming on the horizon, most significantly the *Ohio* Class replacement program. The *Ohio* Class replacement is an indispensable component of the Nation's nuclear triad, but the program's acquisition costs are expected to exceed \$135,000,000,000, with the first ship of the class scheduled for procurement in fiscal year 2021. The Navy has raised concerns about affordability of this ship within its total obligational authority, and has endorsed proposals for funding mechanisms that appear to be unprecedented. The Committee notes that the Department of Defense has not requested, nor appears to be considering, alternative funding mechanisms for other acquisition programs estimated to be of equal or greater cost: the ballistic missile defense system and the F-35 Joint Strike Fighter.

The Committee recommendation includes \$1,390,663,000 in the Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy appropriation for continued development of the *Ohio* Class replacement, as requested by the Navy. The Committee recommendation does not include any new transfer authority or similar proposal in relation to alternative funding mechanisms for the *Ohio* Class replacement. The Committee believes that support for shipbuilding programs, including the *Ohio* Class replacement, is an imperative for the future of the Navy, as evidenced by the recommendation's significant funding increases within the Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy appropriation. The Committee welcomes an ongoing dialogue with the Department of the Navy and the Department of Defense, as well as the other services, on prioritizing funding for modernization programs which are indispensable to the defense of this Nation.

#### JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER [JSF]

Joint Strike Fighter [JSF] Production.—The Committee remains encouraged by many aspects of the JSF program. Thirty-six aircraft were delivered in 2014 as planned. The Marine Corps is scheduled to meet its Initial Operational Capability goal in 2015. The unit prices for each variant, the Air Force F–35A, the Marine Corps F–35B, and the Navy F–35C, continue to decline. Finally, the Department prudently responded to the engine failure last year and the temporary restrictions on flying have been lifted. Given these developments, the services' unfunded priority lists, and to further accelerate unit cost decreases, the Committee recommends adding \$846,000,000 for six additional Marine Corps aircraft and \$392,000,000 for four additional Air Force aircraft.

The Committee notes that while the unit costs for each variant are decreasing, the Department is still budgeting at a higher unit cost. For example, the Air Force budgets \$100,500,000 per aircraft, but the Selected Acquisition Report [SAR] specifies a target price of approximately \$98,000,000. Similar trends are apparent in the Navy and Marine Corps budgets as well. As a result, the Committee recommends reducing the Air Force request by \$114,400,000; the Marine Corps request by \$20,700,000, and the Navy request by \$14,400,000.

Congress appropriated \$483,200,000, as requested, for annualized sustainment for fiscal year 2014 and 2015 in the services' procurement accounts. The contracts have been delayed and the funding has not been awarded. In addition, the services are requesting \$566,000,000 in fiscal year 2016 for annualized sustainment with planned award at the end of the fiscal year. The Committee is concerned with these large unobligated balances and recommends a reduction of \$380,000,000.

Joint Strike Fighter [JSF] Sustainment.—The Committee remains concerned with the life cycle or operations and support [O&S] costs of the JSF program. The JSF program is the Department of Defense's most costly and ambitious program, totaling about \$1,000,000,000 to operate and support over its lifetime. Officials from the Office of Secretary of Defense [OSD] have stated that the current sustainment strategy is not affordable. GAO reported that the current estimates of JSF lifecycle costs are higher than the current O&S costs of the existing aircraft the F–35 will replace.

In December 2013, the Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics [OUSD(AT&L)] provided the Committee a report titled "F-35 Engineering Workforce, Supply Chain Management, Sustainment Affordability and Cost Drivers." The report was a comprehensive review of operations and sustainment and the program's current affordability. The Committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense (AT&L), in conjunction with the JSF Program Executive Officer, to update this report and identify areas to better integrate O&S cost analysis into dayto-day investment decisions.

Lastly, the Committee understands that OSD's Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation [CAPE] office does not plan to complete an updated estimate of lifecycle O&S costs until 2018. OSD CAPE's most recent O&S estimate from 2014 totaled \$1,016,000,000,000, unchanged from its 2013 estimate, and exceeded the JSF Joint Program Office's [JPO] estimate by \$157,000,000,000. The Committee directs the CAPE Director to provide congressional defense committees, not later than 90 days after enactment of this act, an updated estimate of JSF O&S costs.

Joint Strike Fighter [JSF] Follow-on Development.—The Department requests \$217,272,000, an increase of 378 percent over the fiscal year 2015 enacted level, to develop the next generation technologies for the fifth generation fighter. The Committee has continued to express concern with concurrency in the existing development efforts and the lack of clearly defined requirements and cost estimates. As a result, the Committee recommends a reduction of \$126,276,000, allowing the program to continue at a moderate pace.

#### ISRAELI MISSILE DEFENSE PROGRAMS

The fiscal year 2016 budget request includes \$157,795,000 for Israeli missile defense programs, and the Committee has received a request from the Government of Israel to recommend \$329,800,000 above the budget request for those programs. The Committee notes that in fiscal year 2015, Congress appropriated \$347,039,000 above the budget request for Israeli missile defense programs at the request of the Government of Israel and provided \$225,000,000 in emergency appropriations for Iron Dome interceptors in response to a follow-on request from the Government of Israel due to activities associated with OPERATION PROTECTIVE EDGE.

The Committee notes that Congress has repeatedly, with strong, bi-partisan support, appropriated funds in excess of administration requests for Israeli missile defense programs to accelerate development of capabilities within a mutually agreed-upon U.S.-Israeli framework. However, these increases have historically been on a significantly smaller scale than the requests presented to the Committee in recent years. The Committee is concerned by the apparent disconnect in the respective Governments' understanding of future Israeli missile defense requirements, U.S. and Israeli funding contributions and the role of U.S. industry to ensure fielding of Israeli missile defense capabilities, particularly in the absence of further U.S.-Israeli production agreements.

The Committee recommends an additional \$329,800,000 for Israeli missile defense programs, as requested by the Government of Israel. The Committee directs that not more than \$90,000,000 may be obligated or expended for long lead items in support of David's Sling production activities until the Secretary of Defense provides to the congressional defense committees a joint U.S.-Israeli production agreement that addresses, at a minimum, Israeli requirements, production plans, the role of U.S. and Israeli industry and the proposed use of U.S. funding. Further, the Committee directs that not more than \$15,000,000 may be obligated or expended for long lead items in support of Arrow upper tier production activities until the Secretary of Defense provides to the congressional defense committees a joint U.S.-Israeli production agreement that addresses, at a minimum, Israeli requirements, production plans, the role of U.S. and Israeli industry and the proposed use of U.S. funding. The Committee notes that the Department of Defense has established processes in place to transfer funding for long lead items for Israeli missile defense programs through an exchange of letters that ensure appropriate oversight over subject funds prior to conclusion of production agreements.

# TITLE I

# MILITARY PERSONNEL

Funds appropriated under this title provide the resources required for basic pay, retired pay accrual, employers' contribution for Social Security taxes, basic allowance for subsistence, basic allowance for housing, special and incentive pays, permanent change of station travel, and other personnel costs for uniformed members of the Armed Forces.

The President's fiscal year 2016 budget requests a total of \$130,491,227,000 for military personnel appropriations. This request funds an Active component end strength of 1,305,200 and a Reserve component end strength of 811,000.

## SUMMARY OF COMMITTEE ACTION

The Committee recommends military personnel appropriations totaling \$129,442,113,000 for fiscal year 2016. This is \$1,049,114,000 below the budget estimate.

Committee recommended military personnel appropriations for fiscal year 2016 are summarized below:

#### SUMMARY OF MILITARY PERSONNEL APPROPRIATIONS

[In thousands of dollars]

Account	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
Military Personnel:			
Military Personnel, Army	41,130,748	41,038,287	- 92,461
Military Personnel, Navy	28,262,396	27,983,432	- 278,964
Military Personnel, Marine Corps	13,125,349	12,953,400	- 171,949
Military Personnel, Air Force	27,969,322	27,756,536	- 212,786
Reserve Personnel:			
Reserve Personnel, Army	4,550,974	4,418,714	- 132,26
Reserve Personnel, Navy	1,884,991	1,849,541	- 35,45
Reserve Personnel, Marine Corps	706,481	697,839	- 8,64
Reserve Personnel, Air Force	1,696,283	1,676,551	- 19,73
National Guard Personnel:			
National Guard Personnel, Army	7,942,132	7,862,122	- 80,01
National Guard Personnel, Air Force	3,222,551	3,205,691	- 16,86
Total	130,491,227	129,442,113	-1,049,11

Committee recommended end strengths for fiscal year 2016 are summarized below:

#### RECOMMENDED END STRENGTH

	2015	2016 budget	Committee	Change from
	authorization	estimate	recommendation	budget estimate
Active: Army Navy	490,000 323,600	475,000 329,200	475,000 329,200	

RECOMMENDED END STRENGTH—Continued

	2015 authorization	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
Marine Corps Air Force	184,100 310,900	184,000 317,000	184,000 317,000	
Subtotal	1,308,600	1,305,200	1,305,200	
Selected Reserve:				
Army Reserve Navy Reserve Marine Corps Reserve Air Force Reserve Army National Guard Air National Guard	202,000 57,300 39,200 67,100 350,200 105,000	198,000 57,400 38,900 69,200 342,000 105,500	198,000 57,400 38,900 69,200 342,000 105,500	······
Subtotal	820,800	811,000	811,000	
TOTAL	2,129,400	2,116,200	2,116,200	

Committee recommended end strengths for full-time support of the Reserve and Guard for fiscal year 2016 are summarized below:

RECOMMENDED ACTIVE GUARD AND RESERVE END STRENGTH

	2015 authorization	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
Active Guard and Reserve:				
Army Reserve	16,261	16,261	16,261	
Navy Reserve	9,973	9,934	9,934	
Marine Corps Reserve	2,261	2,260	2,260	
Air Force Reserve	2,830	3,032	3,032	
Army National Guard	31,385	30,770	30,770	
Air National Guard	14,704	14,748	14,748	
TOTAL	77,414	77,005	77,005	

## MILITARY PERSONNEL SPECIAL INTEREST ITEMS

Items for which additional funds have been provided or have been specifically reduced as shown in the project level tables or in paragraphs using the phrase "only for" or "only to" in the Committee report are congressional special interest items for the purpose of the Base for Reprogramming (DD Form 1414). Each of these items must be carried on the DD Form 1414 at the stated amount as specifically addressed in the Committee report. Below Threshold Reprogrammings may not be used to either restore or reduce funding from congressional interest items as identified on the DD Form 1414.

## MILITARY PERSONNEL OVERVIEW

Reserve Component Budget Reporting.—The Committee continues its requirement for the Department to provide a semi-annual detailed report to the congressional defense committees showing transfers between subactivities within the military personnel appropriation. Reports shall be submitted not later than 30 days following the end of the second quarter and 30 days following the end of the fiscal year. Unobligated Balances.—A Government Accountability Office analysis of past year obligation rates shows that the services continue to underexecute their military personnel accounts. Due to excess unobligated balances, the Committee recommends a total reduction of \$987,200,000 from the fiscal year 2016 military personnel accounts.

Sexual Assault.—The Committee remains concerned with the prevalence of sexual assault in the military. The Department of Defense's Annual Report on Sexual Assault in the Military for fiscal year 2014 found cases of reported sexual assaults increased by 11 percent over the previous year. The Committee recognizes the efforts by the Department to strengthen its sexual assault prevention and response program and notes that, according to a study performed by a federally funded research and development corporation, the percentage of active duty females who experienced unwanted sexual contact during the past year declined from an estimated 6.1 percent in 2012 to an estimated 4.3 percent in 2014.

However, the Committee believes more needs to be done to create a culture throughout the military in which sexual assault is not tolerated. To ensure that the Department continues to make progress ending victims' alienation during the investigation and prosecution of their case, the Committee includes an additional \$25,000,000 for implementation of the Special Victims' Counsel Program across all the services.

*Guard and Reserve Disability Compensation Processing.*—The Committee is aware of planned Government Accountability Office [GAO] investigations into the disparity in wait times between Active Duty and National Guard and Reserve personnel in receiving disability compensation. The Committee urges the Department to act quickly on GAO's recommendations and ensure that adjudication of disability claims of National Guard and Reserve members are not delayed.

Advanced Trauma Training Program for National Guard and Reserve.-The Committee recognizes the valuable support universities, hospitals, and other military partners provide by offering civilian-based emergency response trauma training to sustain medics' and medical providers' capabilities of the National Guard Enhanced Response Forces Packages [CERFP], National Guard Homeland Response Forces [NGHRF], and the Army Reserve Consequence Management Response Forces [CCMRF]. The Committee encourages the National Guard and Reserve to continue pursuing state-of-the-art trauma training with these civilian partners, thus maintaining unit medical readiness postures at optimum levels as military healthcare providers in CERFPs, NGHRFs, and CCMRFs maintain their individual skills to respond effectively to emergency incidents on the homeland. Furthermore, in order to minimize the civilian-military operational gaps in the event of a catastrophic incident, the Committee also encourages the development of enhanced preparedness medical training programs focusing on mass casualty triage, advanced disaster life support, advanced hazardous material life support, emergency dental, and psychological health by increasing civilian-based advanced trauma expertise gained through day-to-day experiences and medical research programs.

Service Academy Superintendents Report.—The Committee notes that the Institute for Defense Analyses [IDA] in December 2014 completed an exhaustive study of superintendent leadership at service academies. Among the conclusions of the study was that academy superintendents should have an option to continue military service at the conclusion of their term, and that the tour of duty at service academies should be lengthened beyond the standard 3 years.

Accordingly, the Committee encourages the Secretary of Defense to exercise more frequently the waiver authority provided under Title 10, United States Code, to allow an academy superintendent to continue military service, rather than mandatory retirement. The law allows the Secretary of Defense to issue such a waiver "for good cause," and the Committee encourages the services to make continued and best use of senior officers who have distinguished themselves as academy superintendents.

Furthermore, the Committee echoes the concerns of the IDA report that 3 years may be an insufficient amount of time for a superintendent to assess an academy's current challenges, to accomplish strategic planning, to introduce needed change, to garner the support of various stake holders groups, and follow up on initiatives for lasting effects. Title 10 generally requires a minimum of a 3-year tour of duty for an academy superintendent, but does not specify a maximum. The Committee therefore encourages the service secretaries to strongly consider a longer tour of duty for academy superintendents.

In the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2014, the Committee directed the Department of Defense to report to Congress recommendations for establishing criteria for selecting Service Academy Superintendents. One recommendation was to extend the amount of time between a new Superintendent's Senate confirmation and assumption of duties from 90 to 120 days. The Committee strongly supports this recommendation, which allows incoming Superintendents additional time for specialized training. The Committee will work with the committee of jurisdiction to ensure that this and other recommendations are fully instituted by the Department of Defense.

#### MILITARY PERSONNEL, ARMY

Appropriations, 2015	\$41,116,129,000
Budget estimate, 2016	41,130,748,000
Committee recommendation	41,038,287,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$41,038,287,000. This is \$92,461,000 below the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

1	6

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	MILITARY PERSONNEL, ARMY			
	ACTIVITY 1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS			
5	BASIC PAY	6,926,625	6,922,400	- 4,225
10 25	RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	2,172,454	2,172,454 2,220,121	- 11,789
30	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	2,231,910 293,794	2,220,121	- 11,703
35	INCENTIVE PAYS	81,079	81,079	
40	SPECIAL PAYS	365,582	365,582	
45	ALLOWANCES	261,520	261,520	
50 55	SEPARATION PAY SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	210,860	193,696 527,824	- 17,164
55	SUCIAL SECURITI TAX	527,824	527,624	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	13,071,648	13,038,470	- 33,178
	ACTIVITY 2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
60	BASIC PAY	12,668,528	12,661,845	- 6,683
65	RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	3,973,957	3,973,957	
80	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	4,811,937	4,811,937	
85 90	INCENTIVE PAYS	92,964 435,630	92,964 435,630	
90 95	ALLOWANCES	849,699	435,630 849,699	
100	SEPARATION PAY	445,315	445,315	
105	SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	969,143	969,143	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2	24,247,173	24,240,490	- 6,683
110	ACTIVITY 3: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF CADETS ACADEMY CADETS	80,323	00 222	
110		00,323	80,323	
115	ACTIVITY 4: SUBSISTENCE OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL	1 204 500	1 204 500	
115 120	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE SUBSISTENCE-IN-KIND	1,304,526 514,155	1,304,526 514.155	
121	FAMILY SUBSISTENCE SUPPLEMENTAL ALLOWANCE	893	893	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	1,819,574	1,819,574	
	ACTIVITY 5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION			
125	ACCESSION TRAVEL	167,227	167,227	
130	TRAINING TRAVEL	143,955	143,955	
135	OPERATIONAL TRAVEL	401,690	401,690	
140 145	ROTATIONAL TRAVEL SEPARATION TRAVEL	714,937 304,443	714,937 304,443	
145	TRAVEL OF ORGANIZED UNITS	4,234	4,234	
155	NON-TEMPORARY STORAGE	11,333	11,333	
160	TEMPORARY LODGING EXPENSE	39,186	39,186	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 5	1,787,005	1,787,005	
170	ACTIVITY 6: OTHER MILITARY PERSONNEL COSTS			
170	APPREHENSION OF MILITARY DESERTERS INTEREST ON UNIFORMED SERVICES SAVINGS	717	717	
175 180	DEATH GRATUITIES	1,301 39,000	1,301 39,000	
185	UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS	201,052	201,052	
195	EDUCATION BENEFITS	4,620	4,620	
200	ADOPTION EXPENSES	589	589	
210	TRANSPORTATION SUBSIDY	4,814	4,814	
215 217	PARTIAL DISLOCATION ALLOWANCE RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS (ROTC)	105 111,929	105 111,929	
217	JUNIOR ROTC	28,140	28,140	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 6	392,267	392,267	
	LESS REIMBURSABLES	- 267,242	- 267,242	
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT		- 52,600	- 52,600
			32,000	32,000

]	17

#### [In thousands of dollars]

ltem	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
TOTAL, ACTIVE FORCES, ARMY	41,130,748	41,038,287	- 92,461
Total, military personnel, army	41,130,748	41,038,287	- 92,461

# COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

#### [In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
5 25 50	BA 1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS Basic Pay	6,926,625 2,231,910 210,860	6,922,400 2,220,121 	- 4,225 - 4,225 - 11,789 - 11,789 - 17,164
60	Improving funds management: Excess growth BA 2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL Basic Pay Improving funds management: Projected understrength	12,668,528	12,661,845	- 17,164 - 6,683 - 6,683
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT Improving funds management: Unobligated balances		- 52,600	- 52,600

# MILITARY PERSONNEL, NAVY

Appropriations, 2015	\$27,453,200,000
Budget estimate, 2016	28,262,396,000
Committee recommendation	27,983,432,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$27,983,432,000. This is \$278,964,000 below the budget estimate.

# COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

	ltem	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	MILITARY PERSONNEL, NAVY			
	ACTIVITY 1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS			
5	BASIC PAY	4,116,138	4,112,147	- 3,991
10	RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	1,290,301	1,290,301	
25	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	1,523,673	1,510,173	- 13,500
30	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	172,082	172,082	
35	INCENTIVE PAYS	132,555	132,555	
40	SPECIAL PAYS	437,248	437,248	
45	ALLOWANCES	149,026	149,026	
50	SEPARATION PAY	42,355	42,355	
55	SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	313,642	313,642	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	8,177,020	8,159,529	- 17,491
	ACTIVITY 2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
60	BASIC PAY	8,822,897	8,813,400	— 9,497

18
----

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
65 80	RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	2,769,263	2,769,263	- 35.946
85	INCENTIVE PAYS	4,118,156	4,082,210 104,910	,
80 90		104,910		
	SPECIAL PAYS	779,276	779,276	
95	ALLOWANCES	630,672	630,672	
100	SEPARATION PAY	156,500	156,500	
105	SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	674,951	674,951	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2	18,056,625	18,011,182	- 45,443
	ACTIVITY 3: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF MIDSHIPMEN			
110	MIDSHIPMEN	79,242	79,242	
	ACTIVITY 4: SUBSISTENCE OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
115	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	791.044	791.044	
120	SUBSISTENCE-IN-KIND	429,817	429,817	
120	FAMILY SUBSISTENCE SUPPLEMENTAL ALLOWANCE	429,017	429,017	
121	FAMILY SUBSISTENCE SUPPLEMENTAL ALLOWANCE	4	4	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	1,220,865	1,220,865	
	ACTIVITY 5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION			
125	ACCESSION TRAVEL	95,649	95,649	
130	TRAINING TRAVEL	99,893	99,893	
135	OPERATIONAL TRAVEL	249,743	249,743	
140	ROTATIONAL TRAVEL	272,783	272,783	
145	SEPARATION TRAVEL	128,917	128,917	
150	TRAVEL OF ORGANIZED UNITS	30,968	30,968	
155	NON-TEMPORARY STORAGE	12,159	12,159	
160	TEMPORARY LODGING EXPENSE	15,800	15,800	
165	OTHER	11,509	11,509	
100		,	,	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 5	917,421	917,421	
	ACTIVITY 6: OTHER MILITARY PERSONNEL COSTS			
170	APPREHENSION OF MILITARY DESERTERS	59	59	
175	INTEREST ON UNIFORMED SERVICES SAVINGS	1,370	1,370	
180	DEATH GRATUITIES	17,800	17,800	
185	UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS	97,655	97,655	
195	EDUCATION BENEFITS	19,364	19,364	
200	ADOPTION EXPENSES	265	265	
210	TRANSPORTATION SUBSIDY	4,993	4,993	
215	PARTIAL DISLOCATION ALLOWANCE	35	35	
217	RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS (ROTC)	21,269	21,269	
218	JUNIOR ROTC	14,733	14,733	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 6	177,543	177,543	
	LESS REIMBURSABLES	- 366,320	- 366,320	
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT	· · ·	,	
			- 216,030	- 216,030
	TOTAL, ACTIVE FORCES, NAVY	28,262,396	27,983,432	- 278,964
	TOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL, NAVY	28,262,396	27,983,432	- 278,964

# COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
5	BA 1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS Basic Pay	4,116,138	4,112,147	- 3,991

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
25	Improving funds management: Projected understrength Basic Allowance for Housing Improving funds management: Excess to requirement	1,523,673	1,510,173	- 3,991 - 13,500 - 13,500
60 80	BA 2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL Basic Pay Improving funds management: Projected understrength Basic Allowance for Housing Improving funds management: Excess to requirement	8,822,897 	8,813,400	— 9,497 — 9,497 — 35,946 — 35,946
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT Improving funds management: Unobligated balances		- 216,030	- 216,030

# MILITARY PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS

Appropriations, 2015	\$12,828,931,000
Budget estimate, 2016	
Committee recommendation	12,953,400,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$12,953,400,000. This is \$171,949,000 below the budget estimate.

# COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

	ltem	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	MILITARY PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS			
	ACTIVITY 1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS			
5	BASIC PAY	1,525,719	1,525,719	
10	RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	478,396	478,396	
25	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	505,390	505,390	
30	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	65,674	65,674	
35	INCENTIVE PAYS	35,998	35,998	
40	SPECIAL PAYS	6,210	6,210	
45	ALLOWANCES	51,750	48,800	- 2,950
50	SEPARATION PAY	14,887	14,887	
55	SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	116,166	116,166	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	2,800,190	2,797,240	- 2,950
	ACTIVITY 2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
60	BASIC PAY	4,831,024	4,803,837	- 27,187
65	RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	1,513,761	1,513,761	
80	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	1,614,206	1,614,206	
85	INCENTIVE PAYS	9,508	9,508	
90	SPECIAL PAYS	116,177	116,177	
95	ALLOWANCES	344,426	344,426	
100	SEPARATION PAY	93,577	93,577	
105	SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	369,010	369,010	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2	8,891,689	8,864,502	- 27,187
	ACTIVITY 4: SUBSISTENCE OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
115	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	460,030	460,030	
120	SUBSISTENCE-IN-KIND	384,036	384,036	
121	FAMILY SUBSISTENCE SUPPLEMENTAL ALLOWANCE	10	10	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	844,076	844,076	

20

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	ACTIVITY 5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION			
125	ACCESSION TRAVEL	62,955	62,955	
130	TRAINING TRAVEL	16,913	16,913	
135	OPERATIONAL TRAVEL	161,285	161,285	
140	ROTATIONAL TRAVEL	118,357	118,357	
145	SEPARATION TRAVEL	120,742	114,940	- 5,802
150	TRAVEL OF ORGANIZED UNITS	797	797	
155	NON-TEMPORARY STORAGE	5,564	5,564	
160	TEMPORARY LODGING EXPENSE	5,734	5,734	
165	OTHER	3,002	3,002	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 5	495,349	489,547	- 5,802
	ACTIVITY 6: OTHER MILITARY PERSONNEL COSTS			
170	APPREHENSION OF MILITARY DESERTERS	505	505	
175	INTEREST ON UNIFORMED SERVICES SAVINGS	19	19	
180	DEATH GRATUITIES	13,700	13,700	
185	UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS	93,598	93,598	
195	EDUCATION BENEFITS	9,655	9,655	
200	ADOPTION EXPENSES	84	84	
210	TRANSPORTATION SUBSIDY	1,621	1,621	
215	PARTIAL DISLOCATION ALLOWANCE	67	67	
218	JUNIOR ROTC	3,526	3,526	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 6	122,775	122,775	
	LESS REIMBURSABLES	- 28,730	- 28,730	
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT		- 136,010	-136,010
	TOTAL, ACTIVE FORCES, MARINE CORPS	13,125,349	12,953,400	- 171,949
	TOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS	13,125,349	12,953,400	- 171,949

# COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
45	BA 1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS Allowances Improving funds management: Unjustified growth	51,750	48,800	— 2,950 — 2,950
60	BA 2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL Basic Pay Improving funds management: Projected understrength	4,831,024	4,803,837	-27,187 -27,187
145	BA 5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION TRAVEL Separation Travel Improving funds management: Unjustified growth	120,742	114,940	- 5,802 - 5,802
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT Improving funds management: Unobligated balances		- 136,010	- 136,010

# MILITARY PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2015	\$27,376,462,000
Budget estimate, 2016	27,969,322,000
Committee recommendation	27,756,536,000

# The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$27,756,536,000. This is \$212,786,000 below the budget estimate.

# COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
		estimate	recommentation	buuget estimate
	MILITARY PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE			
-	ACTIVITY 1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS	4 700 155	4 710 104	50.051
5	BASIC PAY	4,766,155	4,710,104	- 56,051
10 25	RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	1,486,126 1,515,936	1,486,126 1,515,936	
30	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	198,685	1,515,956	
35	INCENTIVE PAYS	235,054	235,054	
40	SPECIAL PAYS	351,827	351,827	
45	ALLOWANCES	136,390	136,390	
50	SEPARATION PAY	57,589	57,589	
55	SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	363,907	363,907	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	9,111,669	9,055,618	- 56,051
	ACTIVITY 2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
60	BASIC PAY	8,674,231	8,666,026	- 8,205
65	RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	2,712,354	2,712,354	
80	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	3,634,327	3,634,327	
85	INCENTIVE PAYS	36,123	36,123	
90	SPECIAL PAYS	298,002	298,002	
95	ALLOWANCES	604,913	604,913	
100 105	SEPARATION PAY SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	126,959 663,579	126,959 663,579	
105	SUCIAL SECONTTE TAX	003,373	003,373	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2	16,750,488	16,742,283	- 8,205
	ACTIVITY 3: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF CADETS			
110	ACADEMY CADETS	71,242	71,242	
	ACTIVITY 4: SUBSISTENCE OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
115	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	1,005,519	1,005,519	
120	SUBSISTENCE-IN-KIND	134,055	134,055	
121	FAMILY SUBSISTENCE SUPPLEMENTAL ALLOWANCE	3	3	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	1,139,577	1,139,577	
	ACTIVITY 5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION			
125	ACCESSION TRAVEL	94,021	94.021	
130	TRAINING TRAVEL	71,403	71,403	
135	OPERATIONAL TRAVEL	276,627	276,627	
140	ROTATIONAL TRAVEL	578,894	564,854	- 14,040
145	SEPARATION TRAVEL	145,515	145,515	
150	TRAVEL OF ORGANIZED UNITS	8,919	8,919	
155	NON-TEMPORARY STORAGE TEMPORARY LODGING EXPENSE	23,607	23,607 35,560	
160	TEMPURART LUDGING EAPENSE	35,560	30,000	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 5	1,234,546	1,220,506	-14,040
	ACTIVITY 6: OTHER MILITARY PERSONNEL COSTS			
170	APPREHENSION OF MILITARY DESERTERS	18	18	
175	INTEREST ON UNIFORMED SERVICES SAVINGS	2,691	2,691	
180	DEATH GRATUITIES	15,100	15,100	
185	UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS	52,962	52,962	
195 200	EDUCATION BENEFITS	185 305	185 305	
			1 303	

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
215 217 218	PARTIAL DISLOCATION ALLOWANCE RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS (ROTC) JUNIOR ROTC	569 25,376 13,338	569 25,376 13,338	·····
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 6	112,806	112,806	
	LESS REIMBURSABLES	- 451,006	- 451,006	
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT		- 266,490	- 266,490
	RESTORE A-10		132,000	+ 132,000
	TOTAL, ACTIVE FORCES, AIR FORCE	27,969,322	27,756,536	- 212,786
	TOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE	27,969,322	27,756,536	- 212,786

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

	[In thousands of dollars]					
Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate		
5	BA 1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS Basic Pay Improving funds management: Projected understrength	4,766,155	4,710,104	— 56,051 — 56,051		
60	BA 2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL Basic Pay Improving funds management: Projected understrength	8,674,231	8,666,026	— 8,205 — 8,205		
140	BA 5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION TRAVEL Rotational Travel Improving funds management: Excess growth	578,894	564,854	- 14,040 - 14,040		
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT Improving funds management: Unobligated balances Authorization adjustment: Restore A-10	·····	- 266,490 132,000	- 266,490 + 132,000		

Remotely Piloted Aircraft Training.—The Committee is aware that the remotely piloted aircraft [RPA] career field has been under stress due to the high demand of combat operations. The Committee encourages the Air Force to partner with contractors and le-verage their facilities, equipment and personnel to augment pilot training capacity and provide a near-term solution to the shortfall of qualified RPA pilots. The Committee further encourages the Air Force to facilitate industry efforts to train pilots from nations that operate variations of the MQ-1 Predator since the Air Force lacks the capacity to provide such training.

# **RESERVE PERSONNEL, ARMY**

Appropriations, 2015	\$4,317,859,000
Budget estimate, 2016	4,550,974,000
Committee recommendation	4,418,714,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$4,418,714,000. This is \$132,260,000 below the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

	ltem	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	RESERVE PERSONNEL, ARMY			
	ACTIVITY 1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT			
10	PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS & DRILLS 24/48)	1,543,361	1,543,361	
20	PAY GROUP B TRAINING (BACKFILL FOR ACTIVE DUTY)	44,492	44,492	
30	PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS)	234,314	234,314	
40	PAY GROUP P TRAINING (PIPELINE RECRUITS)	13,326	13,326	
60	MOBILIZATION TRAINING	320	320	
70	SCHOOL TRAINING	215,951	215,951	
80	SPECIAL TRAINING	294,460	294,460	
90	ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT	2,066,663	2,066,663	
100	EDUCATION BENEFITS	18,380	18,380	
120	HEALTH PROFESSION SCHOLARSHIP	59,606	59,606	
130	OTHER PROGRAMS	60,101	60,101	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	4,550,974	4,550,974	
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT		- 132,260	-132,260
	TOTAL RESERVE PERSONNEL, ARMY	4,550,974	4,418,714	- 132,260

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[III LIIUUSAIIUS UI UUIIAIS]	[In	thousands	of	dollars]
------------------------------	-----	-----------	----	----------

Line	ltem	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT Improving funds management: Unobligated balances		- 132,260	- 132,260

# **RESERVE PERSONNEL, NAVY**

Appropriations, 2015	\$1,835,924,000
Budget estimate, 2016	1,884,991,000
Committee recommendation	1,849,541,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,849,541,000. This is \$35,450,000 below the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In	thousand	s of	dol	lars]	
-----	----------	------	-----	-------	--

 Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
RESERVE PERSONNEL, NAVY			
ACTIVITY 1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS & DRILLS 24/48) PAY GROUP B TRAINING (BACKFILL FOR ACTIVE DUTY)	603,067 7,141	603,067 7,141	

[In thousands of dollars]

	ltem	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
30 60 70 80 90 100 120	PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS) MOBILIZATION TRAINING SCHOOL TRAINING SPECIAL TRAINING ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT EDUCATION BENEFITS HEALTH PROFESSION SCHOLARSHIP	62,500 8,816 45,974 111,903 992,146 107 53,337	62,500 8,816 45,974 111,903 992,146 107 53,337	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1 UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT TOTAL, RESERVE PERSONNEL, NAVY	1,884,991  1,884,991	1,884,991 — 35,450 1,849,541	

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT Improving funds management: Unobligated balances		- 35,450	- 35,450

# **RESERVE PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS**

Appropriations, 2015	\$660,424,000
Budget estimate, 2016	706,481,000
Committee recommendation	697,839,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$697,839,000. This is \$8,642,000 below the budget estimate.

# COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

	ltem	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	RESERVE PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS			
	ACTIVITY 1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT			
10	PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS & DRILLS 24/48)	269,298	269,298	
20	PAY GROUP B TRAINING (BACKFILL FOR ACT DUTY)	36,573	36,573	
30	PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS)	108,034	108,034	
60	MOBILIZATION TRAINING	2,529	2,529	
70	SCHOOL TRAINING	24,160	24,160	
80	SPECIAL TRAINING	26,272	24,420	- 1,852
90	ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT	233,388	233,388	
95	PLATOON LEADER CLASS	5,585	5,585	
100	EDUCATION BENEFITS	642	642	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	706,481	704,629	- 1,852
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT		- 6,790	- 6,790
	TOTAL, RESERVE PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS	706,481	697,839	- 8,642

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In	thousands	of	dollars]
-----	-----------	----	----------

Line	ltem	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
80	BA 1: MARINE CORPS RESERVE TRAINING AND SUPPORT Special Training Improving funds management: Excess growth	26,272	24,420	- 1,852 - 1,852
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT Improving funds management: Unobligated balances		- 6,790	- 6,790

# **RESERVE PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE**

Appropriations, 2015	\$1,653,148,000
Budget estimate, 2016	1,696,283,000
Committee recommendation	1,676,551,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,676,551,000. This is \$19,732,000 below the budget estimate.

# COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

# [In thousands of dollars]

	ltem	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	RESERVE PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE			
	ACTIVITY 1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT			
10	PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS & DRILLS 24/48)	656,936	656,936	
20	PAY GROUP B TRAINING (BACKFILL FOR ACTIVE DUTY)	109,227	109,227	
30	PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS)	56,152	56,152	
40	PAY GROUP P TRAINING (PIPELINE RECRUITS)	1,830	1,830	
60	MOBILIZATION TRAINING	576	576	
70	SCHOOL TRAINING	141,835	141,835	
80	SPECIAL TRAINING	208,440	208,440	
90	ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT	444,057	436,525	- 7,532
100	EDUCATION BENEFITS	13,248	13,248	
120	HEALTH PROFESSION SCHOLARSHIP	58,952	58,952	
130	OTHER PROGRAMS (ADMIN & SUPPORT)	5,030	5,030	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	1,696,283	1,688,751	- 7,532
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT		- 12,200	- 12,200
	TOTAL, RESERVE PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE	1,696,283	1,676,551	- 19,732

# COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In	thousands	of	dollars]
-----	-----------	----	----------

Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
90	BA 1: AIR FORCE RESERVE TRAINING AND SUPPORT Administration and Support	444,057	436,525	- 7,532

#### [In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Improving funds management: Excess growth			- 7,532
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT Improving funds management: Unobligated balances		- 12,200	- 12,200

# NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, ARMY

Appropriations, 2015	\$7,643,832,000
Budget estimate, 2016	7,942,132,000
Committee recommendation	7,862,122,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$7,862,122,000. This is \$80,010,000 below the budget estimate.

# COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, ARMY			
	ACTIVITY 1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT			
10	PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS & DRILLS 24/48)	2,606,347	2,606,347	
30	PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS)	526,051	526,051	
40	PAY GROUP P TRAINING (PIPELINE RECRUITS)	41,411	41,411	
70	SCHOOL TRAINING	471,330	471,330	
80	SPECIAL TRAINING	571,720	596,520	+ 24,800
90	ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT	3,690,407	3,690,407	
100	EDUCATION BENEFITS	34,866	34,866	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	7,942,132	7,966,932	+ 24,800
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT		- 104,810	- 104,810
	TOTAL, NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, ARMY	7,942,132	7,862,122	- 80,010

# COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

Line	ltem	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
80	BA 1: ARMY NATIONAL GUARD TRAINING AND SUPPORT Special Training Authorization adjustment: Additional support for the	571,720	596,520	+ 24,800
	National Guard's Operation Phalanx Program increase: Army National Guard Cyber Protec-			+ 20,000
	tion TeamsUNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT			+ 4,800
	Improving funds management: Unobligated balances		- 104,810	- 104,810

# NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2015	3,118,709,000
Budget estimate, 2016	3,222,551,000
Committee recommendation	3,205,691,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$3,205,691,000. This is \$16,860,000 below the budget estimate.

# COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

## [In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE			
	ACTIVITY 1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT			
10	PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS & DRILLS 24/48)	925,442	925,442	
30	PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS)	105,653	105,653	
40	PAY GROUP P TRAINING (PIPELINE RECRUITS)	8,596	8,596	
70	SCHOOL TRAINING	290,988	290,988	
80	SPECIAL TRAINING	182,511	184,211	+ 1,700
90	ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT	1,694,558	1,694,558	
100	EDUCATION BENEFITS	14,803	14,803	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	3,222,551	3,224,251	+ 1,700
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT		- 18,560	- 18,560
	TOTAL, NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE	3,222,551	3,205,691	- 16,860

# COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
80	BA 1: AIR NATIONAL GUARD TRAINING AND SUPPORT Special Training Authorization adjustment: Additional support for the National Guard's Operation Phalanx	182,511	184,211	+ 1,700 + 1,700
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT Improving funds management: Unobligated bal- ances Program increase: Trauma training		- 24,560 6,000	- 24,560 + 6,000

# TITLE II

# **OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE**

Funds appropriated under this title provide the resources required to prepare for and conduct combat operations and other peace time missions. These funds are used to purchase fuel and spare parts for training operations, pay supporting civilian personnel, and purchase supplies, equipment, and service contracts for the repair of weapons and facilities.

The President's fiscal year 2016 budget requests a total of \$176,517,228,000 for operation and maintenance appropriations.

#### SUMMARY OF COMMITTEE ACTION

The Committee recommends operation and maintenance appropriations totaling \$139,169,199,000 for fiscal year 2016. This is \$37,348,029,000 below the budget estimate.

Committee recommended operation and maintenance appropriations for fiscal year 2016 are summarized below:

#### SUMMARY OF OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE APPROPRIATIONS

Account	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
Operation and Maintenance:			
Operation and Maintenance, Army	35,107,546	27,285,099	- 7,822,447
Operation and Maintenance, Navy	42,200,756	25,933,099	- 16,267,657
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps	6,228,782	5,277,785	- 950,997
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force	38,191,929	26,144,988	- 12,046,941
Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide	32,440,843	32,124,431	- 316,412
Operation and Maintenance, Army Reserve	2,665,792	2,679,992	+ 14,200
Operation and Maintenance, Navy Reserve	1,001,758	998,758	- 3,000
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve	277,036	277,036	
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force Reserve	3,064,257	3,056,357	- 7,900
Operation and Maintenance, Army National Guard	6,717,977	6,746,302	+ 28,325
Operation and Maintenance, Air National Guard	6,956,210	6,951,010	- 5,200
United States Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces	14,078	14,078	
Environmental Restoration, Army	234,829	234,829	
Environmental Restoration, Navy	292,453	292,453	
Environmental Restoration, Air Force	368,131	368,131	
Environmental Restoration, Defense-Wide	8,232	8,232	
Environmental Restoration, Formerly Used Defense Sites	203,717	233,717	+ 30,000
Overseas Humanitarian, Disaster, and Civic Aid	100,266	100,266	
Cooperative Threat Reduction Account	358,496	358,496	
Department of Defense Acquisition Workforce Development Fund $\dots$	84,140	84,140	
Total	176,517,228	139,169,199	- 37,348,029

# REPROGRAMMING GUIDANCE FOR OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE ACCOUNTS

The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to submit the Base for Reprogramming (DD Form 1414) for each of the fiscal year 2016 appropriation accounts not later than 60 days after the enactment of this act. The Secretary of Defense is prohibited from executing any reprogramming or transfer of funds for any purpose other than originally appropriated until the aforementioned report is submitted to the House and Senate Appropriations Committees.

The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to use the normal prior approval reprogramming procedures to transfer funds in the services' operation and maintenance accounts between O-1budget activities in excess of \$15,000,000. In addition, the Secretary of Defense should follow prior approval reprogramming procedures for transfers in excess of \$15,000,000 out of the following budget sub-activities:

Army:

Maneuver units

Modular support brigades

Land forces operations support

Force readiness operations support

Land forces depot maintenance

Base operations support

Facilities Sustainment, Restoration, and Modernization

Navy:

Aircraft depot maintenance

Ship depot maintenance

Facilities Sustainment, Restoration, and Modernization Marine Corps:

Depot maintenance

Facilities Sustainment, Restoration, and Modernization Air Force:

Primary combat forces

Combat enhancement forces

Combat communications

Facilities Sustainment, Restoration, and Modernization

Air Force Reserve:

Depot maintenance

Air National Guard:

Depot maintenance

Additionally, the Secretary of Defense shall follow prior approval reprogramming procedures for transfers in excess of \$15,000,000 into the following budget sub-activity:

Operation and Maintenance, Army National Guard:

Other personnel support/recruiting and advertising

With respect to Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide, proposed transfers of funds to or from the levels specified for defense agencies in excess of \$15,000,000 shall be subject to prior approval reprogramming procedures.

During fiscal year 2016, the Committee directs the Service Secretaries to submit written notification and justification to the congressional defense committees not later than 15 days prior to implementing transfers in excess of \$15,000,000 out of the following budget sub-activities:

Navy:

Mission and other flight operations

Mission and other ship operations

Air Force:

Operating forces depot maintenance

Mobilization depot maintenance

Training and recruiting depot maintenance

Administration and service-wide depot maintenance

These transfers may be implemented 15 days after a congressional notification unless an objection is received from one of the congressional defense committees.

#### OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE BUDGET EXECUTION DATA

The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to continue to provide the congressional defense committees with quarterly budget execution data. Such data should be provided not later than 45 days after the close of each quarter of the fiscal year, and should be provided for each O-1 budget activity, activity group, and subactivity group for each of the active, defense-wide, reserve, and National Guard components. For each O-1 budget activity, activity group, and sub-activity group, these reports should include the budget request and actual obligation amount, the distribution of unallocated congressional adjustments to the budget request, all adjustments made by the Department in establishing the Base for Reprogramming (DD Form 1414) report, all adjustments resulting from below threshold reprogrammings, and all adjustments resulting from prior approval reprogramming requests.

#### OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE SPECIAL INTEREST ITEMS

Items for which additional funds have been provided or have been specifically reduced as shown in the project level tables or in paragraphs using the phrase "only for" or "only to" in the Committee report are congressional special interest items for the purpose of the Base for Reprogramming (DD Form 1414). Each of these items must be carried on the DD Form 1414 at the stated amount as specifically addressed in the Committee report. Below Threshold Reprogrammings may not be used to either restore or reduce funding from congressional special interest items as identified on the DD Form 1414.

#### OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE OVERVIEW

*Civilian Workforce.*—The Committee expects the Department of Defense to maintain a stable, effective, right-sized civilian cadre. The Committee recognizes the critical role that the civilian workforce plays every day in ensuring the mission success of the Armed Forces and fully funds the 1.3 percent pay raise for civilian personnel as requested.

Workforce Management.—The Committee supports a workforce management plan that is fair for all sectors of the workforce. Decisions on sourcing new work, expanding existing responsibilities, or transferring functions currently performed by civilian personnel or contractors to performance by military personnel must not proceed without comparing the costs according to the Department's own methodology. Therefore, decisions whether to assign new work which is not inherently governmental, closely associated with inherently governmental functions, or critical to military, civilian, or contractor personnel, shall be based on the results of the costing methodology laid out in Department of Defense Instruction [DODI] 7041.04. Additionally, before converting functions performed by civilian personnel or contractors to performance by military personnel that are not required by law or regulation to be performed by military personnel, the Department shall adhere to its own costing methodology laid out in DODI 7041.04.

*Civilian Compensation.*—For the past 2 years, the Committee has recommended reductions in the operation and maintenance accounts based on the overestimation of civilian full time equivalent [FTE] levels. Through analysis directed by the Committee during the fiscal year 2016 review, the data showed that while the services continue to underexecute the number of authorized civilian FTE, they overexecute the funding levels budgeted for civilian FTE. The most common explanation for this apparent disconnect is that average salary continues to grow at a greater rate than planned. For example, the Army explained that for one line item in Operation and Maintenance, Army, the number of civilian FTE was unchanged between fiscal year 2015 and fiscal year 2016, yet civilian compensation went up by 8 percent between those years.

The Committee understands the difficulties in predicting civilian FTE levels, particularly during the past several turbulent years. However, the Secretary of Defense is encouraged to review the trend of increasing civilian compensation, despite the pay raise only increasing minimally each year, and the underexecution of FTE levels. In order to the facilitate this review, the Committee directs the Government Accountability Office [GAO] to provide a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 180 days of the enactment of this act making recommendations to improve the management of civilian compensation and FTE levels. Within the analysis, the Committee suggests the GAO explore the factors influencing average salary and how to better control its volatility. Also, the GAO shall examine how to standardize the types of growth included in pay rates versus program growth (for example, the Army includes an extra compensable day as a rate adjustment but the Navy breaks it out as program growth). Finally, the report shall examine steps the Department of Defense can take to formulate a civilian compensation budget that better captures the true cost of the civilian workforce.

Contract Services Spending.—The Committee is concerned that the Department of Defense does not have adequate policies, procedures, and controls in place to enforce limitations on the annual amounts expended on contracted services. There is further concern that not all contracted services are being subjected to spending limitations because of the exclusion of contracted services involving Economy Act transfers between and within Department of Defense components. Additionally, because of the disparity between the levels of contracted services captured in the Inventory of Contracts for Services, required under section 2330a of title 10, United States Code, and what the Department budgets for contracted services, it appears as though the Department does not deliberately plan for most contracted services. The Committee urges the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) to review the efforts of the financial management and acquisition communities to implement effective control mechanisms for contracted services spending.

Operation and Maintenance Budget Justification.—The Committee commends the Department for the improvements in the Operation and Maintenance [O&M] budget materials made over the past several years. To further inform the congressional review, the Committee directs the following actions:

- -The budget justification materials shall include the OP-8B: Total Civilian Personnel Costs for every appropriation as a part of the President's budget justification.
- -Each OP-5 exhibit includes a personnel summary which provides helpful information about the civilian workforce. However, the way it is displayed includes memo entries for military technicians and reimbursable civilians, which makes it difficult to quickly analyze direct hire full time equivalents [FTEs]. The Office of the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) is encouraged to revise the personnel summary section of the OP-5 exhibit to maintain the categories of information provided (including military technicians and reimbursable civilians) but to breakout the numbers without using memo entries.
- -Every subactivity group in O&M that funds recruiting and advertising activities shall include the budget profile broken out by recruiting and advertising for the prior year, current year, and budget year as a part of the performance criteria in the OP-5 exhibit.
- —Several of the defense agencies' budget justification submissions in O&M Defense-wide are not following the Financial Management Regulations [FMR]. On the OP-5 exhibit, the FMR directs that that the Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases should "itemize and justify the major program changes in each year . . . Such justification should clearly explain programmatic changes in resource levels including why increases are required or decreases occur." Instead of addressing programmatic increases and decreases, some defense agencies group adjustments by OP-32 lines such as increases to "supplies and materials" or decreases to "other intragovernmental purchases." The defense agencies are directed to address programmatic changes as opposed to using OP-32 line titles on the OP-5 exhibit.
- —The FMR directs the services and defense agencies to itemize major program changes and provide the baseline in dollars to which the increase or decrease applies on the OP-5 exhibit. Some of the services default to the subactivity total as the baseline for changes or only list a specific baseline if a particular program is broken out in the performance criteria. The services and defense agencies are directed to use the most specific programmatic baseline possible, regardless of whether or not the program baseline is listed in the performance criteria. —The FMR provides specific instructions for the performance cri-
- teria for base operations support (Exhibit OP-5 Base Support

Program (Attachment 8)). The services are directed to follow this outline; particularly the instruction that says that the sum of amounts must match the Base Support total in the O-1 exhibit.

- -The Office of Economic Adjustment's budget documentation in O&M Defense-wide shall include the budget profile of each major program for the prior year, current year, and budget year as a part of the performance criteria in the OP-5 exhibit. Examples of major programs would be Program Assistance, Defense Industry Adjustment, and Guam.
- —The Army, for subactivity groups 211 Strategic Mobility and 212 Army Prepositioned Stocks, shall include the budget profile broken out by each Army Prepositioned Stocks [APS] unit set for the prior year, current year, and budget year as a part of the performance criteria in the OP-5 exhibit.
- -The Department of the Air Force is encouraged to begin utilizing OP-32 line 990 Information Technology Contract Support Services.

*Financial Literacy.*—The Committee supports the Department's plan to develop a Financial Education Program for the men and women of the armed services. The program is meant to educate servicemembers on best practices in regards to career transition, health benefits, retirement planning and savings, and overall financial readiness.

Tobacco Use in the Military.—Tobacco use is the leading cause of preventable death in the United States, with more than 480,000 deaths attributable to cigarette smoking each year. The Department of Defense has affirmed the goal of a tobacco-free military, and has implemented a range of programs including public education campaigns, the banning of all tobacco use during basic training, and the prohibition of tobacco use by instructors in the presence of students. In support of these goals, the Committee retains a provision from the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2015 directing the elimination of the price subsidy provided to tobacco products at military exchanges.

Voluntary Military Education Programs—Tracking Outcomes.— The Committee is concerned about the lack of information available on the outcomes of students receiving Tuition Assistance and My Career Advancement Account [MyCAA] benefits. Therefore, the Committee directs the Department to submit a report tracking such outcomes of each of these programs. The report shall be submitted on or before June 1, 2016, and shall include, but not be limited to, the following data totals for calendar year 2015: an aggregate graduation rate, loan default rate, and average indebtedness. Additionally, the report shall then disaggregate the data to show these same metrics by sector: public, private for-profit, and private not-for-profit. Finally, the report shall include the percentage of servicemembers utilizing the Top-Up program for voluntary military education and the average dollar amount of usage. The Department is also encouraged to make an effort to gather data on the jobs attained after graduation, specifically whether those jobs can be reasonably said to be in the field of study identified in the students' education plans.

Voluntary Military Education Programs—Third Party Audits.— The Committee recognizes the importance of voluntary military education programs to the continued education of our men and women in uniform and their spouses. In recent years, the programs have grown in popularity among servicemembers and, as such, in cost to the Federal Government. Despite recent efforts to improve oversight, the Committee notes that Department of Defense attempts to obtain key information needed to assess the quality of nearly 3,000 schools servicemembers attend have been largely unsuccessful.

The Committee is concerned about the number and transparency of third party audits of schools within the Department's Tuition Assistance program. In light of these concerns, the Committee commends the Department for its decision to develop a new Statement of Work for third party audits for execution under a new contract which will strengthen protections for, and the quality of education provided to, the military community. Since previous third party assessments lacked coherence and specificity, and did not fully consider the qualifications needed by the contractor conducting the assessments before awarding the contract, the Committee encourages the Secretary of Defense to develop a clear, written plan for third party assessments of schools. As part of that plan, the Department is urged to (1) develop clear, measurable questions to guide the assessments and (2) require that the entity or entities conducting the assessments have the necessary skills, expertise and experience to effectively assess the schools.

*Extremity Protection Program.*—The Committee encourages the Department of Defense to fully fund the Cold Weather Protective Equipment—Extremity Protection Program in order to sustain research and development programs, acquisition requirements and to maintain a basic combat capability in protective equipment for servicemembers.

Contracting Outreach to the U.S. Construction Industry.-The Committee is concerned about the high unemployment rate of the Nation's construction industry. Despite the efforts of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy to increase communication between procurement officers and industry, local contractors very often are not aware or do not have the opportunity to compete for local construction projects. The Committee believes the Department of Defense, as a whole, should modernize its traditional outreach methods to reach a broader group of local contractors. Therefore, the Committee encourages the Secretaries of the Army, Navy, and Air Force as well as Directors of Defense Agencies to review their respective construction contracting regulations and instructions to ensure adequate direction regarding Federal procurement opportunities and bidding processes is provided to local construction industry contractors, especially small businesses, minority-owned businesses, and women-owned businesses.

Data Center Consolidation Efforts.—The cost of Information Technology [IT] continues to be a significant limiting factor on the budgets of Federal agencies, including the Department of Defense. As such, it is imperative that the Department take advantage of data center consolidation efforts occurring not only within its agencies, but throughout the Federal Government while maintaining high levels of security. The Committee believes that there are opportunities to ensure such security in centers operated by agencies such as the Department of Homeland Security, where the capacity and the infrastructure to support Department of Defense security needs is already in place. Therefore, the Committee strongly encourages the Department of Defense to explore options regarding the use of secure data centers outside of the Department of Defense, including those currently operated for the Department of Homeland Security, to reduce costs and to more rapidly achieve IT modernization through the use of cloud services.

National Security Planning.—The Committee notes that it has yet to receive the report from the Under Secretary of Defense for Policy on the national security implications of environmental impacts, as required by Senate Report 113–211 (July 17, 2014). That report is to address the most serious risks for each of the geographic Combatant Commands, and how those commands are integrating mitigation of those risks into their planning processes. The Committee encourages the Under Secretary of Defense for Policy and the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff to continue to assess the risks to national security posed by environmental impacts as they plan and execute the National Security Strategy.

*Pre-Engineered/Prefabricated Buildings and Structures.*—The Committee encourages the Department of Defense to expand utilization of the existing General Services Administration [GSA] Schedule for Pre-Engineered/Prefabricated Buildings and Structures [PEBS]. The Committee urges the Department's contracting personnel and agency executives to consider the savings in costs and time potentially gained by procuring its facility needs, when possible and practical, through the GSA Schedule. The Committee also encourages the Department's policy makers and program managers to streamline the process and eliminate any unnecessary bureaucratic, regulatory or policy impediments to such GSA procurement.

Procurement of Personal Protective Equipment.—Organizational Clothing and Individual Equipment [OCIE] and Personal Protective Equipment [PPE] used by the services are specifically designed to meet challenging military requirements. Items such as body armor, eye protection, helmets and other specialized clothing are highly-engineered equipment designed and manufactured to meet rigorous performance standards and stringent production quality requirements. The Secretary of Defense is encouraged to use the best value contracting methods when procuring OCIE and PPE.

*Council of Governors and Cyber Capabilities.*—The Committee supports the ongoing efforts of the Department of Defense, U.S. Cyber Command, and the Active and Reserve Components of the armed services to develop offensive and defense cyber teams, but recognizes a lack of synchronization among Federal and State stakeholders in developing mission and funding requirements, especially for National Guard cyber teams. The Council of Governors was created as a mechanism for Governors and Federal officials to address matters pertaining to the National Guard, homeland defense, and defense support to civil authorities. As outlined in the Council's Joint Action Plan for State-Federal Unity of Effort on Cybersecurity, the Council also has a shared responsibility with Federal partners to enhance a national unity of effort in protecting critical infrastructure from cyber threats. Therefore, the Committee directs the Department of Defense to consult with the Council of Governors regarding cyber mission force requirements and synchronization among the Active, Reserve and National Guard components not later than 60 days after enactment of this act. Additionally, the Committee requests that the Council of Governors report to the congressional defense committees not later than 90 days after enactment of this act, any recommendations regarding cyber mission requirements and synchronization.

Reduce Government Printing.—The Committee is concerned about wasteful printing practices and the lack of clear printing policies within the Department of Defense. While progress has been made to better utilize the cloud and digitalize records, little progress has been made to reform in-house printing practices. The Committee recommends the Department of Defense work with the Office of Management and Budget to reduce printing and reproduction by 34 percent and report to the congressional defense committees not later than 60 days after enactment of this act on what steps have been taken to reduce printing volume and costs. The report should specifically identify how much money the Department of Defense will be saving.

Resourcing the Arctic Strategy.—The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to submit, in conjunction with fiscal year 2017 budget request exhibits, a concise accounting of the manner in which amounts requested in the budget for fiscal year 2017 support implementation of the Department of Defense's 2013 Arctic Strategy, including, to the maximum extent practicable, identification of specific obligations that address gaps in research, military infrastructure and capabilities in the Arctic region. The accounting should also make note of obligations for military capabilities unique to the Arctic region. This material should be submitted in unclassified form but may include a classified annex.

#### **OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY**

Appropriations, 2015	\$31,961,920,000
Budget estimate, 2016	35,107,546,000
Committee recommendation	27,285,099,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$27,285,099,000. This is \$7,822,447,000 below the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

	ltem	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
10 20	LAND FORCES MANEUVER UNITS MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES	1,094,429 68,873		- 1,094,429
ົ	7			
---	---			
ō	1			
_	•			

[In thousands of dollars]

[In thousands of dollars]				
	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
30	ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADES	508,008	508,008	
40	THEATER LEVEL ASSETS	763,300		- 763,300
50	LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT	1,054,322		- 1,054,322
		, ,		, ,
60	AVIATION ASSETS	1,546,129		-1,546,129
70	LAND FORCES READINESS	2 159 000		2 159 000
70	FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT	3,158,606		- 3,158,606
80	LAND FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS	438,909	438,909	
90	LAND FORCES DEPOT MAINTENANCE	1,214,116	1,291,316	+ 77,200
100	LAND FORCES READINESS SUPPORT	7 010 000	7 000 500	10 500
100	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT	7,616,008	7,626,508	+10,500
110	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION, & MODERNIZATION	2,617,169	2,617,169	
120	MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONAL HEADQUARTERS	421,269	421,269	
130	COMBATANT COMMANDER'S CORE OPERATIONS	164,743	164,743	
170	COMBATANT COMMANDERS ANCILLARY MISSIONS	448,633	428,633	- 20,000
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	21,114,514	13,565,428	- 7,549,086
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 2: MOBILIZATION			
	MOBILITY OPERATIONS			
180	STRATEGIC MOBILITY	401,638	401,638	
190	ARMY PREPOSITIONED STOCKS	261,683	261,683	
200	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS	6,532	6,532	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2	669,853	669,853	
		009,000	009,000	
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 3: TRAINING AND RECRUITING			
	ACCESSION TRAINING	101 500	101 500	
210	OFFICER ACQUISITION	131,536	131,536	
220	RECRUIT TRAINING	47,843	47,843	•••••
230	ONE STATION UNIT TRAINING	42,565	42,565	•••••
240	SENIOR RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS	490,378	490,378	
	BASIC SKILL AND ADVANCED TRAINING			
250	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING	981,000	956,000	- 25,000
260	FLIGHT TRAINING	940,872	940,872	•••••
270	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION	230,324	230,324	
280	TRAINING SUPPORT	603,519	603,519	
	RECRUITING AND OTHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION			
290	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	491,922	491,922	
300	EXAMINING	194,079	194,079	
310	OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION	227,951	227,951	
320	CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING	161,048	161,048	
330	JUNIOR RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS	170,118	178,118	+ 8,000
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 3	4,713,155	4,696,155	- 17,000
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
	LOGISTICS OPERATIONS			
350	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	485,778	485,778	
360	CENTRAL SUPPLY ACTIVITIES	813,881	803,881	- 10,000
370	LOGISTICS SUPPORT ACTIVITIES	714,781	687,781	- 27,000
380	AMMUNITION MANAGEMENT	322,127	322,127	
	SERVICEWIDE SUPPORT			
390	ADMINISTRATION	384,813	384,813	
400	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	1,781,350	1,781,350	
	MANPOWER MANAGEMENT	292,532	292,532	
410		375,122	375,122	
	OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT	575,122	070,122	
410	OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT OTHER SERVICE SUPPORT	1,119,848	1,119,848	
410 420		,		
410 420 430	OTHER SERVICE SUPPORT	1,119,848	1,119,848	

୍ୟ	Q
J	0

[In thousands of dollars]

	ltem	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
470 480	SUPPORT OF OTHER NATIONS SUPPORT OF NATO OPERATIONS MISC. SUPPORT OF OTHER NATIONS OTHER PROGRAMS	469,865 40,521 1,120,974	469,865 40,521 1,140,974	+ 20,000
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	8,610,024	8,593,024	- 17,000
	EXCESS WORKING CAPITAL FUND CARRYOVER MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS FINANCIAL LITERACY TRAINING SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		150,000 35,944 250 53,667	- 150,000 - 35,944 + 250 - 53,667
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY	35,107,546	27,285,099	- 7,822,447

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

Line	ltem	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
111	Maneuver Units Authorization adjustment: Transfer base requirements	1,094,429		- 1,094,429
	to OCO due to BCA			- 1,094,429
114	Theater Level Assets	763.300		- 763.300
	Maintain program affordability: Unjustified growth			- 70,000
	Authorization adjustment: Transfer base requirements			.,
	to OCO due to BCA			- 693,300
115	Land Forces Operations Support	1,054,322		-1,054,322
	Authorization adjustment: Transfer base requirements			
	to OCO due to BCA			-1,054,322
116	Aviation Assets	1,546,129		-1,546,129
	Authorization adjustment: Transfer base requirements			1 5 4 9 1 9 9
	to OCO due to BCA			- 1,546,129
121	Force Readiness Operations Support	3,158,606		- 3,158,606
	Maintain program affordability: Unjustified growth			- 20,000
	Authorization adjustment: Transfer base requirements to OCO due to BCA			- 3,138,606
123	Land Forces Depot Maintenance	1.214.116	1.291.316	+ 77,200
125	Authorization adjustment: Readiness funding increase	1,214,110	, . ,	+ 77,200
131	Base Operations Support	7.616.008	7.626.508	+ 10,500
151	Authorization adjustment: Readiness funding increase	7,010,000	,,	+10,500 +10,500
138	Combatant Commands Direct Mission Support	448.633	428,633	- 20,000
150	Improving funds management: Overestimation of IT	440,000	420,000	20,000
	contract support services			- 20,000
321	Specialized Skill Training	981.000	956.000	- 25,000
	Improving funds management: Fiscal year 2015 Sec		,	
	9018 financing			- 25,000
335	Junior Reserve Officer Training Corps	170,118	178,118	+ 8,000
	Program increase: Junior Reserve Officer Training Corps			+ 8,000
422	Central Supply Activities	813,881	803,881	- 10,000
	Maintain program affordability: Reduced requirement			
	for Sustainment System Technical Support [SSTS]			- 10,000
423	Logistic Support Activities	714,781	687,781	- 27,000
000	Maintain program affordability: Unjustified growth	1 100 074	1 1 40 0 74	- 27,000
999	Classified Programs	1,120,974	1,140,974	+ 20,000
	Authorization Adjustment: Additional SOUTHCOM ISR			1 20 000
UNDIST	and Intel Support Improving funds management: Working Capital Fund carry			+ 20,000
0110131	over		- 150,000	- 150,000

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
UNDIST	Authorization adjustment: Streamlining Management Head- quarters		- 35,944	- 35,944
UNDIST UNDIST	Authorization adjustment: Financial literacy training Improving funds management: Spares and repair parts		250 — 53,667	+ 250 - 53,667

*Productivity Enhancement Program.*—The Department of Defense's organic depot maintenance capability is vital to our military's sustainment infrastructure. The Committee encourages the Secretary of the Army to modernize the organic depots' Electronic Test and Measurement Equipment [ET&ME] to allow cost savings in future maintenance and calibration expenditures.

Aviation Force Structure.—The Committee notes that, due to fiscal constraints caused by the Budget Control Act of 2011 [BCA], total obligational authority provided for the Army's aviation portfolio has been reduced by approximately \$2,500,000,000 per year through fiscal year 2019. As a direct result, the Army is reducing total active duty Combat Aviation Brigades from 13 to 10 and annual aviation accessions and training throughput from 1,091 students to as few as 750. These reductions limit the Army's ability to re-grow its aviation portfolio in a post-BCA fiscal environment. The Committee directs the Secretary of the Army to submit to the congressional defense committees, not later than 90 days after enactment of this act, a report outlining the Army's plans to mitigate impacts on aviation training over fiscal years 2016–2021.

#### **OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY**

Appropriations, 2015	\$37,590,854,000
Budget estimate, 2016	42,200,756,000
Committee recommendation	25,933,099,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$25,933,099,000. This is \$16,267,657,000 below the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY			
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
	AIR OPERATIONS			
10	MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS	4,940,365		- 4,940,365
20	FLEET AIR TRAINING	1,830,611	1,830,611	
30	AVIATION TECHNICAL DATA AND ENGINEERING SERVICES	37,225	37,225	
40	AIR OPERATIONS AND SAFETY SUPPORT	103,456	103,456	
50	AIR SYSTEMS SUPPORT	376,844	351,844	- 25,000
60	AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE	897,536		- 897,536
70	AIRCRAFT DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT	33,201	33,201	
80	AVIATION LOGISTICS	544,056	504,056	- 40,000

40

[In thousands of dollars]

	ltem	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	SHIP OPERATIONS			
90	MISSION AND OTHER SHIP OPERATIONS	4,287,658		- 4,287,658
100	SHIP OPERATIONS SUPPORT AND TRAINING	787,446	787,446	
110	SHIP DEPOT MAINTENANCE	5,960,951		- 5,960,951
120	SHIP DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT	1,554,863	1,554,863	
	COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS/SUPPORT			
130	COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS	704,415	704,415	
140	ELECTRONIC WARFARE	96,916	96,916	
150	SPACE SYSTEMS AND SURVEILLANCE	192,198	192,198	
160	WARFARE TACTICS	453,942	453,942	
170	OPERATIONAL METEOROLOGY AND OCEANOGRAPHY	351,871	351,871	
180	COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES	1,186,847	1,171,847	- 15,000
190	EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE	123,948	123,948	
200	DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT	2,443	2,443	
210	COMBATANT COMMANDERS CORE OPERATIONS	98,914	95,214	- 3,700
220	COMBATANT COMMANDERS DIRECT MISSION SUPPORT	73,110	73,110	
	WEAPONS SUPPORT			
230	CRUISE MISSILE	110,734	110,734	
240	FLEET BALLISTIC MISSILE	1,206,736	1,206,736	
250	IN-SERVICE WEAPONS SYSTEMS SUPPORT	141,664	141,664	
260	WEAPONS MAINTENANCE	523,122	523,122	
270	OTHER WEAPON SYSTEMS SUPPORT	371,872	371,872	
	BASE SUPPORT			
280	ENTERPRISE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	896,061	896,061	
290	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	2,220,423	2,220,423	
300	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	4,472,468	4,472,468	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	34,581,896	18,411,686	- 16,170,210
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 2: MOBILIZATION			
310	READY RESERVE AND PREPOSITIONING FORCES SHIP PREPOSITIONING AND SURGE	422,846	422,846	
510		422,040	422,040	
200	ACTIVATIONS/INACTIVATIONS	C 464	C 101	
320	AIRCRAFT ACTIVATIONS/INACTIVATIONS	6,464	6,464	
330	SHIP ACTIVATIONS/INACTIVATIONS	361,764	361,764	
	MOBILIZATION PREPAREDNESS			
340	FLEET HOSPITAL PROGRAM	69,530	69,530	
350	INDUSTRIAL READINESS	2,237	2,237	
360	COAST GUARD SUPPORT	21,823	21,823	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2	884,664	884,664	
		004,004	004,004	
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 3: TRAINING AND RECRUITING			
	ACCESSION TRAINING			
370	OFFICER ACQUISITION	149,375	149,375	
380	RECRUIT TRAINING	9,035	9,035	
390	RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS	156,290	156,290	
	BASIC SKILLS AND ADVANCED TRAINING			
400	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING	653,728	653,728	
410	FLIGHT TRAINING	8,171	8,171	
420	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION	168,471	168,471	
430	TRAINING SUPPORT	196,048	196,048	
	RECRUITING, AND OTHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION			
440	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	234,233	235,433	+ 1,200
450	OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION	137,855	137,855	
460	CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING	77,257	69,257	- 8,000
470	JUNIOR ROTC	47,653	47,653	

41

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
480 490 500 510 520 530	SERVICEWIDE SUPPORT ADMINISTRATION EXTERNAL RELATIONS CIVILIAN MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	923,771 13,967 120,812 350,983 265,948 335,482	923,771 13,967 120,812 346,983 265,948 335,482	
550 570 580 590 600 610	LOGISTICS OPERATIONS AND TECHNICAL SUPPORT SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION PLANNING, ENGINEERING AND DESIGN ACQUISITION AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT HULL, MECHANICAL AND ELECTRICAL SUPPORT COMBAT/WEAPONS SYSTEMS SPACE AND ELECTRONIC WARFARE SYSTEMS	197,724 274,936 1,122,178 48,587 25,599 72,768	197,724 274,936 1,122,178 48,587 25,599 72,768	······
620	SECURITY PROGRAMS NAVAL INVESTIGATIVE SERVICE	577,803	577,803	
680	SUPPORT OF OTHER NATIONS INTERNATIONAL HEADQUARTERS AND AGENCIES	4,768	4,768	
	OTHER PROGRAMS OTHER PROGRAMS	560,754	560,754	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	4,896,080	4,892,080	- 4,000
	MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS FINANCIAL LITERACY TRAINING SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	·····	- 33,230 250 - 53,667	- 33,230 + 250 - 53,667
	Total, operation and maintenance, Navy	42,200,756	25,933,099	- 16,267,657

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

·				
Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1A1A	Mission and Other Flight Operations Authorization adjustment: Transfer base requirement	4,940,365		-4,940,365
	to OCO due to BCA			- 4,940,365
1A4N	Air Systems Support	376,844	351,844	- 25,000
	Improving funds management: Fiscal year 2015 Sec	,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,
	9018 financing			- 25,000
1A5A	Aircraft Depot Maintenance	897,536		- 897,536
	Authorization adjustment: Transfer base requirement			
	to OCO due to BCA			- 897,536
1A9A	Aviation Logistics	544,056	504,056	- 40,000
	Improving funds management: Fiscal year 2015 Sec			
	9018 financing			- 40,000
1B1B	Mission and Other Ship Operations	4,287,658		-4,287,658
	Maintain Program Affordability: Unjustified growth			- 70,000
	Authorization adjustment: Transfer base requirement			4 017 050
1040	to OCO due to BCA	5 000 051		- 4,217,658
1B4B	Ship Depot Maintenance	5,960,951		- 5,960,951
	Authorization adjustment: Transfer base requirement			F 000 0F1
1C6C	to OCO due to BCA		1 171 047	- 5,960,951
1000	Combat Support Forces			- 15,000
1CCH	Maintain Program Affordability: Unjustified growth		95.214	- 15,000 - 3,700
1008	Combatant Commanders Core Operations	96,914	95,214	- 5,700

[In	thousands	of	dollars]

Line	ltem	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Transfer ARCTIC EDGE and NORTHERN EDGE funding:			
	To O&MDW, OSD line, CE2T2 program			- 3,700
3C1L	Recruiting and Advertising	234,233	235,433	+1,200
	Program increase: Naval Sea Cadet Corps			+1,200
3C4L	Civilian Education and Training	77,257	69,257	- 8,000
	Maintain Program Affordability: Unjustified growth			- 8,000
4A4M	Military Manpower and Personnel Management	350,983	346,983	- 4,000
	Maintain Program Affordability: Unjustified growth			- 4,000
UNDIST	Authorization adjustment: Streamlining Management Head-			
	quarters		- 33,230	- 33,230
UNDIST	Authorization adjustment: Financial literacy training		250	+ 250
UNDIST	Improving funds management: Spares and repair parts		- 53,667	- 53,667

Naval Shipyard Apprentice Program.—The Committee directs that during fiscal year 2016 the Navy shall induct classes of no fewer than 100 apprentices, respectively, at each of the naval shipyards. The Committee further directs the Navy to include the costs of the fiscal year 2017 class of apprentices in its budget request.

of the fiscal year 2017 class of apprentices in its budget request. U.S. Coast Guard.—The Committee is aware that Department of Defense [DOD] regulations currently restrict DOD mission appropriated funded activities from offering reimbursable rates to non-DOD agencies. This restriction forces the Navy to charge the U.S. Coast Guard fully burdened rates for drydocking services at Navy shipyards rather than reimbursable rates. Therefore, the Committee directs that funds appropriated under Operation and Maintenance, Navy may be used to pay overhead costs incurred by a Naval Shipyard when drydocking U.S. Coast Guard ships. Bromine-free Water Purification Systems.—Until recently, most-

Bromine-free Water Purification Systems.—Until recently, mostonboard ship water purification systems used bromine as an antimicrobial. However, bromine is toxic and requires special hazardous material [HAZMAT] handling which is time consuming and expensive. While the Navy has placed bromine-free systems on most of the large-deck surface ship classes, it has not yet removed bromine systems from the Littoral Combat Ship, *Ticonderoga* class cruisers, destroyers or frigates. The Committee urges the Navy to consider using systems that eliminate this HAZMAT threat to personnel.

ARCTIC EDGE and NORTHERN EDGE EXERCISES.—ARC-TIC EDGE and NORTHERN EDGE are joint Combatant Command exercises that bring mission partners together to exercise operfor U.S. Northern ational response plans Command [USNORTHCOM] and U.S. Pacific Command [USPACOM] missions. Historically, USNORTHCOM has executed ARCTIC EDGE in even years, and USPACOM has executed NORTHERN EDGE in odd years. In conjunction with the transfer of Alaskan Command [ALCOM] from USPACOM to USNORTHCOM in October 2014, the Committee realigns \$3,700,000 from Operation and Maintenance, Navy line 1CCH, to the Combatant Commander Exercise Engagement Training Transformation [CE2T2] account under the Office of the Secretary of Defense line in Operation and Maintenance, Defense-wide to execute fiscal year 2016 ARCTIC EDGE and fund planning activities for the fiscal year 2017 NORTHERN EDGE. For subsequent fiscal years, the Committee assumes the Secretary of Defense will continue to program funds in a similar fashion to execute NORTHERN EDGE and fund planning activities for ARCTIC EDGE in odd fiscal years and to execute ARCTIC EDGE and fund planning activities for NORTHERN EDGE in even fiscal years to support alternating biennial exercises. USNORTHCOM and USPACOM will continue to provide CJCS Exercise Strategic Lift from their respective CE2T2 Joint Exercise Training Program funding.

Tubular Light-Emitting Diode Technology.—The Committee commends the Navy for its increasing use of tubular light-emitting diode [T–LED] lighting and encourages it to continue these activities. The Committee urges the Navy to consider updating lighting specifications for ships and bases so T–LED use is an option, developing an approved products list for T–LEDs that is broadly available for use in all vessels and bases, using "total life cycle costs" to determine the value of T–LEDs, and making the installation of T–LEDs in vessels a priority when appropriate, such as during ship retrofits and new builds.

Ship Overhaul Opportunistic Part-Marking Compliance.—The Committee supports the Navy's efforts to improve compliance with its Opportunistic Part-Marking policy during ship overhauls and repairs at shipyards across the country. Compliance with the policy will help the Navy produce clean financial audits, lower total lifecycle cost, identify counterfeit parts, and save taxpayer funding through improved productivity, efficiency, maintenance, and logistical planning.

#### **OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS**

Appropriations, 2015	\$5,610,063,000
Budget estimate, 2016	6,228,782,000
Committee recommendation	5,277,785,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$5,277,785,000. This is \$950,997,000 below the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS			
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
10 20 30	EXPEDITIONARY FORCES OPERATIONAL FORCES FIELD LOGISTICS DEPOT MAINTENANCE	931,079 931,757 227,583	931,757 227,583	— 931,079 
40 50 60	USMC PREPOSITIONING MARITIME PREPOSITIONING FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	86,259 746,237 2,057,362	86,259 746,237 2,071,362	+ 14,000
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	4,980,277	4,063,198	- 917,079

44

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 3: TRAINING AND RECRUITING			
70 80	ACCESSION TRAINING RECRUIT TRAINING OFFICER ACQUISITION	16,460 977	16,460 977	
90 100 110	BASIC SKILLS AND ADVANCED TRAINING SPECIALIZED SKILLS TRAINING PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION TRAINING SUPPORT	97,325 40,786 347,476	97,325 40,786 347,476	·····
120 130 140	RECRUITING AND OTHER TRAINING EDUCATION RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION JUNIOR ROTC	164,806 39,963 23,397	164,806 37,963 23,397	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 3	731,190	729,190	- 2,000
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
150 160 180	SERVICEWIDE SUPPORT SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION ADMINISTRATION	37,386 358,395 76,105	37,386 358,395 76,105	
	SECURITY PROGRAMS SECURITY PROGRAMS	45,429	45,429	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	517,315	517,315	
	MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS WORKING CAPITAL FUND CARRYOVER SAVINGS FROM EXCESS INVENTORY PURCHASE FINANCIAL LITERACY TRAINING	······	- 7,168 - 10,000 - 15,000 250	- 7,168 - 10,000 - 15,000 + 250
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS	6,228,782	5,277,785	— 950,997

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1A1A	Operational Forces	931,079		- 931,079
	Budget documentation disparity: Aviation plan			- 4,000
	Authorization adjustment: Transfer base requirement to			
	OCO due to BCA			- 927,079
BSS1	Base Operating Support	2,057,362	2,071,362	+14,000
	Program increase: Behavioral health community coun-			
	seling			+14,000
3C2F	Off-Duty and Voluntary Education	39,963	37,963	- 2,000
	Improving funds management: Savings assumed from			
	distance learning initiatives			- 2,000
UNDIST	Authorization adjustment: Streamlining Management Head-		=	
	quarters		- 7,168	-7,168
UNDIST	Improving funds management: Working Capital Fund carry		10.000	10.000
UNDICT	over above allowable ceiling		- 10,000	- 10,000
UNDIST	Improving funds management: Anticipated savings from ex-		- 15.000	- 15.000
UNDICT	cess inventory purchases		.,	.,
UNDIST	Authorization adjustment: Financial literacy training		250	+ 250

## OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2015	\$34,539,965,000
Budget estimate, 2016	38,144,988,000
Committee recommendation	26,144,988,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$26,144,988,000. This is \$12,046,941,000 below the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

	ltem	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE			
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
10 20 30 40 50 60	AIR OPERATIONS PRIMARY COMBAT FORCES COMBAT ENHANCEMENT FORCES AIR OPERATIONS TRAINING DEPOT MAINTENANCE FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION ASE OPERATING SUPPORT	3,336,868 1,897,315 1,797,549 6,537,127 1,997,712 2,841,948	1,276,256 1,767,549 1,997,712 2,841,948	- 2,060,612 - 1,897,315 - 30,000 - 6,537,127
70 80	COMBAT RELATED OPERATIONS GLOBAL C3I AND EARLY WARNING OTHER COMBAT OPERATIONS SUPPORT PROGRAMS	930,341 924,845	940,341 924,845	+ 10,000
100 110 120 130	SPACE OPERATIONS LAUNCH FACILITIES SPACE CONTROL SYSTEMS COMBATANT COMMANDERS DIRECT MISSION SUPPORT COMBATANT COMMANDERS CORE OPERATIONS	271,177 382,824 900,965 205,078	271,177 382,824 889,965 199,078	
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	907,496	907,496	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	22,931,245	12,399,191	- 10,532,054
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 2: MOBILIZATION			
140 150 160 170 180	MOBILITY OPERATIONS AIRLIFT OPERATIONS MOBILIZATION PREPAREDNESS DEPOT MAINTENANCE FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION BASE SUPPORT	2,229,196 148,318 1,617,571 259,956 708,799	2,229,196 148,318 259,956 708,799	 
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2	4,963,840	3,346,269	-1,617,571
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 3: TRAINING AND RECRUITING			
190 200 210 220 230	ACCESSION TRAINING OFFICER ACQUISITION RECRUIT TRAINING RESERVE OFFICER TRAINING CORPS (ROTC) FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION BASE SUPPORT (ACADEMIES ONLY)	92,191 21,871 77,527 228,500 772,870	92,191 21,871 77,527 228,500 772,870	······
240 250 260 270 280	BASIC SKILLS AND ADVANCED TRAINING SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING FLIGHT TRAINING PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION TRAINING SUPPORT DEPOT MAINTENANCE	359,304 710,553 228,252 76,464 375,513	359,304 710,553 228,252 76,464 375,513	

46

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
290 300 310 320 330	RECRUITING, AND OTHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING EXAMINING OFF DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING JUNIOR ROTC	79,690 3,803 180,807 167,478 59,263	79,690 3,803 180,807 167,478 59,263	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 3	3,434,086	3,434,086	
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
340 350 360 370 380	LOGISTICS OPERATIONS LOGISTICS OPERATIONS TECHNICAL SUPPORT ACTIVITIES DEPOT MAINTENANCE FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION BASE SUPPORT	1,141,491 862,022 61,745 298,759 1,108,220	1,124,491 842,022 61,745 298,759 1,108,220	- 17,000 - 20,000
390 400 410 420	SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES Administration SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS OTHER SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES CIVIL AIR PATROL CORPORATION	689,797 498,053 900,253 25,411	681,797 498,053 900,253 27,711	- 8,000 
	SECURITY PROGRAMS SECURITY PROGRAMS	1,187,859	1,190,860	+ 3,001
450	SUPPORT TO OTHER NATIONS INTERNATIONAL SUPPORT OPERATING FORCES	89,148	89,148	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	6,862,758	6,823,059	- 39,699
	MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS SAVINGS FROM ENTERPRICE LICENSE AGREEMENTS RESTORE EC-130 COMPASS CALL RESTORE A-10 FINANCIAL LITERACY TRAINING SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	······	- 31,801 - 35,000 27,300 235,300 250 - 53,666	$\begin{array}{r} -31,801 \\ -35,000 \\ +27,300 \\ +235,300 \\ +250 \\ -53,666 \end{array}$
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE	38,191,929	26,144,988	- 12,046,941

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

Line	ltem	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate	
011A	Primary Combat Forces Authorization adjustment: Transfer base requirement	3,336,868	1,276,256	-2,060,612	
	to OCO due to BCA			-2,060,612	
011C	Combat Enhancement Forces	1,897,315		-1,897,315	
	Maintain program affordability: Unjustified growth			- 20,000	
	Improving funds management: Program requirement decreases unaccounted for Authorization adjustment: Transfer base requirement			- 25,000	
	to OCO due to BCA			-1,852,315	
011D	Air Operations Training (OJT, Maintain Skills)	1,797,549	1,767,549	- 30,000	
	Maintain program affordability: Unjustified growth			- 30,000	
011M	Depot Maintenance	6,537,127		- 6,537,127	
	Budget documentation disparity: Remove fiscal year				
	2015 contractor logistics support costs			- 40,000	

47

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Authorization adjustment: Transfer base requirement			
	to OCO due to BCA			- 6,497,127
012A	Global C3I and Early Warning	930,341	· · ·	+10,000
	Program increase: Global C3I and Early Warning			+ 10,000
015A	Combatant Commanders Direct Mission Support	900,965	· · ·	- 11,000
	Maintain program affordability: Unjustified growth			-11,000
015B	Combatant Commanders Core Operations	205,078	· · ·	- 6,000
	Maintain program affordability: Unjustified growth			- 6,000
021M	Depot Maintenance	1,617,571		-1,617,571
	Authorization adjustment: Transfer base requirement			
	to OCO due to BCA			-1,617,571
041A	Logistics Operations	1,141,491	1,124,491	- 17,000
	Budget documentation disparity: O&M and IT budget			
	justification inconsistencies			- 17,000
041B	Technical Support Activities	862,022	· · ·	- 20,000
	Maintain program affordability: Unjustified growth			- 20,000
042A	Administration	689,797	681,797	- 8,000
	Budget documentation disparity: Duplicate request			- 8,000
0421	Civil Air Patrol	25,411	27,711	+ 2,300
	Program increase: Civil Air Patrol			+ 2,300
999	Classified Programs	1,187,859	1,190,860	+ 3,001
	Classified program adjustment			+ 3,001
UNDIST	Authorization adjustment: Streamlining Management Head-			
	quarters		- 31,801	- 31,801
UNDIST	Improving funds management: Savings assumed from con-			
	solidating enterprise license agreements		- 35,000	- 35,000
UNDIST	Authorization adjustment: Restore EC-130 Compass Call		27,300	+ 27,300
UNDIST	Authorization adjustment: Restore A-10		235,300	+ 235,300
UNDIST	Authorization adjustment: Financial literacy training		250	+ 250
UNDIST	Improving funds management: Spares and repair parts		- 53,666	- 53,666

Combatant Commands Direct Mission Support.—The Air Force request includes Combatant Command direct mission funding which supports the various geographic and functional missions assigned to support the National Security Strategy and National Military Strategy. The Air Force is the Combatant Command Support Agent [CCSA] for U.S. Central Command [CENTCOM], U.S. Northern Command [NORTHCOM], North American Aerospace Defense Command [NORAD], U.S. Special Operations Command [SOCOM], U.S. Strategic Command [STRATCOM], and U.S. Transportation Command [TRANSCOM]. The budget justification for the Combatant Commands lacks the details necessary to adequately review the programs. The Committee recommendation includes full funding for STRATCOM and encourages the Air Force to continue to improve the justification for Combatant Commands Direct Mission Support.

Nuclear Force Improvement Program.—The Committee welcomes recent efforts by the Air Force to reinvigorate its nuclear enterprise, which includes the ground-based and air-based legs of the Nation's nuclear triad. The recommendation supports the fiscal year 2016 budget request of more than \$130,000,000 for the Nuclear Force Improvement Program [NFIP] spread across the personnel and operation and maintenance accounts. The Committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to provide a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 180 days after enactment of this act that lists investments made in the NFIP by fiscal year, and describes how these investments improve the health of the Air Force's nuclear enterprise.

Powder River Training Complex.—The Committee recognizes the importance of viable airspace ranges to support training operations for the Active, Guard, and Reserve components. It also recognizes that the implementation of approved airspace must be done in a safe and coordinated fashion. The Committee encourages the Air Force to continue to work with the Federal Aviation Administration and all interested parties as the Powder River Training Complex Record of Decision is implemented. Facilitating emergency air traffic and providing, timely, regular updates concerning airspace usage and scheduling via electronic distribution networks to ensure that interested party concerns are received and addressed are of particular concern.

#### **OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE**

Appropriations, 2015	\$30,824,752,000
Budget estimate, 2016	32,440,843,000
Committee recommendation	32,124,431,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$32,124,431,000. This is \$316,412,000 below the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE			
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
10	JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF	485,888	490,888	+ 5,000
	OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE	534,795	534,795	
20	SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND	4,862,368	4,818,368	- 44,000
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	5,883,051	5,844,051	- 39,000
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 3: TRAINING AND RECRUITING			
30	DEFENSE ACQUISITION UNIVERSITY	142,659	142,659	
40	NATIONAL DEFENSE UNIVERSITY	78,416	78,416	
50	SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND	354,372	354,372	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 3	575,447	575,447	
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
60	CIVIL MILITARY PROGRAMS	160,320	190,320	+ 30,000
80	DEFENSE CONTRACT AUDIT AGENCY	570,177	570,177	
90	DEFENSE CONTRACT MANAGEMENT AGENCY	1,374,536	1,374,536	
100	DEFENSE HUMAN RESOURCES ACTIVITY	642,551	678,851	+ 36,300
110	DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEMS AGENCY	1,282,755	1,285,255	+ 2,500
130	DEFENSE LEGAL SERVICES AGENCY	26,073	26,073	
140	DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY	366,429	389,101	+ 22,672
150	DEFENSE MEDIA ACTIVITY	192,625	192,625	
160	DEFENSE POW /MISSING PERSONS OFFICE	115,372	115,372	
170	DEFENSE SECURITY COOPERATION AGENCY	524,723	495,523	- 29,200
180 200	DEFENSE SECURITY SERVICE DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY SECURITY AGENCY	508,396	517,596	+ 9,200
200	DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY SECORITY AGENCY	33,577 415,696	33,577 415,696	
230	DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE EDUCATION ACTIVITY			+ 29.975
230	DEPARTIVIENT OF DEFENSE EDUCATION ACTIVITY	2,/53,//1	2,783,746	+ 29,975

[In thousands of dollars]

	ltem	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
240 260 270 280 290	MISSILE DEFENSE AGENCY OFFICE OF ECONOMIC ADJUSTMENT OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND WASHINGTON HEADQUARTERS SERVICES OTHER PROGRAMS	432,068 110,612 1,388,285 83,263 621,688 14,379,428	424,568 90,612 1,368,735 83,263 621,688 14,165,594	- 7,500 - 20,000 - 19,550 213,834
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4 IMPACT AID IMPACT AID FOR CHILDREN WITH DISABILITIES MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS	25,982,345	25,822,908 30,000 5,000 - 152,975	-159,437 + 30,000 + 5,000 - 152,975
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE- WIDE	32,440,843	32,124,431	— 316,412

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

ne	ltem	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Joint Chiefs of Staff	485,888	490,888	+ 5,000
	Budget documentation disparity: O&M and IT budget			
	justification are inconsistent			- 15,000
	Authorization adjustment: Middle East Assurance Initia-			+ 20.000
	tive Special Operations Command/Operating Forces	4,862,368	4,818,368	+ 20,000 - 44,000
	Improving funds management: Civilian FTE Overestima-	4,002,500	4,010,500	44,000
	tion			- 30,000
	Budget documentation disparity: Removal of one-time			,
	fiscal year 2015 cost			- 12,000
	Budget documentation disparity: Program termination			
	unaccounted for			- 2,000
	Civil Military Programs	160,320	190,320	+ 30,000
	Program increase: STARBASE Program increase: Innovative readiness training			+ 25,000 + 5,000
	Defense Human Resources Activity	642,551	678,851	+ 36,300
	Budget documentation disparity: Program termination	042,551	070,031	1 30,300
	unaccounted for			- 3,000
	Budget documentation disparity: Program transfer to			.,
	OUSD(C) unaccounted for			- 5,700
	Program increase: Sexual Assault Special Victims'			
	Counsel			+ 25,000
	Program increase: Beyond Yellow Ribbon	1 202 755	1 205 255	+ 20,000 + 2,500
	Defense Information Systems Agency Program increase: Defense Enterprise Computing Cen-	1,282,755	1,285,255	+ 2,500
	ters			+ 2,500
	Defense Logistics Agency	366,429	389,101	+ 22,672
	Maintain program affordability: Unjustified growth in	,	,	,
	DOD Enterprise Business Systems			- 4,000
	Program increase: Procurement Technical Assistance			+ 11,672
	Program increase: Asset tracking and in-transit visi-			15 000
	bility	524.723	495.523	+ 15,000 - 29,200
	Defense Security Cooperation Agency Authorization adjustment: Reduction to Combating Ter-	524,725	490,023	- 29,200
	rorism Fellowship			- 7,000
	Maintain program affordability: Global Security Contin-			,,000
	gency Fund			- 22,200
	Defense Security Service	508,396	517,596	+ 9,200

50

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimat
	Transfer Insider Threat/Continuous Evaluation: DSS-re-			
	quested from RDDW			+ 9,20
	Department of Defense Education Activity	2,753,771	2,783,746	+ 29,97
	Maintain program affordability: Updated program re- quirements			- 5.00
	Budget documentation disparity: Program transfer to			0,0
	OUSD(C) unaccounted for			- 1.20
	Authorization adjustment: School lunches for territories			+2
	Authorization adjustment: Financial literacy training			+ 35,9
	Missile Defense Agency	432,068	424,568	- 7,5
	Improving funds management: THAAD batteries	402,000	424,300	7,5
	sustainment funded early to need			- 4,9
	Budget documentation disparity: Undistributed reduc-			4,5
	tion-program transfer to OUSD(C) unaccounted for			- 2,6
	Office of Economic Adjustment	110,612	90,612	- 20,0
	Authorization adjustment: Guam civilian water and	110,012	50,012	20,0
	wastewater funding ahead of need			- 20.0
	Office of the Secretary of Defense	1,388,285	1,368,735	- 19,5
	Authorization adjustment: OUSD (AT&L) BRAC planning	1,500,205	1,000,700	- 10,5
	Maintain program affordability: OUSD (Policy) unjusti-			10,5
	fied growth			- 3,0
	Maintain program affordability: Contract service spend-			- 3,0
	ing reduction			- 30,0
	Transfer ARCTIC EDGE and NORTHERN EDGE funding:			- 30,0
	To the CE2T2 program from O&M Navy, line 1CCH			+ 3.7
	Program increase: Healthy Base Initiative			+ 3,7
	Program increase: Fruit and Vegetable Prescription		•••••	+ 3,0
	Plan Pilot			+ 1,5
	Program increase: Readiness and Environmental Pro-			+ 1,5
	tection Initiative			+ 14,7
	Authorization adjustment: OSD Fleet architecture study			+ 14,7 + 1,0
		14,379,428	14,165,594	- 213,8
	Classified Programs	14,379,428	14,100,094	- 213,0
	Classified program adjustment			- 224,0
	Classified program increase: Information Systems Se-			. 11.0
NDIST	curity Program		•••••	+ 11,0
INDI21	Authorization adjustment: Streamlining Management Head-		152 075	152.0
NDICT	quarters for Non-NIP agencies		- 152,975	- 152,9
NDIST	Authorization adjustment: Impact Aid		30,000	+ 30,0
NDIST	Program increase: Impact Aid for children with severe dis-		E 000	
	abilities		5,000	+ 5,0

Defense Language National Security Education Office.—The Committee recognizes that, in partnership with universities across the country, the National Security Education Program provides critical training for servicemembers and government officials in a number of languages and strategic cultures, including those of the Arab world, Afghanistan, China, and Iran. The Committee encourages the Department of Defense to continue placing a high priority on these programs to ensure warfighters receive the language and culture training needed to complete their missions effectively.

culture training needed to complete their missions effectively. *Defense Personnel Accounting Agency.*—The Committee is pleased with the establishment of the Defense Personnel Accounting Agency [DPAA], which is responsible for the national effort to develop and implement policy on all matters relating to personnel accounting. The Committee encourages the Department to partner with research universities with expertise in archeology and remains recovery in order to provide the fullest possible accounting for missing personnel from past conflicts. Wildlife Trafficking.—The committee supports efforts by Africa Command and Special Operations Command to assist in the limitation of animal poaching and wildlife trafficking through their capacity building engagements with African governments and authorities, including "train the trainer" exchanges and exercises and encourages the continuation of these engagements in areas affected by wildlife trafficking. The committee also encourages the Department of Defense to examine avenues to facilitate the provision of surplus and retired U.S. military equipment to governments engaged in countering wildlife trafficking. The committee directs the Secretary of Defense to report to the congressional defense committees not later than 120 days after enactment of this act, describing the planned activities in support of the National Strategy for Combating Wildlife Trafficking Implementation Plan.

*Counter-Lord's Resistance Army.*—The Department of Defense shall continue its support of Operation Observant Compass to enable regional partners to continue to conduct operations against Joseph Kony and the Lord's Resistance Army.

African Standby Force.-The Committee recognizes that the rapid deployment capability envisioned for the African Standby Force [ASF] has the potential to counter emerging crises and provide greatly needed peacekeeping support in Africa. However, this capability has been slow to develop, faces inadequate troop con-tributions, and has yet to reach full operational capability more than a decade since its inception. As the combatant command overseeing U.S. military policy in Africa, Africa Command [AFRICOM] may have a constructive role in engaging the African Union's Regional Economic Communities who are tasked to provide the necessary capabilities to bring ASF to full operational capability. Therefore, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 120 days after enactment of this act, on AFRICOM's capabilities to engage the Regional Economic Communities. The report should also include an assessment of the military capacity shortfalls, to include logistics and airlift that must be addressed as African nations stand up a capable response force.

Communities Affected by Army Force Structure Reductions.—The Committee is concerned about the economic impact of Army force structure reductions on local communities and directs the Department of Defense Office of Economic Adjustment to make economic adjustment assistance available to communities affected by such reductions. The Director of the Office of Economic Adjustment shall submit to congressional defense committees, not later than 90 days after the date upon which the Army announces a force structure change involving the reduction of 1,000 or more soldiers at any one location, a report detailing assistance that will be made available to communities adversely affected by the decision.

#### **OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY RESERVE**

Appropriations, 2015	\$2,513,393,000
Budget estimate, 2016	2,665,792,000
Committee recommendation	2,679,992,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$2,679,992,000. This is \$14,200,000 above the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY RESERVE			
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
20 30 40 50 60	LAND FORCES MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADES THEATER LEVEL ASSETS LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT AVIATION ASSETS	16,612 486,531 105,446 516,791 87,587	16,612 486,531 105,446 511,191 87,587	
70 80 90	LAND FORCES READINESS FORCES READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT LAND FORCES SYSTEM READINESS DEPOT MAINTENANCE	348,601 81,350 59,574	354,601 81,350 91,974	+ 6,000 
100 110 120	LAND FORCES READINESS SUPPORT BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONS HEADQUARTERS	570,852 245,686 40,962	552,252 245,686 40,962	— 18,600 
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1 BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	2,559,992	2,574,192	+ 14,200
130 140 150 160 170	Administration and servicewide activities Servicewide transportation Administration Servicewide communications Personnel/financial administration Recruiting and advertising	10,665 18,390 14,976 8,841 52,928	10,665 18,390 14,976 8,841 52,928	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	105,800	105,800	
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY RESERVE	2,665,792	2,679,992	+ 14,200

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

Line	ltem	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
115	Land Forces Operations Support Budget documentation disparity: Contract services	516,791	511,191	- 5,600
	transfer not accounted for in budget documentation			- 5,600
121	Force Readiness Operations Support	348,601	354,601	+ 6,000
	Program increase: Cybersecurity training			+ 6,000
123	Land Forces Depot Maintenance	59.574	91.974	+ 32,400
	Authorization adjustment: Readiness funding increase	·	·	+ 32,400
131	Base Operations Support	570,852	552,252	- 18,600
	Budget documentation disparity: Justification does not match summary of price and program changes Improving funds management: Fiscal year 2015 Sec			- 13,000
	9018 financing			- 5,60

## **OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY RESERVE**

Appropriations, 2015	\$1,021,200,000
Budget estimate, 2016	1,001,758,000
Committee recommendation	998,758,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$998,758,000. This is \$3,000,000 below the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

#### [In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY RESERVE			
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
10 20 40 50 60	RESERVE AIR OPERATIONS MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS INTERMEDIATE MAINTENANCE AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE AIRCRAFT DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT AVIATION LOGISTICS	563,722 6,218 82,712 326 13,436	563,722 6,218 79,712 326 13,436	- 3,000
70	RESERVE SHIP OPERATIONS SHIP OPERATIONAL SUPPORT AND TRAINING	557	557	
90 100	RESERVE COMBAT OPERATIONS SUPPORT COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES	14,499 117,601	14,499 117,601	
120	RESERVE WEAPONS SUPPORT ENTERPRISE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	29,382	29,382	
130 140	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	48,513 102,858	48,513 102,858	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	979,824	976,824	- 3,000
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
150 160 170 180	ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES ADMINISTRATION	1,505 13,782 3,437 3,210	1,505 13,782 3,437 3,210	······
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	21,934	21,934	
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY RESERVE	1,001,758	998,758	— 3,000

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

-	Line	ltem	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	1A5A	Aircraft Depot Maintenance	82,712	79,712	- 3,000

#### [In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Budget documentation disparity: Removal of fiscal year 2015 baseline for terminated program			— 3,000

## **OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS RESERVE**

Appropriations, 2015	\$270,846,000
Budget estimate, 2016	277,036,000
Committee recommendation	277,036,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$277,036,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS RESERVE			
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
	EXPEDITIONARY FORCES			
10	OPERATING FORCES	97,631	97,631	
20	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	18,254	18,254	
30	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	28,653	28,653	
40	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	111,923	111,923	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	256,461	256,461	
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
	ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
50	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	924	924	
60	ADMINISTRATION	10,866	10,866	
70	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	8,785	8,785	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	20,575	20,575	
	TOTAL, OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS	077.000	077.000	
	RESERVE	277,036	277,036	

## OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE RESERVE

Appropriations, 2015	\$3,026,342,000
Budget estimate, 2016	3,064,257,000
Committee recommendation	3,056,357,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$3,056,357,000. This is \$7,900,000 below the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

55

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE RESERVE			
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
	AIR OPERATIONS			
10	PRIMARY COMBAT FORCES	1,779,378	1,779,378	
20	MISSION SUPPORT OPERATIONS	226,243	218,843	- 7,400
30	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	487,036	487,036	
40	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	109,342	109,342	
50	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	373,707	370,707	- 3,000
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	2,975,706	2,965,306	- 10,400
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
	ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
60	ADMINISTRATION	53,921	53,921	
70	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	14,359	14,359	
80	MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT	13,665	13,665	
90	OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT	6,606	6,606	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	88,551	88,551	
	RESTORE A-10		2,500	+ 2,500
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE			
	RESERVE	3,064,257	3,056,357	- 7,900

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

#### [In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
011G	Mission Support Operations Maintain program affordability: Reduced program sup-	226,243	218,843	- 7,400
	port unaccounted for Budget documentation disparity: Justification does not match summary of price and program changes for			- 1,400
	civilian pay			- 6,000
011Z	Base Support Budget documentation disparity: Transfer for support	373,707	370,707	- 3,000
	standard not accounted for			- 3,000
UNDIST	Authorization adjustment: Restore A–10		2,500	+ 2,500

# OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD

Appropriations, 2015	\$6,175,951,000
Budget estimate, 2016	6,717,977,000
Committee recommendation	6,746,302,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$6,746,302,000. This is \$28,325,000 above the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

56

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
10 20 30 40 50 60	LAND FORCES MANEUVER UNITS MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADE THEATER LEVEL ASSETS LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT AVIATION ASSETS	709,433 167,324 741,327 88,775 32,130 943,609	709,433 167,324 741,327 96,475 32,130 996,209	+ 7,700 + 52,600
70 80 90	LAND FORCES READINESS FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT LAND FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS LAND FORCES DEPOT MAINTENANCE	703,137 84,066 166,848	712,537 84,066 189,348	+ 9,400 + 22,500
100 110 120	LAND FORCES READINESS SUPPORT BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONAL HEADQUARTERS	1,022,970 673,680 954,574	998,970 673,680 954,574	— 24,000 
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1 BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	6,287,873	6,356,073	+ 68,200
130 140 150 160 170 180	ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	6,570 59,629 68,452 8,841 283,670 2,942	6,570 59,629 68,452 8,841 283,670 2,942	······
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4 SERVICE SUPPORT CONTRACTOR REDUCTION FINANCIAL LITERACY TRAINING	430,104	430,104 - 40,000 125	
	TOTAL, OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD	6,717,977	6,746,302	+ 28,325

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
114	Theater Level Assets Authorization adjustment: Army National Guard's Oper-	88,775	96,475	+ 7,700
	ation Phalanx			+ 7,70
116	Aviation Assets	943,609	996,209	+ 52,60
	Authorization adjustment: Readiness funding increase Authorization adjustment: Army National Guard's Oper-			+ 39,60
	ation Phalanx			+ 13,00
121	Force Readiness Operations Support Program increase: Army National Guard Cyber Protec-	703,137	712,537	+ 9,40
	tion Teams			+ 9,40
123	Land Forces Depot Maintenance	166,848	189,348	+ 22,50
	Authorization adjustment: Readiness funding increase			+ 22,50
131	Base Operations Support	1,022,970	998,970	- 24,00
	Maintain program affordability: Unjustified growth Budget documentation disparity: Justification does not			- 10,00
	match summary of price and program changes			- 14,00

5	7

#### [In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
UNDIS UNDIS			- 40,000 125	- 40,000 + 125

# OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR NATIONAL GUARD

Appropriations, 2015	\$6,408,558,000
Budget estimate, 2016	6,956,210,000
Committee recommendation	6,951,010,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$6,951,010,000. This is \$5,200,000 below the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR NATIONAL GUARD			
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
10 20 30 40 50	AIR OPERATIONS AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS MISSION SUPPORT OPERATIONS DEPOT MAINTENANCE FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	3,526,471 740,779 1,763,859 288,786 582,037	3,506,471 743,379 1,763,859 288,786 582,037	- 20,000 + 2,600
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	6,901,932	6,884,532	- 17,400
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
60 70	Servicewide Activities Administration Recruiting and Advertising	23,626 30,652	23,626 30,652	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	54,278	54,278	
	UNJUSTIFIED GROWTH RESTORE A–10		- 30,000 42,200	- 30,000 + 42,200
	TOTAL, OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, AIR NATIONAL GUARD	6,956,210	6,951,010	- 5,200

## [In thousands of dollars]

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
011F	Aircraft Operations Maintain program affordability: Unjustified growth	3,526,471	3,506,471	- 20,000 - 20.000
011G		740,779	743,379	+ 2,600
UNDIST	ation Phalanx Maintain program affordability: Unjustified growth			+ 2,600 - 30,000

00
----

#### [In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
UNDIS	Authorization adjustment: Restore A-10		42,200	+ 42,200

## U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE ARMED FORCES

Appropriations, 2015	\$13,723,000
Budget estimate, 2016	14,078,000
Committee recommendation	14,078,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$14,078,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, ARMY**

Appropriations, 2015	\$201,560,000
Budget estimate, 2016	234,829,000
Committee recommendation	234,829,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$234,829,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

#### ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, NAVY

Appropriations, 2015	\$277,294,000
Budget estimate, 2016	292,453,000
Committee recommendation	$292,\!453,\!000$

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$292,453,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

## ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2015	\$408,716,000
Budget estimate, 2016	368,131,000
Committee recommendation	368,131,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$368,131,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, DEFENSE-WIDE**

Appropriations, 2015	\$8,547,000
Budget estimate, 2016	8,232,000
Committee recommendation	8,232,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$8,232,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

**ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, FORMERLY USED DEFENSE SITES** 

Appropriations, 2015	\$250,853,000
Budget estimate, 2016	203,717,000
Committee recommendation	233,717,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$233,717,000. This is \$30,000,000 above the budget estimate to help address unfunded needs.

## OVERSEAS HUMANITARIAN, DISASTER, AND CIVIC AID

Appropriations, 2015	\$103,000,000
Budget estimate, 2016	100,266,000
Committee recommendation	100,266,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$100,266,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

## COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION ACCOUNT

Appropriations, 2015	\$365,108,000
Budget estimate, 2016	358,496,000
Committee recommendation	358,496,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$358,496,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

Line	ltem	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1 2 3	COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION Strategic Offensive Arms Elimination Chemical Weapons Destruction Biological Threat Reduction	1,289 942 264,618	1,289 942 264,618	·····
4 5	Threat Reduction Engagement Other Assessments/Admin Costs	2,827 29,320	2,827 29,320	
6 7	Global Nuclear Security WMD Proliferation Prevention	20,555 38,945	20,555 38,945	
	TOTAL, COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION	358,496	358,496	

[In thousands of dollars]

# DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE ACQUISITION WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT FUND

Appropriations, 2015	\$83.034.000
Budget estimate, 2016	84,140,000
Committee recommendation	84,140,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$84,140,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

## TITLE III

## PROCUREMENT

Funds appropriated under this title provide the resources required to purchase military equipment and hardware, including aircraft, ships, missiles, combat vehicles, ammunition, weapons, electronic sensors and communications equipment, and other procurement items.

The President's fiscal year 2016 budget requests a total of \$106,914,372,000 for procurement appropriations.

## SUMMARY OF COMMITTEE ACTION

The Committee recommends procurement appropriations totaling \$109,813,734,000 for fiscal year 2016. This is \$2,899,362,000 above the budget estimate.

Committee recommended procurement appropriations for fiscal year 2016 are summarized below:

#### SUMMARY OF PROCUREMENT APPROPRIATIONS

[In thousands of dollars]

Account	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
Procurement:			
Aircraft Procurement, Army	5,689,357	5,465,751	- 223,606
Missile Procurement, Army	1,419,957	1,667,167	+ 247,210
Procurement of Weapons and Tracked Combat Vehicles, Army	1,887,073	1,914,446	+ 27,373
Procurement of Ammunition, Army	1,233,378	1,247,426	+ 14,048
Other Procurement, Army	5,899,028	5,648,874	- 250,154
Aircraft Procurement, Navy	16,126,405	17,392,174	+ 1,265,769
Weapons Procurement, Navy	3,154,154	3,172,822	+ 18,668
Procurement of Ammunition, Navy and Marine Corps	723,741	728,741	+ 5,000
Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy	16,597,457	18,176,362	+1,578,905
Other Procurement, Navy	6,614,715	6,329,750	- 284,965
Procurement, Marine Corps	1,131,418	1,239,618	+ 108,200
Aircraft Procurement, Air Force	15,657,769	15,890,661	+ 232,892
Missile Procurement, Air Force	2,987,045	2,965,869	- 21,176
Space Procurement, Air Force	2,584,061	2,891,159	+ 307,098
Procurement of Ammunition, Air Force	1,758,843	1,797,343	+ 38,500
Other Procurement, Air Force	18,272,438	17,997,359	- 275,07
Procurement, Defense-Wide	5,130,853	5,211,532	+ 80,67
Defense Production Act Purchases	46,680	76,680	+ 30,00
Total:	106,914,372	109,813,734	+ 2,899,36

#### REPROGRAMMING GUIDANCE FOR ACQUISITION ACCOUNTS

The Secretary of Defense is directed to continue to follow the reprogramming guidance as specified in the report accompanying the House version of the Department of Defense appropriations bill for fiscal year 2008 (House Report 110–279). Specifically, the dollar threshold for reprogramming funds will remain at \$20,000,000 for procurement and \$10,000,000 for research, development, test and evaluation.

Also, the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) is directed to continue to provide the congressional defense committees quarterly, spreadsheet-based DD Form 1416 reports for service and defensewide accounts in titles III and IV of this act. Reports for titles III and IV shall comply with guidance specified in the explanatory statement accompanying the Department of Defense Appropria-tions Act for Fiscal Year 2006. The Department shall continue to follow the limitation that prior approval reprogrammings are set at either the specified dollar threshold or 20 percent of the procurement or research, development, test and evaluation line, whichever is less. These thresholds are cumulative from the base for reprogramming value as modified by any adjustments. Therefore, if the combined value of transfers into or out of a procurement (P-1), or a research, development, test and evaluation (R-1) line exceeds the identified threshold, the Secretary of Defense must submit a prior approval reprogramming to the congressional defense committees. In addition, guidelines on the application of prior approval reprogramming procedures for congressional special interest items are established elsewhere in this report.

#### PROCUREMENT OVERVIEW

Airspace Compliance.—In order for Department of Defense aircraft to operate in the both the Federal Aviation Administrationcontrolled airspace and International Civil Aviation Organizationcontrolled airspace after January 1, 2020, the Department must add appropriate equipment to all current and future aviation platforms projected to operate in those airspaces. Due to various delays, the Department has indicated that many aircraft will not meet the mandated compliance date and will need waivers to operate in controlled airspace. The Committee believes that had the Department taken a centralized role in the oversight of airspace compliance and monitored the progress of each fleet towards meeting the mandate, the services would have developed solutions in a more expedient manner and fewer waivers would have been necessary. Therefore, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to report to the congressional defense committees, not later than 180 days after enactment of this act, (1) each aircraft type, by service, required to comply with the mandates, (2) the cost associated with making the aircraft compliant, (3) the projected compliance date for each aircraft and (4) the projected date to obtain waivers for each aircraft, if necessary. The Committee expects the Secretary of Defense to take a more proactive role in ensuring that United States military aircraft are approved to operate in controlled airspace past January 1, 2020.

Army Organic Industrial Base.—The Committee directs the Secretary of the Army to provide 45-day written notification to the congressional defense committees prior to the Secretary approving civilian reductions in force that will result in an employment loss of 50 or more full-time employees at any Army organic industrial base facility. The notification shall include the impact that the proposed reduction in force will have on the ability to maintain the organic industrial base critical manufacturing capabilities as delineated in the Army Organic Industrial Base Strategy Report, a detailed accounting of the costs of implementing the reduction in force, and an assessment of the cost of, and time necessary, to restore any lost capability to meet future organic wartime manufacturing needs.

Arsenal Sustainment Initiative.-The Committee supports the ongoing efforts of the Department of the Army to develop the Army Organic Industrial Base Strategy. This process is identifying manufacturing capabilities at each organic industrial facility that are critical for the country to sustain in wartime and peacetime if the U.S. military is called to act. However, the Committee is concerned that while the Army Organic Industrial Base Strategy is identifying capabilities, it has not prioritized them in annual budget requests to Congress. In particular, the Nation's arsenals are at risk of not having the capacity to respond rapidly to meet the Department's needs. The Committee directs the Secretary of the Army to assign the arsenals sufficient workload to maintain the critical capabilities identified in the Army Organic Industrial Base Strategy Report and ensure cost efficiency and technical competence in peacetime, while preserving the ability to provide an effective and timely response to mobilizations, national defense contingency situations, and other emergency requirements.

Further, the Committee is aware that while the Army's manufacturing arsenals have provided critical wartime needs to all the services, they are not always considered by the other services for the work opportunities which help sustain them in peacetime. Given the arsenals' unique capabilities, the Committee looks forward to receiving the Secretary of Defense's report detailing recommendations on how the Air Force, Navy and Marine Corps can better use the arsenals for their manufacturing needs. Noting that fulfilling spare parts needs are an important part of our organic industrial base, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to also report to the congressional defense committees not later than 90 days after enactment of this act assessing what opportunities may exist for the arsenals to assist the services and the Defense Logistics Agency to procure for our spare parts inventory.

*Hellfire Missiles.*—The Committee notes that the Department requested authority in February 2015 to reprogram funding to align Hellfire missile inventories with operational and organizational requirements. The reprogramming request followed similar requests in each of the four prior fiscal years, indicating that Hellfire missile inventories could be more effectively managed across and between the services. The Committee directs the Director of Cost Analysis and Program Evaluation to review short-range air-to-ground missile requirements, Hellfire inventory requirements, Hellfire inventory management processes and practices, and current and prospective Hellfire operational demand and submit to the congressional defense committees, not later than 180 days after enactment of this act, recommendations for jointly optimizing the management of Hellfire missiles, or that of a substantively similar capability, across the four services.

*Physical Access Control Systems.*—The Committee remains concerned with the challenges the Department of Defense continues to face with the efficacy of physical access control systems and notes that it is yet to receive a report as required by Senate Report 113–211. Last year's report requested that the Secretaries of the Army, Navy, and Air Force perform a business case analysis that examines the development, procurement, and sustainment cost of existing physical access control systems compared to the cost of physical access control systems available commercially. The Secretaries shall provide the report to the congressional defense committees summarizing the outcome of this business case analysis and actions they plan to take to implement the most affordable solution not later than 180 days after enactment of this act.

#### AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY

Appropriations, 2015	\$5,216,225,000
Budget estimate, 2016	5,689,357,000
Committee recommendation	5,465,751,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$5,465,751,000. This is \$223,606,000 below the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

Image: Marchard Fraction Fractio Fraction Fraction Fraction Fraction Fraction Fraction Fraction F								
Image: December of the control of the contr				2016 hudrat		Committee	Change from	e from
AIRCART         AIRCBART PROCUREMENT, ARM           AIRCART         ERGART PROCUREMENT, ARM           AIRCART         EXED WING           FIXED WING         EXED WING           DUILTY F/M CARGO AIRCRAFT         EXED WING           DUILTY F/M CARGO AIRCRAFT         EXED WING           DUILTY F/M CARGO AIRCRAFT         EXED WING           ROTART         EXED WING           HI-64 BLOCKHIMK INFO INPECTION         EXED WING           HI-64 BLOCKHIMK INFO INPECTION         EXED WING INPECTION           HI-64 BLOCKHIMK INFO INPECTION         EXED WING INPECTION           HI-64 BLOCKHIMK INFO INPECTION         EXED WING INPECTION           HI-64 BLOCKHIMK INFORMENT APPECTION         EXED WING INPECTION           HI-64 BLOCKHIMK INFORMENT APPECTION         EXED WING INPECTION           HI-64 BLOCKHIMK INFORCE         EXED WING INPECTION           HI-64 BLOCKHIMK INFORCE         EXED WING INPECTION           HI-64 BLOCKHIMK INFORCE         EXED WING INPECTION<		Item	Qty.	estimate	Qty.	recommendation	Qty.	Budget estimate
AIRCRAT         EXED WING         879         115         260.436         119.17           EVED WING         MUTLIT NF, CARCO AIRCRAF         115         260.436         1138.117         287           MUTLIT NF, CARCO AIRCRAF         MOTLIN         115         260.436         1138.117         28         1138.117           MOTLIN         MOTLIN         MOTLIN         117.119         1138.117         28         1138.117         285.945           ROTARY         APACIE BLOCK IIIA REMM         PROTONIC         1143.549         1138.717         28         1138.717           AH 64 APACIE BLOCK IIIA REMM         APACIE BLOCK IIIA REMM         PROTONIC         1473.945         93.344           AH 64 APACIE BLOCK IIIA REMM         APACIE BLOCK IIIA REMM         47.60.479         46.641         209.930           AH 64 APACIE BLOCK IIIA REMM         APACIE BLOCK IIIA REMM         47.60.479         93.440         93.344           AH 64 APACIE BLOCK IIIA REMM         APACIE BLOCK IIIA REMM         APACIE BLOCK IIIA         46.641         47.560.479         47.560.479           AH 64 APACIE BLOCK IIIA REMM         APACIE BLOCK IIIA         APACIE BLOCK IIIA         47.560.479         93.561.477         47.560.479         47.560.479         47.560.479         47.560.479         47.560.479<		AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY						
FIXED WING         873         873         873         873           MD-LINT F/W CARGO AIRCRAFT         15         260,436         15         199636           MD-LINT F/W CARGO AIRCRAFT         28         187,177         28         187,177         28         187,177         28         187,177         28         133,461         1,435,945         1,156,945         1,145,956         9,1656         9,1656         9,1656         9,1656         9,1656         9,1656         9,1656         9,1656<		AIRCRAFT						
Will - I UN'         260,455         15         196,55           ROTARY         EICOPTER, LIDHTY LUHI         28         187,177         28         187,177           RELEOPTER, LIDHTY TUHI         EISO LOG         209,395         34         1,383,451         209,395           RELEOPTER, LIDHTY TUHY         EISO LOG         209,395         34         1,375,935         34           HI-64 APACHE BLOCK IIN REWN         209,304         209,394         209,394         209,394           HI-60 BLACKHAWN (INTY)         200,205         34         1,275,079         39         31,386           HI-60 BLACKHAWN (INTY)         200,307         39         30,345         209,394         1272,079         40         46,641           HI-60 BLACKHAWN (INTY)         200,207         40         46,641         39         31,386         31,386         31,366	~			879		879		
ROTARY HELLOCPTER, LIGHT UTTY [LUH]         28         187,17         28         187,17           AH-64 APARE BLOCK IIM REMAN [AP-CY]         293,300         94         1,138,461           AH-64 APARE BLOCK IIM REMAN [AP-CY]         209,330         94         1,435,945           UH-60 BLACKHAWK [MYP]         209,330         91,343         90           UH-60 BLACKHAWK [MYP]         209,344         40         90,344           UH-60 BLACKHAWK [MYP]         200,530         94         1,237,079           UH-60 BLACKHAWK [MYP]         200,430         203,330         91,363           UH-60 BLACKHAWK [MYP]         200,430         203,330         91,363           UH-60 BLACKHAWK [MYP]         200,430         203,430         91,663           UH-18 LICOPTER [AP-CY]         200,430         203,430         91,663           UTAL, AIRCRAFT         AF-64         4,560,470         4,560,470         4,560,470           MULI SENSIN ABM RECON (MIP]         201,400         201,400         203,563         <	14		15	260,436	15	199,636		- 60,800
HELCOFTER.         LIBY J177         Z8         IB7 J177         Z93 J34         J172 J393         J172 J393         J172 J393         J172 J393         J172 J393         J123 J34         J172 J393         J172 J393         J172 J393         J172 J393         J132 J34         J172 J393         J132 J34         J172 J393         J132 J34         J172 J393         J132 J34         J116 J35         J116 J34		ROTARY						
AH-44 APACHE BLOCK IIIK REMIN (AP-CY)         Description         Description <thdescription< th=""> <thdescription< th=""> <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>28</td><td>1 100 101</td><td></td><td>1 1 20 4 51</td><td></td><td></td></t<></thdescription<></thdescription<>			28	1 100 101		1 1 20 4 51		
UH-60 BLACKHAWK (MYP)       94       1,435,945       94       1,435,945         UH-60 BLACKHAWK (MYP)       46,641       40       46,641       40         UH-60 BLACKHAWK (MYP)       46,641       40       46,641       40         UH-60 BLACKHAWK (MYP)       46,641       40       46,641       40         UH-60 BLACKHAWK (MYP)       127,079       99,344       99,344         UH-7 HELCOPTER (A-CY)       99,344       99,344       99,344         CH-47 HELCOPTER (A-CY)       99,344       99,344       99,344         CH-47 HELCOPTER (A-CY)       99,344       99,344       91,653         MODFLATION OF ARCRAFT       97,543       91,653       91,653         MODFLATION OF ARCRAFT       97,543       91,653       73,130         MODFLATION OF ARCRAFT       97,543       91,653       73,130         MUC1 SENSOR       RECON (MIP)       116,153       95,753       91,653         MUC1 SENSOR       MODFLATION OF ARCRAFT       97,543       91,653       73,130         MUC1 SENSOR       MODFLATION OF ARCON (MIP)       116,153       91,653       73,130         MUC1 SENSOR       MODS (MIP)       MODS (MIP)       116,153       91,653       116,165 <td< td=""><td></td><td>AH-64 APACHE BLUCK IIIA KEMAN</td><td>64</td><td>1,168,461 200 030</td><td></td><td>1,138,451</td><td></td><td></td></td<>		AH-64 APACHE BLUCK IIIA KEMAN	64	1,168,461 200 030		1,138,451		
UH-60 BLACKHAWK [MYP] [AP-CY]       40       1027,079       40       46,641         UH-60 BLACKHAWK A AND L MODELS       39       93,348       93,338       93,338         UH-60 BLACKHAWK A AND L MODELS       39       96,641       39       93,348         UH-60 BLACKHAWK A AND L MODELS       39       93,348       93,348       93,348         UH-60 BLACKHAWK A AND L MODELS       39       93,348       93,348       93,348         CH-47 HELICOPTER (AP-CY)       4,560,479       4,560,479       4,376,490       93,348         MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT       97,543       97,543       91,553       91,553         MOL1 PAYLOAD—UAS       97,543       97,543       91,553       16,153       16,153         MOL1 PAYLOAD—UAS       97,543       97,543       91,553       16,153       16,153         AP-4 0, MODS [MIP]       16,302       16,302       16,302       13,763       13,763         ARL SEMA MODS [MIP]       16,302       116,302       13,763       16,166       13,763       16,166       16,166       16,166       16,166       16,166       16,166       16,166       16,166       16,166       16,166       16,166       16,166       16,166       16,166       16,166       16,166		UH-60 BLACKHAWK [MYP]	94	1.435.945		1.435,945		
UH-60 BLACKHAWK A AND L MODELS         40         46,641         40         46,641           CH-47 HELCOPTER [AP-CY]         39         1,024,587         39         93,348           CH-47 HELCOPTER [AP-CY]         99,344         99,344         93,344           TOTAL AIRCRAFT         4,560,479         4,376,490         93,344           MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT         4,560,479         4,376,490         93,344           MU-17 NENOR ABIN RECON [MIP]         97,543         91,553         91,653           MU-17 NENOR ABIN RECON [MIP]         97,543         91,653         91,653           MU-17 NENOR ABIN RECON [MIP]         97,543         91,653         91,369           MU-18 CON FILOPTER MODS         11,177         91,664         4,019           MU-18 CON FILOPTER MODS         11,177         91,664         11,080           MIL SEAM MODS [MIP]         11,177         91,664         11,080           MIL SEAM MODS [MIP]         11,177         11,177         11,177 <td></td> <td>UH-60 BLACKHAWK [MYP] [AP-CY]</td> <td></td> <td>127,079</td> <td></td> <td>127,079</td> <td></td> <td></td>		UH-60 BLACKHAWK [MYP] [AP-CY]		127,079		127,079		
CH-47 HELCOPTER         39         1,024 S87         39         93,348           CH-47 HELCOPTER [AP-CY]         99,344         99,344         99,344           TOTAL, AIRCRAFT         4,560,479         4,376,490         9,344           MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT         97,543         91,653         91,653           MULTI SENSIN ABIN RECON IMIPI         97,543         91,653         91,653           MULTI SENSIN ABIN RECON IMIPI         96,340         4,019         66,300         4,019           MULTI SENSIN ABIN RECON IMIPI         91,663         91,663         91,663         91,663           MULTI SENSIN ABIN RECON IMIPI         91,663         91,663         91,663         91,663           MULTI SENA MODS IMIPI         91,663         91,663         91,346         11,6,163           ARL SEMA MODS IMIPI         91,663         91,346         11,6,163         11,6,163           ARL SEMA MODS IMIPI         91,616         91,616         91,616         11,6,163           ARL SEMA MODS IMIPI         91,616         91,616         91,616         11,6,163           ARL SEMA MODS IMIPI         91,616         91,616         91,616         11,6,166         11,6,166           UTULITYLORED ARIAD MODS         91,610 <td< td=""><td></td><td>UH-60 BLACKHAWK A AND L MODELS</td><td>40</td><td>46,641</td><td></td><td>46,641</td><td></td><td></td></td<>		UH-60 BLACKHAWK A AND L MODELS	40	46,641		46,641		
CH-4/ HELOUTEK [AP-07]       93,344       93,344       93,344         TOTAL, AIRCRAFT       4,560,479       4,376,490       4,376,490         MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT       97,543       91,653       91,653         MC1_I PAYLOAD—UAS       97,543       91,653       91,653         MULTI SENSOR ABN RECON [MIP]       95,725       91,653       91,653         CH-47 CARGO HILLOPTER MODS       16,103       16,103       16,103         CH-47 CARGO AIRPLANE MODS [MIP]       16,302       13,369       10,800         CHALLEOPTER MODS       11,177/CCARGO AIRPLANE MODS [MIP]       13,733       112,807       82,904         CHALLEOPTER MODS       11,177/CCARGO AIRPLANE       81,444       81,444       81,444         NETWORK AND MISSION PLAN       81,444       81,444       81,444       81,444	41	CH-47 HELICOPTER	39	1,024,587	39	931,398		- 93,189
TOTAL, AIRCRAFT         4,560,479         4,360,479         4,376,490           MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT         97,543         91,653         91,653           MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT         97,543         91,653         91,653           MOLTI SENSOR ABN RECON IMIPI         95,725         91,653         91,653           MULTI SENSOR ABN RECON IMIPI         95,725         91,653         91,653           MULTI SENSOR ABN RECON IMIPI         96,330         91,653         91,653           AH-64 MODS         91,669         116,133         91,669         13,669           ARL SEMA MODS [MIP]         66,000         13,669         13,733         113,733           ARL SEMA MODS [MIP]         11,774RG ARD MISSION PLAN         112,807         81,444         81,444           NETWORK AND MISSION PLAN         81,444         81,444         81,444         81,444	c]			99,344		99,344		
MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT         97,543         91,653           MQ-1 PAYLOAD—UAS         97,543         91,653           MULTI SENSOR ABIN RECON [MIP]         95,755         91,653           MULTI SENSOR ABIN RECON [MIP]         97,543         97,543         91,653           MULTI SENSOR ABIN RECON [MIP]         97,543         97,543         91,653           MULTI SENSOR ABIN RECON [MIP]         96,300         93,713         116,153         116,153           MULTI SENA MODS [MIP]         66,300         4,019         4,019         4,019           ARL SEMA MODS [MIP]         16,302         13,793         113,793         113,793           ARL SEMA MODS [MIP]         11,714         16,166         13,793         13,793           ARL SEMA MODS [MIP]         13,793         112,807         13,793         13,793           ARL SEMA MODS [MIP]         11,774         11,774         11,7807         13,793           ARL SEMA MODS [MIP]         11,774         11,7807         13,793         13,793           ARULUP         80,404         13,793         11,2807         82,904         33,990           AQULUP         81,444         81,444         81,444         81,444         81,444 <td></td> <td>TOTAL, AIRCRAFT</td> <td></td> <td>4,560,479</td> <td></td> <td>4,376,490</td> <td></td> <td>-183,989</td>		TOTAL, AIRCRAFT		4,560,479		4,376,490		-183,989
MULTI SENSOR BAN RECON [MIP]         9, 734         9, 734         9, 734           MULTI SENSOR BAN RECON [MIP]         9, 735         9, 733         9, 733           AH–64 MODS         16, 153         16, 153         16, 153           AH–64 MODS [MIP]         9, 733         86, 330         4,019           CH–47 CARGO HELICOPTER MODS         4,019         4,019         4,019           GRCS SERM MODS [MIP]         13,669         116,153         73,130           GRCS SERM MODS [MIP]         13,669         116,166         13,669           UTILITY HELICOPTER MODS         13,669         13,769         13,769           UTILITY HELICOPTER MODS         112,807         13,669         13,769           UTILITY HELICOPTER MODS         11,7680         13,793         13,793           UNILITY HELICOPTER MODS         33,800         3,890         3,890           UNILITY HELICOPTER MODS         81,444         81,444         81,444	<u>,</u>	MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT		04 E 40		01 662		G
AH-64 MOX       115,133       116,133       116,153         AH-64 MOX       86,330       86,330       4,019         GRCS SEMA MODS [MIP]       86,330       4,019       4,019         ARL SEMA MODS [MIP]       13,669       116,153       73,130         ARL SEMA MODS [MIP]       13,669       116,153       74,019         ARL SEMA MODS [MIP]       13,669       13,669       13,669         UTILITY CARGO AIRPLANE MODS       13,793       112,807       13,793         UNILITY RELICOPTER MODS       13,793       112,807       23,793         NETWORK AND MISSION PLAN       20,904       33,890       33,890         COMMS, MAY SURVEILLANCE       81,444       81,444       81,444	0 0	Idin		97, 343 05 775		91,000		- 3,030
CH-47 CARGO HELICOPTER MODS       86,330       73,130         GRCS SEMA MODS [MIP]       4,019       4,019         ARIS SEMA MODS [MIP]       13,659       4,019         ARIX SEMA MODS [MIP]       15,659       13,669         ARIX SEMA MODS [MIP]       13,659       13,669         ARIX SEMA MODS [MIP]       13,699       13,669         UTILITY HELCOPTER MODS       13,793       13,793         UNILITY HELCOPTER MODS       13,793       105,807         UNILITY HELCOPTER MODS       13,793       105,807         NETWORK AND MISSION PLAN       23,800       33,890         COMMS, MAY SURVEILLANCE       81,444       81,444         RQ-7 UAV MODS       81,444       81,444	28	MOLT SENSOR ADM ALCOM [MILL]		116.153		116.153		7,12
GRCS SEMA MODS [MIP]       4,019       4,019         ARL SEMA MODS [MIP]       1332       10,800         ARL SEMA MODS [MIP]       13,659       13,669         ARLUP       13,669       13,669         UTILITY HELCOPTER MODS       13,793       13,793         NETWORK AND MISSION PLAN       13,793       13,793         NETWORK AND MISSION PLAN       33,800       33,800         RQMS, NAV SURVEILLANCE       33,800       33,800         COMMS, NAV SURVEILLANCE       81,444       81,444		CH-47 CARGO HELICOPTER MODS		86,330		73,130		-13,200
ARL SEMA MODS [MIP]       16,302       10,800         EMBRSS SEMA MODS [MIP]       16,302       10,800         EMBRSS SEMA MODS [MIP]       13,693       13,669         ILILITYCERGO APPLANE MODS       13,793       13,793         UTILITY HELCOPTER MODS       13,793       13,793         NETWORK AND MISSION PLAN       112,807       82,904         COMMS. NAV SURVEILLANCE       33,890       33,900         GATM ROLLUP       81,444       81,444	22	GRCS SEMA MODS [MIP]		4,019		4,019		
EMARSS SEMA MODS [MIP]         13,669         13,669         13,669         13,669         13,669         13,669         13,669         13,669         16,166         16,166         16,166         16,166         16,166         16,166         16,166         16,166         16,166         13,793         13,500         10,5,807 <th< td=""><td>2</td><td>arl sema mods [mip]</td><td></td><td>16,302</td><td></td><td>10,800</td><td></td><td>-5,502</td></th<>	2	arl sema mods [mip]		16,302		10,800		-5,502
UTILITY/CARGO AIRPLANE MODS 16,166 16,166 16,166 13,793 112,807 135,807 82,904 82,904 82,904 82,904 82,904 64TM ROLLUP 81,441 81,444 81	4	EMARSS SEMA MODS [MIP]		13,669		13,669		
UTILITY HELICOPTER MODS 13,793 13,793 13,793 13,793 13,793 13,793 13,793 13,793 13,793 13,793 13,793 105,807 NEWORK AND MISSION PLAN E 22,904 25,904 25,904 25,904 25,904 25,904 23,890	25			16,166		16,166		
NEWORK AND MISSION PLAN         112,807         105,807           COMMS, NAV SURVEILLANCE         82,904         82,904         82,904           COMMS, NAV SURVEILLANCE         33,890         33,890         33,890           GATM ROLLUP         81,444         81,444         81,444	26	UTILITY HELICOPTER MODS		13,793		13,793		
COMMS. NAY SURVEILLANCE         82.904         82.904         82.904           GATM ROLLUP         33,890         33,890         33,890           RQ-7 UAV MODS         81,444         81,444         81,444	8	~		112,807		105,807		-7,000
I GAIM ROLLUP 33,890 33,890 33,890 33,890 81,444 81,444 81,444 81,444 81,444 81,444	53	COMMS, NAV SURVEILLANCE		82,904		82,904		
RQ-7 UAV MODS	8	GATM ROLLUP		33,890		33,890		
	31	RQ-7 UAV MODS		81,444		81,444		
TOTAL MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT 711,928				770,745		711,928		- 58,817

64

SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES	 		 
GROUND SUPPORT AVIONCS           32         AIRCRAFT SURVIVABILITY EQUIPMENT           33         SURVIVABILITY CM           34         CMMS	56,215 8,917 78,348	56,215 8,917 104,348	+ 26,000
0THER SUPPORT 35 AVIONCS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT 36 COMMAN REALIND EQUIPMENT		6,937 58.067	008 9
3.7 ARCHEN INCOMP. EACH MERT	44,085	44,085	000,0
39 AIN TRAFTIL DUNINGL 39 INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES 40 LAUNCHER, 2.75 ROCKET		34,343 1,207 3,012	
TOTAL, SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES	358,133	 377,333	+ 19,200
TOTAL, AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY	5,689,357	 5,465,751	- 223,606

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
4	MQ-1 UAV	260,436	199,636	- 60,800
	Restoring acquisition accountability: ICS growth			- 7,800
-	Restoring acquisition accountability: Unit cost growth			- 53,000
7	AH–64 Apache Block IIIA Reman	1,168,461	1,138,461	- 30,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Program management			- 10.000
	growth and forward financing Improving funds management: Prior year carryover			- 20.000
14	CH–47 Helicopter	1.024.587		- 93,189
14	Restoring acquisition accountability: Miscellaneous request	1,024,307	551,550	55,105
	and forward financing			- 93.189
16	MQ-1 Payload [MIP]	97.543		- 5,890
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Test and evaluation			.,
	unjustified request			- 5,890
19	Multi Sensor ABN Recon [MIP]	95,725	68,500	- 27,225
	Budget documentation disparity: Poor justification mate-			
	rials			- 27,225
21	CH–47 Cargo Helicopter Mods [MYP]	86,330	73,130	- 13,200
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Systems optimization			
	and payload buyback early to need			-17,200
00	Program increase	10 000	10.000	+ 4,000
23	ARL SEMA Mods [MIP]	16,302	10,800	- 5,502
	Budget documentation disparity: Poor justification mate- rials			- 5.502
28	Network and Mission Plan	112.807	105,807	- 7,000
20	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover	112,007	105,807	-7,000
34	CMWS	78.348	104.348	+ 26,000
01	Authorization adjustment: Apache survivability equip-	/ 0,010	101,010	1 20,000
	ment—Army UFR			+ 26.000
36	Common Ground Equipment	64.867	58.067	- 6.800
	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover			- 9,000
	Program increase: Corrosion mitigation covers			+ 2,200

MQ-1 Unmanned Aerial Vehicle [UAV].—The fiscal year 2016 President's budget request includes \$276,973,000 in base and Overseas Contingency Operations funding to procure 17 additional MQ-1 UAVs, which is 17 aircraft above the Army validated requirement. The Committee is concerned with the small subset of the total Army MQ-1 UAVs providing intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance [ISR] for the combatant commanders. The Army's justification for additional aircraft in the fiscal year 2016 request is to support the increase in Combat Air Patrols [CAPs] requested by the Department of Defense. The Committee supports the request to procure the additional aircraft, but reduces the funding by \$60,800,000 due to unit cost efficiencies. Additionally, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to do a complete review of the required CAPs to meet Combatant Commanders requirements, identify the mix of ISR aircraft across the Air Force, Army and Special Operations Command to meet such requirements, and provide a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 180 days after enactment of this act.

CH-47F Čargo Helicopter Modifications.—The Committee understands that the Department of the Army is developing technologies that are common between the Special Operations Command MH- 47G Chinook helicopter and Army CH–47F Block II helicopter in areas such as fuel system commonality. Therefore, the Committee encourages the Army to develop and field technologies that support this commonality.

Corrosion Mitigation Covers.—The Committee recognizes the importance of protecting critical aviation assets from corrosion and environmental degradation and supports the Department of the Army's efforts to address this concern through the use of corrosion mitigation covers. Therefore, the Committee encourages the Army to acquire corrosion mitigation covers to provide protection to key equipment assets to increase the mission capability of our critical weapons systems.

## MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY

Appropriations, 2015	\$1,208,692,000
Budget estimate, 2016	1,419,957,000
Committee recommendation	1,667,167,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,667,167,000. This is \$247,210,000 above the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

			2016 hudrat		Committae	Change from	from
	ttem	Qty.	estimate	Qty.	recommendation	Qty.	Budget estimate
	MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY						
	OTHER MISSILES						
3 2	SURFACE-TO-AIR MISSILE SYSTEM LOWER TIER AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE (AMD)	80	115,075 414,946	80	112,285 614,946		-2,790 + 200,000
4 3	AIR-TO-SURFACE MISSILE SYS HELLFIRE SYS SUMMARY JOINT AIR-TO-GROUND MSLS [J	113	27,975 27,738	113	27,975 27,738		
6000	ANTI-TANK/ASSAULT MISSILE SYSTEM JAVELIN (AAWS-M) SYSTEM SUMMARY TOW 2 SYSTEM SUMMARY G GUIDED MLRS ROCKET [GMLRS] MLRS REDUCED RANGE PRACTICE ROCKETS [RRPR]	331 1,704 1,668 3,121	77,163 87,525 251,060 17,428	615 1,704 1,668 3,121	127,163 87,525 251,060 17,428	+ 284	+ 50,000
	TOTAL, OTHER MISSILES		1,018,910		1,266,120		+ 247,210
11			241,883 30,119		241,883 30,119		
13 15 16 17 18			18,221 2,216 6,171 19,576 35,970 3,148		18,221 2,216 6,171 19,576 35,970 3,148		
	TOTAL, MODIFICATION OF MISSILES		357,304		357,304		
19	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		33,778		33,778		

[Dollars in thousands]

68

PORT EQUI	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES	3 717	3 717	
S T S	21 ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (MISSILES)	1,544	1,544	
ON E	22 PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT	4,704	4,704	
)TAL	OTAL, SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES	 9,965	9,965	
ITAL	fotal, Missile Procurement, Army	 1,419,957	1,667,167	+ 247,210

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousa	nds of	dollars]
------------	--------	----------

Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1	Lower Tier Air and Missile Defense [AMD] Restoring acquisition accountability: Unjustified	115,075	112,285	- 2,790
	growth			- 2,790
2	MSE Missile	414,946	614,946	+ 200,000
	Authorization adjustment: Patriot PAC 3 for improved			
	BMD—Army UFR			+ 200,000
5	Javelin (AAWS–M) System Summary	77,163	127,163	+ 50,000
	Program increase: Additional missiles—Army UFR			+ 50,000

# PROCUREMENT OF WEAPONS AND TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES, ARMY

Appropriations, 2015	\$1,722,136,000
Budget estimate, 2016	1,887,073,000
Committee recommendation	1,914,446,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,914,446,000. This is \$27,373,000 above the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

	UDIATS IN TROUSARDS	ou sailus j					
			2016 hudzot		Committoo	Change from	e from
	Item	Qty.	curo puuget estimate	Qty.	recommendation	Qty.	Budget estimate
	PROCUREMENT OF W&TCV, ARMY						
1	TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES STRYKER VEHICLE		181,245		177,345		- 3,900
c			100 11				
∾ N	sirykek (mou) stryker upgrade	62	74,085 305.743	62	/4,085 305.743		
2.0	BRADLEY PROGRAM (MOD)		225,042		200,042		-25,000
9 ~	I HOWITZER, MED SP FT 155MM M109A6 (MOD)	00	60,079	00	56,879 272 850		-3,200
~ 00 0		31	123,629	47	180,929	+16	+ 57,300
9 10	ASSAULI BRIDGE (MUD)	7	2,461	7	2,461		
11			14.878		14.878		
12		4	33,455	4	33,455		
13	MI ABKAMS IANK (MUD)		367,939		367,939		
15	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (TCV-WTCV)		6,479		6,129		- 350
	TOTAL, TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES		1,671,860		1,696,710		+24,850
16			1001		1001		
17			26,294		4,331 26,294		
18 19			1,984				-1,984 -1,488
22 21 22	CARBINE COMMON REMOTELY OPERATED WEAPONS STATION		34,460 8,367 5,417		31,260 14,750		-3,200 +6,383 -5,417
73	MOD OF WEAPONS AND OTHER COMBAT VEH		777 6				LTT 0
24	M777 M28		10,070		10,070		2,111
25 26	M4 CARBINE MODS		27,566 44,004		27,566 44,004		

[Dollars in thousands]

71

[Dollars in thousands]

			2016 hudzot		Committoo	Change from	from
	Item	Qty.	estimate	Qty.	recommendation	Qty.	Bud get estimate
27	M249 SAW MACHINE GUN MODS		1,190		1,190		
28	M240 MEDIUM MACHINE GUN MODS		1,424		11,424		+ 10,000
29	SNIPER RIFLES MODIFICATIONS		2,431		980		-1,451
30	M119 MODIFICATIONS		20,599		20,599		
32	MORTAR MODIFICATION		6,300		6,300		
33	MODIFICATIONS LESS THAN \$5.0M (WOCV-WTCV)		3,737		3,737		
	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES						
34			391		2,848		+2,457
35	35 PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (WOCV-WTCV)		9,027		9,027		
36	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS		304		304		
37	SMALL ARMS EQUIPMENT (SOLDIER ENH PROG)		2,392		2,392		
	TOTAL, WEAPONS AND OTHER COMBAT VEHICLES		215,213		217,736		+ 2,523
	TOTAL, PROCUREMENT OF W&TCV, ARMY		1,887,073		1,914,446		+ 27,373
The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands	of	dollars]
---------------	----	----------

Line	ltem	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1	Stryker Vehicle Maintain program affordability: Unjustified growth—	181,245	177,345	— 3,900
	program management funding			- 3,900
5	Bradley Program (MOD)	225,042	200,042	- 25,000
	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover			- 25,000
6	Howitzer, Med Sp Ft 155mm M109a6 (MOD)	60,079	56,879	- 3,200
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Unit cost growth			- 3,200
8	Improved Recovery Vehicle (M88A2 Hercules) Authorization adjustment: 16 M88A2's to support mod	123,629	180,929	+ 57,300
	of ABCTs and industrial base			+ 72,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Contractor engi-			
	neering early to need			- 13,200
	Maintain program affordability: Unjustified growth—			
	program management funding			- 1,500
15	Production Base Support (TCV–WTCV)	6,479	6,129	- 350
	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover			- 350
18	Precision Sniper Rifle	1,984		- 1,984
	Transfer: Army-requested to WTCV lines 21 and 34 and			
	RDTE line 83			- 1,984
19	Compact Semi-Automatic Sniper System	1,488		- 1,488
	Transfer: Army-requested to WTCV lines 21 and 34 and			
	RDTE line 83			- 1,488
20	Carbine	34,460	31,260	- 3,200
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Unit cost growth			- 3,200
21	Common Remotely Operated Weapons Station	8,367	14,750	+ 6,383
	Transfer CROWS conversions: Army-requested from			
	WTCV lines 18, 19, 22, and 29			+ 6,383
22	Handgun	5,417		- 5,417
	Transfer: Army-requested to WTCV lines 21 and 34 and			
~	RDTE line 83			- 5,417
23	MK-19 Grenade Machine Gun Mods	2,777		- 2,777
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Unclear require-			0.777
~~	ments			- 2,777
28	M240 Medium Machine Gun Mods	1,424	11,424	+ 10,000
29	Program increase			+ 10,000
29	Sniper Rifles Modifications	2,431		- 1,451
24	Improving funds management: Excess to need			- 1,451
34	Items Less Than \$5.0m (WOCV–WTCV)	391	2,848	+ 2,457
	Transfer for nonstandard weapons: Army-requested for			1.2 453
	WTCV lines 18, 19, 22, and 29			+ 2,457

Stryker Lethality Upgrades.—The Committee understands that the Army is evaluating various courses of action to address the operational needs statement for increased Stryker lethality of the 2nd Cavalry Regiment, U.S. Army Europe. As the courses of action materialize and the tradeoffs between the schedule of fielding the first upgraded units and the risk in the acquisition schedule are properly balanced, the Committee is open to considering reprogramming requests that address the required funding.

materialize and the tradeons between the schedule of heiding the first upgraded units and the risk in the acquisition schedule are properly balanced, the Committee is open to considering reprogramming requests that address the required funding. *MK-19 Grenade Machine Gun Modifications.*—The fiscal year 2016 President's budget request includes \$2,777,000 for MK-19 40mm grenade machine gun launchers to improve the lethality and accuracy of the weapon. The MK-19 has been a reliable and effective weapon for many years, including extensive operational use in Iraq and Afghanistan. However, the Committee is concerned with the Army's plan to upgrade the weapon. The Committee directs the Secretary of the Army to submit a report to congressional defense committees, not later than 180 days after enactment of this act, that addresses the feasibility of utilizing commercial, off-the-shelf technologies to upgrade the MK–19 and validates the requirement for the improved components of the MOD 5 kits.

Handgun.—The fiscal year 2016 President's budget request includes \$5,417,000 to support the procurement of modular handgun systems. The Committee is concerned that the Army is rushing to pursue a new acquisition program to replace the M9 pistol before adequately exploring other cost-effective solutions to meet the Army's handgun requirements. Based on the Army's transfer request, the Committee does not provide funding for modular handgun systems in fiscal year 2016.

#### PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY

Appropriations, 2015	\$1,015,477,000
Budget estimate, 2016	1,233,378,000
Committee recommendation	1,247,426,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,247,426,000. This is \$14,048,000 above the budget estimate.

# COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

	from	Budget estimate			- 952					+ 15,000		
	Change from	Qty.										
	Committae	recommendation			43,489 40,715 6,801	24,728 8,305	34,330 79,972	42,898 43,500 64,372	105,541	57,756 77,995 60,518 78,024	7,500 33,653	5,639 9,751 19,993 9,761 9,749
		Qty.										
	2016 hudrat	estimate			43,489 40,715 7,753	24,728 8,305	34,330 79,972	42,898 43,500 64,372	105,541	57,756 77,995 45,518 78,024	7,500 33,653	5,639 9,751 19,993 9,761 9,749
hou sands]		Qty.										
[Dollars in thousands]		Item	PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY	AMMUNITION			CTG, CTG,	MORTAR AMMUNITION           8         GOMM MORTAR, ALL TYPES           9         81MM MORTAR, ALL TYPES           10         120MM MORTAR, ALL TYPES	TAMK AMMUNITION 11 CTG TANK 105MM AND 120MM: ALL TYPES	ARTILLERY AMMUNITION           12         CTG, ARTY, 75MM AND 105MM: ALL TYPES           13         ARTILLERY PROJECTILE, 155MM, ALL TYPES           14         PROJ 155MM EXTENDED RANGE XM982           15         ARTILLERY PROPELLANTS, FUZES AND PRIMERS, ALL TYPES	ROCKETS           16         SHOULDER LAUNCHED MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES           17         ROCKET, HYDRA 70, ALL TYPES	OTHER AMMUNITION           18         CAD/PAD ALL TYPES           19         DEMOLITION MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES           20         GRENADES, ALL TYPES           21         SIGNALS, ALL TYPES           22         SIMULATORS, ALL TYPES

				10		
from	Budget estimate		+ 14,048			+ 14,048
Change from	Qty.					
Committee recommendation		3,521 1,700 6,181 17,811 14,695	908,898	221,703 113,250 3,575	338,528	1,247,426
	Qty.					
2016 hudant	estimate	3,521 1,700 6,181 17,811 14,695	894,850	221,703 113,250 3,575	338,528	1,233,378
	Qty.					
	Item	miscellaneous           23         amno components all types           24         non-lethal ammunition, all types           25         items less than \$5 million           26         ammunition pecular goupment           27         first destination transportation (ammo)	TOTAL, AMMUNITION	PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT 29 PROVISION OF INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES 30 CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS DEMILITARIZATION, ALL	TOTAL, AMMUNITION PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT	TOTAL, PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY
		22222		31 31		

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
3	CTG, Handgun, All Types Transfer CROWS: Army-requested to RDTE, Army line 83	7,753	6,801	- 952 - 952
14		45,518	60,518	+ 15,000 + 15,000

# OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY

Appropriations, 2015	\$4,747,523,000
Budget estimate, 2016	5,899,028,000
Committee recommendation	5,648,874,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$5,648,874,000. This is \$250,154,000 below the budget estimate.

# COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

thousands]	
.⊑	
[Dollars	

TACTICAL AND SUPPORT VEHI TACTICAL AND SUPPORT VEHI TACTICAL VEHICLES TACTICAL VEHICLES TACTICAL VEHICLES TACTICAL VEHICLES FAMILY OF MEDIUM TACTICAL VEHICLE FAMILY OF HEAVY TACTICAL VEHICLE INNT LIGHT TACTICAL VEHICLE TACTICAL WHEELED VEHICLES MINE-RESISTANT AMBUSH-PR MINE-RESISTANT AMBUSH-PR MON-TACTICAL VEHICLES PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES NON-TACTICAL VEHICLES PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES NON-TACTICAL VEHICLES OTHUNICATIONS AND ELECIC NONTACTICAL VEHICLES NON-MODERNIZATION PROI SIGNAL MODERNIZATION PROI COMM-JOINT COMMUNICATIONS SIGNAL MODERNIZATION PROI DEFENSE ENTERPRISE WIDEB TRANSPORTABLE TACTICAL CO SIFT TERM	In the later of th	0dy. 166 450 273	2016 buddget estimate 12,855 33 90,040 308,336 8,8,336 8,8,336 127,102 127,102 127,102 130,993 19,146 127,102 130,993 19,146 9,614 9,614 9,614 9,614 9,614 9,614 9,614 9,614 9,614 9,619 19,629 5,008	dty. 166 450 273	Committee recommendation 12,855 3390,040 308,4345 334,235 12,102 12,102 12,102 12,102 12,102 12,102 13,146 12,148 9,614 9,614 9,614 4,988 4,082 5,008 19,6306 643,370 643,370 643,370 643,370 19,6398 19,6398 19,6398 19,6398 19,6398 19,6398 19,6398 19,6398 19,6398 19,6398 19,6398 19,6398 10,6398 10,6398 10,6398 10,6398 10,6398 10,6398 10,6488 10,6488 10,6498 10,64888 10,64888 10,64888 10,64888 10,64888 10,64888 10,64888 10,64888 10,64888 10,64888 10,64888 10,64888 10,64888 10,648888 10,648888 10,6488888 10,6488888 10,64888888 10,648888888 10,64888888888888888888888888888888888888	Obj.         Change         Form           0ty.         0ty.         0ty.         0ty.	from Budget estimate - 139,746
---	--	---------------------------	--	---------------------------	---	--	--------------------------------

1,042	37 10,137 10,137		26,020 4,073 1,403 9,199 9,199 349	54         21,854         21.31           88         24,388         24,388	49 1,349 1,349 2,695	20 19,920 19,920 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 2	82 16,082 16,082	37 61,503 61,503 - 24,534 - 24,534 95 - 24,534 - 24,544 - 24,544 - 24,544 - 24,544 - 24,544 - 24,544 - 24,544 - 24,544 -	81 881 881 63,650 63,650 63,650 63,650 63,650 63,650 73,906 73,90
		7,116 64,640 227,762 9,422		21,854 24,388 24,388				86,037 8,550 73,496	81 83,650 83,650 83,926 3,929 3,978 3,978
26   Mod of In-Service equipment (tac-sat)	COMM—C3 SYSTEM 28 ARMY GLOBAL CMD & CONTROL SYS (AGCCS)	COMM—COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS 27 ENROUTE MISSION COMMAND (EMC) 29 JOINT TACTICAL RADIO SYSTEM 31 MID-TIER NETWORKING VEHICULAR RADIO (MNVR)	32 AMC CRITICAL ITEMS—OP AZ 33 TRACTOR DESK 34 SPIDER APLA REMORE CONTROL UNIT 35 SPIDER FAMILY OF NETWORKED UNITIONS INCREASE 36 SOLDIER ENAMEMENT PROGRAM COMMELLECTRONCS 37 TACTICAL COMMUNICATIONS AND PROTECTIVE SYSTEM	38 UNFIED COMMAND SUITE	COMM—INTELLIGENCE COMM 42 CI AUTOMATION ARCHITECTURE [MIP] 43 ARMY CA/MISO GPF EQUIPMENT	INFORMATION SECURITY           45         INFORMATION SYSTEM SECURITY PROGRAM-ISSP           46         COMMUNICATIONS SECURITY (COMSEC)	COMM—LONG HAUL COMMUNICATIONS 47 BASE SUPPORT COMMUNICATIONS	COMM—BASE COMMUNICATIONS 1 INFORMATION SYSTEMS 20 EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT MODERNIZATION PROGRAM 51 INSTALLATION INFO INFRASTRUCTURE MOD PROGRAM	ELECT EQUIP           ELECT EQUIP—TACT INT REL ACT [TIARA]           54         JTT/CIBS—M [MIP]           55         PROPHET GROUND [MIP]           56         NORS—A [MIP]           57         DCGS—A [MIP]           58         JOIT TACTICAL GROUND STATION [JTAGS]           59         TROIAN [MIP]           50         MOD OF IN–SVC EQUIP (INTEL SPT) [MIP]

from	Budget estimate							- 101,451	
Change	Qty.								
Committee	recommendation	7,542 8,010 8,125	63,472 2,556 8,224	2,960 1,722 447 228	43,285 124,216	23,216 60,679 53,453 3,338	4,057 133,339 47,212	22,314 12,131 10,075 115,928	1,190 28,176 20,917 5,850 1,2,738 145,405 162,654
	Qty.								
2016 hudget	estimate	7,542 8,010 8,125	63,472 2,556 8,224	2,960 1,722 447 228	43,285 124,216	23,216 60,679 53,453 3,338	4,057 133,339 47,212	22,314 12,131 10,075 217,379	1,190 28,176 20,917 5,850 12,738 145,405 162,654
	Qty.								
	Item	61 CI HUMINT AUTO REPRTING AND COLLICHARCS][MIP] 62 CLOSE ACCESS TARGET RECONNAISSANCE (GATR) 63 MACHINE FOREIGN LANGUAGE TRANSLATION SYSTEM			ELECT EQUIP—TACTICAL SURV. SENTINEL MODS	SMALL TACTICAL OPTICAL RIFLE INDIRECT FIRE PROTECTION FAM FAMILY OF WEAPON SIGHTS (FW ARTILLERY ACCURACY EQUIP	PROFILER JOINT BATTLE COMMAND—PLAT JOINT EFFECTS TARGETING SYST	MOD OF IN-SERVICE EQUIPMEN COMPUTER BALLISTICS: LHMBC MORTAR FIRE CONTROL SYSTEN COUNTERFIRE RADARS	BIEECT EQUIP—TACTICAL C2 SYSTEMS         B87       FIRE SUPPORT C2 FAMILY         B0       AIR & MISL DEFENSE PLANING & CONTROL SYS (AMD)         B1       IAMD BATTLE COMMAND SYSTEM         B2       LIFE CYCLE SOFTWARE DIANNING & CONTROL SYS (AMD)         B3       IFE CYCLE SOFTWARE DIAPORT [LCSS]         B4       MANAGEMENT INTIALIZATION AND SERVICE         B4       MANELUVER CONTROL SYSTEM [MCS]         B4       GLOBAL CONBAT SUSTEM [MCS]
	2016 hurdrast	Change from Qty.	Item     Day.     2016 budget estimate     DAy.     Committee recommendation     Change f       CI HUMINT AUTO REPRTING AND COLLICHARCS][MIP]     0h.     7,542     0h.     0h.       CLOSE ACCESS TARGET RECONNAISSANCE (CATR)     0.010     8,010     8,010     8,010       MACHINE FOREIGN LANGUAGE TRANSLATION SYSTEM     0.010     8,125     0.010     1,542	Item     Day     2016 budget estimate     Day     Committee estimate     Committee       C1 HUMINT AUTO REPRTING AND COLLICHARCSIMIPI COLIE MODELECTAROUS ANGE COCONTER AND STREM     Day     Constrained estimate     Day       C1 HUMINT AUTO REPRTING AND COLLICHARCSIMIPI COLIE MODELECTAROUS ANGE COCONTER AND STREM     Day     Change t     Change t       C1 HUMINT AUTO REPRTING AND COLLICHARCSIMIPI COLIE MODELECTAROUS ANGE COCONTER AND STREM     Day     Change t     Day       C1 HUMINT AUTO REPRTING AND COLLICHARCSIMIPI CUCIENT FORM     COLIE budget (CATRO)     Day     Change t     Day       C1 HUMINT AUTO REPRTING AND COLLICHARCSIMIPI CUCIENT FORM     COLIE budget (CATRO)     COLIE budget (CATRO)     Day     Change t       C1 HUMINT AUTO REPRTING AND COLLICHARCSIMIPI CUCIENT FORM     S125     Commendation     Day       C1 HUMINT AUTO REPART RANGET     COLIE     S125     S125     Commendation       C1 HUMINT AUTO REPART FORM     COLIE AND AMAGENET     COLIE     S125     Commendation       C1 HUMINT AUTO REPART FORM     COLIE AND AMAGENET     COLIE     S125     Commendation       C1 HUMINT AUTO REPART FORM     COLIE AND AMAGENET     COLIE     S125     Commendation       C1 HUMINT AUTO REPART FORM     COLIE AND AMAGENET     COLIE     COLIE     COLIE       C1 HUMINT AUTO REPART FORM     COLIE AND AMAGENET     COLIE <t< td=""><td>Item         Day         2016 budget estimate         Day         Committee recommendation         Committee Day           C1 HUMINT AUTO REPRTING AND COLLICHARCSIMIPID         Day         Coll budget estimate         Day         Day         Day           C1 HUMINT AUTO REPRTING AND COLLICHARCSIMIPID         Coll budget         Day         Day         Day           CLOSE ACCESS TAREET RECONNERSISANCE (CARR)         Eventmendation         Signation         Signation         Day           MACHINE FOREICULICHARCSIMIPID         Eventmendation         Signation         Signation         Signation         Day           MACHINE FOREICULICHARCSIMIPID         Eventmendation         Signation         Signation         Signation         Day           EVENTIME         FOREICULICHARCSIMIPID         Eventmendation         Signation         Signation         Signation         Day           EVENTIME         FOREICULICHARCSIMIP         Eventmendation         Signation         Signation         Signation         Day           EVENTIME         FOREICULICHARCSIMIP         Eventmendation         Signation         Signation         Signation         Day           EVENTIME         FOREICULICHARCSIMIP         Eventimendation         Signation         Signation         Signation         Evention         Signation</td></t<> <td>Term         Day         ZOIS budget estimate         DAy         Committee recommendation         Change t day           C1 HUMNT AUTO REPRTING AND COLL[CHARCS][MP]         0y.         2015 budget estimate         DAy         Committee recommendation         DAy           C1 HUMNT AUTO REPRTING AND COLL[CHARCS][MP]         0y.         7,542         Committee estimate         DAy           CLOSE ACCESS TARGET RECONNAISSANCE (CATR)         8,010         8,125         8,010         By 125           MACHINE FOREIQUI LEDERTONIC WARFARE [EW]         63,472         8,010         8,125         Changet           MACHINE FOREIGN LANGLAGET RECONNAISSANCE CATR)         8,010         8,125         8,212         Changet           MACHINE FOREIGN LANGLAGET NOULER MORTAR RADAR         8,125         8,212         8,212         Changet           ELECT EQUIP—ELECTRONIC WARFARE [EW]         63,472         63,472         63,472         Changet           MACUNE AND MANGEMENT TOOLS         2,565         2,566</td> <td>Term         Dy.         2016 budget settimate         Dy.         2016 budget settimate         Dy.         Committee settimate         Committee dy.           HUMINT AUTO REPRTING AND COLLICHARCS]IMP1         Dy.         2016 budget settimate         Dy.         2016 budget settimate         Dy.         2016 budget settimate         Dy.         Dy.           CLORE ACCESS TARGET RECONNANSSANCE CATRYN         MACHINE TOREIGN LICHARCS]IMP1         Dy.         2016 budget settimate         Dy.         Dy.           CLORE ACCESS TARGET RECONNANSSANCE CATRYN         MACHINE TOREIGN LICHARCS]IMP1         By 125         Changet settimate         Dy.           CLORE ACCESS TARGET RECONNER RADARTING NY STEM         By 125         By 125         By 125         Dy.           CHAURE AND MANGEMENT TOLS         EVENTION SYSTEM         By 125         By 127         Dy.           CHAURE AND         MIN VIGLIANCE AND         EVENTION SYSTEM         By 127         Dy.         Dy.           CHAURE AND MANGEMENT TOLS         EVENTION SYSTEM         By 127         Dy.         Dy.         Dy.           CHAURE AND MANGEMENT TOLS         EVENTION SYSTEM         By 124         Dy.         Dy.         Dy.           CONTRENT ON INFOLOR         EVENTION SYSTEM         EVENTION SYSTEM         DY.         DY.         DY.</td> <td>Term         Op.         2016         Dedget retinate         Op.         2016         Dedget retinate         Op.         Committee           Channel         Channel         Op.         2016         Dedget         Op.         2016         Dedget         Op.         Channel           Classes         Arris         S125         S125         S125         S126         Op.         Channel           Classes         Arris         S125         S125         S125         S126         Op.         Channel           Classes         Arris         S125         S125         S125         S126         Op.         Presonmendation         Op.         Presonmendation         Op.         Presonmendation         Op.         Presonmendation         Dp.         Presonmendation         Presonmendation</td> <td>Image: constraint of the constratent of the constraint of the constraint of the constraint of the</td>	Item         Day         2016 budget estimate         Day         Committee recommendation         Committee Day           C1 HUMINT AUTO REPRTING AND COLLICHARCSIMIPID         Day         Coll budget estimate         Day         Day         Day           C1 HUMINT AUTO REPRTING AND COLLICHARCSIMIPID         Coll budget         Day         Day         Day           CLOSE ACCESS TAREET RECONNERSISANCE (CARR)         Eventmendation         Signation         Signation         Day           MACHINE FOREICULICHARCSIMIPID         Eventmendation         Signation         Signation         Signation         Day           MACHINE FOREICULICHARCSIMIPID         Eventmendation         Signation         Signation         Signation         Day           EVENTIME         FOREICULICHARCSIMIPID         Eventmendation         Signation         Signation         Signation         Day           EVENTIME         FOREICULICHARCSIMIP         Eventmendation         Signation         Signation         Signation         Day           EVENTIME         FOREICULICHARCSIMIP         Eventmendation         Signation         Signation         Signation         Day           EVENTIME         FOREICULICHARCSIMIP         Eventimendation         Signation         Signation         Signation         Evention         Signation	Term         Day         ZOIS budget estimate         DAy         Committee recommendation         Change t day           C1 HUMNT AUTO REPRTING AND COLL[CHARCS][MP]         0y.         2015 budget estimate         DAy         Committee recommendation         DAy           C1 HUMNT AUTO REPRTING AND COLL[CHARCS][MP]         0y.         7,542         Committee estimate         DAy           CLOSE ACCESS TARGET RECONNAISSANCE (CATR)         8,010         8,125         8,010         By 125           MACHINE FOREIQUI LEDERTONIC WARFARE [EW]         63,472         8,010         8,125         Changet           MACHINE FOREIGN LANGLAGET RECONNAISSANCE CATR)         8,010         8,125         8,212         Changet           MACHINE FOREIGN LANGLAGET NOULER MORTAR RADAR         8,125         8,212         8,212         Changet           ELECT EQUIP—ELECTRONIC WARFARE [EW]         63,472         63,472         63,472         Changet           MACUNE AND MANGEMENT TOOLS         2,565         2,566	Term         Dy.         2016 budget settimate         Dy.         2016 budget settimate         Dy.         Committee settimate         Committee dy.           HUMINT AUTO REPRTING AND COLLICHARCS]IMP1         Dy.         2016 budget settimate         Dy.         2016 budget settimate         Dy.         2016 budget settimate         Dy.         Dy.           CLORE ACCESS TARGET RECONNANSSANCE CATRYN         MACHINE TOREIGN LICHARCS]IMP1         Dy.         2016 budget settimate         Dy.         Dy.           CLORE ACCESS TARGET RECONNANSSANCE CATRYN         MACHINE TOREIGN LICHARCS]IMP1         By 125         Changet settimate         Dy.           CLORE ACCESS TARGET RECONNER RADARTING NY STEM         By 125         By 125         By 125         Dy.           CHAURE AND MANGEMENT TOLS         EVENTION SYSTEM         By 125         By 127         Dy.           CHAURE AND         MIN VIGLIANCE AND         EVENTION SYSTEM         By 127         Dy.         Dy.           CHAURE AND MANGEMENT TOLS         EVENTION SYSTEM         By 127         Dy.         Dy.         Dy.           CHAURE AND MANGEMENT TOLS         EVENTION SYSTEM         By 124         Dy.         Dy.         Dy.           CONTRENT ON INFOLOR         EVENTION SYSTEM         EVENTION SYSTEM         DY.         DY.         DY.	Term         Op.         2016         Dedget retinate         Op.         2016         Dedget retinate         Op.         Committee           Channel         Channel         Op.         2016         Dedget         Op.         2016         Dedget         Op.         Channel           Classes         Arris         S125         S125         S125         S126         Op.         Channel           Classes         Arris         S125         S125         S125         S126         Op.         Channel           Classes         Arris         S125         S125         S125         S126         Op.         Presonmendation         Op.         Presonmendation         Op.         Presonmendation         Op.         Presonmendation         Dp.         Presonmendation         Presonmendation	Image: constraint of the constratent of the constraint of the constraint of the constraint of the

80

SRITD FECONEL, AND PAY SSTERH-JARN'         4.446         4.446         4.446           OF INSCRICE, ROD SERVER, AND SSTERH-JARN'         1.138         1.138         4.446           OF INSCRICE, ROD SERVER, INSTRUCTURE GUINHERT         1.138         1.138         5.446           OF INSCRICE, ROD SERVER, INSTRUCTURE GUINHERT         1.138         1.138         5.446           OF INSCRIPTION         1.138         1.138         1.138         5.546           RUED DAIN PROSES BUINERS         1.138         1.138         1.138         5.546           RUED DAIN PROSES BUINERS         1.138         1.138         1.138         5.546           RUED DAIN PROSES BUINERS         1.138         1.138         5.546         5.546           RUED DAIN PROSES BUINERS         1.138         1.137         5.546         5.546           RUED SUPPORT         1.138         1.137         1.137         5.546         5.546           RUED SUPERT         1.138         1.137         1.137	SYSTEM-ARM*         4446         4446         4446         4446           MISTIONMANY SET         11,338         11,488					(	01		
Y SYSTEM-ARNY       4.446       4.446         G INSTRUMENT SET       11.138       4.445         IC STREAM       11.138       11.138         CENERD       11.138       11.138         CENERD       11.138       11.138         CENERD       11.138       11.138         NEXS NSTEM       105.775       115.745         NEXS NSTEM       105.775       115.743         NEXS NSTEM       105.713       115.844         NEXS NSTEM       4.242       4.242         NEXS NSTEM       4.242       4.242         NEXS NSTEM       17.844       117.844         NEXS NSTEM       4.242       4.242         NEXP       2.800       17.844         S AND       4.242       4.242         NO ELECTRONCE COUPARINT       3.473.118       3.167.318         S AND       4.242       4.242       4.242         MO       4.242       4.242       4.242         MO       17.844       1.743       5.465         S AND       1.481       1.481       1.445         MO       1.481       1.481       1.422         MO       1.481       1.481       1.425	IMTEGATED FERSOMEL AND PAY SYETCH-ARMY         4.445         4.445           RECONNECTION FERSOMEL AND PAY SYETCH-ARMY         1.138         4.445           RECONNECTION FERSOMEL AND MAIN SYETCH-ARMY         1.138         1.138         1.138           RELET EDUD—AUTOMATION         AMANE MODE FERSOMEL SYSTEM         1.05,775         1.05,775           MAIN PARKE DATA PROCESSING EDUPARITION         AMANE MODE FERSOMEL         1.05,775         1.05,775           RELET EDUD—AUTOMATION SYS IRCASI         1.05,775         2.895         1.05,775           REFERE COMPONENT AUTOMATION SYS IRCASI         1.05,775         2.895         1.05,775           REFERE COMPONENT AUTOMATION SYS IRCASI         1.05,775         2.315         2.315           REFERE COMPONENT AUTOMATION SYS IRCASI         1.05,775         2.316         2.316           REFERE COMPONENT AUTOMATION SYS IRCASI         1.05,775         2.316         2.316           REFERE COMPONENT AUTOMATION SYS IRCASI         1.05,775         2.316         2.326           REFERE COMPONENT AUTOMATION SYS IRCASI         1.05,775         2.325         2.325           REFERE COMPONENT AUTOMATION SYS IRCASI         1.05,775         2.326         2.326           REFERE COMPONENT AUTOMATION SYS IRCASI         1.0104         2.326         2.326		-5,455			-310,800			
Y SYSTEM-RRW       4.446       1.138       1.138       1.138         G INSTRUMENT SET       1.138       1.138       1.138       1.138         CURNET       1.138       1.138       1.138       1.138       1.138         CURNET       1.138       1.148       1.148       1.148       1.148       1.148       1.148       1.148       1.148       1.148       1.148<	IMEGATED FRESONCE AND PAY SYSTEM-ARM*       4.446         INTEGATED FRESONCE AND SUPERVISION USED       1.138         IELECT EQUIP—AUTOMATION       1.138         IELECT EQUIP—AUTOMATION       1.138         IELECT EQUIP—AUTOMATION       1.138         IELECT EQUIP—AUTOMATION       1.138         IELECT EQUIP—AUTOMATION SYSTEM       1.138         AUTOMATION DATA PROCESSMIC ELUPRIENT       1.138         AUTOMATION SYSTEM       1.138         AUTOMATION DATA PROCESSMIC ELOPRIENT       1.138         AUTOMATION SYSTEM       1.138         AUTOMATION DATA PROCESSMIC ELOPRIENT       2.88         AUTOMATION USAL SYSTEM       2.422         AUTOMATION USAL SYSTEM       2.423         RELECT EQUID—AUTOMATION SYSTEM       2.424         AUTOMATION USAL SYSTEM       2.424         RELECT EQUID—AUTOMATION SYSTEM       2.424         RELECT EQUID—AUTOMATION SYSTEM       2.428								
Y SYSTEM-ARM*         4,446           G INSTRUMENT SET         1,138           ICNPRED         4,242           ICNPRED         4,242           ICNPRED         4,243           ICNPRED         3,478,118           ICNPRED	INTEGRATED FERSOMEL AND PAY SYSTEM-ARM       4,446         INTEGRATED FERSOMEL AND PAY SYSTEM-ARM       4,446         INTEGRATED FERSOMEL AND PROCESSING ENDIPMENT       1,138         IELECT EQUIP—AUTOMATION       1,128         IELECT EQUIP—AUTOMATION       1,128         REER COMPONENT AUTOMATION       1,128         IELECT EQUIP—AUTOMATION       1,128         REER COMPONENT AUTOMATION       1,128         REER COMPONENT AUTOMATIC       1,128         REER COMPONENT AUTOMATIC       1,128         REER COMPONENT AUTOMATIC       1,128         REER COMPONENT AUTOMATIC       1,128         REER COMPLIANCE COUPMENT       1,128         REER COMPONENT AUTOMATIC       1,128         REER COMPONENT       1,128         REER COMPONENT       1,128         REER COMPONENT       1,128         RELECT EQUIP-AUTOR       1,128         <	4,446 16,218 1,138	12,089 105,775 13,540 62,319 17,894	4,242	425 7,438 6,467	3,167,318	248 1,487 26,302	9,822 21,516 4,959 52,546	58,682 13,565 13,565 2,136 6,660 17,424 17,424 8,243 8,243 8,243 8,243 8,243
Y SYSTEM-ARIW         1           IG INSTRUMENT SET         1           IG INSTRUMENT SET         1           INESS SYSTEM         1           UNESS SYSTEM         3,4           INESS SYSTEM         3,4           INSY RCASI         3,4           IN SYS RCASI         3,4           IN FILLE         3,4           IN FOLD         3,4           IFM (HNDS)         5           IEM (HNDS)         5	INTERATED PERSONNEL AND PAY SYSTEM-ARM*       Interendent of the communent (ENFIRE)       Interesting the communent (ENFIRE)       Interes								
Y SYSTEM ARMY G INSTRUMENT SET (ENFIRE)	INTEGRATED PERSONNEL AND PAY SYSTEM-ARNY RECONNAUSSANCE AND SURVEYING INSTRUMENT SET MOD OF IN-SERVICE EQUIPMENT (ENFIRE) ELECT EQUIP-AUTOMATION AUTOMATICA AUTOMATIC	4,446 16,218 1,138	12,089 105,775 18,995 62,319 17,894	4,242	425 7,438 6,467	3,478,118	248 1,487 26,302	9,822 21,516 4,959 52,546	58,682 13,565 2,136 2,136 6,960 17,424 8,224 8,243 8,244 8,243 8,243
BATED PERSONNEL AND PAY SYSTEM-ARMY OF IN-SERVICE EQUIPMENT (ENFIRE) OF IN-SERVICE EQUIPMENT (ENFIRE) T EQUIP – AUTOMATION ( TRAINING MODERNIZZITION MATED DATA PROCESSING EQUIPMENT ( TRAINING MOD FROGRAM ERAL FUD ENTERPRES BUSINESS SYSTEM PERF COMPOTING MOD PROGRAM ERAL FUD ENTERPRES BUSINESS SYSTEM PERF COMPOTING MOD PROGRAM ERAL FUD NIGA TROCESSING EQUIPMENT) T EQUIP – AUDIO VISUAL SYS (AV) S LESS THAN \$\$M (SURVEVING EQUIPMENT) T EQUIP – AUDIO VISUAL SYS (AV) S LESS THAN \$\$M (SURVEVING EQUIPMENT) T EQUIP – AUDIO VISUAL SYS (AV) S LESS THAN \$\$M (SURVEVING EQUIPMENT) T EQUIP – SUPPORT T COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT T OTAL, COMMUNICATIONS STERM GIN EQUIPMENT ECTIVE SYSTEMS COLIDER RECLAP MON BRIDGE TRANSPORTER RECLAP M									
			ELECT EQUIP—AUTOMATION ARRY TRAINING MODERNIZATION AUTOMATED DATA PROCESSING E GENERAL FUND ENTERPRISE BUS HIGH PERF COMPUTING MOD PRC RESERVE COMPONENT AUTOMATI		ELECT EQUIP—SUPPORT PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT [C- BCT EMERGING TECHNOLOGIES CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	IONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT	other Support Equipment Chemical defensive Equipment Protective Systems	BRIDGING EQUIPMENT TACTICAL BRIDGING TACTICAL BRIDGE, FLOAT-RIBBON BRIDGE SUPPLEMENTAL SET COMMON BRIDGE TRANSPORTER	ENGINEER (NON-CONSTRUCTION) GROUND STANDOFF MINE DETECT HUSKY MOUNTED DETECTION SYS ROBOTIC COMBAT SUPPORT SYST ROBOTICS SYSTEMS RECAPT EXPLOSIVE ORDMANCE DISPOSIAL REMOTE DEMOLITION SYSTEMS . ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M, COUNTER FAMILY OF BOATS AND MOTORS

		,					
		ė	2016 hudget	į	Committee	Change from	from
	Item	Qty.	estimate	Qty.	recommendation	Qty.	Budget estimate
125	COMBAT SERVICE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT HEATTES AND FCUIS		18.876		18.876		
127	SOLDIER ENHANCEMENT		2,287		2,287		
128	PERSONNEL RECOVERY SUPPOR		7,733		7,733		
130			43.639		43,/30		
132	FIELD FEEDING EQUIPMENT		13,118		13,118		
133	CARGO AERIAL DEL & PERSONNEL PARACHUTE SYSTEM		28,278		28,278		
136	FAMILE OF ENGN COMBAL AND CONSTRUCTION SETS		595 595		595 595		
137	QUALITY SURVEILLANCE EQUIPMENT		5,368		5,368		
138	UNSIKIBUTION STSTEMS, FEIRULEUM & WATER		30,301		30,361		
139	COMBAT SUPPORT MEDICAL		73,828		73,828		
	MAINTENANCE EQUIPMENT						
140	MOBILE MAINTENANCE EQUIPMENT SYSTEMS		25,270		25,270		
141	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (MAINT EQ)		2,760		2,760		
142	GRADER, ROAD MTZD, HVY, 6X4 (CCE)		5,903		5,903		
143			26,125		26,125		
146	TRACTOR, FULL TRACKED		27,156		27,156		
147	ALL TERRAIN CRANES		16,750		14,396		-2,354
148	PLANT, ASPHALT MIXING		984		984		
149	HIGH MOBILITY ENGINEER EXCAVATOR [HMEE] FOS		2,656		2,656		
150	ENHANCED RAPID AIRFIELD CONSTRUCTION CAPAP		2,531		2,531		
151			446		446		
152	CONSTEQUIPESP		19,640		19,640		
FCI	EMS LESS    HAN \$5.0M (CONSI EQUIP)		/90,c		/80,c		
154 155	I AKMY WALEKUKAFT ESP		39,172 5,835		59,172 5835		
100			1 000'0		1 000'0		

82

EOUIPMENT         I66,356         I66,356         I66,356         I66,356         I66,356         I66,356         I15,56         I15,56         I15,56         I15,56         I15,56         I15,56         I15,56         I15,56         I17,496         I17,993         I17,993         I17,993         I17,993         I17,993         I17,993         II7,993         II7,	+ bu,uuu - 250,154			+ 646	+ 3,000										
EQUIPMENT         166,36         166,36         11,505           ZPFTALIZATION         11,1505         11,495         11,7495           ZPPIRT         303,236         45,210         303,236           ER         303,236         45,210         303,236           ER         30,068         45,210         30,236           ER         30,236         45,0         30,236           ER         74,916         30,333         34,87           ICAL TRAINIG         30,335         9,733         30,333           ER         COAL TRAINIG         31,487         11,083           ONT FOUNDI         UIPMENT TIFEL         34,487         11,083           ONT FOUNDI         UIPMENT TIFEL         11,083         34,487           ONT FOUNDI         1,793         2,040         1,568           MENT (OPA-3)         1,568         3,191         1,568           MIENT         1,588,727         1,193         3,288           MENT (OPA-3)         1,588,727         1,193         4,650           HI         1,588,727         1,193         1,193           AIR PARTS         48,511         48,511         1,193															
EQUIPMENT         166.356           T         11.505           ZAFITALIZATION         11.505           ZAFITALIZATION         11.505           ZAFITALIZATION         11.505           PPORT         24.916           Contact Trainers         24.916           PORT         233.236           ERN         44.500           NICAL TRAINER (AVCA         30.326           RET OF ARMYT FRAINING         44.500           NICAL TRAINER (AVCA         34.487           NICAL TRAINER (AVCA         34.487           NICE ARMYT FRAINING         4.500           NICE ARMYT RAINING         4.650           NICE ARMYT RAINING         4.650           NICE ARMYT RAINING         34.487           NICE ARMODI         11.083           NICE ARMODI         11.083           NICE ARMODI         11.083           NICE ARMODI         11.083           ART (IPEL)         11.083           NICE ARMODI         11.093           ARMIT (IPEL)         11.	5,648,874	48,511	48,511	1,589,373	6,268 6,268 7,191	1,568 64,219 1.525	52,040 1.568	17,937	34,48/ 11,083	4,650 34 487	30,068 9,793	303,236 45,210	74,916	11,505 17,496	166,356
EQUIPMENT T ZAPITALIZATION															
EQUIPMENT	5,899,028	48,511	48,511	1,588,727	3,268 3,268 7,191	1,568 64,219 1.525	52,040 1.568	17,937	34,48/ 11,083	4,650 34.487	30,068 9,793	303,236 45,210	74,916	11,505 17,496	166,356
156     GENERATORS AND ASSOCIATED E       157     GENERATORS AND ASSOCIATED E       157     MATERIAL HANDLING EQUIPMENT       158     FAMILY OF FORKLIFTS       159     FAMILY OF FORKLIFTS       150     TACTICAL ELECTRIC POWER REC.       151     TACTICAL ELECTRIC POWER REC.       152     FAMILY OF FORKLIFTS       153     TACTICAL TRAINING CENTERS UP       154     TRAINING DEVICES, NONSYSTEM       155     CLOSE COMBAT TACTICAL TRAINING       153     AVATION COMBINED ARINS TACT       154     CLOSE COMBAT TACTICAL TRAINING       155     CLOSE COMBAT TACTICAL TRAINING       153     AVATION COMBINED ARINS TACT       154     CLOSE COMBAT TACTICAL TRAINING       153     AVATION COMBINED ARINS TACT       154     CLOSE COMBAT TACTICAL TRAINING       155     CLOSE COMBAT TACTICAL TRAIN       166     TRAINING TECHNOLOGY IN SUPPORT       167     TEST EQUIPMENT       168     PHYSICAL SECURITY SYSTEMS       169     PHYSICAL SECURITY SYSTEMS       171     BASE LEVEL CONT EQUIPMENT       172     MODIFICATION OF IN-SYC EQUIP       173     PHYSICAL SECURITY SYSTEMS       174     SPECIAL EQUIPMENT FOR USER       175     PHYSICAL SECURITY SYSTEMS       174	MUU FRUGKAM	TOTAL, SPARE AND REPAIR PARTS		TOTAL, OTHER SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (UTN)	Ment (OPA-3)	0PA3)	other support equipment Rapid equipping soldier support equipment		test measure and dig equipment [TMD] Calibration sets equipment Integated emily of test fourinment fifte	AVIATION COMBINED ARMS TACTICAL TRAINER (AVCA GAMING TECHNOLOGY IN SUPPORT OF ARMY TRAINING	TRAINING DEVICES, NONSYSTEM	NTERS SUI	Material handling equipment Tactical electric power recapitalization	and associated equipment

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In	thousands	of	dollars]
-----	-----------	----	----------

Line	ltem	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
16	Win-T—Ground Forces Tactical Network Improving funds management: Prior year carryover		643,370	- 139,746 - 139,746
26	Mod of In-Svc Equip (TAC SAT) Improving funds management: Prior year carryover	1,042	642	- 400 - 400
29	Joint Tactical Radio System Restoring acquisition accountability: Schedule slip	64,640	32,320	- 32,320 - 32,320
30	Mid-Tier Networking Vehicular Radio [MNVR] Restoring acquisition accountability: Acquisition strategy	27,762	21,868	- 5,894 - 5,894
43	Army CA/MISO GPF Equipment Improving funds management: Prior year carryover	3,695	2,695	- 1,000 - 1,000
48	Information Systems Improving funds management: Prior year carryover	86,037	61,503	- 24,534 - 24,534
86	Counterfire Radars Improving funds management: Prior year carryover	217,379	115,928	-101,451 -101.451
102	General Fund Enterprise Business Systems Fam Transfer GFEBS-SA: Army-requested to RDT&E, Army line	18,995		- 5,455
	106			- 5,455
147	All Terrain Cranes Improving funds management: Prior year carryover	16,750	,	- 2,354 - 2,354
174	Special Equipment for User Testing	3,268	6,268	+ 3,000
ХХ	Program increase HMMWV Mod Program			+ 3,000 + 60,000
	Program increase: HMMWV Ambulance Replacement			+ 60,000

European Facilities Communications.—The Committee notes capability gaps in communications security at U.S. Army Europe [USAREUR] facilities, which rely on outdated radio infrastructure. A study by the Naval Surface Warfare Center—Crane recommended that USAREUR adopt a single enterprise radio infrastructure by entering into a joint agreement with U.S. Air Forces Europe to utilize their Enterprise Land Mobile Radio [ELMR] program. The Committee recognizes that a joint ELMR program would result in cost savings and support Joint Information Environment goals. The Committee directs the Secretary of the Army to provide a USAREUR radio upgrade strategy to the congressional defense committees not later than 120 days after enactment of this act. *Heavy Equipment Transport.*—Future upgrades to the Army's M1 Abrams tank, planned for fielding as early as fiscal year 2019,

*Heavy Equipment Transport.*—Future upgrades to the Army's M1 Abrams tank, planned for fielding as early as fiscal year 2019, will likely increase the weight of the platform in excess of the M1070 Heavy Equipment Transport [HET] and associated M1000 HET Trailer's current carrying capacity of 70 tons. The Committee encourages the Army to establish and fund an M1070 and M1000 recapitalization program or programs.

recapitalization program or programs. *MRAP Recovery Vehicle Upgrades.*—The Committee recognizes the Army's continuing need for, and investment in, Mine Resistant Armored Personnel recovery vehicles [MRV] and recommends that the Army move expeditiously to install and evaluate MRV performance kits. The Committee directs the Secretary of the Army to provide a report to the congressional defense committees, not later than 60 days after enactment of this act, on the status of the MRV fleet including actual and planned fielded quantities and locations, MRV capability requirements, and estimates of budgets across the Future Years Defense Program needed to meet the Army's current and future MRV needs.

*HMMWV Ambulances.*—The Committee recommends an additional \$60,000,000 for High Mobility Multipurpose Wheeled Vehicle [HMMWV] ambulances, of which \$40,000,000 is intended for the Army National Guard and \$20,000,000 for the Army Reserve.

Ultra-Light Combat Vehicle.—The Committee is aware that the Army is developing requirements for an Ultra-Light Combat Vehicle [ULCV] for use by general purpose infantry. The Committee encourages the Secretary of the Army to develop and acquire ULCV using commercially available platforms, non-developmental items, and full and open competition.

# AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY

Appropriations, 2015	\$14,758,035,000
Budget estimate, 2016	
Committee recommendation	17,392,174,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$17,392,174,000. This is \$1,265,769,000 above the budget estimate.

# COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

			2016 hudaat		Committoo	Change from	from
	Item	Gty.	estimate	Qty.	recommendation	aty.	Budget estimate
	AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY						
	COMBAT AIRCRAFT						
. 1	2   F/A-18E/F (FIGHTER) HORNET [MYP]			12	978,750	+12	+ 978,750
	3 JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER	4	897,542	4	778,142		-119,400
			48,630	1	48,630		
., c	0   JSF STOVI [AP_CY]	ת	1,483,414 203 060	CI	2,213,714	0 +	+ /30,300
			41.300		2		-41.300
	8 V-22 (MEDIUM LIFT)	19	1,436,355	19	1,421,355		-15,000
,	9 V-22 (MEDIUM LIFT) [AP-CY]		43,853		43,853		
10	0 UH-IY/AH-IZ	28	800,057	28	795,405		-4,652
ij	1 UH-1Y/AH-1Z [AP-CY]		56,168		56,168		
12	_	∞	28,232	80	28,232		
3		29	969,991	29	964,991		-5,000
lf		16	3,008,928	16	3,008,928		
17	7   P-8A POSEIDON [AP-CY]		269,568		250,568		-19,000
ĩ		5	857,654	5	857,654		
10			195,336		195,336		
	TOTAL, COMBAT AIRCRAFT		10,340,088		11,844,786		+1,504,698
20	TRAINER AIRCRAFT I PATS		8 914		8 914		
í							
	TOTAL, TRAINER AIRCRAFT		8,914		8,914		
2]		2	192,214	2	192,214		
22			24,451		24,451		
2:		ς,	494,259	2	391,759	- 1	-102,500
24			54,577		5,098		-49,479
21		2	120,020	5	156,020	ς +	+ 36,000
2ť			3,450		3,450		

	OTHER SUPPORT AIRCRAFT			1	15,100	+	+ 15,100
	TOTAL, OTHER AIRCRAFT		888,971		788,092		-100,879
	MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT						
28	EA-6 SERIES		9,799		9,799		
29	AEA SYSTEMS		23,151		31,151		+ 8,000
30	AV-8 SERIES		41,890		45,190		+3,300
31	ADVERSARY		5,816		5,816		
32	F–18 SERIES		978,756		939,556		-39,200
34	H-53 SERIES		46.887		46.887		
35	SH-60 SERIES		107.728		100.928		-6.800
36			42,315		40.565		-1.750
37			41.784		44.984		+3.200
88			3.067		3.067		
39			20.741		20.741		
40	TRAINER AG SERIES		27,980		27,980		
4			8 157		8 157		
42			70,335		66,735		-3 600
15			633		633		00010
₽ ₹			8 016		8 016		
; ;			1010,010		101.010		
64	E-0 SERIES		185,253		185,253		
46	EXECUTIVE HELICOPTERS SERIES		/6,138		/2,338		-3,800
47	SPECIAL PROJECT AIRCRAFT		23,702		23,702		
48	T-45 SERIES		105,439		105,439		
49			9,917		9,917		
50	JPATS SERIES		13.537		13.537		
3 5			131 732		131 732		
5 6			202,745		202,745		
3 2	COMMON DEFENSIVE WEADON SYSTEM		3 062		3 062		
3 2			18 206		18 206		
5 5			28 402		28 002		000
33			7 500		7 6 90		100
3 5	-		1,000		1,000		
202			777		22,464		
ŝ	RU-/ SERIES		3,//3		3,113		
59			121,208		144,208		+ 23,000
09			256,106		256,106		
61	F-35 CV SERIES		68,527		48,527		-20,000
62			6,885		6,885		
			2 752 821		177 A17 C		- 38 050
		_	7,1 JC,061 1		<i>ב,1</i> 14, <i>1</i> 1 1		- JO,UJU

thou	
(Dollars in 1	

			2016 hudzot		Committoo	Change from	from
	Item	Qty.	estimate	Qty.	recommendation	Qty.	Bud get estimate
63	AIRCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS 63 SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		1,563,515		1,463,515		- 100,000
64	AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES COMMON GROUND EQUIPMENT		450,959		450,959		
65			24,010		24,010		
99	WAR CONSUMABLES		42,012		42,012		
67	OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES		2,455		2,455		
68	SPECIAL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		50,859		50,859		
69	FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION		1,801		1,801		
	TOTAL, AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT & FACILITIES		572,096		572,096		
	TOTAL, AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY		16,126,405		17,392,174		+1,265,769

# The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
2	F/A–18E/F (Fighter) Hornet Authorization adjustment: Additional 12 aircraft—Navy		978,750	+ 978,750
	UFR			+ 978,750
3	Joint Strike Fighter CV	897,542	778,142	- 119,400
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Delayed sustainment contract awards			- 105,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Unit cost growth			- 14,400
5	JSF STOVL	1,483,414	2,213,714	+ 730,300
	Authorization adjustment: Additional 6 aircraft and support equipment—USMC UFR			+ 846,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Delayed sustainment contract awards			- 95.000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Unit cost growth			- 20,700
7	CH–53K (Heavy Lift)	41,300		- 41,300
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Advance procurement			41.00
8	early to need	1,436,355	1,421,355	- 41,300 - 15,000
0	V–22 (Medium Lift) Restoring acquisition accountability: Unit cost growth	1,450,555	1,421,555	- 15,000
10	H-1 Upgrades (UH-1Y/AH-1Z)	800.057	795.405	- 4,652
	Maintain program affordability: Engineering change order	,	,	, · · ·
	funds excess to need			- 4,652
14	MH–60R [MYP]	969,991	964,991	- 5,000
	Budget documentation disparity: Poor justification of pro-			F 000
17	duction line shutdown funds P–8A Poseidon—AP	269.568	250.568	- 5,000 - 19,000
17	Maintain program affordability: Advance procurement ex-	203,300	230,300	- 15,000
	cess to need			- 19,000
23	MQ-4 Triton	494,259	391,759	- 102,500
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Quantity reduction			
~ •	from three to two aircraft			- 102,500
24	MQ-4 Triton—AP	54,577	5,098	- 49,47
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Advance procurement for two aircraft			- 49,47
25	MQ-8 UAV	120,020	156,020	+ 36,00
20	Program increase: 3 additional MQ-8 aircraft for Navy			+ 36,00
27	Other Support Aircraft		15,100	+15,10
	Program increase: Additional UC-12W for USMC			+15,10
29	AEA Systems	23,151	31,151	+ 8,000
30	Program increase AV–8 Series	41.890	45.190	+ 8,00 + 3,30
30	Authorization adjustment: AV–8B Link 16 upgrades—Navy	41,050	43,130	+ 3,300
	UFR			+ 3,300
32	F-18 Series	978,756	939,556	- 39,20
	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover			- 51,20
	Program increase: Update generators for EA-18 Growlers			+ 12,00
35	SH-60 Series	107,728	100,928	- 6,80
36	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover H–1 Series	42,315	40.565	- 6,80 - 1,75
30	Maintain program affordability: Unjustified growth—instal-	42,313	40,565	- 1,75
	lation funding			- 1,75
37	EP-3 Series	41,784	44,984	+ 3,200
	Program increase: 12th and final spiral 3 installation			+ 3,200
42	C-130 Series	70,335	66,735	- 3,60
	Maintain program affordability: Unjustified growth—instal-			0.00
46	lation funding Executive Helicopters Series	76 139		- 3,60 - 3,80
40	Maintain program affordability: Unjustified growth—instal-	76,138	72,338	- 3,800
	lation funding			- 3,800
55	P–8 Series	28,492	28,092	- 40

[In	thousands	of	dollars]

Line	ltem	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover			- 400
59	V–22 (Tilt/Rotor ACFT) Osprey	121,208	144,208	+ 23,000
	Authorization adjustment: MV-22 integrated aircraft sur- vivability			+ 15,000
	Authorization adjustment: MV–22 ballistic protection—MC UFR			+ 8,000
61	F-35 CV Series	68,527	48,527	- 20,000
	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover			- 20,000
63	Spares and Repair Parts	1,563,515	1,463,515	- 100,000
	Budget documentation disparity: Poor justification mate-	. ,		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	rials			- 100,000

CH-53K Helicopter.—The fiscal year 2016 President's budget request includes \$41,300,000 in advance procurement for the first two low rate initial production units of the CH–53K heavy lift helicopter for the Marine Corps. The Committee notes that developmental test has been delayed and now extends through June 2016. The Committee also understands that a milestone C decision is scheduled for the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2016. Therefore, the Committee believes that there is risk in the current schedule and it is premature to begin procurement of the CH–53K helicopter in fiscal year 2016 and recommends no funding for advance procurement.

MQ-4 Triton Unmanned Aerial Vehicle.—The fiscal year 2016 President's budget requests \$494,259,000 for three low rate initial production [LRIP] Lot 1 aircraft. The President's budget request also includes \$54,577,000 for advance procurement of three LRIP Lot 2 aircraft for production in fiscal year 2017. The Committee understands that Lots 1 and 2 will include only basic intelligence capability and that multiple intelligence capability will be added beginning with Lot 3 in fiscal year 2018. As expressed in the report accompanying the Senate version of the fiscal year 2015 Department of Defense Appropriations bill (Senate Report 113-211), the Committee remains concerned with software development delays. In addition, the delivery of the system demonstration test article [SDTA] aircraft has slipped from the second half of fiscal year 2015 to the first half of fiscal year 2017. Due to the Navy's plan to meet the initial operational capability requirement with two SDTA aircraft and only two LRIP aircraft from Lot 1, the Committee recommends \$391,759,000 for two aircraft in fiscal year 2016 and sufficient funding in advance procurement, in addition to the funding provided in fiscal year 2015, to support the production of two aircraft in fiscal year 2017.

TH-57 Helicopter Trainers.—The Committee understands that the Navy rotary wing training fleet of TH-57B/C helicopters are equipped with obsolete avionics, increasingly costly to sustain and have little commonality with the rest of the rotary-wing fleet. Therefore, the Committee directs the Navy to submit a report to the congressional defense committees, not later than 180 days after enactment of the act, on the near and long-term plan to sustain and replace the TH-57 fleet.

# WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY

Appropriations, 2015	\$3,137,257,000
Budget estimate, 2016	3,154,154,000
Committee recommendation	3,172,822,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$3,172,822,000. This is \$18,668,000 above the budget estimate.

# COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

		[childs up ]					
			2016 hudrot		Committoo	Change from	e from
	Item	Qty.	estimate	Qty.	recommendation	Qty.	Bud get estimate
	WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY						
	BALLISTIC MISSILES						
1	MODIFICATION OF MISSILES TRIDENT II MODS		1,099,064		1,099,064		
2			7,748		7,748		
	TOTAL, BALLISTIC MISSILES		1,106,812		1,106,812		
	OTHER MISSILES						
ŝ	STRATEGIC MISSILES TOMAHAWK	100	184,814	149	214,814	+49	+ 30,000
•	TACTICAL MISSILES		010 001				
5 4	AMKAAM SIDEWINDER	16/ 227	192,8/3 96,427	16/ 227	207,873		+ 15,000
2 2	JSOW STANDARD MISSILE	113	21,419 435,352	113	21,419 435,352		
8	RAM	06	80,826	90	80,826		
11 12	STAND OFF PRECISION GUIDED MUNITION AERIA TARGETS OTHER MISSEI S CHIEDDAR	27	4,265 40,792 3 335	27	4,265 40,792 335		
3			, , ,		000		
14 15 16	ESSM	30	44,440 54,462 122 208	30	44,440 54,462 122228		
17 18 18			2,397 2,397 39,932		2,397 2,397 39,932		
19			57,641		31,309		- 26,332

+ 18,668							+ 18,668
7,399,941 [		3,342	344,435	11,937	53,147 19,022 67,980 19,823	171,909	3,172,822
1,381,273		3,342	344,435	11,937	53,147 19,022 67,980 19,823	171,909	3,154,154
8			3			1 1	
s IPMENT IP	JED EQUIP	(ATION			MODIFICATION OF GUNS AND GUN MOUNTS CINS MODS	S	
			related equipment				
	- · ·	RTATION ANSPORTATION	does and related	TS APONS	s and gun mounts vs ralization systems	WEAPONS	INS PROCUREMENT, NAVY
TOTAL, OTHER MISSILES TORPEDDES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT TORPEDDES AND RELATED EQUIP SSTD	MOD OF TORPEDDES AND RELA MK-48 TORPEDO ADCAP MODS QUICKSTRIKE MINE	DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORT	TOTAL, TORPEDOES AND OTHER WEAPONS	guns and gun mounts small arms and weapons	MODIFICATION OF GUNS AND GUN MOUNTS CIVS MODS	TOTAL, OTHER WEAPON SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	TOTAL, WEAPONS PROC
23 A M M	24 M 25 C5 C5 26 T 27 A	28 F		29 C	33 A A	35 S	

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In	thousands	of	dollars]
-----	-----------	----	----------

Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
3	Tomahawk Authorization adjustment: Return production to minimum	184,814	214,814	+ 30,000
	sustainment rate—Navy UFR			+30,000
4	AMRAAM	192,873	207,873	+15,000
	Authorization adjustment: Additional captive air training missiles			. 15 000
				+15,000
19	Ordnance Support Equipment	57,641	31,309	- 26,332
	Classified program adjustment			- 30,000
	Authorization adjustment: Classified program			+ 3,668

Joint Stand-Off Weapon.—The Committee supports international sales of the Joint Stand-Off Weapon [JSOW], of which \$2,000,000,000 in foreign sales are expected across the future years defense program. In order to protect industrial base capability, the Navy and Defense Security Cooperation Agency should to take all steps to expeditiously finalize foreign military sales contracts with international customers that have already been notified and approved by Congress, and work with other allied partner nations to sustain the capability provided by JSOW.

# PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS

Appropriations, 2015	\$674,100,000
Budget estimate, 2016	723,741,000
Committee recommendation	728,741,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$728,741,000. This is \$5,000,000 above the budget estimate.

# COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

thou sands]	
.⊑	
[Dollars	

	[Dollars in thousands]	iou sands]					
			2016 hudrat		Committee	Change from	from
	Item	Qty.	estimate	Qty.	recommendation	Qty.	Bud get estimate
	PROCUREMENT OF AMMO, NAVY & MARINE CORPS						
	PROC AMMO, NAVY						
			000 101				
	1 GENERAL PURPOSE BOMBS		101,238		101,238		
	MACHINE RUCKEIS, ALL ITTE MACHINE CIN AMMINITION		51,289 20 340		01,289 20 3 40		
. 7			40.365		40.365		
_,	CARTRIDGES & CART ACTUATED DEVICES		49,377		49,377		
-			59,651		59,651		
	7   JATOS		2,806		2,806		
	8   LRLAP 6 INCH LONG RANGE ATTACK PROJECTILE		11,596		11,596		
	5 INCH/54 GUN AMMUNITION		35,994		40,994		+5,000
10			36,715		36,715		
1	1 OTHER SHIP GUN AMMUNITION		45,483		45,483		
12			52,080		52,080		
13			10,809		10,809		
14	AMMUNITION LESS THAN \$5 M		4,469		4,469		
	TOTAL, PROC AMMO, NAVY		538,212		543,212		+ 5,000
	PROC AMMO, MARINE CORPS						
ςŢ	_		46,848		46,848		
16 1			350		350		
7:			200		009		
81 ;			1,849		1,849		
<i>=</i> 1			1,000		1,000		
72			13,86/		13,86/		
22			1,390		1,390		
5			14,967		14,967		
21	-		45,219		45,219		
26			29,335		29,335		
2.	7   NON LETHALS		3,868		3,868		

1	S
	≧
	ŝ
	₫
	₽
	⊑
	Ľ
	ø
6	2

Image: Total commutes         ten commutes         Curre ounder         Curr				2016 budget		Committee	Change from	from
MARINE CORPS         15,117         15,112         1		Item	Qty.	curo puuger estimate	Qty.	recommendation	Qty.	Budget estimate
ARINE CORPS         185,529         185,529         185,529         185,529           DF AMMO, NAVY & MARINE CORPS         723,741         723,741         728,741         1000000000000000000000000000000000000	28 29			15,117 11,219		15,117 11,219		
728,741 728,741		ARINE C		185,529		185,529		
		TOTAL, PROCUREMENT OF AMMO, NAVY & MARINE CORPS		723,741		728,741		+5,000

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
9	5 Inch/54 Gun Ammunition Program increase	35,994	40,994	+ 5,000 + 5,000

# SHIPBUILDING AND CONVERSION, NAVY

Appropriations, 2015	\$15,954,379,000
Budget estimate, 2016	16,597,457,000
Committee recommendation	18,176,362,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$18,176,362,000. This is \$1,578,905,000 above the budget estimate.

# COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

		feninena					
			2016 hudzot		Committoo	Change from	from
	Item	Qty.	estimate	Qty.	recommendation	Qty.	Bud get estimate
	SHIPBUILDING & CONVERSION, NAVY						
-			107 NC3 1		105 102 1		
- ~	Carkiek keplacement program		1,634,701 874.658		1,634,701 683.200		- 191.458
0 I CO		2	3,346,370	2	3,346,370		000
4			1,993,740		1,993,740		
5			678,274	1	678,274		
91			14,951		14,951		
- ·			433,404		433,404		
∞ ⊑		~ ~ ~	3,149,703 1 356 991	2 6	4,149,703		+1,000,000 -25,000
2		c	100,000,1	c	100,100,1		-0°+°-2
	TOTAL, OTHER WARSHIPS		13,482,792		14,265,934		+783,142
	Sahs Sidihama						
12			550.000		550.000		
13					97,000		+ 97,000
14			277,543		476,543		+ 199,000
15					250,000	+	+250,000
16	JOINT HIGH SPEED VESSEL			1	225,000	+	+225,000
	TOTAL, AMPHIBIOUS SHIPS		827,543		1,598,543		+771,000
	ALIXILLARIES CRAFT AND PRIOR-VEAR PROGRAM COSTS						
17		-	674.190	-	674 190		
17A	T-ATS(X) FLEET TUG	•	2021		75.000	+	+75.000
178	LCU REPLACEMENT				34,000	+	+34,000
19			138,200		138,200		
20			697,207		663,970		-33,237
21		5	255,630	4	204,630	-1	-51,000
22			30,014		30,014		
23		4	80,738	4	80,738		
24	YP CRAFT MAINTENANCE/ROH/S		21,838		21,838		
<b>q</b> 7	COMPLETION OF PY SHIPBUILDING PROGRAMS		389,305		389,305		

+ 24,763	+ 1,578,905
2,311,885	18,176,362
2,287,122	16,597,457
TOTAL, AUXILIARIES, CRAFT, AND PRIOR-YEAR PROGRAM	TOTAL, SHIPBUILDING & CONVERSION, NAVY

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
2	Carrier Replacement Program—AP Restoring acquisition accountability: Defer non-nuclear	874,658	683,200	- 191,458
8	long-lead material DDG-51 Program increase: Funding to support incremental	3,149,703	4,149,703	-191,458 + 1,000,000
10	funding authorization for an additional DDG-51 Littoral Combat Ship	1,356,991	1,331,591	$^{+1,000,000}_{-25,400}$
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Defer weight and survivability enhancements until more research and			- 25.400
13	development is completed Afloat Forward Staging Base—AP Authorization adjustment: Accelerate shipbuilding fund-		97,000	- 25,400 + 97,000
14	ing LHA Replacement—AP	277,543	476,543	+ 97,000 + 199,000
15	Authorization adjustment: Accelerate LHA–8 advanced procurement LX(R)—AP			+ 199,000 + 250,000
10	Program increase: Funding to support authorization proposal to accelerate delivery of LX(R) class ships			+ 250,000
16	Joint High Speed Vessel Additional Joint High Speed Vessel		225,000	+ 225,000 + 225,000
17A	T-ATS(X) Fleet Tug Authorization adjustment: Accelerate T-ATS(X)		75,000	+ 75,000 + 75,000
17B	LCU Replacement		34,000	+ 34,000 + 34,000
20	Outfitting Improving funds management: Post delivery funds early to need	697,207	663,970	- 33,237 - 33,237
21	Ship to Shore Connector	255,630	204,630	- 51,000
	ramp to reduce concurrency			- 51,000

Shipbuilding Cost Reports.—Cost reports provide the Government with actual program costs and are used to validate cost models, which lead to improved cost estimates for future systems. Therefore, access to timely and accurate cost data is vital to the budgeting process and results in more informed decisionmaking. Cost reports are especially important for shipbuilding programs, since ships generally take several years to design, construct and deliver.

The Committee understands that the Department of Defense Director of Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation [CAPE] is not receiving cost reports for many shipbuilding programs from various shipyards and the Navy, particularly cost reports from major subcontractors and large dollar value government furnished equipment systems. The Committee directs the Director of CAPE to provide a list of delinquent and deficient cost reports to the Secretary of the Navy and the congressional defense committees not later than 60 days after the enactment of this act. Further, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Navy to work with the Director of CAPE and provide a plan to improve shipbuilding cost reporting to the congressional defense committees not later than 90 days after the enactment of this act. The plan should include a schedule with estimated dates for outstanding contractors and program offices to submit all cost report data due to CAPE and a status of establishing cost reporting plans for current deficient programs and contracts. Further, this plan should lay out the strategy for providing cost data to the Director of CAPE and the cost community in a timely manner.

Shipbuilding Industrial Base and Workload Allocation.-As expressed in the report accompanying the Senate version of the fiscal year 2015 Department of Defense Appropriations Bill (Senate Report 113-211), the Committee remains concerned about the overall health of the shipbuilding industrial base and specifically about the health of the non-nuclear surface combatant shipbuilding industry. In compliance with Senate Report 113-211, the Navy submitted a report to Congress dated February 25, 2015, titled "Shipbuilding Industrial Base and Workload Allocation". The Committee was disappointed when this report failed to describe how the Navy intended to meet its remaining obligations under a 2002 workload al-location agreement that the Navy reaffirmed in 2009 and still con-siders "in full force and effect." While Congress is not a party to this agreement, the Committee finds it unacceptable that the Navy is unable "to determine the extent to which its obligations relating to the workload allocation provision remain unfulfilled." The Committee also finds it troubling that the Navy communicated to Congress that it "has not fully considered all of the options" related to the agreement.

Consistent with the intent of the agreement signed by the Navy, funding for an additional DDG-51 Arleigh Burke-class destroyer would preserve options for maintaining an efficient and stable nonnuclear shipbuilding industrial base. It would also mitigate unfulfilled combatant commander requirements for large surface combatants. In addition, according to section 117 of S. 1376, the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2016, as reported, the Secretary of the Navy is authorized to incrementally fund an additional DDG-51 Arleigh Burke-class destroyer. Therefore, the Committee recommends an increase of \$1,000,000,000 in incremental funding for one Arleigh Burke-class destroyer in addition to the ten DDG-51s in the fiscal year 2013-2017 multiyear procurement contract.

Amphibious Warship Construction.—The Committee commends the Navy for including \$550,000,000 in the fiscal year 2016 budget request to build a 12th LPD–17 San Antonio-class amphibious ship. This additional ship will help reduce the level of risk being assumed with amphibious lift capability. As noted in previous Senate reports, the Navy and Marine Corps have agreed on a fiscally constrained minimum force of 33 ships to meet a 38 amphibious warship force requirement. This additional ship also provides continued stability and cost savings opportunities to the shipbuilding industrial base.

The Committee was also pleased when the Secretary of the Navy, the Chief of Naval Operations, and the Commandant of the Marine Corps agreed to support the LSD amphibious warship replacement program, known as LX(R), with a derivative of the LPD-17 San Antonio-class hull form. As detailed in the report accompanying the Senate version of the fiscal year 2010 Department of Defense Appropriations Bill (Senate Report 111–74), the Committee supports the use of common hull forms as a way to control ship costs and maintain production schedules. The use of an existing or common hull form for the LX(R) program will improve the Navy's ability to deliver on a program that builds ships on time and on budget.

While the Committee was pleased with the Navy's LX(R) hull form decision, it was disappointed the budget request contained no advance procurement funds for the program. The Committee believes the Navy's current LX(R) build plan does not take advantage of the efficiencies and subsequent cost avoidance inherent in maintaining an active industrial base for construction of vessels utilizing the LPD-17 hull form. As a result, the Committee does not support the Navy's current program of record construction start date of fiscal year 2020 and believes the optimum construction start for LX(R) class vessels is as early as fiscal year 2018. Therefore, the Committee recommends \$250,000,000 in advance procurement funding for investment in engineering design and planning, and long lead time equipment, air conditioning plants, castings, and other items necessary to accelerate construction start of the first LX(R) vessel.

Finally, consistent with S. 1376, the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2016, as reported, the Committee recommends an additional \$199,000,000 in advance procurement funding for the LHA amphibious assault warship replacement program. As noted in the report accompanying S. 1376, these additional funds would expedite delivery of LHA 8 enabling the Navy to reach the force structure assessment objective of 11 large deck amphibious ships as early as fiscal year 2023.

ious ships as early as fiscal year 2023. Joint High Speed Vessel [JHSV].—The Department of the Navy is procuring JHSVs for fast intra-theater transportation of troops, military vehicles and equipment. Congress provided funds for an additional JHSV in the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2015 (Public Law 113–235) because the Navy assumed risk with the overall JHSV requirement when it reduced the program procurement objective from 18 to 10 ships with the fiscal year 2013 budget submission. Considering the ability of the JHSV to support all branches of the military services, provide intra-theater sealift, operate in littoral environments and austere port environments, and support humanitarian/disaster relief activities, the Committee continues to support procuring additional JHSVs to address the original requirement. Further, the Committee continues to note that one JHSV continues to be used as an experimental test platform for Navy technology projects. Therefore, the Committee recommends \$225,000,000 to procure one JHSV in fiscal year 2016.

*Cruiser and Dock Landing Ship Phased Modernization.*—The Navy's fiscal year 2016 budget request does not fully fund a phased modernization plan for Cruiser and Dock Landing ships, in contravention to direction provided in the Carl Levin and Howard P. "Buck" McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 (Public Law 113–291) and the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2015 (Public Law 113–235). Consistent with the report accompanying the Senate version of the fiscal year 2016 National Defense Authorization Act (Senate Report 114–49), as reported, the Committee believes the Navy should request full funding in fiscal year 2017 and throughout the future years defense program [FYDP] for the phased modernization program for cruisers and dock landing ships in the regular appropriations accounts. Consistent with Committee direction provided since fiscal year 2013, the Committee expects the Navy to fully program across the FYDP for all manpower, readiness, and modernization associated with its phased modernization plan.

Intergovernmental Support for New Vessel Construction.—The Committee recognizes that the Navy has the most experienced Federal capacity and capability for managing new vessel construction and acquisition. Leveraging the successful construction of the Navy's Auxiliary General Oceanographic Research [AGOR] class vessel built for the Nation's academic research fleet, the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration [NOAA] has been coordinating with the Navy on the design and acquisition for NOAA's new series of Ocean Survey Vessels. While funding for NOAA vessels is provided in legislation making appropriations for the Departments of Commerce and Justice, for science-related programs, and related agencies, and not this act, the Committee directs the Navy to continue working with NOAA to support the interagency agreement for the Navy to acquire ships for NOAA, which was signed by the two agencies in May 2014.

Polar-Class Icebreakers.—Senate Report 113–211, accompanying the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2015, noted the Committee's support for the interagency process to develop requirements for a new Polar-class icebreaker or similarly Arctic-capable surface vessel to recognize the strategic importance of Arctic operations to our Nation's future security and prosperity. Accordingly, Senate Report 113–211 directed the Deputy Secretary of Defense, in cooperation with the Secretary of the Navy and the Commandant of the United States Coast Guard to provide the congressional defense committees a plan to begin expanding U.S. icebreaking capacity. The Committee looks forward to receiving the report and associated briefing in a timely manner.

# OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY

Appropriations, 2015	\$5,846,558,000
Budget estimate, 2016	6,614,715,000
Committee recommendation	6,329,750,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$6,329,750,000. This is \$284,965,000 below the budget estimate.

# COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

	- 23,197 - 13,000	- 439 - 51,450 - 34,550	- 193,837	- 710 + 20,000	-2,423
296,095 15,982 29,982	43,341 58,138	132,625 23,061 33,701 35,228 53,077	1,708,327	19,841 103,241 234,835 7,331 11,781	18,696 8,396 146,968 12,953 13,725 307 737
296,095 15,982 29,982	66,538 71,138	132,625 23,500 85,151 35,228 87,627	1,902,164	20,551 103,241 214,835 7,331 11,781	21,119 8,396 146,968 12,953 13,725 324 776
27         REACTOR PLANT EQUIPMENT           27         REACTOR COMPONENTS           0         CEAN ENGINEERING           28         DIVING AND SALVAGE EQUIPMENT           29         STANDARD BOATS	TRAINING EQUIPMENT         30       OTHER SHIPS TRAINING EQUIPMENT         PRODUCTION FACILITIES EQUIPMENT         31       OPERATING FORCES IPE	32         OTHER SHIP SUPPORT           33         LCS COMMON MISSION MODULES EQUIPMENT           34         LCS MGM MISSION MODULES EQUIPMENT           35         LCS MGM MISSION MODULES           36         REMOTE MINEHUTING SYSTEM (RMS)           37         LOS SUPPORT		SHIP SONARS           38         SPQ-9B RADAR           39         ANYSQQ-89 SURF ASW COMBAT SYSTEM           40         SSN AGOUSTICS           41         UNDERSEA WARFARE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT           42         SONAR SWITCHES AND TRANSDUCERS	ASW ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT 44 SUBMARINE ACOUSTIC WARFARE SYSTEM 45 SSTD 47 SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM 47 NUTRIME PATROL AND RECONNAISANCE FORCE 48 MARTITIME PATROL AND RECONNAISANCE FORCE 49 ANSIO-37 49 ANSIO-37

ľ	(unidation) 						
			2016 hudrat		Committee	Change from	e from
	ltern	Qty.	coro pudger estimate	Qty.	recommendation	Qty.	Budget estimate
50	Reconnaissance equipment Shipboard in Exploit Automated identification system (AIS)		148,221 152		138,002 152		- 10,219
52	SUBMARINE SURVEILLANCE EQUIPMENT SUBMARINE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT PROG		79,954		78,816		-1,138
00 <del>st</del> 10 (	other ship electronic equipment cooperative engagement capability trusted information system [tis] name taken command support system [nicss]		25,695 284 14,416		25,695 284 14,416		
228 228	auds navy command and control system (NCCS) shallow water mcm anyer des pervises (sonce)		23,069 4,054 21,014 18,077		23,059 4,054 21,014		- 18,077
	ARMED FORCES RADIO AND TV		4,240 17,440		12,333 4,240 17,440		
63	Training Equipment other training Equipment		41,314		41,314		
64			10,011 9,346		10,011 9,346		
	AUTOMATIC CARRIER LANDING SYSTEM NATIONAL AIR SPACE SYSTEM LIFET AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL SYSTEMS		21,281 25,621 8 249		21,281 25,621 8 249		
			14,715 29,676 13 737		0,2,0 14,715 29,676 13,737		
72	OTHER SHORE ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT DEPLOYABLE JOINT COMMAND AND CONT TADIX-B		1,314		1,314 13,600		
-	DUGO-N		21,809		275.641		- 3.350

	from	Budget estimate				- 22,235					- 22,235	
	Change from	Qty.										
	Committee	recommendation	15,090 638 14,098 49,773	419,225	5,300	276,503 71,245	240,694	96,040 30,189	22,623 9,906	99,707	852,207	2,252 2,191 2,164 14,705 2,497
	aty.											
	2016 hudrat	estimate	15,090 638 14,098 49,773	419,225	5,300	298,738 71,245	240,694	96,040 30,189	22,623 9,906	99,707	874,442	2,252 2,191 2,164 14,705 2,497
housands]		Qty.										
(Dollars in thousands)		Item	103 METEOROLOGICAL EQUIPMENT 104 OTHER PHOTOGRAPHIC EQUIPMENT 106 AIRBORNE MINE COUNTERMEASURES 111 AVIATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	TOTAL, AVIATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	SHIP GUN SYSTEM EQUIPMENT SHIP GUN SYSTEMS EQUIPMENT	SHIP MISSILE SYSTEMS EQUIPMENT           115         SHIP MISSILE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT           120         TOMAHAWK SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	FBM SUPPORT EQUIPMENT 123 STRATEGIC MISSILE SYSTEMS EQUIP	ASW SUPPORT EQUIPMENT 124 SSN COMBAT CONTROL SYSTEMS	0THER ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT 129 EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL EQUIP 130 ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	0THER EXPENDABLE ORDNANCE 134 TRAINING DEVICE MODS	TOTAL, ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	I35     CIVIL ENGINEERING SUPPORT EQUIPMENT       135     PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES       136     GENERAL PURPOSE TRUCKS       137     CONSTRUCTION & MAINTENANCE EQUIP       138     FIRE FIGHTING EQUIPMENT       139     TACTICAL VEHICLES
			1100		112	11( 12(	12:	$12^{6}$ 125	12( 13(	13/		13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 1
			-5,733	- 5,733 - 20,579 - 284,965								
--	--	--	---	--								
12,517 3,018 14,403 1,186	54,933 18,805 10,469 5,720 211,714	246,708 7,468	36,433 3,180 4,790 4,608 5,655 9,922 9,928 88,453 99,094 99,014	379,686 307,464 21,439 6,329,750								
12,517 3,018 14,403 1,186	54,933 18,805 10,469 5,720 211,714	246,708 7,468	36,433 3,180 4,790 4,608 5,655 9,929 99,924 88,453 99,094 99,014	385,419 328,043 21,439 6,614,715								
AMPHIBIOUS EQUIPMENT POLLUTION CONTROL EQUIPMENT ITEMS UNDER \$5 MILLION PHYSICAL SECURITY VEHICLES	TOTAL, CIVIL ENGINEERING SUPPORT EQUIPMENT SUPPLY SUPPORT EQUIPMENT MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT OTHER SUPPLY SUPPORT EQUIPMENT FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION SPECIAL PURPOSE SUPPLY SYSTEMS	TOTAL, SUPPLY SUPPORT EQUIPMENT Personnel and command support equipment training devices training support equipment	Command Support Equipment Command Support Equipment Education Support Equipment Medical Support Equipment Nexua Linp Support Equipment Offerating Forces Support Equipment Calisr Equipment Environmental Support Equipment	TOTAL, PERSONNEL AND COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT								
140 141 142 143	144 145 146 147	148	149 151 151 153 154 155 155 157 157 159	161								

# The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

ine	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimat
3	Hybrid Electric Drive [HED]	32,906	29,106	- 3,80
	Improving funds management: Support funding ahead of need			- 2,00
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Excess installa- tion funding			- 1,80
7	DDG Mod Improving funds management: AWS upgrade prior	364,157	361,195	- 2,9
8	year contract savings Firefighting Equipment Restoring acquisition accountability: SCBA cost	16,089	13,983	- 2,9 - 2,1
10	growth		26 545	-2,1 -2,0
10	LHA/LHD Midlife Improving funds management: VSD fire pump ahead of need	28,571	26,545	- 2,0
11	LCC 19/20 Extended Service Life Program Restoring acquisition accountability: LCC air search		8,631	
15	radar contract delay LCS Class Support Equipment	48,399	39,349	- 3,6 - 9,0
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Habitability modification early to need			- 9,0
17	LPD Class Support Equipment Improving funds management: HW/SW obsolescence excess installation funding	55,283	43,462	- 11,8 - 2,0
	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover due to contract delays			- 9.8
21	LCAC Improving funds management: LCAC systems up-	20,965	15,125	- 5,8
22	grade excess growth Underwater EOD Programs	51,652	46,376	- 5,8 - 5,2
	Improving funds management: HULS retrofit kits ahead of need Improving funds management: MK18 mod 2 retrofit			-1,6
23	kits ahead of need			- 3,6 - 24,6
	Improving funds management: Machinery plant up- grades excess installation funding			-2,0
	Improving funds management: Automated voltage regulator funding carryover			- 5,1
	Improving funds management: PCMS funding carry- over Restoring acquisition accountability: MLP AFSB SOF			- 10,5
30	backfit excess cost		43,341	- 6,9 - 23,1
	Restoring acquisition accountability: LCS virtual ship training system growth			- 4,1
	Restoring acquisition accountability: LCS integrated tactical trainer ahead of need			— 19,0
31	Operating Forces Ipe Improving funds management: Shipyard capital in-	71,138	58,138	- 13,0
33	vestment program excess growth LCS Common Mission Modules Equipment Restoring acquisition accountability: MPCE cost	23,500	23,061	- 13,0 - 4
34	growth		33,701	- 4 - 51,4
	Restoring acquisition accountability: COBRA ahead of need			- 17,7
	Restoring acquisition accountability: MCM mission module ahead of need			- 33,7
36	Remote Minehunting System [RMS]	87,627	53,077	- 34,5

1	1	1	
Т	т	Т	

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Restoring acquisition accountability: RMMV ahead of			04.550
38	need SPQ-9B Radar		10.041	- 34,550 - 710
30	Improving funds management: Installation funding	20,551	19,841	-/10
	carryover			- 710
40	SSN Acoustics	214,835	234.835	+ 20.000
10	Authorization adjustment: Towed array—Navy UFR	211,000	201,000	+ 20,000
44	Submarine Acoustic Warfare System	21,119	18,696	- 2,423
	Improving funds management: NAE beacon contract	21,110	10,000	2,120
	savings			- 2,423
49	AN/SLQ-32	324,726	302,732	- 21,994
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Block 1B3 ex-	. , .		,
	cess installation funding			- 1,898
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Block 2 excess			
	installation funding			- 20,096
50	Shipboard IW Exploit	148,221	138,002	- 10,219
	Restoring acquisition accountability: SSEE increment			
	F ship cost growth			- 5,419
	Restoring acquisition accountability: SSEE increment			
	F ship excess installation funding due to contract			-4,800
52	delays Submarine Support Equipment Prog		78,816	- 4,800
JZ	Restoring acquisition accountability: ICADF MMM an-	79,904	/0,010	- 1,130
	tenna installation funding ahead of need			- 1,138
59	Shallow Water MCM	18.077		- 18,077
00	Restoring acquisition accountability: COBRA ahead	10,077		10,077
	of need			- 18.077
76	CANES	278,991	275,641	- 3,350
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Installation cost	,	,	,
	growth			- 3,350
87	Submarine Broadcast Support	20,691	16,021	- 4,670
	Improving funds management: Low Band Universal			
	Communication System (LBUCS) ahead of need			- 4,670
115	Ship Missile Support Equipment	298,738	276,503	- 22,235
	Maintain program affordability: Aegis support equip-			
	ment excess growth			- 22,235
156	Environmental Support Equipment	26,795	21,062	- 5,733
10-	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover			- 5,733
161	Spares and Repair Parts	328,043	307,464	- 20,579
	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover			- 20,579

Littoral Combat Ship [LCS] Mission Modules.—The Committee continues to be concerned with the Navy's acquisition strategy for LCS mission modules. The Government Accountability Office has repeatedly noted that the Navy's strategy includes buying significant numbers of LCS mission modules before their performance is demonstrated and that the current inventory of mission packages exceeds the quantities necessary for operational testing. Additionally, the Director of Operational Test and Evaluation [DOT&E] noted in the latest DOT&E annual report that low operator proficiency, software immaturity, system integration issues and poor reliability of mine countermeasure components have continued to plague the program. Given these ongoing testing concerns, the Committee finds it prudent to slow the procurement of LCS mission modules and recommends a total reduction of \$104,516,000 to the fiscal year 2016 budget request for LCS mission modules and related components.

# PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS

Appropriations, 2015	\$935,209,000
Budget estimate, 2016	1,131,418,000
Committee recommendation	1,239,618,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,239,618,000. This is \$108,200,000 above the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

	Change from	Budget estimate		-5,900					+ 2,070 + 140,000	+ 140,000	
		on Oth.		79 79	2,652 7,482 8,224	467 488	17	7,565 1,091 4,872 668		91	09 047
	Committoo	recommendation		26,744 48,979		14	. 126,217		152,495	. 166,691	. 13,109
		Qty.							2,070		
	2016 hudaot	estimate		26,744 54,879	2,652 7,482 17,181 8,224	14,467 488	132,117	7,565 1,091 4,872 668	12,495	26,691	13,109 35,147
10u sands]		Qty.									
(Dollars in thousands)		Item	PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS WEAPONS AND COMBAT VEHICLES	TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES 1 AAVTAI PIP 2 LAV PIP 2	ARTILLERY AND OTHER WEAPONS           3         EXPEDITIONARY FIRE SUPPORT SYSTEM           4         155MM LIGHTWEIGHT TOWED HOWITZER           5         HIGH MOBILITY ARTILLERY NOCKET SYSTEM           6         WEAPONS AND COMBAT VEHICLES UNDER \$5 MILLION	0THER SUPPORT 7 MODIFICATION KITS 8 WEAPONS ENHANCEMENT PROGRAM	TOTAL, WEAPONS AND COMBAT VEHICLES	GUIDED MISSILES 9 GROUND BASED AIR DEFENSE 10 JAVELIN 11 FOLLOW ON TO SMAW 12 ANTI-ARMOR WEAPONS SYSTEM-HEAVY (AAWS-H)	0THER SUPPORT 13 MODIFICATION KITS	TOTAL, GUIDED MISSILES AND EQUIPMENT	COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEMS           14         COMBAT OPERATIONS CENTER           15         COMMON AVIATION COMMAND AND CONTROL SYS

		+2,500	+ 2,500 + 2,500 + 108,200
7,743 79,429 3,157 6,938	123,611 94 896 1325 3,235 7,666	33,145 1,419 24,163 962 9,045 7,533	4,322 103,408 8,292 2,803 1,239,618
109			
7,743 79,429 3,157 6,938	123,611 94 896 10,792 3,235 7,666	33,145 1,419 24,163 962 6,545 7,533	4,322 100,908 8,292 2,803 1,131,418
109			
TACTICAL VEHICLES         MOTOR TRANSPORT MODIFICATIONS         JOINT LIGHT TACTICAL VEHICLE         J FAMILY OF TACTICAL VEHICLE         OTHER SUPPORT         OTHER SUPPORT         ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	TOTAL, SUPPORT VEHICLES	MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT         PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT         GARRISON MOBILE ENGR EQUIP         GARRISON MOBILE ENGR EQUIP         TRAINING DEVICES         CONTAINER FAMILY         FAMILY OF CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT         FAMILY OF INTERNALLY TRANSPORTABLE VEHICLE (ITV)	0THER SUPPORT 1TEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION TOTAL, ENGINEER AND OTHER EQUIPMENT SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS TOTAL, PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS
41 44 45	46 47 49 50 51	52 53 58 59 60	62 63

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In	thousands	of	dollars]
-----	-----------	----	----------

Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
2	LAV PIP Improving funds management: Prior year carryover	54,879	48,979	— 5,900 — 5,900
13	Modification Kits Authorization adjustment: Additional missiles	12,495	152,495	+140,000 + 140,000
15	Common Aviation Command and Control System Restoring acquisition accountability: Procurement early	35,147	16,747	- 18,400
	to need			- 18,400
33	Common Computer Resources Improving funds management: Prior year carryover	43,101	33,101	$-10,000 \\ -10,000$
59	Family of Construction Equipment Program increase: Grade control systems	6,545	9,045	+ 2,500 + 2,500

# AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2015	\$12,067,703,000
Budget estimate, 2016	15,657,769,000
Committee recommendation	15,890,661,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$15,890,661,000. This is \$232,892,000 above the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

		<b>F61111111111111</b>					
			2016 hudrot		Committoo	Change from	from
	Item	Qty.	estimate	Qty.	recommendation	Qty.	Bud get estimate
	AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE						
	COMBAT AIRCRAFT						
-	TACTICAL FORCES	VV	5 JEN 212	VV	6 267 219		1 07 600
1	2 F-35 [AP-CY]	+	460,260	ŧ	460,260		000, 16 T
	TOTAL, COMBAT AIRCRAFT		5,720,472		5,818,072		+ 97,600
	AIRLIFT AIRCRAFT						
	OTHER AIRLIFT						
33		12	2,350,601	12	2,350,601		
4		14	889,154	14	848,354		-40,800
5			50,000	2	50,000		
0	0 H0-1301 1 H0-1301	n	463,934	C	403,934		- 10,000
. ∞	MC-130J	∞	828,472	8	790,872		-37,600
6			60,000		60,000		
	TOTAL, AIRUFT AIRCRAFT		4,672,161		4,583,761		- 88,400
	OTHER AIRCRAFT						
	HELICOPTERS						
11	Mission support Aircraft Civil Air Patrol A/C	9	2,617	9	10,417		+ 7,800
12	TARGET DRONES	75	132,028 37 800		132,028		006 2
15		29	552,528	29	693,928		+ 141,400
	TOTAL, OTHER AIRCRAFT		724,973		866,273		+ 141,300

	[Dollars in thousands]	ou sands]					
			2016 hudrot		Committoo	Change from	from
	Item	Qty.	estimate	Qty.	recommendation	Qty.	Bud get estimate
	MODIFICATION OF INSERVICE AIRCRAFT						
17	STRATEGIC AIRCRAFT B-2A		32 458		32 458		
18	B-18		114,119		114,119		
19 20	B =52		148,987 84,335		144,987 84,335		-4,000
	TACTICAL AIRCRAFT						
22	F=15		464,367		682,071		+217,704
24			126,152		126.152		
25	F-35 MODIFICATIONS		70,167		70,167		
26	INCREMENT 3.2b		69,325		64,325		-5,000
28	C-5		5,604		2,604		-3,000
00 31 31	0-1/A 1		10 162		40,997 9 860		- 302
32	0-32A		44,464		44,464		1
33	C-37A		10,861		10,861		
č							
35	I GLIDER MODS		17 968		134 17 968		
36	T-1		23,706		22,956		- 750
37	Т–38		30,604		30,604		
0			100000		100.00		
85.05	U–2 MUUS		22,095		22,095		
40 40	C-12		1.980		1.980		
42			98,231		98,231		
43			13,171		6,586		-6,585
44			7,048		140,248		+ 133,200
45 76			29,/13		766 760 07		- 28,/16
5			- 250,55				

+ 28,700 - 21,900 - 12,246 - 1,500	+ 190,605		- 15,054 - 2,245
97,115 156,165 13,178 13,178 23,937 18,001 18,001 18,303 6,291 5,521 5,521 11,673 5,5226 5,828 5,828	2,663,362 656,242	656,242 33,716	38,837 5,911 15,054 15,054 3,245 3,245 9,71 5,000 5,000
68,415 156,165 13,178 13,178 23,937 183,308 44,163 6,291 24,565 117,767 3,177 3,177 3,177 58,828	2,472,757 656,242	656,242 33,716	38,837 5,911 30,108 3,353 3,353 3,255 14,969 14,969 14,969 5,000
47       COMPASS CALL MODS         48       RC-135         49       E-3         50       E-4         51       E-8         51       E-8         51       E-8         52       ARNUNG AND CONTROL SYSTEM         53       FAMILY OF BEYOND LINE-OF-SIGHT TERMINALS         54       H-1         55       UH-1IN REPLACEMENT         56       H-60         57       RQ-4 JUAY MODS         58       HCOL-130 MODFICATIONS         59       OTHER AIRCRAFT         50       MQ-1 MODS         51       MQ-1 MODS         53       CV-22 MODS	TOTAL, MODIFICATION OF INSERVICE AIRCRAFT	TOTAL, AIRCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	FOST PRODUCTION SUPPORT           67         B-25           68         B-25           69         C-17A           70         CV-22           71         L-135           71         C-135           73         F-16           73         F-16           74         F-22A           75         F-16           76         MQ-9           77         INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS

_
5
σ
Sa
R
z
∓
.≘
£
g
പ്

			2016 hudzot		Unamittan	Change from	from
	Item	Qty.	estimate	Qty.	recommendation	Qty.	Budget estimate
78	WAR CONSUMABLES 78 WAR CONSUMABLES		156,465		156,465		
79	79 0THER PRODUCTION CHARGES		1,052,814		961,900		-90,914
	TOTAL, AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES		1,368,661		1,260,448		-108,213
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS		42,503		42,503		
	TOTAL, AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE		15,657,769		15,890,661		+ 232,892

# The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

1	F–35			
		5,260,212	5,357,812	+ 97,60
	Restoring acquisition accountability. Delayed			100.0
	sustainment contract awards			- 180,0 - 114,4
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Unit cost growth Program increase: 4 additional aircraft utilizing program	•••••		- 114,4
	savings			+ 392,0
4	C-130J	889,154	848,354	- 40,8
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Unit cost growth			
	and contract delays			- 40,8
6	HC-130J	463,934	453,934	- 10,0
8	Restoring acquisition accountability: Unit cost growth MC-130J	828,472	790,872	- 10,0 - 37,6
°	Restoring acquisition accountability: Excess trainer	020,472	730,072	- 57,0
	funds and unit cost growth			- 37,6
11	Civil Air Patrol A/C	2,617	10,417	+ 7,8
	Program Increase			+ 7,8
14	RQ-4	37,800	29,900	- 7,9
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Unjustified other			
15	production request		602 020	- 7,9
15	MQ-9 Restoring acquisition accountability: Program manage-	552,528	693,928	+ 141,4
	ment and unit cost growth			- 18,6
	Program increase: Procure eight MQ-9 aircraft—AF UFR			+ 160,0
19	B-52	148,987	144,987	- 4,0
	Restoring acquisition accountability: CONECT unit cost			
20	growth			- 4,0
22	F-15 Transfer F-15: AF requested to RDAF Line #136 F-15	464,367	682,071	+ 217,7
	Squadrons			- 12,7
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Milestone C delay			- 10,0
	Authorization adjustment: F-15C AESA radars (6)			+ 48,0
	Authorization adjustment: F-15D AESA radars (24)			+ 192,5
26	Increment 3.2b (F–22)	69,325	64,325	- 5,0
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Cost growth for 3.2B kits			- 5.0
28	C-5	5,604	2,604	- 3,0
	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover			- 3,0
31	C-21	10,162	9,860	- 3
	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover			- 3
36	T-1	23,706	22,956	-7
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Excess program management and prior year carryover			-7
43	C-40	13,171	6,586	-6,5
	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover			- 6,5
44	C-130	7,048	140,248	+ 133,2
	Authorization adjustment: C-130H Electronic Prop Con-			
	trol System—AF UFR		•••••	+ 13,5
	Authorization adjustment: C-130H In-flight Prop Bal-			+ 1,5
	ancing System—AF UFR Authorization adjustment: C–130H T–56 3.5 Engine			+ 1,3
	Mods			+ 33,2
1	Authorization adjustment: Funds to comply with Section			
	134, Fiscal Year 2015 NDAA			+ 75,0
	Program increase: Eight bladed propeller system			+ 10,0
45	C-130J Mods	29,713	997	- 28,7
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Block 8.1 develop- ment slip			- 28.7
47	Compass Call Mods EC–130	68,415	97,115	

122

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Authorization adjustment: Modification for restored EC-			
	130H			+ 28,700
56	H—60	45,731	23,831	- 21,900
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Milestone C delay			- 21,900
58	HC/MC-130 Modifications	21,660	16,660	- 5,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Block 8.1 develop-		,	í í
	ment slip			- 5,000
59	Other Aircraft	117.767	5.521	- 112.246
	Transfer COMSEC equipment: AF requested to OPAF Line		.,.	, -
	#11 COMSEC			- 2,246
	Budget documentation disparity: Unjustified request			- 110,000
60	MQ-1 Mods	3.173	1.673	-1.500
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Excess initial		,	,
	spares			- 1,500
69	C-17A	30,108	15,054	- 15,054
	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover			- 15,054
71	C-135	4,490	2,245	- 2,245
	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover			- 2,245
79	Other Production Charges	1,052,814	961,900	- 90,914
	Transfer NATO AGS: AF requested from RDAF Line #216			
	NATO AGS			+ 59,086
	Classified program adjustment			- 150,000

C-130 Beddown.—The Committee notes that the Air Force has not formally established a long term plan to augment the National Guard's fleet of C-130Hs with C-130Js. To accept new aircraft and to plan for modification of their existing C-130H fleet, the National Guard needs adequate time to prepare and budget for the future fleet mix. Therefore, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to provide a beddown plan that lays out, by location and fiscal year through the end of the C-130H's expected life, the future mix of the National Guard's C-130H and C-130J fleet not later than 180 days after enactment of this act.

F-16 Avionics Upgrade.—The F-16 Fighting Falcon is currently the primary multi-mission fighter for the Air Force. As threats and tactics evolve and weapons and sensor technologies improve, the need to modernize F-16 avionics to take full advantage of these advances becomes undeniable. Therefore, the Committee encourages the Air Force to undertake strategic avionics upgrades to ensure the F-16, particularly the older blocks, remains capable of fulfilling its central role in the fleet and combat relevance.

USAF UH-1N Replacement.—The fiscal year 2016 President's budget request includes \$2,456,000 for the UH-1N replacement program. The Committee notes that there is no research and development funding for this program, and that the Air Force will proceed directly to procurement of non-developmental aircraft. The Committee is encouraged by this methodology as long as Joint Requirements Oversight Council validated requirements are met. The Committee believes this acquisition strategy may lower sustainment costs and affords expeditious procurement that will enable the Air Force to meet its objective of fielding replacement aircraft by 2017.

C-130 Avionics Modernization Program.—The Secretary of the Air Force may proceed with and accelerate a C-130H modification program known as AMP Increment 1 to address safety and air-

space compliance requirements, using funds provided in this bill and previous funds appropriated for the avionics modernization program of record. In addition, the Committee expects the Air Force to continue efforts for AMP Increment 2 modifications, using previously purchased components and leveraging research and development efforts to the maximum extent practical.

Undefinitized Contract Actions (UCA).-In the report accompanying the Senate version of the Department of Defense Appro-priations Act, 2015 (Senate Report 113–211), the Committee di-rected the Government Accountability Office [GAO] to review the use of UCAs within the Aircraft Procurement, Air Force account to determine how often this contracting option is being utilized and for what purpose. The GAO completed the review and highlighted several troubling trends. According to the findings, GAO found that the Air Force obligated \$14,000,000,000 on UCAs from fiscal years 2010 through 2014 and the number of Air Force UCAs awarded has been relatively constant from fiscal year 2011 to 2014. Of the nine UCAs that the GAO reviewed, none were definitized within 180 days of contract award, as required in the Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation. Lastly, they found the Air Force has been using advance procurement funds to award undefinitized long-lead contracts, which the GAO found may be underreported in the Department's semiannual "Undefinitized Contracts" report to Congress. The GAO reported that the most common rational for definitization delays is insufficient pricing data and changing requirements. The Committee remains extremely concerned with the Air Force's common practice of using UCAs.

The Committee is also concerned with the large amount of unobligated balances within the Aircraft Procurement, Air Force account. During the 2015 mid-year review, the Air Force had \$1,200,000,000 of fiscal year 2013 funds unobligated with only 6 months remaining before expiration, as well as \$3,900,000,000 of fiscal year 2014 funds unobligated. In a fiscally constrained environment, these practices need to change.

The Committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to develop a policy requiring the Air Force Service Acquisition Executive to review and approve requirements changes when undefinitized contracting actions are utilized and provide a report to the congressional defense committees, not later than 180 days after enactment of this act, on the implementation of such policy. In addition, the Committee is concerned that the "Undefinitized Contracts" report to Congress does not encompass all of the UCAs being used by the Air Force. Therefore, the Committee directs the Air Force to modify the existing "Undefinitized Contracts" report, to include all current and future UCAs, the timeline to definitize each contract, and a plan on how to reduce the use of this contracting methodology on non-urgent requirements.

#### MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2015	\$4,629,662,000
Budget estimate, 2016	2,987,045,000
Committee recommendation	2,965,869,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$2,965,869,000. This is \$21,176,000 below the budget estimate.

# COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

			2016 hudrat		Committee	Change from	from
	Item	Qty.	estimate	Qty.	recommendation	Qty.	Budget estimate
	MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE						
	BALLISTIC MISSILES						
1	MISSILE REPLACEMENT EQUIPMENT—BALLISTIC MISSILE REPLACEMENT EQ-BALLISTIC		94,040		94,040		
	OTHER MISSILES						
		360	440,578	360	430,578		-10,000
4 13	sidewinder (alm-9X)	506	200,777 390,112	506 262	198,247 381 466		-2,530 -8,646
9 2	PREDATOR HELLFIRE MISSILE SMALL DIAMETER BOMB	3,756 1,942	423,016 133,697	3,756 1,942	423,016 133,697		
8	INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS/POLLUTION PREVENTION		397		397		
	TOTAL, OTHER MISSILES		1,588,577		1,567,401		-21,176
	MODIFICATION OF INSERVICE MISSILES						
6	class iv Mm III modifications		50,517		50,517		
10	AGM-65D MAVERICK		9,639		9,639 197		
12	AIR LAUNCH CRUISE MISSILE		25,019		25,019		
	TOTAL, MODIFICATION OF INSERVICE MISSILES		85,372		85,372		
14	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS INITIAL SPARES/REPAIR PARTS		48,523		48,523		
28	SPECIAL PROGRAMS SPECIAL UPDATE PROGRAMS CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS		276,562 893,971		276,562 893,971		

_
S
Б
Sa
8
₽
.≘
£
a
2
-

		2016 build and		Committee	Change from	from
Item	Qty.	estimate	Qty.	recommendation	ûty.	Bud get estimate
TOTAL, MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE		2,987,045		2,965,869		- 21,176

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In	thousands	of	dollars]
-----	-----------	----	----------

Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
3	Joint Air-Surface Standoff Missile Restoring acquisition accountability: Unit cost effi- ciencies	440,578	430,578	- 10,000 - 10.000
4	Sidewinder (AIM–9X) Restoring acquisition accountability: Unit cost effi-	200,777	198,247	- 2,530
5	ciencies AMRAAM Restoring acquisition accountability: Joint program unit	390,112	381,466	- 2,530 - 8,646
	cost variance			- 8,646

Advanced Medium Range Air-to-Air Missile [AMRAAM] Diminishing Manufacturing Sources/Material Shortages [DMSMS].—The Committee remains concerned about increasing AMRAAM DMSMS costs. A fiscal year 2013 Department report required by the Committee estimated AMRAAM DMSMS costs at 12.8 percent of total program production costs from fiscal year 2008 through fiscal year 2015. Actual DMSMS costs in fiscal years 2014 and 2015 were over 19 percent of total program costs, and are estimated to be over 17 percent in fiscal year 2016. For fiscal year 2015, DMSMS expenses almost doubled, from an estimated \$34,000,000 to \$63,000,000. The Committee is aware that many factors contribute to DMSMS costs, and that the Air Force has an active plan to sustain AMRAAM inventory beyond 2030 at reasonable expense. The Committee urges the Air Force to continue to be proactive in this effort.

### SPACE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2015	
Budget estimate, 2016	\$2,584,061,000
Committee recommendation	2,891,159,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$2,891,159,000. This is \$307,098,000 above the budget estimate.

### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

			2016 hudzot		Committoo	Change from	from
	Item	Qty.	estimate	Qty.	recommendation	Qty.	Bud get estimate
	SPACE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE						
	SPACE PROGRAMS						
-	ADVANCED EHF		333,366		333,366		
2	WIDEBAND GAPFILLER SATELLITES		53,476		33,476		-20,000
3	GPS III SPACE SEGMENT	1	199,218		199,218		
4	SPACEBORNE EQUIP (COMSEC)		18,362		13,362		-5,000
2	GLOBAL POSITIONING (SPACE)		66,135		66,135		
9	DEF METEOROLOGICAL SAT PROG (SPACE)		89,351				-89,351
7	EVOLVED EXPENDABLE LAUNCH VEH INFRASTRUCTURE (SPACE)		571,276		571,276		
8	EVOLVED EXPENDABLE LAUNCH VEH (SPACE)	5	800,201	5	800,201		
6	SBIR HIGH (SPACE)		452,676		452,676		
10	FAMILY OF BEYOND LINE-OF-SIGHT TERMINALS				52,192		+52,192
Ξ	SPACE BASED IR SENSOR PGM SPACE				90,190		+ 90,190
12	NAVSTAR GPS SPACE				2,029		+2,029
13	NUDET DETECTION SYS SPACE				5,095		+5,095
14	AF SATELLITE CONTROL NETWORK SPACE				76,673		+76,673
15	SPACELIFT RANGE SYSTEM SPACE				93,275		+ 93,275
16	MILSATCOM SPACE				35,495		+35,495
17	SPACE MODS SPACE				23,435		+ 23,435
18	COUNTERSPACE SYSTEM				43,065		+43,065
	TOTAL, SPACE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE		2,584,061		2,891,159		+ 307,098

# The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
2	Wideband Gapfiller Satellites (Space) Restoring acquisition accountability: Unjustified cost	53,476	33,476	- 20,000
	growth			- 20,000
4	Spaceborne Equip (COMSEC)	18,362	13,362	- 5,000
	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover			- 5,000
6	Def Meteorological Sat Prog (Space)	89,351		- 89,351
	Program termination			- 89,351
10	Family of Beyond Line-of-Sight Terminals		52,192	+ 52,192
	Transfer from Other Procurement, Air Force line 43			+ 79,592
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Schedule slip			- 27,400
11	Space Based Ir Sensor Pgm Space		90,190	+90,190
	Transfer from Other Procurement, Air Force line 44			+90,190
12	Navstar GPS Space		2,029	+ 2,029
	Transfer from Other Procurement, Air Force line 45			+ 2,029
13	Nudet Detection Sys Space		5,095	+ 5,095
	Transfer from Other Procurement, Air Force line 46			+ 5,095
14	AF Satellite Control Network Space		76,673	+ 76,673
	Transfer from Other Procurement, Air Force line 47			+ 76,673
15	Spacelift Range System Space		93,275	+ 93,275
	Transfer from Other Procurement, Air Force line 48			+ 113,275
	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover			- 20,000
16	Milsatcom Space		35,495	+ 35,495
	Transfer from Other Procurement, Air Force line 49			+ 35,495
17	Space Mods Space		23,435	+ 23,435
	Transfer from Other Procurement, Air Force line 50			+23,435
18	Counterspace System		43,065	+43,065
	Transfer from Other Procurement, Air Force line 51			+ 43,065

Space Procurement.—The fiscal year 2016 budget requests a new 5-year appropriation account to fund space procurement programs. The Committee supports the creation of a new appropriation account and believes that all space-related procurement line items should be included. Therefore, the Committee recommendation transfers space-related items requested in Other Procurement, Air Force to the new Space Procurement, Air Force account. However, the Committee does not support the request for 5-year availability of funds and recommends retention of the standard 3-year time period for traditional procurement accounts.

Evolved Expendable Launch Vehicle Competition.—The Committee supports competition in the Evolved Expendable Launch Vehicle [EELV] program and appreciates the Air Force's efforts to find the proper balance between reducing launch costs and maintaining mission assurance as it transitions from a sole source to a competitive procurement environment. In an effort to further enhance competition, last year the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2015 added \$125,000,000 to double the number of competitive launch opportunities in fiscal year 2015 and required that the competition include at least two certified launch service providers. However, the Department of Defense and the Intelligence Community have notified the Committee that Section 1608 of the Carl Levin and Howard P. "Buck" McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 (Public Law 113–291; 128 Stat.

3314) restricts the incumbent launch provider's use of the RD-180 engine on its most competitive launch vehicle, nullifying the intent of the Committee's \$125,000,000 add for a launch vehicle competition. Additionally, the Department has informed the Committee that Section 1608 would limit the availability of RD-180 engines for future competitions to five, which may create a multi-year gap where the Department has neither assured access to space nor an environment where price-based competition is possible. Therefore, the Committee recommends a rescission of \$125,000,000 from fiscal year 2015 funds due to the statutory impediment to competition. The Committee does not recommend a reduction of competitive launch opportunities in fiscal year 2016, because true competition may still be possible in fiscal year 2016 if Congress implements the Department's recommended modification to Section 1608. The Committee believes that this modification would enable a responsible transition away from the RD-180 as soon as possible while maintaining the goal of reducing launch costs through true competition. To ensure expeditious development of an alternative engine, the Committee recommendation also includes an increase of \$143,600,000 in Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Air Force for rocket engine development.

Defense Meteorological Satellite Program.-The budget request includes \$89,351,000 for the Defense Meteorological Satellite Program [DMSP]. Last year, the Department of Defense Appropriation Act, 2015 outlined concerns about the excessive cost of launching this satellite and restricted \$50,000,000 of funding for the program, unless the Secretary of the Air Force could certify that the satellite would be launched by the end of calendar year 2016. The Air Force has notified Congress that it will not be able to launch DMSP-20 by 2016, but proposes launching the satellite in fiscal year 2018 or 2019 at a cost of between \$410,000,000 to \$455,000,000, in addition to approximately \$500,000,000 already spent on the satellite. The Committee questions the Air Force's decision to incur these additional costs to launch a satellite with 1990s technology that the Air Force has previously stated no longer meets its requirements. The Committee believes that such funds would be better spent on developing new technologies and enhancing the capabilities of the next generation of weather satellites. Therefore, the Committee rec-ommends no funding for the DMSP program in fiscal year 2016. The Committee also recommends a rescission of the \$50,000,000 of fiscal year 2015 funds. The Committee expects the Air Force to bring the program to an orderly close with the remaining fiscal year 2015 funds.

*Commercial Satellite Communications.*—The Committee supports efforts by the Air Force to use pathfinder demonstrations to investigate non-traditional approaches for acquiring commercial satellite communications [SATCOM] capabilities. These efforts help the Air Force gain experience with different business models to enable innovative and affordable procurement of long-term commercial SATCOM and may obviate the need for future procurement of costly, military specific SATCOM satellites. The Committee encourages the Air Force to pursue additional pathfinder demonstrations to achieve savings and take advantage of improved technology and performance offered by commercial SATCOM providers.

# PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2015	\$659,909,000
Budget estimate, 2016	1,758,843,000
Committee recommendation	1,797,343,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,797,343,000. This is \$38,500,000 above the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

		60000					
			2016 hudzot		Committoo	Change from	from
	Item	Qty.	curo puuger estimate	Qty.	recommendation	Qty.	Budget estimate
	PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE						
	PROCUREMENT OF AMMO, AIR FORCE						
1	ROCKEIS CARTRIDGES		23,788 131,102		23,788 169,602		+ 38,500
	BOMBS						
ŝ			89,759		89,759		
4	GENERAL PURPOSE BOMBS		637,181		637,181		
ч С	MASSIVE ORDNANCE PENETRATOR	6 341	39,690 374 688	6 341	39,690 374,688		
>		1		1 0 0	200		
7			5R 266		58 266		
. ∞			5,612		5,612		
6	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		103		103		
10			1,102		1,102		
11	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5,000,000		3,044		3,044		
	FUZES						
12			120,935		120,935		
13	FUZES		213,476		213,476		
	TOTAL, PROCUREMENT OF AMMO, AIR FORCE		1,698,746	1,737,246	+ 38,500		
14	WEAPONS SWALL ARMS		60,097		60,097		
	TOTAL, PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE		1,758,843		1,797,343		+ 38,500
		-	_				

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
2	Cartridges Authorization adjustment: Increase to match size of A-	131,102	169,602	+ 38,500
	10 fleet			+ 38,500

# OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2015	\$16,781,266,000
Budget estimate, 2016	18,272,438,000
Committee recommendation	17,997,359,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$17,997,359,000. This is \$275,079,000 below the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

Change from	Budget estimate										+ 700		+ 2,246		_
	Qty.														
Committoo	recommendation			8,834	58,160	1,677 12,483	4,728 4,662	10,419	23,320	6,215 87,781	218,279		139,244 677	4,041 22,573	14,456
	Qty.														
2016 hudrot	cuto puuger estimate			8,834	58,160	977 12,483	4,728 4,662	10,419	23,320	6,215 87,781	217,579		136,998 677	4,041 22,573	14,456
	Qty.														
	Item	OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE	VEHICULAR EQUIPMENT	PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLE	cargo + utility vehicles Family medium tactical vehicle	CAP VEHICLES ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M (CARGO)	SPECIAL PURPOSE VEHICLES SECURITY AND TACTICAL VEHI ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M (SPEC)	Fire Fighting Equipment Fire Fighting/Crash rescue vehicles	MATERIAIS HANDLING EQUIPMENT ITEMS LESS THAT \$5,000,000	BASE MAINTENANCE SUPPORT RUWWAY SNOW REMOVAL & CLEANING EQUIP ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M	TOTAL, VEHICULAR EQUIPMENT	ELECTRONICS AND TELECOMMUNICATIONS EQUIP COMM SECURITY EQUIPMENT(COMSEC)	COMSEC EQUIPMENT MODIFICATIONS (COMSEC)		MISSION PLANNING SYSTEMS
				-1	2	ω4	9	7	∞	9 10			11 12	13 14	15

28,823 5,833        3,000           5,833        3,000           1,687        3,000           25,710        3,000           22,710        3,000           22,710        3,000           26,166        3,000           36,168        3,000           9,597        3,000	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	74,621        5,000           98,748        5,000           5,199	-90.190           -2,029           -5,035           -5,035           -113,275           -34,355           -33,435           -33,435           -33,435           -33,435           -33,435           -33,055           -33,435           -33,435           -33,435           -33,435
28 5 1 1 22 22 28 36 36 36 36	22 23 33 33 103 33 14 14 14 14 14 14	74 98 55 115	
31,823 5,833 5,833 1,687 1,687 22,710 22,597 36,186 36,186 36,188	27,403 7,212 11,062 131,269 33,976 5,232 7,453 3,976 9,515 9,515 9,525 25,515 9,525 25,515 25,515 25,515 25,515 25,515 25,515 25,515 27,623 24,525 25,515 25	74,621 103,748 5,199 15,780 79,592	90,190 2,029 5,095 76,673 113,275 33,495 23,495 23,495 43,055
IELECTRONICS PROGRAMS           IF TRAFFIC CONTROL/LANDING           I7 MATIONAL AIRSPACE SYSTEM           I8 BATTLE CONTROL SYSTEM           I8 BATTLE CONTROL SYSTEM           I9 THACTER AIR CONTROL SYSTEM           I10 THACTER AIR CONTROL SYSTEM           I110 THACTER AIR CONTROL SYSTEM           I12 THACTEL AIR CONTROL SYSTEM           I13 THATELE OBSERVATION FOR CONTROL           I14 CONTROL SYSTEM           I15 THATELED CONTROL SYS IMPRO           I16 THACTER AIR CONTROL SYS IMPRO           I17 THACTER AIR CONTROL SYS IMPRO           I18 THATELED CONTROL SYS IMPRO           I19 THACTER AIR CONTROL SYS IMPRO           I110 THACTER AIR CONTROL SYS IMPRO           I110 THACTER AIR CONTROL SYS IMPRO           I111 THACTER AIR CONTROL SYS IMPRO           I111 THACTER AIR CONTROL SYS IMPRO           I111 THACTER AIR CONTROL SYS IMPRO           I112 THACTER AIR AND ANALY NETWORK (ISPAN)	SPECIAL COMM-ELECTRONICS PROJECTS         25 GENERAL INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY         26 AF GLOBAL COMMATION TECHNOLOGY         27 MOBILITY COMMATION AND CONTROL         28 AIF FORCE PHYSICAL SECURITY SYSTEM         29 OMBAT TRAINING RANGES         20 MBLITY COMMAND AND CONTROL         29 OMBAT TRAINING RANGES         20 MININUM ESSENTIAL ENERGENCY COMM N         31 C3 COUNTERMEASURES         32 ONNETRIERES         33 GCSS-AF FOS         34 DEFENSE ENTERPRISE ACCOUNTING AND MGNT         35 AIR AND SPACE OPERATIONS CTR-WPN SYSTEM         36 AIR AND SPACE OPERATIONS CTR-WN SYSTEM         37 AIR OPERATIONS CENTER IGOLS	AIR FORCE COMMUNICATIONS           38         INFORMATION TRANSPORT SYSTEMS           39         AFNET           41         JOINT COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT ELEMENT (JCSE)           42         USCENTCOM           43         FAMILY OF BEYOND LINE-OF-SIGHT TERMINALS	DISA PROGRAMS 44 SPACE BASED IR SENSOR PROG SPACE 55 NAVER GPS SPACE 56 NUDET DETECTION SYS (NDS) SPACE 46 NUDET DETECTION SYS (NDS) SPACE 47 AF SATELLITE CONTROL NETWORK SPACE 48 SPACELITE RANKE SYSTEM SPACE 49 MILSATCOM SPACE 50 SPACE MODS SPACE 51 COUNTERSPACE SYSTEM

-275,07917,997,359 18,272,438 TOTAL, OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE

# The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

ine	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change fron budget estima
3	Cap Vehicles	977	1,677	+7
	Program increase: Civil Air Patrol—vehicles			+7
11	Comsec Equipment	136,998	139,244	+ 2,2
	Transfer COMSEC equipment: Air Force-requested from			
	APAF Line #59 Other Equipment			+ 2,2
16	Air Traffic Control & Landing Sys	31,823	28,823	- 3,0
	Improving Funds Management: Prior year carryover			- 3,0
25	General Information Technology	27,403	25,803	- 1,6
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Schedule slips			
	(TDNE)			- 1,6
27	Mobility Command and Control	11,062	30,962	+ 19,9
	Authorization adjustment: Additional battlefield air oper-			
	ations kits—AF UFR			+ 19,9
28	Air Force Physical Security System	131,269	103,269	- 28,0
20	Improving Funds Management: Prior year carryover			- 28,0
32	Integrated Personnel and Pay System	3,976		- 3,9
22	Restoring acquisition accountability: Early to need		15 015	- 3,9 - 10,5
33	GCSS-AF Fos	25,515	15,015	- 10,5
	Improving Funds Management: Prior year carryover			- 3,0
34	Restoring acquisition accountability: Schedule slips Defense Enterprise Accounting and Mgmt System	9.255	4.755	- 4.5
54	Restoring acquisition accountability: Early to need	.,	,	-4,5
36	Air & Space Operations Ctr-WPN SYS			- 4,3
30	Restoring acquisition accountability: Schedule slips	12,045	10,045	- 2,0
	(10.1)			- 2,0
37	Air Operations Center [AOC] 10.2	24.246	14.246	- 10,0
57	Restoring acquisition accountability: Early to need (AOC	24,240	14,240	- 10,0
				- 10,0
39	AFNET	103,748	98,748	- 5,0
55	Restoring acquisition accountability: Excess growth	103,740		- 5,0
43	Family of Beyond Line-of-Sight Terminals	79,592		- 79,5
	Transfer to Space Procurement Air Force, Line 10			- 79,5
44	Space Based Ir Sensor Pgm Space	90,190		- 90,1
	Transfer to Space Procurement Air Force, Line 11			- 90.1
45	Navstar GPS Space	2,029		- 2,0
	Transfer to Space Procurement Air Force, Line 12			- 2,0
46	Nudet Detection Sys Space	5,095		- 5,0
	Transfer to Space Procurement Air Force, Line 13			- 5,0
47	AF Satellite Control Network Space	76,673		- 76,6
	Transfer to Space Procurement Air Force, Line 14			- 76,6
48	Spacelift Range System Space	113,275		- 113,2
	Transfer to Space Procurement Air Force, Line 15			- 113,2
49	Milsatcom Space	35,495		- 35,4
	Transfer to Space Procurement Air Force, Line 16			- 35,4
50	Space Mods Space	23,435		- 23,4
	Transfer to Space Procurement Air Force, Line 17			- 23,4
51	Counterspace System	43,065		- 43,0
50	Transfer to Space Procurement Air Force, Line 18			- 43,0
52	Tactical C–E Equipment	77,538	113,538	+ 36,0
	Authorization adjustment: Increase JTAC training and re-			
50	hearsal simulators—AF UFR			+ 36,0
56	Base Comm Infrastructure	77,010	67,010	- 10,0
67	Improving Funds Management: Prior year carryover	71 900		- 10,0
57	Comm Elect Mods	71,800	80,800	+ 9,0
59	Program increase: Radar reliability enhancements	70 622		+ 9,0
23	Items Less Than \$5 Million	79,623	69,623	- 10,0
61	Improving Funds Management: Prior year carryover Rase Presured Equipment	0.005	15 005	- 10,0
01	Base Procured Equipment	9,095	15,095	+ 6,0 + 6,0

139	
-----	--

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
65	Items Less Than \$5 Million Improving funds management: Prior year carryover	30,477	20,477	-10,000 -10,000
68	DCGS-AF	183,021	159,021	- 24,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Schedule slips (Geospatial Intelligence) Restoring acquisition accountability: Schedule slips (Sig-			- 6,000
	nals Intelligence)			- 6,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Early to need			
71A	(Multi-INT service oriented architecture)	15.038.333	15.280.833	-12,000 +242,500
/ 1A	Classified Programs Classified program adjustment		15,260,655	+242,500 +242,500

*Energy Efficient Military Billeting.*—The Committee continues to support efforts by the Department of Defense to leverage technology and deliver energy efficient returns on investments, such as thermal technologies in battlefield housing. Such technologies will realize cost savings by reducing the military's battlefield fuel footprint while also making current billeting structures more energy efficient.

### **PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE**

Appropriations, 2015	\$4,429,303,000
Budget estimate, 2016	5,130,853,000
Committee recommendation	5,211,532,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$5,211,532,000. This is \$80,679,000 above the budget estimate.

### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

		[option option					
			2016 hudrot		Committoo	Change from	from
	Item	Qty.	estimate	Qty.	recommendation	Qty.	Bud get estimate
	PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE						
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT						
1	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DCAA MAJOR EQUIPMENT ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M		1,488				
2	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DCMA MAJOR EQUIPMENT		2,494		2,494		
ŝ	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DHRA PERSONNEL ADMINISTRATION		9,341		9,341		
	MAIOR EQUIPMENT, DISA						
۰ ۵			8,080		8,080		
00	I ELEFURI FRUGRAW		9.399		9.399		
10	NET CENTRIC ENTERPRISE SERV		1,819		1,819		
11			141,298		141,298		
12	CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE		12,732		12,732		
13			64,098		64,098		
14 15	<ul> <li>SENIOK LEAUERSHIP ENLERPRISE</li> <li>JOINT INFORMATION ENVIRONMENT</li> </ul>		61/,910 84,400		61/,910 84,400		
16			5,644		5,644		
17	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DMACT A—WEAPON SYSTEM COST	4	11,208	4	11,208		
18 19	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DODEA AUTOMATIOVEDUCATIONAL SUPPORT & LOGISTICS		1,298 1,048		1,298 1,048		
21			001		100		
22	OTHER MAJOR EQUIPMENT		5,474		5,474		

+ 12.745 + 145.300 - 147.765 - 13.600 + 150.000 + 15,000		-2,500			+ 157,692	- 63,170 	+54,600 -4,500 -3,250	+ 8,000	- 43,000
+12									
464,067 571,661 145,300 78,634 30,887 41,400 150,000	37,177	44,439	13,027	27,859	2,658,283	135,985	54,600 15,587 15,582	1,934 19,726	1,514 204,105 61,368 23,861
30 52 1		17							
464,067 558,916 147,765 78,634 30,587 55,000	37,177	46,939	13,027	27,859	2,500,591	63,170 135,985 61 275	20,087 20,087 18,832	1,934 11,726	1,514 204,105 61,368 66,861
30 40 1		17							
MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DTSA           MAJOR EQUIPMENT, MDA           23         THAAD SYSTEM           24         AEGIS BMD           24         AEGIS BMD           25         AEGIS BMD           26         BGRS SHORE           26         BGRS SHORE           27         AEGIS BMD [AP-CY]           28         AEGIS SHOR FLARE           29         AEGIS SHOR FLARE           26         BORD SAFINE           27         AEGIS SHOR SYSTEM           28         IRGIN OSNE SYSTEM           29         ARROW 3	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, NSA 35 INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM [ISSP]	MAUR EQUIPMENT, USD 36 MAUR EQUIPMENT, OSD MAINE FOLIPMENT TIS	38 MIJOR EQUIPMENT, 75 MAIOR EQUIPMENT, 71S MAIOR EQUIPMENT WIS	40 MAJOR EQUIPMENT, WHS	TOTAL, MAJOR EQUIPMENT SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND	AVIATION PROGRAMS 41 MC-12 42 SOF ROTARY WING UPGRADES AND SUSTAINMENT 44 Mom Standards AND SUSTAINMENT			31         SIUASLU           52         PRECISION STRIKE PACKAGE           53         AC/MC-1301           54         C-130

2
al
ŝ
2
누
.≘
2
5
≝
2
2

					Change from	e from
ttem	Qty.	2016 budget estimate	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Qty.	Budget estimate
SHIPBUILDING UNDERWATER SYSTEMS		32,521		29,021		-3,500
AMMUNITION PROGRAMS SOF ORDNANCE ITEMS UNDER \$5,000,000		174,734		174,734		
OTHER PROCUREMENT PROGRAMS		03 M0		03 000		
		14.964		14.964		
		79,149		79,149		
SOF COMBATANT CRAFT SYSTEMS		33,362		63,362		+ 30,000
SPECIAL PROGRAMS		143,533		117,815		-25,718
TACTICAL VEHICLES		73,520		73,520		
WARRIOR SYSTEMS UNDER \$5,000,000		186,009		195,809		+ 9,800
COMBAT MISSION REQUIREMENTS		19,693		14,693		-5,000
SOF GLOBAL VIDEO SURVEILLANCE ACTIVITIES		3,967		3,967		
SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS INTELLIGENCE		19,225 213,252		19,225 213,252		
TOTAL, SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND		1,733,795		1,637,782		- 96,013
CHEMICAL/BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE CHEMICAL BIOLOGICAL SITUATIONAL AWARENESS CB PROTECTION AND HAZARD MITIGATION		141,223 137,487		160,223 137,487		+ 19,000
TOTAL, CHEMICAL/BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE		278,710		297,710		+ 19,000
CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS		617,757		617,757		
TOTAL, PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE		5,130,853		5,211,532		+ 80,679

# The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1	Items Less Than \$5 Million Program termination	1,488		$-1,488 \\ -1,488$
24	Aegis BMD Transfer All Up Round procurement only: MDA-re-	558,916	571,661	+ 12,745
	quested from line 25 Restoring acquisition accountability: Transfer Aegis BMD hardware and software procurements and in-			+ 120,445
24A	stallations to line 24A Aegis BMD Hardware Restoring acquisition accountability: Transfer Aegis BMD hardware and software procurements and in-		145,300	- 107,700 + 145,300
	stallations from line 24 Program increase: Aegis BMD 3.6 to 4x hardware pro-			+ 107,700
25	curements for DDG 72 and 76 only Aegis BMD (AP) Transfer All Up Round procurement only: MDA-re-	147,765		+ 37,600 - 147,765
	quested to line 24			- 120,445
28	Wide, line 108 Iron Dome Improving funds management: Excess to requirement	55,000	41,400	- 27,320 - 13,600 - 13,600
XX	David's Sling Authorization adjustment: Program increase for Da-		150,000	+ 150,000
ХХХ	vid's Sling Arrow 3 Authorization adjustment: Program increase for Arrow		15,000	+ 150,000 + 15,000
36	3 Major Equipment, OSD Maintain program affordability: Eliminate program	46,939	44,439	+ 15,000 - 2,500
41	growth MC-12 Transfer MC-12: SOCOM-requested to PDW Line #45	63,170		- 2,500 - 63,170
44	U-28 Non-Standard Aviation Restoring acquisition accountability: Acquisition strat- egy	61,275	11,000	- 63,170 - 50,275 - 50,275
45	U-28 Transfer MC-12: SOCOM requested from PDW Line		54,600	+ 54,600
	#41 MC-12 Budget documentation disparity: Poor justification			+ 63,170
47	materials for low cost mods RQ-11 Unmanned Aerial Vehicle Budget documentation disparity: Poor justification materials for MTUAS Ancillary Equipment	20,087	15,587	- 8,570 - 4,500 - 4,500
48	CV-22 Modification Budget documentation disparity: Poor justification	18,832	15,582	- 3,250
50	materials for block upgrade modifications MQ-9 Unmanned Aerial Vehicle Improving funds management: Prior year carryover Authorization adjustment: MQ-9 capability enhance-	11,726	19,726	- 3,250 + 8,000 - 2,000
54	ments C-130 Modifications Transfer MC-130 TFTA: SOCOM-requested to RDDW Line #240 Aviation Systems	66,861	23,861	+ 10,000 - 43,000 - 7,500
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Early to need (TFTA)			- 27,500
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Early to need (EC-130J block A kits)			- 8,000

1	4	4	F

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
55	Underwater Systems	32,521	29,021	- 3,500
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Unit cost growth (SWCS)			- 3.500
60	Combatant Craft Systems	33,362	63,362	+ 30,000
	Program increase: High speed assault craft			+ 30,000
61	Special Programs	143,533	117,815	- 25,718
	Classified program adjustment			- 25,718
63	Warrior Systems <\$5M	186,009	195,809	+ 9,800
	Program increase: Visual Augmentation Systems			+ 4,800
	Program increase: Weapons optics			+ 5,000
64	Combat Mission Requirements	19,693	14,693	- 5,000
	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover			- 5,000
74	Chemical Biological Situational Awareness	141,223	160,223	+ 19,000
	Program increase			+ 19,000

Special Operations Command [SOCOM] Non-Standard Aviation.—The fiscal year 2016 President's budget request includes \$61,275,000 for the acquisition of three C-146A aircraft. The Committee is concerned with SOCOM's divestment strategy of C-145A aircraft, purchased as recently as fiscal years 2012 and 2013, and notes that significant investments have been made to upgrade the existing C-145A fleet. The Committee reduces the fiscal year 2016 request by \$50,275,000. In addition, the Committee directs the Commander of SOCOM to report on the divestment and acquisition strategy for the entire non-standard aviation fleet and provide this report to the congressional defense committees not later than 180 days after enactment of this act.

*Rebreather Technology.*—The Committee is aware of new underwater rebreather technology for Naval Special Warfare units. If proven, this technology could increase safety for combat divers as well as increase diver endurance while reducing maintenance associated with older carbon dioxide canisters. The Committee encourages the Commander of Special Operations Command to test and validate the utility of this new technology.

### MISSILE DEFENSE AGENCY

AEGIS Ballistic Missile Defense [BMD] and SM-3 Block IB Interceptor.—The fiscal year 2016 budget request includes \$558,916,000 for the procurement and installation of AEGIS BMD upgrades and SM-3 Block IB interceptors. The Committee recommends the establishment of distinct funding lines for each effort and transfers funds requested for AEGIS BMD upgrades to a separate line. The Committee directs the Director, MDA to follow this budget structure in future budget submissions. Further, based on Combatant Command requirements and continued concerns with the Department's Destroyer modernization strategy, the Committee recommends an additional \$37,600,000 only for ballistic missile defense upgrades of two Flight II DDG 51 destroyers in fiscal year 2016.
# DEFENSE PRODUCTION ACT PURCHASES

Appropriations, 2015	\$51,638,000
Budget estimate, 2016	46,680,000
Committee recommendation	76,680,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$76,680,000. This is \$30,000,000 above the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

5
멷
sai
thou
.≘
£
<u>a</u>
ē

		2016 hudzot		Committoo	Change from	from
Item	Qty.	estimate	Qty.	recommendation	Qty.	Budget estimate
DEFENSE PRODUCTION ACT PURCHASES						
DEFENSE PRODUCTION ACT PURCHASES		46,680		76,680		+ 30,000

Additional Funding.—The Committee recognizes the critical role that the Defense Production Act [DPA] title III program serves in strengthening the U.S. defense industrial base and believes that this work is in the national interest. Therefore, the Committee increases funding for DPA by \$30,000,000 over the budget request. The Committee directs that the additional funding be competitively awarded to new initiatives and priority consideration should be given to completion of DPA projects initiated in prior years. Furthermore, the Committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense (Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics) to notify the congressional defense committees 30 days prior to any obligation or expenditure of these funds.

## TITLE IV

## RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION

Funds appropriated under this title provide the resources required to conduct a program of research, development, test and evaluation, including research in basic science, applied research, advanced technology development, demonstration and validation, engineering and manufacturing development, and operational systems development.

The President's fiscal year 2016 budget requests a total of \$69,784,963,000 for research, development, test and evaluation appropriations.

#### SUMMARY OF COMMITTEE ACTION

The Committee recommends research, development, test and evaluation appropriations totaling \$70,324,687,000 for fiscal year 2016. This is \$539,724,000 above the budget estimate.

Committee recommended research, development, test and evaluation appropriations for fiscal year 2016 are summarized below:

## SUMMARY OF RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION APPROPRIATIONS

[In thousands of dollars]

Account	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation: Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Air Force Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense	6,924,959 17,885,916 26,473,669 18,329,861 170,558	7,096,935 18,236,645 25,874,116 18,926,433 190,558	+ 171,976 + 350,729 - 599,553 + 596,572 + 20,000
Total:	69,784,963	70,324,687	+ 539,724

#### REPROGRAMMING GUIDANCE FOR ACQUISITION ACCOUNTS

The Secretary of Defense is directed to continue to follow the reprogramming guidance as specified in the report accompanying the House version of the Department of Defense appropriations bill for fiscal year 2008 (House Report 110–279). Specifically, the dollar threshold for reprogramming funds will remain at \$20,000,000 for procurement and \$10,000,000 for research, development, test and evaluation.

Also, the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) is directed to continue to provide the congressional defense committees quarterly, spreadsheet-based DD Form 1416 reports for service and defensewide accounts in titles III and IV of this act. Reports for titles III and IV shall comply with guidance specified in the explanatory statement accompanying the Department of Defense Appropriations Act for Fiscal Year 2006. The Department shall continue to follow the limitation that prior approval reprogrammings are set at either the specified dollar threshold or 20 percent of the procurement or research, development, test and evaluation line, whichever is less. These thresholds are cumulative from the base for reprogramming value as modified by any adjustments. Therefore, if the combined value of transfers into or out of a procurement (P-1), or a research, development, test and evaluation (R-1) line exceeds the identified threshold, the Secretary of Defense must submit a prior approval reprogramming to the congressional defense committees. In addition, guidelines on the application of prior approval reprogramming procedures for congressional special interest items are established elsewhere in this report.

#### RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION OVERVIEW

Basic Research.—The fiscal year 2016 budget request includes \$2,088,929,000 for basic research in Research, Development, Test and Evaluation for the Army, Navy, Air Force and Department of Defense. This amount is \$188,759,000 below the below fiscal year 2015 enacted level. The Committee believes that further investment in basic research must continue. Basic research is the foundation of innovative breakthroughs that are critical to maintaining the Nation's future technological edge. Investments in basic research not only provide advances in technology for our military men and women but also provide an important incubator for national labs and academic research institutions. These investments also encourage partnerships and collaboration with industry. In order to keep pace with the global challenges to come, the Committee believes that additional funding should be allocated to Fed-Committee eral research. Therefore, the recommends \$2,317,429,000 for basic research, an increase of \$228,500,000 over the 2016 budget request and a \$39,741,000 increase over the fiscal year 2015 enacted level.

Alternative Energy Research.—The Committee continues to support the fiscal and operational value of investing in alternative energy research. The recommendation includes an additional \$75,000,000 for Army, Navy and Air Force research and development to continue research of promising alternative energy technologies, such as renewable energies, alternative fuels, and energy efficiencies. The Committee encourages the services to focus on the ability of platforms, installations, and personnel to operate with a diverse mix of fuels.

Solar Research in Dry-Dust Areas.—The Committee supports efforts by the Department of Defense to become more energy efficient. These efforts have demonstrated cost savings and are an important part of the 2010 and 2015 Quadrennial Defense Reviews and the Department of Defense's Operational Energy Strategy. Renewable energy, including solar, is an important part of these efforts. Last year, the Army broke ground on its largest solar energy plant at Fort Huachuca in Arizona. Covering 155 acres, the plant is projected to provide not less than 25 percent of the Fort's electricity. The Committee believes solar initiatives are also important for overseas operations, particularly in the Middle East and Africa, where in country supplies are unreliable and large amounts of energy often need to be transported to theater. However, dry-dust problems can prevent the optimal use of solar energy in some areas. Therefore, the Committee urges the Department of Defense to continue research into the use of solar energy in dry-dust regions.

#### RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, ARMY

Appropriations, 2015	\$6,675,565,000
Budget estimate, 2016	6,924,959,000
Committee recommendation	7,096,935,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$7,096,935,000. This is \$171,976,000 above the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, ARMY			
	BASIC RESEARCH			
1	IN-HOUSE LABORATORY INDEPENDENT RESEARCH	13.018	13.018	
2	DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES	239,118	279,118	+40,000
3	UNIVERSITY RESEARCH INITIATIVES	72,603	72,603	
4	UNIVERSITY AND INDUSTRY RESEARCH CENTERS	100,340	105,340	+ 5,000
	TOTAL, BASIC RESEARCH	425,079	470,079	+ 45,000
	APPLIED RESEARCH			
5	MATERIALS TECHNOLOGY	28,314	68,314	+40,000
6	SENSORS AND ELECTRONIC SURVIVABILITY	38,374	53,374	+15,000
7	TRACTOR HIP	6,879	6,879	
8	AVIATION TECHNOLOGY	56,884	56,884	
9	ELECTRONIC WARFARE TECHNOLOGY	19,243	19,243	
10	MISSILE TECHNOLOGY	45,053	55,053	+10,000
11	ADVANCED WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY	29,428	41,428	+ 12,000
12	ADVANCED CONCEPTS AND SIMULATION	27,862	27,862	
13	COMBAT VEHICLE AND AUTOMOTIVE TECHNOLOGY	68,839	105,839	+ 37,000
14	BALLISTICS TECHNOLOGY	92,801	112,801	+ 20,000
15	CHEMICAL, SMOKE AND EQUIPMENT DEFEATING TECHNOLOGY	3,866	3,866	
16	JOINT SERVICE SMALL ARMS PROGRAM	5,487	5,487	
17	WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS TECHNOLOGY	48,340	63,340	+ 15,000
18	ELECTRONICS AND ELECTRONIC DEVICES	55,301	64,301	+ 9,000
19	NIGHT VISION TECHNOLOGY	33,807	35,807	+ 2,000
20	COUNTERMINE SYSTEMS	25,068	38,068	+ 13,000
21	HUMAN FACTORS ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY	23,681	23,681	
22	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY	20,850	20,850	
23	COMMAND, CONTROL, COMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGY	36,160	36,160	
24	COMPUTER AND SOFTWARE TECHNOLOGY	12,656	12,656	
25	MILITARY ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY	63,409	77,409	+14,000
26	MANPOWER/PERSONNEL/TRAINING TECHNOLOGY	24,735	24,735	
27	WARFIGHTER TECHNOLOGY	35,795	40,795	+ 5,000
28	MEDICAL TECHNOLOGY	76,853	76,853	
	TOTAL, APPLIED RESEARCH	879,685	1,071,685	+ 192,000
	ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT			
29	WARFIGHTER ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	46,973	56,973	+10,000
30	MEDICAL ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	69,584	77,584	+ 8,000
31	AVIATION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	89,736	89,736	

1	E	1
1	Э	T

[In thousands of dollars]

	ltem	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
32	WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	57,663	69,663	+ 12,000
33	COMBAT VEHICLE AND AUTOMOTIVE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	113,071	120,571	+ 7,500
34	SPACE APPLICATION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	5,554	5,554	
35	MANPOWER, PERSONNEL AND TRAINING ADVANCED TECH-		,	
	NOLOGY	12,636	12,636	
37	TRACTOR HIKE	7,502	7,502	
38	NEXT GENERATION TRAINING & SIMULATION SYSTEMS	17,425	17,425	
39	TRACTOR ROSE	11,912	11,912	
40	COMBATING TERRORISM, TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	27,520	33,520	+ 6,000
41	TRACTOR NAIL	2,381	2,381	
42	TRACTOR EGGS	2,431	2,431	
43	ELECTRONIC WARFARE TECHNOLOGY	26,874	34,874	+ 8,000
44	MISSILE AND ROCKET ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	49,449	99,449	+ 50,000
45	TRACTOR CAGE	10,999	10,999	
46	HIGH PERFORMANCE COMPUTING MODERNIZATION PROGRAM	177,159	222,159	+ 45,000
47	LANDMINE WARFARE AND BARRIER ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	13,993	13,993	
48	JOINT SERVICE SMALL ARMS PROGRAM	5,105	5,105	
49	NIGHT VISION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	40,929	40,929	
50	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATIONS	10,727	15,727	+ 5,000
51	MILITARY ENGINEERING ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	20,145	30,145	+ 10,000
52	ADVANCED TACTICAL COMPUTER SCIENCE & SENSOR TECH-			
5.0	NOLOGY	38,163	38,163	
53	COMMAND, CONTROL, COMMUNICATIONS ADVANCED TECH-	07.010	07.010	
	NOLOGY	37,816	37,816	
	TOTAL, ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	895,747	1,057,247	+ 161,50
54	DEMONSTRATION & VALIDATION ARMY MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEMS INTEGRATION	10,347	24,347	+ 14,00
55	ARMY MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEMS INTEGRATION (SPACE)	25,061	25,061	1 14,000
56	LANDMINE WARFARE AND BARRIER—ADV DEV	49,636	45,757	- 3,87
57	SMOKE, OBSCURANT AND TARGET DEFEATING SYS-ADV DEV	13,426	13,426	
58	TANK AND MEDIUM CALIBER AMMUNITION	46,749	46,749	
60	SOLDIER SUPPORT AND SURVIVABILITY	6,258	301	- 5,95
61	TACTICAL ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM—AD	13,472	13,472	
62	NIGHT VISION SYSTEMS ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT	7,292	7,292	
63	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY	8,813	8,813	
65	NATO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	6,075	6,075	
67	Logistics and engineer equipment—adv dev	21,233	21,233	
68	MEDICAL SYSTEMS—ADV DEV	31,962	31,962	
69	SOLDIER SYSTEMS—ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT	22,194	23,194	+ 1,00
71	ANALYSIS OF ALTERNATIVES	9,805	9,805	
72	TECHNOLOGY MATURATION INITIATIVES	40,917	35,917	- 5,00
73	ASSURED POSITIONING, NAVIGATION AND TIMING (PNT)	30,058	30,058	
74	INDIRECT FIRE PROTECTION CAPABILITY INCREMENT			
	2–INTERC	155,361	155,361	
	TOTAL, DEMONSTRATION & VALIDATION	498,659	498,823	+ 16
	ENGINEERING & MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT			
76	AIRCRAFT AVIONICS	12,939	12,939	
78	ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT	18,843	18,843	
79	JOINT TACTICAL RADIO	9,861	4,546	- 5,31
80	MID-TIER NETWORKING VEHICULAR RADIO	8,763	8,763	
81	ALL SOURCE ANALYSIS SYSTEM	4,309	4,309	
82	TRACTOR CAGE	15,138	15,138	
83	INFANTRY SUPPORT WEAPONS	74,128	78,580	+ 4,45
85	JAVELIN	3,945	3,945	
87	AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL	10,076	10,076	
88	SMALL UNMANNED GROUND VEHICLE	40,374	9,050	- 31,32
89	NIGHT VISION SYSTEMS—SDD	67,582	67,582	
90	COMBAT FEEDING, CLOTHING, AND EQUIPMENT	1,763	1,763	
91	NON-SYSTEM TRAINING DEVICES-SDD	27,155	21,723	- 5,43
92	AIR DEFENSE COMMAND, CONTROL AND INTELLIGENCE -SDD	24,569	24,569	
	TAIN PETENSE CONTINUE, CONTINUE AND INTELLIGENCE -SUD 1	24,309	24,309	

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
94	AUTOMATIC TEST EQUIPMENT DEVELOPMENT	8,960	8.960	
95	DISTRIBUTIVE INTERACTIVE SIMULATIONS (DIS)—SDD	9,138	9,138	
96	COMBINED ARMS TACTICAL TRAINER (CATT) CORE	21,622	21,622	
97	BRIGADE ANALYSIS, INTEGRATION AND EVALUATION	99,242	99,242	
		,	,	
98	WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS—SDD	21,379	21,379	
99	LOGISTICS AND ENGINEER EQUIPMENT—SDD	48,339	46,539	-1,800
100	COMMAND, CONTROL, COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS—SDD	2,726	2,726	
101	MEDICAL MATERIEL/MEDICAL BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE EQUIPMENT	45,412	45,412	•••••
102	LANDMINE WARFARE/BARRIER—SDD	55,215	55,215	
104	ARMY TACTICAL COMMAND & CONTROL HARDWARE & SOFT-			
	WARE	163,643	131,899	- 31,744
105	RADAR DEVELOPMENT	12,309	12,309	
106	GENERAL FUND ENTERPRISE BUSINESS SYSTEM [GFEBS]	15,700	21,155	+5,455
107	FIREFINDER	6,243	2,967	- 3,276
108	SOLDIER SYSTEMS—WARRIOR DEM/VAL	18,776	18,776	
109	ARTILLERY SYSTEMS	1,953	1,953	
110	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	67,358	60,358	- 7,000
		07,338	00,338	- 7,000
111	ARMY INTEGRATED MILITARY HUMAN RESOURCES SYSTEM	100 011	00.011	07.000
	(A–IMH	136,011	99,011	- 37,000
112	ARMORED MULTI-PURPOSE VEHICLE	230,210	219,259	- 10,951
113	JOINT TACTICAL NETWORK CENTER (JTNC)	13,357	13,357	
114	JOINT TACTICAL NETWORK (JTN)	18,055	18,055	
115	TRACTOR TIRE	5,677	5,677	
116	COMMON INFRARED COUNTERMEASURES (CIRCM)	77,570	53,570	- 24,000
117	AIRCRAFT SURVIVABILITY DEVELOPMENT	18,112	18,112	,
118	WIN-T INCREMENT 3—FULL NETWORKING	39,700	27,331	- 12,369
119	AMF JOINT TACTICAL RADIO SYSSTEM	12,987	12,987	12,505
120				
-	JOINT AIR-TO-GROUND MISSILE [JAGM]	88,866	74,966	- 13,900
121	PAC-2/MSE MISSILE	2,272	2,272	
122	ARMY INTEGRATED AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE [AIAMD]	214,099	224,099	+ 10,000
123	MANNED GROUND VEHICLE	49,247	49,247	
124	AERIAL COMMON SENSOR	2	2	
125	NATIONAL CAPABILITIES INTEGRATION	10,599	10,599	
126	JOINT LIGHT TACTICAL VEHICLE ENG AND MANUFACTURING	32,486	32,486	
127	AVIATION GROUND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	8,880	8,880	
128	PALADIN INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT [PIM]	152,288	152,288	
129	TROJAN—RH12	5,022	5,022	
130	ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT	12,686	12,686	
150		12,000	12,000	
	TOTAL, ENGINEERING & MANUFACTURING DEVELOP- MENT	2,068,950	1,904,746	- 164,204
	MENT	2,000,330	1,304,740	104,204
	RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT			
131	THREAT SIMULATOR DEVELOPMENT	20,035	27,535	+7,500
132	TARGET SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	16,684	16,684	
133	MAJOR T&E INVESTMENT	62,580	67,580	+ 5,000
134	RAND ARROYO CENTER	20,853	20,853	
134	ARMY KWAJALEIN ATOLL	205,145	205,145	
		,		
136	CONCEPTS EXPERIMENTATION PROGRAM	19,430	19,430	
138	ARMY TEST RANGES AND FACILITIES	277,646	280,146	+ 2,500
139	ARMY TECHNICAL TEST INSTRUMENTATION AND TARGETS	51,550	51,550	
140	SURVIVABILITY/LETHALITY ANALYSIS	33,246	33,246	
141	AIRCRAFT CERTIFICATION	4,760	4,760	
142	METEOROLOGICAL SUPPORT TO RDT&E ACTIVITIES	8,303	8,303	
143	MATERIEL SYSTEMS ANALYSIS	20,403	20,403	
144	EXPLOITATION OF FOREIGN ITEMS	10,396	10,396	
144	SUPPORT OF OPERATIONAL TESTING	49,337	49,337	
145	ARMY EVALUATION CENTER	49,337 52,694	49,337 52.694	
			. ,	
147	SIMULATION & MODELING FOR ACQ, RQTS, & TNG [SMART]	938	938	
148	PROGRAMWIDE ACTIVITIES	60,319	60,319	
149	TECHNICAL INFORMATION ACTIVITIES	28,478	28,478	
		102.00	47 004	
150 151	MUNITIONS STANDARDIZATION, EFFECTIVENESS AND SAFETY ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY MGMT SUPPORT	32,604 3,186	47,604 3,186	+15,000

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
152	MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS (RESEARCH AND DEVELOP-			
	MENT)	48,955	48,955	
	TOTAL, RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT	1,027,542	1,057,542	+ 30,00
	OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	, ,		,
154	MLRS PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	18,397	18,397	
155	TRACTOR PULL	9.461	9,461	
156	WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS	4,945	4.945	
157	TRACTOR SMOKE	7,569	7.569	
158	APACHE BLOCK III	69.862	40,862	- 29.00
159	BLACKHAWK RECAP/MODERNIZATION	66,653	66,653	
160	IMPROVED CARGO (CHINOOK) HELICOPTER	37,407	27,707	- 9.70
161	FIXED WING AIRCRAFT	1,151	1,151	-,
162	IMPROVED TURBINE ENGINE PROGRAM	51.164	51.164	
163	EMERGING TECHNOLOGIES FROM NIE	2.481	2,481	
164	LOGISTICS AUTOMATION	1.673	1.673	
166	FAMILY OF BIOMETRICS	13,237	13,237	
167	PATRIOT PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT	105.816	28,200	- 77.61
169	AEROSTAT JOINT PROJECT OFFICE	40,565	40,565	
171	JOINT AUTOMATED DEEP OPERATION COORDINATION SYSTEM	35,719	35.719	
172	COMBAT VEHICLE IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS	257,167	257,167	
173	MANEUVER CONTROL SYSTEM	15.445	15.445	
175	AIRCRAFT ENGINE COMPONENT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	364	364	
176	DIGITIZATION	4,361	4,361	
177	MISSILE/AIR DEFENSE PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	3,154	3,154	
178	OTHER MISSILE PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS	35,951	35,951	
179	TRACTOR CARD	34,686	34,686	
180	INTEGRATED BASE DEFENSE—OPERATIONAL SYSTEM DEV	10,750	10.750	
181	MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT	402	402	
183	LOWER TIER AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE [AMD] SYSTEM	64.159	64.159	
184	GUIDED MULTIPLE-LAUNCH ROCKET SYSTEM [GMLRS]	17,527	34,727	+ 17,2
185	JOINT TACTICAL GROUND SYSTEM	20,515	20,515	
187	SECURITY AND INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES	12,368	20,010	- 12,3
188	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM	31,154	31,154	,-
189	GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM	12,274	12.274	
190	SATCOM GROUND ENVIRONMENT (SPACE)	9,355	9.355	
191	WWMCCS/GLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM	7.053	7.053	
193	INTEGRATED BROADCAST SERVICE (IBS)	750	750	
194	TACTICAL UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES	13,225	13,225	
195	AIRBORNE RECONNAISSANCE SYSTEMS	22,870	22,870	
196	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS	25,592	25,592	
199	RQ-7 UAV	7.297	12.297	+ 5.0
201	WIN-T INCREMENT 2—INITIAL NETWORKING	3,800	3,800	. 0,0
202	END ITEM INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS ACTIVITIES	48,442	62,442	+ 14,0
	TOTAL, OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	1,124,761	1,032,277	- 92,48
999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	4,536	4,536	
	TOTAL, RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, ARMY	6,924,959	7,096,935	+ 171,97

# COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands o	of dollars]
-----------------	-------------

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
2	Defense Research Sciences	239,118	279,118	+ 40,000
	Authorization adjustment: Basic research program increase			+ 40,000

154
-----

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimat
4	University and Industry Research Centers Basic research program increase	100,340	105,340	+ 5,0 + 5,0
5	Materials Technology	28,314	68,314	+ 40,0
	Program increase			+ 40,0
6	Sensors and Electronic Survivability	38,374	53,374	+ 15,0
	Program increase			+ 15,0
10	Missile Technology	45,053	55,053	+ 10,0
11	Program increase Advanced Weapons Technology	20 429		+ 10,0 + 12,0
11	Program increase: Thermal management technology	29,428	41,428	+ 12,0
13	Combat Vehicle and Automotive Technology	68.839	105,839	+ 37,0
	Program increase			+ 12,0
	Program increase: Alternative energy research			+ 25,0
14	Ballistics Technology	92,801	112,801	+ 20,0
17	Program increase			+ 20,0
17	Weapons and Munitions Technology	48,340	63,340	+ 15,0 + 15,0
18	Program increase Electronics and Electronic Devices		64,301	+ 15,0 + 9,0
10	Program increase			+ 9,0
19	Night Vision Technology	33,807	35,807	+ 2,0
	Program increase			+ 2,0
20	Countermine Systems	25,068	38,068	+ 13,0
	Program increase			+ 5,0
25	Program increase: Explosives detection technology	63.409	77,409	+ 8,0 + 14.0
20	Military Engineering Technology Program increase	03,409	77,409	+ 14,0 + 14,0
27	Warfighter Technology	35,795	40,795	+ 5,0
	Program increase			+ 5,0
29	Warfighter Advanced Technology	46,973	56,973	+ 10,0
	Program increase			+ 10,0
30	Medical Advanced Technology Program increase: Peer-reviewed military burn re-	69,584	77,584	+ 8,0
	search program			+ 8,0
32	Weapons and Munitions Advanced Technology	57,663	69,663	+ 12,0
	Program increase: High energy laser research			+ 12,0
33	Combat Vehicle and Automotive Advanced Technology	113,071	120,571	+ 7,5
	Program increase			+ 7,5
40	Combating Terrorism—Technology Development	27,520	33,520	+ 6,0
	Program increase: Force protection radar develop- ment			+ 6,0
43	Electronic Warfare Technology	26,874	34,874	+ 0,0
10	Program increase			+ 8,0
44	Missile and Rocket Advanced Technology	49,449	99,449	+ 50,0
	Program increase			+ 50,0
46	High Performance Computing Modernization Program	177,159	222,159	+ 45,0
50	Program increase		15.727	+ 45,0 + 5,0
50	Environmental Quality Technology Demonstrations Program increase	10,727	15,727	+ 5,0
51	Military Engineering Advanced Technology	20,145	30,145	+ 10,0
	Program increase			+ 5,0
	Program increase: Natural gas research			+ 5,0
54	Army Missile Defense Systems Integration	10,347	24,347	+ 14,0
50	Program increase			+ 14,0
56	Landmine Warfare and Barrier—Adv Dev Improving funds management: Test and evaluation	49,636	45,757	- 3,8
	funding ahead of need			- 3,8
60	Soldier Support and Survivability	6,258	301	- 5,9
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Rapid Equip-	3,230	551	5,5
	ping Force non-base budget program			- 5,9
69	Soldier Systems—Advanced Development	22,194	23,194	+ 1,0
70	Program increase			+ 1,0
72	Technology Maturation Initiatives	40,917	35,917	- 5,0
79	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover Joint Tactical Radio	9,861	4,546	- 5,0 - 5,3

1	55	
Т	$\mathbf{u}$	

[In thousands of dollars]

[In thousands of dollars]				
Line	ltem	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Rifleman radio			
	operational test delay			- 5,31
83	Infantry Support Weapons	74,128	78,580	+ 4,45
	Program increase			+ 2,00
	Transfer modular handgun system: Army-requested			
	from WTCV lines 18, 19, 22, 29			+1,50
	Transfer CROWS: Army-requested from PAA line 3			+ 9
88	Small Unmanned Ground Vehicle	40,374	9,050	- 31,32
	Restoring acquisition accountability: EMD contract			
	funding ahead of need			- 31,32
91	Non-System Training Devices—Eng Dev	27,155	21,723	- 5,43
	Budget documentation disparity: LVC-IA excess			- 5,43
99	Logistics and Engineer Equipment—Eng Dev	48,339	46,539	- 1,80
	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover			- 4,80
104	Program increase	100.040	101.000	+ 3,00
104	Army Tactical Command & Control Hardware & Software	163,643	131,899	- 31,74
	Improving funds management: Tactical enhancement			1.0
	IOT&E funding ahead of need			- 1,00
	Restoring acquisition accountability: TNOM lack of			
	acquisition strategy			- 30,74
106	General Fund Enterprise Business System [GFEBS]	15,700	21,155	+ 5,4
	Transfer GFEBS-SA: Army-requested from OPA line			
	102			+ 5,4
107	Firefinder	6,243	2,967	- 3,2
	Improving funds management: L88 prior year carry-			
	over			- 3,2
110	Information Technology Development	67,358	60,358	- 7,0
	Improving funds management: Prior year execution			- 7,0
111	Integrated Personnel and Pay System-Army [IPPS-A]	136,011	99,011	- 37,0
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Inc II release			
	2.0 contract delay			- 37,0
112	Armored Multi-Purpose Vehicle [AMPV]	230,210	219,259	- 10,9
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Program man-			
	agement growth			- 4,0
	Improving funds management: Test funding ahead			
	of need			- 6,9
116	Common Infrared Countermeasures [CIRCM]	77,570	53,570	- 24,0
	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover			
	due to contract delay			- 24,0
118	WIN-T Increment 3-Full Networking	39,700	27,331	- 12,3
	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover			10.0
100	due to contract delay			- 12,3
120	Joint Air-to-Ground Missile [JAGM]	88,866	74,966	- 13,90
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Excess T&E			12.0
100	funding due to EMD contract delay			- 13,9
122	Army Integrated Air and Missile Defense [AIAMD]	214,099	224,099	+ 10,0
101	Program increase: Cybersecurity research			+ 10,0
131	Threat Simulator Development	20,035	27,535	+ 7,5
122	Program increase	CO E90		+ 7,5
133	Major T&E Investment	62,580	67,580	+ 5,0
138	Program increase: Cyber vulnerabilities research	277,646	280,146	+ 5,0 + 2,5
130	Army Test Ranges and Facilities	,	200,140	
150	Program increase	32 604	47,604	+ 2,5 + 15,0
150	Munitions Standardization, Effectiveness and Safety	32,604		+ 15,0
158	Program increase Apache Product Improvement Program	60 862	40.862	
100		69,862	40,862	- 29,0
	Improving funds management: Product development			20.0
100	and support costs prior year carryover	27 407		- 29,0
160	Chinook Product Improvement Program	37,407	27,707	- 9,7
167	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover	105 916	28 200	- 9,7
167	Patriot Product Improvement	105,816	28,200	- 77,6
	Restoring acquisition accountability. Only for near-			77.0
184	term urgent improvements Guided Multiple-Launch Rocket System [GMLRS]	17,527	34,727	- 77,61 + 17,20

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2015 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Program increase: Insensitive munition rocket motor research			+ 17.200
187	Security and Intelligence Activities	12,368		- 12,368
199	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover	7.297		- 12,368 + 5.000
199	RQ-7 UAV Program increase	7,297	12,297	+ 5,000
202	End Item Industrial Preparedness Activities	48,442	62,442	+ 14,000
	Program increase: Army manufacturing technology program			+ 14,000

Improved Turbine Engine Program [ITEP].—The fiscal year 2016 budget request includes \$51,164,000 for the Improved Turbine Engine Program [ITEP]. The Committee notes that contrary to previous budgets, the Army now plans to retain no less than two engine developers through milestone B to ensure competition in the program. The Committee believes that providing adequate and stable funding for ITEP sufficient to carry at least two engine developers is important to reduce risk, achieve appropriate technology maturity, and set the conditions for ultimate program success.

Patriot Modernization.—In February 2015, the Army initiated an analysis of alternatives [AoA] to determine the most cost effective strategy to upgrade or replace the current Patriot radar within the integrated air and missile defense architecture. The results of this AoA will directly affect the cost assessment of the Army's overall Patriot modernization strategy. The Committee finds it prudent to await the results of this AoA, which will be completed in September 2015, before continuing to invest significant funding to improve the current radar system.

Therefore, the Committee recommends deferring radar upgrades that could become obsolete in the near-term, and recommends funding only for urgent near-term improvements in fiscal year 2016, a reduction of \$77,616,000 to the budget request.

Material Development, Characterization, and Computational Modeling.—The Committee recognizes the importance of evaluating materials and technologies, as well as designing and developing methodologies and models to enable enhanced lethality and survivability. Methods such as computational research allow for the development of models that predict the mechanical properties of materials that are used in research and development at the U.S. Army Research Laboratory [ARL]. These models and simulations, which are based on quantum mechanics, statistical mechanics principles and thermodynamic simulations, and are tested via cold spray synthesis and mechanical testing, provide a cost savings to the Department of Defense by simulating materials prior to testing them to ensure mechanical properties will work together. Additionally, these methodologies allow for the enhanced development of technologies such as lightweight armors, protective structures, kinetic energy active protection, ballistic shock and mine blast protection, helmet technologies to prevent traumatic brain injury and numerous other uses. The Committee encourages ARL to continue the utilization of computational modeling and simulations research to achieve greater cost savings.

Strategic Materials Research.—The Committee continues to recognize the importance of the Army Research Laboratory [ARL] in expanding research, education and technology development efforts in materials and metals processing science and engineering, aiming to transform the affordability, performance and environmental sustainability of strategic materials. The Committee further notes that ARL's Open Campus concept benefits the Army, the academic community and industry through collaboration involving ARL's research staff and facilities, leading to continued technological superiority for the U.S. warfighter. The Committee encourages the Army to consider accelerating expansion of its recently initiated Open Campus approach to its Materials and Manufacturing Science laboratories to benefit strategic materials research.

Optimization of Ammunition Manufacturing.—The Committee understands that the Army is the single manager for conventional ammunition for the Department of Defense and is responsible for ensuring effective life cycle management of conventional ammunition products. This includes development and optimization of ammunition manufacturing processes as well as development and integration of new materials. The Committee believes that the manufacturing of conventional ammunition could be assisted by automating and optimizing propellant production processes and integrating new materials. These processes and materials may reduce cost, increase ammunition performance and enhance soldier safety. The Committee encourages the Secretary of the Army to equip the national technical industrial base with new and emerging manufacturing processes and materials in order to achieve these goals.

Small Airborne Networking Radio.—The Committee is encouraged to see funding in the fiscal year 2016 budget to begin activities in support of the Small Airborne Networking Radio [SANR], which will provide simultaneous voice, data and video communications to all Army tactical aircraft. Given the long lead time to integrate radios into airborne platforms, the Committee is concerned by the timeline to deliver both SANR and the Small Airborne Link 16 Terminal [SALT] capabilities. A delay in procurement of next generation radios will require the Army's airborne platforms to rely on legacy radios that provide primarily voice connectivity. The Committee encourages the Army to continue development and procurement of SANR and SALT in order to fully leverage ground and airborne networks for increased situational awareness and connectivity.

Simulation Training.—The Committee acknowledges that simulation training is a cost-effective means by which military units can improve tactical decision-making skills and readiness in realistic scenarios otherwise found only in theater combat operations. The Committee encourages the Department to continue expansion of simulation training and seek the appropriate combination of government owned and operated simulators as well as contractor support in order to maximize efficiency and effectiveness.

#### RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, NAVY

Appropriations, 2015	\$15,958,460,000
Budget estimate, 2016	17,885,916,000
Committee recommendation	18,236,645,000

# The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$18,236,645,000. This is \$350,729,000 above the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

	[11 thousands of donars]			
	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, NAVY			
1	BASIC RESEARCH UNIVERSITY RESEARCH INITIATIVES	116,196	146,196	+ 30,000
2	IN-HOUSE LABORATORY INDEPENDENT RESEARCH	19,126	19,126	1 30,000
3	DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES	451,606	506,606	+ 55,000
	TOTAL, BASIC RESEARCH	586,928	671,928	+ 85,000
4	APPLIED RESEARCH POWER PROJECTION APPLIED RESEARCH	68,723	86,723	+ 18,000
4 5	FORCE PROTECTION APPLIED RESEARCH	154,963	184,963	+ 18,000
6	MARINE CORPS LANDING FORCE TECHNOLOGY	49.001	57,001	+ 8,000
7	COMMON PICTURE APPLIED RESEARCH	42,551	42,551	1 0,000
8	WARFIGHTER SUSTAINMENT APPLIED RESEARCH	45,056	45,056	
9	ELECTROMAGNETIC SYSTEMS APPLIED RESEARCH	115.051	115.051	
10	OCEAN WARFIGHTING ENVIRONMENT APPLIED RESEARCH	42.252	42.252	
11	JOINT NON-LETHAL WEAPONS APPLIED RESEARCH	6,119	6,119	
12	UNDERSEA WARFARE APPLIED RESEARCH	123,750	152,350	+ 28,600
13	FUTURE NAVAL CAPABILITIES ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEV	179,686	179,686	
14	MINE AND EXPEDITIONARY WARFARE APPLIED RESEARCH	37,418	37,418	
	TOTAL, APPLIED RESEARCH	864,570	949,170	+ 84,600
	ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT			
15	POWER PROJECTION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	37,093	37,093	
16	FORCE PROTECTION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	38,044	38,044	
17 18	ELECTROMAGNETIC SYSTEMS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY MARINE CORPS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATION	34,899	34,899	
	[ATD]	137,562	137,562	
19	JOINT NON-LETHAL WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	12,745	12,745	
20	FUTURE NAVAL CAPABILITIES ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEV	258,860	258,860	
21	MANUFACTURING TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM	57,074	57,074	
22	WARFIGHTER PROTECTION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	4,807	4,807	
23	UNDERSEA WARFARE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	13,748	13,748	
24	NAVY WARFIGHTING EXPERIMENTS AND DEMONSTRATIONS	66,041	66,041	
25	MINE AND EXPEDITIONARY WARFARE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	1,991	4,491	+ 2,500
	TOTAL, ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	662,864	665,364	+ 2,500
	DEMONSTRATION & VALIDATION			
26	AIR/OCEAN TACTICAL APPLICATIONS	41,832	41,832	
27	AVIATION SURVIVABILITY	5,404	5,404	
28	DEPLOYABLE JOINT COMMAND AND CONTROL	3,086	3,086	
29	AIRCRAFT SYSTEMS	11,643	11,643	
30 31	ASW SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT TACTICAL AIRBORNE RECONNAISSANCE	5,555 3,087	5,555 3,087	
31	ADVANCED COMBAT SYSTEMS TECHNOLOGY	3,087	3,087	
32 33	SURFACE AND SHALLOW WATER MINE COUNTERMEASURES	1,636	1,636 96,388	- 22,200
33	SURFACE SHIP TORPEDO DEFENSE	77,385	77,385	- 22,200
34	CARRIER SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	8,348	8,348	
36	PILOT FISH	123,246	123,246	
37	RETRACT LARCH	28,819	28,819	
38	RETRACT JUNIPER	112,678	112,678	
39	RADIOLOGICAL CONTROL	710	710	
40		1,096		
70		1,000	1,000	

159
-----

[In thousands of dollars]

41    ADVANCED SUBMARINE SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT    87,160    83,360    -3,800      42    SUBMARINE TACTICAL WARARE SYSTEMS    10,371    10,371    10,371      43    SHP CRELIMINARY DESIGN & FEASIBILITY STUDIES    4,332    4,332    4,332      44    SHP PRELIMINARY DESIGN & FEASIBILITY STUDIES    4,332    4,332    -3,800      46    ADVANCED SUFFACE MACHINERY SYSTEMS    25,504    25,504    25,504      47    CHALK AGUE    118,416    88,416    -30,000    +30,000      48    ITTORAL COMBAT SYSTEM INTEGRATION    35,501    35,501    35,501    -2,570      43    COMBAT SYSTEM INTEGRATION    35,501    35,501    -2,570    -2,570      50    OHIO REPLACEMENT PROGRAM    7,678    7,678    7,678    -2,570      51    UTTORAL COMBAT SHIP (LCS) MISSION PACKAGES    20,149    19,179    -12,970      51    COMBAT SYSTEM INTEGRATION    5,526    -2,570    -2,570      52    DANT CHORPASSAULT VEHICLES    19,179    -12,970    -2,970      54 <th></th> <th>ltem</th> <th>2016 budget estimate</th> <th>Committee recommendation</th> <th>Change from budget estimate</th>		ltem	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
42  SUBMARINE TACTOLAL WARARE SYSTEMS  10.371  10.371    43  SHP ORCLIMINARY DESIGN & FEASIBILITY STUDIES  4.332  4.332    44  SHP ORCLIMINARY DESIGN & FEASIBILITY STUDIES  4.332  4.332    5  ADVANCED SUFFACE MACHINERY SYSTEMS  25.944  42.040    45  ADVANCED SUFFACE MACHINERY SYSTEMS  25.944  25.944    47  CHAIK AGAIE  11.8415  88.416  -30.000    48  ITTORAL COMBAT SYSTEM WITEGRATION  35.901  35.901  -30.000    49  ITTORAL COMBAT SYSTEM WITEGRATION  35.901  35.901  -12.970    50  OHO EXPLACIENT FROMENAL  76.73  7.678  -7.678    51  JATIOMAIN TEST AND FLUSI MISSION PACKAGES  206.143  193.179  -12.970    51  MARINE CORPS GROUND COMBAT/SUPPORT SYSTEM  26.23  623	41	ADVANCED SURMARINE SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT	87 160	83 360	- 3 800
43    SHIP CONCEPT ADVANCED DESIGN    11.888    11.888    11.888      45    SHIP CONCEPT ADVANCED VICLEAR POWER SYSTEMS    482.040    482.040      46    ADVANCED NUCLEAR POWER SYSTEMS    25.904    25.904    25.904      47    CHAIK FAGLE    511.802    511.802    511.802    511.802      48    LITORAL COMBAT SHIP LICSI    118.416    88.416    -0.000      48    FRIGATE DEVELOPMENT    35.901    33.901    -12.970      50    OHO REPLACEMENT FINERATION    35.901    33.901    -12.970      51    UITTORAL COMBAT SHIP LICSI MISION PACKAGES    206.149    133.179    -12.970      51    UITTORAL COMBAT SHIP LICSI MISION PACKAGES    210.082					· · · · ·
44  SHIP PRELIMINARY DESIGN & FRASIBILITY STUDIES  4.322  4.332    45  ADVANCED NUCLEAR POWER SYSTEMS  425.004  425.004    46  ADVANCED SURFACE MACHINERY SYSTEMS  511.802  511.802    47  CHAIK FRADE  511.802  511.802  511.802    48  HIGATE DEVELOPMENT  30.000  +30.000    49  COMBAT SHIP ILCS)  118.416  88.416  -30.000    49  COMART SYSTEM INTEGRATION  35.901  30.000  +30.000    40  COMART SYSTEM INTEGRATION  35.901  30.000  +30.000    50  OHO REPLACEMENT PROGRAM  971.333  971.343  971.4					
46    ADVANCED SUBFACE MACHINERY SYSTEMS    25,904    25,904      47    CHALK RADE    511.802    511.802      48    IUTTORAL COMBAT SHIP ILCS]    118.416    30,000      49    COMBAT SYSTEM INTEGRATION    35,901    30,000      50    OHIO REPLACEMENT PROGRAM    971.333    971.333      51    LITTORAL COMBAT SHIP ILCS]    SSIGN PACKAGES    26.149    131.719    -12.970      51    UTTORAL COMPAT SHOP REVENDMENT    8.000    8.000    8.000    30.000      52    CONVENTIONAL MUNITORS    7.678    7.678    7.678    7.678      54    MARINE CORPS ASSAULT VEHICLES    219.082    219.082    219.082    30.000      50    JOINT SERVICE EXPLOSIVE ORDIANCE DEVELOPMENT    18.260    18.260    18.260    18.260    18.260    18.260    18.260    18.260    18.260    18.260    18.260    18.260    18.260    18.260    18.260    18.260    18.271    17.4771    -8.000    30.005    30.005    30.005    30.005    30.005					
46    ADVANCED SUFFACE MACHINERY SYSTEMS    25.904    25.904    25.904      47    CHALK FAGLE    118.416    88.416    -30.000      48    FIGRATE DEVELOPMENT	45	ADVANCED NUCLEAR POWER SYSTEMS	482,040		
44    LITTORAL COMBAT SHIP (LCS)    118.416    88.416    -30.000      48    FRGATE DEVELOPMENT    35.901    35.901    35.901      50    OHIO REPLACEMENT PROGRAM    971.333    971.333    971.331      51    LITTORAL COMBAT SHIP LICS) MISSION PACKAGES    206.149    133.179    -12.970      52    AUTOMATIC TEST AND RE-TEST    8.000    8.000    8.000      5    CONVENTIONAL MUNITORS    7.678    7.678	46	ADVANCED SURFACE MACHINERY SYSTEMS	25,904	25,904	
48.6    PRIGATE DEVELOPMENT    30,000    + 30,000      50    OHIO REPLACEMENT FROGRAM    971,393<	47	CHALK EAGLE	511,802	511,802	
49    COMBAT SYSTEM INTEGRATION    35.901    35.901      50    OHIO REPLACEMENT PROGRAM    971.333    971.333    971.333      51    LITTORAL COMBAT SHIP (LCSI MISSION PACKAGES    80.00    8.000    8.000      52    AUTOMATIC TEST AND RE-TEST    8.000    8.000    8.000    8.000      53    CONVENTIONAL MUNTIONS    7.678    7.678    7.678    7.678      54    MARINE CORPS ASSAULT VENICLES    219.082    219.082    219.082    219.082      55    JOINT SERVICE EXPLOSIVE ORDMANCE DEVELOPMENT    18.260    18.260    3.262      56    MARINE CORPS ASSAULT VENICAGEMENT    76.247    76.247    76.247      50    EVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION    20.711    20.711    20.711    71.771    -8.000      61    FACULTIES IMPROVEMENT    5.226    5.226    5.226    5.226    5.226    5.226    5.226    5.226    5.226    5.226    5.226    5.226    5.226    5.226    5.231    7.141    7.171    7.500    7.944    3.944 </td <td>48</td> <td>LITTORAL COMBAT SHIP [LCS]</td> <td>118,416</td> <td>88,416</td> <td>- 30,000</td>	48	LITTORAL COMBAT SHIP [LCS]	118,416	88,416	- 30,000
50    OHIO REPLACEMENT PROGRAM    971.333 <td>48A</td> <td>FRIGATE DEVELOPMENT</td> <td></td> <td>30,000</td> <td>+ 30,000</td>	48A	FRIGATE DEVELOPMENT		30,000	+ 30,000
51    LITTORAL COMPAT SHIP [LCS] MISSION PACKAGES    206.149    193.179    -12.970      52    AUTOMAL MUNITIONS    7,678    3000    8,000    3000      53    COWVENTIONAL MUNITIONS    7,678    7,678    7,678    7,678      54    MARINE CORPS ASSAULT VEHICLES    219.082    219.081    219.011    219.011					
52    AUTOMATIC TEST AND RE-TEST    8,000    8,000      53    CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS    7,678    7,678      54    MARINE CORPS ASSAULT VEHICLES    219,082    219,082      55    MARINE CORPS ASSAULT VEHICLES    219,082    219,082      50    DINT SERVICE EXPLOSIVE ORDINANCE DEVELOPMENT    18,260    36,000      50    COOPERATIVE ENCACEMENT    7,678    7,678      50    COCAN ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT    4,520    4,520      50    ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION    20,711    20,711    20,711      51    DINT SERGY PROGRAM    47,761    62,761    +15,000      61    FACILITES IMPROVEMENT    5,226    5,226    -30,000      7    LING VERGY PROGRAM    47,711    174,771    -8,000      7    LING VERGY PROGRAM    3,366    3,366    3,30,065    -30,000      8    STACT MAPLE    360,065    30,005    -30,000    -37,416    -37,416    -37,416    -37,416    -37,416    -37,418    -37,416    -37,412					
53    CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS    7.678    7.678    219.082      54    MARINE CORPS GROUND COMBAT/SUPPORT SYSTEM    219.082    219.082      55    MARINE CORPS GROUND COMBAT/SUPPORT SYSTEM    623    623      56    JOINT SERVICE EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DEVELOPMENT    18.260    18.264      57    COOPERATIVE ENAGLEMENT    76.247    76.247      58    FORMOMENTAL PROCEMENT    4.520    4.520      59    EWIRONMENTAL PROCEMENT    20.711    20.711      50    EWIRONMENTAL PROCEMENT    5.226    5.226      51    CHALK CORAL    33.066    330.065    -30.000      52    CHALK CORAL    37.944    37.944    -37.946      67    INK PLUMERIA    237.416    237.416    237.416      68    RETRACT ELM    37.944    37.944					
54    MARINE CORPS ASSAULT VEHICLES    219.082    219.082    219.082      55    MARINE CORPS GROUND COMBAT/SUPPORT SYSTEM    623    623					
55    MARINE CORPS GROUND COMBAT/SUPPORT SYSTEM    623    623      56    JINT SERVICE EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DEVELOPMENT    18,260    18,260      57    COOPERATIVE ENGAGEMENT    76,247    76,247      58    OCEAN ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT    4,520    4,520      59    EWIRONMENTAL PROCEMENT    20,711    20,711      50    MAY ENERCY PROGRAM    47,761    62,761    +15,000      51    CATALK CORAL    182,771    174,771    -8,000      52    CHALK CORAL    182,771    174,771    -8,000      54    RETRACT BROUCTIVITY    3,866    330,065    -30,000      51    LINK PLUMERIA    237,416    237,416    237,416      52    CHALK CORAL    3,739    3,595    1,404    1,408      61    RETRACT ELM    3,744    3,443    3,444    3,444    3,444    1,408      70    ILINK PLUMERIA    2359    3,559    1,479    9,1,479    9,1,479    9,1,479    9,1,479    9,1,479			1	,	
56    IDINT SERVICE EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DEVELOPMENT    18,260    18,260      57    COOPERATIVE ENGAGEMENT    76,247    76,247      50    CEAN REGREERING TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT    4,520    4,520      50    ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION    20,711    20,711    20,711      50    NAVY ENRCY PROGRAM    47,761    62,761    +15,000      51    FACILITIES IMPROVEMENT    3,866    3,866    -30,000      52    CHALK CORAL    327,416    237,416    -30,000      61    IRK PRORESSES    17,408    17,408    -30,000      51    UNERVERGREEN    47,312    47,312    -80,000      61    INK PUERGREEN    330,065    330,065    -30,000      61    INK PUERGREEN    37,444    37,944    37,944    37,944    -37,944    -37,944      61    INK PUERGREEN    8,87    8,87    -27,138    -27,138      62    ILAIN ATACK TECHNOLOGY    8,87    8,87    -27,138    -27,138      70    JOINT NON			,	,	
57    COOPERATIVE ENGAGEMENT    76,247    76,247      58    OCEAN ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT    4,520					
58    OCFAN ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT    4,520    4,520      59    EWVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION    20,711    20,711    20,711      60    NAVY ENERGY PROGRAM    47,761    62,761    +15,000      61    FACILITIES IMPROVEMENT    5,226    5,226    -      62    CHALK CORAL    182,771    174,771    -8,000      63    NAVY LOGISTIC PRODUCTIVITY    3,866    3,866    -30,000      64    RETRACT MAPLE    360,065    -30,000    -30,000      65    LINK FUMERIA    37,944    37,944    -<					
59    ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION    20,711    20,711    20,711      60    NAVY ENERGY PROGRAM    47,761    62,761    +15,000      61    FACILITIES IMPROVEMENT    5,226    5,226    -8,000      62    CHALK CORAL    182,771    174,771    -8,000      63    NAVY LOIGSTIC PRODUCTIVITY    38,66    38,666    -8,000      64    RETRACT MAPLE    360,065    330,065    -30,000      65    LINK FLUMERIA    23,7416    -8,000      66    RETRACT ELM    37,944    37,944    -8,999      67    LINK FLUMERIA    23,7416    -8,999    -8,99      68    PECIAL PROCESSES    17,408    17,408    -7,408      61    NATO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT    9,359    9,359    -2,71,38      70    JOINT TONLETHAL MERONS TESTING    29,448    29,448    -2,71,38      71    JOINT TONLETHAL MERONS TESTING    20,448    29,448    -2,71,38      71    JOINT TONLETHAL MERONS TESTING    29,451    2,22,22					
60    NAVY ENERGY PROGRAM    47,761    62,761    +15,000      61    FACILITES IMPROVEMENT    5,226    5,226    5,226    5,226    5,226    5,226    5,226    5,226    -30,000      62    CHALK CORAL    3,866    3,866    -30,000    237,416    237,416    -30,000      63    NAVY LOGISTIC PRODUCTIVITY    3,866    330,065    -30,000    237,416    -30,000      64    RETRACT MAPLE    37,944    37,944    -37,9100    -27,138					
61    FACILITIES IMPROVEMENT    5,226    5,226      62    CHALK CORAL    182,771    174,771    -8,000      30    MAYU LOGISTIC PRODUCTIVITY    3,866    3,866    -8,000      30    MAYU LOGISTIC PRODUCTIVITY    3,866    3,866    -3,000      64    RETRACT MAPLE    360,065    330,065    -30,000      65    LINK PLUMERIA    237,416    237,416    -37,944      67    ILINK EVERGREEN    47,312    47,312    -47,312      68    SPECIAL PROCESSES    17,408    17,408					
62    CHALK CORAL    182,771    174,771    -8,000      63    NAVY LOGISTIC PRODUCTIVITY    3,866    3,866					
63    NAVY LOGISTIC PRODUCTIVITY    3,866    3,866    -30,000      64    RETRACT MAPLE    360,065    330,065    -30,000      66    RETRACT ELM    37,944    37,944    37,944      67    LINK EVERGREEN    47,312    47,312			,	,	
64    RETRACT MAPLE    360,065    330,065   30,000      65    LINK PLUMERIA    237,416					· · · · ·
65    LINK PLUMERIA    237,416    237,416      66    RETRACT ELM    37,944    37,944      67    LINK VERGREEN    47,312    47,312      68    SPECIAL PROCESSES    17,408    17,408      69    NATO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT    9,359    9,359      70    LAND ATTACK TECHNOLOGY    887    887      71    JOINT NOLETHAL WEAPONS TESTING    29,448    29,448      71    JOINT PRECISION APPROACH AND LANDING SYSTEMS    91,479    91,479      73    DIRECTED ENERGY AND ELECTRIC WEAPON SYSTEMS    67,360    40,222    -27,138      74    GERALD R. FORD CLASS NUCLEAR AIRCRAFT CARRIER    48,105    127,205    +79,100      75    REMOTE MINEHUNTING SYSTEM (RMS)    20,089    20,089    20,089      74    SESTL-PROTECTION OPTIMIZATION    7,874    7,874    7,874      78    MH-XX    5,298    5,298    .      80    JOINT COUNTER RADIO CONTROLLED IED ELECTRONIC WAR-    3,817    3,817      9    SSPACE & ELECTRONIC WARFARE (SEW) ARCHITECTURE/				,	
66    RETRACT ELM    37,944    37,944    37,944      67    LINK EVERGREIN    47,312    47,312      68    SPECIAL PROCESSES    17,408    17,408    17,408      69    NATO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT    9,359    9,359    9,359      70    JOINT NONLETHAL WEAPONS TESTING    29,448    29,448      71    JOINT PRECISION APPROACH AND LANDING SYSTEMS    91,479    91,479      91,477    91,479    91,479    91,479      91,479    91,479    91,479    91,479      91,610 R. FORD CLASS NUCLEAR AIRCRAFT CARRIER    48,105    127,205    + 79,100      7    ASE SELF-PROTECTION OFINIZATION    20,089    20,089    20,089      7    ASE SELF-PROTECTION ONTROLLED IED ELECTRONIC WAR- FARE    5,298    5,298    + 29,000      9    JOINT COUNTER RADIO CONTROLLED IED ELECTRONIC WAR- FARE    3,817    3,817    - 4,335      9    SPACE & ELECTRONIC WARFARE (SEW) ARCHITECTURE/ ENGINE    29,581    25,246    - 4,335      10/11 LIGHT TACTICAL VEHICLE ENSIMEERING/MANUFAC- TURING    5,024,626 <td></td> <td></td> <td>,</td> <td></td> <td> ,</td>			,		,
67  LINK EVERGREEN  47,312  47,312    68  SPECIAL PROCESSES  17,408  17,408    69  NATO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT  9,359  9,359    10INT NORLETHAL WEAPONS TESTING  29,448  29,448    70  JOINT NORLETHAL WEAPONS TESTING  29,448  29,448    71  JOINT RECISION APPROACH AND LANDING SYSTEMS  67,360  40,222  -27,138    73  DIRCTCIDE ENERGY AND ELECTRIC WEAPON SYSTEMS  67,360  40,222  -27,138    74  GERALD R. FORD CLASS NUCLEAR AIRCRAFT CARRIER  48,105  127,205  + 79,100    75  REMOTE MINEHUNTING SYSTEM (RMS)  20,089  20,089					
68    SPECIAL PROCESSES    17,408    17,408      69    NATO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT    9,359    9,359      70    LAND ATTACK TECHNOLOGY    887    887      71    JOINT NONLETHAL WEAPONS TESTING    29,448    29,448      71    JOINT PRECISION APPROACH AND LANDING SYSTEMS    91,479    91,479      73    DIRECTED ENERGY AND ELECTRIC WEAPON SYSTEMS    67,360    40,222    -27,138      74    GERALD R. FORD CLASS NUCLEAR AIRCRAFT CARRIER    48,105    127,205    +79,100      75    REMOTE MINEHUNTING SYSTEM (RMS)    20,089    20,089   27,138      76    TACTICAL AIR DIRECTIONAL INFRARED COUNTERMEASURES    18,969    18,969   27,138      76    TACTICAL AIR DIRECTIONAL INFRARED COUNTERMEASURES    18,969    18,969   27,138      77    ASE SELF-PROTECTION OPTIMIZATION    7,874    7,874   27,874      78    MH-XX    5,238    5,238   29,900      9    JOINT COUNTER RADIO CONTROLLED IED ELECTRONIC WARFARE    9,555    9,555      9,565    SACE & ELECTRONIC W					
69  NATO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT  9,359  9,359  9,359    70  JOINT DANLETAL WEAPONS TESTING  29,448  29,448  29,448    1  JOINT PRECISION APPROACH AND LANDING SYSTEMS  67,360  40,222  -27,138    74  GERALD R. FORD CLASS NUCLEAR MICRAFT CARRIER  48,105  127,205  +79,100    75  REMOTE MINEHUNTING SYSTEM (RMS)  20,089  20,089					
70    LAND ATTACK TECHNOLOGY    887    887      70    JOINT NONLETHAL WEAPONS TESTING    29,448    29,448    29,448      71    JOINT NONLETHAL WEAPONS TESTING    91,479    91,479      73    DIRCTED ENERGY AND ELECTRIC WEAPON SYSTEMS    67,360    40,222    -27,138      74    GERALD R. FORD CLASS NUCLEAR AIRCRAFT CARRIER    48,105    127,205    + 79,100      75    REMOTE MINEHUNTING SYSTEM (RMS)    20,089    20,089			,		
70  JOINT NONLETHAL WEAPONS TESTING  29,448  29,448  29,448    71  JOINT PRECISION APPROACH AND LANDING SYSTEMS  91,479  91,479    73  DIRECTED ENERGY AND ELECTRIC WEAPON SYSTEMS  67,360  40,222  -27,138    74  GERALD R. FORD CLASS NUCLEAR AIRCRAFT CARRIER  48,105  127,205  +79,100    75  REMOTE MINEHUNTING SYSTEM (RMS)  20,089  20,089  20,089  -27,138    76  TACTICAL AIR DIRECTIONAL INFRARED COUNTERMEASURES  18,969  18,969			,	,	
71  JOINT PRECISION APPROACH AND LANDING SYSTEMS  91,479  91,479  91,479    73  DIRECTED ENERGY AND ELECTRIC WEAPON SYSTEMS  67,360  40,222  -27,138    74  GERALD R. FORD CLASS NUCLEAR AIRCRAFT CARRIER  48,105  127,205  +79,100    76  TACTICAL AIR DIRECTIONAL INFRARED COUNTERMEASURES  18,969  18,969					
73    DIRECTED ENERGY AND ELECTRIC WEAPON SYSTEMS    67,360    40,222    -27,138      74    GERALD R. FORD CLASS NUCLEAR ARCRAFT CARRIER    48,105    127,205    +79,100      75    REMOTE MINEHUNTING SYSTEM (RMS)    20,089    20,089					
74  GERALD R. FORD CLASS NUCLEAR AIRCRAFT CARRIER  48,105  127,205  + 79,100    75  REMOTE MINEHUNTING SYSTEM (RMS)  20,089  20,089			,	,	
75  REMOTE MINEHUNTING SYSTEM (RMS)  20,089  20,089  20,089    76  TACTICAL AIR DIRECTIONAL INFRARED COUNTERMEASURES  18,969  18,969  18,969    77  ASE SELF—PROTECTION OPTIMIZATION  7,874  7,874  7,874    78  MH-XX  5,298  5,298			,	,	
76  TACTICAL AIR DIRECTIONAL INFRARED COUNTERMEASURES  18,969  18,969			,		· · · ·
77  ASE SELF-PROTECTION OPTIMIZATION  7,874  7,874  7,874    78  MH-XX  5,298  5,298					
78    MH-XX    5,298    5,298    5,298    5,298    5,298    79      100    JOINT COUNTER RADIO CONTROLLED IED ELECTRONIC WAR- FARE    46,486    75,486    + 29,000      80    JOINT COUNTER RADIO CONTROLLED IED ELECTRONIC WAR- FARE    3,817    3,817    3,817      81    PRECISION STRIKE WEAPONS DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM    9,595    9,595    9,595      82    SPACE & ELECTRONIC WARFARE [SEW] ARCHITECTURE/ ENGINE    29,581    25,246    -4,335      83    OFFENSIVE ANTI-SURFACE WARFARE WEAPON DEVELOPMENT    285,849    285,849			,		
79    LX (R)    46,486    75,486    + 29,000      80    JOINT COUNTER RADIO CONTROLLED IED ELECTRONIC WAR- FARE    3,817    3,817					
80    JOINT    COUNTER    RADIO    CONTROLLED    IED    ELECTRONIC    WAR- FARE    3,817    3,817    3,817    3,817    3,817    3,817    3,817    9,595    9,595			,	,	
FARE    3,817    3,817    3,817      81    PRECISION STRIKE WEAPONS DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM    9,595    9,595    9,595      82    SPACE & ELECTRONIC WARFARE [SEW] ARCHITECTURE/ ENGINE    29,581    25,246   4,335      83    OFFENSIVE ANTI-SURFACE WARFARE WEAPON DEVELOPMENT    285,849    285,849    285,849      84    JOINT LIGHT TACTICAL VEHICLE ENGINEERING/MANUFAC- TURING    36,656    36,656			10,100	70,100	1 20,000
81    PRECISION STRIKE WEAPONS DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM    9,595    9,595      82    SPACE & ELECTRONIC WARFARE [SEW] ARCHITECTURE/ ENGINE    29,581    25,246    -4,335      83    OFFENSIVE ANTI-SURFACE WARFARE WEAPON DEVELOPMENT    285,849    285,849			3 817	3 817	
82    SPACE & ELECTRONIC    WARFARE    [SEW]    ARCHITECTURE/ ENGINE    29,581    25,246   4,335      83    OFFENSIVE ANTI-SURFACE    WARFARE    WEAPON DEVELOPMENT    285,849    285,849	81			,	
ENGINE    29,581    25,246    -4,335      83    OFFENSIVE ANTI-SURFACE WARFARE WEAPON DEVELOPMENT    285,849    285,849    285,849      84    JOINT LIGHT TACTICAL VEHICLE ENGINEERING/MANUFAC- TURING    36,656    36,656			0,000	0,000	
83    OFFENSIVE ANTI-SURFACE WARFARE WEAPON DEVELOPMENT    285,849    285,849			29.581	25.246	- 4.335
84    JOINT    LIGHT    TACTICAL    VEHICLE    ENGINEERING/MANUFAC- TURING    36,656    36,059    36,059    36,059    36,059    36,059    33,059    34,053    34,553    35,855    35,855    35,855    35,855    35,875    35,875	83		,		
TURING    36,656    36,656      ASW SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT—MIP    9,835    9,835      86    ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT—MIP    580    580      TOTAL, DEMONSTRATION & VALIDATION    5,024,626    5,039,283    + 14,657      ENGINEERING & MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT    5,024,626    5,039,283    + 14,657      ENGINEERING & MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT    11,101    11,101    11,101      87    TRAINING SYSTEM AIRCRAFT    21,708    21,708					
85    ASW SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT—MIP    9,835    9,835    580    580      86    ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT—MIP    580			36,656	36,656	
86    ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT—MIP    580    580	85	ASW SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT—MIP	9.835		
ENGINEERING & MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT    21,708    21,708      87    TRAINING SYSTEM AIRCRAFT    21,708    21,708      88    OTHER HELO DEVELOPMENT    11,101    11,101      89    AV-8B AIRCRAFT—ENG DEV    39,878    32,668    -7,210      90    STANDARDS DEVELOPMENT    53,059    53,059	86	ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT-MIP	580	580	
ENGINEERING & MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT    21,708    21,708      87    TRAINING SYSTEM AIRCRAFT    21,708    21,708      88    OTHER HELO DEVELOPMENT    11,101    11,101      89    AV-8B AIRCRAFT—ENG DEV    39,878    32,668    -7,210      90    STANDARDS DEVELOPMENT    53,059    53,059					
87    TRAINING SYSTEM AIRCRAFT    21,708    21,708      88    OTHER HELO DEVELOPMENT    11,101    11,101      89    AV-88    AIRCRAFT—ENG DEV    39,878    32,668    -7,210      90    STANDARDS DEVELOPMENT    53,059    53,059    53,059    53,059      91    MULTI-MISSION HELICOPTER UPGRADE DEVELOPMENT    21,358    21,358		TOTAL, DEMONSTRATION & VALIDATION	5,024,626	5,039,283	+14,657
87    TRAINING SYSTEM AIRCRAFT    21,708    21,708      88    OTHER HELO DEVELOPMENT    11,101    11,101      89    AV-88    AIRCRAFT—ENG DEV    39,878    32,668    -7,210      90    STANDARDS DEVELOPMENT    53,059    53,059    53,059    53,059      91    MULTI-MISSION HELICOPTER UPGRADE DEVELOPMENT    21,358    21,358					
88    OTHER HELO DEVELOPMENT    11,101    11,101    11,101      89    AV-8B AIRCRAFT—ENG DEV    39,878    32,668   7,210      90    STANDARDS DEVELOPMENT    53,059    53,059	97		21 708	21 708	
89    AV-8B AIRCRAFT—ENG DEV    39,878    32,668    -7,210      90    STANDARDS DEVELOPMENT    53,059    53,059					
90    STANDARDS DEVELOPMENT    53,059    53,059      91    MULTI-MISSION HELICOPTER UPGRADE DEVELOPMENT    21,358    21,358      92    AIR/OCEAN EQUIPMENT ENGINEERING    4,515    4,515      93    P-3 MODERNIZATION PROGRAM    1,514    1,514      94    WARFARE SUPPORT SYSTEM    5,875    5,875      95    TACTICAL COMMAND SYSTEM    81,553    73,553   8,000				,	
91    MULTI-MISSION HELICOPTER UPGRADE DEVELOPMENT    21,358    21,358					
92    AIR/OCEAN EQUIPMENT ENGINEERING    4,515    4,515      93    P-3 MODERNIZATION PROGRAM    1,514    1,514      94    WARFARE SUPPORT SYSTEM    5,875    5,875      95    TACTICAL COMMAND SYSTEM    81,553    73,553   8,000		MILITI-MISSION HELICOPTER LIPGRADE DEVELOPMENT	,	,	
93    P-3 MODERNIZATION PROGRAM    1,514    1,514       94    WARFARE SUPPORT SYSTEM    5,875    5,875       95    TACTICAL COMMAND SYSTEM    81,553    73,553   8,000					
94    WARFARE SUPPORT SYSTEM    5,875    5,875      95    TACTICAL COMMAND SYSTEM    81,553    73,553   8,000					
95 TACTICAL COMMAND SYSTEM 81,553 73,553 -8,000			,		
	96	ADVANCED HAWKEYE	272,149	225,149	

|--|

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
97	H–1 UPGRADES	27,235	27.235	
98	ACOUSTIC SEARCH SENSORS	35,763	35,763	
99	V–22A	87,918	87,918	
100	AIR CREW SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	12,679	12,679	
101	EA-18	56,921	56,921	
102	ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT	23,685	23,685	
103	VH-71A EXECUTIVE HELO DEVELOPMENT	507,093	507,093	
104	NEXT GENERATION JAMMER [NGJ]	411,767	398,767	- 13,000
104A	NEXT GENERATION JAMMER [NGJ] INCREMENT II		13,000	+ 13,000
105	JOINT TACTICAL RADIO SYSTEM—NAVY (JTRS-NAVY)	25,071	25,071	
106	SURFACE COMBATANT COMBAT SYSTEM ENGINEERING	443,433	398,933	- 44,500
107 108	LPD-17 CLASS SYSTEMS INTEGRATION SMALL DIAMETER BOMB [SDB]	747 97,002	747 69,502	- 27,500
100	STANDARD MISSILE IMPROVEMENTS	129,649	129,649	- 27,300
110	AIRBORNE MCM	11,647	11,647	
111	MARINE AIR GROUND TASK FORCE ELECTRONIC WARFARE	2,778	2,778	
112	NAVAL INTEGRATED FIRE CONTROL-COUNTER AIR SYSTEMS ENG	23,695	23,695	
112	UNMANNED CARRIER LAUNCHED AIRBORNE SURVEILLANCE AND	20,000	20,000	•••••
110	STRIKE (UCLASS) SYSTEM	134,708	484,708	+ 350,000
114	ADVANCED ABOVE WATER SENSORS	43,914	43,914	
115	SSN-688 AND TRIDENT MODERNIZATION	109,908	109,908	
116	AIR CONTROL	57,928	57,928	
117	SHIPBOARD AVIATION SYSTEMS	120,217	120,217	
118	AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE RADAR (AMDR) SYSTEM	241,754	241,754	
119	NEW DESIGN SSN	122,556	147,556	+25,000
120	SUBMARINE TACTICAL WARFARE SYSTEM	48,213	60,213	+ 12,000
121	SHIP CONTRACT DESIGN/LIVE FIRE T&E	49,712	45,752	+ 12,000 - 3,960
122	NAVY TACTICAL COMPUTER RESOURCES	4,096	4,096	
123	VIRGINIA PAYLOAD MODULE (VPM)	167,719	167,719	
124	MINE DEVELOPMENT	15,122	15,122	•••••
125	LIGHTWEIGHT TORPEDO DEVELOPMENT	33,738	27,338	- 6,400
126	JOINT SERVICE EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DEVELOPMENT	8,123	8,123	
127	PERSONNEL, TRAINING, SIMULATION, AND HUMAN FACTORS	7,686	7,686	
128	JOINT STANDOFF WEAPON SYSTEMS	405	405	
129	SHIP SELF DEFENSE (DETECT & CONTROL)	153,836	145,336	- 8,500
130	SHIP SELF DEFENSE (ENGAGE: HARD KILL)	99,619	99,619	
131 132	SHIP SELF DEFENSE (ENGAGE: SOFT KILL/EW) INTELLIGENCE ENGINEERING	116,798	116,798 4,353	
132	MEDICAL DEVELOPMENT	4,353 9,443	9,443	
133	NAVIGATION/ID SYSTEM	32,469	32,469	
134	JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER (JSF)—EMD	537,901	537,901	
135	JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER (JSF)	504,736	504,736	
137	JSF FOLLOW ON DEVELOPMENT-MARINE CORPS	59,265	20,798	- 38,467
138	JSF FOLLOW ON DEVELOPMENT-NAVY	47,579	21,244	- 26,335
139	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	5,914	5,914	,
140	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	89.711	94,711	+ 5.000
141	CH-53K	632,092	632,092	
142	SHIP TO SHORE CONNECTOR (SSC)	7,778	7,778	
143	JOINT AIR-TO-GROUND MISSILE [JAGM]	25,898	25,898	
144	MULTI-MISSION MARITIME AIRCRAFT [MMA]	247,929	143,813	-104,116
144A	MULTI-MISSION MARITIME AIRCRAFT [MMA] INCREMENT 3		104,116	+104,116
145	DDG-1000	103,199	103,199	
146	TACTICAL COMMAND SYSTEM—MIP	998	998	
147	TACTICAL CRYPTOLOGIC SYSTEMS	17,785	17,785	
148	SPECIAL APPLICATIONS PROGRAM	35,905	35,905	
	TOTAL, ENGINEERING & MANUFACTURING DEVELOP-	C 208 800	C 492 029	174 100
	MENT	6,308,800	6,482,928	+ 174,128
	RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT			
149	THREAT SIMULATOR DEVELOPMENT	30,769	30,769	
150	TARGET SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	112,606	77,552	- 35,054
151 152	MAJOR T&E INVESTMENT JOINT THEATER AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE ORGANIZATION	61,234 6,995	61,234 6,995	

1	6	1

[In thousands of dollars]

154 155 157 158 159 160 161 162 163 164 165 166 166	STUDIES AND ANALYSIS SUPPORT—NAVY CENTER FOR NAVAL ANALYSIS	4,011 48,563 5,000 925 78,143 3,258 76,948 132,122 351,912 17,985 5,316 6,519 13,649 955,955	4,011 48,563 5,000 925 78,143 3,258 76,948 132,122 351,912 17,985 5,316 6,519 13,649 920,901	
155 157 158 159 160 161 162 163 164 165 166 166	NEXT GENERATION FIGHTER TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICES MANAGEMENT, TECHNICAL & INTERNATIONAL SUPPORT STRATEGIC TECHNICAL & INTERNATIONAL SUPPORT RDT&E SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY MANAGEMENT RDT&E SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY MANAGEMENT TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT TEST AND EVALUATION CAPABILITY NAVY SPACE AND ELECTRONIC WARFARE (SEW) SUPPORT SEW SURVEILLANCE/RECONNAISSANCE SUPPORT MARINE CORPS PROGRAM WIDE SUPPORT TOTAL, RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT STRATEGIC SUB & WEAPONS SYSTEM SUPPORT	5,000 925 78,143 3,258 76,948 132,122 351,912 17,985 5,316 6,519 13,649	5,000 925 78,143 3,258 76,948 132,122 351,912 17,985 5,316 6,519 13,649	
157 158 159 160 161 162 163 164 165 166 166	TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICES MANAGEMENT, TECHNICAL & INTERNATIONAL SUPPORT STRATEGIC TECHNICAL SUPPORT RDT&E SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY MANAGEMENT RDT&E SHIP AND AIRCRAFT SUPPORT TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION CAPABILITY NAVY SPACE AND ELECTRONIC WARFARE (SEW) SUPPORT SEW SURVEILLANCE/RECONNAISSANCE SUPPORT MARINE CORPS PROGRAM WIDE SUPPORT TOTAL, RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT STRATEGIC SUB & WEAPONS SYSTEM SUPPORT	925 78,143 3,258 76,948 132,122 351,912 17,985 5,316 6,519 13,649	925 78,143 3,258 76,948 132,122 351,912 17,985 5,316 6,519 13,649	
158 159 160 161 162 163 164 165 166 166	MANAGEMENT, TECHNICAL & INTERNATIONAL SUPPORT	78,143 3,258 76,948 132,122 351,912 17,985 5,316 6,519 13,649	78,143 3,258 76,948 132,122 351,912 17,985 5,316 6,519 13,649	
159 160 161 162 163 164 165 166 166	STRATEGIC TECHNICAL SUPPORT	3,258 76,948 132,122 351,912 17,985 5,316 6,519 13,649	3,258 76,948 132,122 351,912 17,985 5,316 6,519 13,649	
160 161 162 163 164 165 166 166	RDT&E SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY MANAGEMENT RDT&E SHIP AND AIRCRAFT SUPPORT TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION CAPABILITY NAVY SPACE AND ELECTRONIC WARFARE [SEW] SUPPORT SEW SURVEILLANCE/RECONNAISSANCE SUPPORT MARINE CORPS PROGRAM WIDE SUPPORT TOTAL, RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT STRATEGIC SUB & WEAPONS SYSTEM SUPPORT	76,948 132,122 351,912 17,985 5,316 6,519 13,649	76,948 132,122 351,912 17,985 5,316 6,519 13,649	······
161 162 163 164 165 166	RDT&E SHIP AND AIRCRAFT SUPPORT TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION CAPABILITY NAVY SPACE AND ELECTRONIC WARFARE [SEW] SUPPORT SEW SURVEILLANCE/RECONNAISSANCE SUPPORT MARINE CORPS PROGRAM WIDE SUPPORT TOTAL, RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT STRATEGIC SUB & WEAPONS SYSTEM SUPPORT	132,122 351,912 17,985 5,316 6,519 13,649	132,122 351,912 17,985 5,316 6,519 13,649	······
162 163 164 165 166	TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT	351,912 17,985 5,316 6,519 13,649	351,912 17,985 5,316 6,519 13,649	······
163 164 165 166	OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION CAPABILITY NAVY SPACE AND ELECTRONIC WARFARE [SEW] SUPPORT SEW SURVEILLANCE/RECONNAISSANCE SUPPORT MARINE CORPS PROGRAM WIDE SUPPORT TOTAL, RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT STRATEGIC SUB & WEAPONS SYSTEM SUPPORT	17,985 5,316 6,519 13,649	17,985 5,316 6,519 13,649	······
164 165 166 174	NAVY SPACE AND ELECTRONIC WARFARE [SEW] SUPPORT SEW SURVEILLANCE/RECONNAISSANCE SUPPORT MARINE CORPS PROGRAM WIDE SUPPORT TOTAL, RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT STRATEGIC SUB & WEAPONS SYSTEM SUPPORT	5,316 6,519 13,649	5,316 6,519 13,649	·····
165 166 174	SEW SURVEILLANCE/RECONNAISSANCE SUPPORT MARINE CORPS PROGRAM WIDE SUPPORT TOTAL, RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT STRATEGIC SUB & WEAPONS SYSTEM SUPPORT	6,519 13,649	6,519 13,649	
166 174	MARINE CORPS PROGRAM WIDE SUPPORT TOTAL, RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT STRATEGIC SUB & WEAPONS SYSTEM SUPPORT	13,649	13,649	
174	TOTAL, RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT STRATEGIC SUB & WEAPONS SYSTEM SUPPORT	,		
174	OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT STRATEGIC SUB & WEAPONS SYSTEM SUPPORT	955,955	920,901	- 35.054
174	STRATEGIC SUB & WEAPONS SYSTEM SUPPORT			
		107 020	107 020	
1/0		107,039 46,506	107,039 46,506	
176	SUBMARINE ACOUSTIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT	3,900	40,500	+ 800
	NAVY STRATEGIC COMMUNICATIONS	16,569	16,569	1 000
	RAPID TECHNOLOGY TRANSITION (RTT)	18,632	11,132	-7,500
	F/A-18 SQUADRONS	133,265	134,765	+ 1.500
	FLEET TELECOMMUNICATIONS (TACTICAL)	62,867	51.067	-11,800
180	SURFACE SUPPORT	36,045	36,045	
181	TOMAHAWK AND TOMAHAWK MISSION PLANNING CENTER	05.000	05,000	
100		25,228	25,228	
	INTEGRATED SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM AMPHIBIOUS TACTICAL SUPPORT UNITS	54,218 11,335	54,218	
	GROUND/AIR TASK ORIENTED RADAR	80.129	11,335 65.629	- 14,500
	CONSOLIDATED TRAINING SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	39,087	34,329	- 4,758
	CRYPTOLOGIC DIRECT SUPPORT	1,915	1,915	- 4,738
	ELECTRONIC WARFARE [EW] READINESS SUPPORT	46,609	46,609	
	HARM IMPROVEMENT	52.708	16,164	- 36,544
	TACTICAL DATA LINKS	149,997	142,497	- 7,500
	SURFACE ASW COMBAT SYSTEM INTEGRATION	24,460	24.460	
	MK-48 ADCAP	42,206	47,706	+ 5,500
192	AVIATION IMPROVEMENTS	117,759	117,759	
194	OPERATIONAL NUCLEAR POWER SYSTEMS	101,323	101,323	
195	MARINE CORPS COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS	67,763	82,763	+ 15,000
	COMMON AVIATION COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM	13,431	13,431	
197	MARINE CORPS GROUND COMBAT/SUPPORTING ARMS SYS-			
	TEMS	56,769	56,769	
	MARINE CORPS COMBAT SERVICES SUPPORT	20,729	20,729	
	USMC INTELLIGENCE/ELECTRONIC WARFARE SYSTEMS [MIP]	13,152	13,152	
	AMPHIBIOUS ASSAULT VEHICLE	48,535	48,535	
	TACTICAL AIM MISSILES	76,016	36,016	- 40,000
	ADVANCED MEDIUM RANGE AIR-TO-AIR MISSILE (AMRAAM)	32,172	32,172	Г 000
	SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS (SPACE)	53,239	47,439	- 5,800
	CONSOLIDATED AFLOAT NETWORK ENTERPRISE SERVICES INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM	21,677	21,677	
	WWMCCS/GLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM	28,102 294	28,102 294	
	NAVY METEOROLOGICAL AND OCEAN SENSORS-SPACE			
	[METOC]	599	599	
	JOINT MILITARY INTELLIGENCE PROGRAMS	6,207	6,207	
	TACTICAL UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES	8,550	8,550	
	UAS INTEGRATION AND INTEROPERABILITY	41,831	41,831	
	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND SYSTEMS/SURFACE SYSTEMS	1,105	1,105	
	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND SYSTEMS/SURFACE SYSTEMS	33,149	23,149	- 10,000
	RQ-4 UAV	227,188	227,188	
	RQ-4 MODERNIZATION MQ-8 UAV	150,854 52,770	150,854 52,770	
	RQ-11 UAV	635	52,770	
	RQ-11 DAV	688	688	

[In thousands of dollars]

	ltem	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
223	SMALL (LEVEL 0) TACTICAL UAS (STUASLO)	4,647	4,647	9,500
224	RQ-21A	6,435	6,435	
225	MULT-INTELLIGENCE SENSOR DEVELOPMENT	49,145	39,645	
226	UNMANNED AERIAL SYSTEMS (UAS) PAYLOADS [MIP]	9,246	9,246	
227	MODELING AND SIMULATION SUPPORT	4,757	4,757	
228	DEPOT MAINTENANCE (NON-IF)	24,185	24,185	
231	MARITIME TECHNOLOGY (MARITECH)	4,321	4,321	
9999	TOTAL, OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	2,229,988	2,104,886	- 125,102
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	1,252,185	1,402,185	+ 150,000
	TOTAL, RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, NAVY	17,885,916	18,236,645	+ 350,729

# COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1	University Research Initiatives	116,196	146,196	+ 30,000
	Basic research program increase			+30,000
3	Defense Research Sciences	451,606	506,606	+ 55,000
	Authorization adjustment: Basic research program in-			
	crease			+55,000
4	Power Projection Applied Research	68,723	86,723	+18,000
_	Program increase			+18,000
5	Force Protection Applied Research	154,963	184,963	+ 30,000
	Program increase			+ 5,000
•	Program increase: Alternative energy research			+ 25,000
6	Marine Corps Landing Force Technology	49,001	57,001	+ 8,000
10	Program increase: Cyber research	100 750		+ 8,000
12	Undersea Warfare Applied Research	123,750	152,350	+ 28,600
	Authorization adjustment: Accelerate undersea warfare			. 10 000
	research			+ 18,600
25	Program increase: Underwater energetics research			+ 10,000
25	Mine and Expeditionary Warfare Advanced Technology Program increase	1,991	4,491	+ 2,500 + 2,500
33	Surface and Shallow Water Mine Countermeasures	118,588	96.388	- 22,200
33	Restoring acquisition accountability: MHU change to ac-	110,000	90,300	- 22,200
	quisition strategy			- 9,300
	Maintain program affordability: LDUUV product develop-			- 5,500
	ment			- 12,900
41	Advanced Submarine System Development	87,160	83.360	- 3,800
41	Maintain program affordability: Universal Launch and	07,100	05,500	5,000
	Recovery Module			- 3.800
48	Littoral Combat Ship [LCS]	118,416		- 30.000
40	Restoring acquisition accountability: Frigate develop-	110,410	00,410	50,000
	ment—transfer to line 48A			- 30,000
48A	Frigate Development		30,000	+ 30,000
10/1	Restoring acquisition accountability: Frigate develop-		00,000	1 00,000
	ment—transfer from line 48			+30.000
51	LCS Mission Modules	206,149	193,179	- 12,970
01	Restoring acquisition accountability: Remove Anti-sub-	200,110	100,170	12,070
	marine warfare [ASW] operational assessment of non-			
	requirements-compliant developmental asset			- 12.970
60	Navy Energy Program	47,761		+15,000
	Program increase		'	+15,000
62	CHALK CORAL	182,771		- 8.000
02	Classified program adjustment	102,771		- 8,000
64	RETRACT MAPLE			,
64	I KEIKAUI MAPLE I	360,065	330,065 1	- 30,

163

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
73	Classified program adjustment Directed Energy and Electric Weapon Systems Restoring acquisition accountability: Long lead materials		40,222	- 30,000 - 27,138
74	for non-competitive test event in fiscal year 2019 Gerald R. Ford Class Nuclear Aircraft Carrier (CVN 78–80) Authorization adjustment: Full ship shock trials for	48,105		- 27,138 + 79,100
79	CVN-78 LX (R) Adjustment adjustment: Accelerate LX (R)	46,486	 75,486	+ 79,100 + 29,000 + 29,000
82	Space and Electronic Warfare [SEW] Architecture/Engineering Support Restoring acquisition accountability: Project 2140 pro-	29,581	25,246	-4,335
89	gram adjustment AV–8B Aircraft—Eng Dev Maintain program affordability: Final Fit AIM–120C new			- 4,335 - 7,210
95	start lack of full funding Tactical Command System Restoring acquisition accountability: Project 2213 Joint	81,553	73,553	— 7,210 — 8,000
96	Mission Planning System software development con- tract award delay			- 8,000 - 47,000
	ware Configuration 4 new starts due to aerial refuel- ing cost and effort			- 26,100
104	tack growth Program increase: Radar development Next Generation Jammer [NGJ]	411,767		-30,900 + 10,000 - 13,000
104A	Next Generation Jammer Increment II: Transfer to line 104A Next Generation Jammer [NGJ] Inc II	· ·····		- 13,000 + 13,000
106	Next Generation Jammer Increment II: Transfer from line 104 Surface Combatant Combat System Engineering	443,433		+ 13,000 - 44,500
100	Restoring acquisition accountability: ACB 16 post-Pre- liminary Design Review requirements growth			- 44,500 - 28,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: AEGIS Combat Sys- tem Engineering Development Site unjustified growth Maintain program affordability: Far-Term Interoperability			-10,000
108	Improvement Plan lack of justification Small Diameter Bomb [SDB] Restoring acquisition accountability: Joint Miniature Mu-			— 6,500 — 27,500
	nitions Bomb Rack Unit contract award delay Maintain program affordability: Retain previous SDB In- crement II integration schedule to reduce risk of			- 3,500
113	H14 + integration schedule Unmanned Carrier Launched Airborne Surveillance and Strike [UCLASS] System	134,708		- 24,000 + 350,000
	Program increase: Competitive air vehicle risk reduction activities Program increase: Government and industry source se-			+ 300,000
119	lection preparation New Design SSN Program increase: Virginia Class Submarine hydro-			+ 50,000 + 25,000
120	dynamic enhancements Submarine Tactical Warfare System Authorization adjustment: Accelerate submarine combat	48,213		+ 25,000 + 12,000
121	and weapon system modernization Ship Contract Design/ Live Fire T&E Improving funds management: Project 3108 dual band	49,712	45,752	+ 12,000 - 3,960
	radar replacement integration early to need			- 6,960

164

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Non-recurring engi- neering for Special Operations Forces capabilities for integration into Mobile Landing Platform—Afloat For-			
125	ward Staging Base Lightweight Torpedo Development Restoring acquisition accountability: HAAWC restructured	33,738	27,338	+ 3,000 - 6,400
129	program delays Ship Self Defense (Detect & Control) Restoring acquisition accountability: Fire Control Loop Improvement Project Phase 2 unjustified program	153,836	145,336	- 6,400 - 8,500
137	scope expansion Joint Strike Fighter Follow On Development—Marine Corps Restoring acquisition accountability: FOD excessive	59,265	20,798	— 8,500 — 38,467
138	growth Joint Strike Fighter Follow On Development—Navy Restoring acquisition accountability: FOD excessive	47,579	21,244	- 38,467 - 26,335
140	growth Information Technology Development	89,711	94,711	- 26,335 + 5,000
144	Program increase Multi-mission Maritime Aircraft [MMA] Increment 3: Transfer to line 144A	247,929	143,813	+ 5,000 - 104,116 - 104,116
144A	Multi-mission Maritime Aircraft [MMA] Increment 3 Increment 3: Transfer from line 144		104,116	+104,116 + 104,116 + 104.116
150	Target Systems Development Program termination: Parrotfish Restoring acquisition accountability: GQM–173A acquisi-	112,606	77,552	- 35,054 - 4,054
176	tion strategy Submarine Acoustic Warfare Development Authorization adjustment: Accelerate combat rapid at-			- 31,000 + 800
178	tack weapon Rapid Technology Transition [RTT]		11,132	+ 800 - 7,500 7,500
179	Maintain program affordability: Unjustified growth F/A–18 Squadrons Program increase: Noise reduction research	133,265	134,765	- 7,500 + 1,500 + 1,500
181	Fleet Telecommunications (Tactical) Budget documentation disparity: JALN–M demonstration lack of justification	62,867	51,067	- 11,800
186	Ground/Air Task Oriented Radar [G/ATOR] Maintain program affordability: Block II test assets early	80,129	65,629	- 14,500
187	to need Consolidated Training Systems Development Improving funds management: Tactical Combat Training	39,087	34,329	- 14,500 - 4,758
190	Systems [TCTS] funds carryover HARM Improvement Restoring acquisition accountability: AARGM–ER acquisi-	52,708	16,164	- 4,758 - 36,544
191	tion strategy Tactical Data Links Improving funds management: Network Tactical Common	149,997	142,497	- 36,544 - 7,500
193	Data Link contract award delays MK-48 ADCAP Authorization adjustment: Accelerate torpedo upgrades	42,206	47,706	- 7,500 + 5,500 + 5,500
196	Marine Corps Communications Systems Program increase: Radar enhancements	67,763	82,763	+ 15,000 + 15,000
202	Tactical ĀIM Missiles Restoring acquisition accountability: Block II scope ex-	76,016	36,016	-40,000
208	pansion Satellite Communications (SPACE) Budget documentation disparity: JALN–M demonstration	53,239	47,439	- 40,000 - 5,800
218	lack of justification Distributed Common Ground/Surface Systems Restoring acquisition accountability: Defer DCGS-N In- crement II growth pending completion of acquisition/	33,149	23,149	— 5,800 — 10,000
225	resourcing strategy	49,145	39,645	- 10,000 - 9,500

165

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
999	Restoring acquisition accountability: P–8 Quick Reaction Capability scope expansion Classified Programs Classified program adjustment	1,252,185	1,402,185	- 9,500 + 150,000 + 150,000

Navy Budget Justification Materials.—The Committee notes the marked improvements to the Navy's congressional budget justification documents, as requested in Senate Report 113-211, and looks forward to the Navy continuing its emphasis to provide greater level of detail and clarity in future submissions. In addition to examining the budget justification documents submitted in accordance with the Financial Management Regulations, the Committee during its budget review relies heavily on supplemental briefing materials and information. The Committee finds the quality of these supplemental briefing materials to be inconsistent among program offices, often omitting basic information required for program reviews, or failing to amplify information provided in the congressional budget justification documents. In addition, the Committee finds the response time for additionally requested information excessive, allowing insufficient time for further review. Therefore, the Committee urges the Secretary of the Navy to work with the congressional defense committees to improve the timeliness and quality of information provided in support of future budget submissions.

Virginia Payload Module [VPM].—The fiscal year 2016 budget request includes \$167,719,000 to continue development of the Virginia Payload Module in support of production beginning in fiscal year 2019. According to the Navy, the VPM concept was proposed to compensate for the decline in strike capacity precipitated by the planned retirement of converted *Ohio* class guided missile submarines scheduled in the mid- to late- 2020s. The Committee recommends full funding of the Navy's request; however, the Committee remains concerned with the program's stability, cost and schedule pressures. Therefore, the Committee amends the reporting requirement previously included in Division C of the Joint Explanatory Statement accompanying the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2014 (Public Law 113–76), to a quarterly submission, and directs the Secretary of Navy to include in this quarterly report planned and actual performance of program metrics identified in the March 2015 report provided to the congressional defense committees.

In addition, the fiscal year 2016 budget request includes \$12,900,000 in program element 0603502N and \$3,800,000 in program element 0603561N for the development and evaluation of non-strike payloads for possible insertion into VPM. The Committee finds this inconsistent with the VPM concept as proposed, and is concerned with the technical risk this adds to delivering the VPM on cost and schedule. Therefore, the Committee recommends no funding for these specific efforts. *Directed Energy.*—The fiscal year 2016 budget request includes

*Directed Energy.*—The fiscal year 2016 budget request includes \$67,360,000 for a sea-based demonstration of an electromagnetic railgun on board a Joint High Speed Vessel in fiscal year 2016 and to purchase materials for a second, more complex sea-based demonstration in fiscal year 2019. The Committee continues its strong support for an electromagnetic railgun program, but remains concerned with the Navy's acquisition approach to this developmental program that has limited competition for major components more than 5 years before the program is scheduled to enter the formal Department of Defense acquisition process. The Committee notes that the proposed complex fiscal year 2019 sea-based demonstration continues to drive the Navy towards a single material solution. The Committee does not agree with this acquisition approach and recommends no funds for the fiscal year 2019 sea-based demonstration.

E2–D Advanced Hawkeye.—The fiscal year 2016 budget request includes \$272,149,000 for continued modernization of the E2–D Advanced Hawkeye airborne early warning aircraft, an increase of \$95,449,000 over amounts appropriated in fiscal year 2015. The Committee notes that the budget request includes funds for the development of three distinct software configurations in fiscal year 2016, each consisting of multiple efforts. The Committee further notes that the most costly effort within in these software configuration upgrades is for the development of an aerial refueling capability. The E2–D Hawkeye will enter full rate production in fiscal year 2016, and received congressional authority to enter into a multi-year procurement contract in fiscal year 2014, which requires stable program requirements and configurations. Developing, testing and integrating an aerial refueling capability adds technical risk to the program, and will likely result in significant additional costs to modernization efforts.

The Committee believes that executing the development of an aerial refueling capability in concert with a multitude of other, lower priority upgrades is technically and fiscally risky, and recommends that the Navy limit its fiscal year 2016 efforts to high priority upgrades only, specifically the development of aerial refueling and counter electronic attack capabilities. Therefore, the Committee does not recommend funding for fiscal year 2016 new start efforts to be fielded concurrently with an aerial refueling capability in software configuration 4, a reduction of \$26,100,000 from the request, and recommends that funds appropriated for software configuration 5 be invested towards counter electronic attack only, a reduction of \$30,900,000 from the request. The Committee recommends full funding of the Navy's aerial refueling capability, and recommends an additional \$10,000,000 only for radar development to overcome limitations of existing capabilities.

Further, the Committee directs the Assistant Secretary of the Navy for Research, Development and Acquisition to submit with the fiscal year 2017 budget submission cost estimates for each planned E2–D Hawkeye Delta System/Software Configuration Build that delineate the content of each configuration, as well as total development, test and integration costs by effort within each configuration. In addition, the Assistant Secretary of the Navy for Research, Development and Acquisition is directed to identify any unfunded requirements for improved airborne surveillance and battle management command control systems to protect against sophisticated adversaries with anti-ship cruise and ballistic missiles. *Next Generation Jammer [NGJ] Increment II.*—The fiscal year 2016 budget request includes \$13,000,000 to initiate Increment II of the Next Generation Jammer. The Committee recommends fully funding this request and establishing a separate budget line to increase program visibility and accountability. The Committee directs the Navy to follow this structure in future budget submissions.

Unmanned Carrier-Launched Airborne Surveillance and Strike [UCLASS] System.—The fiscal year 2016 request includes \$134,708,000 for the UCLASS program to continue development of the shipboard integration and command and control system segments as previously scheduled. The Committee notes that the request includes \$20,100,000 for source selection activities of the air segment to support an air vehicle development contract award in fiscal year 2017, but no funding to continue technology risk reduction activities for the air vehicle in fiscal year 2016 in support of that contract award.

With submission of the fiscal year 2016 request, the Navy announced a delay to the UCLASS program pending completion of a Department of Defense-led strategic portfolio review that is intended to inform the fiscal year 2017 budget request. This proposal will result in an additional  $1\frac{1}{2}$  year delay in the establishment of an early operational UCLASS capability. According to senior Navy leadership, this delay risks the Navy "losing ground" in developing unmanned aviation from an aircraft carrier. Further, the Committee questions the strategy of dissolving industry teams under contract for competitive air vehicle risk reduction activities prior to awarding a technology development contract. Therefore, the Committee recommends \$300,000,000 only for continued competitive air vehicle risk reduction activities are commends \$50,000,000 for Government and industry preparation of source selection and development contract award activities.

As previously stated in Senate Report 113–211, the Committee believes that stable requirements are critical to ensuring program success, and restates its direction to the Secretary of the Navy to obtain Joint Requirements Oversight Council approval of the UCLASS capability development document [CDD] prior to issuing the final Request for Proposals for the air segment.

Multi-mission Aircraft Increment III.—The fiscal year 2016 budget request includes \$104,116,000 for Increment III of the P-8 Multi-mission Aircraft. The Committee recommends fully funding this request and establishing a separate budget line to increase program visibility and accountability. The Committee directs the Navy to follow this structure in future budget submissions.

Small Business Strategies.—The Committee notes that the Assistant Secretary of the Navy for Research, Development and Acquisition [ASN (RD&A)] in January 2015 directed Program Executive Offices and Heads of Contract Activities to formulate small business strategies that incorporate and promote small business participation across contracts under their purview. The Committee further notes that ASN (RD&A) directed the Small Business Innovation Research/Small Business Technology Transfer [SBIR/STTR] program to focus on improving program transitions to yield a greater return on investment from Navy research and development funds. The Committee believes that a robust small business industrial base is essential to maintaining a technological edge over potential adversaries and therefore directs ASN (RD&A) to provide, with the fiscal year 2017 budget submission, an update on the implementation of measures taken to promote small business participation in Navy acquisition.

Readiness of Aging Air Vehicle Fleet.—The Committee is concerned about the critical funding and maintenance challenges the Navy faces in maintaining the readiness of its air vehicle fleet and extending the useful life of aging aircraft. The Committee recognizes the valuable role university affiliated research institutions offer to the Department of Defense to address these challenges with the ability to respond rapidly to new technology requirements and address shortages of qualified scientists and engineers caused by employee turnover within Department of Defense organizations. The Committee recommends an additional \$30,000,000 for basic university research, and encourages the Navy to support academic institutions with strong capabilities in aviation and aerospace structures and materials testing and evaluation to enhance readiness of Navy and Department of Defense air vehicle fleets.

*Cyber Security and Cloud Computing.*—The Committee recognizes progress being made in developing new and practical approaches for cyber security and secure cloud computing to protect critical cyber systems and reduce loss of classified information to potential adversaries. The Committee understands that encryption technologies contribute towards these goals. Therefore, the Committee encourages the Navy and Marine Corps to continue research into encryption technologies and to focus on implementation, integration and software tooling support.

Navy Alternative Energy Research.—As in previous years, the Committee recommends an increase for Navy alternative energy research. The Committee notes the fiscal and operational value of investing in alternative energy research, and encourages the Navy to expand ocean renewable energy testing, research, develop and deploy maritime security systems, support at-sea surveillance and communications systems and explore opportunities to reduce the cost of energy and increase energy security at coastal Department of Defense facilities. Further, the Committee encourages the Navy to invest in renewable energy demonstration activities relating to Department of Defense facilities and activities in coordination with other Federal agencies and entities.

Power Generation and Storage Research.—The Committee notes the importance of lithium-ion batteries to the Department of Defense and is concerned with safety incidents that limit their operational fielding. Therefore, the Committee believes that the development and qualification of technologies to reduce the risk of thermal runaway in lithium-ion batteries should be prioritized within energy storage research.

Smart Sensing Technology.—The Committee recognizes the need to continue improving intrusion detection and security screening capabilities by leveraging advanced and reliable non-intrusive technologies. The Committee notes advancements in the area of nanotechnology that offer the potential use in developing sensors to detect biohazards, explosives, propellants and other threats. Therefore, the Committee encourages the Navy to invest in advanced anti-intrusion detection technologies.

U.S. Marine Corps Asset Lifecycle Management.—The Committee understands the U.S. Marine Corps is reducing costs associated with routine vehicle maintenance through research and development in vehicle remanufacturing and monitoring. The Committee encourages the Office of Naval Research to continue its investment in these areas.

Interdisciplinary Expeditionary Cyber Research.—The Committee notes the significant investment by the Department of Defense in basic cyber research in recent years. However, the Committee is concerned that this research does not consider the inter-disciplinary nature of cyber systems and focuses on the strategic level while excluding the consideration of the role of human behavior. The Committee encourages the Office of Naval Research to develop a multi-disciplinary science and technology strategy addressing dynamic cyber defense and tactical cyberspace operations. Further, the Committee encourages the Navy to examine prototyping and developing technology capabilities for expeditionary cyberspace operations.

Underwater Energetics Research.—The Committee recommends an additional \$10,000,000 for the Office of Naval Research to support development of advanced warhead and explosives concepts for undersea warfare, and an assessment of global developments in energetic materials.

Arctic Center of Excellence.—The Committee notes that the United States has a vested interest in the security and stability of the Arctic region. The Committee believes that with the Arctic becoming increasingly accessible and more broadly transited in the coming decades by both Arctic and non-Arctic nations, it is imperative that the United States be prepared to operate in the Arctic Region when needed. The Committee is pleased that the Department of Defense is enhancing its focus on the Arctic region by releasing its Arctic Strategy in November 2013 and that the Department of the Navy released its updated Arctic Roadmap in February 2014. The Committee believes it is important for the Department to continue to invest in training exercises, partnerships, infrastructure, and capabilities necessary to meet strategic objectives in the Arctic region and to support potential operations. The Committee encourages the Department of Defense, and the Department of the Navy in particular, to continue research efforts to develop security capabilities and strategies for the Arctic region.

The Committee notes that the Navy's Arctic Roadmap includes a plan to identify the requirements for an Arctic Center of Excellence in fiscal year 2015. The Committee directs the Navy to complete identification of these requirements and to report to the Committee on these requirements and the Navy's plans not later than December 31, 2015. The Committee encourages the Navy to coordinate with other Government agencies, academic institutions, and existing polar research efforts that can provide support and promote United States security interests.

Monitoring of Sea Ice in the Arctic Basin.—The Committee notes that as an Arctic nation extending through the State of Alaska, United States national security interests extend into the entire Arctic region. The Committee encourages the Department of Defense, working with the Office of Naval Research and its academic partners, to expand its understanding of the Arctic region's physical environment. The Committee recognizes that such data could be key to the development of strategies for national security, natural resource protection and efficient commerce in the Arctic region.

Predictive Analytics.—The Committee notes that equipment failures and performance degradation on naval platforms drive unplanned downtime, reduce operational availability and increase the cost of maintenance. The Committee understands there are available proven commercial tools that leverage equipment sensor data and similarity-based modeling to remotely predict and diagnose mechanical issues well ahead of time, allowing equipment failure to be prevented through planned maintenance activities. The Committee encourages the Department of the Navy to seek opportunities to conduct field trials of these commercially available remote monitoring and diagnostic systems to determine if they can help increase readiness and reduce maintenance costs.

Land-based Power Generation Test Bed.—The Committee notes that the Navy is developing advanced ship electric power systems to support high power weapons and sensors, and to improve ship energy efficiency. An important element for the operation of high power weapons and sensors is the energy magazine concept that would integrate and expand ship power distribution circuits, power conversion, and energy storage. The Committee believes a cost-effective approach to maturing power generation technology is the development of a land-based representative integrated ship power system to include power generation, energy storage, power distribution, and power loads, upon which high power weapons and sensors could be tested. The Committee encourages the Secretary of the Navy to perform a cost-benefit analysis to determine the total cost savings of such a land-based power generation test bed.

Free Space Optical Communication Technology Demonstrator.— The Committee understands there is a potential need for new and emerging compact and affordable Free Space Optical Communication [FSOC] technologies for tactical high bandwidth line-of sight data link needs in multiple environments. The Committee encourages the Secretary of the Navy to asses FSOC technology for use by the Marine Corps that has been demonstrated on multiple DOD applications, can be implemented from ground, air or sea-borne platforms, and is completely eye-safe.

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2015	\$23,643,983,000
Budget estimate, 2016	
Committee recommendation	25,874,116,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$25,874,116,000. This is \$599,553,000 below the budget estimate.

# COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

	ltem	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, AIR FORCE			
	BASIC RESEARCH			
1	DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES	329,721	384,721	+ 55,000
2	UNIVERSITY RESEARCH INITIATIVES	141,754	141.754	1 33,000
3	HIGH ENERGY LASER RESEARCH INITIATIVES	13,778	13,778	
	TOTAL, BASIC RESEARCH	485,253	540,253	+ 55,000
	APPLIED RESEARCH			
4	MATERIALS	125,234	125,234	
5	AEROSPACE VEHICLE TECHNOLOGIES	123,438	123,438	
6	HUMAN EFFECTIVENESS APPLIED RESEARCH	100,530	100,530	
7	AEROSPACE PROPULSION	182,326	187,326	+ 5,000
8	AEROSPACE SENSORS	147,291	147,291	
9	SPACE TECHNOLOGY	116,122	116,122	
10	CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS	99,851	99,851	
11	DIRECTED ENERGY TECHNOLOGY	115,604	115,604	
12	DOMINANT INFORMATION SCIENCES AND METHODS	164,909	170,909	+6,000
13	HIGH ENERGY LASER RESEARCH	42,037	42,037	
	TOTAL, APPLIED RESEARCH	1,217,342	1,228,342	+ 11,000
	ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT			
14	ADVANCED MATERIALS FOR WEAPON SYSTEMS	37,665	47,665	+10,000
15	SUSTAINMENT SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY (S&T)	18,378	18,378	
16	ADVANCED AEROSPACE SENSORS	42.183	42.183	
17	AEROSPACE TECHNOLOGY DEV/DEMO	100,733	100,733	
18	AEROSPACE PROPULSION AND POWER TECHNOLOGY	168,821	178,821	+ 10.000
19	ELECTRONIC COMBAT TECHNOLOGY	47.032	47.032	
20	ADVANCED SPACECRAFT TECHNOLOGY	54.897	64.897	+ 10.000
21	MAUI SPACE SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM [MSSS]	12,853	12,853	
22	HUMAN EFFECTIVENESS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOP-	,	,	
	MENT	25,448	25,448	
23	CONVENTIONAL WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY	48,536	43,036	- 5,500
24	ADVANCED WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY	30,195	37,195	+ 7,000
25	MANUFACTURING TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM	42,630	42,630	
26	BATTLESPACE KNOWLEDGE DEVELOPMENT & DEMONSTRATION	46,414	46,414	
	TOTAL, ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	675,785	707,285	+ 31,500
	ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT			
27	INTELLIGENCE ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT	5,032	5,032	
29	SPACE CONTROL TECHNOLOGY	4,070	4,070	
30	COMBAT IDENTIFICATION TECHNOLOGY	21,790	21,790	
31	NATO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	4,736	4,736	
33	SPACE PROTECTION PROGRAM (SPP)	30,771	30,771	
34	INTERCONTINENTAL BALLISTIC MISSILE	39,765	39,765	
36	LONG RANGE STRIKE	1,246,228	1,246,228	
37	TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER	3,512	8,512	+ 5,000
38	HARD AND DEEPLY BURIED TARGET DEFEAT SYSTEM	54,637	54,637	
40	WEATHER SATELLITE FOLLOW-ON	76,108	21,108	- 55,000
44	OPERATIONALLY RESPONSIVE SPACE	6,457	19,957	+13,500
45	TECH TRANSITION PROGRAM	246,514	271,514	+ 25,000
46	GROUND BASED STRATEGIC DETERRENT	75,166	75,166	
49	NEXT GENERATION AIR DOMINANCE	8,830	8,830	
50	THREE DIMENSIONAL LONG-RANGE RADAR	14,939	8,139	- 6.800
51	NAVSTAR GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM (USER EQUIPMENT)	142,288	142,288	
52	CYBER OPERATIONS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	81.732	96.732	+ 15.000
		01,752	30,732	1 10,000

[In thousands of dollars]

	ltem	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	TOTAL, ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT	2,062,575	2,059,275	- 3,300
	ENGINEERING & MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT			
55	ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT	929	929	
56	TACTICAL DATA NETWORKS ENTERPRISE	60,256	60,256	
57	PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT	5,973	5,973	
58	SMALL DIAMETER BOMB [SDB]	32,624	32,624	
59	COUNTERSPACE SYSTEMS	24,208	24,208	
60	SPACE SITUATION AWARENESS SYSTEMS	32,374	32,374	
61 62	SPACE FENCE AIRBORNE ELECTRONIC ATTACK	243,909	243,909	
63	SPACE BASED INFRARED SYSTEM (SBIRS) HIGH EMD	8,358 292,235	8,358 292,235	
64	ARMAMENT/ORDNANCE DEVELOPMENT	40,154	40,154	
65	SUBMUNITIONS	2,506	2,506	
66	AGILE COMBAT SUPPORT	57,678	59,678	+ 2,000
67	LIFE SUPPORT SYSTEMS	8,187	8,187	
68	COMBAT TRAINING RANGES	15,795	11,795	-4,000
69	F-35-EMD	589,441	589,441	
71	EVOLVED EXPENDABLE LAUNCH VEHICLE PROGRAM (SPACE)	84,438	228,038	+143,600
72	LONG RANGE STANDOFF WEAPON	36,643	14,100	- 22,543
73	ICBM FUZE MODERNIZATION	142,551	142,551	
74	F-22 MODERNIZATION INCREMENT 3.2B	140,640	140,640	
75	GROUND ATTACK WEAPONS FUZE DEVELOPMENT	3,598	3,598	
76	NEXT GENERATION AERIAL REFUELING AIRCRAFT KC-46	602,364	602,364	
77	ADVANCED PILOT TRAINING	11,395	11,395	
78	CSAR HH-60 RECAPITALIZATION	156,085	156,085	
80	ADVANCED EHF MILSATCOM (SPACE)	228,230	253,230	+ 25,000
81	POLAR MILSATCOM (SPACE)	72,084	72,084	
82	WIDEBAND GLOBAL SATCOM (SPACE)	56,343	56,343	
83	AIR AND SPACE OPS CENTER 10.2	47,629	47,629	
84 85	B-2 DEFENSIVE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM	271,961	271,961	
85 86	NUCLEAR WEAPONS MODERNIZATION F–15 EPAWSS	212,121	212,121 127.681	- 58,800
87	FULL COMBAT MISSION TRAINING	186,481 18,082	127,081	
88	COMBAT SURVIVOR EVADER LOCATOR	993	993	
89	NEXTGEN JSTARS	44,343	44,343	
91	PRESIDENTIAL AIRCRAFT REPLACEMENT	102,620	102,620	
92	AUTOMATED TEST SYSTEMS	14,563	14,563	
	TOTAL, ENGINEERING & MANUFACTURING DEVELOP-			
	MENT	3,847,791	3,933,048	+ 85,257
	RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT			
93	THREAT SIMULATOR DEVELOPMENT	23,844	23,844	
94	MAJOR T&E INVESTMENT	68,302	68,302	
95	RAND PROJECT AIR FORCE	34,918	34,918	
97	INITIAL OPERATIONAL TEST & EVALUATION	10,476	10,476	
98	TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT	673,908	673,908	
99	ROCKET SYSTEMS LAUNCH PROGRAM (SPACE)	21,858	21,858	
100	SPACE TEST PROGRAM (STP)	28,228	28,228	
101	FACILITIES RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION—TEST & EVAL	40,518	40,518	
102	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT—TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT	27,895	27,895	
103	REQUIREMENTS ANALYSIS AND MATURATION	16,507	24,007	+ 7,500
104 106	SPACE TEST AND TRAINING RANGE DEVELOPMENT SPACE AND MISSILE CENTER (SMC) CIVILIAN WORKFORCE	18,997 185,305	18,997 180,305	- 5,000
106	ENTERPRISE INFORMATION SERVICES (EIS)	4,841	3,841	- 5,000 - 1,000
107	ACQUISITION AND MANAGEMENT SUPPORT	4,641	15.357	- 1,000
108	GENERAL SKILL TRAINING	1,315	1,315	
105	INTERNATIONAL ACTIVITIES	2,315	2,315	
	TOTAL, RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT	1,174,584	1,176,084	+ 1,500
110	OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	050.000	050.000	
112	GPS III—OPERATIONAL CONTROL SEGMENT	350,232	350,232	
113	SPECIALIZED UNDERGRADUATE FLIGHT TRAINING	10,465	8,565	-1,900

1	.7	3

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
114	WIDE AREA SURVEILLANCE	24,577	24,577	
117	AIR FORCE INTEGRATED MILITARY HUMAN RESOURCES SYSTEM	69,694	29,694	- 40,000
118	ANTI-TAMPER TECHNOLOGY EXECUTIVE AGENCY	26,718	26,718	
119	HC/MC-130 RECAP RDT&E	10,807	4,807	- 6,000
121	B-52 SQUADRONS	74,520	74,520	
122 123	AIR-LAUNCHED CRUISE MISSILE [ALCM]	451	451	
123	B–1B SQUADRONS B–2 SQUADRONS	2,245 108,183	2,245 108,183	
124	MINUTEMAN SQUADRONS	178,929	166,729	- 12,200
126	STRAT WAR PLANNING SYSTEM—USSTRATCOM	28,481	28,481	12,200
127	NIGHT FIST—USSTRATCOM	87	87	
128	WORLDWIDE JOINT STRATEGIC COMMUNICATIONS	5,315	5,315	
131	SERVICE SUPPORT TO STRATCOM—SPACE ACTIVITIES	8.090	8,090	
132	MQ-9 UAV	123,439	123,439	
134	A-10 SQUADRONS		16,200	+16,200
135	F-16 SQUADRONS	148,297	188,297	+ 40,000
136	F-15E SQUADRONS	179,283	192,079	+12,796
137	MANNED DESTRUCTIVE SUPPRESSION	14,860	14,860	
138	F-22 SQUADRONS	262,552	262,552	
139	F-35 SQUADRONS	115,395	53,921	- 61,474
140	TACTICAL AIM MISSILES	43,360	43,360	
141	ADVANCED MEDIUM RANGE AIR-TO-AIR MISSILE (AMRAAM)	46,160	38,160	- 8,000
143	COMBAT RESCUE AND RECOVERY	412	412	
144	COMBAT RESCUE—PARARESCUE	657	657	
145	AF TENCAP	31,428	31,428	
146	PRECISION ATTACK SYSTEMS PROCUREMENT	1,105	1,105	
147	COMPASS CALL	14,249	14,249	
148	AIRCRAFT ENGINE COMPONENT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	103,942	103,942	
149	JOINT AIR-TO-SURFACE STANDOFF MISSILE [JASSM]	12,793	9,793	- 3,000
150 151	AIR AND SPACE OPERATIONS CENTER [AOC]	21,193 559	21,193 559	
151	CONTROL AND REPORTING CENTER [CRC] AIRBORNE WARNING AND CONTROL SYSTEM [AWACS]	161.812	155,512	- 6,300
152	TACTICAL AIRBORNE CONTROL SYSTEMS	6,001	6,001	0,500
155	COMBAT AIR INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM ACTIVITIES	7,793	6,793	- 1.000
156	TACTICAL AIR CONTROL PARTY—MOD	12,465	12,465	1,000
157	C2ISR TACTICAL DATA LINK	1,681	1,681	
159	DCAPES	16,796	16,796	
161	SEEK EAGLE	21,564	21,564	
162	USAF MODELING AND SIMULATION	24,994	24,994	
163	WARGAMING AND SIMULATION CENTERS	6,035	6,035	
164	DISTRIBUTED TRAINING AND EXERCISES	4,358	4,358	
165	MISSION PLANNING SYSTEMS	55,835	55,835	
167	AF OFFENSIVE CYBERSPACE OPERATIONS	12,874	12,874	
168	AF DEFENSIVE CYBERSPACE OPERATIONS	7,681	7,681	
171	GLOBAL SENSOR INTEGRATED ON NETWORK (GSIN)	5,974	5,974	
177	SPACE SUPERIORITY INTELLIGENCE	13,815	13,815	14 000
178	E-4B NATIONAL AIRBORNE OPERATIONS CENTER [NAOC]	80,360	65,760	-14,600
179	FAMILY OF ADVANCED BLOS TERMINALS (FAB-T)	3,907	3,907	
180 181	MINIMUM ESSENTIAL EMERGENCY COMMUNICATIONS NETWORK INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM	75,062 46,599	75,062 46,599	
181	GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM	2,470	2,470	
185	AIRBORNE SIGINT ENTERPRISE	112,775	112,775	
189	GLOBAL AIR TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT (GATM)	4,235	4,235	
192	SATELLITE CONTROL NETWORK (SPACE)	7,879	7,879	
193	WEATHER SERVICE	29,955	29,955	
194	AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL, APPROACH, & LANDING SYSTEM (ATC)	21,485	19,485	- 2,000
195	AERIAL TARGETS	2,515	2,515	
198	SECURITY AND INVESTIGATIVE ACTIVITIES	472	472	
199	ARMS CONTROL IMPLEMENTATION	12,137	9,137	- 3,000
200	DEFENSE JOINT COUNTERINTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES	361	361	
203	SPACE AND MISSILE TEST AND EVALUATION CENTER	3,162	3,162	
204	DEVELOPMENT SPACE INNOVATION, INTEGRATION AND RAPID			
	TECHNOLOGY	1,543	1,543	
205	INTEGRATED BROADCAST SERVICE	7,860	7,860	

174
-----

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
206	SPACELIFT RANGE SYSTEM (SPACE)	6,902	6,902	
207	DRAGON U–2	34,471	34,471	
208	ENDURANCE UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES		5,000	+ 5,000
209	AIRBORNE RECONNAISSANCE SYSTEMS	50,154	42,154	- 8,000
210	MANNED RECONNAISSANCE SYSTEMS	13,245	13,245	
211	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS	22,784	22,784	
212	PREDATOR UAV (JMIP)	716		- 716
213	RQ-4 UAV	208,053	203,053	- 5,000
214	NETWORK-CENTRIC COLLABORATIVE TARGET [TIARA]	21,587	13,987	- 7,600
215	COMMON DATA LINK (CDL)	43,986	43,986	
216	NATO AGS	197,486	138,397	- 59,089
217	SUPPORT TO DCGS ENTERPRISE	28,434	28,434	
218	GPS III SPACE SEGMENT	180,902	180,902	
220	JSPOC MISSION SYSTEM	81,911	79,911	- 2,000
221	RAPID CYBER ACQUISITION	3,149	3,149	
222	NUDET DETECTION SYSTEM (SPACE)	14,447	14,447	
223	SPACE SITUATION AWARENESS OPERATIONS	20,077	20,077	
225	SHARED EARLY WARNING [SEW]	853	853	
226	C–130 AIRLIFT SQUADRON	33,962	33,962	
227	C-5 AIRLIFT SQUADRONS	42,864	22,864	- 20,000
228	C-17 AIRCRAFT	54,807	54,807	
229	C-130J PROGRAM	31,010	39,010	+ 8,000
230	LARGE AIRCRAFT IR COUNTERMEASURES [LAIRCM]	6,802	6,802	
231	KC-10S	1,799	1,799	
232	OPERATIONAL SUPPORT AIRLIFT	48,453	38,453	- 10,000
233	CV-22	36,576	27,776	- 8,800
235	SPECIAL TACTICS/COMBAT CONTROL	7,963	7,963	
236	DEPOT MAINTENANCE (NON–IF)	1,525	1,525	
237	LOGISTICS INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY [LOGIT]	112,676	68,400	- 44,276
238	SUPPORT SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	12,657	12,657	
239	OTHER FLIGHT TRAINING	1,836	1,836	
240	OTHER PERSONNEL ACTIVITIES	121	121	
241	JOINT PERSONNEL RECOVERY AGENCY	5,911	5,911	
242	CIVILIAN COMPENSATION PROGRAM	3,604	3,604	
243	PERSONNEL ADMINISTRATION	4,598	4,598	
244	AIR FORCE STUDIES AND ANALYSIS AGENCY	1,103	1,103	
246	FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEMS DEVELOP- MENT	101,840	95,540	- 6,300
	TOTAL, OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	4,230,197	3,980,938	- 249,259
9999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	12,780,142	12,248,891	- 531,251
	TOTAL, RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, AIR FORCE	26,473,669	25,874,116	— 599,553

COMMITTEE	RECOMMENDED	ADJUSTMENTS
-----------	-------------	-------------

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In	thousands	of	dollars]
-----	-----------	----	----------

Line	ltem	2016 budget esti- mate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1	Defense Research Sciences Authorization adjustment: Basic research program	329,721	384,721	+ 55,000
	increase Program increase: Air Force Education and Out-			+ 45,000
	reach Program			+10,000
7	Aerospace Propulsion	182,326	187,326	+ 5,000
	Program increase			+ 5,000
12	Dominant Information Sciences and Methods	164,909	170,909	+ 6,000
	Program increase			+ 6,000

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2016 budget esti- mate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimat
14	Advanced Materials for Weapon Systems Program increase: Metals affordability research	37,665	47,665	+ 10,0 + 10,0
18	Aerospace Propulsion and Power Technology	168,821	178,821	+ 10,0
20	Program increase: Silicon carbide research Advanced Spacecraft Technology			+10,0 +10,0
23	Program increase Conventional Weapons Technology	48,536	43,036	+ 10,0 - 5,5
24	Improving funds management: Forward financing Advanced Weapons Technology			- 5,5 + 7,0
	Program increase: Counter-electronics high power microwave advanced missile			+ 7.0
37	Technology Transfer Program increase	3,512	8,512	+ 5,0 + 5,0
40	Weather System Follow-on Improving funds management: Prior year carryover	76,108	21,108	— 55,0 — 55,0
44	Operationally Responsive Space Authorization adjustment: Increase to match pre-	6,457	19,957	+ 13,5
45	vious year funding level	04C E14		+ 13,5
45	Tech Transition Program Program increase: Alternative energy research	246,514	271,514	+ 25,0 + 25,0
50	Three Dimensional Long-Range Radar [3DELRR] Restoring acquisition accountability: Test and eval-	14,939	8,139	- 6,8
52	uation support early to need Cyber Operations Technology Development Authorization adjustment: Increase USCC cyber op-		96,732	- 6,8 + 15,0
66	erations tech development Agile Combat Support Program increase	57,678		+ 15,0 + 2,0 + 10,0
68	Improving funds management: Forward financing Combat Training Ranges Improving funds management: Forward financing	15,795	11,795	-8,0 -4,0 -4,0
71	Evolved Expendable Launch Vehicle Program (SPACE)— EMD Program increase: Rocket engine development	84,438	228,038	+ 143,6 + 143,6
72	Long Range Standoff Weapon Restoring acquisition accountability: Acquisition	36,643	14,100	- 22,5
	strategy Restoring acquisition accountability: Test support and program management early to need			— 18,6 — 3,9
80	Advanced EHF MILSATCOM (SPACE) Program increase: Protected tactical demonstration	228,230	253,230	+ 25,0 + 25,0
86	F–15 EPAWSS Restoring acquisition accountability: EMD funding		127,681	- 58,8
103	early to need Requirements Analysis and Maturation		24,007	- 58,8 + 7,5
106	Program increase Space and Missile Center [SMC] Civilian Workforce		180,305	+ 7,5 - 5,0 - 5.0
107	Maintain program affordability: Excess to need Enterprise Information Services [EIS]	4,841	3,841	- 1,0
113	Improving funds management: Forward financing Specialized Undergraduate Flight Training	10,465	8,565	- 1,0 - 1,9
117	Improving funds management: Forward financing AF Integrated Personnel and Pay System [AF-IPPS] Improving funds management: Forward financing, motiving funds in fact and the and incase.		29,694	- 1,9 - 40,0
119	excluding funding for audit readiness HC/MC-130 Recap RDT&E Restoring acquisitiion accountability: Block 8.1		4,807	- 40,0 - 6,0
125	funding early-to-need Minuteman Squadrons Budget documentation disparity: Airborne launch		166,729	- 6,0 - 12,2
134	control system funding request unclear A–10 Squadrons Authorization adjustment: Sustain avionics software			- 12,2 + 16,2

176	
-----	--

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2016 budget esti- mate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
135	F—16 Squadrons	148,297	188,297	+ 40,000
	Program increase: Radar improvements—Air Na-			
	tional Guard			+40,000
136	F-15E Squadrons	179,283	192,079	+ 12,796
	Transfer F–15: Air Force-requested from APAF Line			10 700
139	#22 F—35 Squadrons	115,395	53,921	+ 12,796 - 61,474
100	Restoring acquisition accountability: Follow on de-	110,000	55,521	01,474
	velopment excessive growth			- 61,474
141	Advanced Medium Range Air-to-Air Missile [AMRAAM]	46,160	38,160	- 8,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Program delay			- 8,000
149	Joint Air-to-Surface Standoff Missile [JASSM]	12,793	9,793	- 3,000
152	Improving funds management: Forward financing	101 010	155 510	- 3,000
152	Airborne Warning and Control System [AWACS] Restoring acquisition accountability: Delayed con-	161,812	155,512	- 6,300
	tract award			-6,300
155	Combat Air Intelligence System Activities	7,793	6,793	-1,000
	Improving funds management: Forward financing			-1,000
178	E-4B National Airborne Operations Center [NAOC]	80,360	65,760	-14,600
	Maintain program affordability: Excess funding for			
	low frequency transmit system			- 14,600
194	Air Traffic Control, Approach, and Landing System	01.405	10.405	0.000
	[ATCALS] Maintain program affordability: Unjustified program	21,485	19,485	- 2,000
	growth in program management administration			- 2,000
199	Arms Control Implementation	12,137	9,137	- 3,000
	Improving funds management: Forward financing			- 3,000
208	Endurance Unmanned Aerial Vehicles		5,000	+ 5,000
	Program increase			+ 5,000
209	Airborne Reconnaissance Systems	50,154	42,154	- 8,000
010	Improving funds management: Forward financing			- 8,000
212	MQ-1 Predator A UAV Maintain program affordability: Funding not re-	716		-716
	quired			-716
213	RQ-4	208,053	203,053	- 5,000
	Improving funds management: Forward financing	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·	- 5,000
214	Network-Centric Collaborative Targeting	21,587	13,987	- 7,600
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Version 5.0.4			
010	funding early-to-need	107.400		- 7,600
216	NATO AGS Transfer NATO AGS: Air Force-requested to APAF	197,486	138,397	- 59,089
	Line #79			- 59,089
220	JSPOC Mission System	81,911	79,911	- 2,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Excessive cost		.,.	,
	growth			- 2,000
227	C–5 Airlift Squadrons (IF)	42,864	22,864	- 20,000
000	Improving funds management: Forward financing			- 20,000
229	C-130J Program	31,010	39,010	+ 8,000
232	Program increase: In-flight Prop Balancing System Operational Support Airlift	48,453		+ 8,000 - 10,000
232	Improving funds management: Forward financing	40,400		-10,000
233	CV-22	36,576	27,776	- 8.800
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Improved inlet	,	, .	
	solution program delay			- 8,800
237	Logistics Information Technology [LOGIT]	112,676	68,400	- 44,276
	Improving funds management: Forward financing,			44.070
246	excluding funding for audit readiness	101 840	05 540	- 44,276
240	Financial Management Information Systems Development Improving funds management: Forward financing,	101,840	95,540	- 6,300
				-6,300
	excluding tunding for audit readiness			
	excluding funding for audit readiness Classified Programs	12,780,142	12,248,891	- 531,251

Adaptive Engine Transition Program.—The Committee supports the continued emphasis on research and development in the next generation of turbine engine technology. The Committee notes that there are potential applications of this technology to both legacy and future combat aircraft. The Committee encourages the Air Force to continue investing in these critical technologies and supporting multiple industry partners to ensure competition during the next phase of development.

Air Force Alternative Energy.—The Committee is encouraged by the Air Force's energy conservation and efficiency initiatives as well as its investment into promising renewable energy. The Committee urges the Air Force to continue its critical research in this field and encourages the Assistant Secretary of the Air Force for Installations, Environment and Energy to develop a strategy to bridge the gap between investment in energy research and development, and the demonstration and use of that technology to meet Air Force enterprise requirements.

Long Range Stand-Off Weapon.—The Committee supports the Air Force's program to develop a follow-on capability to the Air Launched Cruise Missile to penetrate advanced integrated air defense systems from significant stand-off range. The Committee is pleased that the Air Force accelerated the program's milestone A decision in the President's budget request from the second quarter of fiscal year 2017 to the first quarter of fiscal year 2016. The Committee provides \$14,100,000 in fiscal year 2016, an increase of \$10,662,000 over the fiscal year 2015 enacted level, and encourages the Air Force to maintain the funding profile of \$1,747,300,000 from fiscal years 2017 through 2020, given the strategic importance of the program.

Ground Based Strategic Deterrent Acquisition.—The Committee recognizes that the Air Force is currently exploring options to acquire a replacement for the Minuteman III system, the Ground Based Strategic Deterrent [GBSD]. The Committee believes the use of full and open competition provides the best opportunity for the Department of Defense to benefit from innovation, improved contractor performance, and reduced costs. Not later than 90 days after enactment of this act, the Secretary of the Air Force shall provide the congressional defense committees the GBSD acquisition strategy to include a detailed description of Air Force's plans to use competitive awards throughout the acquisition process.

*Combat Rescue Helicopter.*—The Committee is pleased that the fiscal year 2016 budget request includes funding for the combat rescue helicopter throughout the future years defense plan, consistent with the service cost position. However, the Committee is concerned with the timing and number of system demonstration test article [SDTA] aircraft in the fiscal year 2016 budget request. The Committee encourages the Air Force to reconsider the timing and number of the research and development-funded aircraft to ensure the most efficient use of resources to support the program test schedule and the industrial base until low rate initial procurement begins.

Joint Surveillance and Target Attack Radar System [JSTARS].— The Committee supports the fiscal year 2016 budget request of \$44,343,000 for JSTARS recapitalization and is pleased with the recent approval of the Materiel Development Decision to enable the Air Force to begin technology development and award technology maturation contracts. However, the Committee remains concerned with the duration of the design and development phase of the program and the delay of initial operational capability until 2023. As delineated in the report accompanying the Senate version of the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2015 (Senate Report 113–211), the Committee continues to view the program as primarily an integration effort that will utilize mature, affordable, and existing components on a commercially available aircraft. Last year, the Committee directed the Secretary of the Air Force to reassess the acquisition strategy to shorten the development phase. The Committee encourages the Air Force to work with industry partners and apply the tenets of Better Buying Power 3.0 to reduce the duration and cost of the design and development phase and reflect the revised schedules and funding levels in the fiscal year 2017 budget request.

Given the time required to develop and procure the new aircraft, the Committee understands that the Air Force plans to extend the service life of the current E–8 JSTARS fleet until the middle of the next decade. The Committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to submit a plan to the congressional defense committees, to accompany submission of the fiscal year 2017 budget, that outlines how industrial and logistics degradation of the E–8 fleet will be avoided as well as upgrades to the fleet to ensure the platform will continue to meet warfighter needs for combat operations. The plan should include schedules and annual funding requirements.

High Speed Test Track.—The Committee supports the Air Force's efforts to modernize its high speed testing system in order to meet aerodynamic testing requirements for new missile systems. The Committee understands that a high speed test track that can accommodate speeds up to Mach 4 would enable the Air Force to carry out needed tests for advanced weapons systems at lower costs and with decreased vibration compared to legacy test tracks. The Committee encourages the Air Force to complete an analysis of alternatives of the design, build, and cost of a modern high speed test track.

B-2 Ejection Seats.—The Committee understands that the Air Force is currently conducting market research to develop an acquisition strategy for a B-2 ejection system qualification program. The Committee encourages the Air Force to proceed with a full and open competition for the development, qualification, and acquisition of the ejection seat upgrade.

F-16 Radar Upgrades.—The Committee is concerned about the long-term health of the active electronically scanned array radar industrial base. The Committee believes that competition among multiple suppliers is important to reduce costs and improve performance. Therefore, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to submit a report to the congressional defense committees, not later than 90 days after enactment of this act, on how the Air Force will address phase two of North American Aerospace Defense Command/U.S. Northern Command Joint Urgent Operational Needs [JUON] NC–0008 to include an acquisition strategy on all aspects of the solution set. The report should address the Air Force's radar modernization plan for the entire F-16 fleet.

U-2.—The fiscal year 2016 budget request supports the continued operation of the U-2 fleet as well as prudent actions to retire the fleet beginning in fiscal year 2019. The fiscal year 2016 budget request includes \$34,471,000 in Research, Development, Test and Evaluation [RDT&E], Air Force for further development of the ASARS-2B radar. The Committee is concerned that the Air Force is committing resources to improve a radar that it does not intend to use on the U-2 aircraft prior to retirement. Therefore, none of the fiscal year 2016 RDT&E funding may be obligated until the Secretary of the Air Force provides a report to congressional defense committees justifying the use of funds, validating the requirement, and a plan to develop, acquire, and field the modernized radar.

Long-Range, Multi-Day Endurance ISR Capability.—The Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2015 (Public Law 113–235) provided \$20,000,000 to support development of a capability in response to the U.S. Africa Command joint emerging operational need statement [USAFRICOM JEON] AF–0005. The Committee notes that the Air Force has completed four phases of test article flights to demonstrate a capability in response to USAFRICOM JEON AF–0005, including an 80 hour, long endurance flight demonstration in December 2014. The Committee recommendation includes \$5,000,000, to be combined with previously appropriated but not yet obligated funds, for test articles necessary to reduce airworthiness risk, improve interoperability, and characterize the flight envelope to validate a low-cost and effective solution for persistent aerial surveillance. Additionally, the Committee directs the Joint Staff to submit a plan to the congressional defense committees, not later than 90 days after enactment of this act, on the Department's current plan to address USAFRICOM JEON AF–0005.

#### SPACE PROGRAMS

Rocket Engine Development.—The budget request for fiscal year 2016 includes \$84,438,000 for Evolved Expendable Launch Vehicle next generation rocket engine development. The Committee continues to view the effort to develop and field an advanced U.S. rocket booster engine as a national security imperative and believes planned Air Force investments for fiscal year 2016 are insufficient to meet the need for a new engine in 2019. Therefore, the Committee recommends an additional \$143,600,000 for the Air Force to implement a full scale engine development program that meets Evolved Expendable Launch Vehicle program requirements for national security payload launches. Given the importance of this issue, the Air Force should move expeditiously to spend appropriated funding for this effort.

Advanced Extremely High Frequency Protected Tactical Waveform.—The Committee supports the Air Force's development of a new Advanced Extremely High Frequency [AEHF] Protected Tactical Waveform [PTW] which offers the ability to provide tactical anti-jam communication utilizing existing space and user terminal assets. The 2016 budget request includes funding for the development of new terminal modems, but the Committee is concerned that the mission management system and PTW ground station hub electronics and software are not being co-developed, which could lead to a multi-year delay in the fielding of the system and the potential for non-optimal system design. Therefore, the Committee recommends an additional \$25,000,000 for the AEHF Protected Tactical Waveform mission management system and ground station hub electronics and software development to enable protected communications utilizing existing military and commercial space assets.

Global Positioning System III Operational Control Segment.—The budget request for fiscal year 2016 includes \$350,232,000 for the GPS III Operational Control Segment [OCX]. This ground system promises to provide improved accuracy, security, and anti-jamming protection and allow the new GPS III satellites to be integrated into the legacy GPS constellation. Development of the system is so delayed, however, that it will not be available until approximately 4 years after the Air Force begins launching GPS III satellites in fiscal year 2016. This has prompted the Air Force to investigate buying a temporary ground capability to ensure that the first GPS satellite can be integrated into the existing constellation. The Committee notes that such a temporary fix would not enable implementation of the technology improvements promised with OCX, including the improved anti-jamming capability of M-code. In light of these problems and delays, the Committee questions the Air Force's plan to accelerate the launches of several GPS III satellites, reversing a decision in the 2015 budget request. Therefore, the Committee directs the Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation [CAPE] and Joint Requirements Oversight Council [JROC] to review the cost of and validate the requirements for accelerating GPS III launches ahead of the plan laid out in the fiscal year 2015 budget submission.

Global Positioning System III.—The Committee supports the decision of the Air Force to compete future GPS space vehicles after SV-10 to make the program more affordable while sustaining and enhancing GPS capabilities. The Committee believes that more advanced technologies, such as a modern digital payload, will alleviate production problems while providing enhanced mission capability and affordability. Therefore, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to allocate \$80,000,000 of the GPS III Space Modernization Imitative budget toward technology maturation efforts for a digital navigation payload and satellite vehicle development for the GPS III SV11+ production competition. Daytime Space Situational Awareness.—The Committee recog-

Daytime Space Situational Awareness.—The Committee recognizes the criticality of Space Situational Awareness [SSA] and is concerned about long-duration gaps in actionable satellite data during daytime hours. Therefore, the Committee encourages the Air Force to invest in ground-based optical/infrared capabilities to address daytime gaps in SSA.

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, DEFENSE-WIDE

Appropriations, 2015	\$17,225,889,000
Budget estimate, 2016	18,329,861,000
Committee recommendation	18,926,433,000
# The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$18,926,433,000. This is \$596,572,000 above the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, DEFENSE-WIDE			
1	BASIC RESEARCH	20,420	20,420	
1	DTRA UNIVERSITY STRATEGIC PARTNERSHIP BASIC RESEARCH	38,436	38,436	
2 3	DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES BASIC RESEARCH INITIATIVES	333,119 42.022	333,119 72.022	+ 30.000
3 4	BASIC RESEARCH INITIATIVES	42,022	72,022 56,544	+ 30,000
4 5	NATIONAL DEFENSE EDUCATION PROGRAM	49,453	54,453	+ 5,000
6	HISTORICALLY BLACK COLLEGES & UNIV (HBCU)	25,834	34,334	+ 5,000
7	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM	46,261	46,261	- 0,JUU
,		40,201	40,201	
	TOTAL, BASIC RESEARCH	591,669	635,169	+ 43,500
	APPLIED RESEARCH			
8	JOINT MUNITIONS TECHNOLOGY	19,352	19,352	
9	BIOMEDICAL TECHNOLOGY	114,262	111,462	- 2,800
10	LINCOLN LABORATORY RESEARCH PROGRAM	51,026	51,026	
11	APPLIED RESEARCH FOR ADVANCEMENT S&T PRIORITIES	48,226	48,226	
12	INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGY	356,358	338,433	- 17,925
14	BIOLOGICAL WARFARE DEFENSE	29,265	29,265	
15	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM	208,111	202,611	- 5,500
16	CYBER SECURITY RESEARCH	13,727	13,727	
18	TACTICAL TECHNOLOGY	314,582	302,582	- 12,000
19 20	MATERIALS AND BIOLOGICAL TECHNOLOGY	220,115	201,721	- 18,394
20	ELECTRONICS TECHNOLOGY WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION DEFEAT TECHNOLOGIES	174,798	163,798	- 11,000 - 5,000
21	SOFTWARE ENGINEERING INSTITUTE	155,415 8,824	150,415 8,824	
22	SPECIAL OPERATIONS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	37,517	37,517	
	TOTAL, APPLIED RESEARCH	1,751,578	1,678,959	- 72,619
		1,751,578	1,078,955	- 72,015
24	Advanced technology development Joint Munitions Advanced tech insensitive munitions ad	25,915	25,915	
24	COMBATING TERRORISM TECHNOLOGY SUPPORT	71.171	111.171	+ 40.000
27	FOREIGN COMPARATIVE TESTING	21,782	21,782	1 40,000
28	COUNTERPROLIFERATION INITIATIVES—PROLIF PREV & DEFEAT	290,654	280,654	- 10,000
30	ADVANCED CONCEPTS AND PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT	12.139	12.139	10,000
31	DISCRIMINATION SENSOR TECHNOLOGY	28,200	33,200	+ 5,000
32	WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY	45,389	65,389	+ 20.000
33	ADVANCED C4ISR	9,876	9,876	
34	ADVANCED RESEARCH	17,364	17,364	
35	JOINT DOD-DOE MUNITIONS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	18,802	18,802	
36	AGILE TRANSPO FOR THE 21ST CENTURY [AT21]—THEATER CA	2,679	1,706	- 973
37	SPECIAL PROGRAM—MDA TECHNOLOGY	64,708	13,908	- 50,800
38	ADVANCED AEROSPACE SYSTEMS	185,043	175,025	- 10,018
39	SPACE PROGRAMS AND TECHNOLOGY	126,692	126,692	
40	ANALYTIC ASSESSMENTS	14,645	14,645	
41	ADVANCED INNOVATIVE ANALYSIS AND CONCEPTS	59,830	50,030	- 9,800
42	COMMON KILL VEHICLE TECHNOLOGY	46,753	66,753	+ 20,000
	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM—ADVANCED DEV	140.094	140,094	
43		,		
	RETRACT LARCH	118 666		
44	RETRACT LARCH	118,666 43 966	118,666 23,966	- 20 000
	RETRACT LARCH JOINT ELECTRONIC ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY JOINT CAPABILITY TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATIONS	118,666 43,966 141,540	23,966 126,540	- 20,000 - 15,000

1	.82	

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
50	DEFENSE-WIDE MANUFACTURING SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY			
	PROG	157,056	157,056	
51	EMERGING CAPABILITIES TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	33,515	37,515	+ 4,000
52	GENERIC LOGISTICS R&D TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATIONS	16,543	14,543	- 2,000
53	DEPLOYMENT AND DISTRIBUTION ENTERPRISE TECHNOLOGY	29,888	25,270	- 4,618
54	STRATEGIC ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH PROGRAM	65,836	65,836	
55	MICROELECTRONIC TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT AND SUPPORT	79,037	89,037	+ 10,000
56	JOINT WARFIGHTING PROGRAM	9,626	5,000	- 4,626
57	ADVANCED ELECTRONICS TECHNOLOGIES	79,021	75,985	- 3,036
58	COMMAND, CONTROL AND COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS	201,335	201,335	
59	NETWORK-CENTRIC WARFARE TECHNOLOGY	452,861	432,861	- 20,000
60	SENSOR TECHNOLOGY	257,127	245,127	- 12,000
61	DISTRIBUTED LEARNING ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	10,771	10,771	
62	SOFTWARE ENGINEERING INSTITUTE	15,202	15,202	
63	QUICK REACTION SPECIAL PROJECTS	90,500	65,500	- 25,000
66	ENGINEERING SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY	18,377	8,377	- 10,000
67	TEST & EVALUATION SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY	82,589	94,589	+12,000
68	OPERATIONAL ENERGY CAPABILITY IMPROVEMENT	37,420	42,420	+5,000
69	CWMD SYSTEMS	42,488	42,488	
70	SPECIAL OPERATIONS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	57,741	59,741	+ 2,000
			,	
	TOTAL, ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	3,229,821	3,147,970	- 81,851
71	DEMONSTRATION & VALIDATION	21 710	01 710	
71	NUCLEAR AND CONVENTIONAL PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT	31,710	31,710	
73	WALKOFF	90,567	90,567	
74 75	ADVANCE SENSOR APPLICATIONS PROGRAM ENVIRONMENTAL SECURITY TECHNICAL CERTIFICATION PRO-	15,900	15,900	
	GRAM	52,758	52,758	
76	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TERMINAL DEFENSE SEGMENT	228,021	205,621	- 22.400
77	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE MIDCOURSE DEFENSE SEGMENT	1,284,891	1,284,891	,
78	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM	172,754	170,354	- 2,400
79	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE SENSORS	233,588	233,588	2,.00
80	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE ENABLING PROGRAMS	409.088	409,088	
81	SPECIAL PROGRAMS—MDA	400,387	400,387	
82	AEGIS BMD	843,355	843,355	
83	SPACE SURVEILLANCE & TRACKING SYSTEM	31,632	31,632	
84	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEM SPACE PROGRAMS	23,289	23,289	
85	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE COMMAND AND CONTROL, BATTLE	23,203	23,203	
05	MANAGEMENT	450,085	437,785	- 12,300
86	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE JOINT WARFIGHTER SUPPORT		437,785	- 12,300
87	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE INTERGRATION AND OPERATIONS	49,570	45,570	
	CENTER (MDIOC)	49,211	49,211	
88	REGARDING TRENCH	9,583	9,583	
89	SEA BASED X-BAND RADAR [SBX]	72,866	72,866	
90	ISRAELI COOPERATIVE PROGRAMS	102,795	267,595	+ 164,800 + 13,481
91	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TEST	274,323	287,804	+13,481
92	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TARGETS	513,256	527,994	+14.738
93	HUMANITARIAN DEMINING	10,129	10,129	·
94	COALITION WARFARE	10,350	10,350	
95	DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE CORROSION PROGRAM	1,518	11,518	+ 10,000
96	TECHNOLOGY MATURATION INITIATIVES	96,300	4,271	- 92,029
97	ADVANCED INNOVATIVE TECHNOLOGIES	469,798	469,798	
98	DOD UNMANNED AIRCRAFT SYSTEM (UAS) COMMON DEVELOP-			
101		3,129	7,791	+ 4,662
101 103	DEFENSE RAPID INNOVATION PROGRAM JOINT C5 CAPABILITY DEVELOPMENT, INTEGRATION AND INTER-		400,000	+ 400,000
103	OPERABILITY	25,200	21,700	- 3,500
105		137,564	137,564	
105	LONG RANGE DISCRIMINATION RADAR			
105	Long Range Discrimination Radar Improved Homeland Defense Interceptors		298.944	+20.000
105 106	IMPROVED HOMELAND DEFENSE INTERCEPTORS	278,944	298,944 26,225	+ 20,000
105 106 107	IMPROVED HOMELAND DEFENSE INTERCEPTORS BMD TERMINAL DEFENSE SEGMENT TEST	278,944 26,225	26,225	
105 106	IMPROVED HOMELAND DEFENSE INTERCEPTORS	278,944		

183

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
111	AEGIS SM-3 BLOCK IIA CO-DEVELOPMENT	172,645	172.645	
112	BMD MIDCOURSE DEFENSE SEGMENT TEST	64,618	64.618	
114	JOINT ELECTROMAGNETIC TECHNOLOGY [JET] PROGRAM	2,660	2,660	
115	CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE	963	963	
	TOTAL, DEMONSTRATION & VALIDATION	6,816,554	7,338,926	+ 522,372
116	ENGINEERING & MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT NUCLEAR AND CONVENTIONAL PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT	8,800	8,800	
117	PROMPT GLOBAL STRIKE CAPABILITY DEVELOPMENT	78,817	88,817	+ 10,000
118	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM	303,647	282,147	-21.500
119	Advanced it services joint program office [Aits_JP0]	23,424	18,424	- 5,000
120	JOINT TACTICAL INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM [JTIDS]	14,285	14,285	
121	WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION DEFEAT CAPABILITIES	7,156	7,156	
122	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	12,542	12,042	- 500
122	HOMELAND PERSONNEL SECURITY INITIATIVE	12,542	12,042	- 191
124	DEFENSE EXPORTABILITY PROGRAM	3,273	3,273	
125	OUSD(C) IT DEVELOPMENT INITIATIVES	5,962	2,962	- 3,000
126	DOD ENTERPRISE SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT AND DEMONSTRA-	5,502	2,302	3,000
	TION	13,412	11,912	-1,500
127	DCMO POLICY AND INTEGRATION	2,223	2,223	
128	DEFENSE AGENCY INITIATIVES FINANCIAL SYSTEM	31,660	31,660	
129	DEFENSE RETIRED AND ANNUITANT PAY SYSTEM (DRAS)	13,085	10,135	- 2,950
130	DEFENSE-WIDE ELECTRONIC PROCUREMENT CAPABILITY	7,209	7,209	
131	GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM	15,158	13,794	-1,364
132	DOD ENTERPRISE ENERGY INFORMATION MANAGEMENT (EEIM)	4,414	3,614	- 800
	TOTAL, ENGINEERING & MANUFACTURING DEVELOP-			
		F 4 F 9 F 9	F10 4F2	00.005
	MENT	545,258	518,453	- 26,805
	RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT			
133	DEFENSE READINESS REPORTING SYSTEM [DRRS]	5,581	5,581	
134	JOINT SYSTEMS ARCHITECTURE DEVELOPMENT	3,081	3,081	
135	CENTRAL TEST AND EVALUATION INVESTMENT DEVELOPMENT	229,125	214,125	-15,000
136	ASSESSMENTS AND EVALUATIONS	28,674	28,674	
138	JOINT MISSION ENVIRONMENT TEST CAPABILITY [JMETC]	45,235	40,235	- 5,000
139	TECHNICAL STUDIES, SUPPORT AND ANALYSIS	24,936	24,936	
141	JOINT INTEGRATED AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE ORGANIZATION	35,471	32,009	- 3,462
142	CLASSIFIED PROGRAM USD(P)		111,241	
144	SYSTEMS ENGINEERING	37,655	41,655	
145	STUDIES AND ANALYSIS SUPPORT	3,015	2,715	- 300
146	NUCLEAR MATTERS—PHYSICAL SECURITY	5,287	5,287	
147	SUPPORT TO NETWORKS AND INFORMATION INTEGRATION	5,289	5,289	
148	GENERAL SUPPORT TO USD (INTELLIGENCE)	2,120	1,689	- 431
149	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM	102,264	102,264	
158	SMALL BUSINESS INNOVATION RESEARCH/TECHNOLOGY TRANS-	2 1 0 0	2 100	
150		2,169	2,169	
159	DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY ANALYSIS	13,960	216,960	+ 203,000
160	DEFENSE TECHNICAL INFORMATION CENTER [DTIC] R&D IN SUPPORT OF DOD ENLISTMENT, TESTING & EVALUATION	51,775	56,775	+5,000
161	DEVELOPMENT TEST AND EVALUATION	9,533	7,937 17.371	- 1,596
162 163		17,371 71,571	71,571	
163	MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS (RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT)	4,123	4,123	
165	BUDGET AND PROGRAM ASSESSMENTS OPERATIONS SECURITY (OPSEC)	1,946	1,946	
166	JOINT STAFF ANALYTICAL SUPPORT	7,673	5,000	- 2.673
160	SUPPORT TO INFORMATION OPERATIONS [10] CAPABILITIES	10,413	10,413	
170	DEFENSE MILITARY DECEPTION PROGRAM OFFICE	971	971	
170	CYBER INTELLIGENCE	6,579	6,579	
171	COCOM EXERCISE ENGAGEMENT AND TRAINING TRANS-	0,379	0,379	
	FORMATION	43,811	42,766	-1,045
174	MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS-MDA	35,871	35,871	_,
175	MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS—WHS	1,072	1,072	
9999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	49,500	49,500	
	TOTAL, RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT	856,071	1,149,805	+ 293,734

184

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT			
178	ENTERPRISE SECURITY SYSTEM (ESS)	7,929	4,229	- 3,700
179	REGIONAL INTERNATIONAL OUTREACH & PARTNERSHIP FOR	,	, .	.,
1.0	PEAC	1,750	1,750	
180	OVERSEAS HUMANITARIAN ASSISTANCE SHARED INFORMATION			
	SY	294	294	
181	INDUSTRIAL BASE ANALYSIS AND SUSTAINMENT SUPPORT	22,576	22,576	
182	OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	1,901	1,901	
183	GLOBAL THEATER SECURITY COOPERATION MANAGEMENT	8,474	8,474	
184	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE (OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS			
	D	33,561	33,561	
186	PLANNING AND DECISION AID SYSTEM	3,061	1,842	- 1,219
187	C4I INTEROPERABILITY	64,921	63,341	- 1,580
189	JOINT/ALLIED COALITION INFORMATION SHARING	3,645	1,845	- 1,800
193	NATIONAL MILITARY COMMAND SYSTEM—WIDE SUPPORT	963	963	
194	DEFENSE INFO INFRASTRUCTURE ENGINEERING & INTEGRATION	10,186	10,186	
195	LONG HAUL COMMUNICATIONS [DCS]	36,883	32,383	- 4,500
196	MINIMUM ESSENTIAL EMERGENCY COMMUNICATIONS NETWORK	13,735	13,735	
197	PUBLIC KEY INFRASTRUCTURE [PKI]	6,101	6,101	
198	KEY MANAGEMENT INFRASTRUCTURE [KMI]	43,867	43,867	
199	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM	8,957	8,957	
200	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM	146,890	156,890	+ 10,000
201	GLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM	21,503	21,503	
202	JOINT SPECTRUM CENTER (DEFENSE SPECTRUM ORGANIZATION)	20,342	20,342	
203	NET-CENTRIC ENTERPRISE SERVICES [NCES]	444	444	
205	JOINT MILITARY DECEPTION INITIATIVE	1,736	1,736	
206	TELEPORT PROGRAM	65,060	65,060	
210	SPECIAL APPLICATIONS FOR CONTINGENCIES	2,976	2,976	
215	POLICY R&D PROGRAMS	4,182	4,182	
216	NET CENTRICITY	18,130	18,130	
218	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS	5,302	5,302	
221	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS	3,239	3,239	
225	INSIDER THREAT	11,733	2,533	- 9,200
226	HOMELAND DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER PROGRAM	2,119	2,119	
234	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS	24,605	22,605	- 2.000
235	LOGISTICS SUPPORT ACTIVITIES	1,770	1,770	
236	MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS (JCS)	2,978	2,978	
237	MQ-9 UAV	18,151	23,151	+ 5,000
238	RQ-11 UAV	758	758	
240	SPECIAL OPERATIONS AVIATION SYSTEMS ADVANCED DEV	173,934	189,134	+ 15,200
241	SPECIAL OPERATIONS INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	6,866	6,866	
242	SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS	63,008	63,008	
243	WARRIOR SYSTEMS	25,342	33.842	+ 8.500
244	SPECIAL PROGRAMS	3,401	3,401	
245	SOF TACTICAL VEHICLES	3,212	3,212	
246	SOF MARITIME SYSTEMS	63,597	53,137	- 10,460
247	SOF GLOBAL VIDEO SURVEILLANCE ACTIVITIES	3,933	3,933	
248	SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS INTELLIGENCE	10,623	10,623	
	TOTAL, OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	974,638	978,879	+ 4,241
999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	3,564,272	3,478,272	- 86,000
333		3,304,272	3,4/0,2/2	- 00,000
	TOTAL, RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, DEF-	10 000 001	10.000 400	
	WIDE	18,329,861	18,926,433	+ 596,572

# COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

185

[In thousands of dollars]

[In thousands of dollars]				
Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
3	Basic Research Initiatives	42,022	72,022	+ 30,00
5	Basic research program increase National Defense Education Program Authorization adjustment: Basic research program in-	49,453	54,453	+ 30,000 + 5,000
6	crease Historically Black Colleges and Universities/Minority Institutions Basic research program increase	25,834	34,334	+ 5,000 + 8,500 + 8,500
9	Biomedical Technology	114,262	111,462	- 2,80
12	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover Information & Communications Technology Improving funds management: Prior year carryover and	356,358	338,433	- 2,80 - 17,92
15	documentation disparity Chemical and Biological Defense Program	208,111	202,611	- 17,92 - 5,50
18	Improving funds management: Forward financing Tactical Technology Maintain program affordability: Program growth and new	314,582	302,582	- 5,50 - 12,00
19	starts Materials and Biological Technology	220,115	201,721	- 12,00 - 18,39 - 18,39
20	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover Electronics Technology Improving funds management: Prior year carryover and	174,798	163,798	- 18,59 - 11,00
21	new starts Weapons of Mass Destruction Defeat Technologies Improving funds management: Prior year carryover	155,415	150,415	- 11,00 - 5,00 - 5,00
26	Combating Terrorism Technology Support Program increase	71,171	111,171	+ 40,00 + 40,00
28	Counter proliferation Initiatives—Proliferation Prevention and Defeat Budget documentation disparity: Poor justification mate-	290,654	280,654	- 10,00
31	rials and prior year carryover Discrimination Sensor Technology Transfer from line 96 for discrimination sensor technology		33,200	- 10,00 + 5,00
32	maturation Weapons Technology Transfer from line 96 for laser technology maturation	45,389	65,389	+ 5,00 + 20,00 + 10,00
36	Authorization adjustment: Divert attitude control systems technology to support Multi-Object Kill Vehicle Agile Transportation for the 21st Century [AT21]—Theater Ca-			+ 10,00
	pability Improving funds management: Prior year carryover	2,679	1,706	- 97 - 97
37 38	Special Program—MDA Technology Program adjustment Advanced Aerospace Systems	64,708  185,043	13,908  175,025	— 50,80 — 50,80 — 10,01
41	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover Advanced Innovative Analysis and Concepts	59,830	50,030	- 10,01 - 9,80
42	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover and minimize growth Common Kill Vehicle Technology	46.753		- 9,80 + 20,00
42	Authorization adjustment: Increase for Multi-Object Kill Vehicle			+ 20,00
45	Joint Electronic Advanced Technology Improving funds management: Prior year carryover and minimize growth	43,966	23,966	- 20,00 - 20,00
46	Joint Capability Technology Demonstrations Improving funds management: Prior year carryover and	141,540	126,540	- 15,00
	minimize growth Program increase: Cybersecurity technology demonstra- tions			- 25,00 + 10,00
47	Networked Communications Capabilities Improving funds management: Prior year carryover	6,980	5,000	- 1,98 - 1,98
51	Emerging Capabilities Technology Development Program increase	33,515	37,515	+ 4,00 + 4,00
52	Generic Logistics R&D Technology Demonstrations	16,543	14,543	- 2,00

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Maintain program affordability: Program growth and new starts			- 2,000
53	Deployment and Distribution Enterprise Technology Improving funds management: Prior year carryover	29,888	25,270	- 2,000 - 4,618 - 4,618
55	Microelectronics Technology Development and Support Program increase	79,037	89,037	+10,000 +10,000
56	Joint Warfighting Program Improving funds management: Prior year carryover	9,626	5,000	- 4,626
57	Advanced Electronics Technologies	79,021	75,985	- 3,036 - 3,036
59	Network-Centric Warfare Technology Authorization adjustment: Program growth	452,861	432,861	- 20,000 - 20,000
60	Sensor Technology	257,127	245,127	- 12,000 - 12,000
63	Quick Reaction Special Projects	90,500	65,500	- 25,000
	rials			- 25,000
66	Engineering Science & Technology Restoring acquisition accountability: Unjustified request for engineered resilient systems	18,377	8,377	-10,000 -10,000
67	Test & Evaluation Science & Technology Program increase	82,589	94,589	+12,000 +12,000
68	Operational Energy Capability Improvement Program increase	37,420	42,420	+ 5,000 + 5,000
70	SOF Advanced Technology Development Program increase	57,741	59,741	+ 2,000 + 2,000
76	Ballistic Missile Defense Terminal Defense Segment Maintain program affordability: Software Build 4.0 excess	228,021	205,621	- 22,400
78	growth at program initiation Chemical and Biological Defense Program—Dem/Val Restoring acquisition accountability: Unjustified request	172,754	170,354	- 22,400 - 2,400
85	for CBRN Ballistic Missile Defense Command and Control, Battle Man- agement and Communication Restoring acquisition accountability: Future Spirals con- currency with multiple ongoing efforts and excess	450,085	437,785	- 2,400 - 12,300
90	growth Israeli Cooperative Programs	102,795	267,595	-12,300 + 164,800
	Israeli Upper tier Israeli Arrow program Shat ranza ballistia missila dafaasa			+ 19,500 + 45,500 + 99,800
91	Short range ballistic missile defense Ballistic Missile Defense Test Transfer test from line 96	274,323	287,804	+ 35,800 + 13,481 + 13,481
92	Ballistic Missile Defense Targets Transfer target procurement from line 96	513,256	527,994	+14,738 +14,738
95	Department of Defense Corrosion Program	1,518	11,518	+10,000 +10,000
96	Technology Maturation Initiatives Restoring acquisition accountability: Advanced Sensor	96,300	4,271	- 92,029
	Prototype Development Restoring acquisition accountability: Directed Energy Pro-			- 43,810
	totype Development Transfer test to line 91 Transfer target procurement to line 92			-20,000 -13,481 14,728
98	Department of Defense (DOD) Unmanned Aircraft System [UAS] Common Development	3,129	7,791	- 14,738 + 4,662
101	Program Increase Defense Rapid Innovation Program		400,000	+ 4,662 + 400,000
103	Authorization adjustment: Technology Offset Initiative Joint C5 Capability Development, Integration and Interoper- ability Assessments	25,200	21,700	+ 400,000
	Budget documentation disparity: Incomplete justification materials and execution issues	23,200	21,700	- 3,500 - 3,500
106	Improved Homeland Defense Interceptors	278,944	298,944	+ 20,000

187
-----

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Authorization adjustment: Redesigned kill vehicle develop-			
1.0.0	ment			+ 20,00
108	Aegis BMD Test	55,148	82,468	+ 27,32
	Transfer additional SM-3 Block IB flight tests: MDA re- quested from PDW Line #25			+ 27,32
117	Prompt Global Strike Capability Development	78,817	88,817	+ 27,320
117	Authorization adjustment: Conventional Prompt Global	/0,01/	00,017	+ 10,000
	Strike development and flight test			+10,000
118	Chemical and Biological Defense Program—EMD	303,647	282,147	- 21,50
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Milestone B delay for		,	,
	Common Analytical Laboratory system			- 10,00
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Milestone B delay for			
	Joint Biological Aircraft Decontamination System			- 1,50
110	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover			- 10,00
119	Advanced IT Services Joint Program Office [AITS-JPO]	23,424	18,424	- 5,00
	Maintain program affordability: Excess program manage- ment			- 5,00
122	Information Technology Development	12,542	12,042	- 50
122	Budget documentation disparity: TBD's in justification	12,012	12,012	00
	books			- 50
123	Homeland Personnel Security Initiative	191		-19
	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover			-19
125	OUSD(C) IT Development Initiatives	5,962	2,962	- 3,00
	Improving funds management: Forward financing and			2.00
126	late contract awards DOD Enterprise Systems Development and Demonstration	13,412		- 3,00 - 1,50
120	Improving funds management: Forward financing and	13,412	11,512	- 1,50
	late contract awards			- 1,50
129	Defense Retired and Annuitant Pay System [DRAS]	13,085	10,135	- 2,95
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Delayed new start	,	,	,
	contract award			- 2,95
131	Global Combat Support System	15,158	13,794	-1,36
	Maintain program affordability: Unjustified growth			- 1,364
132	DoD Enterprise Energy Information Management [EEIM]	4,414	3,614	- 80
135	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover Central Test and Evaluation Investment Development [CTEIP]	229,125	214,125	- 80 - 15,00
155	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover			- 15,000
138	Joint Mission Environment Test Capability [JMETC]	45,235	40,235	- 5,00
	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover and	,	,	-,
	minimize growth			- 5,00
141	Joint Integrated Air and Missile Defense Organization [JIAMDO]	35,471	32,009	- 3,462
	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover			- 3,46
142	Classified Program USD(P)		111,241	+ 111,24
144	Classified program adjustment Systems Engineering	37,655	41,655	+ 111,24 + 4,00
144	Program increase	57,055	41,055	+ 4,000
145	Studies and Analysis Support—OSD	3,015	2,715	- 30
	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover			- 30
148	General Support to USD (Intelligence)	2,120	1,689	- 43
	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover			- 43
159	Defense Technology Analysis	13,960	216,960	+ 203,00
	Program increase			+ 3,00
	Authorization adjustment: Assessment of major weapon			. 200.00
160	system cyber vulnerabilities Defense Technical Information Center [DTIC]	51,775	56,775	+ 200,00 + 5,00
100	Program increase: National security technology accel-	51,775	50,775	+ 3,00
	erator technology knowledge exchange			+ 5,00
161	R&D in Support of DOD Enlistment, Testing and Evaluation	9,533	7,937	- 1,59
	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover and	5,550	.,	2,00
	minimize growth			- 1,59
166	Joint Staff Analytical Support	7,673	5,000	- 2,67
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Delayed new start			
	contract award			- 2,67

188

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
173	COCOM Exercise Engagement and Training Transformation [CE2T2]—MHA Improving funds management: Prior year carryover	43,811	,	- 1,045 - 1.045
178	Enterprise Security System [ESS] Restoring acquisition accountability: Contract award delay		4,229	- 3,700
186	Planning and Decision Aid System (PDAS) Budget documentation disparity: Poor justification mate-		1,842	
187	rial C4I Interoperability Maintain program affordability: Major Range and Test Fa-	64,921	63,341	-1,219 - 1,580
189	cility Base [MRTFB] infrastructure growth		1,845	$-1,580 \\ -1.800$
195	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover Long-Haul Communications—DCS		32,383	- 1,800 - 4,500
200	Improving funds management: Forward financing Information Systems Security Program		156,890	- 4,500 + 10,000
	Authorization adjustment: Sharkseer enterprise email ini- tiative increase			+ 10,000
225	Insider Threat Transfer Insider Threat/Continuous Evaluation: DSS-re-	11,733		- 9,200
234	quested to OMDW Industrial Preparedness Restoring acquisition accountability: Contract award delay	24,605		- 9,200 - 2,000 - 2,000
237	MQ-9 UAV Authorization adjustment: MQ-9 capability enhancements	18,151	23,151	+ 5,000 + 5,000
240	Aviation Systems Transfer C–130 TF/TA: SOCOM requested from PDW Line	173,934		+ 15,200
	#54 C-130 Modifications Authorization adjustment: C-130 TF/TA program adjust-			+ 7,500
243	ment Warrior Systems Improving funds management: Prior year carryover	25,342	33,842	+ 7,700 + 8,500 - 2.000
246	Program increase Maritime Systems			+ 10,500
	Maintain program affordability: Test and evaluation de- layed due to FY2015 NDAA			- 10,460
	Classified Programs Classified program adjustment		3,478,272	- 86,000 - 86,000

Multi-Azimuth Defense Fast Intercept Round Engagement System [MAD-FIRES].—The Committee is aware of a number of Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency [DARPA] development projects that are designed to provide in-flight guidance to small and medium caliber munitions. These projects, such as the Extreme Accuracy Tasked Ordnance [EXACTO] and MAD-FIRES, have the potential to increase direct fire accuracy and lethality while reducing associated logistical support costs. The Committee encourages the military services to leverage this maturing technology in weapon programs designed to counter multiple target sets and platforms with limited ammunition carrying capacity.

Anti-Submarine Warfare Continuous Trail Unmanned Vessel.— The fiscal year 2016 President's budget request contains funding for the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency [DARPA] to continue work on the Anti-Submarine Warfare [ASW] Continuous-Trail Unmanned Vessel [ACTUV]. The Committee is aware of and encouraged by recent at-sea tests demonstrating the success of the autonomous command and control software aboard a surrogate test vessel. The Committee encourages the Director of DARPA and the Secretary of the Navy to complete additional testing of the autonomy design to ensure the successful transition of this demonstrated program.

*Microelectronics Technology Development and Support.*—The Committee is concerned about maintaining supply chain assurance against counterfeit microelectronic parts. Therefore, the Committee encourages the Secretary of Defense to provide quarterly updates to the Committee on its efforts to maintain a robust Trusted Foundry capacity in the United States.

Polyurethane Protective Tape on Aircraft.—In order to minimize rotary and fixed wing aircraft sustainment costs and enhance platform availability, the Department of Defense is encouraged to use polyurethane protective tapes on composite and aluminum exterior surfaces susceptible to erosion from the high speed impact of rain, sand or dust. This includes applications on both existing aircraft and new platform designs as appropriate.

Lithium-ion Battery Safety.—The Committee is aware of the need to increase research and development on materials aimed at improving lithium-ion battery safety and performance. The development of new, non-flammable electrolytes could lead to the development of lithium-ion batteries that are safer and more energy efficient. Accordingly, the Department of Defense is encouraged to develop lithium-ion battery technologies that protect soldiers and improve mission performance.

Small Business Research Initiatives.—The Committee recognizes the importance of the Small Business Innovation Research [SBIR] program and its success in commercialization from federally funded research and development projects. The SBIR program encourages domestic small business to engage in Federal research and development and creates jobs, supporting rapid growth in the smallest firms. The Committee encourages the Department of Defense to continue placing an increased focus on firms new to the SBIR program by providing resources to assist these firms, especially with government contracting and accounting.

Advanced Green Laser Ballistic Eye Protection.—The Committee understands that the Army desires laser eye protection to protect the warfighter from green laser. The Committee therefore encourages the Secretary of the Army to develop lightweight protective eyewear that provides night vision capabilities to improve soldier protection from this widespread threat.

Heat Exchange Rate Research.—The Committee encourages the Director of Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency to support research and technology development in surface design and manufacturing to increase heat exchange rates by 10 times in power generation; to alleviate icing formation on aircraft wings; to inhibit the accumulation of unwanted living organism on ships; and to inhibit bacteria growth in biomedical systems and devices. Such surfaces should be computationally designed and robustly manufactured and maintained over a wide range of engineering materials to enable super-efficient and cost-effective engineered systems.

*Trusted Foundry.*—The Committee is concerned with efforts of a foreign based company to acquire a U.S.-based foundry that supplies trusted microprocessors for the Department of Defense and the Intelligence Community. The Committee has been informed by the Department that near-term and long-term plans are being de-

veloped to address access to microprocessors from trusted sources. Therefore, not later than 90 days after enactment of this act, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a report on the near-term and long-term plan to address U.S. access to trusted microprocessors.

Department of Defense Acquisition and Innovation.—The Committee is aware of the Department of Defense release of the third iteration of Better Buying Power initiative and commends the Department for its continued efforts to increase efficiency throughout the research, development, acquisition and production process. Increasing the use of prototyping and removing barriers to increase the utilization of commercial technology should reduce costs and increase technology transition to our warfighters. The Committee notes the Department's recent request for information concerning research and development projects that promote innovation in military and dual-use technologies that could support military needs and advance the capabilities of the industrial base. The Committee encourages the Department to engage in more of this type of activity and directs the Department to provide periodic updates to the congressional defense committees on progress made within these initiatives.

Mobile Applications for Military Use.—The Committee notes the increased importance of providing United States servicemembers with advancements in technology and supports Department of Defense efforts to build mobile applications and other specialized equipment. The Committee directs the Director of the Defense Information Systems Agency [DISA] to review its mobile strategy, in particular the process for delivering mobile applications that address the needs of the wide array of servicemember specializations, and submit a report to the congressional defense committees, not later than 180 days after enactment of this act. Further, the Committee recognizes the importance of small businesses in providing mobile applications for military uses and encourages DISA to engage small businesses to find these specialized solutions.

Cyber Warfare Planning Capabilities.—The Committee is concerned that current and future adversaries of the United States are working to develop technical capabilities which will utilize the entire spectrum of denial technologies, from traditional kinetic weapons to cyber and electromagnetic weapons, which could enable adversarial forces to leverage new weapons and tactics against U.S. forces. U.S. forces must be prepared to fight a full spectrum conflict, which includes cyber-attacks and electromagnetic attacks in the battlespace. The Committee encourages the Secretary of Defense to develop a cyber-kinetic training capability for special operations forces as a pilot program for incorporating cyber kinetic training into the overall training cycle. The Department, in planning and developing this training, testing, evaluation, and assessment capability, is encouraged to use existing facilities where live-fire kinetic and cyber-attacks can be conducted against live and simulated cyber infrastructure, in order to enhance warfighting capabilities and prepare service members for future threats. The Committee also recommends that the Department consult with National Nuclear Security Agency, the National Security Agency, and other US intelligence agencies, as appropriate, to develop this capability. The Department of Defense shall submit a report on its plan to develop and incorporate such training not later than 180 days after enactment of this act.

Research, Development, Test and Evaluation [RDT&E], Defense-Wide Justification Material.—The Committee is concerned with the level of detail provided by the Department of Defense to justify the fiscal year 2016 President's budget request in the RDT&E, Defense-Wide account. The Financial Management Regulations requires that programs within budget activity 4 through budget activity 7 be required to provide additional justification material beyond the basic R–1. Having additional justification material presented with the budget submission provides for more effective oversight of the funding requested in the account. To that end, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense and the leadership of the agencies and organizations whose funding is contained in the RDT&E, Defense-Wide account provide R–3 and R–4 data for all programs within budget activity 3. This information should be provided as part of the annual budget submission.

Anti-Tunnel Capabilities.—The Committee understands that the United States and Israel face a growing threat to their borders from tunnels, and that these tunnels could be used for criminal or terrorist purposes. Therefore, the Committee believes that the United States and Israel could potentially benefit from developing technologies to detect and counter tunnels. However, the Committee notes that the Department of Defense has not identified to the Committee a requirement for developing such a capability, nor has the Secretary of Defense established a memorandum of agreement with the Government of Israel regarding the establishment of anti-tunnel capabilities. Therefore, the Committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology and Logistics to provide in the near-term to the congressional defense committees an update on current anti-tunnel capabilities, including a roadmap for future capabilities.

#### MISSILE DEFENSE AGENCY

Missile Defense Agency [MDA] Science and Technology Initiatives.—The fiscal year 2016 budget request includes \$320,729,000 for missile defense science and technology initiatives, an increase of \$125,641,000 over amounts appropriated in fiscal year 2015. The Committee supports investment in technologies addressing future needs in a cost-effective manner; however, the Committee is concerned with MDA's proposed plans. The Committee notes a significant increase for prototyping of systems based on immature technology, as well as significant concurrency between efforts. Further, the Committee remains concerned by the absence of defined requirements, cost targets, and realistic operational concepts. Therefore, the Committee recommends full funding for advanced technology development, but does not recommend funding for prototyping these technologies. Instead, the Committee recommends a \$15,000,000 increase for continued technology development and maturation.

Divert and Attitude Control Systems [DACS] Strategy.—The fiscal year 2016 budget request includes \$11,842,000 for the competitive development of next generation DACS technology, a reduction of \$8,633,000, or 42 percent, from fiscal year 2015. The Committee notes that MDA has not planned any funds for the continued development of next generation DACS technology in the following fiscal years, despite that fact that according to MDA, "the DACS component is critical to making precise trajectory adjustments to position the kill vehicle for a target intercept". The Committee notes the limited U.S. DACS industrial base, as well as significant cost savings and technology advances MDA has repeatedly garnered from having access to a competitive DACS industrial base during previous program development and acquisitions. Therefore, the Committee is concerned that MDA's strategy to support the DACS industrial base omits investing in new DACS technologies to support future weapons programs.

In particular, the fiscal year 2016 budget request initiates the Multi-Object Kill Vehicle [MOKV] that will likely require next generation DACS technology. The Committee notes that MDA intends to award prototype concept contracts for the amount of \$25,628,000 in fiscal year 2016. The Committee directs that not more than \$6,332,000 may be obligated or expended for MOKV prototype concept contracts until the Director, MDA certifies to the congressional defense committees that MDA's "Plan to Sustain Competitive Divert Attitude Control System Industrial Base" is appropriately resourced in MDA's Future Year Defense Program to meet MDA's stated objective. The Committee recommends an additional \$10,000,000 for DACS technology to mitigate the funding shortfall in fiscal year 2016.

SM-3 Block IIA Interceptor.—The fiscal year 2016 budget request includes \$172,645,000 for continued development and the first flight test of the SM-3 Block IIA interceptor, which supports the European Phased Adaptive Approach. The Committee recommends full funding of this request. In addition, the budget requests \$136,217,000 to continue incrementally funding 17 SM-3 Block IIA flight test rounds. The Committee notes that this quantity considerably exceeds the number of rounds required for flight testing and initial fielding. The Committee further notes that these test rounds are expected to cost \$515,300,000 through fiscal year 2020. Finally, the Committee is concerned by contractual agreements MDA has committed to for these flight rounds well in advance of them being ground or flight tested. MDA has informed the Committee that renegotiating contracts for these test rounds would add costs to the program and result in a fielding delay of the European Phased Adaptive Approach Phase III. The Committee does not support such a delay and therefore does not object to the funding request in this fiscal year. However, the Committee notes that an initial production decision for the SM-3 Block IIA interceptor is scheduled for fiscal year 2017. The Committee expects to receive insight into MDA's acquisition, contracting and budgeting strategy for initial production rounds prior to MDA entering into agreements with industry or foreign partners.

Further, the Committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology and Logistics to submit with the fiscal year 2017 budget request a report detailing by service and program all ongoing Department of Defense development programs with international partners, U.S. contributions by fiscal year since their respective initiation, and status of contracts through the fiscal year 2017 Future Years Defense Program.

MDA Integrated Master Test Plan [IMTP].-The Committee understands that MDA has revised the process by which it develops its annual Integrated Master Test Plan to-amongst other goalsalign more closely with the budget development and enactment process. The Committee welcomes this approach, but remains concerned with MDA's inability to retain a stable test program and repeated in-year plan adjustments due to target failures, test fail-ures, re-tests, and new test requirements. The Committee notes that since fiscal year 2012, MDA has executed less than 50 percent of its planned annual test program. While the Committee recognizes the need for execution year adjustments to some degree, the Committee is concerned that these constant adjustments reduce the overall quality of MDA's test program. Further, the Committee notes that MDA has yet to submit its Integrated Master Test Plan for the upcoming year. The Committee expects the Director, MDA to continue to improve planning and execution of the MDA test program.

Sharing of Classified United States Ballistic Missile Defense Information With the Russian Federation.—The Committee remains concerned with the potential security risks associated with sharing sensitive U.S. missile defense data and technology with the Russian Federation. The Committee recognizes that existing law restricts the sharing of sensitive and classified ballistic missile defense information with the Russian Federation, as established in the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015. The Committee expects the administration to continue to adhere to current law until superseded by an act authorizing appropriations for fiscal year 2016.

### **OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION, DEFENSE**

Appropriations, 2015	\$209,378,000
Budget estimate, 2016	170,558,000
Committee recommendation	190,558,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$190,558,000. This is \$20,000,000 above the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1 2 3	RDT&E Management Support Operational Test and Evaluation Live Fire Test and Evaluation Operational Test Activities and Analyses Program increase: Threat resource analysis Program increase: Joint test and evaluation	76,838 46,882 46,838	76,838 46,882 66,838	+ 20,000 + 9,000 + 11,000
	Total, Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense	170,558	190,558	+ 20,000

## TITLE V

## **REVOLVING AND MANAGEMENT FUNDS**

### DEFENSE WORKING CAPITAL FUNDS

Appropriations, 2015	\$1,649,468,000
Budget estimate, 2016	1,312,568,000
Committee recommendation	1,779,568,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,779,568,000. This is \$467,000,000 above the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
Supply Management Arsenal Initiative	50,432	50,432 145,000	+ 145,000
Total, Defense Working Capital Fund, Army	50,432	195,432	+ 145,000
Supplies and Materials (Medical/Dental)	62,898	62,898	
Total, Defense Working Capital Fund, Air Force	62,898	62,898	
Defense Logistics Agency	45,084	45,084	
Total, Defense Working Capital Fund, Defense-wide	45,084	45,084	
Commissary Operations Commissary Increase	1,154,154	1,476,154	+ 322,000 + 322,000
Total, Defense Working Capital Fund, DECA	1,154,154	1,476,154	+ 322,000
Grand Total, Defense Working Capital Funds	1,312,568	1,779,568	+ 467,000

*Meals Ready-to-Eat War Reserve.*—The Committee commends the Defense Logistics Agency [DLA] for initiating action to study the Meals Ready to Eat [MRE] War Reserve and industrial base. The Committee encourages the Director of the DLA to maintain the recommendations outlined in DLA's September 2013 Meals Ready to Eat [MRE] Strategic Plan. This plan outlined an objective to maintain a stockage level of 5,000,000 cases of MREs and projected an annual purchase rate of approximately 2,500,000 cases through 2016 to meet the stockage objective and ensure the industrial base is able to meet surge requirements. The Director of the DLA shall submit written notification to the congressional defense committees

# not later than 90 days prior to seeking any potential modifications to the War Reserve after September 30, 2015.

## NATIONAL DEFENSE SEALIFT FUND

Appropriations, 2015 Budget estimate, 2016 Committee recommendation	$\$485,012,000\ 474,164,000\ 474,164,000$

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$474,164,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation and the Committee recommendation:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1 2 3 4	Strategic Sealift Acquisition DOD Mobilization Assets	15,456 160,520 25,197 272,991	15,456 160,520 25,197 272,991	
	Total, National Defense Sealift Fund	474,164	474,164	

# TITLE VI

# OTHER DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE PROGRAMS

## DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM

Appropriations, 2015	\$32,069,772,000
Budget estimate, 2016	32,243,328,000
Committee recommendation	

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$32,267,914,000. This is \$24,586,000 above the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In	thousands	of	dollars]	
-----	-----------	----	----------	--

	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM			
0	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE			
10 II	N-HOUSE CARE	9,082,298	8,871,326	- 210,972
20 P	PRIVATE SECTOR CARE	14,892,683	14,619,830	- 272,853
30 C	CONSOLIDATED HEALTH SUPPORT	2,415,658	2,163,659	- 251,999
40 IN	NFORMATION MANAGEMENT	1,677,827	1,653,614	- 24,213
50 N	MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES	327,967	325,908	- 2,059
	DUCATION AND TRAINING	750,614	727,864	- 22,750
70 B	BASE OPERATIONS/COMMUNICATIONS	1,742,893	1,741,690	-1,203
	SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	30,889,940	30,103,891	- 786,049
Р	PROCUREMENT			
	NITIAL OUTFITTING	33,392	33,392	
170 R	REPLACEMENT AND MODERNIZATION	330,504	330,504	
180 T	HEATER MEDICAL INFORMATION PROGRAM	1,494	1,494	
190 I <b>I</b>	NTEGRATED ELECTRONIC HEALTH RECORD (IEHR)	7,897		- 7,897
	SUBTOTAL, PROCUREMENT	373,287	365,390	- 7,897
R	RESEARCH DEVELOPMENT TEST AND EVALUATION			
	RESEARCH	10.996	10.996	
	EXPLORATORY DEVELOPMENT	59,473	59,473	
110 A	ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT	231,356	231,356	
120 D	DEMONSTRATION/VALIDATION	103,443	103,443	
130 E	NGINEERING DEVELOPMENT	515,910	506,942	- 8,968
140 N	MANAGEMENT AND SUPPORT	41,567	41,567	
150 C	CAPABILITIES ENHANCEMENT	17,356	17,356	
160 U	JNDISTRIBUTED MEDICAL RESEARCH		827,500	+ 827,500
	SUBTOTAL, RESEARCH DEVELOPMENT TEST AND EVAL-			
	UATION	980,101	1,798,633	+ 818,532
	TOTAL, DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM	32,243,328	32,267,914	+ 24,586

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

# The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
Operation and Maintenance	30,889,940	30,103,891	- 786,049
In-House Care	9,082,298	8,871,326	- 210,972
Improving funds management: Unjustified restoration of	3,002,230	0,071,020	210,377
fiscal year 2015 congressional reductions			- 59,125
Improving funds management: TRICARE consolidation			,
not authorized			- 29,719
Improving funds management: Pharmacy co-pay in-			,
creases not authorized			- 30,528
Improving funds management: Overestimation of civilian			
full-time equivalent targets			- 87,30
Improving funds management: Travel excess growth			- 4,30
Private Sector Care	14,892,683	14,619,830	- 272,853
Improving funds management: Historical underexecution			- 263,10
Improving funds management: TRICARE consolidation			
not authorized			- 9,75
Transfer within BAG 2: TRICARE consolidation not au-			
thorized			- 45,52
Transfer within BAG 2: Pharmacy co-pay increases not			
authorized			+ 45,528
Consolidated Health Care	2,415,658	2,163,659	- 251,99
Improving funds management: Unjustified restoration of			
fiscal year 2015 congressional reductions			- 159,24
Improving funds management: Historical underexecution			- 92,75
Information Management/IT	1,677,827	1,653,614	- 24,21
Improving funds management: Unjustified restoration of			
fiscal year 2015 congressional reductions			- 23,013
Improving funds management: iEHR Department-identi-			1.00
fied excess to requirement			- 1,20
Management Activities	327,967	325,908	- 2,05
Improving funds management: Unjustified restoration of			2.05
fiscal year 2015 congressional reductions	750 014		- 2,05
Education and Training	750,614	727,864	- 22,75
Improving funds management: Historical underexecution	1.742.893	1 741 600	- 22,75 - 1,20
Base Operations and Communications	1,742,695	1,741,690	- 1,20
Improving funds management: Unjustified restoration of fiscal year 2015 congressional reductions			- 1.20
Procurement	373.287		- 7.89
Improving funds management: iEHR Department-identified ex-	575,207	303,330	- 7,05
cess to requirement			- 7,89
Research and Development	980,101	1,798,633	+ 818,53
Improving funds management: iEHR Department-identified ex-	560,101	1,750,055	+ 010,33
cess to requirement			- 8,96
Peer-reviewed breast cancer research			+120,00
Peer-reviewed cancer research			+ 50,00
Peer-reviewed epilepsy research			+ 7,50
Peer-reviewed medical research			+ 278,70
Peer-reviewed ovarian cancer research			+ 10,00
Peer-reviewed prostate cancer research			+ 64,00
Peer-reviewed traumatic brain injury and psychological health			
research			+ 60,00
Joint warfighter medical research			+50,00
			+10,00
Orthotics and prosthetics outcomes research			
Orthotics and prosthetics outcomes research			
Orthotics and prosthetics outcomes research Core research funding			+ 177,300

Restoration of Fiscal Year 2015 Reductions.—The Committee was dismayed to find the fiscal year 2016 budget restored all of the con-

gressional reductions in the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2015. The Committee was deliberate in its conference negotiations and believes that the restoration of prior year cuts creates an artificial baseline on which to build the following year's budget. In order to reassert congressional intent and create a more accurate baseline for fiscal year 2016, the Committee recommendation includes a total reduction of \$267,399,000 to the budget request.

Defense Health Program Reprogramming Procedures.—The Committee remains concerned regarding the transfer of funds from the In-House Care budget sub-activity to pay for contractor-provided medical care. To limit such transfers and improve oversight within the Defense Health Program operation and maintenance account, the Committee includes a provision which caps the funds available for Private Sector Care under the TRICARE program subject to prior approval reprogramming procedures. The provision and accompanying report language should not be interpreted by the Department as limiting the amount of funds that may be transferred to the Direct Care System from other budget activities within the Defense Health Program. In addition, the Committee continues to designate the funding for the In-House Care budget sub-activity as a special interest item. Any transfer of funds from the In-House Care budget sub-activity into the Private Sector Care budget subactivity or any other budget sub-activity will require the Secretary of Defense to follow prior approval reprogramming procedures for operation and maintenance funds.

The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide written notification to the congressional defense committees of cumulative transfers in excess of \$10,000,000 out of the Private Sector Care budget sub-activity not later than 15 days after such a transfer. The Committee further directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to provide quarterly reports to the congressional defense committees on budget execution data for all of the Defense Health Program budget activities and to adequately reflect changes to the budget activities requested by the services in future budget submissions.

*Carryover.*—For fiscal year 2016, the Committee recommends 1 percent carryover authority for the operation and maintenance account of the Defense Health Program. The Committee directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to submit a detailed spending plan for any fiscal year 2015 designated carryover funds to the congressional defense committees not less than 30 days prior to executing the carryover funds.

Private Sector Care Underexecution.—According to a review by the Government Accountability Office, the Department underexecuted its Private Sector Care budget by \$1,463,178,000 in fiscal year 2012, \$519,427,000 in fiscal year 2013 and \$481,654,000 in fiscal year 2014 for an average of 5.3 percent underexecution. Given the continued trend of prior-year underexecution, the Committee believes that additional savings can be realized and recommends a reduction of \$263,100,000 to the fiscal year 2016 budget request. The Committee urges the Department to submit future year budgets that are more closely aligned with recent provided care patterns. The Committee recognizes the fiscal challenge posed by the rapidly increasing costs of compound pharmaceuticals and understands the Department has recently implemented an automated screening and prior authorization process in an attempt to rein in these costs. While there are likely additional savings in the Private Sector Care account for both historical underexecution and the restoration of prior year reductions, the Committee recognizes the dynamic nature of the compound pharmaceuticals issue and accordingly recommends only moderate reductions.

*Electronic Health Record.*—The Committee remains concerned about the progress being made by the Departments of Defense and Veterans Affairs to develop fully interoperable electronic health records. The ultimate goal of the efforts of both Departments is to have systems that can exchange data in a meaningful way and be used in a dynamic environment to improve patient care and facilitate smoother transitions for servicemembers from military service to veteran status.

The Committee appreciates the Department's improvements in providing information on prior year budgets and expenditures on its electronic health record as well as an equivalent level of detail for the fiscal year 2016 budget request. The Committee directs the Program Executive Officer [PEO] for the Defense Healthcare Management Systems Modernization [DHMSM] program to provide quarterly reports to the congressional defense committees and the Government Accountability Office on the cost and schedule of the program, to include milestones, knowledge points and acquisition timelines, as well as quarterly obligation reports. These reports should also include the following: (1) any changes to the deployment timeline, including benchmarks, for full operating capability; (2) any refinements to the cost estimate for full operating capability and the total life cycle cost of the project; (3) an assurance that the acquisition strategy will comply with the acquisition rules, requirements, guidelines and systems acquisition management practices of the Federal Government; (4) the status of the effort to achieve interoperability between the electronic health record systems of the Department of Defense and the Department of Veterans Affairs, including the scope, cost, schedule, mapping to health data standards and performance benchmarks of the interoperable record; and (6) the progress toward developing, implementing and fielding the interoperable electronic health record throughout the two Departments' medical facilities. The Committee further directs the PEO DHMSM to continue briefing the House and Senate Defense Appropriations Subcommittees on a quarterly basis, coinciding with the report submission. Given that full deployment of the new electronic health record is not scheduled until fiscal year 2022, the Committee expects the Department to continue working on interim modifications and enhancements to the current system to improve interoperability in the short-term.

The Committee also directs the Department of Defense to provide written notification to the Committees on Appropriations of the House and Senate prior to obligating any contract, or combination of contracts, for electronic health record systems in excess of \$5,000,000. Finally, the Committee directs the Interagency Program Office to continue to provide quarterly briefings to the House and Senate Subcommittees on Appropriations for Defense and Military Construction, Veterans Affairs, and Related Agencies regarding standards development, how those standards are being incorporated by both DOD and VA and the progress of interoperability between the two Departments. In an effort to ensure government-wide accountability, the Committee also directs the DOD in coordination with the VA to provide the Federal Chief Information Officer of the United States with monthly updates on progress made by the two Departments to reach interoperability and modernize their respective electronic health records.

Traumatic Brain Injury [TBI]/ Psychological Health.—The Committee recommends \$60,000,000 above the fiscal year 2016 budget request for continued research into treatment, prevention and detection of traumatic brain injuries and improved psychological health. The Committee directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to submit a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 180 days after enactment of this act on expenditure and obligation data of additional funding added by Congress for psychological health and traumatic brain injury. This report should include information on agreements made with other government agencies.

Additionally, the Committee is aware of recent medical advances in drug development for neurodegenerative diseases and encourages the Department to further its research into developing drugs that reverse, halt, or slow the neurodegenerative process associated with traumatic brain injury. The Committee is also aware of advances in diagnostic and mapping tools developed to better understand the cellular extent of TBI. These advances could lead to more effective protective gear that minimizes or eliminates the damage associated with TBI, and the Committee encourages the Department to continue its research in these areas.

Peer-Reviewed Medical Research Program.—The Committee recommends \$278,700,000 for the Peer-Reviewed Medical Research Program. The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense, in conjunction with the Service Surgeons General, to select medical research projects of clear scientific merit and direct relevance to military health. Research areas considered under this funding are restricted to: acute lung injury, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, antimicrobial resistance, autism, chronic migraine and post-traumatic headache, congenital heart disease, constrictive bronchiolitis, diabetes, dystonia, emerging infectious diseases, focal segmental glomerulosclerosis, Fragile X syndrome, gulf war illness, hepatitis B, hereditary angioedema, hydrocephalus, inflammatory bowel disease, influenza, integrative medicine, interstitial cystitis, lupus, malaria, metals toxicology, mitochondrial disease, multiple sclerosis, nanomaterials for bone regeneration, neurofibromatosis, nonopioid pain management, orthopedics, pancreatitis, Parkinson's, pathogen-inactivated dried plasma, polycystic kidney disease, posttraumatic osteoarthritis, psychotropic medications, pulmonary fibrosis, reconstructive transplantation, respiratory health, Rett syndrome, rheumatoid arthritis, scleroderma, sleep disorders, spinal cord injury, tinnitus, tuberculosis, tuberous sclerosis complex, vaccine development for infectious disease, vascular malformations, vision and women's heart disease. The Committee emphasizes that the additional funding provided under the Peer-Reviewed Medical Research Program shall be devoted only to the purposes listed above.

Joint Warfighter Medical Research Program.—The Committee recommends \$50,000,000 for the Joint Warfighter Medical Research Program. Funds shall be used to augment and accelerate high priority Department of Defense and service medical requirements and to continue prior year initiatives that are close to achieving their objectives and yielding a benefit to military medicine. These funds shall not be used for new projects or basic research, and they shall be awarded at the discretion of the Secretary of Defense following a review of medical research and development gaps, as well as unfinanced medical requirements of the services. Further, the Committee directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to provide a report not later than 180 days after the enactment of this act to the congressional defense committees, which lists the projects that receive funding. The report should include the funding amount awarded to each project, a thorough description of each project's research and the benefit the research will provide to the Department of Defense.

Peer-Reviewed Cancer Research Programs.—The Committee recommends \$120,000,000 for the peer-reviewed breast cancer research program, \$64,000,000 for the peer-reviewed prostate cancer research program, \$10,000,000 for the peer-reviewed ovarian cancer research program and \$50,000,000 for the peer-reviewed cancer research program that would research cancers not addressed in the aforementioned programs currently executed by the Department of Defense.

The funds provided in the peer-reviewed cancer research program are directed to be used to conduct research in the following areas: colorectal cancer, immunotherapy, kidney cancer, liver cancer, melanoma, mesothelioma, neuroblastoma, pancreatic cancer, pediatric brain tumors and stomach cancer.

The funds provided under the peer-reviewed cancer research program shall be used only for the purposes listed above. The Committee directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to provide a report not later than 180 days after the enactment of this act to the congressional defense committees on the status of the peer-reviewed cancer research program. For each research area, the report should include the funding amount awarded, the progress of the research and the relevance of the research to servicemembers.

The Committee is aware of increasingly effective technological advancements for the treatment of cancer, including advanced screening tools that promise better, individually-tailored, cost-effective treatment regimens. The Committee encourages the Department to continue research in pharmacogenomics, bioinformatics and related areas to further improve treatment options for service personnel and their families.

Orthotics and Prosthetics Outcomes Research.—The Committee recommends \$10,000,000 in support of orthotics and prosthetics outcomes research and directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to provide a report not later than 180 days after the enactment of this act to the congressional defense committees on the peer-reviewed projects that receive funding. The report should include the funding amount awarded to each project and the anticipated effect on patient care.

Collaboration on Medical Research.—The Committee understands that the Department is continuing to work with the National Institutes of Health [NIH] on furthering a pilot program to share Department of Defense research data into Federal Research Portfolio Online Reporting Tools Expenditures and Results [Re-PORTER]. The Committee continues to support this effort to share medical research data across Federal agencies and encourages the Department to require its use across the services to ensure all Department research data is entered into Federal RePORTER. Additionally, the Department should provide appropriate resources, both in amount and type of appropriation, in future budget submissions to carry out this effort.

Last year, the Committee directed the Department to contract with the Institute of Medicine to evaluate the Congressionally Directed Medical Research Program and provide a report to the congressional defense committees within 12 months. This report will include an evaluation of the Congressionally Directed Medical Research Program's two-tiered peer review process, its coordination of research priorities with NIH and recommendations for how the process can be improved. The Committee looks forward to receiving this report in its efforts to continue to ensure that Government investments in medical research are maximized.

Mental Health Professionals .- The Committee recognizes that servicemembers and their families face unique stresses beyond those of everyday life. After over a decade of war, the need for mental health professionals in the Department is at an all-time high, and the Committee believes that every beneficiary of the Military Health System should have timely access to mental health services. However, the Committee is concerned with the Department's inability to recruit and retain enough psychiatrists, psychologists, social workers, nurse practitioners and registered nurses to provide adequate mental healthcare. The Government Accountability Office [GAO] review of this issue found that progress is being made re-garding the annual reporting of mental health professional staffing needs. However, GAO also noted that the services need to accurately report any additional measures used to supplement the Psychological Health Risk-Adjusted Model for Staffing [PHRAMS] as well as report their PHRAMS-generated estimates in the requirements fields of the Defense Health Agency's [DHA] quarterly mental health staffing reports. The Committee encourages the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs), the Director of the Defense Health Agency, and the Service Surgeons General to continue to work together to ensure annual estimates of mental health professionals meet the needs of all beneficiaries in the military health system. In addition, the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) is directed to prepare as part of DHA's fiscal year 2017 budget submission a review of these estimates as well as an outline of current challenges in recruiting and retaining mental health professionals by the Department of Defense.

Brain Tissue Repository.—The Committee applauds the Department's recent efforts in advancing the study and treatment of traumatic brain injury in servicemembers by partnering with the National Institutes of Health to create the world's first human brain tissue repository for military personnel at the Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences. Last year, the Committee directed the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to provide a report outlining strategies for overcoming roadblocks to postmortem brain donation in the military. The Committee looks forward to receiving this report and working with the Department to advance this research to improve the protection and care of servicemembers.

Transfer of Service Treatment Records to the Department of Veterans Affairs [VA].-The Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2014 directed the Department of Defense Inspector General to work in coordination with the VA Office of the Inspector General to assess the time it takes for Service Treatment records to be transmitted to VA, impediments to providing the records in a useable electronic format and recommendations to streamline this process. The Department of Defense Inspector General found that the Department of Defense did not consistently transfer timely and complete service treatment records to the VA, likely contributing to delays in processing veterans' benefit claims. The Inspector General recommended that the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness, in coordination with the Director, Defense Health Agency, revise Department of Defense Instruction 6040.45, "Service Treatment Record [STR] and Non-Service Treatment Record Life Cycle Management," October 28, 2010, to update the process for certifying STRs as complete and require the Military Departments to perform annual reviews of STRs with servicemembers to achieve STR completeness. It was further recommended that the Commander, U.S. Army Medical Command and the Commander, U.S. Navy Bureau of Medicine and Surgery identify and resolve inefficiencies in the STR transfer process that delay the timely proc-

essing of STRs for active duty and Reserve Component personnel. The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to implement these recommendations and report back to the congressional defense committees not later than 90 days after the enactment of this act on the status of their implementation.

Global Health.—The Committee recognizes the critical contribution that the Department of Defense research and development [R&D] portfolio makes in protecting servicemembers from infectious diseases they may encounter on missions around the world and the need to sustain and support U.S. investment in this area by fully funding R&D programs that carry out this work within the Department of Defense Health Program, Department of the Army and Department of the Navy Research, Development, Test and Evaluation budgets.

The statement of managers accompanying the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2015 required the director of each program within the Department currently involved in infectious disease-related research to submit a report on the program's R&D activities from fiscal years 2011 through 2014, including funding, accomplishments, goals and funding requirements across the Future Years Defense Program. The Committee looks forward to receiving this initial report and directs the Department to submit a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 180 days after the enactment of this act with the same criteria for fiscal year 2015 funding.

Additionally, the Committee continues to recognize that the Department's global health engagements support combatant commanders' efforts to build the capacity of partner nations, manage and respond to local health challenges and promote regional stability by increasing access to basic health services. The Committee encourages the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs), in collaboration with the Uniformed Services University of Health Sciences, to establish a learning tool to assess the efficiency and effectiveness of global health engagements in meeting national security goals. The Committee further encourages the Department to fund this modest investment from within appropriated funds in order to better inform future investments in global health engagements.

Military Readiness and Resilience through Total Force Fitness and the Healthy Base Initiative.—The Committee understands that the Department has potentially overarching, yet nascent, programs that could dramatically enhance recruitment, retention, readiness and resilience for the entire military community. Chief among those programs are Total Force Fitness [TFF] and the Healthy Base Initiative [HBI]. TFF is a framework for building and maintaining health, readiness and performance. It views health, wellness and resilience as a holistic concept where optimal performance requires a connection among mind, body, spirit and family and social relationships. HBI complements TFF by strengthening elements of healthy military communities with a focus on the prevention of obesity and reduction in tobacco use. HBI was launched in 2013 and is currently operating at 14 military sites.

These programs and the implementation of a Department vision for individual well-being and resilience would greatly benefit from stronger centralized, empowered governance and focused integration. The Committee strongly encourages the Department to create an office reporting directly to the Secretary of Defense, with authorities and access across the services, Joint Staff and all Offices of the Secretary. This office should be guided by TFF to promote system-wide behavior, policy and practice changes to achieve personal and population fitness, readiness and resilience.

Further, the Committee encourages the designation of the Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences' Consortium of Health and Military Performance [CHAMP] as the lead organization for the development of the evidence base, education and evaluation of TFF and HBI integration to ensure enhancement of recruitment, retention, readiness and resilience. The Committee supports the development and execution of a pilot program involving six of the HBI sites whereby health tracking technologies are employed and the wellbeing impact of those technologies is monitored and evaluated by the participating bases and CHAMP. The Committee recommends \$3,000,000 in Operation and Maintenance, Defense-wide for the Healthy Base Initiative to support a health tracking technologies pilot program. Reconstructive Transplantation.—The Committee understands that the science of hand, face and other complex transplants is at a critical juncture and achieving life-changing results for both servicemembers and civilians. This rapidly growing discipline greatly benefits from collaboration among institutions, surgeons and investigators, and the Committee encourages the Department to promote multi-institutional and intra-institutional multidisciplinary collaborations among clinicians and research scientists that accelerate the movement of promising ideas in reconstructive composite tissue transplantation into clinical applications. The Committee includes reconstructive transplantation as eligible to compete for funds in the Peer-Reviewed Medical Research Program and supports this important research to improve access to reconstructive transplants and state-of-the-art immunotherapy.

Integration of Complementary and Alternative Therapies into Military Health Care.—The Committee recognizes the Department's efforts to date to integrate complementary and alternative [CAM] therapies into military healthcare. However, the Department's 2014 Integrative Medicine in the Military Health Care System Report to Congress noted that only 29 percent of the reporting military treatment facilities offered CAM programs. While the report outlined the Department's established processes for inclusion of therapies in TRICARE benefits, there has been little activity to apply those processes to CAM.

The report also noted that the lack of system-wide guidance contributes to this patchwork of coverage, which has relied on individual providers on their own initiative to add CAM therapies as an adjunct to conventional therapies. This patchwork denies many patients access to a holistic, patient-centered approach to patient treatment and management. Patients seek CAM therapies for a number of valid reasons including a desire to play a more active and participative role in their health and healthcare and engage in a more patient-centered approach to care.

The Committee strongly encourages the Department to hold to its commitment to systematically evaluate all medical therapies for safety and effectiveness, to identify CAM therapies for widespread implementation in the military health system and the TRICARE benefit and to report to Congress on known barriers to and facilitators for full integration of CAM therapies.

Improving Military Medicine's Management of Pain.—Recent scientific journals have highlighted the well-established fact that substantial numbers of servicemembers are experiencing chronic pain as a result of their military service. One journal reported that of over 2,500 servicemembers that had recently returned from Iraq or Afghanistan, 44 percent reported chronic pain and 15 percent reported recent use of opioid pain relievers—nearly four times the reported recent use by civilians.

The Department has solidified the Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences' Defense and Veterans Center for Integrative Pain Management [DVCIPM] as its proponent for world-class pain management services for military and Veterans Affairs beneficiaries and for the development of consensus recommendations for Department-wide improvements in pain medicine policies, practice, education and research. DVCIPM has also been tasked with addressing the many recommendations of the Army Pain Management Task Force for a comprehensive pain management strategy that utilizes state of the art science modalities and technologies to address acute and chronic pain of servicemembers and other patients.

Complementary and alternative [CAM] therapies are now recognized as vital among those modalities for the treatment of pain for their efficacy, broad patient acceptance, minimal adverse effects and low cost. Yet the development of system-wide policies and practices for their integration remains unfulfilled. The Committee strongly encourages DVCIPM to accelerate the research on and integration of CAM therapies for the management of pain and directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to provide a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 180 days after enactment of this act on the status of their integration.

Medical Defense Against Infectious Disease.—The Committee recognizes the importance of medical countermeasures to naturally occurring infectious diseases and tropical infectious diseases, such as malaria, Dengue and Chikungunya viruses. These pose a significant threat to the strategic access and operational effectiveness of forces deployed outside the United States. The Committee is concerned with the Department's decisions over recent years to precipitously decrease funding for malaria research and encourages the Department to address diseases of military importance and invest in research for chemoprophylaxis, surveillance, novel approaches to vaccine development and other countermeasures. The Committee urges the Department to partner with colleges and universities that have strong research programs in infectious diseases, as well as other Federal agencies, foreign governments, international agencies and nonprofit organizations to mitigate duplication of effort and maximize the use of Department resources.

Additionally, several emerging infectious diseases and flu epidemics have taken the global community by surprise over the last few decades, including SARs, H1N1 and Ebola. Disease surveillance, rapid detection, outbreak response and epidemiology are essential to providing an early warning of emerging infectious disease threats to servicemembers abroad and global health security in general. The Committee recommends an additional \$177,300,000 for core Defense Health Program research and encourages the Department to continue its investments in these efforts, including tropical infectious diseases and the development of a universal flu vaccine.

Trauma Clinical Research Network.-The Committee understands that trauma is the leading cause of death of all Americans from birth through age 46, causing more deaths in this age group than all other causes combined. Deaths of American servicemembers in the Iraq and Afghanistan wars, the majority from traumatic injury, totaled nearly 7,000 as of January 2015. The Committee encourages the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) and the Director of the Defense Health Agency to work with other Federal agencies focused on trauma research to establish a task force to identify the priorities for and gaps in trauma research. The Committee supports the Department's continued efforts to further advances in trauma care and understands that the Department has budgeted resources to promote the compilation of lessons learned on the battlefield and in civilian life. These efforts should leverage multi-institution clinical studies at a network of civilian and military trauma centers. The Committee understands that the Department is initiating this program in fiscal year 2015 and encourages the Department to allocate sufficient resources for the program in future budget submissions.

Warfighter Respiratory Health.—The Committee understands that respiratory diseases affect more than 100,000 servicemembers each year and is concerned about respiratory ailments among deployed and returning servicemembers. Beyond the decreased quality of life for affected servicemembers, respiratory diseases result in almost 27,000 lost workdays per year. The Committee encourages the Department to provide adequate resources for research on respiratory health.

*Epilepsy Research.*—The Committee is concerned about the large number of service men and women returning from the Persian Gulf Wars and Afghanistan who have sustained traumatic brain injuries [TBI] and the long term consequences of TBI. These wounded warriors are at high risk for developing posttraumatic epilepsy, depression, cognitive difficulties and posttraumatic stress disorder, which may be interconnected. As current TBI longitudinal studies have not included epilepsy, the Committee encourages the Department to place greater priority and invest more funding in longitudinal epidemiological research, including epilepsy surveillance, to better understand the magnitude of the problem and improve patient care and outcomes. To assist in these efforts, the Committee recommends \$7,500,000 in support of epilepsy research. Additionally, the Committee urges the Department to expand research into the mechanisms by which brain injury produces epilepsy and research directed at the prevention of epilepsy and concomitant comorbidities in those known to be at high risk.

Melanoma Research.—The Committee understands that melanoma diagnoses are increasing among active duty servicemembers and that melanoma is the fifth most common cancer among veterans. Recent research suggests that exposure to high levels of solar radiation in young adulthood is associated with a higher risk of melanoma mortality. Given the extreme and harsh conditions servicemembers face in theater and the rise of this aggressive and frequently deadly form of cancer, the Committee encourages the Department to continue its investments in melanoma research.

*Sleep Disorder Research.*—The Committee recognizes that sleep disorders are increasingly prevalent among servicemembers and that such disruptions have been associated with diverse mental and physical disorders, including traumatic brain injury and posttraumatic stress. The Committee applauds the Army for acknowledging the importance of sleep in achieving optimal physical, mental and emotional health and including sleep as a focus in the Performance Triad. In support of this effort, the Committee urges the Department to support basic, translational and clinical research on how the disruption of normal sleep and circadian biological rhythms adversely affects the health, safety, performance and productivity of our military and civilian populations. Prescription Effectiveness of Psychotropic Medications.—The Committee supports the Department's efforts to treat patients diagnosed with behavioral health disorders and believes that the ongoing efforts to use database-supported methods in order to increase the accuracy and effectiveness of prescription practices for mental health medications may not only achieve cost savings but also improve patient care. The Committee encourages the expansion of this research to additional sites as preliminary findings have shown promising results.

Active Barrier Apparel.—The Committee encourages the Department to incorporate commercially available, effective technologies, including innovative textile products, to reduce opportunities for spreading infections in healthcare settings. Healthcare acquired infections result in significant additional costs and jeopardize patient care. The Committee understands that emerging apparel technologies may minimize the effect of unanticipated exposures to blood and body fluids, subsequently reducing exposure to pathogens, and supports their use as a means to reduce infection risk in healthcare settings.

*Hydrocephalus Research.*—The Committee is concerned that some of the estimated 294,000 servicemembers who have sustained a traumatic brain injury in Operation Enduring Freedom and Iraqi Freedom are at higher risk for developing hydrocephalus. Hydrocephalus, an increased accumulation of fluid in the brain, often has a delayed onset and can easily be misdiagnosed as dementia or other aging related diseases. Given that there is currently no cure for hydrocephalus and current treatment options are limited and have high failure rates, the Committee encourages the Department to increase its investments in hydrocephalus research.

Biomarkers for Toxic Exposures.—The Committee recognizes that hazardous exposures cost the Departments of Defense and Veterans Affairs billions of dollars annually in medical care, reduce servicemember performance and create a multitude of health compensation claims. Identifying biomarkers for toxic or psychologically traumatic exposures could allow for faster diagnosis and treatment of a number of exposure-related conditions among military and veteran populations. The Committee supports research efforts that capitalize on recent advances in chemical surveillance and systems biology including proteomics, genomics and metabolomics.

Speech Disorder Healthcare Services.—The Committee understands that many military members and veterans suffer from neurogenic stuttering, a condition that can arise due to head trauma or brain injury in adults who have not previously been affected by the speech disorder. The needs of servicemembers and their dependents who struggle with stuttering may not be fully met due to insufficient TRICARE reimbursement for evidence-based speech language healthcare services that address stuttering. Therefore, the Committee directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to provide a report not later than 180 days after the enactment of this act to the congressional defense committees on the availability of evidence-based speech language healthcare services to treat stuttering, including the number of affected members of the military and dependents served, the type and frequency of services provided, the level of coverage provided for these services under TRICARE plans, the number of available providers by region and whether reimbursement levels are sufficient to retain qualified providers and to provide sufficient accessibility of services in the TRICARE network.

Behavioral and Mental Health Care for National Guard and Reserve.—The Committee recognizes that the men and women of the National Guard and Reserve components need greater access to care if they are to maintain a high state of medical readiness to support regularly occurring deployments. It also recognizes that the suicide rate in the reserve components is consistently higher than the suicide rate for both the active duty military and the civilian population. Therefore, the Committee encourages the Department to better ensure that periodic health assessments are followed by medical treatment to address any behavioral or mental health conditions that could impact a servicemember's ability to deploy, even if such care falls outside of the pre-deployment window. This practice would allow for a more medically ready, deployable force and would expand access to behavioral and mental healthcare for reserve component servicemembers.

Biological Dosimetry Network for Radiological/Nuclear Events.— The Committee is concerned that the detonation of a nuclear weapon at a Department of Defense facility or in a combat zone has the potential to expose numerous military and civilian personnel to radiation and non-radiation effects. This type of event could overwhelm medical personnel unless effective triage is in place. A biodosimetry network could provide an estimate of the radiation dose an individual actually received and would clearly identify those in need of further treatment. The Committee understands that the Department is in the process of developing a biodosimetry network and encourages the expeditious development and testing of such a network. Further, the Department should coordinate its efforts with the Departments of Health and Human Services, Homeland Security, the Food and Drug Administration and other agencies as appropriate.

Operational Risk Management of Deployment Exposures.—The Committee understands that the Department's Occupational and Environmental Health Site Assessment [OEHSA] Tactics, Techniques and Procedures lays the foundation for a comprehensive occupational and environmental health exposure risk management program. This program anticipates, evaluates and mitigates health exposure threats during deployments. However, recent reports indicate the absence of a systematic and unified approach to occupational and environmental health exposure mitigation, prevention, avoidance and documentation. The Committee encourages the Department to ensure that all services develop a standard operating procedure and utilize uniform subject matter expertise, equipment platform and training programs so that realistic preventative courses of action are provided to servicemembers throughout the entire deployment cycle. The establishment of a uniform OEHSA program will minimize unnecessary exposures, reduce healthcare and disability costs, lower operational stress and provide deploying warriors the most advanced force health protection possible.

Large Patient Cohorts in Cancer Research.—The Committee acknowledges that the pace of cancer research and its value to military personnel may be enhanced through the use of patient data, such as tissue and genomic information, derived from large patient cohorts. The inclusion of military population cohorts, long-term health records, bio-specimen repositories and pre-existing consents for both current and future research could further enhance such research; and the Committee encourages the Department to support worthy cancer research proposals that leverage these types of data resources

Real-Time Locating System [RTLS] Technology.—The Committee understands that automated resource management systems may improve patient care in both normal and emergency situations and that the U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command and Telemedicine Advanced Technology Research Center have begun research to define, exercise and refine best practices for management of blast injury mass casualty disasters through the use of RTLS technology. The Committee encourages the Department to continue this research and directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to provide an update to the congressional defense committees not later than 120 days after enactment of this act detailing these research efforts to date.

#### CHEMICAL AGENTS AND MUNITIONS DESTRUCTION, DEFENSE

Appropriations, 2015	\$802,268,000
Budget estimate, 2016	720,721,000
Committee recommendation	699,821,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$699,821,000. This is \$20,900,000 below the budget estimate.

### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[Dollars in thousands]

			400 bird 2000		Committee	Change from	from
	Item	Qty.	coro pudger estimate	Qty.	recommendation	aty.	Budget estimate
	CHEMICAL AGENTS AND MUNITIONS DESTRUCTION, DEFENSE						
CHE	CHEM DEMILITARIZATION—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE		139,098		118,198		-20,900
CHEI	3 CHEM DEMILITARIZATION—PROCUREMENT		2,281		2,281		
CHE	2 CHEM DEMILITARIZATION—RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION		579,342		579,342		
	TOTAL, CHEMICAL AGENTS AND MUNITIONS DESTRUCTION, DEFENSE		720,721		699,821		- 20,900

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
Chemical Demilitarization 0&M	139,098	118,198	- 20,900
Improving funds management: Recovered chemical warfare mate- rial project excess to need			- 20,900

## DRUG INTERDICTION AND COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES, DEFENSE

Appropriations, 2015	\$950,687,000
Budget estimate, 2016	850,598,000
Committee recommendation	1,013,598,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,013,598,000. This is \$163,000,000 above the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
10	Drug Interdiction And Counter Drug Activities	739,009	681,109	- 57,900
	Authorization adjustment: SOUTHCOM operational sup- port Transfer to National Guard Counter-Drug Program			+ 30,000 - 82,900
20	Transfer to National Guard Counter-Drug Schools Drug Demand Reduction Program	111.589	119.589	- 5,000 + 8.000
20	Authorization adjustment: Expanded drug testing	111,505	115,565	+ 8,000
30	National Guard Counter-Drug Program		192,900	+ 192,900
	Transfer from Drug Interdiction And Counter Drug Ac- tivities Program increase			+ 82,900 + 110,000
30A	National Guard Counter-drug schools		20,000	+ 20,000
	Transfer from Drug Interdiction And Counter Drug Ac- tivities Program increase			+ 5,000 + 15,000
	Total, Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities	850,598	1,013,598	+ 163,000

National Guard Counter-Drug Program.—The Committee remains concerned that the Department continues to reduce overall funding for the National Guard Counter-Drug program, and disagrees with the fiscal year 2016 President's budget request which does not fund these activities under an independent budget line as contained in Senate Report 113–211. The Committee recommends \$192,900,000 for the National Guard Counter-Drug program and urges the Department to include an individual budget line for this program in its fiscal year 2017 budget submission. National Guard Counter-Drug Schools.—The Committee commends the Department for providing funding for the Counter-Drug Schools program after making no request in fiscal year 2015. The counter-drug schools' mission of providing combatant commands, law enforcement agencies, community-based organizations and military personnel with training to support and enhance their capabilities to detect, interdict, disrupt and curtail drug trafficking is an important component of our overall effort to reduce drug crimes and protect national security. The Committee recommends \$20,000,000 for the counter-drug schools to achieve their full mission and urges the Department to include an individual budget line for this program in its fiscal year 2017 budget submission.

## JOINT URGENT OPERATIONAL NEEDS FUND

Appropriations, 2015	
Budget estimate, 2016	. \$99,701,000
Committee recommendation	
The Committee recommends no funding for the	Joint Urgont

The Committee recommends no funding for the Joint Urgent Operational Needs Fund.

### OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL

Appropriations, 2015	\$311,830,000
Budget estimate, 2016	316,159,000
Committee recommendation	312.559.000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$312,559,000. This is \$3,600,000 below the budget estimate.

### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

fh	h thou	sands (	hf.	dollars	۰ı –

Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
Office of the Inspector General, Operation and Maintenance Office of the Inspector General, Research and Development Improving funds management: Inspector General-identified excess to requirement	310,459 4,700	310,459 2,100	
Office of the Inspector General, Procurement Improving funds management: Inspector General-identified excess	1,000		- 1,000
to requirement			-1,000
Total, Office of the Inspector General	316,159	312,559	- 3,600

# TITLE VII

## RELATED AGENCIES

# Central Intelligence Agency Retirement and Disability $$\operatorname{System}{\operatorname{Fund}}$$

Appropriations, 2015	\$514,000,000
Budget estimate, 2016	514,000,000
Committee recommendation	514,000,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$514,000,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

# INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT

Appropriations, 2015	\$507,600,000
Budget estimate, 2016	530,023,000
Committee recommendation	513,923,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$513,923,000. This is \$16,100,000 below the budget estimate.

## TITLE VIII

### GENERAL PROVISIONS

The following lists general provisions proposed by the Committee. The Committee recommends inclusion of several proposals which have been incorporated in previous appropriations acts, provisions requested for inclusion by the Defense Department, and new provisions. The Committee recommendations are as follows:

SEC. 8001. *Publicity/Propaganda Limitation.*—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8002. Compensation/Employment of Foreign Nationals.— Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8003. *Obligation Rate of Appropriations*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8004. *Obligations in Last 2 Months of Fiscal Year.*—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8005. *General Transfer Authority*.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8006. *Project Level Adjustments.*—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8007. *Establishment of Reprogramming Baseline*.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8008. Working Capital Fund Cash Disbursements.—Retains a provision carried in previous year.

SEC. 8009. *Special Access Programs Notification*.—-Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8010. *Multiyear Procurement Authority*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8011. *Humanitarian and Civic Assistance*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8012. *Civilian Personnel Ceilings*.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8013. *Lobbying*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8014. Educational Benefits and Bonuses.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8015. *Mentor-Protégé Program.*—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8016. Arsenals.—Includes a provision to provide funding to maintain competitive rates at the arsenals.

SEC. 8017. *Demilitarization of Surplus Firearms*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8018. *Relocations Into the National Capital Region.*—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8019. Indian Financing Act.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8020. Defense Media Activity.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8021. Burden Sharing With Kuwait.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8022. *Civil Air Patrol.*—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8023. Federally Funded Research and Development Centers.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8024. Carbon, Alloy, or Armor Steel Plate.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8025. Congressional Defense Committee Definition.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8026. Depot Maintenance Competition.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8027. *Reciprocal Trade Agreements*.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8028. Overseas Military Facility Investment.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8029. Walking Shield.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8030. Investment Item Unit Cost.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8031. *Tobacco Use in the Military*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8032. Working Capital Fund Investment Item Restrictions.— Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8033. CIA Availability of Funds.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8034. *GDIP Information System*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8035. Tribal Lands Environmental Impact.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8036. Buy America Act Compliance.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8037. Competition for Consultants and Studies Programs.— Makes permanent a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8038. *Field Operating Agencies*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8039. Contractor Conversion and Performance.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8040. *Rescissions.*—The Committee recommends a general provision rescinding funds from the prior years as displayed below:

	Amount
2014 Appropriations	
Cooperative Threat Reduction Account: CTR	\$23,727,000
Other Procurement, Air Force: MILSATCOM Terminals	54,000,000
Classified adjustment	24,000,000
2015 Appropriations	
Cooperative Threat Reduction Account:	
CTR	13,345,000
Aircraft Procurement, Army: Aerial Common Sensor	48,000,000
Multi Sensor ABN Recon	20,000,000
	Amount
---	-------------
Aircraft Procurement, Air Force:	
KC-46	117,100,000
C-130J	14,776,000
MQ—1 Mods	1,800,000
F–16	6,300,000
Missile Procurement, Air Force:	
Wideband Gapfiller Satellites (Space)	7,000,000
GPS III Space Segment	30,000,000
Defense Meteorological Sat Program (Space)	50,000,000
Evolved Expendable Launch Vehicle	125,000,000
Other Procurement, Air Force:	
Family of Beyond Line-of-Sight Terminals	9,000,000
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army:	
Heavy Dump Truck	9,299,000
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Air Force:	
Long Range Strike	360,000,000
KC-46	215,000,000
Classified Program	90,000,000
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide:	
Wide Area Surveillance	53,000,000
DCMO Policy and Integration	5,000,000
Long-Haul Communications—DCS	2,691,000

SEC. 8041. *Civilian Technician Reductions*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8042. North Korea.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8043. Reserve Component Intelligence Reimbursement.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8044. Counter-Drug Activities Transfer.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8045. Launch Service Competitions.—Modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8046. United Service Organizations Grant.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8047. Buy American Computers.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8048. Small Business Set-Asides.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8049. Contractor Bonuses.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8050. *Reserve Peacetime Support.*—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8051. Unexpended Balances.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8052. National Guard Distance Learning.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8053. Assignment of Forces.—Includes a new provision regarding the assignment of Navy Forces.

SEC. 8054. Sexual Assault Prevention Programs.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8055. *End-Item Procurement*.—Retains a provisions carried in previous years.

SEC. 8056. Buy American Waivers.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8057. U.S. Air Force RED HORSE Squadrons.—Includes a new provision regarding the consolidation or relocation of RED HORSE squadrons outside of the United States.

SEC. 8058. Repair and Maintenance of Military Family Housing.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8059. Joint Capability Demonstration Project.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8060. Secretary of Defense Reporting Requirement.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8061. *Missile Defense Authorization*.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8062. Armor-Piercing Ammo.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8063. Personal Property Lease Payments.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8064. *Alcoholic Beverages.*—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8065. *O&M, Army Transfer.*—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8066. *National Intelligence Program Separation*.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8067. Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis.—Includes a provision making \$10,000,000 available for peer-reviewed medical research on ALS.

SEC. 8068. *Israeli Cooperative Programs.*—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8069. *Prior Year Shipbuilding.*—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8070. *Intelligence Authorization.*—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8071. New Start Authority.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8072. Contingency Operations Budget Justification.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8073. *Nuclear Armed Interceptors.*—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8074. Foreign Currency Fluctuation Savings.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8075. 53rd Weather Reconnaissance Squadron.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8076. Integration of Foreign Intelligence.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8077. SCN Transfer Authority.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8078. Army Tactical UAVs.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8079. Asia-Pacific Regional Initiative.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8080. DNI R&D Waiver.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8081. *Shipbuilding Obligations*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8082. *DNI Reprogramming Baseline*.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8083. *Global Hawk Aircraft*.—Inserts a new provision regarding the retirement or transfer of RQ-4B aircraft.

SEC. 8084. *Child Soldiers.*—Makes permanent a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8085. DNI Information Sharing.—Retains a provision carried in previous years

SEC. 8086. *NIP Reprogramming*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8087. *Future-Years Intelligence Program.*—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8088. Congressional Intelligence Committee Definition.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8089. *Cost of War Report.*—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8090. *Fisher House Authorization*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8091. Defense Acquisition Workforce Development Fund.— Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8092. *Public Disclosure of Agency Reports.*—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8093. Contractor Compliance With the Civil Rights Act of 1964.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8094. DOD-VA Medical Facility Demonstration.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8095. *BRAC Parking*.—Retains and modifies a provision which limits parking at the BRAC 133 project.

SEC. 8096. Armored Vehicles.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8097. *Missile Defense Cooperation With Russia.*—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8098. *Civilian Endstrength Quarterly Reports.*—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8099. *NIP Reprogramming*.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8100. *Transfer of Detainees to or Within the United States.*—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8101. *Detainee Facilities.*—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8102. Detainee Transfer to a Foreign Country or Entity.— Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8103. John C. Stennis Center for Public Service.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8104. T-AO(X) Oiler Program.—Inserts a new provision which prohibits the use of funds to award a new T-AO(X) program contract for the acquisition of certain components unless those components are manufactured in the United States.

SEC. 8105. *Rosoboronexport*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8106. *AH–64 Apache Helicopters.*—Inserts a new provision which limits the use of funding to transfer or divest AH–64 Apache helicopters from the Army National Guard to the active Army.

SEC. 8107. *Fuel Savings*.—Inserts a new provision which reduces the total amount appropriated in the bill to reflect lower than anticipated fuel prices. SEC. 8108. *Ex Gratia Payments*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

<sup>1</sup> SEC. 8109. *Strategic Delivery Vehicles.*—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8110. *Digital Accountability and Transparency Act.*—Inserts a new provision which provides funds to support Department of Defense activities related to the Digital Accountability and Transparency Act.

SEC. 8111. Transfers to Another Federal Agency.—Inserts a new provision which prohibits funding made available in this or any other act from being used to pay the salary of anyone who approves or implements a transfer of administrative responsibilities or budgetary resources of any program, project, or activity financed by this act to the jurisdiction of another Federal agency not financed by this act without express authorization of the Congress.

SEC. 8112. Inserts a new provision expressing the Sense of the Senate on sequestration.

# TITLE IX

## OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS

#### DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE-MILITARY

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$86,868,252,000 for operations related to overseas contingency operations. In fiscal year 2015 Congress appropriated \$63,999,995,000 for activities funded in this title.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

# The following table summarizes the Committee recommendation:

[In thousands of dollars]

	Fiscal year 2015 enacted	Fiscal year 2016 estimate	Committee recommendation
Military Personnel	4,966,640	3,204,758	3,204,758
Operation and Maintenance	49,979,203	39,738,283	74,635,645
Procurement	7,696,691	6,763,999	7,688,599
Research and Development	227,373	191,434	191,434
Revolving and Management Funds	91,350	88,850	88,850
Other Department of Defense Programs	960,618	962,237	758,966
General Provisions (net)	13,420		300,000
Total, Overseas Contingency Operations (incl. scorekeeping			
adjustments)	63,999,995	50,949,561	86,868,252

#### OVERVIEW

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$86,868,252,000 of additional appropriations for overseas contingency operations in fiscal year 2016. This funding will ensure that resources, equipment, and supplies are available for our servicemembers without interruption, and will enable the Department to avoid absorbing operational costs from within baseline programs that are critical to future readiness and home-station activities.

#### REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

The Committee directs that the Department of Defense continue to report incremental contingency operations costs for Operation Inherent Resolve and Operation Freedom's Sentinel on a monthly basis in the Cost of War Execution report as required by Department of Defense Financial Management Regulation, chapter 23, volume 12. The Committee further directs the Department to continue providing the Cost of War reports to the congressional defense committees that include the following information by appropriation: funding appropriated, funding allocated, monthly obligations, monthly disbursements, cumulative fiscal year obligations, and cumulative fiscal year disbursements.

The Committee expects that in order to meet unanticipated requirements, the Department of Defense may need to transfer funds within these appropriations accounts for purposes other than those specified in this report. The Committee directs the Department of Defense to follow normal prior approval reprogramming procedures should it be necessary to transfer funding between different appropriations accounts in this title.

## MILITARY PERSONNEL

The Committee recommends a total of \$3,204,758,000 for pay, allowances, and other personnel costs for Active, Reserve, and Guard troops activated for duty in Afghanistan and other contingency operations. This recommendation includes funding for subsistence, permanent change of station travel, and special pays including imminent danger pay, family separation allowance, and hardship duty pay.

#### MILITARY PERSONNEL, ARMY

Appropriations, 2015	3,259,970,000
Budget estimate, 2016	1,828,441,000
Committee recommendation	1,828,441,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,828,441,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	BA 1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS			
5	Basic Pay	270,743	270,743	
10	Retired Pay Accrual	62.271	62.271	
25	Basic Allowance for Housing	86,053	86,053	
30	Basic Allowance for Subsistence	10,586	10,586	
35	Incentive Pays	2,140	2,140	
40	Special Pays	15.613	15.613	
45	Allowances	10,486	10,486	
50	Separation Pay	3,858	3,858	
55	Social Security Tax	20,712	20,712	
	TOTAL	482,462	482,462	
	BA 2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
60	Basic Pay	460,476	460.476	
65	Retired Pay Accrual	105,909	105,909	
80	Basic Allowance for Housing	217,370	217,370	
85	Incentive Pays	1,071	1,071	
90	Special Pays	60,785	60,785	
95	Allowances	44,077	44,077	
100	Separation Pay	7,500	7,500	
105	Social Security Tax	35,226	35,226	
	TOTAL	932,414	932,414	

റ	റ	ວ.
4	4	J

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
115 120	BA 4: SUBSISTENCE OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL Basic Allowance for Subsistence Subsistence-In-Kind	87,006 171,697	87,006 171,697	
	TOTAL	258,703	258,703	
135 140	BA 5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION TRAVEL Operational Travel Rotational Travel	30,212 17,757 47,969	30,212 17,757 47,969	
175 180 185 216 219	BA 6: OTHER MILITARY PERSONNEL COSTS Interest on Uniformed Services Savings Death Gratuities Unemployment Benefits SGLI Extra Hazard Payments Traumatic Injury Protection Coverage [T–SGLI]	1,858 3,800	1,858 3,800 92,209 6,223 2,803	
	TOTAL	106,893	106,893	
	Total, Military Personnel, Army	1,828,441	1,828,441	

# MILITARY PERSONNEL, NAVY

Appropriations, 2015	\$332,166,000
Budget estimate, 2016	251,011,000
Committee recommendation	251,011,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$251,011,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

# COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

Line	ltem	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	BA 1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS			
5	Basic Pay	39,310	39,310	
10	Retired Pay Accrual	9,041	9,041	
25	Basic Allowance for Housing	13,069	13,069	
30	Basic Allowance for Subsistence	1,456	1,456	
35	Incentive Pays	486	486	
40	Special Pays	2,881	2,881	
45	Allowances	6,512	6,512	
55	Social Security Tax	3,016	3,016	
	TOTAL	75,771	75,771	
	BA 2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
60	Basic Pay	49,797	49,797	
65	Retired Pay Accrual	11,453	11,453	
80	Basic Allowance for Housing	24,160	24,160	
85	Incentive Pays	150	150	
90	Special Pays	4,901	4,901	
95	Allowances	14,345	14,345	
105	Social Security Tax	3,807	3,807	

[In thousands of dollars]

\_

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	TOTAL	108,613	108,613	
115 120	BA 4: SUBSISTENCE OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL Basic Allowance for Subsistence Subsistence-In-Kind	5,832 23,482	5,832 23,482	
	TOTAL	29,314	29,314	
125 135 140 145	BA 5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION TRAVEL Accession Travel Operational Travel Rotational Travel Separation Travel TOTAL	2,051 4,702 13,052 519 20,324	2,051 4,702 13,052 519 20,324	······
180 185 216	BA 6: OTHER MILITARY PERSONNEL COSTS Death Gratuities Unemployment Benefits SGLI Extra Hazard Payments TOTAL	500 9,948 6,541 16,989	500 9,948 6,541 16,989	······
	Total, Military Personnel, Navy	251,011	251,011	

# MILITARY PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS

Appropriations, 2015	\$403,311,000
Budget estimate, 2016	171,079,000
Committee recommendation	171,079,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$171,079,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

# COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

[In thousands of dollars]

[in thousands of donars]				
Line	ltem	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
5 10 25 30 35 40 45 50 55	BA 1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS Basic Pay Retired Pay Accrual Basic Allowance for Housing Basic Allowance for Subsistence Incentive Pays Special Pays Allowances Separation Pay Social Security Tax	33,349 7,670 11,320 1,176 343 2,408 1,745 954 2,551	33,349 7,670 11,320 1,176 343 2,408 1,745 954 2,551	
	TOTAL	61,516	61,516	
60 65 80 85 90 95	BA 2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL Basic Pay	19,331 4,446 11,007 12 13,115 7,072	19,331 4,446 11,007 12 13,115 7,072	·····

225	
220	

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
100 105	Separation Pay Social Security Tax	45,374 1,479	45,374 1,479	
	TOTAL	101,836	101,836	
115	BA 4: SUBSISTENCE OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL Basic Allowance for Subsistence	2,440	2,440	·····
175 180 185 216	BA 6: OTHER MILITARY PERSONNEL COSTS Interest on Uniformed Services Savings Death Gratuities Unemployment Benefits SGLI Extra Hazard Payments	280 300 1,540 3,167	280 300 1,540 3,167	·····
	TOTAL	5,287	5,287	
	Total, Military Personnel, Marine Corps	171,079	171,079	

# MILITARY PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2015	\$728,334,000
Budget estimate, 2016	726,126,000
Committee recommendation	726,126,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$726,126,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

# COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

[In	thousands	of	dollars]	
-----	-----------	----	----------	--

Line	ltem	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	BA 1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS			
5	Basic Pay	105,498	105,498	
10	Retired Pay Accrual	24,265	24,265	
25	Basic Allowance for Housing	32,922	32,922	
30	Basic Allowance for Subsistence	3,832	3,832	
40	Special Pays	7,559	7,559	
45	Allowances	7,910	7,910	
55	Social Security Tax	8,071	8,071	
	TOTAL	190,057	190,057	
	BA 2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
60	Basic Pay	196,552	196,552	
65	Retired Pay Accrual	45,207	45,207	
80	Basic Allowance for Housing	83,389	83,389	
90	Special Pays	27,835	27,835	
95	Allowances	25,901	25,901	
105	Social Security Tax	15,036	15,036	
	TOTAL	393,920	393,920	
115	BA 4: SUBSISTENCE OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL	22.221	00.001	
115	Basic Allowance for Subsistence Subsistence-In-Kind	22,231 84.711	22,231 84.711	
120	כמחצוצונהורה-ווו-עוווח	64,711	64,711	

ົ	ົ	R
4	4	υ

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	TOTAL	106,942	106,942	
180 185 216	BA 6: OTHER MILITARY PERSONNEL COSTS Death Gratuities Unemployment Benefits SGLI Extra Hazard Payments	1,000 24,143 10,064	1,000 24,143 10,064	·····
	TOTAL	35,207	35,207	
	Total, Military Personnel, Air Force	726,126	726,126	

# **RESERVE PERSONNEL, ARMY**

Appropriations, 2015	\$24,990,000
Budget estimate, 2016	24,462,000
Committee recommendation	24,462,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$24,462,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

#### [In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
10 80	BA 1: ARMY RESERVE TRAINING AND SUPPORT Pay Group A Training (15 Days & Drills 24/48) Special Training	13,300 11,162	13,300 11,162	
	TOTAL	24,462	24,462	
	Total Reserve Personnel, Army	24,462	24,462	

# **RESERVE PERSONNEL, NAVY**

Appropriations, 2015	\$13,953,000
Budget estimate, 2016	12,693,000
Committee recommendation	12,693,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$12,693,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

Line	ltem	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
80 90	BA 1: NAVY RESERVE TRAINING AND SUPPORT Special Training Administration and Support	12,350 343	12,350 343	
	TOTAL	12,693	12,693	

## 227

#### [In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Total, Reserve Personnel, Navy	12,693	12,693	

# **RESERVE PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS**

Appropriations, 2015	\$5,069,000
Budget estimate, 2016	3,393,000
Committee recommendation	3,393,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$3,393,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

#### [In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
80 90	BA 1: MARINE CORPS RESERVE TRAINING AND SUPPORT Special Training Administration and Support	3,350 43	3,350 43	
	TOTAL	3,393	3,393	
	Total, Reserve Personnel, Marine Corps	3,393	3,393	

# **RESERVE PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE**

Appropriations, 2015	\$19,175,000
Budget estimate, 2016	18,710,000
Committee recommendation	18,710,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$18,710,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

#### [In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
80	BA 1: AIR FORCE RESERVE TRAINING AND SUPPORT Special Training	18,710	18,710	
	TOTAL	18,710	18,710	
	Total, Reserve Personnel, Air Force	18,710	18,710	

# NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, ARMY

Appropriations, 2015	\$174,778,000
Budget estimate, 2016	166,015,000
Committee recommendation	166,015,000

# The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$166,015,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
10	BA 1: ARMY NATIONAL GUARD TRAINING AND SUPPORT Pay Group A Training (15 Days & Drills 24/48)	34,199	34,199	
70	School Training	2,780	2,780	
80 90	Special Training Administration and Support	119,247 9,789	119,247 9,789	
00		-,	.,	
	TOTAL	166,015	166,015	
	Total, National Guard Personnel, Army	166,015	166,015	

[In thousands of dollars]

## NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2015	\$4,894,000
Budget estimate, 2016	2,828,000
Committee recommendation	2,828,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$2,828,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
80	BA 1: AIR NATIONAL GUARD TRAINING AND SUPPORT Special Training	2,828	2,828	
	TOTAL	2,828	2,828	
	National Guard Personnel, Air Force	2,828	2,828	

# **OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE**

The Committee recommends \$74,635,645,000 for the operation and maintenance accounts. These funds are available to fund overseas deployments and other activities by the services and Special Operations Forces to include financing flying hours, ship steaming days, ground operations, special airlift missions, increased ship and aircraft maintenance, logistics support, fuel purchases, base support, civilian personnel, personnel support costs, overseas transportation, communications support, facility management, and other operation and maintenance requirements.

#### **OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY**

Appropriations, 2015	\$18,108,656,000
Budget estimate, 2016	11,382,750,000
Committee recommendation	18,930,336,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$18,930,336,000. This is \$7,547,586,000 above the budget estimate.

# COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
111	Maneuver Units	257.900	1,352,329	+1.094.429
	Authorization adjustment: Transfer base requirement to	207,000	1,002,020	1,001,120
	OCO due to BCA			+1.094.429
114	Theater Level Assets	1,110,836	1.804.136	+ 693,300
	Authorization adjustment: Transfer base requirement to	, .,	,,	,
	OCO due to BCA			+ 693,300
115	Land Forces Operations Support	261,943	1,316,265	+ 1,054,322
	Authorization adjustment: Transfer base requirement to			
	OCO due to BCA			+ 1,054,322
116	Avaition Assets	22,160	1,568,289	+1,546,129
	Authorization adjustment: Transfer base requirement to			
	OCO due to BCA			+1,546,129
121	Force Readiness Operations Support	1,119,201	4,257,807	+ 3,138,606
	Authorization adjustment: Transfer base requirement to			
	OCO due to BCA			+3,138,606
122	Land Forces Systems Readiness	117,881	117,881	
131	Base Operations Support	50,000		
135	Additional Activities	4,500,666	4,526,466	+ 25,800
	Transfer from Syria Train and Equip Fund			+ 25,800
136	Commander's Emergency Response Program	10,000	5,000	- 5,000
	Improving funds management: Excess to need			- 5,000
137	Reset	1,834,777	1,834,777	
212	Army Prepositioned Stocks	40,000	40,000	
421	Servicewide Transportation	529,891	529,891	
424	Ammunition Management	5,033	5,033	
434	Other Personnel Support	100,480	100,480	
437	Real Estate Management	154,350	154,350	
999	Classified Programs	1,267,632	1,267,632	
	Total, Operation and Maintenance, Army	11,382,750	18,930,336	+ 7,547,586

[In thousands of dollars]

Commanders Emergency Response Program.—The Committee recommends \$5,000,000 for the Commanders Emergency Response Program [CERP] in Afghanistan in fiscal year 2016. The Committee directs the Army to submit monthly commitment, obligation, and expenditure data for CERP to the congressional defense committees not later than 30 days after each month.

The Committee includes language in section 9005 that requires all CERP projects executed under this authority shall be small scale, and shall not exceed \$2,000,000 in cost (including any ancillary or related elements in connection with such project).

## **OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY**

Appropriations, 2015	\$6,253,819,000
Budget estimate, 2016	5,131,588,000
Committee recommendation	21,008,396,000

# The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$21,008,396,000. This is \$15,876,808,000 above the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

		1	1	
Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1A1A	Mission And Other Flight Operations Authorization adjustment: Transfer base requirement to	358,417	5,302,082	+ 4,943,665
	OCO due to BCA			+ 4,940,365
	Authorization adjustment: Readiness increase			+ 3,300
1A3A	Aviation Technical Data & Engineering Services	110	110	
1A4A	Air Operations And Safety Support	4,513	4,513	
1A4N	Air Systems Support	126,501	126,501	
1A5A	Aircraft Depot Maintenance	75,897	990,433	+ 914,536
	Authorization adjustment: Transfer base requirement to			. 007 526
	OCO due to BCA			+ 897,536
1A6A	Authorization adjustment: Readiness increase	2.770	2.770	+ 17,000
1A0A 1A9A	Aviation Depot Operations Support Aviation Logistics	34,101	34.101	
1B1B	Mission And Other Ship Operations	1,184,878	5,402,536	+ 4,217,658
IDID	Authorization adjustment: Transfer base requirement to	1,104,070	5,402,550	+ 4,217,030
	OCO due to BCA			+ 4,217,658
1B2B	Ship Operations Support & Training	16,663	16,663	1 4,217,000
184B	Ship Depot Maintenance	1,922,829	7,883,780	+ 5,960,951
	Authorization adjustment: Transfer base requirement to	_,,	.,,.	,,
	OCO due to BCA			+ 5,960,951
1C1C	Combat Communications	33,577	33,577	
1C4C	Warfare Tactics	26,454	26,454	
1C5C	Operational Meteorology And Oceanography	22,305	22,305	
1C6C	Combat Support Forces	513,969	513,969	
1C7C	Equipment Maintenance	10,007	10,007	
1D3D	In-Service Weapons Systems Support	60,865	60,865	
1D4D	Weapons Maintenance	275,231	275,231	
BSM1	Facilities Sustainment, Restoration And Modernization	7,819	7,819	
BSS1	Base Operating Support	61,422	61,422	
2C1H	Expeditionary Health Service Systems	5,307	5,307	
2C3H	Coast Guard Support	160,002		- 160,002
	Coast Guard funded in Department of Homeland Security			
0.011/	bill			- 160,002
3B1K	Specialized Skill Training	44,845	44,845	
4A1M	Administration	2,513	2,513	
4A2M	External Relations	500	500	
4A4M	Military Manpower And Personnel Management	5,309	5,309	
4A5M	Other Personnel Support	1,469	1,469	
4B1N	Servicewide Transportation	156,671	156,671	
4B3N	Acquisition And Program Management	8,834	8,834	
4C1P	Naval Investigative Service	1,490	1,490	
9999	Classified Programs	6,320	6,320	
	Total, Operation and Maintenance, Navy	5,131,588	21,008,396	+ 15,876,808

[In thousands of dollars]

# **OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS**

Appropriations, 2015	\$1,850,984,000
Budget estimate, 2016	952,534,000
Committee recommendation	1,879,613,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,879,613,000. This is \$927,079,000 above the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1A1A	Operational Forces Authorization adjustment: Transfer base requirement to	353,133	1,280,212	+ 927,079
	OCO due to BCA			+ 927.079
1A2A	Field Logistics	259,676	259,676	
1A3A	Depot Maintenance	240,000	240,000	
BSS1	Base Operating Support	16,026	16,026	
3B4D	Training Support	37,862	37,862	
4A3G	Servicewide Transportation	43,767	43,767	
999	Classified Programs	2,070	2,070	
	Total, Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps	952,534	1,879,613	+ 927,079

# OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2015	\$10,076,383,000
Budget estimate, 2016	
Committee recommendation	21.161.888.000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$21,161,888,000. This is \$12,071,875,000 above the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
011A	Primary Combat Forces	1,505,738	3,581,350	+ 2,075,612
	Transfer from Syria Train and Equip Fund			+ 15,000
	Authorization adjustment: Transfer base requirement to			
	OCO due to BCA			+ 2,060,612
011C	Combat Enhancement Forces	914,973	2,771,588	+ 1,856,615
	Authorization adjustment: Transfer base requirement to			
	OCO due to BCA			+ 1,852,315
0110	Authorization adjustment: Readiness increase			+ 4,300
011D	Air Operations Training	31,978		
011M	Depot Maintenance Authorization adjustment: Transfer base requirement to	1,192,765	7,689,892	+ 6,497,127
	OCO due to BCA			+ 6.497.127
011R	Facilities Sustainment, Restoration & Modernization	85.625	85.625	+ 0,497,127
011K	Base Operating Support	917.269		
0112 012A	Global C3I And Early Warning		30.219	
0120	Other Combat Ops Spt Programs	174.734	174.734	
0120	Launch Facilities	869	869	
0130	Space Control Systems	5.008	5,008	
015A	Combatant Commanders Direct Mission Support	100,190	100,190	
999	Classified Programs	22,893	22,893	
021A	Airlift Operations	2,995,703	3,010,703	+15,000
	Transfer from Syria Train and Equip Fund			+15,000
021D	Mobilization Preparedness	108,163	108,163	
021M	Depot Maintenance	511,059	2,128,630	+1,617,571
	Authorization adjustment: Transfer base requirement to			
	OCO due to BCA			+1,617,571
021Z	Base Support	4,642	4,642	
031A	Officer Acquisition	92	92	

9	Q	<u>റ</u>
4	υ	4

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
031Z	Base Support		12,750	+ 12,750
	Transfer from Syria Train and Equip Fund			+ 12,750
032A	Specialized Skill Training	11,986	11,986	
041A	Logistics Operations	86,716	86,716	
041Z	Base Support	3,836	3,836	
042B	Servicewide Communications	165.348	165.348	
042G	Other Servicewide Activities	204,683	201.883	- 2.800
	Improving funds management: Unjustified growth for Of-			,
	fice of Security Cooperation in Iraq			- 2,800
044A	International Support	61	61	
999	Classified Programs	15,463	15,463	
	Total, Operation and Maintenance, Air Force	9,090,013	21,161,888	+ 12,071,875

#### **OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE**

Appropriations, 2015	\$6,211,025,000
Budget estimate, 2016	5,805,633,000
Committee recommendation	6,850,097,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$6,850,097,000. This is \$1,044,464,000 above the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

#### [In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Joint Chiefs of Staff	9,900	9,900	
	Special Operations Command	2,345,835	2,345,835	
	Defense Contract Audit Agency	18,474	18,474	
	Defense Information Systems Agency	29,579	29,579	
	Defense Legal Services Agency	110,000	110,000	
	Defense Media Activity	5,960	5,960	
	Department Of Defense Education Activity	73,000	73,000	
	Defense Security Cooperation Agency	1,677,000	2,577,000	+ 900,000
	Authorization adjustment: Coalition Support Funds			- 100,000
	Transfer Build the Capacity of Foreign Security Forces			
	from Counterterrorism Partnerships Fund, Overseas			
	Contingency Operations			+1,000,000
	Office Of The Secretary Of Defense	106,709	106,709	
	Washington Headquarters Services	2,102	2,102	
	Classified Programs	1,427,074	1,427,074	
UNDIST	Transfer Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Organiza-			
	tion [JIEDDO]: Staff and Infrastructure costs from the			
	JIEDDO request		144,464	+ 144,464
	Total, Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide	5,805,633	6,850,097	+ 1,044,464

*Ukraine.*—The Committee includes a provision that recommends \$300,000,000 for the Ukraine Security Assistance Initiative to aid Ukraine as it confronts aggression from anti-government forces within its borders. The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a quarterly report on the obligation of these funds. Additionally, the Committee notes that the U.S. military has begun bilateral training programs with elements of the Ukrainian military and security forces. The Committee encourages the Department of Defense and Commander, European Command, to include assistance on psychological health and related support programs as part of future training engagements. The Department of Defense has become a leader in research in these areas, and should accelerate sharing of its best practices with our partner nations.

Countering Violent Extremism Online.—The Committee recognizes that the United States' strategy to defeat the Islamic State of Iraq and the Levant [ISIL] must include a campaign to counter digital media to degrade and defeat ISIL's social media propaganda and recruitment networks. This effort must include the empowering of moderate local voices and other messaging to challenge ISIL through a coordinated and integrated government-wide strategy. The Committee encourages the Department of Defense, in coordination with the Director of National Intelligence, the Department of State, the Broadcasting Board of Governors, and other appropriate public and private sector stakeholders, to develop and implement such a coordinated messaging plan to counter propaganda and recruitment media disseminated by ISIL and associated violent extremist groups.

*European Reassurance Initiative.*—The President's budget request includes \$789,300,000 in various appropriation accounts for European Reassurance Initiative [ERI] efforts in fiscal year 2016. The Committee strongly supports these initiatives to strengthen security cooperation with our allies and partners in light of continuing Russian aggression against Ukraine and the growing potential for provocation elsewhere in Europe. The Committee urges the Secretary of Defense to prioritize ERI activities to bolster cooperation with our North Atlantic Treaty Organization allies and reflect changing conditions on the ground. In addition, the Committee recommends continued cooperation with and support for Estonia, Lithuania, and Latvia, particularly for the Air Policing mission that ensures the sovereignty of Baltic airspace, at funding levels consistent with requirements identified by the Commander, European Command in consultation with our Baltic partners.

#### **OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY RESERVE**

Appropriations, 2015	\$41,532,000
Budget estimate, 2016	24,559,000
Committee recommendation	24,559,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$24,559,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

[In	thousands	of	dollars]	
-----	-----------	----	----------	--

Line	ltem	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
115	Echelons Above Brigade Land Forces Operations Support	2,442 813	2,442 813	
	Force Readiness Operations Support	779	779	
131	Base Operations Support	20,525	20,525	

<u></u>	1
20	4

#### [In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Total, Operation and Maintenance, Army Reserve	24,559	24,559	

# OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY RESERVE

Appropriations, 2015	\$45,876,000
Budget estimate, 2016	31,643,000
Committee recommendation	31.643.000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$31,643,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

Line	ltem	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1A1A 1A3A 1A5A 1C6C	Mission And Other Flight Operations Intermediate Maintenance Aircraft Depot Maintenance Combat Support Forces	4,033 60 20,300 7,250	4,033 60 20,300 7,250	
	Total, Operation and Maintenance, Navy Reserve	31,643	31,643	

# **OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS RESERVE**

Appropriations, 2015	\$10,540,000
Budget estimate, 2016	3,455,000
Committee recommendation	3,455,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$3,455,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

#### [In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1A1A BSS1	Operational Forces Base Operating Support	2,500 955	2,500 955	
	Total, Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps Re- serve	3,455	3,455	

## **OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE RESERVE**

Appropriations, 2015	\$77,794,000
Budget estimate, 2016	58,106,000
Committee recommendation	58,106,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$58,106,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
011M 011Z	Depot Maintenance Base Operating Support	51,086 7,020	51,086 7,020	
	Total, Operation and Maintenance, Air Force Reserve	58,106	58,106	

## OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD

Appropriations, 2015	\$77,661,000
Budget estimate, 2016	60,845,000
Committee recommendation	60,845,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$60,845,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In	thousands	of	dollars]	
-----	-----------	----	----------	--

Line	ltem	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
111	Maneuver Units	1,984	1,984	
113	Echelons Above Brigade	4,671	4,671	
116	Avaition Assets	15,980	15,980	
121	Force Readiness Operations Support	12,867	12,867	
131	Base Operations Support	23,134	23,134	
133	Management and Operational Headquarters	1,426	1,426	
432	Servicewide Communications	783	783	
	Total, Operation and Maintenance, Army National			
	Guard	60,845	60,845	

## OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR NATIONAL GUARD

Appropriations, 2015	\$22,600,000
Budget estimate, 2016	19,900,000
Committee recommendation	19,900,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$19,900,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

Line	ltem	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
011G	Mission Support	19,900	19,900	
	Total, Operation and Maintenance, Air National Guard	19,900	19,900	

#### COUNTERTERRORISM PARTNERSHIPS FUND

Budget est	tions, 2015 timate, 2016	 			2,100,00	0,000
Committee	e recommendation	 				
<b>7</b> 11	0 .11	1	C	1.	C	41

The Committee recommends no funding for the Counterterrrorism Partnerships Fund.

### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Counterterrorism Partnerships Fund Maintain Program Affordability: Program decrease	2,100,000		- 2,100,000 - 1,100,000
	Transfer Build the Capacity of Foreign Security Forces to Operation and Maintenance, Defense-wide, DSCA, Overseas Contingency Operations			- 1,000,000
	Total, Counterterrorism Partnerships Fund	2,100,000		- 2,100,000

Counterterrorism Partnerships Fund.-The Overseas Contingency Operations budget request includes \$2,100,000,000 for the Counterterrorism Partnerships Fund [CTPF], an increase of \$1,300,000,000 over the amount appropriated for non-Syria CTPF activities in fiscal year 2015. The Committee remains supportive of increased engagement with partner nations to address terrorist threats in the Middle East and Africa; however, the Committee is concerned about the Department's ability to wisely execute \$2,900,000,000 of CTPF funds in fiscal years 2015 through 2017 in addition to the hundreds of millions of dollars provided for other capacity building programs. Moreover, the Committee is concerned about effective oversight of the CTPF to ensure that activities are not duplicative and that there is an appropriate accounting of weapons and training provided. Therefore, the Committee rec-ommendation reduces the request for CTPF by \$1,100,000,000. Additionally, the Committee recommends transferring the remaining \$1,000,000,000 to the Title IX Operation and Maintenance, Defense-wide account to be used under the authorities provided in Section 2282 of the Carl Levin and Howard P. "Buck" McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 (Public Law 113-291; 128 Stat. 3314). The Committee understands that the Department is required to transfer CTPF funding to the Operation and Maintenance accounts for execution and intends to use Section 2282 authority for the provision of assistance to partner nations using transferred CTPF funds. The Committee believes that consolidating these efforts would enable better Congressional oversight of the majority of building partner capacity activities.

#### AFGHANISTAN SECURITY FORCES FUND

Appropriations, 2015	\$4,109,333,000
Budget estimate, 2016	3,762,257,000
Committee recommendation	3.360.357.000

# The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$3,360,357,000. This is \$401,900,000 below the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

Line	ltem	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Sustainment Improving funds management: Unjustified growth, in-	2,214,899	1,962,999	- 251,900
	centive payments			- 58,900
	craft sustainment			- 95,000
	facilities sustainment			- 20,000
	Improving funds management: Fuel savings			- 78,000
	Equipment and Transportation	182,751	182,751	
	Training and Operations	281,555	281,555	
	Subtotal, Ministry of Defense	2,679,205	2,427,305	- 251,900
	Sustainment	901,137	751,137	- 150,000
	Maintain program affordability: Maintain level of effort			- 118,000
	Improving funds management: Fuel savings			- 32,000
	Equipment and Transportation	116,573	116,573	
	Training and Operations	65,342	65,342	
	Subtotal, Ministry of Interior	1,083,052	933,052	- 150,000
	Total, Afghanistan Security Forces Fund	3,762,257	3,360,357	- 401,900

[In thousands of dollars]

#### IRAQ TRAIN AND EQUIP FUND

Appropriations, 2015	\$1,618,000,000
Budget estimate, 2016	715,000,000
Committee recommendation	715,000,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$715,000,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

#### SYRIA TRAIN AND EQUIP FUND

Appropriations, 2015	
Budget estimate. 2016	\$600.000.000
Committee recommendation	531,450,000
	, ,

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$531,450,000. This is \$68,550,000 below the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Syria Train and Equip Fund	600,000	531,450	- 68,550
	Transfer to Operation and Maintenance, Air Force			- 42,750
	Transfer to Operation and Maintenance, Army			- 25,800

റെ	0
20	0

#### [In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Total, Syria Train and Equip Fund	600,000	531,450	- 68,550

# PROCUREMENT

The Committee recommends \$7,688,599,000 for the procurement accounts. The overseas contingency operations funding supports our forces engaged in Operation Enduring Freedom and other contingency operations. The Committee recommends funding to replace combat losses and equipment left behind in theater, augment and upgrade equipment for deploying units, sustain munitions and other war consumables, and reset the units returning home to an equipment-ready status. Funding adjustments have been made in instances where the requirement was poorly defined, funding was requested ahead of need or program execution has been delayed.

#### AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY

Appropriations, 2015	\$196,200,000
Budget estimate, 2016	164,987,000
Committee recommendation	158,087,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$158,087,000. This is \$6,900,000 below the budget estimate.

### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thou	sands of	dollars]	
----------	----------	----------	--

Line	ltem	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
3	Aerial Common Sensor [ACS] MIP Restoring acquisition accountability: Unjustified request	99,500	92,600	- 6,900 - 6,900
4	MQ-1 UAV	16,537	16,537	
16	MQ-1 Payload MIP	8,700	8,700	
23	ARL SEMA Mods MIP	32,000	32,000	
31	RQ-7 UAV MODS	8,250	8,250	
	Total, Aircraft Procurement, Army	164,987	158,087	- 6,900

#### MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY

Appropriations, 2015	\$32,136,000
Budget estimate, 2016	37,260,000
Committee recommendation	$37,\!260,\!000$

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$37,260,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

.930	
200	

#### [In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
3	Hellfire Sys Summary	37,260	37,260	
	Total, Missile Procurement, Army	37,260	37,260	

# PROCUREMENT OF WEAPONS AND TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES, ARMY

Appropriations, 2015	\$5,000,000
Budget estimate, 2016	26,030,000
Committee recommendation	26.030.000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$26,030,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[	ln t	thousand	ls	of	dol	lars]	
---	------	----------	----	----	-----	-------	--

Line	ltem	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
16 21	Mortar Systems Common Remotely Operated Weapons Systems	7,030 19,000	7,030 19,000	
	Total, Weapons and Tracked Combat Vehicles, Army $\dots$	26,030	26,030	

## PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY

Appropriations, 2015	\$140,905,000
Budget estimate, 2016	192,040,000
Committee recommendation	192,040,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$192,040,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

Line	ltem	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
4	CTG, .50 Cal, All Types	4,000	4,000	
8 9	60MM Mortar, All Types 81MM Mortar, All Types	11,700 4,000	11,700 4,000	
10	120MM Mortar, ALL TYPES	7,000	7,000	
12	Artillery Cartridges, 75MM & 105MM, All Types	5,000	5,000	
13	Artillery Projectile, 155MM, All Types	10,000	10,000	
15	Artillery Propellants, Fuzes and Primers, All	2,000	2,000	
17	Rocket, Hydra 70, All Types	136,340	136,340	
19	Demolition Munitions, All Types	4,000	4,000	
21	Signals, All Types	8,000	8,000	
	Total, Procurement of Ammunition, Army	192,040	192,040	

## OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY

Appropriations, 2015	\$773,583,000
Budget estimate, 2016	1,205,596,000
Committee recommendation	1,205,596,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,205,596,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In	thousands	of	dollars]	
-----	-----------	----	----------	--

Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
5	Family of Medium Tactical Veh [FMTV]	243,998	243,998	
9	Hvy Expanded Mobile Tactical Truck Ext Serv	223,276	223,276	
11	Modification of In Svc Equip	130,000	130,000	
12	Mine-Resistant Ambush-Protected [MRAP] Mods	393,100	393,100	
21	Transportable Tactical Command Communications	5,724	5,724	
51	Installation Info Infrastructure Mod Program	29,500	29,500	
57	DCGS–A (MIP)	54,140	54,140	
59	Trojan (MIP)	6,542	6,542	
61	CI HUMINT Auto Reprting and Coll [CHARCS]	3,860	3,860	
68	Family Of Persistent Surveillance Capabilitie	14,847	14,847	
69	Counterintelligence/Security Countermeasures	19,535	19,535	
84	Computer Ballistics: LHMBC XM32	2,601	2,601	
87	Fire Support C2 Family	48	48	
94	Maneuver Control System [MCS]	252	252	
101	Automated Data Processing Equip	652	652	
111	Base Defense Systems [BDS]	4,035	4,035	
131	Force Provider	53,800	53,800	
133	Cargo Aerial Del & Personnel Parachute System	700	700	
159	Family of Forklifts	10,486	10,486	
169	Rapid Equipping Soldier Support Equipment	8,500	8,500	
	Total, Other Procurement, Army	1,205,596	1,205,596	

# AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY

Appropriations, 2015	\$243,359,000
Budget estimate, 2016	217,394,000
Committee recommendation	217,394,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$217,394,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

# COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

Line	ltem	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
26	STUASLO UAV	55,000	55,000	
30	AV-8 Series	41,365	41,365	
32	F–18 Series	8,000	8,000	
37	EP-3 Series	6,300	6,300	
47	Special Project Aircraft	14,198	14,198	
51	Common ECM Equipment	72,700	72,700	
52	Common Avionics Changes	13,988	13,988	
59	V–22 (Tilt/Rotor ACFT) Osprey	4,900	4,900	

24	1

#### [In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
65	Aircraft Industrial Facilities	943	943	
	Total, Aircraft Procurement, Navy	217,394	217,394	

# WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY

Appropriations, 2015	\$66,785,000
Budget estimate, 2016	3,344,000
Committee recommendation	3,344,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of 3,344,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
10	Laser Maverick	3,344	3,344	
	Total, Weapons Procurement, Navy	3,344	3,344	

# PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS

Appropriations, 2015	\$154,519,000
Budget estimate, 2016	136,930,000
Committee recommendation	136,930,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$136,930,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1	General Purpose Bombs	9,715	9,715	
2	Airborne Rockets, All Types	11,108	11,108	
3	Machine Gun Ammunition	3,603	3,603	
6	Air Expendable Countermeasures	11,982	11,982	
11	Other Ship Gun Ammunition	4,674	4,674	
12	Small Arms & Landing Party Ammo	3,456	3,456	
13	Pyrotechnic and Demolition	1,989	1,989	
14	Ammunition Less Than \$5 Million	4,674	4,674	
20	120mm, All Types	10,719	10,719	
23	Rockets, All Types	3,993	3,993	
24	Artillery, All Types	67,200	67,200	
25	Demolition Munitions, All Types	518	518	
26	Fuze, All Types	3,299	3,299	
	Total, Procurement of Ammunition, Navy and Marine Corps	136.930	136.930	

## OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY

Appropriations, 2015	\$123,710,000
Budget estimate, 2016	12,186,000
Committee recommendation	12,186,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$12,186,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In	thousands	of	dollars]	
-----	-----------	----	----------	--

Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
135	Passenger Carrying Vehicles Classified Programs	186 12,000	186 12,000	
	Total, Other Procurement, Navy	12,186	12,186	

# PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS

Appropriations, 2015	\$65,589,000
Budget estimate, 2016	48,934,000
Committee recommendation	48,934,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$48,934,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In	thousands	of	dollars]	
-----	-----------	----	----------	--

Line	ltem	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
10	Javelin	7,679	7,679	
13	Modification Kits	10,311	10,311	
14	Unit Operations Center	8,221	8,221	
18	Modification Kits	3,600	3,600	
19	Items Under \$5 Million (Comm & Elec)	8,693	8,693	
27	RQ-11 UAV	3,430	3,430	
52	Physical Security Equipment	7,000	7,000	
	Total, Procurement, Marine Corps	48,934	48,934	

## AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2015	\$481,019,000
Budget estimate, 2016	128,900,000
Committee recommendation	128,900,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$128,900,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

# COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

24	-3

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
15	MQ-9	13,500	13,500	
44	C-130	1,410	1,410	
56	H-60	39,300	39,300	
58	HC/MC-130 Modifications	5,690	5,690	
61	MQ-9 Mods	69,000	6,900	
	Total, Aircraft Procurement, Air Force	128,900	128,900	

# MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2015	\$136, 189, 000
Budget estimate, 2016	289,142,000
Committee recommendation	289,142,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$289,142,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

#### [In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
6 7 10	Predator Hellfire Missile Small Diameter Bomb AGM–65D Maverick	280,902 2,520 5,720	280,902 2,520 5,720	
	Total, Missile Procurement, Air Force	289,142	289,142	

# PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2015	\$219,785,000
Budget estimate, 2016	228,874,000
Committee recommendation	228,874,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$228,874,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

# COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

Line	ltem	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
2 4 6 12 13	Cartridges General Purpose Bombs Joint Direct Attack Munition Flares Fuzes	8,371 17,031 184,412 11,064 7,996	8,371 17,031 184,412 11,064 7,996	
	Total, Procurement of Ammunition, Air Force	228,874	228,874	

## OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2015	\$3,607,526,000
Budget estimate, 2016	3,859,964,000
Committee recommendation	3,829,964,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$3,829,964,000. This is \$30,000,000 below the budget estimate.

### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In	thousands	of do	llars]	
-----	-----------	-------	--------	--

Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
25	General Information Technology	3,953	3,953	
27	Mobility Command and Control	2,000	2,000	
42	USCENTCOM	10,000	10,000	
52	Tactical C–E Equipment	4,065	4,065	
56	Base Comm Infrastructure	15,400	15,400	
58	Night Vision Goggles	3,580	3,580	
59	Items Less Than \$5 Million	3,407	3,407	
62	Engineering and EOD Equipment	46,790	46,790	
64	Mobility Equipment	400	400	
65	Items Less Than \$5 Million	9,800	9,800	
71	Defense Space Reconnaissance Prog	28,070	28,070	
71A	Classified Programs	3,732,499	3,702,499	- 30,000
	Classified program adjustment			- 30,000
	Total, Other Procurement, Air Force	3,859,964	3,829,964	- 30,000

# PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE

Appropriations, 2015	\$250, 386, 000
Budget estimate, 2016	212,418,000
Committee recommendation	173,918,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$173,918,000. This is \$38,500,000 below the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

Line	ltem	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
8	Teleport Program	1,940	1,940	
40A	Classified Programs	35,482	35,482	
41	MC-12	5,000	5,000	
56	Ordnance Items <\$5M	35,299	35,299	
61	Special Programs	15,160	15,160	
63	Warrior Systems <\$5M	15,000	15,000	
68	Operational Enhancements	104,537	66,037	- 38,500
	Classified program adjustment			- 38,500
	Total, Procurement, Defense-Wide	212,418	173,918	- 38,500

#### NATIONAL GUARD AND RESERVE EQUIPMENT

Appropriations, 2015	\$1,200,000,000
Budget estimate, 2016	
Committee recommendation	1,000,000,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,000,000,000. This is \$1,000,000,000 above the budget estimate.

The appropriation includes direction for each Reserve and National Guard component commander to submit to the congressional defense committees a detailed assessment of that component's modernization priorities, not later than 30 days after enactment of this act.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

(In	thousands	of	dollars]	

Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
NATIONAL GUARD AND RESERVE EQUIPMENT			
RESERVE EQUIPMENT:			
ARMY RESERVE:			
Miscellaneous Equipment		140,000	+140,000
NAVY RESERVE:			
Miscellaneous Equipment		50,000	+ 50,000
MARINE CORPS RESERVE:			
Miscellaneous Equipment		10,000	+ 10,000
AIR FORCE RESERVE:			
Miscellaneous Equipment		140,000	+ 140,000
TOTAL, RESERVE EQUIPMENT		340,000	+ 340,000
NATIONAL GUARD EQUIPMENT:		540,000	1 340,000
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
Miscellaneous Equipment		330,000	+ 330,000
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
Miscellaneous Equipment		330,000	+ 330,000
TOTAL NATIONAL QUADD FOUNDMENT		000 000	
TOTAL, NATIONAL GUARD EQUIPMENT		660,000	+ 660,000
TOTAL, NATIONAL GUARD AND RESERVE EQUIPMENT		1,000,000	+ 1,000,000

High-Priority Items.—The Committee directs that the National Guard and Reserve Equipment account shall be executed by the Chiefs of the National Guard and reserve components with priority consideration given to the following items: HMMWV Ambulances, Data Links for Ground Vehicles, Upgrades for First Responder Tactical Radios, Acoustic Hailing Devices, Small Arms Simulation Training Systems, Crashworthy Auxiliary Fuel Systems, Laser Protective Eyewear, Helmet-Incorporated Facial Protection, Reactive Skin Decontamination Lotion, Semi-Permanent Humidity Controlled Shelters, Counter Mortar Radar Systems, Advanced Electronically Scanned Array Radars for F–16, Digital Radar Warning Receivers for F–16 and C–130, and Engine Upgrades for C–130 including Modular Blade Technology and In-Flight Propeller Balancing Systems.

## RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION

The Committee recommends \$191,434,000 for research, development, test and evaluation.

## RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, ARMY

Appropriations, 2015	\$2,000,000
Budget estimate, 2016	1,500,000
Committee recommendation	1,500,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,500,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
60	Soldier Support and Survivability	1,500	1,500	
	Total, Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army	1,500	1,500	

## RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, NAVY

Appropriations, 2015	\$36,020,000
Budget estimate, 2016	35,747,000
Committee recommendation	35,747,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$35,747,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Classified Programs	35,747	35,747	

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2015	\$14,706,000
Budget estimate, 2016	17,100,000
Committee recommendation	17,100,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$17,100,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
133	Joint Counter RCIED Electronic Warfare Classified Programs	300 16,800	300 16,800	
	Total, Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Air Force	17,100	17,100	

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, DEFENSE-WIDE

Appropriations, 2015	\$174,647,000
Budget estimate, 2016	137,087,000
Committee recommendation	137,087,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$137,087,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands	of	dollars]
---------------	----	----------

Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Classified Programs	137,087	137,087	
	Total, Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide	137,087	137,087	

# **REVOLVING AND MANAGEMENT FUNDS**

# DEFENSE WORKING CAPITAL FUNDS

Appropriations, 2015	\$91,350,000
Budget estimate, 2016	88,850,000
Committee recommendation	88,850,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$88,850,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the programs recommended by the Committee:

Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Transportation of Fallen Heroes	2,500	2,500	
	Total, Defense Working Capital Fund, Air Force	2,500	2,500	
	Defense Logistics Agency	86,350	86,350	
	Total, Defense Working Capital Fund, Defense-wide	86,350	86,350	
	Grand Total, Defense Working Capital Funds	88,850	88,850	

# OTHER DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE PROGRAMS

## DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM

Appropriations, 2015	\$300,531,000
Budget estimate, 2016	272,704,000
Committee recommendation	272,704,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$272,704,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

#### [In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Operation and Maintenance			
	In-House Care	65,149	65,149	
	Private Sector Care	192,210	192,210	
	Consolidated Health Care	9,460	9,460	
	Education and Training	5,885	5,885	
	Total, Defense Health Program	272,704	272,704	

## DRUG INTERDICTION AND COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES, DEFENSE

Appropriations, 2015	\$205,000,000
Budget estimate, 2016	186,000,000
Committee recommendation	186,000,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$186,000,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

JOINT IMPROVISED EXPLOSIVE DEVICE DEFEAT FUND

Appropriations, 2015	\$444,464,000
Budget estimate, 2016	493,271,000
Committee recommendation	290,000,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$290,000,000. This is \$203,271,000 below the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1	Network Attack Restoring acquisition accountability: Unjustified request	219,550	204,550	$-15,000 \\ -15,000$
2	JIEDDO Device Defeat	77,600	77,600	- 15,000
3	Force Training	7,850	7,850	
4	Staff and Infrastructure	188,271		- 188,271
	Maintain program affordability: Maintain same level of infrastructure as previous year Transfer JIEDDO OCO: Staff and Infrastructure to OMDW			- 43,807
	020			- 144,464

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Total, JIEDDO	493,271	290,000	- 203,271

Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Organization [JIEDDO].—The fiscal year 2016 President's budget request includes \$493,271,000 in Overseas Contingency Operations [OCO] funding. To preserve the essential joint capabilities of JIEDDO and eliminate any duplication with service capabilities, the Committee recommends transferring \$144,464,000 to the Operations and Maintenance, Defense-Wide OCO account.

#### OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL

Appropriations, 2015	\$10,623,000
Budget estimate, 2016	10,262,000
Committee recommendation	10,262,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$10,262,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

### GENERAL PROVISIONS—THIS TITLE

SEC. 9001. *OCO Designation*.—Inserts a new provision which requires the President to designate all of the Overseas Contingency Operation/Global War on Terrorism funds provided by this act before any of the funds are available for obligation.

SEC. 9002. Funds in Addition to Base.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 9003. Special Transfer Authority.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 9004. Supervision and Administration Costs.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 9005. Vehicle Procurement.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 9006. Commander's Emergency Response Program.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 9007. *Coalition Lift and Sustainment*.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 9008. Permanent Military Installations.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 9009. U.N. Convention Against Torture.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 9010. Afghanistan Resource Oversight Council.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 9011. Investment Unit Cost.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 9012. Office of Security Cooperation in Iraq.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 9013. Syria War Powers Contravention.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 9014. Ukraine Security Assistance Initiative.—Inserts a new provision which provides assistance and sustainment to the military and national security forces of Ukraine.

SEC. 9015. C-130 Cargo Aircraft Transfers.—Retains a provision carried in previous years. SEC. 9016. Afghanistan Infrastructure Fund.—Inserts a new provision regarding the availability of funds for the Afghanistan Infra-

structure Fund. SEC. 9017. Inserts a new provision expressing the Sense of the Senate on the Authorization for Use of Military Force.

#### COMPLIANCE WITH PARAGRAPH 7, RULE XVI OF THE STANDING RULES OF THÉ SENATE

Paragraph 7 of rule XVI requires that Committee reports on general appropriations bills identify each Committee amendment to the House bill "which proposes an item of appropriation which is not made to carry out the provisions of an existing law, a treaty stipulation, or an act or resolution previously passed by the Senate during that session.'

The Committee recommends funding for the following accounts which currently lack authorization for fiscal year 2016:

Military Personnel, Army Military Personnel, Navy

Military Personnel, Marine Corps

Military Personnel, Air Force

Reserve Personnel, Army

Reserve Personnel, Navy

Reserve Personnel, Marine Corps

Reserve Personnel, Air Force

National Guard Personnel, Army National Guard Personnel, Air Force

Operation and Maintenance, Army

Operation and Maintenance, Navy

**Operation and Maintenance**, Marine Corps

**Operation and Maintenance**, Air Force

Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide

Operation and Maintenance, Army Reserve

Operation and Maintenance, Navy Reserve Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve Operation and Maintenance, Air Force Reserve Operation and Maintenance, Army National Guard

Operation and Maintenance, Air National Guard

United States Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces Environmental Restoration, Army

Environmental Restoration, Navy

Environmental Restoration, Air Force

Environmental Restoration, Defense-Wide

Environmental Restoration, Formerly Used Defense Sites

Overseas Humanitarian, Disaster, and Civic Aid

**Cooperative Threat Reduction Account** 

Afghanistan Security Forces Fund

Iraq Train and Equip Fund

Syria Train and Equip Fund

Aircraft Procurement, Army Missile Procurement, Army

Procurement of Weapons and Tracked Combat Vehicles, Army Procurement of Ammunition, Army

Other Procurement, Army

Aircraft Procurement, Navy Weapons Procurement, Navy Procurement of Ammunition, Navy and Marine Corps Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy Carrier Replacement Program Carrier Replacement Program [AP] Virginia Class Submarine Virginia Class Submarine [AP] CVN Refueling Overhauls CVN Refueling Overhauls [AP] DDG-1000 Program DDG-51 Destroyer Littoral Combat Ship LPD-17 Afloat Forward Staging Base [AP] LHA Replacement [AP] LX(R) [AP] Joint High Speed Vessel TAO Fleet Oiler T-ATS(X) Fleet Tug LCU Replacement Moored Training ship [AP] Outfitting, Post Delivery, Conversions and First Destination Transportation Ship to Shore Connector Service Craft LCAC Service Life Extension Program YP Craft Maintenance/ROH/SLEP Completion of Prior Year Shipbuilding Programs Other Procurement, Navy Procurement, Marine Corps Aircraft Procurement, Air Force Missile Procurement, Air Force Space Procurement, Air Force Procurement of Ammunition, Air Force Other Procurement, Air Force Procurement, Defense-Wide National Guard and Reserve Equipment Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Air Force Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense **Defense Working Capital Funds** National Defense Sealift Fund **Defense Health Program** Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Defense Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund Office of the Inspector General Intelligence Community Management Account

### COMPLIANCE WITH PARAGRAPH 7(c), RULE XXVI OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

Pursuant to paragraph 7(c) of rule XXVI, on June 11, 2015, the Committee ordered favorably reported an original bill making appropriations for the Department of Defense for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2016, and for other purposes, provided, that the bill be subject to amendment and that the bill be consistent with its budget allocation, by a recorded vote of 27–3, a quorum being present. The vote was as follows:

Yeas Chairman Cochran Mr. McConnell Mr. Shelby Mr. Alexander Ms. Collins Ms. Murkowski Mr. Graham Mr. Kirk Mr. Blunt Mr. Moran Mr. Hoeven Mr. Boozman Mrs. Capito Mr. Cassidy Mr. Lankford Mr. Daines Ms. Mikulski Mr. Leahy Mrs. Feinstein Mr. Durbin Mr. Udall Mrs. Shaheen Mr. Merkley Mr. Coons Mr. Schatz Ms. Baldwin

Mr. Murphy

Nays Mrs. Murray Mr. Reed Mr. Tester

# COMPLIANCE WITH PARAGRAPH 12, RULE XXVI OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

Paragraph 12 of rule XXVI requires that Committee reports on a bill or joint resolution repealing or amending any statute or part of any statute include "(a) the text of the statute or part thereof which is proposed to be repealed; and (b) a comparative print of that part of the bill or joint resolution making the amendment and of the statute or part thereof proposed to be amended, showing by stricken-through type and italics, parallel columns, or other appropriate typographical devices the omissions and insertions which would be made by the bill or joint resolution if enacted in the form recommended by the Committee."

The Committee bill as recommended contains no such provisions.

# BUDGETARY IMPACT OF BILL

# PREPARED IN CONSULTATION WITH THE CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET OFFICE PURSUANT TO SEC. 308(a), PUBLIC LAW 93-344, AS AMENDED

[In millions of dollars]

	Budget	authority	Outl	ays
	Committee allocation	Amount in bill	Committee allocation	Amount in bill
Comparison of amounts in the bill with the subcommittee				
allocation for 2016: Subcommittee on Defense:				
Mandatory	514	514	514	514
Discretionary	489,131	489,131	509,550	509,521
Security	488,995	488,995	NA	NA
Nonsecurity	136	136	NA	NA
Overseas Contingency Operations/Global War on Ter-				
rorism	86.869	86.868	45.626	48.859
Projections of outlays associated with the recommenda-			,	,
tion:				
2016				354.722
2017				127.729
				49.791
2018				., .
2010				23,592
2020 and future years				17,399
Financial assistance to State and local governments for				
2016	NA NA		NA NA	

 $^1\,\mbox{lncludes}$  outlays from prior-year budget authority.  $^2\,\mbox{Excludes}$  outlays from prior-year budget authority.

NA: Not applicable.

NOTE.—Consistent with the funding recommended in the bill for overseas contingency operations and in accordance with section 3102(f) of the conference report on the concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 2016 (S. Con. Res. 11), the Committee anticipates that the Budget Committee will provide a revised 302(a) allocation for the Committee on Appropriations for overseas contingency operations reflecting an upward adjustment in outlays.

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL YEAR 2015 AND BUDGET ESTIMATES AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR FISCAL YEAR 2016 [In thousands of dollars]

Henni	2015	Durdant notimato	Committee	Senate Committee recommendation compared with $(+ \text{ or } -)$	ommendation com- + or -)
IIIII	appropriation	Duuget estimate	recommendation	2015 appropriation	Budget estimate
тисе і					
MILITARY PERSONNEL					
Military personnel, Army	41,116,129	41,130,748	41,038,287	- 77,842	-92,461
Military personnel, Navy	27,453,200	28,262,396	27,983,432	+530,232	-278,964
Military personnel, Marine Corps	12,828,931	13,125,349	12,953,400	+124,469	-171,949
Militäly personnel, Air Force Reserve nersonnel Armv	2/,3/0,402 4 317 859	21,309,322 A 550 974	4 4 1 8 7 1 4 4 1 8 7 1 4 4 1 8 7 1 4 4 1 8 7 1 4 4 1 8 7 1 4 4 1 8 7 1 4 4 1 8 7 1 4 4 1 8 7 1 4 4 1 8 7 7 1 4	+ 380,074 + 100 855	- 212,780 132 260
Reserve personnel. Navv	1.835.924	1.884.991	1.849.541	+ 13.617	-35.450
	660,424	706,481	697,839	+37,415	- 8,642
	1,653,148	1,696,283	1,676,551	+23,403	-19,732
	7,643,832	7,942,132	7,862,122	+218,290	-80,010
National Guard personnel, Air Force	3,118,709	3,222,551	3,205,691	+ 86,982	-16,860
Total, Title I, Military personnel	128,004,618	130,491,227	129,442,113	+ 1,437,495	-1,049,114
HITLE II					
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE					
Operation and maintenance, Army	31,961,920	35,107,546	27,285,099	-4,676,821	-7,822,447
Operation and maintenance, Navy	37,590,854	42,200,756	25,933,099	-11,657,755	-16,267,657
Operation and maintenance, Marine Corps	5,610,063	6,228,782	5,277,785	- 332,278	-950,997
Uperation and maintenance, Air Force	34,539,965	38,191,929 32,440,843	26,144,988 32,124,431	-8,394,977 + 1.299.679	-12,046,941 -316.412
Operation and maintenance, Army Reserve	2,513,393	2,665,792	2,679,992	+166,599	+14,200
Operation and maintenance, Navy Reserve	1,021,200	1,001,758	998,758 277 036	- 22,442	-3,000
operation and maintenance, marine corps reserve	3,026,342	3,064,257	3,056,357	+30,015	- 7,900
Operation and maintenance, Army National Guard	6,175,951	6,717,977	6,746,302 6 061 010	+570,351	+ 28,325
ערכומנוטון מווע וומווונכומווככי חון אמנוטומן טעמט	- 0,-,0,-,0	U, JJU, FIU	0,001,000		0,100

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL YEAR 2015 AND BUDGET ESTIMATES AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR FISCAL YEAR 2016—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

	2015		Committee	Senate Committee recommendation compared with $(+ \text{ or } -)$	commendation com- + or -)
Rem	appropriation	budget estimate	recommendation	2015 appropriation	Budget estimate
United States Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces	13,723 201,560 277,294 408,716 8,547 250,8547 103,000 365,108 83,034 161,655,679	14,078 234,829 292,453 368,131 8,232 88,131 100,266 358,496 84,140 84,140	14,078 234,829 292,453 368,131 86,131 88,232 233,717 100,266 358,496 84,140 139,169,199	+ 355 + 33,285 + 15,159 - 40,555 - 115,159 - 17,136 - 2,734 - 6,612 + 1,106	+ 30,000
TITLE III PROCUREMENT					
Aircraft procurement. Armv	5.216.225	5.689.357	5.465.751	+249.526	-223.606
	1.208.692	1.419.957	1.667.167	+458.475	+ 247.210
Procurement of weapons and tracked combat vehicles, Army	1,722,136	1,887,073	1,914,446	+192,310	+ 27,373
Procurement of ammunition, Army	1,015,477	1,233,378	1,247,426	+231,949	+ 14,048
Other procurement, Army	4,747,523	5,899,028	5,648,874	+901,351	-250,154
Aircraft procurement, Navy	14,758,035	16,126,405	17,392,174	+ 2,634,139	+1,265,769
Weapons procurement, Navy Procurement of ammunition Navy and Marine Corns	3,137,257 674 100	3, 154, 154 723 741	3,1/2,822 728.741	+ 52,505	+ 5,000
Shipbuilding and conversion. Naw	15,954,379	16.597,457	18,176,362	+2.221.983	+ 1.578,905
Other procurement, Navy	5,846,558	6,614,715	6,329,750	+483,192	-284,965
Procurement, Marine Corps	935,209	1,131,418	1,239,618	+304,409	+ 108,200
Aircraft procurement, Air Force	12,067,703	15,657,769	15,890,661	+ 3,822,958	+ 232,892
Missile procurement, Air Force	4,629,662	2,987,045	2,965,869	-1,663,793	-21,176
Space procurement, Air Force	659,909	2,584,061 1,758,843	2,891,159 1,797,343	+ 2,891,159 + 1,137,434	+ 307,098 + 38,500

Other procurement, Air Force	16,781,266 4,429,303 51,638	18,272,438 5,130,853 46,680	17,997,359 5,211,532 76,680	+ 1,216,093 + 782,229 + 25,042	-275,079 + 80,679 + 30,000
Total, Title III, Procurement	93,835,072	106,914,372	109,813,734	+ 15,978,662	+ 2,899,362
TITLE IV Research, development, test and evaluation, Army	6,675,565 15,958,460 15,953,983 23,643,983 17,225,889 209,378	6,924,959 17,885,916 26,473,669 18,329,861 170,558	7,096,935 18,236,645 26,874,116 18,926,433 190,558	+ 421,370 + 2,278,185 + 2,230,133 + 1,700,544 - 18,820	+ 171,976 + 350,729 - 599,553 + 596,572 + 20,000
Total, Title IV, Research, development, test and evaluation	63,713,275	69,784,963	70,324,687	+ 6,611,412	+ 539,724
TITLE V REVOLVING AND MANAGEMENT FUNDS Defense Working Capital Funds	1,649,468 485,012	1,312,568 474,164	1,779,568 474,164	+ 130,100 - 10,848	+ 467,000
Total, Title V, Revolving and Management Funds	2,134,480	1,786,732	2,253,732	+ 119,252	+ 467,000
TITLE VI OPFense Health Program: Operation and maintenance	30,030,650 308,413 1,730,709	30,889,940 373,287 980,101	30,103,891 365,390 1,798,633	+ 73,241 + 56,977 + 67,924	- 786,049 - 7,897 + 818,532
Total, Defense Health Program <sup>13</sup>	32,069,772	32,243,328	32,267,914	+198,142	+24,586
Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Defense: Operation and maintenance	196,128 10,227	139,098 2,281	118,198 2,281	- 77,930 - 7,946	- 20,900

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL YEAR 2015 AND BUDGET ESTIMATES AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR FISCAL YEAR 2016—Continued

dollars]
ę
thousands
5

	2015		Committee	Senate Committee recommendation com- pared with (+ or -)	commendation com- (+  or  -)
item	appropriation	budget estimate	recommendation	2015 appropriation	Budget estimate
Research, development, test and evaluation	595,913	579,342	579,342	-16,571	
Total, Chemical Agents <sup>2</sup>	802,268	720,721	699,821	-102,447	- 20,900
Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense Counter-narcotics support Drug demand reduction program National Guard counter-drug program National Guard counter-drug schools	669,631 105,591 175,465	739,009 111,589	681,109 119,589 192,900 20,000	+ 11,478 + 13,998 + 17,435 + 20,000	$\begin{array}{r} -57,900\\ +8,000\\ +192,900\\ +20,000\end{array}$
Total, Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense <sup>4</sup>	950,687	850,598	1,013,598	+ 62,911	+ 163,000
Joint Urgent Operational Needs Fund	10,000 311,830	99,701 316,159	312,559	- 10,000 + 729	- 99,701 - 3,600
Total, Title VI, Other Department of Defense Programs	34,144,557	34,230,507	34,293,892	+149,335	+ 63,385
TITLE VII RELATED AGENCIES Central Intelligence Agency Retirement and Disability System Fund	514,000	514,000	514,000		
Intelligence Community Management Account [ICMA]	507,600	530,023	513,923	+ 6,323	-16,100
Total, Title VII, Related agencies	1,021,600	1,044,023	1,027,923	+ 6,323	-16,100
TITLE VIII GENERAL PROVISIONS					
Additional transfer authority (Sec. 8005)	(4,500,000)	(5,500,000)	(5,000,000)	(+500,000)	(-500,000)

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL YEAR 2015 AND BUDGET ESTIMATES AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR FISCAL YEAR 2016—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Henne	2015	Dudant notimoto	Committee	Senate Committee recommendation compared with $(+ \text{ or } -)$	ommendation com- + or -)
IIAN	appropriation	Duuget estimate	recommendation	2015 appropriation	Budget estimate
Coast Guard (by transfer) (0C0)   Operation and maintenance, Marine Corps (0C0)   Operation and maintenance, Air Force (0C0)   Operation and maintenance, Air Force (0C0)   Coalition support funds (0C0)   Operation and maintenance, Amy Reserve (0C0)   Operation and maintenance, Amy Reserve (0C0)   Operation and maintenance, Nary Reserve (0C0)   Operation and maintenance, Nary Reserve (0C0)   Operation and maintenance, Amy National Guard (0C0)   Operation and maintenance, Air National Guard (0C0)	1,850,984 10,076,383 6,211,025 (1,260,000) 41,532 41,532 45,876 10,540 10,540 77,794 77,661 22,600	(160,002) 952,534 952,534 952,534 95,000,013 5,805,653 (1,264 34,559 34,55 58,105 58,105 58,105 58,105 58,105 58,105 58,105	1,879,613 21,161,888 6,880,097 (1,160,000) 24,559 34,555 34,555 58,106 60,845 19,900	$\begin{array}{c} +28.629\\ +11,085,505\\ +639,072\\ +639,072\\ (-100,000)\\ -16,973\\ -14,233\\ -7,085\\ -19,688\\ -19,688\\ -16,816\\ -2,700\end{array}$	(-160,002) + 927,079 + 12,071,875 + 1,044,464 (-100,000)
Subtotal, Operation and maintenance	42,776,870 1,300,000 175,000 4,109,333 1,618,000	32,561,026 2,100,000 3,762,257 715,000 600,000	70,028,838 3,360,357 715,000 531,450	+ 27,251,968 - 1,300,000 - 175,000 - 748,976 - 903,000 + 531,450	+ 37,467,812 - 2,100,000 - 401,900 - 68,550
Total, Operation and maintenance	49,979,203	39,738,283	74,635,645	+24,656,442	+ 34,897,362
Procurement Aircraft procurement, Army (0C0) Missile procurement, Army (0C0) Procurement of wapons and tracked combat vehicles, Army (0C0) Procurement of ammunition, Army (0C0) Other procurement, Many (0C0) Aircraft procurement, Nary (0C0) Meapons procurement, Nary (0C0)	196,200 32,136 5,000 140,905 773,583 243,359 66,785	164,987 37,260 26,030 192,040 1,205,566 217,394 217,394 3,344	158,087 37,260 26,030 192,040 1,205,596 217,394 217,394	- 38,113 + 5,124 + 5,124 + 5,129 + 51,135 + 432,013 - 25,965 - 63,441	006,9—

	+ 924,600				- 203,271	- 203,271	000'000 +
$\begin{array}{c} -17,589\\ -111,524\\ -16,655\\ -352,119\\ +152,953\\ +9,089\\ +222,438\\ -76,468\\ -20,000\end{array}$	- 8,092	-500 -273 +2,394 -37,560	- 35,939	- 2,500	- 27,827 - 19,000 - 154,464 - 361	-201,652	$\begin{array}{c} +1.236,580\\ -1.236,580\\ -250,000\\ -1,000,000\\ +300,000\end{array}$
136,930 12,186 48,934 128,900 289,142 288,91,42 288,87 173,918 1,000,000	7,688,599	1,500 35,747 17,100 137,087	191,434	88,850	272,704 186,000 290,000 10,262	758,966	(3,500,000)
136,930 12,186 48,934 128,900 228,8142 288,8142 3,859,964 3,859,964 212,418	6, 763, 999	1,500 35,747 17,100 137,087	191,434	88,850	272,704 186,000 493,271 10,262	962,237	(3,500,000)
154,519 123,710 65,589 481,019 136,189 219,785 3,607,526 3,607,526 1,200,000	7,696,691	2,000 36,020 14,706 174,647	227,373	91,350	300,531 205,000 444,464 10,623	960,618	(3,500,000) - 1,236,580 250,000 1,000,000
Procurement of ammunition, Navy and Marine Corps (OCO) Other procurement, Navy (OCO) Procurement, Marine Corps (OCO) Aircraft procurement, Air Force (OCO) Missile procurement Air Force (OCO) Procurement, Defense-Wide (OCO) Chther procurement, Defense-Wide (OCO) Procurement, Defense-Wide (OCO) National Guard and Reserve equipment (OCO)	Total, Procurement	Research, development, test and Evaluation Research, development, test and evaluation, Army (OCO) Research, development, test and evaluation, Navy (OCO) Research, development, test and evaluation, Air Force (OCO) Research, development, test and evaluation, Defense-Wide (OCO)	Total, Research, development, test and evaluation	Revolving and Management Funds Defense Working Capital Funds (OCO)	Defense Health Program: Operation and maintenance (OCO)	Total, Other Department of Defense programs	TITLE IX General Provisions   Additional transfer authority (OCO) (Sec. 9003)   Rescissions (OCO)   Unexploded ordnance (OCO)   Readiness (OCO)   Readiness (OCO)   Ukraine security assistance initiative (OCO) (Sec. 9014)

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL YEAR 2015 AND BUDGET ESTIMATES AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR FISCAL YEAR 2016—Continued

dollars]
of
thousands
Ľ]

Henne	2015	Dudrot octimato	Committee	Senate Committee recommendation compared with $(+ \text{ or } -)$	ommendation com- + or –)
IIIII	appropriation	Duuget estimate	recommendation	2015 appropriation	Budget estimate
Total, General Provisions	13,420		300,000	+286,580	+ 300,000
Total, Title IX	63,935,295	50,949,561	86,868,252	+ 22,932,957	+ 35,918,691
х зциге х					
EBOLA RESPONSE AND PREPAREDNESS					
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE					
Procurement, Defense-Wide (emergency)	17,000 95,000			-17,000 -95,000	
Total, Title X	112,000			-112,000	
Grand Total	547,753,288 (484,934,013) (112,000) (65,171,875) (-1,228,020) (-1,236,580)	571,719,613 (520,770,052) (50,949,561)	569,841,694 (484,252,480) (86,888,252) (-1,279,038)	$\begin{array}{c} +22,088,406\\ (-681,533)\\ (-112,000)\\ (+21,666,377)\\ (-51,018)\\ (-51,018)\\ (+1,236,580)\end{array}$	-1,877,919 (-36,517,572) (+35,918,691) (+35,918,691) (-1,279,038)
2 Included in Builder Tronscement.					

<sup>2</sup> Included in Budget under Prosumennt. Budget request savense anactment of DOD's pharmacy/Consolidated Health Plan proposals. Fubdget request does not break out total recommended in Dill Janguge.

0